THE POLITICS OF ARISTOTLE

VOLUME III

Two Essays

Books III, IV, and V: Text and Notes

W. L. NEWMAN

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Amen House, E.C. 4 LONDON GLASGOW 58 Buchanan Street New York - 114 Fifth Avenue, N.Y. 11 TORONTO - 480-486 University Avenue Melbourne - 346-348 Little Collins Street 17 Grey Street WELLINGTON Apollo Bunder BOMBAY Lal Bazar CALCUTTA Mount Road Madras Adderley Street CAPE TOWN

GEOFFREY CUMBERLEGE

THE

POLITICS OF ARISTOTLE

NEWMAN

THE

POLITICS OF ARISTOTLE

WITH AN INTRODUCTION, TWO PREFATORY ESSAYS AND NOTES CRITICAL AND EXPLANATORY

BY

W. L. NEWMAN, M.A.

HON, LITT.D. CAMBRIDGE

FELLOW OF BALLIOL COLLEGE, AND FORMERLY READER IN ANCIENT HISTORY

IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

VOLUME III

TWO ESSAYS

BOOKS III, IV, AND V-TEXT AND NOTES

●#ford AT THE CLARENDON PRESS Oxford University Press, Amen House, London E.C. 4
GLASGOW NEW YORK TORONTO MELBOURNE WELLINGTON
BOMBAY CALCUITA MADRAS CAPE TOWN
Geoffrey Cumberlege, Publisher to the University

8286 50 N STATE CENTRAL LIBRARY WEST BENGAL CALCUTTA:

FIRST EDITION 1902
Reprinted lithographically in Great Britain at the UNIVERSITY PRESS, OXFORD, 1950 from sheets of the first edition

STATE CENTRAL LIBRARY WEST BENGAL CALCUTTAL

PREFACE

TO THE THIRD AND FOURTH VOLUMES.

My first words must be words of regret. The lamented death of Professor Susemihl not many months ago, which no one deplores more than I do, leaves a great gap in the ranks of Aristotelian scholars. His learning, his industry and powers of work, his thoroughness, and his acuteness will long be missed. The students of Aristotle's writings have abundant cause to be grateful to him, and none more so than myself. Even when I have differed from him most, I have always found his views suggestive and instructive. It was from him that I first learnt what the close study of a work of Aristotle's meant.

Of the friends who gave me assistance in the revision of the proofs of my first two volumes three—Mr. Alfred Robinson, Mr. R. L. Nettleship, and Mr. Evelyn Abbott—are, to my deep regret, no more. I have especially often missed the valued help of Mr. Alfred Robinson, whose death several years ago was a great loss to many besides myself.

Owing to weak health, the Warden of Wadham, Mr. G. E. Thorley, has, unfortunately for me, been unable to give me the assistance which he kindly gave me in the revision of the proofs of the first two volumes. Professor Bywater's suggestions have been but few—far fewer than I could have wished—but, on the other hand, I have gained a new and very valuable ally in Mr. Herbert Richards, whose Greek scholarship needs no

commendation from me, and who has most kindly found time to peruse all the proofs of the explanatory notes contained in the third and fourth volumes and to give me the benefit of his comments on them, which have been, I need not say, of great use to me, though I am alone responsible for the views expressed in this work. The references in the General Index under the name of Mr. Richards will suffice to show how many valuable suggestions I owe to him. For emendations of the text and transpositions not explicitly attributed to him I am responsible.

In five or six of the Additions and Corrections to Vols. I, II, and III placed at the end of Vol. III I have profited by some remarks on my commentary on the first two Books kindly sent me by Prof. Robinson Ellis in 1888 shortly after its appearance.

To all who have assisted me with information or suggestions in the correction of the proofs, and among them to the readers of the Press, my best thanks are due.

To Mr. F. G. Kenyon I am greatly indebted for the collation of MS. Harl. 6874 which I publish in an Appendix to my third volume. Many students have had cause to testify to his unvarying kindness and readiness to assist, and I can add my emphatic testimony to theirs.

I have not carried my collation of the Politics in O¹ (MS. 112, Corpus Christi College, Oxford) beyond the first two Books, but I have completed my collation of MS. Phillipps 891 (z), a manuscript of William of Moerbeke's Latin Translation which, as will be seen from my critical notes (see for instance those on 1306 a 24 and 1315 b 31), occasionally offers excellent readings, found in no other MS. of the Latin Translation hitherto collated. It is throughout akin to a, though it sometimes differs from a, but the original reading of a has often been erased by a corrector, and where this has happened, the original

reading of z commonly remains intact and furnishes a probable clue to the original reading of a. I have again to thank the owner of the Phillipps Library, Cheltenham. for giving me every facility for the collation of this MS.

A list of the symbols and abbreviations used in the work will be found at the end of the fourth volume.

In my third and fourth volumes I have been able to refer to the English translation by Messrs. Costelloc and Muirhead of the volume of Zeller's Philosophie der Griechen which relates to Aristotle, and to the English translation by Messrs. Brooks and Nicklin of the first volume of Gilbert's Handbuch der griechischen Staatsalterthümer. The first volume of Gerth's edition of the Syntax of Kühner's Greek Grammar did not appear till my revision of the proofs of the third volume was almost completed. My references to Dittenberger's Sylloge Inscriptionum Graecarum are for a similar reason mostly to the first The references in the first two volumes to edition. Meisterhans, Grammatik der attischen Inschriften are to the first edition of the work, those in the third and fourth to the second edition, published in 1888.

November, 1901.

CONTENTS.

On the Manuscripts of the Politics and the I		PAGE
Translation of William of Moerbeke. II	- -	. vii
On the Contents of the Third, Fourth (Seventh),	AN	-
FIFTH (EIGHTH) BOOKS		. xxvi
TEXT OF BOOK III		. п
TEXT OF BOOK IV (VII)		• 34
TEXT OF BOOK V (VIII)		. 69
CRITICAL NOTES		. 85
Notes to Book III		. 129
Notes to Book IV (VII)		. 307
NOTES TO BOOK V (VIII)		. 499
Appendices:—		1,7,7
APPENDIX A. Collation of Brit, Mus. MS. Harl. 6874		. 575
APPENDIX B. On the use of Hyperbaton in the Politics		. 579
APPENDIX C. On the variations in the order of words	in I	
and Π^2		. 581
APPENDIX D. Reminiscences in the Politics of passages writings of Plato and other Greek authors and of di notable men .	in th	he of . 584
ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO VOIS I II AND III	•	. 504

ON THE MANUSCRIPTS OF THE POLITICS AND THE LATIN TRANS-LATION OF WILLIAM OF MOERBEKE. II.

THE following remarks are in continuation of those contained in vol. ii. p. xli sqq. My object in them has especially been to study the characteristics of the two families into which the MSS. of the Politics fall and the errors to which they are most liable, and also to throw light on the methods of translation adopted in the vetus versio, in the hope that these inquiries may help us to discover the true reading in the many cases in which the MSS. of the two families offer different readings.

It has long been observed (see vol. ii. p. lvii) that the Omissions MSS. of the first family (Π^1) are prone to omit both sentences and words. These omissions occur less often in some Books than in others. They are less numerous in the Sixth (old Fourth), the Seventh (old Fifth), and the Eighth (old Sixth) than in the other Books. Very few omissions occur between 1326 b and 1330 a (inclusive of these columns), none in 1332 b and 1333 a, 1334 a, 1338 a, and 1340 a.

Sentences are omitted in Π^1 owing to a similarity of ending in 1253 b 25, 1275 a 28, 1287 b 38, 1337 a 29, b 25, and 1299 a 8, owing to a similarity of the beginning in 1324 b 28 and 1311 b 37, and for no clear reason in 1275 a 11 and 1331 a 21.

Single words are still more often omitted in Π^1 , and

especially small words, as I have pointed out in Class. Rev. 7. 305 (1803). Out of 184 omissions in Π^1 117 are omissions of words of one syllable. 'If I do not err, καί is omitted in Π^1 twenty-four times in the course of the Politics, uév eight and dé nine times, ris and its parts six times, elvas five times, av five times, and ex thrice. Mo P1 omit the article thirty-five times, and $\tau\epsilon$ eight times, and very possibly Γ did the like, though of this we cannot be sure, for the Vetus Interpres seldom renders $\tau \epsilon$, and, writing in Latin, of course seldom renders the article' (Class. Rev. ibid.). Π^1 are particularly prone to omit η , κal , and the article, where these small words are repeated near together. See as to 1 1268 a 6, 1282 a 17, 1324 b 30, 1298 b 32, and 1305 a 32 (it is in these passages only that η is omitted in Π^1); as to $\kappa a \ell$ 1253a 1, 25, 1255a 5, 1259b 31, 1260b 17, 1263a 23, 1264 a 16, 1317 b 6; as to the article 1265 a 12, 1266 b 3, 1268 a 17, 1269 a 38, 1272 b 28, 1279 a 34, 1282 a 40, 1285 a 6. 1325 a 8, 1331 b 5, 8, 1332 a 22, 1316 a 36.

Nor is it only sentences and words that Π^1 are apt to omit. These MSS. often omit syllables, mostly the first or last syllables of words—the first in 1262 a 30, 1273 a 10, 1283 a 11 (here, however, the αν- of ανισότητα is omitted because πãσαν precedes), 1285 b 36, 1342 b 32, and 1298 a 31; the last in 1268 b 16, 1276 b 20, 1278 b 40, 1283 b 20, 1287 b 19, 1335 b 35, and 1315 a 15. In 1336 b 20 and 1300 b 28 the first two syllables are omitted in Π^1 . In 1335 b 4 and 1317 a 36 a syllable or more than a syllable is omitted from the middle of the word. The first letter of a word is clearly omitted in II1 in 1324 b 30 and 1315 b 18, and probably in 1265 b 19, 1297 b 7, and 1320 a 29; the last letter often disappears, especially when it is a ν or s (see 1255 a 39, 1265 b 21, 1267 b 40, 1337 b 41, 1297 a 17, 1300 a 32, 1308 b 25, and 1309 a 31). On the other hand, two or three words are repeated in II1 in 1333 b 38 and 1297 a 24.

Omissions, etc. in Π^2 . Many omissions occur in Π^2 also, and some of them are omissions of a sentence or of more sentences than one.

Such omissions occur in 1334a 37, 1337b 16-20, 34-35, 1298 a 6, 1301 a 30-31, 1307 b 32-34, but they are easily explained, for they are caused by a similarity of ending. In 1292 b 32, if Π^2 are wrong in omitting the sentence omitted, they have not this excuse. In 1336 b 18 the words omitted by Π² are probably rightly omitted. Omissions of two words occur in 1285 b 16 (al πάτριοι), 1326 b 32 $(\tau \partial \nu \, \delta \rho o \nu)$, and 1335 a 37 $(\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \, \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota)$: at least it seems likely that Π^2 are wrong in omitting these words. Omissions of a single word occur in 1259 a 37 (μέρη), 1276 a 33 (ξθνος), 1288 b 16 (ξργον), 27 (αγαθον), 1306 a 6 (εὐθύς), and perhaps in 1304 b 6, where alriai may have dropped out after ai. Omissions of small words, and especially of rai and the article, are frequent in Π^2 , though not nearly as frequent as in Π^1 . Omissions of a syllable occur in Π^2 in 1294 b 26 (άδηλος for διάδηλος), 1314 b 7 (δόξει for δόξειεν), and 1320 a 38 (συναθροίζων Π^1 , άθροίζων Π^2). It is not often that Π^2 can clearly be shown to omit a letter.

We note in Π^2 a certain tendency to substitute o for ω (e. g. in 1269 a 23, 1274 b 13, 1286 a 37, 1294 b 38, 1302 b 6, and 1317 a 39), and these MSS. substitute ov for ω in 1273 a 9 and 1314 a 18, and ω for ov in 1301 a 38 and 1307 a 38.

In five passages Π^2 have the aorist infinitive, while Π^1 (or at any rate M^{\bullet} P^1 , for the reading of Γ is uncertain) have the present infinitive. These passages are 1260 b 36, 1267 a 35, 1284 a 5, 1332 b 1, and 1317 a 36.

Some errors in the MSS. go back to an early date; thus Errors of the errors of $\phi_1 \Delta l \tau_{1a}$ for $\phi_1 \Delta l \tau_{1a}$ in Π^1 (1271 a 27, 1272 a 2, tion of b 34), of Olore overlange for overlange for

Errors shared by all the MSS. and the Vetus Interpres must also have originated early. Under this head fall—

¹²⁷⁴ b 7, επίσκεψιν for επίσκηψιν

¹²⁷⁵ b 39, kal for kar

¹²⁷⁶ b 9, lévouer for lévouer (or has de been omitted?)

1278 a 34, αὐτῶν for ἀστῶν (αὐτῶν ΓΠ, but ἀστῶν Vat. Pal. and Codex Hamilton)

1280 b 4, άδικήσωσιν for άδικήσουσιν

1287 a 4, βασιλείας for πολιτείας (Julian seems to have read βασιλείας: see critical note)

1324 b 37, δεσπόζον for δεσποστόν

1327 2 21, πολεμίους for πολέμους

32, ὑπάρχον for ὑπάρχοντα

1337 a Ι, καλώς for κακώς

1296 2 9, πολιτειών for πολιτών

1300 a 2, ἢ ἡ μισθὸς for ἢ μισθοῦ

1306 a 30, σάμον for σίμον

1317 b 41, ent for en.

Errors which we find in all extant MSS., though they were probably not present in the Greek text used by the Vetus Interpres, will also be of early date. Under this head fall—

1260 b 41, Ισότης for els ό της

1266 b 2, 8h or 8è for 8' #8n

1278 b 20, περί for παρά

1283 α 7, ὑπερέχειν for ὑπερέχει.

Confusions of letters.

The mistakes which have given rise to these erroneous readings are mistakes easily made, and they frequently recur in the MSS. of the Politics. We often note a confusion of ϵ and η (as in 1274 b 7), of ι and $\epsilon\iota$ (as in 1260 b 41), of ω and ov (as in 1280 b 4), of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon}$ (as in 1278 b 201), of πόλις, πολίτης, and πολιτεία (as in 1296 a 9), of πολέμους and πολεμίους (as in 1327 a 21). For the interchange of kav and kal reference may be made to 1282 b 8 and 1290 a 1, for that of o and oi in 1276 b 9 to 1271 a 40 and to Vat. Pal. in 1275 b 10, and for that of kalos and κακῶς to 1294 a 7, while the change of ἀστῶν into αὐτῶν, of Σίμον into Σάμον, and of έτι into έπί needs no explanation. The substitution of ὑπάρχον for ὑπάρχοντα is probably due to the omission of a τ over the last syllable of $\vartheta \pi d\rho \chi o\nu$. That of δεσπόζον for δεσποστόν appears to point to a confusion of $\sigma\tau$ and ζ . The erroneous readings in the four

¹ This error may probably be due to the misreading of a contraction.

remaining passages (1287 a 4, 1300 a 2, 1266 b 2, 1283 a 7) are easily explainable.

Among other confusions of letters which are of frequent occurrence in the Politics may be mentioned those of a with at (1290 b 19, 1309 b 37, 1318 a 3, 1322 b 37), of at with of (1268 a 11, 1274 b 14, 1285 a 24, b 5, 1339 a 1, 1294 a 37, 1313 b 39), of av with ov (1274 a 4, 1280 a 29, 1338 a 31), of ois with ous (1292 b 36, 1309 b 14) and perhaps with ων (1272 a 29, b 16, 1302 b 30), of a with ευ, which occurs in 1288 a 15 not only in T12, but also in Vat. Pal., and of η with ϵ_i and ϵ_i with ω and δ_i , which are too common to need illustration.

The variations of reading hitherto noticed have been due Errors in to errors of transcription, but many variations of reading in the MSS. arising the MSS. are evidently due not to this cause, but to the from the occasional use in the MSS. copied by the scribes, or perhaps biguous in the archetype, of ambiguous contractions or contractions contraceasily misread or misinterpreted. Just as in the first the like. of the four handwritings of the papyrus of the 'Αθηναίων Πολιτεία o written above the line stands indiscriminately for -ot -ov -ov -ots and -ovs (see Sandys, 'Aθ. Πολ. p. xxxvi), so in the MSS. from which the existing MSS. of the Politics were copied the terminations of words, and especially of common words like the cases of autos and outos, were probably often represented by ambiguous contractions.

In 1337 a 28, where the true reading is αὐτὸν, M^o has ἀν with r added over it, and it is very likely that the Vetus Interpres found the same contraction in the Greek text used by him and took it to represent αὐτῶν, for his rendering is ipsorum. The next word in the same line is variously given by P3 Π3 as αὐτοῦ, by Ma as αὐτῶ, and by Γ P1 as αὐτῶ, the fact probably being that the writers of all these MSS, had before them an ambiguous contraction (perhaps aỷ with τ over it). So in 1312 b 9 P2.3 have aὐ with τ over it, and the other MSS, make more or less successful attempts to interpret this contraction, ΓP1 having αὐτη̂ς rightly, M^o αὐτῆs, P⁴ Ald. αὐτοῦ, and so forth. The same thing holds of over s. In 1297 a 1 M⁸ has τ 00 with τ over it, Γ P¹ τ 00 τ 00, and the rest rightly τ 00 τ 00s, the ambiguous contraction reproduced in M⁸ being interpreted with varying success by the rest.

In 1283 b 9 M* has $i\pi\alpha\rho'$ with χ added over ρ' , P^1 $i\pi\alpha\rho\chi\epsilon\iota$, the rest rightly ὑπάρχη: in 1307 b 12 Ms has χειροτονησαν with τ over a and P1 χειροτονήσαντας, the true reading being χειροτουήσουτα: in 1335 a 27 M^o has $\pi \lambda \eta$ with θ' over η , which Vet. Int. perhaps interprets, though wrongly, by his rendering multum: in 1303 b 33 Me has a symbol for the termination of the word which Vet. Int. renders Estiaeis: in 1309 b 28 P3 has ποιη with σ over η and M3 pr. P2 ποιήση, the true reading being ποιήσει. It is probably owing to the use of an ambiguous contraction for πάντας that many MSS. have πάνυ in 1286 a 25, and we may explain in a similar way the frequent interchange in the MSS. of πόλις πολίτης and πολιτεία (see for instance Susemihl's apparatus criticus in 1326 b 5, 1304 a 17, and 1318 a 9), and the false reading $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ in place of $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ which we find in Π^1 in 1280 a 1 (cp. 1267 a 25, where Mo has ἐπιθυμοῦ in place of επιθυμοῦσιν, the reading of P1, and επιθυμήσουσιν, the reading of $\Gamma \Pi^2$, and 1258 b 4, where Π^1 have $\epsilon \pi o \rho i \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ and Π^2 ἐπορίσθη). The divergence of the MSS. in 1282 a 27, where $P^{2.3}$ etc. have $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$, M^{s} $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \iota$, $P^{1.4}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \iota$, and Γ μέγιστα, may also be thus explained. For other possible instances of the same thing see the passages referred to in vol. ii. p. l. note 2.

That errors may have arisen from this cause at a very early date is clear from the fact that the papyrus of the 'Aθηναίων Πολιτεία is full of contractions, though, according to Mr. Kenyon (Palaeography of Greek Papyri, p. 92), it is 'highly probable' that it was 'written not very far from the year 90'. If the writers of the MSS. of the Politics which have come down to us, or the writers of the MSS. they copied, have had to any large extent to expand contractions of the kind described above, skill will have been needed by them in the discharge of their function no less than fidelity. We must bear this in mind in reference to

POLITICS AND THE VETUS VERSIO. IL. xiii

the question of the comparative claims of the two families of MSS. There can be little doubt that they both descend from an archetype in which ambiguous contractions were occasionally, and perhaps frequently, used, and the question evidently arises, which set of copyists, those of the first or those of the second family, was the more successful in expanding these ambiguous contractions. This is a question which it is not easy to answer positively, but the presumption is in favour of the more careful copyists, and, if we may judge by a comparison of omissions, the copyists to whom we owe the MSS, of the second family did their work more carefully than those to whom we owe the MSS. of the first. It would be rash, however, to dogmatize as to the superiority of either of the two families of MSS. in passages which are likely to have been affected by this source of error.

So far we have been concerned with variations of reading Variations of a more or less minute kind. In not a few cases, however, of reading the readings offered by II1 diverge widely from those minute offered by Π^2 . The following list comprises most of the more marked and less easily explicable of these divergences:-

II3

```
BOOK I.
  1254 a 15, dr
                                          δέ
  1255 a 24, δλως (Π1 P6 Mb Tb L8)
                                          δμα
          32, έξ ἀρχῆς
                                          πανταχοῦ
        b 26, τούτων
                                          τών τοιούτων
   1256 b Ι, κομίζονται
                                          πορίζονται
  1258 b 27, тетартог
                                          τρίτον
BOOK II.
   1260 b 28, rís (T?)
  1261 b 2, τοῦτο δὲ μιμεῖται τὸ ἐν
                                          έν τούτοις δέ μιμεῖσθαι τὸ έν
                μέρει τούς ίσους είκειν
                                            μέρει τούς ίσους είκειν (ΟΓ
                τό δ' (τόδ' Γ) ώς όμοίους
                                            ολκείν) δμοίους (ΟΙ δμοίως)
                είναι έξ ἀρχῆς
                                            rois et doxns
  1264 b 31, 8è
                                          γὰρ
```

Пι

	M IIIE MANUSCRII	-13 OF THE
	Π^1	Π^2
1265 a 4,	els (Γ?) ἔξιν	πρὸς χρῆσιν
1267 b 26, 1268 b 5,	δίκης	ἀναγκαῖον omitted κόσμω πολυτελεῖ κρίσεως
1269 b 21, 1271 b 28, 1272 a 35,	• •	φανερός έστι τοιούτος ών λύκτιοι έκ τών
1273 a 41,	ταύτην ούχ οἷόν τε βε- βαίως ἀριστοκρατείσθαι τὴν πολιτείαν	ταύτην οὺχ οἶόν τ' εἶναι βε- βαίως ἀριστοκρατικὴν πολι- τείαν
Воок III.		
1281 a 17.	δεî (Γ ?)	von

В

1281 a 17, ðeî (Г?)	χρη
28, σπουδαία	δίκαια
1282 a 32, μειζόνων	μεγάλων
b 5, διορίσαι	δηλώσαι
1285 a 12, γὰρ	γοῦν (οὖν P ⁴)
1286 a 25, πάντας Π ¹ P ⁴	πάνυ
1287 2 31, φθείρει ΟΓ διαφθείρει	διαστρέφει

Book IV (VII).

μορί ων
άλλα μήν
ύπολαμβάνουσι
మ్ల
γένος
γὰρ
τοιαύτην
ໄດ້ເພາ
τοῦτον τὸν
παιδονομίας
χρή
Ä.
νομοθετητέον

Book V (VIII).

1337 a 36,	διὰ	περί
b 22,	εΐρηται (Γ?)	έλέχθη

Π^1	Π^2
1339 b 21, γὰρ	γοῦν
1340 b 7, δè	γὰρ
1341 b Ι, ἵαμβοι	σαμβύκαι
1342 b 32, διάνοιαν	παιδείαν οξον
Воок VI (IV).	
1289 a 5, 7	τοῦ
8, γὰρ οτ γὰρ δὴ	ðè
1292 a 17, ο δημος ούτος	ό τοιούτος δήμος
1293 a 21, nol	el µèv
24, πολλῶν	άλλων
b 24, ἀποδοθείσας	ἄρτι ἡηθείσας
1294 a 36, å	$\delta \nu$
1298 b 13, τρόπον	διορισμόν
1300 b 30, παρόντι	παντὶ
Воок VII (V).	
1301 b 26, πανταχοῦ Π¹ Р²	πάντων
1308 b 17, οΰτω ρυθμίζειν	οΰτως ἄγειν
1310 b 17, αὶ τυραννίδες	τῶν τυραννίδων
1315 2 38, αφαίρεσων (Γ?)	παραίρεσιν ΟΓ παραίνεσιν
Воок VIII (VI).	
1317 b 17, τοιούτων	τούτων
1319 2 7, τοῖς παλαιοῖς	τοίς πολλοίς
T220.2 4. ພກຽ ³	ħ

1320 a 4, μηδ b 9, την ταραντίνων P1, την τατὰ ταραντίνων ραντίνων followed by a lacuna Ms, την ταραντίνων άρχήν Γ? κάλλιστα

Of the above sixty-two divergences twelve are due to Tendency a source of error which—and this has not, I think, been of the MSS., and espehitherto pointed out—especially affects the first family of cially of II', MSS. I refer to the tendency of these MSS. to introduce word or a word into the text which they repeat from a neighbouring words from a contiline, often the preceding or following line, the word thus guous line. b

VOL. III.

1321 2 5, μάλιστα

repeated sometimes extruding another word from the text and sometimes not doing so. Thus in Π^1 —

in 1255 a 24 αμα is displaced by δλως probably repeated from 21; in 1255 a 32 πανταχοῦ is displaced by εξ ἀρχῆς repeated from 30 sq.;

in 1265 a 35 χρησω is displaced by εξω probably repeated from εξεω;

in 1266 a 37 ἀναγκαῖον is added after εἶνω, being repeated from ἀναγκαίων in the preceding line;

in 1272 a 35 ἐκ τῶν is displaced by ἔκ τινων repeated from the preceding line;

in 1326 a 21 μορίων is displaced by μερῶν probably repeated from μέρος in the preceding line;

in 1328 a 14 ὑπολαμβάνουσι is displaced by νομίζουσι repeated from νομίζουσιν in the following line;

in 1329 a 20 γένος is displaced by μέρος perhaps repeated from 23; in 1289 a 5 τοῦ is displaced by η repeated from the preceding line;

in 1298 b 13 διορισμόν is displaced by τρόπον repeated from the preceding line;

in 1320 a 4 ή δλιγαρχείσθαι is displaced by μηδ' δλιγαρχείσθαι repeated from μηδ' δλιγαρχικόν in 2.

In 1268 b 5 Π^2 appear to fall into a similar error, dikys being displaced in these MSS. by $\kappa\rho l\sigma\epsilon\omega s$ repeated from the preceding line.

Occasionally all the MSS., and not those of the first or second family only, may be reasonably suspected of this error. Thus in 7 (5). 7. 1306 b 39, where all the MSS. except Γ have $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ καὶ (Γ omits καὶ) τοῦτο $\hat{\epsilon}$ κ τῆς Τυρταίου ποιήσεως τῆς καλουμένης Εὐνομίας, the words καὶ τοῦτο are probably repeated by mistake from the preceding line. So again it is possible that in 2. 5. 1263 a 13, where almost all the MSS: have $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \acute{\alpha} \nu o \nu \tau a$ ς, and in 2. 6. 1265 b 2, where all have περὶ τὰς πόλεις, they have suffered in a similar way, $\lambda \alpha \mu - \beta \acute{\alpha} \nu o \nu \tau a$ ς being repeated from $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \acute{\alpha} \nu o \nu \sigma \iota$ in the following line and περὶ τὰς πόλεις from περὶ τὰς πόλεις in the preceding line. The same thing may have happened to all the MSS. in 2. 7. 1267 a 8, where ἐπιθυμοῖεν may have taken the place of ἀδικοῖεν through repetition from ἐπιθυμίαν, 6 (see explanatory note

on 1267 a 5), in 2. 8. 1268 b 1, where $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ may have displaced some other word owing to the presence of $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \rho \dot{\nu} \tau a s$ in the preceding line, and in 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 14, where $\pi \rho \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota a \nu$ may be a repetition of $\pi \rho \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota a \nu$ in 1329 a 12 and may have pushed out some other and more appropriate word (see explanatory note on 1329 a 13).

 Γ M° are sometimes affected by this cause of error when Γ escapes. Thus in 2. 6. 1265 b 11 ἄλλαις repeated from ἄλλων in the preceding line has displaced πλείσταις in Γ M°, in 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 3 βέλτιον from the preceding line has displaced κάλλιον in M° and probably Γ (Vet. Int. melius), and in 4 (7). 11. 1330 b 25 τρόπον from the preceding line has displaced χρόνον in Γ M°.

The MSS. of the second family are not free from this kind of error, though they have suffered much less from it than those of the first. We have already seen that in 1268 b 5 κρίσεως repeated from the preceding line probably takes the place of δίκης in Π^2 . So again in 2. 4. 1262 b 33 Π^2 prefix είς to τοὺς ἄλλους πολίτας because είς τοὺς ἄλλους πολίτας occurs in the preceding line, and in δ (4). 14. 1298 b 35 $P^{2.3}$ add τὸ πλῆθος after δεῖ ποιεῖν because δεῖ ποιεῖν τὸ πλῆθος occurs in the following line.

Twelve, then, of the sixty-two variations of reading which Other have been enumerated may be thus accounted for. Five causes to which variothers (1255 b 26, 1330 b 14, 1332 b 40, 1292 a 17, 1317 b ations of 17) are due to an interchange of οὖτος and τοιοὖτος, four the less minutekind (1264 b 31, 1329 b 13, 1340 b 7, 1289 a 8) to an interchange are due. of δέ and γάρ (the contractions being somewhat similar), and the eleven following to an interchange of not very dissimilar words—

1256 b 1, κομίζονται and πορίζονται 1282 b 5, διορίσαι and δηλῶσαι 1285 a 12 1339 b 21 γάρ and γοῦν 1287 a 31, διαφθείρει and διαστρέφει 1341 b 1, ἵαμβοι and σαμβύκαι 1293 a 24, πολλῶν and ἄλλων 1293 b 24, ἀποδοθείσας and ἄρτι ἡηθείσας 1300 b 30, παρόντι and παντὶ 1319 a· ζ, τοῖς παλαιοῖς and τοῖς πολλοῖς 1321 a 5, μάλιστα and κάλλιστα.

In two other passages (1271 b 28 and 1308 b 17) a gloss has probably displaced the true reading in Π^1 , and in three (1335 b 4, 1336 b 20, and 1342 b 32) one or more syllables have been omitted in Π^1 . The variations of reading in 1267 b 26 and 1260 b 21 are probably due to the tendency of Π^1 to omit words. In 1286 a 25 the substitution of πάνυ for πάντας, and in 1301 b 26 that of πάντων for πανταχού, may well be due to ambiguous contractions. In 1258 b 27 the substitution of τέταρτον for τρίτον, though wrong, is natural enough, τρίτον having occurred in 25. In 1330 b 21 the substitution in Π^1 of olkelwy for ldiwy is explained in the critical note on the passage. In 1282 a 32 the interchange of μειζόνων and μεγάλων resembles that of πλείους and πολλούς in 1290 b 2, that of βέλτιστον and βέλτιον in 1333 b 7, and that of φανερωτάτη and φανερωτέρα in 1293 b 32. As to 1261 b 2 and 1273 a 41 something has already been said in vol. ii. pp. 234 sq. and lv. The following variations of reading remain, sixteen in number:-

111	11*
1254 a 15, üv	ðé
1260 b 28, τίς (Γ?)	ħ
1265 a 4, eis (r?)	πρὸς
1281 a 17 1335 b 28 δεῖ (Γ?)	χρη
1281 a 28, σπουδαΐα	δίκαια
1326 a 25, οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ	άλλὰ μὴν
1328 b 15 1294 a 36	ὧν
1335 b 39, кай	ħ
1337 a 36, διά	περὶ
b 22, εἴρηται (Γ?)	<i>ͼ</i> λέχθη
1293 a 21, A oi	ei µèv
1310 b 17, αὶ τυραννίδες	τῶν τυραννίδων
1315 a 38, αφαίρεσιν (Γ?)	παραίρεσιν ΟΓ παραίνεσιν

179

771

 Π^2

1320 b q, την ταραντίνων P1, την τὰ ταραντίνων ταραντίνων followed by a lacuna Me, την ταραντίνων άρχην Γ?

 Π^1

In seven of these passages (1260 b 28, 1265 a 4, 1281 a 17, 1335 b 28, 1281 a 28, 1335 b 39, 1337 b 22) words not themselves similar, but of similar meaning are interchanged, and in an eighth (1315a 38) the interchange of aφαίρεσιν and παραίρεσιν may well be the result of accident. The divergences in the remaining eight (1254 a 15, 1326 a 25, 1328 b 15, 1294 a 36, 1337 a 36, 1293 a 21, 1310 b 17, 1320 b 9) are less easily explained.

A certain number of variations of reading are probably Variations due, as has been said already in vol. ii. p. liii, to grammarian due to grammarevisers of the text. Thus, while both families use the rian revisers nominative µóvaoxos (1292 a 11, 15 and 1313 b 39), not μουάρχης, Π^2 use the oblique cases of μόναρχος, Π^1 almost always those of μονάρχης 1. So again M⁶ P¹ and possibly Γ have $\delta \psi \circ \pi \circ i \eta \tau i \kappa \eta$ in 1255 b 26, while Π^2 have $\delta \psi \circ \pi \circ i \kappa \eta$, and in 1256 a 6 Mo P1 and possibly Γ have κερκιδοποιητική, while Π^2 have κερκιδοποιική. In 1289 b 32, again, $M^{\bullet} P^{1}$ and possibly Γ have donlow, Π^{2} dvonlow. differences of reading seem to be due to intentional correction, but others which are at first sight of a similar nature are probably due to accidental causes. Thus Π^1 inherit the form φιλίτια and Π² the form φιδίτια, the rival forms originating at the outset no doubt in the resemblance of Λ and Δ . It is probably also owing to accident that in 1280 a 20 Π² have είσενέγκαντα and M^{*} P¹ (we cannot be certain as to Γ) είσενεγκόντα, in 1283 b 14 Π² δόξαιεν and M^{\bullet} P¹ δόξειαν, in 1285 a 24 and b 5 Π^2 πάτριαι and ἐκούσιαί τε καὶ πάτριαι and M. P. πάτριοι and ξκούσιοί τε καὶ πάτριοι, in 1292 b 9 Π² είπαμεν and M. P. είπομεν, in 1302 b 4 Π. διά μικρότητα and Mº P1 διὰ σμικρότητα, in 1307 a 31 Π2 εδύναντο

¹ In 1312 a 29, however, P¹ has τοῦς μονάρχοις with Π², while Γ M³ have τοῦς μονάρχαις. See also Additions and Corrections.

and M. P. ηδύναντο, and in 1311 b 14 Π2 νίει and M. P. νίω. It is easy to confuse $a\nu$ and $o\nu$, $a\iota$ and $o\iota$, a and o, ϵ and η . If in 1338 b 23 Mo P1 Lo Ald, have ληστρικά and Π2 (except L. Ald.) $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{a}$, we remember that τ and $\tau \rho$ are easily confused, and that in 1936 b 30 Π^2 have $\theta \epsilon \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ and Π^1 $\theta \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega \nu$.

When III and Π^2 offer different readings, II2 are probably to be followed than III

In cases in which the two families of MSS, offer different readings I am still of opinion, as I have been from the first. that the MSS. of the second family deserve our confidence more often than those of the first. The comparative merits of the readings offered by the two families vary from Book more often to Book, and in no Book does the first family stand the comparison as well as in the Second, yet even there it seems to me that the second family has the advantage. My reasons for so thinking have been given in an article which appeared in the Classical Review in July, 1893 (7. 304 sqq.).

Even where the readings of the first family receive the support of the Vatican Palimpsest, they are not always to be adopted. This will be evident on a reference to 1287 a 32, b 41, and 1288 a 13.

It has been pointed out in vol. ii. p. lix that not much weight attaches to readings supported by M⁸ P¹ against the consent of $\Gamma \Pi^2$, or to those supported by ΓM^{\bullet} against the consent of $P^1 \Pi^2$. On the other hand (and this has not as yet, I think, been pointed out) readings supported by Γ P¹ against the consent of M^o Π² are very often correct. will be found to be the case in the following passages:-

```
1261 a 14, διελείν Γ P1, διελθείν the rest;
1270 a 13, aὐτῆς Γ P1, aὐτῆν the rest;
1276 b 33, where r P1 add a sentence missing in the other MSS.,
               except that in two it is added by correctors;
1282 a 32, ἄρχουσιν Γ P1, ἄρχωσιν M8, ἔχουσιν Π2;
1285 b 22, ωρισμένοις Γ P1, ωρισμένων the rest;
       33, πλειόνων Γ P1, πλείονος the rest;
1327 b 34, om. sal r P1, not so the rest;
1334 a 37, FP1 add a sentence missing in the rest;
1335 b 11, ελευθέρων Γ P1, ελευθερίων the rest;
```

```
1340 b 14, ἔστι Γ, ἐστι P¹, ἔχει the rest;
1341 a 15, κοινῶ Γ P¹, κοινῶνῶ the rest;
1289 a 17, ἐκάστοις Γ P¹, ἐκάστης the rest;
1290 a 1, δὴ Γ P¹, δεῖ the rest, except correctors in P². ⁴;
1294 b 29, τῶ Γ P¹, τῶν the rest;
1295 b 34, θελω Γ P¹, θέλων the rest;
1314 a 25, μὲν οὖν Γ P¹, μὲν the rest;
1316 b 1, πολὺ Γ P¹, πολλοὶ the rest;
1320 a 8, φερομένων Γ P¹ (lege φερόμενον), φερόντων the rest;
b 3, ἀφιεμένους Γ P¹, ἐψιεμένους the rest.
```

For passages in which the accentuation is corrected in Γ P¹ see critical note on 1293 a 28. It has already been remarked (vol. ii. p. xliii, note 3) that Demetrius Chalcondylas, the scribe of P1, was a learned scholar and that many of the good readings peculiar to P1 are probably emendations of his, and the question might be asked whether the good readings which P^1 shares with Γ were not suggested to Demetrius by a study of the vetus versio. How far it is likely that Demetrius would study the vetus versio, I am unable to say, but I doubt whether he owes these readings to it, for it is evident from passages like 1280 b 6, where the true reading is διασκοποῦσιν and P1 has διακοποῦσιν, while Γ Mo have διακονοῦσιν, that P1 has a good independent tradition of its own. In 1297 a 1 and (if Sus. 1 is right, for in Sus.2.3.4 the erroneous reading is ascribed to ΓMs) in 1261 b 27 Γ P¹ agree in a false reading which P¹ is not likely to have borrowed from the vetus versio.

In some passages of the Politics the true reading is The true preserved by one MS. only and in not a few by two or folian preserved: thus it is preserved by Γ in 1260 b 41, 1266 b 2, served by 1283 a 7 etc., by Γ M* in 1299 a 1, by Γ P* L* in 1299 a 2, by one, two, one, two, or three Γ Ald. corr. P² in 1332 a 33, by P²: 3 Vat. Pal. in 1278 b 30, MSS. only. by P³ and a correction in P² in 1304 b 28, by P⁴ Ald. in 1286 b 33. We sometimes owe the true reading to quite inferior MSS. (e.g. in 1275 b 39, 1284 b 40, 1295 a 28, 1296 b 31, 1308 b 15, 1317 a 12, 1318 b 17, 1320 a 16).

Not a few good readings are due to the Latin translation Emendaof Aretinus (Lionardo Bruni of Arezzo, who was born in Aretinus. 1369 and died in 1444). They are probably conjectural emendations of his. Among them the following may be mentioned:—

```
1264 a 19, ὑπομενοῦσι (Γ Π ὑπομένουσι);
1337 a 14, παιδεύεσθαι (Γ Π πολιτεύεσθαι);
1339 a 20, ὕπνῷ (Γ Π οἴνω);
1296 a 9, πολιτῶν (Γ Π πολιτειῶν);
1299 a 14 (with 'ut videtur, corr.¹ P¹,' says Sus.¹, and corr.¹ P¹
means Demetrius Chalcondylas), πολιτείαις (Γ Π
πολιτεῖαι);
b 36, αὖται αἰ (αὐταὶ αἰ Π², αἰ αὐταὶ Π¹);
1300 b 4, τό τε (the rest τὸ δὲ Οτ τότε δὲ Οτ τὸ τὲ δὲ);
1311 a 10, τὸ τὸ (the rest τῶ τὸ στ στ σῦ);
1317 b 41, ἔτι (Γ Π ἐπὶ).
```

That the Fifth (old Eighth) and the Eighth (old Sixth) Books are incomplete, we have seen already (vol. ii. p. xxix). It is probable that something is wanting at the end of the Seventh (old Fifth) Book also. The question, however, to what extent ordinary lacunae occur in the text of the Politics is one of a different kind. That a small lacuna exists in the best MSS. in 1285 a 19 has already been noticed (vol. ii. p. lxvi). A somewhat larger one appears to occur in $\Gamma\Pi$ in 4 (7). 15. 1334 b 4 after the word $d\rho\epsilon\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ (see explanatory note on 1334 a 41). It seems likely that several omissions occur in the passage 1300 a 23-b 5. Words appear to have fallen out of the text in 1301 a 6, 1307 a 31, and 1320 b 35 also.

Displacement of passages.

In two instances the transposition of passages has seemed to me to be called for: I have suggested the transposition of 7 (5). 3. 1303 b 3, στασιάζουσι—7, ὄυτες to after στασιάζουσι in 7 (5). I. 1301 a 39, and of 7 (5). 10. 1312 a 17, μάλιστα δὲ—20, ἐπιθέσεις to after μεθύουτα in 7 (5). 10. 1312 a 6.

Occasional Traces of the handiwork of an editor piecing together traces of an disquisitions originally unconnected appear to be especially handiwork. visible in the Sixth (old Fourth) Book (see explanatory notes on 1289 b 27 and 1290 b 21-24). Prof. W. Christ remarks in the preface to his edition of the Metaphysics of Aristotle (p. xviii), 'Philosophus iis quae chartae iam

Lacunae.

mandaverat haud contentus nova subinde in margine adiecit, quae qui post auctoris mortem eius libros divulgarunt parum circumspecte primariae orationi intexuisse videntur'. We may perhaps account in this way for the state in which we find the Third and Fourth Chapters of the Sixth (old Fourth) Book (see vol. i. Appendix A and explanatory note on 1289 b 27).

A few remarks may be added to what has already been Remarks said with regard to the translation of the Vetus Interpres on the vetus in vol. ii. p. xli sqq. A further study of this translation has versio. confirmed my impression (see vol. ii. p. lxiv) that he often misread his Greek text; thus for example in 1285 b 7 he renders πορίσαι emerunt, probably misreading it as πρίασθαι, in 1286 a 35 he renders δργισθηναι impetu ferri, misreading it apparently as δρμηθηναι, in 1330 a 11 he appears to misread πάλιν as πᾶσιν, in 1341 b 31 τύπους as τρόπους, in 1291 a 11 κομψῶς as κούφως, in 1319 a 24 θυρανλεῖν as θηρεύειν. It would be easy to add many other instances.

It should be pointed out that the Vetus Interpres often uses two different Latin words to render the same Greek word when it is repeated close together; thus in 1338 b 28 he renders τω μόνον μη πρός ασκουντας ασκείν eo solum quod ad eos qui non studuerant conabantur, in 1295 b 30 his equivalent for επιθυμοῦσιν is desiderant and in 31 concupiscunt, in 1295 b 32 μήτ' έπιβουλεύεσθαι μήτ' έπιβουλεύειν is rendered neque insidias patiuntur neque fraudes moliuntur: see also his renderings in 1303 b 14, 15 (διάστασιν), 1304 a 19, 20, 25 (εὐδοκιμῆσαι), 1321 a 21, 22 (καθιστάναι), and several other passages. This is not always so: thus in 1299 b 13 he translates ἀρχάs and ἀρχήν occurring in the same line principatus and principatum. On the other hand, he often uses one Latin word in rendering two Greek words occurring close together; thus in 1303 a 35, 36 he translates both ελοδεξάμενοι and υποδεξάμενοι suscipientes, in 1304 a 21-24 he translates both συντονωτέραν and lσχυροτέραν fortiorem, in 1304 b 30, 33 both συστάντες and αθροισθέντες are represented by coadunati, in 1305 a 39, 40 praeses represents

προστάτης and praesidem του ήγεμόνα, and in 1306 a 5, 6 conatus est represents ένεχείρησε and conantur έπιχειροῦσι.

In rendering Greek words he often selects, if he can, a Latin word connected in meaning with the Greek; thus his equivalent for δήμευσις is populatio in 1298 a 6, for συμμαχία compugnatio in 1298 a 4, 26, for ελαττουμένου minorata in 1319 a 3, for dottyestovas municipales vicinos in 1330a 17.

He sometimes retains the case of the Greek in his rendering, notwithstanding that in Latin it is wrong: so in 1200 b 33 we have populi praeconsiliari for τοῦ δήμου προβουλεύειν, in 1304 a 31 habebat politiae for είχετο της πολιτείας, and in 1304b 11, 12 voluntariorum transmutant politiam and detinent involuntariorum for ξκόντων μεταβάλλουσι την πολιτείαν and κατέχουσιν ἀκόντων: but this he does not always do.

In addition to the inexactnesses in translation mentioned in vol. ii. p. lxiii it may be noted that the Vetus Interpres often renders a verb as passive where it should be rendered as middle¹, and often renders the present tense by the future 2 and a future participle by a present participle 3. He also sometimes renders the singular by the plural 4 and the plural by the singular⁵, the comparative by the positive⁶, the superlative by the positive⁷ or the comparative⁸, and the positive by the comparative 9 or the superlative 10.

1313 b 15, 16.

7 E.g. in 1276 a 19 (superficialis for επιπολαιοτάτη).

8 E.g. in 1315 a 26 (ampliori for πλείστης).

• E.g. in 1330 a 41 (recentiores for εὐχείμεροι), 1293 a 30 (plus for

10 E.g. in 1292 b 29 (necessariissimas for avaykalas).

¹ E.g. in 1332 a 27, 1288 b 31, 1289 a 14, 1290 b 4, 1297 b 8, 1298 b 27, 1305 a 16. E. g. in 1281 a 19, 1287 a 32,

E. g. in 1291 a 7 and 1298 a 19. ⁴ E. g. in 1287 a 27 (dant for δίδωσιν), 1307 b 33 (parvae expensae for τὸ μικρὸν δαπάνημα), 1321 a 40 (expensarum for the δαπάνης), 1322 a 19 (divisi sunt for dinportai).

⁵ E. g. in 1338 b 11 (speciem for τὰ είδη), 1296 a 34, 35 (democratiam and oligarchiam for δημοκρατίαs and ολιγαρχίας), 1303 a 14 (verecundiam for τάς εριθείας), 1310 b 34 (beneficium for everyerias).

⁶ E.g. in 1283 a 35 (generosi for γενναιότεροι), 1287 b 9 (multos for πλείονας), 1331 a 30 (eminenter for ερυμνοτέρως), 1333 b 10 (supergressivas for πλεονεκτικωτέρας). 1298 a 36 (mediocribus for µeτριωτέρων), 1299 b 12 (facile for

Since I wrote in vol. ii. p. lvi that, as Vet. Int. has qui mutaverit in 1269 a 18, he may have found not κινήσας, but δ κινήσας in his Greek text, I have discovered that qui mutaverit there probably stands simply for κινήσας (see critical note on 1340 b 24).

It has already been pointed out (vol. ii. p. lxiv) that the Vetus Interpres sometimes seeks to mend defects in his Greek text by slight conjectural alterations: to the cases already noticed may be added 1284 a 19 (where, finding δοκοῦσι omitted in his Greek text, as in M^a P¹, and being consequently unable to make sense of the passage, he translates διώκειν as if it were διώκουσι) and 1329 a 17, where for a similar reason he adds videtur.

Here and there in the MSS. of the vetus versio, as in those of the Politics, words find their way into the text from an adjacent line: thus in Sus. P. 536. 3 quod is wrongly added after aristocratiae, being evidently derived from the following line (see also the readings of a in Sus. pp. 296. 5 and 300. 4). Sometimes two alternative equivalents for a word stand together in the text of the translation: thus in 1283 a 9 two equivalents for κρείττον, melior and valentior, both appear in the text; the same thing perhaps happens in 1285 a 10 also, where ἐν χειρὸς νόμφ is rendered promptus potens lege, the two words promptus and potens being probably alternative renderings of ἔγχειρος.

It is often difficult to decide whether false renderings in the vetus versio are due to error on the part of the translator—for instance, to a misreading or mistranslation of the Greek text—or to corruption in the MSS. of the vetus versio. That they are sometimes due to the latter cause will be seen from the critical note on 1338 a 28. Corruption of the text may be suspected in 1270 a 35, traiciebant (tradebant?) politiam (μετεδίδοσαν τῆς πολιτείας), 1275 a 20, adiectionem (ἔγκλημα: obiectionem?), 1331 a 2, insultus (τὰς πολιορκίας), 1335 a 16, lexatur (ἐπιχωριάζεται), 1305 b 17, invalescens (ἐπιθέμενος), 1318 b 3, permittere (συμπείσαι: persuadere?) and elsewhere.

ON THE CONTENTS OF THE THIRD, FOURTH (SEVENTH), AND FIFTH (EIGHTH) BOOKS.

The Third Book.

THE Third Book is addressed to a wider class than the Fourth and Fifth (old Seventh and Eighth). It is addressed to the framers of all States, the Fourth and Fifth only to the framers of the 'best State'. Aristotle's aim in the Third Book is to point out how the State should be organized if its constitution is to be just, in the Fourth and Fifth how it should be organized if it is to be happy and to live the most desirable life.

Cc. 1-5.

The first five chapters of the Third Book are introductory to the rest. They are designed to show, (1) what is the minimum amount of rights which a citizen must possess if he is to be a citizen at all, and what further rights he will possess if he is to be a citizen in the fullest sense (cp. c. 5. 1278 a 35, λέγεται μάλιστα πολίτης δ μετέχων των τιμών); (2) that each constitution awards these rights to different persons, that a democracy, for instance, awards them to a wider class than an oligarchy or an aristocracy, so that, while a citizen in a democracy may be a day-labourer lacking the virtue of a citizen, or even a person of illegitimate or semi-alien birth, a citizen under the best constitution will possess, if a ruler, the full virtue not only of a good citizen, but also of a good man. Thus there are many kinds of citizen: the citizen varies with the constitution and rises and falls as it rises and falls. And as the citizen rises and falls, so the polis rises and falls, for the polis is an aggregate of citizens.

Thus these five chapters prepare the way for the study

of the best constitution, to which we pass in the last chapter of the Third Book. They would, however, have been in fuller harmony with the remainder of the Book, if in the account which they give of the citizen the fact had been kept in view that the polis may be ruled by a king or a few 'best men'. We hear nothing in these chapters of the citizen of a kingship or of an aristocracy in which a few 'best men' rule. They imply that a citizen shares both in ruling and in being ruled, but is this true of the citizen of a kingship or of an aristocracy of the kind just mentioned? We might have expected the study of the citizen which they contain to include not only a study of the citizen in a State consisting of men more or less alike and equal, but also a study of the citizen in a State ruled by a king or a few 'best men'. In this expectation we are disappointed.

At the beginning of the Sixth Chapter we pass from the Cc. 6-7. citizen and the polis to the constitution, the main subject of the Book, and indeed of the Politics. The question is now asked (1278 b 6) 'whether we are to hold that one constitution exists or more than one' (a question already answered by implication in c. 1. 1275 a 38 sqq. and c. 5. 1278 a 15), 'and, if more than one, what and how many there are and what differences exist between them'. In answer to this question we have first the division of constitutions into normal forms and deviation-forms, and then in c. 7. 1279 a 22 the question is again raised, 'how many constitutions there are and what they are, and we are told to study the normal constitutions first, for the deviation-forms will be manifest when these have been distinguished, the answer to the question how many constitutions there are coming in the shape of an enumeration of six constitutions.

Of this classification of constitutions something has already been said in vol. i. pp. 214-225. Reference may also be made to *Class. Rev.* 6. 289 sqq., where I have pointed out that, though Aristotle has before him Plato's classification of constitutions in Polit. 297, 301 sqq., there are important differences between the two classifications. The

six constitutions of the Politicus, if we omit the best, are marked off from each other by their observance or non-observance of law, whereas the six constitutions of the Politics are distinguished by a different test, their aim; the three good constitutions make their aim the common advantage of the citizens, while the three bad ones aim at the advantage of the ruling individual or class. Aristotle's classification implies that this difference of aim suffices to make one constitution different in kind from another.

It is open to objection on more grounds than one. the first place, it leaves out of sight the possibility that the One. Few, or Many, or two of them, may share supremacy (see note on 1279 a 27). Again, in 8 (6). 1. 1316 b 39 sqq. we find that constitutions exist which are partly aristocratic, partly oligarchical, and others which are partly polities, partly democracies. These constitutions will be partly normal and partly deviation-forms; they do not, therefore, fall under any of the six heads. Thus the classification is not exhaustive. Again, we can conceive the existence of constitutions under which rule is exercised for the advantage of the rulers, but yet for the common advantage, or partly for the one end, partly for the other (see note on 1279 a 17). These also fall outside the classification. And then again we might ask whether a constitution which. while it aims at the common advantage, takes a low view of that common advantage, construing it for instance as the acquisition of wealth or empire, should not also be treated as a distinct constitution from one which seeks the common advantage and studies it in a nobler way. Aristotle would perhaps reply that a constitution of this kind does not really study the common advantage. Still it cannot be said to study the advantage of a section of the citizens only, and thus it appears to escape enumeration.

But in fact, as has been pointed out in vol. i. pp. 217-220, Aristotle tends on fuller consideration to rest the distinction between constitutions not on the number of rulers or the aim with which they rule, but rather on the attribute—virtue, wealth, free birth, etc.—which they raise to supremacy.

Even this basis of classification, however, proves hardly satisfactory, for the absolute kingship and the true aristocracy raise the same attribute—fully equipped virtue—to supremacy (6 (4). 2. 1289 a 32 sq.), and oligarchy and tyranny both do homage to wealth (7(5). 10. 1311 a 10). Yet Aristotle distinguishes between the absolute kingship and the true aristocracy, and also between oligarchy and tyranny.

Passing on to the Eighth Chapter, we are told in c. 8. C. 8. 1279 b 11 sq. that it is necessary to state at slightly greater length 'what each of these constitutions is', the constitutions referred to being apparently the three deviation-forms. Little is said of tyranny in what follows, but the nature of oligarchy and democracy is more fully explained, and we learn that the distinction between them is to be found not so much in the comparative number of those who rule in each as in the fact that the rich rule in the one and the poor (or the free-born) in the other.

The Ninth Chapter is closely connected with the Eighth. C. 9. It throws further light on the nature of oligarchy and democracy by examining the version of justice which underlies each of these constitutions and showing its inadequacy. The one claims more than its due for a superiority in wealth, and the other claims more than its due for an equality in free birth, neither wealth nor free birth being the end for which the polis is formed. The end for which the polis is formed is, in fact, good life, and those who contribute most to it have a better right to supreme power in the polis than the wealthy and free-born. if the two last-named classes are inferior in virtue. Thus the Ninth Chapter is a natural sequel to the Eighth, carrying its investigation of the nature of oligarchy and democracy further and using the conclusions as to the nature of these two constitutions arrived at in the Eighth; it also, however, forms an introduction to the discussions which follow, preparing the way for the inquiries of cc. 10-13 on the subject, 'what ought to be the supreme authority of the polis'?

This question, the central question in any inquiry respect-Cc. 10-11. ing constitutions, for the main business of a constitution is to determine the supreme authority of the polis (c. 6. 1278 b o sq.), is discussed in the Tenth and Eleventh Chapters, and the conclusion is arrived at in c. 11. 1282 b 1-13 that the supreme authority in a polis should be rightly constituted laws, or in other words laws adjusted to the normal constitutions (for such laws will be just), the magistrate or magistrates being supreme only in respect of matters which the law owing to its generality cannot regulate aright. We might suppose that the question 'what ought to be the supreme authority of the polis' was now finally answered, Cc. 12-13. but two chapters follow, the Twelfth and Thirteenth, which upset or greatly modify the conclusion arrived at in c. 11. for they decide that in a certain case the supreme authority should not be laws of any kind, but the will of an absolute king raised above law.

> There is much in these two chapters to suggest a doubt whether they were placed where they stand by Aristotle. They make an important modification in the conclusion arrived at in c. 11, and yet do not do this explicitly. Again, the transition from c. 11 to c. 12 is very abrupt. Then again, the two chapters are not heralded by any previous announcement, nor are they closed with any recapitulation of their This is suspicious, for previous announcements and recapitulations are often wanting in the Politics in the case of chapters or passages which look like subsequent additions or interpolations (e.g. 1. 11: 2. 12. 1274a 22-b 26: 4 (7). 10. 1329 a 34-b 35). On the other hand, there are arguments to be urged in their favour. Some of these have been noticed in vol. i. Appendix C. The following may be added. The conclusion in favour of law arrived at in c. 11, which is upset or seriously modified by cc. 12 and 13, can hardly represent Aristotle's final and matured opinion. for it conflicts as much with the contents of c. 17 as with those of cc. 12 and 13. In both places we find a full recognition of the legitimacy under certain circumstances of an absolute kingship uncontrolled by law. We need

not, therefore, be surprised that the conclusions of c. 11 are modified in cc. 12 and 13. Then again, the mode in which cc. 12 and 13 refute the claims to exclusive supremacy preferred by the rich, the free-born, the good, and the many closely resembles that in which similar claims are refuted in the preceding chapters. Aristotle's plan throughout the Third Book is to refute exclusive claims to supremacy by arraying superior claims in opposition to them; thus in the Ninth Chapter he refutes the claims of the rich and the free-born by setting up against them those of the good, and in the Eleventh he refutes those of the few best by setting up against them those of the many. In just the same way in the Twelfth and Thirteenth Chapters he refutes the claims of the rich, the free-born, the good, and the many to exclusive supremacy by setting up against them those of a single individual of surpassing wealth, nobility, or virtue. Thus the method followed in these two chapters closely resembles that which has been followed in the chapters which precede them. As to the absence in them of a preliminary announcement and a recapitulation, the same thing is true of 4 (7). 14. 1333 b 5-1334 a 10. We are not prepared by any preliminary announcement for the transition in 4 (7). 8. 1328 a 21 sqq., nor indeed for that in 4 (7). 11. 1330 a 34 sqq. On the whole I still incline to think that the Twelfth and Thirteenth Chapters were placed where they stand by Aristotle.

Their teaching is important. We learn from them a lesson which we have not been taught before, and which Aristotle appears to have been the first to teach. This is that the same constitution is not in place under all circumstances. If the constitution is to be just, the supreme authority which it sets up ought not only to be one which will rule for the common advantage, but also that which justice requires to exist in the particular case, looking to the distribution among the members of the community of the attributes which contribute to the being or well-being of the polis (virtue, wealth, free birth, etc.). If the distribution of virtue and political capacity in a given

community is such as to call for an absolute kingship, an aristocracy or polity would be out of place in it, though both of these are normal constitutions, constitutions in which rule is exercised for the common advantage. And so again, if the distribution of virtue, wealth, free birth, etc., is such as to make a constitution just in which all classes share in rule, an absolute kingship would be out of place under those circumstances.

Another lesson which is especially insisted on in the Twelfth and Thirteenth Chapters is that a bare superiority in one only of the attributes which contribute to the being or well-being of the polis does not confer a right to exclusive supremacy. Nothing but a transcendent superiority in virtue and political capacity does so. We remember that Aristotle has rested natural slavery in a similar way on a vast disparity between master and slave (1. 5. 1254 b 16 sqq.: cp. 4(7). 3. 1325 b 3 sqq.). Where this great disparity does not exist, and the good, the rich, and the free-born coexist in a community, and the many taken as a whole are superior to the few, the constitution must allow a fair share of power to all these classes (3. 13. 1283 b 40 sqq.). Aristotle goes so far as to say (3. 13. 1283 a 26 sqq.) that a constitution which gives exclusive supremacy to those possessed of a bare superiority in one attribute only is a deviation-form, and this he would apparently say even if the one attribute were virtue 1. In the Seventh Chapter constitutions have been said to be deviation-forms in which the rulers rule with a view to their own advantage; now we are told that a constitution is a deviation-form in which the rulers claim exclusive supremacy on the strength of a bare superiority in one attribute only. Aristotle's account of a deviation-form in the Thirteenth Chapter is evidently not quite the same as that which he gives in the Seventh. According to the Thirteenth Chapter even an aristocracy may be a deviation-

¹ Yet it is allowed in 7 (5). I. claim to be considered absolutely 1301 a 39 sqq. that those who excel in virtue have a plausible

form if the superiority in virtue to which it awards supremacy is a bare superiority only.

The discussion of kingship which follows in cc. 14-17 Cc. 14-17. contains a passage, 1288 a 6-15, which may be intrusive or a subsequent addition, but it seems to be otherwise in correct order. We might have expected that more would be said about kingship than is said, and that Aristotle would follow up his study of it with a study of aristocracy. The kinds of kingship have been clearly distinguished, and why should not those of aristocracy be similarly enumerated? This is not done; on the contrary, Aristotle passes C. 18. on to inquire in c. 18 which is the best of the normal constitutions, and he finds that the best is kingship or aristocracy, whence he infers that, as the citizen of the 'best State is a good man, the citizen of a kingship or an aristocracy will be a good man, and a kingship or aristocracy will be brought into being by the education which produces good men. We expect him to proceed at once to inquire what education produces good men, but this, as has been pointed out in vol. i. p. 293 sq., he does not do till the Thirteenth Chapter of the Fourth (old Seventh) Book. The drift of the Eighteenth Chapter of the Third Book evidently is that if we wish to study how a kingship or an aristocracy is to be brought into being, we shall best do so by studying how the best constitution is to be brought into being (cp. 6(4). 2. 1289 a 31 sqq. and 7(5). 10. 1310 b 2 sq., 31 sqq.). The chapter is apparently intended to account for the absence of an inquiry how a kingship or an aristocracy is to be brought into being and for the substitution in its place of an inquiry how the best constitution is to be brought into being and instituted. It evidently prepares the way for a study of the 'best State', though possibly for a different study of it from that which we possess in the Fourth and Fifth Books (the old Seventh and Eighth).

Aristotle's main aim in the Third Book is to correct and Remarks broaden the conceptions of justice on which Greek consti- on the Third

Book.

tutions were based, just as in the Fourth and Fifth (the old Seventh and Eighth) he seeks to correct and broaden Greek conceptions of happiness. He rises in the Third above the one-sidedness of oligarchy and democracy, just as in the Fourth and Fifth he rises above the one-sidedness of the Lacedaemonian State.

A marked characteristic of the Third Book is its union of tolerance for imperfect types of political organization with a clear recognition of what is best. Aristotle accepts the lower forms of the citizen and the constitution, but he also sets before us their higher forms. A defective citizen does not cease to be a citizen, nor a defective constitution to be a constitution. Any man is a citizen who possesses certain political rights, whether he is fit to have them or not, and any 'ordering of the supreme authority' is a constitution, even if it gives power to the wrong persons. Not only is the citizen not identical with the good man, but even the good citizen is not necessarily so. There are many grades of citizens and constitutions. As Aristotle holds that the polis exists to realize good life, we might have expected him to say that only those are citizens who are able and purposed to realize it, and only that a constitution which gives rule to those who will rule with a view to the realization of good life, but this he does not do. His wish evidently is not to deny the names of citizen and constitution to any type of citizen and constitution to which these names were given in the ordinary use of language, and yet to point to the type of citizen and constitution which best deserved the name.

The old Seventh and Eighth Books should be placed immediately after the Third, though they are not com-

The reasons why the old Seventh and Eighth Books should be placed after the Third have been adequately stated by Zeller (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. ii. p. 504) and others. The object of the Second Book, as we are told in its opening sentences, is to prepare the way for the inquiry what constitution is the best, and though, as has been already pointed out (vol. i. p. 226), the Third Book addresses itself to a different and

wider question, the inquiry what each constitution is, it pletely in prepares the way for the study of the best constitution with it and (vol. i. p. 201), and its last chapter concludes with the the transiwords, 'we must now attempt to state with respect to the the Third best constitution, in what way it comes into being and how Book to the old it should be instituted', and with an unfinished fragment Seventh of the sentence with which the old Seventh Book begins. leaves something The inference is obvious that the old Seventh Book to be originally followed the Third in the MSS. It is not likely desired. that Aristotle, after reaching the threshold of the inquiry as to the best constitution, and indeed actually beginning it, drew back again, and postponed its treatment till he had dealt in three Books (the old Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth) with the polity and the deviation-forms and with changes of constitution, thus parting this inquiry by a long interval from the Second and Third Books, with which it is so closely connected. The old Fourth Book, in fact, speaks of the inquiry into the best constitution as already over (6 (4). 2. 1289 a 30 sqq.), and though the inquiry on the subject which we possess may not have been written at the time when these words were penned, there seems to me to be little doubt that Aristotle intended to take up and deal with the question of the best constitution immediately after the Third Book.

It has, indeed, been suggested that the old Seventh and Eighth Books are an independent treatise, not originally designed to form part of the Politics, but the links between the old Seventh Book and the Second and Third are too numerous to allow of this supposition (see Class. Rev. 6. 201 sq.). No doubt, as has been pointed out in vol. i. p. 202 sqq., the Third and the old Seventh Books do not dovetail into each other with perfect exactness. The transition from the one to the other leaves something to be desired, and the old Seventh Book is not quite in all respects what the Third Book leads us to expect it to be. To the defects of harmony to which reference has been made in vol. i. p. 292 sqq. this may be added, that while the opening chapters of the Third Book define the citizen

as one who has access to deliberative and judicial office, the younger citizens of the State sketched in the old Seventh Book have no share in deliberative and judicial functions. A difference appears also to exist between the best constitution as sketched in the Fourth (old Seventh) Book, which must evidently be an aristocracy, and the account of aristocracy given in the Third Book. though both in the best constitution of the former Book and in the aristocracy of the latter the rulers are a comparatively small number of men of high virtue, the reason why their rule is willingly accepted by the ruled is different in the two cases. In the one case it is willingly accepted because the ruled are men fitted to be ruled by rulers of this type as freemen should be ruled (3. 17. 1288 a 9 sqq.), whereas in the other it is willingly accepted because the rulers are older men, and because the ruled will become rulers in their turn on attaining a certain age. We hear nothing in the Third Book of the plan by which rule falls to men of superior age and is acquired by the ruled on the attainment of a certain age, nothing of these precautions for securing the willing submission of the ruled. The Fourth (old Seventh) Book appears to be written with a closer regard to what is practicable than the Third. It is conceivable that, as has been suggested in vol. ii. p. xxxi, note 2, the sketch of the 'best State' contained in the Fourth (old Seventh) Book is a second edition of an earlier sketch which was more completely in harmony with the teaching of the Third Book. But perhaps it is more likely that, some interval of time having elapsed between the composition of the two Books, Aristotle saw, when he came to depict the 'best State' in the Fourth (old Seventh) Book, that some things of which he had dreamed in the Third were but dreams. Thus the absolute kingship of which we hear so much in the Third is dismissed in the Fourth as no longer practicable, and the aristocracy described in the Third assumes a more practicable form in the Fourth 1.

¹ See the late Prof. H. Sidgwick's remarks in *Class. Rev.* 6. 143, and my own in 6. 291 sq.

THE TWO IDEAL STATES OF PLATO. xxxvii

In writing the Fourth and Fifth (old Seventh and Eighth) In the Books Aristotle has three States especially before him, the Fourth and Fifth Books weak points of which he does his best to avoid. These Aristotle are the two ideal States sketched by Plato in his Republic States and Laws and the Lacedaemonian State. The main differ-especially before him, ences between Aristotle's 'best State' and these three Plato's two States have already been pointed out in the first volume, ideal States and the and our recapitulation of them here need only be a brief Lacedaeand summary one.

monian State.

Aristotle's first objection to the State of the Republic His objecis that it does not realize happiness. None of its citizens to the State are truly happy. The most desirable life is not realized in sketched in it, the life of fully equipped virtuous activity, for though Republic. the first or ruling class has virtue, it has not the equipment which is needed for virtuous action. How can it practise liberality, for instance, if it has no property? Another weak point of Plato's State is that the members of the second and third classes, though called citizens, are not really so, for they have no share in ruling. The citizens of the 'best State', according to Aristotle, should be 'able and purposed to rule and be ruled with a view to the life in accordance with virtue', and this the second and third classes of Plato's State are not. The citizens of the 'best State', again, should be men of full virtue, and this cannot be said of the members of Plato's third class, even if it can be said of those of his second. Another weak point dwelt on by Aristotle is that the second class will be discontented with its position, as it is permanently excluded from rule. Aristotle further objects to the communism of the Republic. He wishes the land of his 'best State' to be owned by the men of full virtue who are its citizens, though they will freely share the use of it and of all their property with their fellow-citizens and with others. In these and in other points his 'best State' diverges from the State of the Republic.

It stands in marked contrast to the State of the Laws To the also. Its citizen-body and its territory are smaller. The sketched in life lived by its citizens is a more ideal life. It is not Plato's Laws.

simply 'temperate', but 'temperate and liberal', and its supreme end is not work, but leisure and contemplation, the diagogé which is the best employment of leisure. Its constitution differs from that of the State of the Laws. It is an aristocracy, not a polity inclining to oligarchy. It will not covertly favour the wealthier class in elections to offices. It will take stricter precautions against pauperism than the State of the Laws, placing a limit on the procreation of children, not merely on the number of the citizens.

To the Lacedaemonian State.

To the Lacedaemonian State Aristotle's 'best State' stands in a relation of far more decided contrast. Plato in the Republic and Laws had sought happiness in the right direction, though in Aristotle's opinion he had failed to secure it for his citizens. The Lacedaemonian State did not do so; it sought happiness in empire, and valued virtue not for its own sake, but only as a means to empire and external goods. This error led it into a further error: its laws and training developed only one kind of virtue, that through which empire is won, military virtue. A third error was that it failed to train its citizens to make a right use of leisure and to live for diagogê as well as work. these errors will be avoided in Aristotle's 'best State'. will be a State living for the highest end, for leisure and diagogê more than for work, for peace more than for war, for things noble rather than for things necessary or useful. Its education will be a preparation for a life of this kind; it will develope the virtues of justice, temperance, and wisdom as well as military virtue, and it will develope military virtue better than the Lacedaemonian education did, for it will not brutalize the young by an over-laborious gymnastic training. The dependent classes of the State, unlike those of the Lacedaemonian State, will be organized aright. Its slave-system will be so constituted as to secure the efficiency and submissiveness of the slaves. Its women will not be uncontrolled and uneducated, nor will they be allowed to rule the men. Its citizens will be neither too few nor too many, their numbers being kept at the proper level by a wise regulation of marriage and the procreation

of children and of the right to buy and sell, give and bequeath; there will be no extremes of wealth and poverty within their ranks, no undue love of honour or nioney; the State will be ruled by its best men, not by persons of no special excellence, and by statesmen who make peace rather than war their end, and who are not only men of action; but also men of philosophical aptitude, not by mere soldiers to whom war is the one thing worth living for. There will be no senate organized in a narrow oligarchical way, no ephorate with over-great powers. On minor contrasts we need not dwell.

Our knowledge of Aristotle's views on the subject of Aristotle's education is imperfect. Education, according to him, teaching on should vary with the constitution (1. 13. 1260 b 13 sqq.: of educa 5 (8). 1. 1337 a 14 sqq.), yet the only scheme of education he gives us is that which is intended for the 'best State', so that we know little or nothing as to the kind of education which he would recommend under other forms of constitution than the best. That he wishes the State to concern itself with education in all forms of constitution is clear from 5 (8). 1. 1337 a 12 sqq. (cp. 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 12 sqq.), where we are told that if the êthos which is appropriate to each constitution is not developed in the citizens—that is, the êthos which tends to the maintenance of each—the constitution will not last. The 'best State' for which his scheme of education is designed is a State very unlike those of the present day, for under Aristotle's best constitution the citizens are withdrawn from 'necessary activities' -the activities of agriculture, trade, and industry-in a way in which the men of modern States are not, and then again, a far longer gymnastic training was required in youth with a view to military efficiency in the Greece of Aristotle's day than is required in modern times. Thus we must be prepared to find much in Aristotle's scheme of education which is unsuitable to the present day. Still the broad principles on which it rests are not without interest even for ourselves.

We notice that his scheme includes no arrangements for the education of women and girls, notwithstanding what is said in 1. 13. 1260 b 13 sqq., or for the education of the non-citizen classes, notwithstanding what is said in 2, 5. 1264 a 36 sqq. The due regulation of education involves, in Aristotle's opinion, the regulation of marriage and of the rearing of infant children during the years in which education in the strict sense of the word cannot be said to have begun, and this is fully kept in view by Aristotle, but when school-training has commenced for the child. Aristotle confines his attention to it, though we learn from Protagoras in Plato, Protag. 325 C sqq. that there are many influences not included in school-training which then promote a child's growth in virtue. Aristotle does not attempt to direct or regulate these.

His scheme of education for the 'best State' represents to a large extent a reaction against prevailing notions of education. In his criticism of the actual education of Greece he distinguishes between the States which paid special attention to the education of the young and undertook the direction of it, and the majority of States, which left its direction to the parent and allowed the child to be taught what the parent pleased in the way he thought hest.

Education in Greek States which did not undertake the education.

In the latter class of States, to which Athens belonged, education was little better than a chaos. The parent's caprice was to a certain extent controlled by the general acceptance of four subjects of education-reading and direction of writing, gymnastic, music, and drawing-but each parent was free to educate his children apart from the rest and to give a special prominence in their education to whichever of these subjects he preferred, so that there was no security for an identity of training, no enforcement of the principle that the citizen belongs to the State and not to himself, nor again was any care taken that the education given to children was in harmony with the constitution and favourable to its maintenance.

Marriage was left to a great extent unregulated, with the

result that children were often the offspring of over-young or over-old parents. The rearing of infancy was also left unregulated, nor were children's minds sufficiently protected from evil influences in the earlier years of life, the years in which, according to Aristotle, permanent tastes are formed. Not only were the games and nursery-tales of infancy often other than they should be, but children were allowed to be too much in the company of slaves 1 and were too much exposed to hearing indecent language and seeing indecent pictures and statues. Boys and youths were allowed freely to witness the performance of iambi and comedy. The subjects chosen for youthful study were studied with a wrong aim and in a wrong way. Reading, writing, and drawing were studied merely for their utility, and music for the pleasure it gave. Music was often studied in too technical a fashion with a view to the attainment of a skill in execution suitable rather to virtuosi than to citizens. The only subject studied with a view to virtue was gymnastic, which was thought to produce courage. We do not hear of any studies by which it was sought to develope other virtues. Education in these States was evidently too utilitarian 2 and too narrow in its aim; it needed to be made more capable of influencing the character as a whole and the reason.

The States, on the other hand, which paid special atten- Education tion to the education of the young and made it a matter of in the Lacepublic concern erred in a somewhat different way. The State.

¹ This would only be true of the children of the better-to-do citizens, for we gather from 8 (6). 8. 1323 a 5 sq. that the poorer citizens had no slaves.

² Aristotle's feeling as to utilitarianism in education may be gathered from his remark (4 (7). 14. 1333 b 1 sqq.) that the aim in the education of the young should be to fit them to do both work which is necessary and useful and work which is noble, but the latter more than the former. He treats as vulgar (φορτικόν) the preference of 'virtues thought to be useful and more productive of gain' to

virtues of a higher kind (4(7), 14. 1333 b 9 sq.). In 5 (8). 3. 1338 a 37 sqq. he hints, not without some quiet sarcasm, that reading and writing should not be studied with a merely utilitarian aim, but rather because studying them enables us to master other studies, and that drawing should not be studied to save us from being cheated in the purchase of household utensils, but because the study of it makes us scientific observers of physical beauty, adding that 'to seek what is useful everywhere does not at all befit great-souled and freespirited men'.

Lacedaemonian State was one of them. We do not learn from Aristotle whether more care was taken at Sparta than elsewhere to regulate marriage and the rearing of infants and to protect early childhood from corrupting influences, but we know that, at any rate after a certain age, the State took the education of the young into its own hands, withdrawing it from the control of the parent, and educating the boys together and in the same way and with a view to the welfare of the whole State, severing them to a great extent from home and from contact with slaves. did well, but its gymnastic training was too severe and laborious, and here again, as elsewhere, the education given was too narrow, failing to train the whole man, and also too utilitarian, though it studied that which was useful to the State rather than that which was useful to the individual. Its aim was to develope the more utilitarian virtues (4 (7). 14. 1333 b 9 sq.), the virtues which favour the acquisition of empire, not to develope all the virtues nor to develope virtue for its own sake. The Lacedaemonian training was not even the best training for war or the winning of empire; much less was it the best for the preservation of empire when won, for it did not develope justice or temperance or the intellectual excellence which enables men to use aright the leisure which follows the acquisition of empire, and saves them from degenerating under the influence of ease and plenty and peace. It was based on a systematic preference of that which is useful to that which is noble, of that which is lower to that which is higher, of that which is only a means to that which is the end. It taught men to prefer external goods and empire to virtue, the lower kinds of virtue to the higher, war to peace, and work to leisure.

Aristotle's conception of education.

Aristotle's aim in education is to develope the whole man—the body, the appetites (δρέξεις, including θυμός, ἐπιθυμία, and βούλησις: see note on 1334 b 19), and the reason—in such a way as to harmonize the three elements in a willing co-operation for the best end, a life spent in the exercise of all the virtues, moral and intellectual, and

especially the highest of them, those connected with the right use of leisure. In Aristotle's view he is a truly educated man who has learnt from youth upwards to love virtue for its own sake, and virtue not of one kind only, but of all, whose youthful love of virtue has been crowned with reason, and in whom reason, fully developed both on its practical and on its contemplative side and working for the best end, is mated with appetites which take pleasure in obeying it and with a body well prepared for the service of Aristotle's conception of education agrees with his conception of the man of full virtue (σπουδαίος) as a man in whom many excellences are combined (3. 11. 1281 b 10 sqq.). He would not be satisfied with an education which merely brought the body and the lower appetites under the control of some higher appetite, such as the love of the good: the appetites must, indeed, be trained to love what is good, but that is not enough; they must be brought under the control of reason fully developed and directed to the best end 1.

As the body developes before the soul and the appetites of the soul before reason, the education of the body should come first, then that of the appetites, and then that of the reason, but the body must be so trained as to subserve the development of the soul, and the appetites so trained as to subserve the development of the reason. The body and the appetites are apparently conceived by Aristotle as trained by habituation (5 (8). 3. 1338 b 4 sq.), or in other words by a repetition of acts resulting in a formed habit, whereas the reason is mainly trained by instruction (Eth. Nic. 2. I. 1103a 15 sq.: Pol. 4(7). 13. 1332 b 10 sq.). Thus training by habituation comes first, training by reason later (Pol. 5 (8). 3. 1338 b 4 sq.). We have been already told in the Nicomachean Ethics (10. 10. 1179 b 23 sqq.) that training by habituation must precede training by

¹ We miss in Aristotle's scheme of education any training specially designed to develope activity in accordance with virtue, though

he lays stress on this as an element in happiness (4 (7). I. 1323 b 22, 40 sqq.).

The education of the body.

The education of the body must. in Aristotle's view, be such as to make it a fit instrument for the soul; its growth must not be stunted nor its beauty impaired (5(8). 4. 1338 b 9 sqq.), it must not be subjected in early youth to toils so excessive as to enfeeble it for the work of afteryears (5(8). 4. 1338 b 40 sqq.) or to brutalize the character (5(8). 4. 1338 b 11 sqq.), nor must hard work be imposed on the body and mind simultaneously (5(8). 4. 1339 a 7 sqq.), nor again must the training of the body be such as to unfit it for subsequent studies or to produce $\beta avavola$ (5(8). 6. 1341 a 6 sqq.).

The education of the appetites.

The education of the appetites—i.e. of θυμός, ἐπιθυμία, and βούλησιs—must be such as to lead them to love the noble qualities of character which reason will later on give them additional reasons for loving, and thus to prepare them to render a willing obedience to reason when it developes. The appetites must, therefore, be habituated to take pleasure in the right things; the child must be watched and guided in its pleasures from infancy. A wellordered gymnastic training must follow, not too laborious in early youth, and, midway in this, three years devoted to the study of reading, writing, drawing, and music. The musical training of the young should be such as to lead them to love ennobling melodies and the reproductions of mildness, courage, temperance, and other virtues which melodies contain, and so eventually to love these virtues themselves. Music should be the ally and precursor of reason, preparing the way for her before she appears, and beginning the wholesome discipline of the likings which she will later on carry to completion. No attempt must be made to hasten the development of reason, but, on the other hand, there must be nothing in the musical training of youth which will not be favourable to it; the use of the pipe (αὐλός) in

education, for instance, is not favourable to it (5(8), 6. 1341 b 6), and therefore must be rejected.

So important a part of youthful education is the training of the appetites to feel pleasure in the right things represented by Aristotle to be, that we might be tempted to take it for the whole. But the training of the body and the reason are also essential parts of youthful education; indeed, Aristotle sometimes distinguishes παιδεία from habituation (e.g. in 3. 18. 1288 b 1 and 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 16). or in other words from the training of the appetites.

The direct education of the reason, which is to follow the The educaeducation of the appetites, is not dealt with in the Politics tion of the reason. as it has come down to us, though we may infer from 4(7). 14. 1333 a 24 sqq, that it will be directed to the development both of the practical and of the contemplative reason, and will make the development of the latter its supreme end.

Aristotle's conception of education commends itself to us Remarks more than the scheme by which he seeks to realize it. on the scheme by The amount of time which he devotes to gymnastic training which appears to us to be disproportionately large. He surrenders seeks to to it all the years from seven to twenty-one with the realize his exception of three. He does so partly because in ancient of educa-Greece, as we have seen, a long gymnastic training in tion. youth was essential to full military efficiency, partly because without a suitably developed body neither the appetites nor the reason can be all that they should be, and partly because, as hard mental and bodily work must not be required of the young simultaneously, and bodily exercise is indispensable in youth for the growth and development of the body, mental work in youth must necessarily be confined within narrow limits. He is thus led greatly to shorten the amount of time devoted in youth to the work to which he attaches so much importance—that of training the appetites to take pleasure in the right things. For this kind of training he relies mainly on the study of music, and yet he allots to this study only a very small part of the first twenty-one years of life-

a fraction of the three years after puberty which he gives up to other studies than gymnastic. Does he not hope too much from this brief musical training? Can it do all that he expects it to do for the moral improvement of the boys who are to receive it? It may be doubted, indeed, whether it is by a study of particular subjects, such as music, that a love of what is noble is instilled into the young. Is it not rather by intercourse with a parent or teacher or friend whose example and influence win the heart to a love of goodness? Then again, does not Aristotle underrate the extent to which the reason is susceptible of cultivation in boyhood? He admits in 1. 13. 1260 a 13 sq. that boys possess the deliberative element of the soul, though in an imperfect form. Why then should not this element receive more cultivation in youth than Aristotle provides for it? Some kinds of mental work, again, fall well within the range of the boyish mind; yet Aristotle makes no provision for the training of those intellectual aptitudes which boys may well possess. Is it quite clear that even in the education of the body and the appetites training by habituation will suffice by itself without an admixture of training by reason? Another question may well be asked. Does Aristotle's scheme of education call for enough effort from the young? Is not their 'distaste for everything unsweetened' too much studied in it? Would not a training which gave them more difficulties to face and to conquer develope in them more force of will and be more really useful to them? Does not education largely consist in acquiring the power and the will to do that which is distasteful to us, when it ought to be done?

ΠΟΛΙΤΙΚΩΝ Γ΄

 $T\hat{\omega}$ περὶ πολιτείας ἐπισκοποῦντι, καὶ τίς ἐκάστη καὶ 1274 b 32 ποία τις, σχεδὸν πρώτη σκέψις περὶ πόλεως ἰδεῖν, τί ποτε έστιν ή πόλις νυν γαρ αμφισβητουσιν, οι μέν φάσκοντες την πόλιν πεπραχέναι την πράξιν, οί δ' ού την πόλιν άλλα 35 τὴν ὀλιγαρχίαν ἢ τὸν τύραννον τοῦ δὲ πολιτικοῦ καὶ τοῦ νομοθέτου πάσαν δρώμεν την πραγματείαν οὖσαν περὶ πόλιν. 2 ή δὲ πολιτεία τῶν τὴν πόλιν οἰκούντων ἐστὶ τάξις τις. ἐπεὶ δ' ή πόλις των συγκειμένων, καθάπερ άλλο τι των όλων μέν συνεστώτων δ' έκ πολλών μορίων, δήλον ὅτι πρότερον 40 ό πολίτης (ητητέος ή γαρ πόλις πολιτών τι πληθός έστιν, ώστε τίνα χρη καλείν πολίτην και τίς ὁ πολίτης έστί, σκε- 1275 a πτέον. καὶ γὰρ ὁ πολίτης ἀμφισβητεῖται πολλάκις οὐ γάρ τὸν αὐτὸν ὁμολογοῦσι πάντες εἶναι πολίτην' ἔστι γάρ τις δς έν δημοκρατία πολίτης ων έν όλιγαρχία πολλάκις 3 ούκ έστι πολίτης. τοὺς μέν οὖν ἄλλως πως τυγχάνοντας 5 ταύτης της προσηγορίας, οίον τους ποιητούς πολίτας, άφετέον ὁ δὲ πολίτης οὐ τῷ οἰκεῖν που πολίτης ἐστίν (καὶ 4 γάρ μέτοικοι καὶ δοῦλοι κοινωνοῦσι τῆς οἰκήσεως), οὐδ οἰ τῶν δικαίων μετέχοντες οὕτως ὥστε καὶ δίκην ὑπέχειν καὶ δικάζεσθαι (τοῦτο γὰρ ὑπάρχει καὶ τοῖς ἀπὸ συμβόλων κοι- 10 νωνοῦσιν' καὶ γὰρ ταῦτα τούτοις ὑπάρχει' πολλαχοῦ μὲν οὖν ούδε τούτων τελέως οἱ μετοικοι μετέχουσιν, άλλα νέμειν 5 ανάγκη προστάτην, ώστε ατελώς πως μετέχουσι της τοιαύτης κοινωνίας), άλλα καθάπερ καὶ παίδας τοὺς μήπω δι' ήλικίαν έγγεγραμμένους και τους γέροντας τους άφειμένους 15 VOL. III.

φατέον είναι μέν πως πολίτας, ούχ άπλως δε λίαν άλλά προστιθέντας τους μέν άτελεις τους δε παρηκμακότας ή τι τοιοῦτον ετερον (οὐδεν γὰρ διαφέρει δηλον γὰρ τὸ λεγόμενον). ζητοῦμεν γὰρ τὸν ἀπλῶς πολίτην καὶ μηδὲν ἔχοντα 20 τοιοῦτον ἔγκλημα διορθώσεως δεόμενον, ἐπεὶ καὶ περὶ τῶν άτίμων καὶ φυγάδων έστι τὰ τοιαῦτα καὶ διαπορείν καὶ λύειν, πολίτης δ' άπλως οὐδενὶ των άλλων δρίζεται μαλ-6 λον ή τῷ μετέχειν κρίσεως καὶ ἀρχής. τῶν δ' ἀρχῶν αἰ μέν είσι διηρημέναι κατά χρόνον, ώστ' ένίας μέν όλως δὶς 25 τον αύτον ούκ έξεστιν ἄρχειν, ή διά τινων ώρισμένων χρόνων ο δ' ἀόριστος, οἷον ο δικαστής καὶ ἐκκλησιαστής. τάχα 7 μέν οὖν ἄν φαίη τις οὐδ' ἄρχοντας εἶναι τοὺς τοιούτους, οὐδὲ μετέχειν διὰ ταῦτ' ἀρχῆς καίτοι γελοῖον τοὺς κυριωτάτους άποστερείν άρχης. άλλὰ διαφερέτω μηδέν περὶ ὀνόματος 30 γάρ ὁ λόγος ἀνώνυμον γάρ τὸ κοινὸν ἐπὶ δικαστοῦ καὶ έκκλησιαστού, τί δεί ταῦτ' ἄμφω καλείν. ἔστω δὴ διορισμού χάριν ἀόριστος ἀρχή. τίθεμεν δη πολίτας τοὺς οὕτω μετέ- 8 χοντας. ὁ μὲν οῦν μάλιστ' αν έφαρμόσας πολίτης έπὶ πάντας τοὺς λεγομένους πολίτας σχεδὸν τοιοῦτός ἐστιν δεῖ δὲ 35 μη λανθάνειν ὅτι τῶν πραγμάτων ἐν οἶς τὰ ὑποκείμενα διαφέρει τῷ εἴδει, καὶ τὸ μὲν αὐτῶν ἐστὶ πρῶτον τὸ δὲ δεύτερον τὸ δ' ἐχόμενον, ἢ τὸ παράπαν οὐδέν ἐστιν, ἢ τοιαθτα, τὸ κοινόν, ἡ γλίσχρως. τὰς δὲ πολιτείας ὁρῶμεν 9 είδει διαφερούσας άλλήλων, καὶ τὰς μὲν ὑστέρας τὰς δὲ 1275 b προτέρας οὔσας: τὰς γὰρ ἡμαρτημένας καὶ παρεκβεβηκυίας άναγκαῖον ύστέρας είναι τῶν ἀναμαρτήτων (τὰς δὲ παρεκβεβηκυίας πως λέγομεν, υστερον έσται φανερόν). ωστε καὶ τὸν πολίτην ἔτερον ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι τὸν καθ' ἐκάστην πολι-5 τείαν. διόπερ ὁ λεχθεὶς ἐν μὲν δημοκρατία μάλιστ' ἐστὶ 10 πολίτης, έν δε ταις άλλαις ένδεχεται μέν, ού μην άναγκαιον. (έν) ένίαις γὰρ οὐκ ἔστι δημος, οὐδ' ἐκκλησίαν νομίζουσιν άλλὰ συγκλήτους, καὶ τὰς δίκας δικάζουσι κατὰ μέρος, οΐον έν Λακεδαίμονι τὰς τῶν συμβολαίων δικαζει τῶν

έφόρων άλλος άλλας, οἱ δὲ γέροντες τὰς φονικάς, ἐτέρα 10 11 δ' ίσως άρχή τις έτέρας, τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον καὶ περὶ Καρχηδόνα πάσας γὰρ ἀρχαί τινες κρίνουσι τὰς δίκας. άλλ' έχει γὰρ διόρθωσιν ὁ τοῦ πολίτου διορισμός έν γὰρ ταις άλλαις πολιτείαις ούχ ὁ ἀόριστος άρχων έκκλησιαστής έστι καὶ δικαστής, άλλὰ δ κατὰ τὴν ἀρχὴν ὡρισμένος 15 τούτων γὰρ ἢ πᾶσιν ἢ τισὶν ἀποδέδοται τὸ βουλεύεσθαι καὶ 12 δικάζειν ή περὶ πάντων ή περὶ τινῶν. τίς μὲν οὖν ἐστὶν ὁ πολίτης, έκ τούτων φανερόν: ῷ γὰρ ἐξουσία κοινωνεῖν ἀρχῆς βουλευτικής ή κριτικής, πολίτην ήδη λέγομεν είναι ταύτης της πόλεως, πόλιν δε το των τοιούτων πληθος ίκανον προς 20 αὐτάρκειαν ζωής, ώς ἀπλώς εἰπεῖν ὁρίζονται δὲ πρὸς 2 την χρησιν πολίτην τον έξ άμφοτέρων πολιτών καὶ μή θατέρου μόνον, οΐον πατρὸς ή μητρός οί δὲ καὶ τοῦτ' ἐπὶ πλέον ζητοῦσιν, οἷον έπὶ πάππους δύο ἡ τρεῖς ἡ πλείους, οὕτω δὲ ὀριζομένων πολιτικῶς καὶ ταχέως, ἀποροῦσί τινες τὸν 25 2 τρίτον έκεινον ή τέταρτον, πως έσται πολίτης. Γοργίας μέν οὖν ὁ Λεοντίνος, τὰ μὲν ἴσως ἀπορών τὰ δ' εἰρωνευόμενος, έφη, καθάπερ δλμους είναι τοὺς ὑπὸ τῶν ὁλμοποιῶν πεποιημένους, ούτω καὶ Λαρισαίους τοὺς ὑπὸ τῶν δημιουργῶν πε-3 ποιημένους, είναι γάρ τινας Λαρισοποιούς. έστι δ' άπλοῦν: 30 εί γάρ μετείχον κατά τὸν ρηθέντα διορισμόν τῆς πολιτείας. ήσαν πολίται καὶ γὰρ ούδὲ δυνατὸν ἐφαρμόττειν τὸ ἐκ πολίτου ή έκ πολίτιδος έπὶ τῶν πρώτων οἰκησάντων ή κτισάντων. ἀλλ' ἴσως ἐκείνο μαλλον ἔχει ἀπορίαν, ὅσοι μετέσχον μεταβολής γενομένης πολιτείας, οίον 'Αθήνησιν 35 έποίησε Κλεισθένης μετά την των τυράννων έκβολήν πολ-4 λοὺς γὰρ ἐφυλέτευσε ξένους καὶ δούλους μετοίκους. τὸ δ' ἀμφισβήτημα πρός τούτους έστιν ού τίς πολίτης, άλλα πότερον άδίκως ή δικαίως. καίτοι κάν τοῦτό τις έτι προσαπορήσειεν, άρ' εί μη δικαίως πολίτης, ού πολίτης, ώς ταύτο δυναμένου 1276 a 5 τοῦ τ' ἀδίκου καὶ τοῦ ψευδοῦς. ἐπεὶ δ' ὁρῶμεν καὶ ἄρχοντάς τινας άδίκως, οθς ἄρχειν μέν φήσομεν άλλ' οὐ δικαίως, ὁ

δὲ πολίτης ἀρχῆ τινὶ διωρισμένος ἐστίν (ὁ γὰρ κοινωνῶν τῆς 5 τοιασδε άρχης πολίτης έστίν, ώς έφαμεν), δηλον ότι πολί-3 τας μεν είναι φατέον καὶ τούτους, περὶ δε τοῦ δικαίως ή μη δικαίως συνάπτει πρός την είρημένην πρότερον άμφισβήτησιν, ἀποροῦσι γάρ τινες πόθ' ἡ πόλις ἔπραξε καὶ πότε ούχ ή πόλις, οξον όταν έξ όλιγαρχίας ή τυραννίδος γένηται 10 δημοκρατία. τότε γάρ ούτε τὰ συμβόλαια ένιοι βούλονται 2 διαλύειν, ώς οὐ τῆς πόλεως άλλὰ τοῦ τυράννου λαβόντος, οὖτ' ἄλλα πολλὰ τῶν τοιούτων, ὡς ἐνίας τῶν πολιτειῶν τῷ κρατείν ούσας, άλλὰ οὐ διὰ τὸ κοινῆ συμφέρον, είπερ οὖν καὶ δημοκρατοῦνταί τινες κατὰ τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον, ὁμοίως 15 της πόλεως φατέον είναι ταύτης τὰς της πολιτείας ταύτης πράξεις καὶ τὰς ἐκ τῆς ὀλιγαρχίας καὶ τῆς τυραννίδος. *ἔοικε δ' οἰκεῖος ὁ λόγος εἶναι τῆς ἀπορίας ταύτης, πῶς* 3 ποτέ χρη λέγειν την πόλιν είναι την αύτην ή μη την αὐτὴν ἀλλ' ἐτέραν. ἡ μὲν οὖν ἐπιπολαιοτάτη τῆς ἀπορίας 20 ζήτησις περί τὸν τόπον καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἐστίν ἐνδέχεται γάρ διαζευχθηναι τὸν τόπον καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, καὶ τοὺς μέν ετερον τούς δ' ετερον οἰκῆσαι τόπον. ταύτην μέν οὖν 4 πραοτέραν θετέον τὴν ἀπορίαν (πολλαχῶς γὰρ τῆς πόλεως λεγομένης έστί πως εὐμάρεια τῆς τοιαύτης (ητήσεως) όμοίως 25 δὲ καὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν κατοικούντων ἀνθρώπων πότε δεῖ νομίζειν μίαν είναι την πόλιν. οὐ γὰρ δη τοῖς τείχεσιν 5 είη γὰρ ἄν Πελοποννήσω περιβαλείν εν τείχος. τοιαύτη δ΄ ,ἴσως ἐστὶ καὶ Βαβυλών καὶ πᾶσα ἥτις ἔχει περιγραφὴν μαλλον έθνους ή πόλεως. ής γε φασιν εαλωκυίας τρίτην 30 ήμεραν ούκ αίσθεσθαι τι μέρος της πόλεως. άλλα περί 6 μέν ταύτης της ἀπορίας είς ἄλλον καιρὸν χρήσιμος ή σκέψις περί γάρ μεγέθους της πόλεως, τό τε πόσον καί πότερον έθνος εν ή πλείω συμφέρει, δεί μη λανθάνειν τὸν πολιτικόν άλλα των αύτων κατοικούντων τον αύτον τόπον, 35 πότερον εως αν ή το γένος ταὐτο των κατοικούντων, την αὐτὴν είναι φατέον πόλιν, καίπερ αἰεὶ τῶν μὲν φθειρομέ-

νων των δε γινομένων, ωσπερ και ποταμούς ειώθαμεν λέγειν τους αυτους και κρήνας τας αυτάς, καίπερ αει του μεν έπιγιγνομένου νάματος τοῦ δ' ὑπεξιόντος, ἢ τοὺς μὲν ἀνθρώπους Φατέον είναι τοὺς αὐτοὺς διὰ τὴν τοιαύτην αἰτίαν, τὴν δὲ 40 7 πόλιν έτέραν ; είπερ γάρ έστι κοινωνία τις ἡ πόλις, έστι δὲ 1276 b κοινωνία πολιτών πολιτείας, γιγνομένης έτέρας τῷ εἴδει καὶ διαφερούσης της πολιτείας αναγκαίον είναι δόξειεν αν καὶ τὴν πόλιν είναι μὴ τὴν αὐτήν, ώσπερ γε καὶ χορὸν ότε μεν κωμικόν ότε δε τραγικόν ετερον είναι φαμεν, των 5 8 αὐτῶν πολλάκις ἀνθρώπων ὅντων, ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ πᾶσαν άλλην κοινωνίαν καὶ σύνθεσιν έτέραν, αν είδος ετερον ή της συνθέσεως, οδον άρμονίαν των αὐτων φθόγγων έτέραν είναι 9 λέγομεν, αν ότε μεν ή Δώριος ότε δε Φρύγιος, εί δη τοῦτον ἔχει τὸν τρόπον, φανερὸν ὅτι μάλιστα λεκτέον τὴν 10 αὐτὴν πόλιν είς τὴν πολιτείαν βλέποντας ὄνομα δὲ καλείν έτερον ή ταύτον έξεστι και των αύτων κατοικούντων αὐτὴν καὶ πάμπαν ἐτέρων ἀνθρώπων. εἰ δὲ δίκαιον διαλύειν ή μή διαλύειν, δταν είς έτέραν μεταβάλη πολιτείαν ή πόλις, λόγος έτερος.

Τῶν δὲ νῦν εἰρημένων ἐχόμενόν ἐστιν ἐπισκέψασθαι 4 πότερον τὴν αὐτὴν ἀρετὴν ἀνδρὸς ἀγαθοῦ καὶ πολίτου σπουδαίου θετέον, ἢ μὴ τὴν αὐτήν. ἀλλὰ μὴν εἴ γε τοῦτο τυχεῖν δεῖ ζητήσεως, τὴν τοῦ πολίτου τύπῳ τινὶ πρῶτον ληπτέον. ὥσπερ οὖν ὁ πλωτὴρ εἶς τις τῶν κοινωνῶν ἐστίν, 20 2 οὕτω καὶ τὸν πολίτην φαμέν. τῶν δὲ πλωτήρων καίπερ ἀνομοίων ὅντων τὴν δύναμιν (ὁ μὲν γάρ ἐστιν ἐρέτης, ὁ δὲ κυβερνήτης, ὁ δὲ πρῳρεύς, ὁ δ΄ ἄλλην τιν' ἔχων τοιαύτην ἐπωνυμίαν) δῆλον ὡς ὁ μὲν ἀκριβέστατος ἐκάστου λόγος ἴδιος ἔσται τῆς ἀρετῆς, ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ κοινός τις ἐφαρμόσει 25 πᾶσιν. ἡ γὰρ σωτηρία τῆς ναυτιλίας ἔργον ἐστὶν αὐτῶν 3 πάντων τούτου γὰρ ἕκαστος ὀρέγεται τῶν πλωτήρων. ὁμοίως τοίνυν καὶ τῶν πολιτῶν, καίπερ ἀνομοίων ὅντων, ἡ σωτηρία τῆς κοινωνίας ἔργον ἐστί, κοινωνία δ' ἐστὶν ἡ πολιτεία·

30 διό την άρετην άναγκαίον είναι τοῦ πολίτου πρὸς την πολιτείαν, είπερ οὖν ἐστὶ πλείω πολιτείας εἴδη, δηλον ώς οὐκ ένδέχεται τοῦ σπουδαίου πολίτου μίαν άρετην είναι την τελείαν τον δ' άγαθον ἄνδρα φομέν κατά μίαν άρετην είναι την τελείαν. ὅτι μὲν οὖν ἐνδέχεται πολίτην ὅντα σπουδαῖον 4 35 μη κεκτησθαι την άρετην καθ' ην σπουδαίος άνήρ, φανερόν ού μην άλλα και κατ' άλλον τρόπον έστι διαπορούντας έπελθείν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον περὶ τῆς ἀρίστης πολιτείας. εἰ γὰρ 5 άδύνατον έξ άπάντων σπουδαίων όντων είναι πόλιν, δεί δ' έκαστον το καθ' αύτον έργον εὖ ποιεῖν, τοῦτο δ' ἀπ' ἀρετῆς: 40 έπει δε άδύνατον όμοίους είναι πάντας τους πολίτας, ούκ αν 1277 α είη μία άρετη πολίτου καὶ άνδρὸς άγαθοῦ. την μὲν γὰρ τοῦ σπουδαίου πολίτου δεί πασιν υπάρχειν (ούτω γαρ αρίστην άναγκαῖον είναι τὴν πόλιν), τὴν δὲ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ άδύνατον, εί μη πάντας άναγκαῖον άγαθούς είναι τούς έν ς τη σπουδαία πόλει πολίτας. έτι έπεὶ έξ ανομοίων ή πόλις 6 ώσπερ ζφον εύθυς έκ ψυχης και σώματος και ψυχη έκ λόγου καὶ ὀρέξεως καὶ οἰκία έξ ἀνδρὸς καὶ γυναικὸς καὶ κτησις έκ δεσπότου καὶ δούλου, τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ πόλις έξ ἀπάντων τε τούτων καὶ πρὸς τούτοις έξ ἄλλων ἀνομοίων 10 συνέστηκεν είδων, ανάγκη μη μίαν είναι την των πολιτών πάντων ἀρετήν, ὥσπερ οὐδὲ τῶν χορευτῶν κορυφαίου καὶ παραστάτου. διότι μεν τοίνυν άπλως ούχ ή αὐτή, φανερον 7 έκ τούτων άλλ' άρα έσται τινὸς ή αὐτή άρετή πολίτου τε σπουδαίου καὶ άνδρὸς σπουδαίου; φαμέν δὴ τὸν ἄρχοντα τὸν 15 σπουδαΐον άγαθον είναι και φρόνιμον, τον δε πολιτικον άναγκαῖον εἶναι φρόνιμον. καὶ τὴν παιδείαν δ' εὐθὺς 8 έτέραν είναι λέγουσί τινες ἄρχοντος, ὥσπερ καὶ φαίνονται οί των βασιλέων υίεις ίππικην και πολεμικην παιδευόμενοι, καὶ Εὐριπίδης φησὶ "μή μοι τὰ κόμψ', άλλ' ὧν πόλει 20 δεί," ώς οῦσάν τινα ἄρχοντος παιδείαν. εί δὲ ἡ αὐτὴ ἀρετὴ 9 άρχοντός τε άγαθοῦ καὶ άνδρὸς άγαθοῦ, πολίτης δ' έστὶ καὶ ό ἀρχόμενος, ούχ ἡ αὐτὴ ἀπλῶς ἄν εἴη πολίτου καὶ ἀνδρός,

τινδς μέντοι πολίτου οὐ γὰρ ἡ αὐτὴ ἄρχοντος καὶ πολίτου. καὶ διὰ τοῦτ' ἴσως Ἰάσων ἔφη πεινην, ὅτε μη τυραγνοί, ώς 10 οὐκ ἐπιστάμενος ἰδιώτης είναι. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἐπαινεῖταί γε τὸ 25 δύνασθαι ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι, καὶ πολίτου δοκίμου (δοκεί) ή άρετη είναι το δύνασθαι καὶ άρχειν καὶ άρχεσθαι καλώς. εί οὖν τὴν μὲν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἀνδρὸς τίθεμεν ἀρχικήν, τὴν δὲ τοῦ 11 πολίτου ἄμφω, οὐκ ἄν εἴη ἄμφω ἐπαινετὰ ὁμοίως. ἐπεὶ οὖν ποτε δοκεί έτερα καὶ οὐ ταὐτὰ δείν τὸν ἄρχοντα μαν- 30 θάνειν καὶ τὸν ἀρχόμενον, τὸν δὲ πολίτην ἀμφότερ' ἐπίστασθαι καὶ μετέχειν άμφοῖν, τούντεῦθεν αν κατίδοι τις. έστι γὰρ ἀρχὴ δεσποτική ταύτην δὲ τὴν περὶ τάναγκαῖα λέγομεν, & ποιείν ἐπίστασθαι τὸν ἄρχοντ' οὐκ ἀναγκαίον, άλλὰ χρησθαι μᾶλλον θάτερον δὲ καὶ ἀνδραποδῶδες. 35 12 λέγω δὲ θάτερον τὸ δύνασθαι καὶ ὑπηρετεῖν τὰς διακονικὰς πράξεις. δούλου δ' είδη πλείω λέγομεν' αὶ γὰρ ἐργασίαι πλείους. ὧν ἐν μέρος κατέχουσιν οἱ χερνητες οὖτοι δ' είσίν, ώσπερ σημαίνει καὶ τοὔνομ' αὐτούς, οἱ ζῶντες ἀπὸ τῶν χειρῶν, ἐν οἶς ὁ βάναυσος τεχνίτης ἐστίν. διὸ παρ' 1277 b ένίοις οὐ μετείχον οἱ δημιουργοὶ τὸ παλαιὸν ἀρχῶν, πρὶν 13 δημον γενέσθαι τὸν ἔσχατον. τὰ μὲν οὖν ἔργα τῶν ἀρχομένων ούτως ού δεί τὸν ἀγαθὸν ούδὲ τὸν πολιτικὸν ούδὲ τὸν πολίτην τὸν ἀγαθὸν μανθάνειν, εἰ μή ποτε χρείας χάριν 5 αὐτῷ πρὸς αὐτόν οὐ γὰρ ἔτι συμβαίνει γίνεσθαι τὸν μὲν δεσπότην τὸν δὲ δοῦλον ἀλλ' ἔστι τις ἀρχή καθ' ἡν ἄρχει 14 τῶν ὁμοίων τῷ γένει καὶ τῶν ἐλευθέρων ταύτην γὰρ λέγομεν είναι την πολιτικήν άρχήν, ην δεί τον άρχοντα άρχόμενον μαθείν, οίον ίππαρχείν ίππαρχηθέντα, στρατηγείν 10 στρατηγηθέντα καὶ ταξιαρχήσαντα καὶ λοχαγήσαντα. διὸ λέγεται καὶ τοῦτο καλῶς, ὡς οὐκ ἔστιν εὖ ἄρξαι μὴ 15 άρχθέντα. τούτων δὲ άρετὴ μὲν ἐτέρα, δεῖ δὲ τὸν πολίτην τὸν ἀγαθὸν ἐπίστασθαι καὶ δύνασθαι καὶ ἄρχεσθαι καὶ άρχειν, καὶ αὕτη άρετὴ πολίτου, τὸ τὴν τῶν ἐλευθέρων 15 16 άρχὴν ἐπίστασθαι ἐπ' ἀμφότερα. καὶ ἀνδρὸς δὴ ἀγαθοῦ

άμφω, καὶ εἰ ἔτερον είδος σωφροσύνης καὶ δικαιοσύνης άρχικης, καὶ γὰρ ἀρχομένου μὲν έλευθέρου δέ, δηλον ὅτι οὐ μία αν είη τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἀρετή, οἶον δικαιοσύνη, ἀλλ' εἴδη 20 έχουσα καθ' ὰ ἄρξει καὶ ἄρξεται, ὥσπερ ἀνδρὸς καὶ γυναικός έτέρα σωφροσύνη και ανδρία (δόξαι γαρ αν είναι 17 δειλός ανήρ, εί ούτως ανδρείος είη ώσπερ γυνη ανδρεία καὶ γυνη λάλος, εἰ οὕτω κοσμία εἴη ὥσπερ ὁ ἀνηρ ὁ ἀγαθός), έπει και οικονομία έτέρα άνδρος και γυναικός (του μέν 25 γὰρ κτᾶσθαι, τῆς δὲ φυλάττειν ἔργον ἐστίν). ἡ δὲ φρόνησις άρχοντος ίδιος άρετη μόνη τὰς γὰρ άλλας ἔοικεν ἀναγκαίον είναι κοινάς καὶ τῶν ἀρχομένων καὶ τῶν ἀρχόντων, άρχομένου δέ γε οὐκ ἔστιν άρετὴ φρόνησις, άλλὰ δόξα 18 άληθής ωσπερ αύλοποιὸς γὰρ ὁ ἀρχόμενος, ὁ δ' ἄρχων 30 αὐλητής ὁ χρώμενος. πότερον μεν οὖν ή αὐτή ἀρετή ἀνδρὸς άγαθοῦ καὶ πολίτου σπουδαίου ἡ έτέρα, καὶ πῶς ἡ αὐτὴ καὶ πῶς ἐτέρα, φανερὸν ἐκ τούτων:

5 Περὶ δὲ τὸν πολίτην ἔτι λείπεταί τις τῶν ἀποριῶν.

ώς άληθως γὰρ πότερον πολίτης έστὶν ῷ κοινωνεῖν ἔξεστιν 35 άρχης, ή και τους βαναύσους πολίτας θετέον: εί μεν ουν καὶ τούτους θετέον οίς μη μέτεστιν άρχων, ούχ οίόν τε παντδς είναι πολίτου τὴν τοιαύτην ἀρετήν (οὖτος γὰρ πολίτης): εί δε μηδείς των τοιούτων πολίτης, έν τίνι μέρει θετέος έκαστος; οὐδὲ γὰρ μέτοικος οὐδὲ ξένος. ἡ διά γε τοῦτον τὸν λό- 2 1278 α γον οὐδὲν φήσομεν συμβαίνειν ἄτοπον; οὐδὲ γὰρ οἱ δοῦλοι τῶν εἰρημένων οὐδέν, οὐδ' οἱ ἀπελεύθεροι, τοῦτο γὰρ ἀληθές. ώς οὐ πάντας θετέον πολίτας ὧν ἄνευ οὐκ ἄν εῖη πόλις. έπεὶ οὐδ' οἱ παίδες ώσαύτως πολίται καὶ οἱ ἄνδρες, ἀλλ' 5 οι μέν άπλως οι δ' έξ ύποθέσεως πολίται μέν γάρ είσιν. άλλ' ἀτελείς, έν μεν ουν τοίς ἀρχαίοις χρόνοις παρ' ένίοις 3 ην δοῦλον τὸ βάναυσον η ξενικόν διόπερ οι πολλοί τοιοῦτοι καὶ νῦν ἡ δὲ βελτίστη πόλις οὐ ποιήσει βάναυσον πολίτην. εί δε και ούτος πολίτης, άλλα πολίτου άρετην ην είπομεν 10 λεκτέον οὐ παντός, οὐδ' έλευθέρου μόνον, ἀλλ' ὅσοι τῶν ἔργων

4 είσιν άφειμένοι των άναγκαίων, των δ' άναγκαίων οι μέν ένὶ λειτουργοῦντες τὰ τοιαῦτα δοῦλοι, οἱ δὲ κοινῆ βάναυσοι καὶ θῆτες. φανερον δ' έντεῦθεν μικρον έπισκεψαμένοις πῶς ἔχει περὶ αὐτῶν αὐτὸ γὰρ φανὲν τὸ λεχθὲν ποιεῖ 5 δηλον. έπει γάρ πλείους είσιν αι πολιτείαι, και είδη πο- 15 λίτου άναγκαῖον είναι πλείω, καὶ μάλιστα τοῦ άρχομένου πολίτου, ώστ' έν μέν τινι πολιτεία τον βάναυσον αναγκαίον είναι καὶ τὸν θῆτα πολίτας, ἐν τισὶ δ' ἀδύνατον, οίον εἴ τίς έστιν ην καλουσιν άριστοκρατικήν και έν ή κατ άρετην αί τιμαὶ δίδονται καὶ κατ' άξίαν οὐ γὰρ οἶόν τ' ἐπιτηδεῦ- 20 6 σαι τὰ τῆς ἀρετῆς ζώντα βίον βάναυσον ἡ θητικόν. ἐν δὲ ταις όλιγαρχίαις θήτα μέν οὐκ ένδέχεται είναι πολίτην (ἀπὸ τιμημάτων γὰρ μακρῶν αἱ μεθέξεις τῶν ἀρχῶν), βάναυσον δ' ένδέχεται πλουτοῦσι γὰρ καὶ οἱ πολλοὶ τῶν 7 τεχνιτών, έν Θήβαις δε νόμος ήν τον δέκα έτων μη άπε- 25 σχημένον της άγορας μη μετέχειν άρχης. έν πολλαίς δέ πολιτείαις προσεφέλκεται καὶ τῶν ξένων ὁ νόμος ὁ γὰρ έκ πολίτιδος έν τισι δημοκρατίαις πολίτης έστίν τον αὐτον 8 δὲ τρόπον ἔχει καὶ τὰ περὶ τοὺς νόθους παρὰ πολλοῖς, οὐ μην άλλ' έπει δι' ένδειαν των γνησίων πολιτών ποιοθνται 30 πολίτας τους τοιούτους (διά γάρ όλιγανθρωπίαν οὕτω χρώνται τοις νόμοις), εὐποροῦντες δ' ὅχλου κατὰ μικρὸν παραιροῦνται τοὺς ἐκ δούλου πρῶτον ἡ δούλης, εἶτα τοὺς ἀπὸ γυναικῶν, 9 τέλος δὲ μόνον τοὺς ἐξ ἀμφοῖν ἀστῶν πολίτας ποιοῦσιν. ὅτι μέν οὖν εἴδη πλείω πολίτου, φανερὸν ἐκ τούτων, καὶ ὅτι λέ- 35 γεται μάλιστα πολίτης ὁ μετέχων τῶν τιμῶν, ὥσπερ καὶ "Ομηρος ἐποίησεν " ὡσεί τιν' ἀτίμητον μετανάστην". ὥσπερ μέτοικος γάρ έστιν ὁ τῶν τιμῶν μὴ μετέχων. ἀλλ' ὅπου τὸ τοιοῦτον ἐπικεκρυμμένον ἐστίν, ἀπάτης χάριν τῶν συνοι-10 κούντων έστίν. πότερον μεν οὖν έτέραν ἢ τὴν αὐτὴν θετέον 40 καθ' ην άνηρ άγαθός έστι καὶ πολίτης σπουδαίος, δηλον έκ 1278 b των είρημένων, ὅτι τινὸς μὲν πόλεως ὁ αὐτὸς τινὸς δ' ἔτερος. κάκείνος ού πας άλλ' ὁ πολιτικὸς καὶ κύριος ή δυνάμενος

εΐναι κύριος, ή καθ' αὐτὸν ή μετ' ἄλλων, τής τῶν κοινῶν 5 ἐπιμελείας·

'Επεὶ δὲ ταῦτα διώρισται, τὸ μετὰ ταῦτα σκεπτέον πότερον μίαν θετέον πολιτείαν ή πλείους, κάν εί πλείους, τίνες καὶ πόσαι, καὶ διαφοραὶ τίνες αὐτῶν εἰσίν. ἔστι δὲ πολιτεία πόλεως τάξις των τε άλλων άρχων καὶ μάλιστα 10 της κυρίας πάντων κύριον μέν γάρ πανταχοῦ τὸ πολίτευμα της πόλεως, πολίτευμα δ' έστιν ή πολιτεία λέγω 2 δ' οἶον ἐν μὲν ταῖς δημοκρατικαῖς κύριος ὁ δῆμος, οἱ δ' όλίγοι τούναντίον έν ταις όλιγαρχίαις φαμέν δέ καί πολιτείαν έτεραν είναι τούτων. τον αὐτον δε τοῦτον έροῦμεν 15 λόγον καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων, ὑποθετέον δὴ πρῶτον τίνος χάριν συνέστηκε πόλις, καὶ τῆς ἀρχῆς είδη πόσα τῆς περὶ άνθρωπον καὶ τὴν κοινωνίαν τῆς ζωῆς. εἴρηται δὴ κατὰ 3 τους πρώτους λόγους, έν οίς περί οἰκονομίας διωρίσθη καὶ δεσποτείας, καὶ ὅτι φύσει μέν ἐστιν ἄνθρωπος ζῷον πολιτικόν, 20 διδ καὶ μηδέν δεόμενοι τῆς παρ' ἀλλήλων βοηθείας [οὐκ έλαττον] ὀρέγονται τοῦ συζην οὐ μην ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ κοινη συμφέρον συνάγει, καθ' ὅσον ἐπιβάλλει μέρος ἐκάστφ τοῦ ζην καλώς. μάλιστα μέν οὖν τοῦτ' ἐστὶ τέλος, καὶ κοινῆ 4 πασι καὶ χωρίς συνέρχονται δὲ καὶ τοῦ ζῆν ἕνεκεν αὐτοῦ 25 καὶ συνέχουσι τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν, ἴσως γὰρ ἔνεστί τι τοῦ καλοῦ μόριον καὶ κατὰ τὸ ζην αὐτὸ μόνον, αν μη τοῖς χαλεποίς κατά τὸν βίον ὑπερβάλλη λίαν, δήλον δ' ώς 3 καρτερούσι πολλήν κακοπάθειαν οί πολλοί τῶν ἀνθρώπων γλιχόμενοι τοῦ ζην, ώς ένούσης τινὸς εὐημερίας έν αὐτῷ καὶ 30 γλυκύτητος φυσικής. άλλὰ μὴν καὶ τῆς ἀρχῆς γε τοὺς λεγομένους τρόπους ράδιον διελείν και γαρ έν τοις έξωτερικοίς λόγοις διοριζόμεθα περί αὐτῶν πολλάκις. ή μὲν γὰρ 6 δεσποτεία, καίπερ όντος κατ' άλήθειαν τῷ τε φύσει δούλφ καὶ τῷ φύσει δεσπότη ταὐτοῦ συμφέροντος, ὅμως ἄρχει 35 πρός τὸ τοῦ δεσπότου συμφέρον οὐδεν ήττον, πρὸς δε τὸ τοῦ δούλου κατά συμβεβηκός οὐ γὰρ ἐνδέχεται φθειρομένου τοῦ

7 δούλου σώζεσθαι την δεσποτείαν. η δε τέκνων άρχη καὶ γυναικός καὶ τῆς οἰκίας πάσης, ἢν δὴ καλοῦμεν οἰκονομικήν, ήτοι των άρχομένων χάριν έστιν ή κοινοῦ τινὸς ἀμφοίν, καθ' αύτὸ μὲν τῶν ἀρχομένων, ὥσπερ ὁρῶμεν καὶ τὰς ἄλλας 40 τέχνας, οἷον ἰατρικὴν καὶ γυμναστικήν, κατὰ συμβεβηκὸς 1279 a δὲ κάν αὐτῶν εἶεν οὐδὲν γὰρ κωλύει τὸν παιδοτρίβην ἕνα των γυμναζομένων ένίοτ' είναι καὶ αὐτόν, ώσπερ ὁ κυβερ-8 νήτης είς έστιν άει των πλωτήρων. ὁ μεν ουν παιδοτρίβης ή κυβερνήτης σκοπεί τὸ τῶν ἀρχομένων ἀγαθόν ὅταν δὲ 5 τούτων είς γένηται καὶ αὐτός, κατὰ συμβεβηκὸς μετέχει της ώφελείας ὁ μὲν γὰρ πλωτήρ, ὁ δὲ τῶν γυμναζομέο νων είς γίνεται παιδοτρίβης ών. διὸ καὶ τὰς πολιτικὰς άρχάς, δταν ή κατ' ἰσότητα τε πολιτών συνεστηκυία καὶ καθ' δμοιότητα, κατὰ μέρος άξιοῦσιν ἄρχειν, πρότερον μέν, 10 η πέφυκεν, άξιοῦντες έν μέρει λειτουργείν, καὶ σκοπείν τινὰ πάλιν τὸ αὐτοῦ ἀγαθόν, ὥσπερ πρότερον αὐτὸς ἄρχων ἐσκό-10 πει τὸ ἐκείνου συμφέρον. νῦν δὲ διὰ τὰς ώφελείας τὰς άπὸ τῶν κοινῶν καὶ τὰς ἐκ τῆς ἀρχῆς βούλονται συνεχῶς άρχειν, οίον εί συνέβαινεν ύγιαίνειν άεὶ τοῖς άρχουσι νοσα- 15 κεροίς οὖσιν· καὶ γὰρ ἄν οὕτως ἴσως ἐδίωκον τὰς ἀρχάς. 11 φανερὸν τοίνυν ώς ὅσαι μὲν πολιτεῖαι τὸ κοινῆ συμφέρον σκοποῦσιν, αὖται μὲν ὀρθαὶ τυγχάνουσιν οὖσαι κατὰ τὸ άπλῶς δίκαιον, ὅσαι δὲ τὸ σφέτερον μόνον τῶν ἀρχόντων, ήμαρτημέναι καὶ πᾶσαι παρεκβάσεις τῶν ὀρθῶν πολιτειῶν 20 δεσποτικαὶ γάρ, ή δὲ πόλις κοινωνία τῶν ἐλευθέρων ἐστίν.

Διωρισμένων δὲ τούτων ἐχόμενόν ἐστι τὰς πολιτείας 7 ἐπισκέψασθαι, πόσαι τὸν ἀριθμὸν καὶ τίνες εἰσί, καὶ πρῶτον τὰς ὀρθὰς αὐτῶν καὶ γὰρ αὶ παρεκβάσεις ἔσονται 2 φανεραὶ τούτων διορισθεισῶν. ἐπεὶ δὲ πολιτεία μὲν καὶ τὸ 25 πολίτευμα σημαίνει ταὐτόν, πολίτευμα δ' ἐστὶ τὸ κύριον τῶν πόλεων, ἀνάγκη δ' εἶναι κύριον ἢ ἔνα ἢ ὀλίγους ἢ τοὺς πολλούς, ὅταν μὲν ὁ εἶς ἢ οἱ ὀλίγοι ἢ οἱ πολλοὶ πρὸς τὸ κοινὸν συμφέρον ἄρχωσι, ταύτας μὲν ὀρθὰς ἀναγκαίον εἶναι

30 τὰς πολιτείας, τὰς δὲ πρὸς τὸ ἴδιον ἡ τοῦ ένὸς ἡ τῶν ὀλίγων ή τοῦ πλήθους παρεκβάσεις. ή γὰρ οὐ πολίτας φατέον είναι τοὺς μετέχοντας, ή δεί κοινωνείν τοῦ συμφέροντος. καλείν δ' είώθαμεν των μέν μοναρχιών την πρός το κοινόν 3 άποβλέπουσαν συμφέρον βασιλείαν, την δε των ολίγων μεν 35 πλειόνων δε ενός αριστοκρατίαν, ή δια το τους αρίστους αρχειν, ή διὰ τὸ πρὸς τὸ ἄριστον τῆ πόλει καὶ τοῖς κοινωνοῦσιν αὐτῆς σταν δὲ τὸ πληθος πρὸς τὸ κοινὸν πολιτεύηται συμφέρον, καλείται τὸ κοινὸν ὄνομα πασῶν τῶν πολιτειῶν, πολιτεία, συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως ένα μεν γάρ διαφέρειν 4 40 κατ' άρετην η ολίγους ένδέχεται, πλείους δ' ήδη χαλεπον 1279 b ήκριβῶσθαι πρὸς πᾶσαν ἀρετήν, ἀλλὰ μάλιστα τὴν πολεμικήν αυτη γάρ έν πλήθει γίγνεται. διόπερ κατά ταύτην την πολιτείαν κυριώτατον το προπολεμοῦν, καὶ μετέγουσιν αὐτῆς οἱ κεκτημένοι τὰ ὅπλα, παρεκβάσεις δὲ τῶν εἰρη- 5 κ μένων τυραννίς μέν βασιλείας, όλιγαρχία δε άριστοκρατίας, δημοκρατία δὲ πολιτείας. ἡ μὲν γὰρ τυραννίς ἐστι μοναρχία πρὸς τὸ συμφέρον τὸ τοῦ μοναρχοῦντος, ἡ δ' ὀλιγαρχία πρὸς τὸ τῶν εὐπόρων, ἡ δὲ δημοκρατία πρὸς τὸ συμφέρον τὸ τῶν ἀπόρων πρὸς δὲ τὸ τῷ κοινῷ λυσιτελοῦν 10 ούδεμία αὐτῶν.

8 Δεῖ δὲ μικρῷ διὰ μακροτέρων εἰπεῖν τίς ἐκάστη τούτων τῶν πολιτειῶν ἐστίν' καὶ γὰρ ἔχει τινὰς ἀπορίας, τῷ δὲ περὶ ἐκάστην μέθοδον φιλοσοφοῦντι καὶ μὴ μόνον ἀποβλέ-ποντι πρὸς τὸ πράττειν οἰκεῖόν ἐστι τὸ μὴ παρορᾶν μηδέ τι καταλείπειν, ἀλλὰ δηλοῦν τὴν περὶ ἕκαστον ἀλήθειαν. ἔστι δὲ τυραννὶς μὲν μοναρχία, καθάπερ εἴρηται, δεσπο- 2 τικὴ τῆς πολιτικῆς κοινωνίας, ὀλιγαρχία δ' ὅταν ὧσι κύριοι τῆς πολιτείας οἱ τὰς οὐσίας ἔχοντες, δημοκρατία δὲ τοὐναντίον ὅταν οἱ μὴ κεκτημένοι πλῆθος οὐσίας ἀλλ' ἄποροι. 20 πρώτη δ' ἀπορία πρὸς τὸν διορισμὸν ἐστίν. εἰ γὰρ εἶεν οἱ 3 πλείους ὅντες εὔποροι κύριοι τῆς πόλεως, δημοκρατία δ' ἐστὶν ὅταν ἢ κύριον τὸ πλῆθος, ὀμοίως δὲ πάλιν κὰν εἴ που † συμ-

βαίνη † τοὺς ἀπόρους ἐλάττους μὲν είναι τῶν εὐπόρων, κρείττους δ' όντας κυρίους είναι της πολιτείας, όπου δ' όλίγον κύριον πληθος, όλιγαρχίαν είναί φασιν, ούκ αν καλώς δόξειεν 25 4 διωρίσθαι περί των πολιτειών, άλλα μην κάν τις συνθείς τῆ μὲν εὐπορία τὴν ὀλιγότητα τῆ δ' ἀπορία τὸ πλῆθος ουτω προσαγορεύη τὰς πολιτείας, ὀλιγαρχίαν μεν έν ή τὰς άρχὰς ἔχουσιν οἱ εὖποροι ὀλίγοι τὸ πληθος ὅντες, δημοκρατίαν δε έν ή οι άποροι πολλοί τὸ πλήθος όντες, άλλην 30 5 άπορίαν έχει, τίνας γὰρ ἐροῦμεν τὰς ἄρτι λεχθείσας πολιτείας, την έν ή πλείους εύποροι και έν ή έλάττους οί άποροι, κύριοι δ' έκάτεροι των πολιτειών, είπερ μηδεμία 6 άλλη πολιτεία παρά τὰς εἰρημένας έστίν; ἔοικε τοίνυν ὁ λόγος ποιείν δήλον ότι το μεν όλίγους ή πολλούς είναι κυ- 35 ρίους συμβεβηκός έστιν, τὸ μὲν ταῖς όλιγαρχίαις τὸ δὲ ταῖς δημοκρατίαις, διὰ τὸ τοὺς μὲν εὐπόρους ὀλίγους, πολλοὺς δ' είναι τοὺς ἀπόρους πανταχοῦ (διὸ καὶ οὐ συμβαίνει τὰς 7 ρηθείσας αἰτίας γίνεσθαι διαφοράς), ῷ δὲ διαφέρουσιν ή τε δημοκρατία καὶ ἡ ὀλιγαρχία ἀλλήλων, πενία καὶ πλοῦτός 40 έστιν, καὶ ἀναγκαῖον μέν, ὅπου αν ἄρχωσι δια πλοῦτον ἄν 1280 α τ' έλάττους ἄν τε πλείους, είναι ταύτην όλιγαρχίαν, ὅπου 8 δ' οἱ ἄποροι, δημοκρατίαν, ἀλλὰ συμβαίνει, καθάπερ εἶπομεν, τοὺς μὲν ὀλίγους εἶναι τοὺς δὲ πολλούς εὐποροῦσι μὲν γαρ ολίγοι της δε έλευθερίας μετέχουσι πάντες δι' ας 5 αιτίας άμφισβητοῦσιν άμφότεροι τῆς πολιτείας.

Αηπτέον δὲ πρῶτον τίνας ὅρους λέγουσι τῆς ὀλιγαρχίας 9
καὶ δημοκρατίας, καὶ τί τὸ δίκαιον τό τε ὀλιγαρχικὸν
καὶ δημοκρατικόν. πάντες γὰρ ἄπτονται δικαίου τινός, ἀλλὰ
μέχρι τινὸς προέρχονται, καὶ λέγουσιν οὐ πῶν τὸ κυρίως το
δίκαιον. οἶον δοκεῖ ἴσον τὸ δίκαιον εἶναι, καὶ ἔστιν, ἀλλ'
2 οὐ πῶσιν ἀλλὰ τοῖς ἴσοις καὶ τὸ ἄνισον δοκεῖ δίκαιον
εἶναι, καὶ γάρ ἐστιν, ἀλλ' οὐ πῶσιν ἀλλὰ τοῖς ἀνίσοις. οἱ
δὲ τοῦτ ἀφαιροῦσι, τὸ οἶς, καὶ κρίνουσι κακῶς. τὸ δ' αἴτιον
ὅτι περὶ αὐτῶν ἡ κρίσις σχεδὸν δ' οἱ πλεῖστοι φαῦλοι 15

κριταί περί τῶν οἰκείων. ὥστ' ἐπεὶ τὸ δίκαιον τισίν, καὶ 3 διήρηται τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ἐπί τε τῶν πραγμάτων καὶ οἶς. καθάπερ εξρηται πρότερον έν τοις ήθικοις, την μέν του πράγματος ισότητα όμολογοῦσι, την δε οίς άμφισβητοῦσι, 20 μάλιστα μεν διὰ τὸ λεχθεν ἄρτι, διότι κρίνουσι τὰ περί αύτους κακώς, έπειτα δε και διά το λέγειν μέχρι τινος έκατέρους δίκαιόν τι νομίζουσι δίκαιον λέγειν άπλῶς, οἱ μὲν 4 γάρ αν κατά τι ανισοι ωσιν, οίον χρήμασιν, όλως οίονται άνισοι είναι, οι δ' άν κατά τι ίσοι, οίον έλευθερία, όλως 25 ίσοι. τὸ δὲ κυριώτατον οὐ λέγουσιν εἰ μὲν γὰρ τῶν κτη- 5 μάτων γάριν έκοινώνησαν καὶ συνήλθον, τοσοῦτον μετέχουσι της πόλεως όσονπερ καὶ της κτήσεως, ώσθ' ὁ τῶν ὀλιγαρχικών λόγος δόξειεν αν ισχύειν (οὐ γὰρ είναι δίκαιον ίσον μετέχειν τῶν ἐκατὸν μνῶν τὸν εἰσενέγκαντα μίαν μνᾶν τῷ 30 δόντι τὸ λοιπὸν πᾶν, οὔτε τῶν έξ ἀρχῆς οὔτε τῶν ἐπιγινομένων) εί δε μήτε τοῦ ζην μόνον ενεκεν άλλα μαλλον τοῦ 6 εὖ ζην (καὶ γὰρ ἀν δούλων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ζώων ην πόλις νῦν δ' οὐκ ἔστι διὰ τὸ μὴ μετέχειν εὐδαιμονίας μηδέ τοῦ ζην κατὰ προαίρεσιν), μήτε συμμαχίας ενεκεν, ὅπως 35 ύπο μηδενος άδικωνται, μήτε διά τὰς άλλαγὰς καὶ τὴν χρησιν την πρὸς άλληλους καὶ γὰρ άν Τυρρηνοὶ καὶ Καρχηδόνιοι, καὶ πάντες οίς έστι σύμβολα πρὸς άλλήλους, ώς μιας αν πολίται πόλεως ήσαν. είσι γοῦν αὐτοίς συνθηκαι 7 περὶ τῶν εἰσαγωγίμων καὶ σύμβολα περὶ τοῦ μὴ ἀδικεῖν 40 καὶ γραφαὶ περὶ συμμαχίας. ἀλλ' οὅτ' ἀρχαὶ πᾶσιν ἐπὶ 1280 b τούτοις κοιναὶ καθεστασιν, άλλ' έτεραι παρ' έκατέροις, οὔτε τοῦ ποίους τινὰς είναι δεῖ φροντίζουσιν ἄτεροι τοὺς έτέρους. οὐδ' ὅπως μηδεὶς ἄδικος ἔσται τῶν ὑπὸ τὰς συνθήκας μηδὲ μοχθηρίαν εξει μηδεμίαν, άλλα μόνον δπως μηδεν άδική-5 σουσιν άλλήλους, περί δ' άρετης καὶ κακίας πολιτικής 8 διασκοποῦσιν δσοι φροντίζουσιν εὐνομίας, ή καὶ φανερον δτι δεί περί άρετης έπιμελές είναι τη γ' ώς άληθως όνομαζομένη πόλει, μη λόγου χάριν. γίνεται γάρ η κοινωνία

συμμαχία των άλλων τόπω διαφέρουσα μόνον των άποθεν συμμάγων, καὶ ὁ νόμος συνθήκη καί καθάπερ έφη Λυ-10 κόφρων ὁ σοφιστής, έγγυητης άλλήλοις τῶν δικαίων, άλλ' 9 ούχ οίος ποιείν άγαθούς καὶ δικαίους τούς πολίτας. ὅτι δὲ τοῦτον ἔχει τὸν τρόπον, φανερόν. εί γάρ τις καὶ συναγάγοι τούς τόπους είς εν, ώστε απτεσθαι την Μεγαρέων πόλιν καί Κορινθίων τοις τείχεσιν, όμως ού μία πόλις. οὐδ' εί πρός 15 άλλήλους έπιγαμίας ποιήσαιντο καίτοι τοῦτο τῶν ἰδίων ταῖς 10 πόλεσι κοινωνημάτων έστίν, δμοίως δ' οὐδ' εἴ τινες οἰκοῖεν γωρίς μέν, μη μέντοι τοσοῦτον ἄποθεν ώστε μη κοινωνείν, άλλ' είησαν αὐτοῖς νόμοι τοῦ μὴ σφᾶς αὐτοὺς άδικεῖν περὶ τάς μεταδόσεις, οίον εί ό μεν είη τέκτων ό δε γεωργός 20 ό δε σκυτοτόμος ό δ' άλλο τι τοιοῦτον, καὶ τὸ πληθος είεν μύριοι, μη μέντοι κοινωνοίεν άλλου μηδενδς ή των τοιούτων 11 οΐον άλλαγης καὶ συμμαχίας, οὐδ' οὕτω πω πόλις. διὰ τίνα δή ποτ' αἰτίαν; οὐ γὰρ δὴ διὰ τὸ μὴ σύνεγγυς τῆς κοινωνίας, εί γὰρ καὶ συνέλθοιεν οὕτω κοινωνοῦντες, ἔκαστος 25 μέντοι χρώτο τη ίδία οἰκία ώσπερ πόλει καὶ σφίσιν αὐτοῖς ώς έπιμαχίας ούσης βοηθοῦντες έπὶ τοὺς άδικοῦντας μόνον. ούδ' ούτως αν είναι δόξειε πόλις τοις ακριβώς θεωρούσιν, είπερ 12 δμοίως δμιλοίεν συνελθόντες και χωρίς. Φανερον τοίνυν δτι ή πόλις οὐκ ἔστι κοινωνία τόπου καὶ τοῦ μὴ ἀδικεῖν σφᾶς 30 αὐτοὺς καὶ τῆς μεταδόσεως χάριν άλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν, εἴπερ ἔσται πόλις, οὐ μὴν οὐδ' ὑπαρχόντων τούτων απάντων ήδη πόλις, άλλ' ή τοῦ εὖ ζην κοινωνία καὶ ταις οικίαις και τοις γένεσι, ζωής τελέας χάριν και αὐτάρ-13 κους, οὐκ ἔσται μέντοι τοῦτο μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν καὶ ἕνα 35 κατοικούντων τόπον καὶ χρωμένων ἐπιγαμίαις. διὸ κηδεῖαί τ' έγένοντο κατά τὰς πόλεις καὶ φρατρίαι καὶ θυσίαι καὶ διαγωγαί τοῦ συζην. τὸ δὲ τοιοῦτον φιλίας ἔργον ἡ γὰρ τοῦ συζην προαίρεσις φιλία. τέλος μέν οὖν πόλεως τὸ εὖ ζην. 14 ταῦτα δὲ τοῦ τέλους χάριν. πόλις δὲ ἡ γενῶν καὶ κωμῶν 40 κοινωνία ζωής τελείας και αὐτάρκους. τοῦτο δ' ἐστίν, ὡς 1281 α φαμέν, τὸ ζῆν εὐδαιμόνως καὶ καλῶς. τῶν καλῶν ἄρα πράξεων χάριν θετέον εἶναι τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν, ἀλλ' οὐ τοῦ συζῆν. διόπερ ὅσοι συμβάλλονται πλεῖστον εἰς τὴν 1: 5 τοιαύτην κοινωνίαν, τούτοις τῆς πόλεως μέτεστι πλεῖον ἢ τοῖς κατὰ μὲν ἐλευθερίαν καὶ γένος ἴσοις ἢ μείζοσι κατὰ δὲ τὴν πολιτικὴν ἀρετὴν ἀνίσοις, ἢ τοῖς κατὰ πλοῦτον ὑπερέχουσι κατ' ἀρετὴν δ' ὑπερεχομένοις. ὅτι μὲν οὖν πάντες οἱ περὶ τῶν πολιτειῶν ἀμφισβητοῦντες μέρος τι τοῦ δικαίου το λέγουσι, φανερὸν ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων.

10 Εχει δ' ἀπορίαν, τί δεῖ τὸ κύριον εἶναι τῆς πόλεως. ή γάρ τοι τὸ πλήθος, ή τοὺς πλουσίους, ή τοὺς ἐπιεικεῖς, ή τὸν βέλτιστον ἕνα πάντων, ἡ τύραννον. άλλὰ ταῦτα πάντα έχειν φαίνεται δυσκολίαν. τί γάρ; αν οι πένητες δια τὸ 15 πλείους είναι διανέμωνται τὰ τῶν πλουσίων, τοῦτ' οὐκ ἄδικόν έστιν; έδοξε γάρ νη Δία τῷ κυρίφ δικαίως, την οὖν ἀδικίαν 2 τί χρη λέγειν την έσχάτην; πάλιν τε πάντων ληφθέντων, οί πλείους τὰ τῶν έλαττόνων ἄν διανέμωνται, φανερὸν ὅτι φθείρουσι την πόλιν. άλλα μην ούχ η γ' άρετη φθείρει τὸ 20 έχον αὐτήν, οὐδὲ τὸ δίκαιον πόλεως φθαρτικόν ώστε δῆλον ότι καὶ τὸν νόμον τοῦτον οὐχ οἶόν τ' εἶναι δίκαιον. ἔτι καὶ 3 τὰς πράξεις ὅσας ὁ τύραννος ἔπραξεν, ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι πάσας δικαίας. βιάζεται γὰρ ὢν κρείττων, ὥσπερ καὶ τὸ πληθος τοὺς πλουσίους. ἀλλ' ἆρα τοὺς ἐλάττους δίκαιον 25 ἄρχειν καὶ τοὺς πλουσίους; ᾶν οὖν κάκεῖνοι ταὐτὰ ποιῶσι καὶ διαρπάζωσι καὶ τὰ κτήματα ἀφαιρῶνται τοῦ πλήθους, τοῦτ' έστὶ δίκαιον; καὶ θάτερον ἄρα. ταῦτα μὲν τοίνυν ὅτι πάντα 4 φαῦλα καὶ οὐ δίκαια, φανερόν άλλὰ τοὺς ἐπιεικεῖς ἄρχειν δεί καὶ κυρίους είναι πάντων; οὐκοῦν ἀνάγκη τοὺς ἄλλους 30 ατίμους είναι πάντας, μή τιμωμένους ταίς πολιτικαίς άρχαίς τιμάς γάρ λέγομεν είναι τάς άρχάς, άρχόντων δ' αίεὶ τῶν αὐτῶν ἀναγκαῖον είναι τοὺς ἄλλους ἀτίμους. άλλ' 5 ένα τὸν σπουδαιότατον ἄρχειν βέλτιον; άλλ' έτι τοῦτο όλιγαρχικώτερον οί γὰρ ἄτιμοι πλείους. άλλ' ἴσως φαίη τις

άν τὸ κύριον όλως άνθρωπον είναι άλλὰ μὴ νόμον φαῦλον, 35 έχοντά γε τὰ συμβαίνοντα πάθη περὶ τὴν ψυχήν. ἀν οὖν ή νόμος μεν όλιγαρχικός δε ή δημοκρατικός, τί διοίσει περί τῶν ἠπορημένων; συμβήσεται γὰρ ὁμοίως τὰ λεχθέντα πρότερον, περί μεν οὖν τῶν ἄλλων ἔστω τις ἔτερος λόγος 11 δτι δè δεῖ, κύριον εἶναι μᾶλλον τὸ πληθος ή τοὺς ἀρίστους 40 μεν ολίγους δε, δόξειεν αν λύεσθαι καί τιν έχειν απορίαν. 2 τάχα δὲ κάν ἀλήθειαν. τοὺς γὰρ πολλούς, ὧν ἕκαστός ἐστιν ού σπουδαίος ανήρ, δμως ένδέχεται συνελθόντας είναι βελ- 1281 b τίους ἐκείνων, οὐχ ὡς ἔκαστον ἀλλ' ὡς σύμπαντας, οἶον τὰ συμφορητά δείπνα των έκ μιας δαπάνης χορηγηθέντων. πολλών γάρ δντων εκαστον μόριον έχειν άρετης καί φρονήσεως, καὶ γίνεσθαι συνελθόντων ωσπερ ένα άνθρωπον 5 τὸ πληθος πολύποδα καὶ πολύχειρα καὶ πολλὰς έχοντ' 3 αίσθήσεις, ούτω καὶ περὶ τὰ ήθη καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν. διὸ καὶ κρίνουσιν ἄμεινον οἱ πολλοὶ καὶ τὰ τῆς μουσικῆς ἔργα καὶ τὰ τῶν ποιητῶν ἄλλοι γὰρ ἄλλο τι μόριον πάντα δὲ 4 πάντες. άλλὰ τούτφ διαφέρουσιν οἱ σπουδαῖοι τῶν ἀνδρῶν 10 έκάστου τῶν πολλῶν, ὥσπερ καὶ τῶν μὴ καλῶν τοὺς καλούς φασι καὶ τὰ γεγραμμένα διὰ τέχνης τῶν ἀληθινῶν, τῷ συνηχθαι τὰ διεσπαρμένα χωρίς είς εν, έπει κεχωρισμένων γε κάλλιον έχειν τοῦ γεγραμμένου τουδὶ μὲν τὸν ὀφθαλ-5 μόν, έτέρου δέ τινος έτερον μόριον. εί μεν οὖν περὶ πάντα 15 δημον καὶ περὶ πᾶν πληθος ἐνδέχεται ταύτην είναι τὴν διαφοράν των πολλων προς τους ολίγους σπουδαίους, άδηλον, ίσως δὲ νη Δία δηλον ὅτι περὶ ἐνίων ἀδύνατον (ὁ γὰρ αὐτὸς καν ἐπὶ τῶν θηρίων άρμόσειε λόγος καίτοι τί διαφέρουσιν ένιοι των θηρίων ώς έπος είπειν;), άλλα περί τι 20 6 πληθος οὐδὲν είναι κωλύει τὸ λεχθὲν άληθές. διὸ καὶ τὴν πρότερον είρημένην ἀπορίαν λύσειεν ἄν τις διὰ τούτων καὶ την έχομένην αὐτης, τίνων δεί κυρίους είναι τοὺς έλευθέρους καὶ τὸ πληθος τῶν πολιτῶν (τοιοῦτοι δ' εἰσὶν ὅσοι μήτε 7 πλούσιοι μήτε ἀξίωμα ἔχουσιν ἀρετῆς μηδέν)· τὸ μὲν γὰρ 25 VOL. III. C

μετέχειν αὐτοὺς τῶν ἀρχῶν τῶν μεγίστων οὐκ ἀσφαλές (διά τε γὰρ ἀδικίαν καὶ δι' ἀφροσύνην τὰ μὲν ἀδικεῖν ἄν τὰ δ' άμαρτάνειν αὐτούς), τὸ δὲ μὴ μεταδιδόναι μηδὲ μετέχειν φοβερόν όταν γὰρ ἄτιμοι πολλοὶ καὶ πένητες ὑπάρ-30 χωσι, πολεμίων άναγκαῖον είναι πλήρη τὴν πόλιν ταύτην. λείπεται δη του βουλεύεσθαι και κρίνειν μετέχειν αὐτούς. 8 διόπερ καὶ Σόλων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τινὲς νομοθετῶν τάττουσιν έπί τε τὰς ἀρχαιρεσίας καὶ τὰς εὐθύνας τῶν ἀρχόντων, άρχειν δε κατά μόνας οὐκ έῶσιν πάντες μεν γάρ έχουσι 9 35 συνελθόντες ίκανην αἴσθησιν, καὶ μιγνύμενοι τοῖς βελτίοσι τὰς πόλεις ώφελοῦσιν, καθάπερ ἡ μὴ καθαρὰ τροφὴ μετὰ τῆς καθαρᾶς τὴν πᾶσαν ποιεῖ χρησιμωτέραν τῆς όλίγης · χωρὶς δ' ἔκαστος ἀτελης περὶ τὸ κρίνειν ἐστίν. ἔχει 10 δ' ή τάξις αυτη της πολιτείας απορίαν πρώτην μέν ότι δόξειεν 40 αν τοῦ αὐτοῦ είναι τὸ κρίναι τίς ὀρθώς ἰάτρευκεν, οὖπερ καὶ τὸ ἰατρεῦσαι καὶ ποιῆσαι ὑγιᾶ τὸν κάμνοντα τῆς νόσου της παρούσης ούτος δ' έστιν ιατρός, όμοιως δέ τούτο καί 1282 a περί τὰς ἄλλας έμπειρίας καὶ τέχνας. ὥσπερ οὖν ἰατρὸν δεί διδόναι τὰς εὐθύνας έν ἰατροίς, οὕτω καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους έν τοις δμοίοις. Ιατρός δ' δ τε δημιουργός και δ άρχιτεκτονι- 11 κός καὶ τρίτος ὁ πεπαιδευμένος περὶ τὴν τέχνην εἰσὶ γάρ 5 τινες τοιούτοι καὶ περὶ πάσας ώς εἰπεῖν τὰς τέχνας, ἀποδίδομεν δε το κρίνειν ούδεν ήττον τοίς πεπαιδευμένοις ή τοις είδοσιν. Επειτα και περί την αίρεσιν τον αύτον αν 12 δόξειεν έχειν τρόπον καὶ γὰρ τὸ έλέσθαι ὀρθώς τῶν εἰδότων έργον έστίν, οἷον γεωμέτρην τε τῶν γεωμετρικῶν καὶ 10 κυβερνήτην των κυβερνητικών, εί γαρ καὶ περὶ ένίων έργων καὶ τεχνῶν μετέχουσι καὶ τῶν ἰδιωτῶν τινές, ἀλλ' οὕ τι τῶν είδότων γε μαλλον. ώστε κατά μέν τοῦτον τὸν λόγον οὐκ 13 αν είη το πληθος ποιητέον κύριον οὔτε τῶν ἀρχαιρεσιῶν οὔτε των εύθυνων. άλλ' ίσως ού πάντα ταῦτα λέγεται καλώς 14 15 διά τε τὸν πάλαι λόγον, αν ή τὸ πληθος μη λίαν ἀνδραποδωδες (έσται γάρ έκαστος μέν χείρων κριτής των είδότων,

απαντες δε συνελθόντες ή βελτίους ή οὐ χείρους), καὶ ὅτι περὶ ἐνίων οὕτε μόνον ὁ ποιήσας οὕτ' ἄριστ' ἄν κρίνειεν, όσων τάργα γιγνώσκουσι καὶ οἱ μὴ ἔχοντες τὴν τέχνην, οἶον οικίαν οὐ μόνον έστὶ γνῶναι τοῦ ποιήσαντος, ἀλλὰ καὶ βέλ- 20 τιον ο χρώμενος αὐτῆ κρινεί (χρηται δ' ο οἰκονόμος), καὶ πηδάλιον κυβερνήτης τέκτονος, καὶ θοίνην ὁ δαιτυμών άλλ' 15 ούχ ὁ μάγειρος. ταύτην μέν οῦν την ἀπορίαν τάγα δόξειέ τις αν ούτω λύειν ίκανως. άλλη δ' έστιν έχομένη ταύτης. δοκεί γὰρ ἄτοπον είναι τὸ μειζόνων είναι κυρίους τοὺς 25 φαύλους τῶν ἐπιεικῶν, αἱ δ' εὔθυναι καὶ αἱ τῶν ἀρχῶν αίρέσεις είσὶ μέγιστον άς έν ένίαις πολιτείαις, ώσπερ είρηται, τοις δήμοις αποδιδόασιν ή γαρ έκκλησία κυρία πάντων τών 16 τοιούτων έστίν. καίτοι της μέν έκκλησίας μετέχουσι καὶ βουλεύουσι καὶ δικάζουσιν άπο μικρών τιμημάτων καὶ τῆς 30 τυχούσης ήλικίας, ταμιεύουσι δε καὶ στρατηγοῦσι καὶ τὰς μεγίστας άρχὰς ἄρχουσιν ἀπὸ μεγάλων. δμοίως δή τις αν λύσειε καὶ ταύτην τὴν ἀπορίαν τοως γὰρ ἔχει καὶ ταῦτ' 17 όρθως, οὐ γὰρ ὁ δικαστής οὐδ' ὁ βουλευτής οὐδ' ὁ έκκλησιαστής άρχων έστίν, άλλα το δικαστήριον και ή βουλή και 35 ό δημος των δε ρηθέντων εκαστος μόριον έστι τούτων (λέγω δε μόριον τον βουλευτήν και τον έκκλησιαστήν και τον δικα-18 στήν): ὥστε δικαίως κύριον μειζόνων τὸ πληθος: ἐκ γὰρ πολλών ὁ δημος καὶ ή βουλη καὶ τὸ δικαστήριον, καὶ τὸ τίμημα δὲ πλεῖον τὸ πάντων τούτων ἡ τὸ τῶν καθ' ἔνα καὶ κατ' 40 19 δλίγους μεγάλας άρχὰς άρχόντων, ταῦτα μέν οὖν διωρίσθω τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον: ἡ δὲ πρώτη λεχθεῖσα ἀπορία ποιεῖ φα- 1282 b νερον ούδεν ούτως έτερον ώς ότι δεί τους νόμους είναι κυρίους κειμένους δρθώς, τὸν ἄρχοντα δέ, ἄν τε εἶς ἄν τε πλείους ῶσι, περὶ τούτων είναι κυρίους περὶ ὅσων ἐξαδυνατοῦσιν οἰ νόμοι λέγειν ἀκριβῶς διὰ τὸ μὴ ράδιον είναι καθόλου διορί- 5 20 σαι περί πάντων. δποίους μέντοι τινάς είναι δεί τούς δρθώς κειμένους νόμους, οὐδέν πω δηλον, άλλ' ἔτι μένει τὸ πάλαι διαπορηθέν. άλλὰ γὰρ καὶ δμοίως ταις πολιτείαις ἀνάγκη

καὶ τοὺς νόμους φαύλους ἢ σπουδαίους εἶναι καὶ δικαίους ἢ 10 ἀδίκους. πλὴν τοῦτό γε φανερόν, ὅτι δεῖ πρὸς τὴν πολιτείαν 21 κεῖσθαι τοὺς νόμους. ἀλλὰ μὴν εἰ τοῦτο, δῆλον ὅτι τοὺς μὲν κατὰ τὰς ὀρθὰς πολιτείας ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι δικαίους, τοὺς δὲ κατὰ τὰς παρεκβεβηκυίας οὐ δικαίους.

12 'Επεὶ δ' ἐν πάσαις μὲν ταῖς ἐπιστήμαις καὶ τέχναις 15 άγαθὸν τὸ τέλος, μέγιστον δὲ καὶ μάλιστα ἐν τῆ κυριωτάτη πασών, αΰτη δ' έστὶν ἡ πολιτικὴ δύναμις, έστι δὲ πολιτικόν άγαθόν το δίκαιον, τοῦτο δ' έστὶ το κοινῆ συμφέρον, δοκεί δε πασιν ίσον τι το δίκαιον είναι, καὶ μέχρι γέ τινος όμολογοῦσι τοῖς κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν λόγοις, ἐν οἶς 20 διώρισται περὶ τῶν ἡθικῶν (τὶ γὰρ καὶ τισὶ τὸ δίκαιον, καὶ δείν τοίς ἴσοις ἴσον εἶναί φασιν): ποίων δ' ἰσότης έστὶ καὶ 2 ποίων άνισότης, δεί μη λανθάνειν έχει γάρ τοῦτ' ἀπορίαν καὶ φιλοσοφίαν πολιτικήν, ἴσως γὰρ ἄν φαίη τις κατὰ παντός ὑπεροχὴν ἀγαθοῦ δεῖν ἀνίσως νενεμῆσθαι τὰς ἀρ-25 χάς, εί πάντα τὰ λοιπὰ μηδέν διαφέροιεν άλλ' δμοιοι τυγχάνοιεν όντες τοις γάρ διαφέρουσιν έτερον είναι τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ κατ' άξίαν. άλλὰ μὴν εἰ τοῦτ' άληθές, ἔσται 3 καὶ κατὰ χρῶμα καὶ κατὰ μέγεθος καὶ καθ' ότιοῦν τῶν άγαθων πλεονεξία τις των πολιτικών δικαίων τοις ύπερέ-30 χουσιν. ή τοῦτο ἐπιπόλαιον τὸ ψεῦδος; φανερὸν δ' ἐπὶ τῶν 4 άλλων έπιστημών καὶ δυνάμεων των γὰρ δμοίων αὐλητών την τέχνην οὐ δοτέον πλεονεξίαν τῶν αὐλῶν τοῖς εὐγενεστέροις ούδεν γάρ αὐλήσουσι βέλτιον, δει δε τῷ κατὰ τὸ έργον ὑπερέχοντι διδόναι καὶ τῶν ὀργάνων τὴν ὑπεροχήν. εἰ 35 δε μήπω δηλον το λεγόμενον, έτι μαλλον αὐτο προαγαγοῦσιν ἔσται φανερόν. εἰ γὰρ εἴη τις ὑπερέχων μὲν κατὰ 5 τὴν αὐλητικήν, πολὺ δ' έλλείπων κατ' εὐγένειαν ἡ κάλλος, εί και μείζον έκαστον έκείνων άγαθόν έστι της αύλητικης (λέγω δὲ τήν τ' εὐγένειαν καὶ τὸ κάλλος), καὶ κατὰ 40 την αναλογίαν υπερέχουσι πλέον της αυλητικής ή έκεινος κατά την αύλητικήν, δμως τούτφ δοτέον τους διαφέροντας

τῶν αὐλῶν : δεῖ γὰρ εἰς τὸ ἔργον συμβάλλεσθαι τὴν ὑπε- 1283 a ροχήν καὶ τοῦ πλούτου καὶ τῆς εὐγενείας, συμβάλλονται δ' 6 οὐδέν, ἔτι κατά γε τοῦτον τὸν λόγον πᾶν ἀγαθὸν πρὸς πᾶν αν είη συμβλητόν. εί γαρ μαλλον τὸ τὶ μέγεθος, καὶ ὅλως αν τὸ μέγεθος ἐνάμιλλον εἴη καὶ πρὸς πλοῦτον καὶ πρὸς 5 έλευθερίαν. ὥστ' εἰ πλεῖον ὁδὶ διαφέρει κατὰ μέγεθος ή όδὶ κατ' ἀρετήν, καὶ πλείον ὑπερέχει ὅλως ἀρετής μέγεθος, είη αν συμβλητα πάντα τοσόνδε γαρ [μέγεθος] εί 7 κρείττον τοσούδε, τοσόνδε δήλον ώς ίσον. έπει δε τούτ άδύνατον, δήλον ώς καὶ έπὶ τῶν πολιτικῶν εὐλόγως οὐ κατὰ 10 πασαν ανισότητ' αμφισβητοῦσι των αρχων (εί γαρ'οί μεν βραδείς οι δε ταχείς, ούδεν διὰ τοῦτο δεί τοὺς μέν πλείον τους δ' έλαττον έχειν, άλλ' έν τοις γυμνικοίς άγωσιν ή 8 τούτων διαφορά λαμβάνει την τιμήν), άλλ' έξ ων πόλις συνέστηκεν, έν τούτοις άναγκαῖον ποιεῖσθαι τὴν άμφισβήτησιν. 15 διόπερ εὐλόγως ἀντιποιοῦνται τῆς τιμῆς οἱ εὐγενεῖς καὶ ἐλεύθεροι καὶ πλούσιοι. δεῖ γὰρ ἐλευθέρους τ' εἶναι καὶ τίμημα φέροντας (οὐ γὰρ ἀν είη πόλις έξ ἀπόρων πάντων, ὥσπερ 9 οὐδ' ἐκ δούλων) ἀλλὰ μὴν εἰ δεῖ τούτων, δῆλον ὅτι καὶ δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῆς πολεμικῆς ἀρετῆς οὐδὲ γὰρ ἄνευ 20 τούτων οἰκεῖσθαι πόλιν δυνατόν, πλην ἄνευ μὲν τῶν προτέρων άδύνατον είναι πόλιν, άνευ δε τούτων οἰκείσθαι καλώς. πρός μέν οὖν τὸ πόλιν εἶναι δόξειεν αν ἡ πάντα 13 η ένιά γε τούτων δρθώς άμφισβητείν, πρός μέντοι ζωήν άγαθην η παιδεία καὶ η άρετη μάλιστα δικαίως αν άμφισ- 25 βητοίησαν, καθάπερ είρηται καὶ πρότερον. ἐπεὶ δ' οὔτε πάντων ίσον έχειν δεί τους ίσους έν τι μόνον όντας ούτε άνισον τοὺς ἀνίσους καθ' εν, ἀνάγκη πάσας είναι τὰς 2 τοιαύτας πολιτείας παρεκβάσεις. είρηται μέν οῦν καὶ πρότερον ότι διαμφισβητοῦσι τρόπον τινὰ δικαίως πάντες, 30 άπλως δ' οὐ πάντες δικαίως, οἱ πλούσιοι μὲν ὅτι πλεῖον μέτεστι της χώρας αὐτοῖς, η δε χώρα κοινόν, ἔτι πρὸς τὰ συμβόλαια πιστοί μαλλον ώς έπι τὸ πλέον οι δ' έλεύ-

θεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς ὡς ἐγγὺς ἀλλήλων (πολίται γὰρ μᾶλλον 35 οἱ γενναιότεροι τῶν ἀγεννῶν, ἡ δ' εὐγένεια παρ' ἐκάστοις οίκοι τίμιος. έτι διότι βελτίους είκὸς τους έκ βελτιόνων, 3 εὐγένεια γάρ έστιν άρετη γένους). δμοίως δη φήσομεν δικαίως και την άρετην άμφισβητείν κοινωνικήν γάρ άρετην είναί φαμεν την δικαιοσύνην, ή πάσας άναγκαῖον άκολουθεῖν 40 τὰς ἄλλας. άλλὰ μὴν καὶ οἱ πλείους πρὸς τοὺς ἐλάττους 4 καὶ γὰρ κρείττους καὶ πλουσιώτεροι καὶ βελτίους εἰσίν, ώς λαμβανομένων των πλειόνων πρός τους έλάττους, άρ' ουν 1283 b εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιὰ πόλει, λέγω δ' οἶον οἵ τ' ἀγαθοὶ καὶ οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς, ἔτι δὲ πληθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν, πότερον αμφισβήτησις έσται τίνας άρχειν δεί, ή ούκ έσται; καθ' έκάστην μέν οὖν πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων 5 5 άναμφισβήτητος ή κρίσις τίνας ἄρχειν δεῖ (τοῖς γὰρ κυρίοις διαφέρουσιν άλλήλων, οΐον ή μέν τῷ διὰ πλουσίων ή δὲ τῷ δια των σπουδαίων άνδρων είναι, και των άλλων έκάστη τον αὐτον τρόπον): άλλ' δμως σκοποῦμεν, δταν περί τον αὐτὸν ταῦθ' ὑπάρχη χρόνον, πῶς διοριστέον. εἰ δὴ τὸν 6 10 άριθμον είεν ολίγοι πάμπαν οι την άρετην έχοντες, τίνα δεί διελείν τρόπον; ή τὸ ὀλίγοι πρὸς τὸ ἔργον δεί σκοπείν, εί δυνατοὶ διοικείν την πόλιν ή τοσούτοι τὸ πληθος ώστ' είναι πόλιν έξ αὐτῶν; ἔστι δὲ ἀπορία τις πρὸς ἄπαντας τούς διαμφισβητοῦντας περί τῶν πολιτικῶν τιμῶν. δόξαιεν 7 15 γαρ (αν) ούδεν λέγειν δίκαιον οί δια τον πλουτον αξιούντες άρχειν, όμοίως δὲ καὶ οἱ κατὰ γένος. δηλον γὰρ ώς εἴ τις πάλιν είς πλουσιώτερος ἀπάντων έστί, δήλον ὅτι κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ δίκαιον τοῦτον ἄρχειν τὸν ἔνα ἀπάντων δεήσει. όμοίως δε και τον εύγενεία διαφέροντα των άμφισβητούν-20 των δι' έλευθερίαν. ταύτο δε τοῦτο ίσως συμβήσεται καί 8 περί τὰς ἀριστοκρατίας ἐπὶ τῆς ἀρετῆς. εί γάρ τις είς ἀμείνων άνηρ είη των άλλων των έν τῷ πολιτεύματι σπουδαίων όντων, τοῦτον είναι δεῖ κύριον κατὰ ταὐτὸ δίκαιον. οὐκοῦν εί καὶ τὸ πληθος είναι γε δεί κύριον διότι κρείττους είσὶ τῶν

όλίγων, κάν είς ή πλείους μεν τοῦ ένδς έλάττους δε τών 25 πολλών κρείττους ώσι τών άλλων, τούτους αν δέοι κυρίους 9 είναι μάλλον ή τὸ πλήθος, πάντα δὴ ταῦτ' ἔοικε φανερὸν ποιείν δτι τούτων τῶν ὅρων οὐδεὶς ὀρθός ἐστι, καθ' δν άξιοῦσιν αὐτοὶ μὲν ἄρχειν τοὺς δ' ἄλλους ὑπὸ σφῶν ἄρχε-10 σθαι πάντας, καὶ γὰρ δὴ καὶ πρὸς τοὺς κατ' ἀρετὴν 30 άξιοῦντας κυρίους είναι τοῦ πολιτεύματος, όμοίως δὲ καὶ τοὺς κατὰ πλοῦτον, ἔγοιεν ἄν λέγειν τὰ πλήθη λόγον τινὰ δίκαιον : ούδεν γάρ κωλύει ποτέ τὸ πληθος είναι βέλτιον τών δλίγων καὶ πλουσιώτερον, ούχ ώς καθ' έκαστον όλλ' ώς 11 άθρόους. διὸ καὶ πρὸς τὴν ἀπορίαν, ἢν ζητοῦσι καὶ προβάλ- 35 λουσί τινες, ένδέχεται τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον ἀπαντᾶν ἀποροῦσι γάρ τινες πότερον τῷ νομοθέτη νομοθετητέον, βουλομένω τίθεσθαι τοὺς ὀρθοτάτους νόμους, πρὸς τὸ τῶν βελτιόνων συμφέρον ή πρός το των πλειόνων, όταν συμβαίνη το λεχθέν. 12 τὸ δ' ὀρθὸν ληπτέον ἴσως: τὸ δ' ἴσως ὀρθὸν πρὸς τὸ τῆς 40 πόλεως όλης συμφέρον καὶ πρὸς τὸ κοινὸν τὸ τῶν πολιτων πολίτης δε κοινή μεν ο μετέχων του άρχειν και άργεσθαί έστι, καθ' έκάστην δὲ πολιτείαν έτερος, πρὸς δὲ τὴν 1284 2 άρίστην ὁ δυνάμενος καὶ προαιρούμενος ἄρχεσθαι καὶ ἄρχειν 13 πρὸς τὸν βίον τὸν κατ' ἀρετήν. εἰ δέ τίς ἐστιν εἶς τοσοῦτον διαφέρων κατ' άρετης ύπερβολήν, ή πλείους μέν ένδς μή μέντοι δυνατοί πλήρωμα παρασχέσθαι πόλεως, ώστε μή 5 συμβλητήν είναι την των άλλων άρετην πάντων μηδε την δύναμιν αὐτῶν τὴν πολιτικὴν πρὸς τὴν ἐκείνων, εἰ πλείους, εί δ' είς, την έκείνου μόνον, οὐκέτι θετέον τούτους μέρος πόλεως άδικήσονται γάρ άξιούμενοι των ίσων, άνισοι τοσοῦτον κατ' άρετην όντες και την πολιτικήν δύναμιν. ώσπερ γάρ 10 14 θεὸν ἐν ἀνθρώποις εἰκὸς εἶναι τὸν τοιοῦτον. ὅθεν δῆλον ὅτι καὶ τὴν νομοθεσίαν ἀναγκαῖον είναι περὶ τοὺς ἴσους καὶ τῷ γένει καὶ τῆ δυνάμει κατὰ δὲ τῶν τοιούτων οὐκ ἔστι νόμος. αὐτοὶ γάρ εἰσι νόμος. καὶ γὰρ γελοῖος ἄν εἶη νομοθετεῖν τις πειρώμενος κατ' αὐτῶν λέγοιεν γὰρ αν ίσως ἄπερ Άντι- 15

σθένης έφη τοὺς λέοντας δημηγορούντων τῶν δασυπόδων καὶ τὸ ἴσον ἀξιούντων πάντας ἔχειν. διὸ καὶ τίθενται τὸν ὀστρα- 15 κισμον αι δημοκρατούμεναι πόλεις, διά την τοιαύτην αιτίαν αθται γὰρ δὴ δοκοῦσι διώκειν τὴν ἰσότητα μάλιστα πάντων, 20 ώστε τοὺς δοκοῦντας ὑπερέχειν δυνάμει διὰ πλοῦτον ἡ πολυφιλίαν ή τινα άλλην πολιτικήν ίσχὺν ώστράκιζον καὶ μεθίστασαν έκ τῆς πόλεως χρόνους ώρισμένους. μυθολογεῖται 16 δὲ καὶ τοὺς 'Αργοναύτας τὸν 'Ηρακλέα καταλιπεῖν διὰ τοιαύτην αίτίαν ου γάρ έθέλειν αυτόν ἄγειν την Άργω 25 μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων ὡς ὑπερβάλλοντα πολὺ τῶν πλωτήρων. διό και τους ψέγοντας την τυραννίδα και την Περιάνδρου Θρασυβούλφ συμβουλίαν ούχ άπλως οἰητέον ὀρθως ἐπιτιμαν (φασί γὰρ τὸν Περίανδρον εἰπεῖν μέν οὐδέν πρὸς τὸν πεμ- 17 φθέντα κήρυκα περί της συμβουλίας, άφαιροῦντα δὲ τοὺς 30 ύπερέχοντας των σταχύων όμαλθναι την άρουραν οθεν άγνοοῦντος μέν τοῦ κήρυκος τοῦ γινομένου την αἰτίαν, άπαγγείλαντος δε το συμπεσόν, συννοήσαι τον Θρασύβουλον δτι δεί τοὺς ὑπερέχοντας ἄνδρας ἀναιρείν), τοῦτο γὰρ οὐ μόνον 18 συμφέρει τοῖς τυράννοις, οὐδὲ μόνον οἱ τύραννοι ποιοῦσιν 35 άλλ' δμοίως έχει καὶ περὶ τὰς δλιγαρχίας καὶ τὰς δημοκρατίας δ γαρ δστρακισμός την αύτην έχει δύναμιν τρόπον τινά τῷ κολούειν τοὺς ὑπερέχοντας καὶ φυγαδεύειν. τὸ δ' αὐτὸ καὶ περὶ τὰς πόλεις καὶ τὰ ἔθνη ποιοῦσιν οί 19 κύριοι της δυνάμεως, οίον 'Αθηναίοι μέν περί Σαμίους καλ 40 Χίους καὶ Λεσβίους (ἐπεὶ γὰρ θᾶττον ἐγκρατῶς ἔσχον τὴν άρχήν, έταπείνωσαν αὐτοὺς παρὰ τὰς συνθήκας), ὁ δὲ Περ-1284 η σων βασιλεύς Μήδους καὶ Βαβυλωνίους καὶ των άλλων τούς πεφρονηματισμένους διὰ τὸ γενέσθαι ποτ' ἐπ' ἀρχης ἐπέκοπτε πολλάκις, τὸ δὲ πρόβλημα καθόλου περὶ πάσας 20 έστὶ τὰς πολιτείας, καὶ τὰς ὀρθάς αὶ μὲν γὰρ παρεκβε-5 βηκυίαι πρός τὸ ίδιον ἀποσκοποῦσαι τοῦτο δρώσιν, οὐ μην άλλα περί τας το κοινον άγαθον έπισκοπούσας τον αὐτον έχει τρόπου. δήλον δὲ τοῦτο καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων τεχνῶν 21

καὶ ἐπιστημῶν οὔτε γὰρ γραφεύς ἐάσειεν αν τὸν ὑπερβάλλοντα πόδα της συμμετρίας έχειν το ζώον, οὐδ' εί διαφέροι τὸ κάλλος, οὖτε ναυπηγὸς πρύμναν ἢ τῶν ἄλλων 10 τι μορίων των της νεώς, οὐδὲ δη χοροδιδάσκαλος τον μείζον καὶ κάλλιον τοῦ παντὸς χοροῦ φθεγγόμενον ἐάσει συγ-22 χορεύειν. ὥστε διὰ τοῦτο μὲν οὐδὲν κωλύει τοὺς μονάρχους συμφωνείν ταίς πόλεσιν, εί της οἰκείας άρχης ώφελίμου ταις πόλεσιν ούσης τοῦτο δρώσιν. διὸ κατὰ τὰς ὁμολογου- 15 μένας ὑπεροχὰς ἔχει τι δίκαιον πολιτικὸν ὁ λόγος ὁ περὶ 23 τον δστρακισμόν. βέλτιον μέν ουν τον νομοθέτην έξ άρχης ούτω συστήσαι την πολιτείαν ώστε μη δείσθαι τοιαύτης ιατρείας δεύτερος δε πλούς αν συμβή, πειρασθαι τοιούτω τινὶ διορθώματι διορθοῦν. ὅπερ οὐκ ἐγίγνετο περὶ τὰς πόλεις. 20 ού γὰρ ἔβλεπον πρὸς τὸ τῆς πολιτείας τῆς οἰκείας συμφέρον, 24 άλλὰ στασιαστικώς έχρωντο τοίς ὀστρακισμοίς. έν μέν οὖν ταῖς παρεκβεβηκυίαις πολιτείαις ὅτι μὲν ἰδία συμφέρει καὶ δίκαιόν ἐστι, φανερόν, ἴσως δὲ καὶ ὅτι οὐχ ἀπλῶς δίκαιον, καὶ τοῦτο φανερόν άλλ' ἐπὶ τῆς ἀρίστης πολιτείας 25 έγει πολλην ἀπορίαν, οὐ κατὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἀγαθῶν τὴν ύπεροχήν, οίον ίσχύος καὶ πλούτου καὶ πολυφιλίας, άλλ' 25 αν τις γένηται διαφέρων κατ άρετήν, τί χρη ποιείν; οὐ γὰρ δὴ φαῖεν ἀν δεῖν ἐκβάλλειν καὶ μεθιστάναι τὸν τοιοῦτον. άλλὰ μὴν οὐδ' ἄρχειν γε τοῦ τοιούτου παραπλήσιον 30 γὰρ κὰν εἰ τοῦ Διὸς ἄρχειν ἀξιοῖεν, μερίζοντες τὰς ἀρχάς. λείπεται τοίνυν, ὅπερ ἔοικε πεφυκέναι, πείθεσθαι τῷ τοιούτο πάντας ἀσμένως, ὥστε βασιλέας είναι τοὺς τοιούτους ἀιδίους έν ταις πόλεσιν.

"Ισως δε καλώς έχει μετά τοὺς εἰρημένους λόγους με- 14 ταβῆναι καὶ σκέψασθαι περὶ βασιλείας φαμεν γὰρ τῶν ὀρθῶν πολιτειῶν μίαν εἶναι ταύτην. σκεπτέον δε πότερον συμφέρει τῆ μελλούση καλῶς οἰκήσεσθαι καὶ πόλει καὶ χώρα βασιλεύεσθαι, ἡ οὕ, ἀλλ' ἄλλη τις πολιτεία μᾶλ-2 λον, ἡ τισὶ μεν συμφέρει τισὶ δ' οὐ συμφέρει. δεῖ δε 40

πρώτον διελέσθαι πότερον εν το γένος έστιν αὐτης ή πλείους 1285 α έχει διαφοράς. ράδιον δη τοῦτό γε καταμαθείν, ὅτι πλείω τε γένη περιέχει και της άρχης ο τρόπος έστιν ούχ είς πασων, ή γαρ έν τη Λακωνική πολιτεία δοκεί μεν είναι 3 βασιλεία μάλιστα τῶν κατὰ νόμον, οὐκ ἔστι δὲ κυρία πάν-5 των, άλλ' ὅταν ἐξέλθη τὴν χώραν, ἡγεμών ἐστι τῶν πρὸς τὸν πόλεμον ἔτι δὲ τὰ πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς ἀποδέδοται τοῖς βασιλεῦσιν, αὕτη μὲν οὖν ἡ βασιλεία οἶον στρατηγία τις 4 αὐτοκρατόρων καὶ ἀίδιός ἐστιν κτείναι γὰρ οὐ κύριος, εί μη έν τινι βασιλεία, καθάπερ έπὶ τῶν ἀρχαίων ἐν ταῖς 10 πολεμικαις έξόδοις έν χειρός νόμφ. δηλοί δ' "Ομηρος ό γὰρ 'Αγαμέμνων κακώς μεν ἀκούων ἡνείχετο ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις έξελθόντων δε και κτείναι κύριος ήν. λέγει γουν 5 " δν δέ κ' έγων ἀπάνευθε μάχης, οὔ οἱ ἄρκιον ἐσσείται φυγέειν κύνας ήδ' οἰωνούς πὰρ γὰρ έμοὶ θάνατος." εν μέν 15 οὖν τοῦτ' είδος βασιλείας, στρατηγία διὰ βίου, τούτων δ' αί μεν κατά γένος είσίν, αι δ' αίρεταί παρά ταύτην δ' άλλο 6 μοναρχίας είδος, οίαι παρ' ένίοις είσὶ βασιλείαι των βαρβάρων, έχουσι δ' αθται την δύναμιν πασαι παραπλησίαν τυραννίσιν, είσὶ δὲ καὶ κατὰ νόμον καὶ πατρικαί διὰ γὰρ 20 τὸ δουλικώτεροι είναι τὰ ήθη φύσει οἱ μὲν βάρβαροι τῶν Έλλήνων, οἱ δὲ περὶ τὴν ᾿Ασίαν τῶν περὶ τὴν Εὐρώπην, ύπομένουσι την δεσποτικήν άρχην ούδεν δυσχεραίνοντες. τυραννικαί μέν οὖν διὰ τὸ τοιοῦτόν είσιν, ἀσφαλείς δὲ διὰ 7 τὸ πάτριαι καὶ κατὰ νόμον είναι. καὶ ἡ φυλακὴ δὲ βα-25 σιλική και ού τυραννική διά την αύτην αίτίαν οί γάρ πολίται φυλάττουσιν δπλοις τοὺς βασιλείς, τοὺς δὲ τυράννους ξενικόν οι μεν γάρ κατά νόμον και εκόντων, οι δ' άκόντων ἄρχουσιν, ὥσθ' οἱ μὲν παρὰ τῶν πολιτῶν οἱ δ' ἐπὶ τους πολίτας έχουσι την φυλακήν. δύο μέν ουν είδη ταυτα 8 30 μοναρχίας, έτερον δ' όπερ ην έν τοις άρχαίοις Ελλησιν, ους καλοῦσιν αἰσυμνήτας, έστι δὲ τοῦθ' ώς ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν αίρετή τυραννίς, διαφέρουσα δὲ τῆς βαρβαρικῆς οὐ τῷ μὴ κατὰ

9 νόμον άλλὰ τῷ μὴ πάτριος εἶναι μόνον. ἦρχον δ' οἱ μὲν διὰ βίου τὴν ἀρχὴν ταύτην, οἱ δὲ μέχρι τινῶν ὡρισμένων χρόνων ἡ πράξεων, οἷον εἶλοντό ποτε Μιτυληναῖοι Πιττα- 35 κὸν πρὸς τοὺς φυγάδας ὧν προειστήκεσαν 'Αντιμενίδης καὶ 10 'Αλκαῖος ὁ ποιητής. δηλοῖ δ' 'Αλκαῖος ὅτι τύραννον εἵλοντο τὸν Πιττακὸν ἔν τινι τῶν σκολιῶν μελῶν' ἐπιτιμῷ γὰρ ὅτι "τὸν κακοπάτριδα Πιττακὸν πόλεως τῶς ἀχόλω καὶ βαρυδαίμονος 'Εστάσαντο τύραννον μέγ' ἐπαινέοντες ἀολ- 1285 b 11 λέες." αὖται μὲν οὖν εἰσί τε καὶ ἦσαν διὰ μὲν τὸ δεσποτικαὶ εἶναι τυραννικαί, διὰ δὲ τὸ αἰρεταὶ καὶ ἐκόντων βασιλικαίττέταρτον δ' εἶδος μοναρχίας βασιλικῆς αἱ κατὰ τοὺς ἡρωϊκοὺς χρόνους ἐκούσιαί τε καὶ πάτριαι γιγνόμεναι κατὰ νόμον 5 12 διὰ γὰρ τὸ τοὺς πρώτους γενέσθαι τοῦ πλήθους εὐεργέτας κατὰ τέχνας ἡ πόλεμον, ἡ διὰ τὸ συναγαγεῖν ἡ πορίσαι

- χώραν, ἐγίγνοντο βασιλεῖς ἐκόντων καὶ τοῖς παραλαμβάνουσι πάτριοι. κύριοι δ' ἦσαν τῆς τε κατὰ πόλεμον ἡγεμονίας καὶ τῶν θυσιῶν, ὅσαι μὴ ἰερατικαί, καὶ πρὸς τούτοις 10
 τὰς δίκας ἔκρινον. τοῦτο δ' ἐποίουν οἱ μὲν οὐκ ὀμνύοντες,
 οἱ δ' ὀμνύοντες· ὁ δ' ὅρκος ἦν τοῦ σκήπτρου ἐπανάτασις.
 13 οἱ μὲν οὖν ἐπὶ τῶν ἀρχαίων χρόνων καὶ τὰ κατὰ πόλιν
- καὶ τὰ ἔνδημα καὶ τὰ ὑπερόρια συνεχῶς ἢρχον. ὕστερον δὲ τὰ μὲν αὐτῶν παριέντων τῶν βασιλέων, τὰ δὲ τῶν ὅχλων 15 παραιρουμένων, ἐν μὲν ταῖς ἄλλαις πόλεσιν αἰ πάτριοι θυσίαι κατελείφθησαν τοῖς βασιλεῦσι μόνον, ὅπου δ' ἄξιον εἰπεῖν εἶναι βασιλείαν, ἐν τοῖς ὑπερορίοις τῶν πολεμικῶν τὴν ἡγεμονίαν μόνον εἶχον.
- 14 Βασιλείας μεν οὖν εἴδη ταῦτα, τέτταρα τον ἀριθμόν, 20 μία μεν ἡ περὶ τοὺς ἡρωϊκοὺς χρόνους (αὕτη δ' ἢν ἐκόντων μέν, ἐπί τισι δ' ὡρισμένοις στρατηγος γὰρ ἦν καὶ δικαστὴς ὁ βασιλεύς, καὶ τῶν προς τοὺς θεοὺς κύριος), δευτέρα δ' ἡ βαρβαρική (αὕτη δ' ἐστὶν ἐκ γένους ἀρχὴ δεσποτική κατὰ νόμον), τρίτη δὲ ἡν αἰσυμνητείαν προσαγορεύουσιν 25 (αὕτη δ' ἐστὶν αἰρετὴ τυραννίς), τετάρτη δ' ἡ Λακωνικὴ

τούτων (αύτη δ' έστιν ώς είπειν άπλως στρατηγία κατά γένος αίδιος) αυται μεν ουν τουτον τον τρόπον διαφέρουσιν 15 άλλήλων, πέμπτον δ' είδος βασιλείας, δταν ή πάντων 30 κύριος είς ών, ωσπερ εκαστον έθνος και πόλις εκάστη των κοινών, τεταγμένη κατά την οίκονομικήν ωσπερ γάρ ή οίκονομική βασιλεία τις οίκίας έστίν, ούτως ή βασιλεία 15 πόλεως καὶ έθνους ένὸς ἡ πλειόνων οἰκονομία. σχεδὸν δὴ δύο έστιν ώς είπειν είδη βασιλείας περί ων σκεπτέον, αυτη 35 τε καὶ ἡ Λακωνική: τῶν γὰρ ἄλλων αἱ πολλαὶ μεταξὺ τούτων είσίν, έλαττόνων μέν γάρ κύριοι της παμβασιλείας, πλειόνων δ' είσὶ τῆς Λακωνικῆς. ὥστε τὸ σκέμμα σχεδὸν 2 περί δυοίν έστίν, έν μεν πότερον συμφέρει ταίς πόλεσι στρατηγον άίδιον είναι, και τοῦτον ή κατά γένος ή κατά μέρος, 1286 a ή ού συμφέρει εν δε πότερον ενα συμφέρει κύριον είναι πάντων, ή ού συμφέρει. τὸ μεν οὖν περὶ τῆς τοιαύτης στρατηγίας έπισκοπείν νόμων έχει μαλλον είδος ή πολιτείας (ἐν ἀπάσαις γὰρ ἐνδέχεται γίγνεσθαι τοῦτο ταῖς πολιτείαις), 5 $\omega \sigma \tau$ άφείσθω την πρώτην. δ δε λοιπδς τρόπος της βασι- δ λείας πολιτείας είδός έστιν, ώστε περί τούτου δεί θεωρήσαι καὶ τὰς ἀπορίας ἐπιδραμεῖν τὰς ἐνούσας. ἀρχὴ δ' ἐστὶ τῆς ζητήσεως αυτη, πότερον συμφέρει μαλλον υπό του άρίστου άνδρος άρχεσθαι ή ύπο των άρίστων νόμων. δοκοῦσι δή τοίς 4 10 νομίζουσι συμφέρειν βασιλεύεσθαι τὸ καθόλου μόνον οἱ νόμοι λέγειν, άλλ' οὐ πρὸς τὰ προσπίπτοντα ἐπιτάττειν, ὥστ' ἐν όποιαοῦν τέχνη τὸ κατὰ γράμματ' ἄρχειν ἡλίθιον καὶ ἐν Αίγύπτφ μετά την τετρήμερον κινείν έξεστι τοίς ιατροίς, έὰν δὲ πρότερον, ἐπὶ τῷ αὐτοῦ κινδύνφ. φανερὸν τοίνυν ὡς 15 οὐκ ἔστιν ἡ κατὰ γράμματα καὶ νόμους ἀρίστη πολιτεία διά την αύτην αίτίαν. άλλά μην κάκείνον δεί ὑπάρχειν 5 τὸν λόγον τὸν καθόλου τοῖς ἄρχουσιν: κρεῖττον δ' ὧ μὴ πρόσεστι τὸ παθητικὸν ὅλως ἡ ῷ συμφυές, τῷ μὲν οὖν νόμφ τοῦτο οὐχ ὑπάρχει, ψυχὴν δ' ἀνθρωπίνην ἀνάγκη τοῦτ' 20 έχειν πασαν. άλλ' ίσως αν φαίη τις ώς άντὶ τούτου βου-

6 λεύσεται περὶ τῶν καθ' ἔκαστα κάλλιον. ὅτι μὲν τοίνυν ανάγκη νομοθέτην αὐτὸν είναι, δήλον, καὶ κείσθαι νόμους, άλλα μη κυρίους ή παρεκβαίνουσιν, έπει περί των γ' άλλων είναι δεί κυρίους όσα δε μη δυνατον τον νόμον κρίνειν ή όλως η εῦ, πότερον ἕνα τὸν ἄριστον δεῖ ἄρχειν ἡ πάντας; 25 7 καὶ γὰρ νῦν συνιόντες δικάζουσι καὶ βουλεύονται καὶ κρίνουσιν, αὖται δ' αἱ κρίσεις εἰσὶ πᾶσαι περὶ τῶν καθ' ἕκαστον. καθ' ένα μεν οῦν συμβαλλόμενος όστισοῦν ἴσως χείρων. άλλ' έστιν ή πόλις έκ πολλών, ώσπερ έστίασις συμφορητός καλλίων μιᾶς καὶ άπλης. διὰ τοῦτο καὶ κρίνει ἄμεινον 30 8 όχλος πολλά ή είς δστισοῦν. έτι μᾶλλον άδιάφθορον τὸ πολύ, καθάπερ ὕδωρ τὸ πλείον, οὕτω καὶ τὸ πληθος τῶν ολίγων άδιαφθορώτερον τοῦ δ' ένδς ὑπ' ὀργης κρατηθέντος ή τινος έτέρου πάθους τοιούτου αναγκαΐον διεφθάρθαι την κρίσιν, έκει δ' έργον άμα πάντας όργισθηναι και άμαρτείν. 35 9 έστω δὲ τὸ πληθος οἱ ἐλεύθεροι, μηδὲν παρὰ τὸν νόμον πράττοντες, άλλ' ή περί ων έκλείπειν άναγκαῖον αὐτόν. εί δε δη τοῦτο μη ράδιον έν πολλοίς, άλλ' εί πλείους είεν άγαθοὶ καὶ ἄνδρες καὶ πολίται, πότερον ὁ εἶς άδιαφθορώτερος ἄρχων, ἡ μᾶλλον οἱ πλείους μὲν τὸν ἀριθμὸν ἀγαθοὶ 40 δὲ πάντες: ἡ δῆλον ὡς οἱ πλείους; ἀλλ' οἱ μὲν στασιάσουσιν, 1286 b 10 ὁ δὲ εἶς ἀστασίαστος. ἀλλὰ πρὸς τοῦτ' ἀντιθετέον ἴσως ὅτι σπουδαίοι την ψυχήν, ωσπερ κάκείνος ο είς, εί δη την μέν τῶν πλειόνων ἀρχὴν ἀγαθῶν δ' ἀνδρῶν πάντων ἀριστοκρατίαν θετέον, την δε τοῦ ένὸς βασιλείαν, αἰρετώτερον αν είη ταίς 5 πόλεσιν άριστοκρατία βασιλείας, καὶ μετά δυνάμεως καὶ χωρὶς 11 δυνάμεως ούσης της άρχης, αν ή λαβείν πλείους όμοίους. καὶ διὰ τοῦτ' ἴσως έβασιλεύοντο πρότερον, ὅτι σπάνιον ἦν εὑρεῖν άνδρας πολύ διαφέροντας κατ' άρετήν, άλλως τε καὶ τότε μικράς οἰκοῦντας πόλεις. ἔτι δ' ἀπ' εὐεργεσίας καθίστασαν 10 τους βασιλείς, όπερ έστιν έργον των άγαθων άνδρων. έπει δε συνέβαινε γίγνεσθαι πολλούς όμοίους πρός άρετήν, οὐκέτι ύπέμενον άλλ' έζήτουν κοινόν τι καὶ πολιτείαν καθίστασαν.

έπεὶ δὲ χείρους γιγνόμενοι έχρηματίζοντο ἀπὸ τῶν κοινῶν, 12 15 έντεῦθέν ποθεν εὔλογον γενέσθαι τὰς ὀλιγαρχίας. ἔντιμον γάρ έποίησαν τον πλουτον. έκ δε τούτων πρώτον είς τυραννίδας μετέβαλλον, έκ δε των τυραννίδων είς δημοκρατίαν αίεὶ γὰρ είς έλάττους ἄγοντες δί αίσχροκέρδειαν ίσχυρότερον το πλήθος κατέστησαν, ωστ' έπιθέσθαι καὶ γενέσθαι 20 δημοκρατίας, έπεὶ δὲ καὶ μείζους είναι συμβέβηκε τὰς 13 πόλεις, ίσως οὐδε ράδιον έτι γίγνεσθαι πολιτείαν ετέραν παρά δημοκρατίαν. εἰ δὲ δή τις ἄριστον θείη τὸ βασιλεύεσθαι ταις πόλεσιν, πως έξει τὰ περί των τέκνων; πότερον καί τὸ γένος δεῖ βασιλεύειν; ἀλλὰ γιγνομένων ὁποῖοί τινες 25 έτυχον, βλαβερόν, άλλ' οὐ παραδώσει κύριος ὧν τοῖς 14 τέκνοις. άλλ' οὐκέτι τοῦτο ράδιον πιστεῦσαι χαλεπὸν γάρ, καὶ μείζονος ἀρετης ή κατ' ἀνθρωπίνην φύσιν, έχει δ' ἀπορίαν καὶ περὶ τῆς δυνάμεως, πότερον ἔχειν δεῖ τὸν μέλλοντα βασιλεύειν ισχύν τινα περί αὐτόν, ή δυνήσεται 30 βιάζεσθαι τοὺς μη βουλομένους πειθαρχείν, ή πως ένδέχεται την άρχην διοικείν; εί γαρ και κατα νόμον είη κύριος, μη- 15 δεν πράττων κατά την αύτοῦ βούλησιν παρά τον νόμον, δμως άναγκαίον ὑπάρχειν αὐτῷ δύναμιν, ή φυλάξει τοὺς νόμους. τάχα μέν οὖν τὰ περὶ τὸν βασιλέα τὸν τοιοῦτον οὐ χαλεπὸν 16 35 διορίσαι (δεί γαρ αὐτὸν μὲν ἔχειν ἰσχύν, είναι δὲ τοσαύτην τὴν ίσχὺν ὥστε ἐκάστου μὲν καὶ ἐνὸς καὶ συμπλειόνων κρείττω τοῦ δὲ πλήθους ἥττω, καθάπερ οι τ' ἀρχαίοι τὰς φυλακὰς έδίδοσαν, ὅτε καθισταῖέν τινα τῆς πόλεως δν ἐκάλουν αἰσυμνήτην ή τύραννον, καὶ Διονυσίφ τις, ὅτ' ἤτει τοὺς φύλακας, 40 συνεβούλευε τοις Συρακοσίοις διδόναι τοσούτους τους φύλακας). Περὶ δὲ τοῦ βασιλέως τοῦ κατὰ τὴν αὐτοῦ βούλησιν πάντα 87 a. 16 πράττοντος δ τε λόγος έφέστηκε νῦν καὶ ποιητέον την σκέψιν. ὁ μέν γὰρ κατὰ νόμον λεγόμενος βασιλεύς ούκ έστιν είδος, καθάπερ είπομεν, πολιτείας (έν πάσαις γαρ υπάρς χειν ένδεχεται στρατηγίαν αίδιον, οδον έν δημοκρατία καλ άριστοκρατία, καὶ πολλοὶ ποιοῦσιν ἕνα κύριον τῆς διοικήσεως

τοιαύτη γὰρ ἀρχή τίς ἐστι καὶ περὶ Ἐπίδαμνον, καὶ περὶ 2 'Οποῦντα δὲ κατά τι μέρος έλαττον)· περὶ δὲ τῆς παμβασιλείας καλουμένης, αὕτη δ' έστὶ καθ' ἢν ἄρχει πάντα κατὰ τὴν ἐαυτοῦ βούλησιν ὁ βασιλεύς—δυκεῖ δέ τισιν οὐδὲ κατὰ 10 φύσιν είναι το κύριον ένα πάντων είναι των πολιτών, ὅπου συνέστηκεν έξ όμοίων ή πόλις τοίς γάρ όμοίοις φύσει τὸ αύτὸ δίκαιον ἀναγκαῖον καὶ τὴν αὐτὴν ἀξίαν κατὰ φύσιν είναι, ωστ' είπερ καὶ τὸ ἴσην έχειν τοὺς ἀνίσους τροφην ή έσθητα βλαβερον τοῖς σώμασιν, οὕτως ἔχει καὶ τὰ περὶ τὰς 15 3 τιμάς, δμοίως τοίνυν καὶ τὸ ἄνισον τοὺς ἴσους: διόπερ οὐδὲν μαλλον ἄρχειν ἡ ἄρχεσθαι δίκαιον, καὶ τὸ ἀνὰ μέρος τοίνυν τοῦτο δ' ήδη νόμος ή γὰρ τάξις νόμος. ώσαύτως. άρα νόμον άρχειν αἰρετώτερον μαλλον ή τῶν πολιτῶν ἔνα 4 τινά, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ λόγον τοῦτον, κᾶν εἴ τινας ἄρχειν 20 βέλτιον, τούτους καταστατέον νομοφύλακας καὶ ὑπηρέτας τοῖς νόμοις· ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ εἶναί τινας ἀρχάς, ἀλλ' οὐχ ἕνα τοῦτον είναί φασι δίκαιον όμοίων γε δυτων πάντων. άλλὰ μὴν όσα γε μη δοκεί δύνασθαι διορίζειν ο νόμος, οὐδ' ἄνθρωπος 5 ầν δύναιτο γνωρίζειν. ἀλλ' ἐπίτηδες παιδεύσας ὁ νόμος 25 έφίστησι τὰ λοιπὰ τῆ δικαιοτάτη γνώμη κρίνειν καὶ διοικε**ῖν** τοὺς ἄρχοντας. ἔτι δ' ἐπανορθοῦσθαι δίδωσιν, ὅ τι ἄν δόξη πειρωμένοις ἄμεινον είναι τῶν κειμένων. ὁ μὲν οὖν τὸν νόμον κελεύων ἄρχειν δοκεῖ κελεύειν ἄρχειν τὸν θεὸν καὶ τὸν νοῦν μόνους, ὁ δ' ἄνθρωπον κελεύων προστίθησι καὶ θηρίον. ή τε 30 γὰρ ἐπιθυμία τοιοῦτον, καὶ ὁ θυμὸς ἄρχοντας διαστρέφει καὶ τους αρίστους ανδρας. διόπερ ανευ ορέξεως νους ο νόμος έστίν. 6 τὸ δὲ τῶν τεχνῶν εἶναι δοκεῖ παράδειγμα ψεῦδος, ὅτι τὸ κατὰ γράμματα ἰατρεύεσθαι φαῦλον, άλλὰ καὶ αἰρετώτερον 7 χρησθαι τοις έχουσι τὰς τέχνας. οἱ μὲν γὰρ οὐδὲν διὰ φι- 35 λίαν παρὰ τὸν λόγον ποιοῦσιν, ἀλλ' ἄρνυνται τὸν μισθὸν τοὺς κάμνοντας ὑγιάσαντες οἱ δ' ἐν ταῖς πολιτικαῖς ἀρχαῖς πολλά πρός ἐπήρειαν καὶ χάριν εἰώθασι πράττειν, ἐπεὶ καὶ τοὺς ἰατροὺς δταν ὑποπτεύωσι πιστευθέντας τοῖς ἐχθροῖς δια-

40 φθείρειν διά κέρδος, τότε την έκ των γραμμάτων θεραπείαν ζητήσαιεν αν μαλλον. άλλα μην είσαγονταί γ' έφ' έαυτους 8 1287 b οί ιατροί κάμνοντες άλλους ιατρούς και οί παιδοτρίβαι γυμναζόμενοι παιδοτρίβας, ώς οὐ δυνάμενοι κρίνειν τὸ ἀληθὲς διὰ τὸ κρίνειν περί τε οἰκείων καὶ ἐν πάθει ὅντες. ὥστε δῆλον ότι τὸ δίκαιον ζητοῦντες τὸ μέσον ζητοῦσιν ό γὰρ νόμος τὸ ς μέσον. ἔτι κυριώτεροι καὶ περὶ κυριωτέρων τῶν κατὰ γράμ- 9 ματα νόμων οἱ κατὰ τὰ ἔθη εἰσίν, ὥστ' εἰ τῶν κατὰ γράμματα ἄνθρωπος ἄρχων ἀσφαλέστερος, ἀλλ' οὐ τῶν κατὰ τὸ έθος. άλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ ράδιον ἐφορᾶν πολλὰ τὸν ἕνα· δεήσει άρα πλείονας είναι τοὺς ὑπ' αὐτοῦ καθισταμένους ἄρχοντας, 10 ώστε τί διαφέρει τοῦτο έξ άρχης εὐθὺς ὑπάρχειν ή τὸν ἕνα καταστήσαι τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον; ἔτι, δ καὶ πρότερον εἰρημένον 10 έστίν, είπερ ο ανήρ ο σπουδαίος, διότι βελτίων, άρχειν δίκαιος, τοῦ δὲ ἐνὸς οἱ δύο ἀγαθοὶ βελτίους τοῦτο γάρ ἐστι τὸ "σύν τε δύ' έρχομένω" καὶ ἡ εὐχὴ τοῦ Αγαμέμνονος "τοιοῦ-15 τοι δέκα μοι συμφράδμονες." είσὶ δὲ καὶ νῦν περὶ ἐνίων αἰ άρχαὶ κύριαι κρίνειν, ὥσπερ ὁ δικαστής, περὶ ὧν ὁ νόμος άδυνατεί διορίζειν, έπεὶ περὶ ὧν γε δυνατός, οὐδεὶς άμφισβητεί περί τούτων ώς οὐκ αν άριστα ο νόμος άρξειε καὶ κρίνειεν. άλλ' έπειδή τὰ μὲν ἐνδέχεται περιληφθήναι τοῖς νόμοις τὰ 11 20 δε άδύνατα, ταῦτ' έστὶν ἃ ποιεῖ διαπορεῖν καὶ ζητεῖν πότερον τὸν ἄριστον νόμον ἄρχειν αἰρετώτερον ἢ τὸν ἄνδρα τὸν ἄριστον περί ών γάρ βουλεύονται νομοθετήσαι των άδυνάτων έστίν. οὐ τοίνυν τοῦτό γ' ἀντιλέγουσιν, ὡς οὐκ ἀναγκαῖον ἄνθρωπον είναι τὸν κρινοῦντα περὶ τῶν τοιούτων, άλλ' ὅτι οὐχ 25 ένα μόνον άλλὰ πολλούς. κρίνει γὰρ ἕκαστος ἄρχων πεπαι- 12 δευμένος ὑπὸ τοῦ νόμου καλῶς, ἄτοπόν τ' ἴσως αν είναι δόξειεν εί βέλτιον ίδοι τις δυοίν όμμασι καὶ δυσὶν ἀκοαίς κρίνων, καὶ πράττων δυσὶ ποσὶ καὶ χερσίν, ή πολλοὶ πολλοίς, έπεὶ καὶ νῦν ὀφθαλμοὺς πολλοὺς οἱ μόναρχοι ποιοῦσιν 30 αύτων καὶ ὧτα καὶ χείρας καὶ πόδας τοὺς γὰρ τῆ ἀρχῆ καὶ αύτοῦ φίλους ποιοῦνται συνάρχους. μη φίλοι μέν οὖν ὅντες 13

ού ποιήσουσι κατά την τοῦ μονάρχου προαίρεσιν εί δε φίλοι κάκείνου και της άρχης, δ γε φίλος ίσος και δμοιος, ώστ' εί τούτους οὶεται δεῖν ἄρχειν, τοὺς ἴσους καὶ ὁμοίους ἄρχειν οἵεται δείν δμοίως. ά μεν ούν οί διαμφισβητούντες πρός την βασι- 35 λείαν λέγουσι, σχεδον ταῦτ' έστίν άλλ' ίσως ταῦτ' έπὶ μέν 17 τινων έχει τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον, ἐπὶ δέ τινων οὐχ οὕτως. ἔστι γάρ τι φύσει δεσποστον καὶ άλλο βασιλευτον καὶ άλλο πολιτικὸν καὶ δίκαιον καὶ συμφέρον τυραννικὸν δ' οὐκ ἔστι κατὰ φύσιν, οὐδε τῶν ἄλλων πολιτειῶν ὅσαι παρεκβάσεις εἰσίν 40 2 ταῦτα γὰρ γίγνεται παρὰ φύσιν. ἀλλ' ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων γε φανερον ώς έν μεν τοις ομοίοις και ίσοις ούτε συμφέρον 1288 α έστιν ούτε δίκαιον ένα κύριον είναι πάντων, ούτε μη νόμων όντων, άλλ' αὐτὸν ώς ὄντα νόμον, οὔτε νόμων ὄντων, οὔτε άγαθὸν άγαθων ούτε μη άγαθων μη άγαθόν, οὐδ' ἄν κατ' άρετην 3 ἀμείνων $\hat{\eta}$, εί μη τρόπον τινά, τίς δ' δ τρόπος, λεκτέον 5 είρηται δέ πως ήδη καὶ πρότερον. πρώτον δὲ διοριστέον τί τὸ βασιλευτὸν καὶ τί τὸ ἀριστοκρατικὸν καὶ τί τὸ πολιτικόν. 4 βασιλευτὸν μεν οὖν τὸ τοιοῦτόν ἐστι πληθος δ πέφυκε φέρειν γένος ὑπερέχον κατ' ἀρετὴν πρὸς ἡγεμονίαν πολιτικήν, ἀριστοκρατικὸν δὲ πληθος δ πέφυκε φέρειν πληθος ἄρχεσθαι 10 δυνάμενον την των έλευθέρων άρχην ύπο των κατ' άρετην ήγεμονικών πρός πολιτικήν άρχήν, πολιτικόν δε πλήθος έν ῷ πέφυκεν ἐγγίγνεσθαι πληθος πολεμικόν, δυνάμενον ἄρχεσθαι καλ ἄρχειν κατὰ νόμον τὸν κατ' ἀξίαν διανέμοντα 5 τοις εὐπόροις τὰς ἀρχάς. ὅταν οὖν ἢ γένος ὅλον ἢ καὶ τῶν 15 άλλων ένα τινά συμβή διαφέροντα γενέσθαι κατ' άρετην τοσοῦτον ὥσθ' ὑπερέχειν τὴν ἐκείνου τῆς τῶν ἄλλων πάντων, τότε δίκαιον τὸ γένος είναι τοῦτο βασιλικὸν καὶ κύριον πάντων 6 καὶ βασιλέα τὸν ἕνα τοῦτον. καθάπερ γὰρ εἴρηται πρότερον, ού μόνον ούτως έχει κατά τὸ δίκαιον, δ προφέρειν εἰώθασιν 20 οί τὰς πολιτείας καθιστάντες, οι τε τὰς ἀριστοκρατικὰς καὶ οί τὰς ιδλιγαρχικὰς καὶ πάλιν οἱ τὰς δημοκρατικάς (πάντη γὰρ καθ' ὑπεροχὴν ἀξιοῦσιν, ἀλλ' ὑπεροχὴν οὐ τὴν αὐτήν), VOL. III. D

άλλα και κατά το πρότερον λεχθέν. οὔτε γαρ κτείνειν ή 7 25 φυγαδεύειν οὐδ' ὀστρακίζειν δή που τὸν τοιοῦτον πρέπον ἐστίν, ούτ' άξιοῦν ἄρχεσθαι κατὰ μέρος οὐ γὰρ πέφυκε τὸ μέρος ύπερέγειν τοῦ παντός, τῷ δὲ τὴν τηλικαύτην ὑπερβολὴν έγοντι τοῦτο συμβέβηκεν, ώστε λείπεται μόνον τὸ πείθεσθαι τῷ 8 τοιούτω, καὶ κύριον είναι μὴ κατὰ μέρος τοῦτον ἀλλ' ἀπλῶς. 30 περί μεν ούν βασιλείας, τίνας έχει διαφοράς, καὶ πότερον ού συμφέρει ταίς πόλεσιν ή συμφέρει, καὶ τίσι, καὶ πῶς, 18 διωρίσθω τον τρόπον τοῦτον έπει δε τρείς φαμεν είναι τας όρθας πολιτείας, τούτων δ' άναγκαῖον άρίστην είναι την ύπδ των αρίστων οἰκονομουμένην, τοιαύτη δ' έστὶν έν ή συμβέβη-35 κεν η ένα τινα συμπάντων η γένος όλον η πληθος ύπερέχον είναι κατ' άρετήν, των μεν άρχεσθαι δυναμένων των δ' άρχειν πρὸς τὴν αἰρετωτάτην ζωήν, ἐν δὲ τοῖς πρώτοις ἐδείχθη λόγοις δτι την αύτην άναγκαῖον άνδρὸς άρετην είναι καὶ πολίτου της πόλεως της αρίστης, φανερον ότι τον αύτον τρόπον καί 40 διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν ἀνήρ τε γίνεται σπουδαίος καὶ πόλιν συστήσειεν αν τις αριστοκρατουμένην ή βασιλευομένην, ωστ' έσται 1288 b καὶ παιδεία καὶ έθη ταὐτὰ σχεδὸν τὰ ποιοῦντα σπουδαῖον άνδρα καὶ τὰ ποιοῦντα πολιτικὸν καὶ βασιλικόν. διωρισμέ- 2 νων δε τούτων περί της πολιτείας ήδη πειρατέον λέγειν της άρίστης, τίνα πέφυκε γίνεσθαι τρόπον καὶ καθίστασθαι πῶς. 5 [άνάγκη δη τον μέλλοντα περί αὐτης ποιήσασθαι την προσήκουσαν σκέψιν]

Δ' (H').

1288 b 5 'Ανάγκη δη τον μέλλοντα περὶ αὐτῆς ποιήσασθαι την 1323 a 14 προσήκουσαν σκέψιν [περὶ πολιτείας ἀρίστης τον μέλλοντα 15 ποιήσασθαι την προσήκουσαν ζήτησιν ἀνάγκη] διορίσασθαι πρῶτον τίς αἰρετώτατος βίος, ἀδήλου γὰρ ὅντος τούτου καὶ την ἀρίστην ἀναγκαῖον ἄδηλον εἶναι πολιτείαν' ἄριστα γὰρ πράττειν προσήκει τοὺς ἄριστα πολιτευομένους ἐκ τῶν ὑπαρ-

2 χόντων αὐτοῖς, ἐὰν μή τι γίγνηται παράλογον, διὸ δεῖ πρῶτον όμολογείσθαι τίς ὁ πασιν ώς είπειν αίρετώτατος βίος, μετά 20 δὲ τοῦτο πότερον κοινη καὶ χωρὶς ὁ αὐτὸς ἡ ἔτερος. σαντας οὖν ίκανῶς πολλὰ λέγεσθαι καὶ τῶν ἐν τοῖς ἐξωτερικοίς λόγοις περί της αρίστης ζωής, καὶ νῦν χρηστέον αὐτοίς. 3 ώς άληθως γάρ πρός γε μίαν διαίρεσιν οὐδεὶς άμφισβητήσειεν αν ώς οὐ τριῶν οὐσῶν μερίδων, τῶν τε ἐκτὸς καὶ τῶν ἐν 25 τῷ σώματι καὶ τῶν ἐν τῆ ψυχῆ, πάντα ταῦτα ὑπάρχειν 4 τοις μακαρίοις χρή. οὐδεὶς γὰρ ἃν φαίη μακάριον τὸν μηδὲν μόριον έχοντα άνδρίας μηδέ σωφροσύνης μηδέ δικαιοσύνης μηδέ φρονήσεως, άλλὰ δεδιότα μέν τὰς παραπετομένας μυίας, ἀπεχόμενον δὲ μηδενός, αν ἐπιθυμήση τοῦ φαγείν ή 30 πιείν, των έσχάτων, ένεκα δὲ τεταρτημορίου διαφθείροντα τούς φιλτάτους φίλους, όμοίως δὲ καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν διάνοιαν ούτως άφρονα καὶ διεψευσμένον ώσπερ τι παιδίον ή μαινό-5 μενον. άλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν λεγόμενα ὥσπερ πάντες ἄν συγχωρήσειαν, διαφέρονται δ' έν τῷ ποσῷ καὶ ταῖς ὑπεροχαῖς, 35 της μέν γὰρ ἀρετης ἔχειν ίκανὸν είναι νομίζουσιν ὁποσονούν. πλούτου δε καὶ χρημάτων καὶ δυνάμεως καὶ δόξης καὶ πάν-6 των τῶν τοιούτων εἰς ἄπειρον ζητοῦσι τὴν ὑπερβολήν. ἡμεῖς δὲ αὐτοῖς ἐροῦμεν ὅτι ράδιον μὲν περὶ τούτων καὶ διὰ τῶν έργων διαλαμβάνειν την πίστιν, δρώντας ὅτι κτώνται καὶ 40 φυλάττουσιν ού τὰς ἀρετὰς τοῖς ἐκτὸς ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνα ταύταις, καὶ τὸ ζην εὐδαιμόνως, εἴτ' ἐν τῷ χαίρειν ἐστὶν εἴτ' ἐν ἀρετῃ 1323 h τοις άνθρώποις είτ' έν άμφοιν, ὅτι μᾶλλον ὑπάρχει τοις τὸ ήθος μέν καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν κεκοσμημένοις εἰς ὑπερβολήν, περὶ δὲ τὴν ἔξω κτῆσιν τῶν ἀγαθῶν μετριάζουσιν, ἡ τοῖς έκεινα μέν κεκτημένοις πλείω των χρησίμων, έν δε τούτοις 5 έλλείπουσιν ου μην άλλα και κατά τον λόγον σκοπουμένοις 7 εὐσύνοπτόν έστιν. τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἐκτὸς ἔχει πέρας, ὥσπερ δργανόν τι παν δε το χρήσιμόν έστιν, ων την υπερβολην η βλάπτειν ἀναγκαῖον η μηδεν ὅφελος είναι αὐτῶν τοῖς έχουσιν των δε περί ψυχην έκαστον άγαθων όσω περ αν 10

ύπερβάλλη, τοσούτω μᾶλλον χρήσιμον είναι, εί δεί καὶ τούτοις έπιλέγειν μη μόνον το καλον άλλα και το χρήσιμον. όλως τε δήλον ώς ἀκολουθείν φήσομεν την διάθεσιν την ἀρίσ- 8 την έκάστου πράγματος πρὸς ἄλληλα κατὰ τὴν ὑπεροχήν, 15 ήνπερ είληφε διάστασιν ων φαμέν αὐτὰς είναι διαθέσεις ωστ' είπερ έστιν ή ψυχή και της κτήσεως και τοῦ σώματος τιμιώτερον καὶ άπλῶς καὶ ἡμῖν, ἀνάγκη καὶ τὴν διάθεσιν την αρίστην έκαστου ανάλογον τούτων έχειν. έτι δέ 9 της ψυχης ένεκεν ταθτα πέφυκεν αίρετα και δεί πάντας 20 αίρεισθαι τοὺς εὖ φρονοῦντας, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐκείνων ἕνεκεν τὴν ψυχήν. ὅτι μὲν οὖν ἐκάστω τῆς εὐδαιμονίας ἐπιβάλλει τοσοῦ- 10 τον όσον περ άρετης καὶ φρονήσεως καὶ τοῦ πράττειν κατὰ ταύτας, έστω συνωμολογημένον ήμιν, μάρτυρι τῷ θεῷ χρωμένοις, δς εὐδαίμων μέν έστι καὶ μακάριος, δι' οὐδὲν δὲ τῶν 25 έξωτερικῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀλλὰ δι' αύτὸν αὐτὸς καὶ τῷ ποιός τις είναι την φύσιν έπει και την εύτυχίαν της εύδαιμονίας διά ταθτ' ἀναγκαῖον ἐτέραν εἶναι (τῶν μὲν γὰρ ἐκτὸς ἀγαθῶν της ψυχης αίτιον ταὐτόματον καὶ ή τύχη, δίκαιος δ' οὐδεὶς οὐδὲ σώφρων ἀπὸ τύχης οὐδὲ διὰ τὴν τύχην ἐστίν). ἐχόμενον 11 το δ' έστὶ καὶ τῶν αὐτῶν λόγων δεόμενον καὶ πόλιν εὐδαίμονα την άρίστην είναι καὶ πράττουσαν καλώς, άδύνατον δὲ καλώς πράττειν τοίς μή τὰ καλὰ πράττουσιν οὐδεν δε καλον έργον ούτ άνδρος ούτε πόλεως χωρίς άρετης και φρονήσεως άνδρία 12 δὲ πόλεως καὶ δικαιοσύνη καὶ φρόνησις τὴν αὐτὴν ἔχει 35 δύναμιν καὶ μορφήν, ὧν μετασχὼν ἕκαστος τῶν ἀνθρώπων λέγεται δίκαιος καὶ φρόνιμος καὶ σώφρων, άλλὰ γὰρ ταῦτα 13 μέν έπὶ τοσοῦτον ἔστω πεφροιμιασμένα τῷ λόγῳ (οὔτε γὰρ μη θιγγάνειν αὐτῶν δυνατόν, οὕτε πάντας τοὺς οἰκείους έπεξελθείν ένδέχεται λόγους έτέρας γάρ έστιν έργον σχολής 40 ταῦτα νῦν δὲ ὑποκείσθω τοσοῦτον, ὅτι βίος μὲν ἄριστος, καὶ χωρίς έκάστω καὶ κοινη ταίς πόλεσιν, ὁ μετὰ ἀρετης κεχο-1324 α ρηγημένης έπὶ τοσοῦτον ωστε μετέχειν των κατ' άρετὴν πράξεων, πρὸς δὲ τοὺς ἀμφισβητοῦντας, ἐάσαντας ἐπὶ τῆς νῦν 14

μεθόδου, διασκεπτέον ὕστερον, εἴ τις τοῖς εἰρημένοις τυγχάνει μὴ πειθόμενος):

Πότερον δε την εύδαιμονίαν την αύτην είναι φατέον 2 ένός τε έκάστου τῶν ἀνθρώπων καὶ πόλεως ἡ μὴ τὴν αὐτήν, λοιπόν έστιν είπειν. φανερον δε και τοῦτο πάντες γαρ αν 2 όμολογήσειαν είναι την αὐτήν. ὅσοι γὰρ ἐν πλούτω τὸ ζην εὖ τίθενται ἐφ' ἐνός, οὖτοι καὶ τὴν πόλιν ὅλην, ἐὰν ἦ πλουσία, μακαρίζουσιν' οσοι τε τον τυραννικον βίον μάλιστα τιμώσιν, 10 ούτοι καὶ πόλιν τὴν πλείστων ἄρχουσαν εὐδαιμονεστάτην άν είναι φαίεν εί τε τις τον ένα δι άρετην άποδεχεται. 3 καὶ πόλιν εὐδαιμονεστέραν φήσει τὴν σπουδαιοτέραν. ταῦτ' ήδη δύο έστὶν α δεῖται σκέψεως, εν μεν πότερος αίρετώτερος βίος, ό διὰ τοῦ συμπολιτεύεσθαι καὶ κοινωνεῖν πόλεως 15 ή μαλλον ὁ ξενικὸς καὶ τῆς πολιτικῆς κοινωνίας ἀπολελυμένος, έτι δὲ τίνα πολιτείαν θετέον καὶ ποίαν διάθεσιν πόλεως αρίστην, είτε πασιν όντος αίρετοῦ κοινωνείν πόλεως 4 είτε καὶ τισὶ μὲν μὴ τοῖς δὲ πλείστοις. ἐπεὶ δὲ τῆς πολιτικης διανοίας καὶ θεωρίας τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ἔργον, ἀλλ' οὐ τὸ περί 20 εκαστον αίρετον, ήμεις δε ταύτην προηρήμεθα νθν την σκέψιν. έκείνο μέν γὰρ πάρεργον αν είη τοῦτο δὲ ἔργον τῆς μεθόδου 5 ταύτης ότι μεν οθν άναγκαῖον είναι πολιτείαν άρίστην ταύτην καθ' ην τάξιν καν όστισοῦν ἄριστα πράττοι καὶ ζώη μακαρίως, φανερόν έστιν άμφισβητείται δέ παρ' αὐτῶν τῶν 25 όμολογούντων τὸν μετ' ἀρετῆς είναι βίον αἰρετώτατον, πότερον ὁ πολιτικὸς καὶ πρακτικὸς βίος αἰρετὸς ἡ μᾶλλον ὁ πάντων των έκτὸς ἀπολελυμένος, οἶον θεωρητικός τις, δν 6 μόνον τινές φασιν είναι φιλόσοφον. σχεδον γάρ τούτους τοὺς δύο βίους τῶν ἀνθρώπων οἱ φιλοτιμότατοι πρὸς ἀρετὴν 30 φαίνονται προαιρούμενοι, καὶ τῶν προτέρων καὶ τῶν νῦν λέγω δὲ δύο τόν τε πολιτικὸν καὶ τὸν φιλόσοφον. διαφέρει δὲ οὐ μικρον ποτέρως έχει το άληθές ανάγκη γάρ τον γε εῦ φρονοῦντα πρός τὸν βελτίω σκοπὸν συντάττεσθαι καὶ τῶν 7 ἀνθρώπων ξκαστον καὶ κοινη την πολιτείαν. νομίζουσι δ' οί 35

μέν το των πέλας ἄρχειν δεσποτικώς μέν γιγνόμενον μετ' άδικίας τινός είναι της μεγίστης, πολιτικώς δε το μεν άδικον οὐκ ἔχειν, ἐμπόδιον δὲ ἔχειν τῆ περὶ αὐτὸν εὐημερία τούτων δ' ώσπερ έξ έναντίας έτεροι τυγχάνουσι δοξάζοντες, μόνον 40 γὰρ ἀνδρὸς τὸν πρακτικὸν είναι βίον καὶ πολιτικόν, ἐφ' έκάστης γὰρ ἀρετής οὐκ είναι πράξεις μᾶλλον τοῖς ίδιώταις 1324 b ή τοις τὰ κοινὰ πράττουσι καὶ πολιτευομένοις. οἱ μὲν οὖν 8 ούτως ύπολαμβάνουσιν, οί δὲ τὸν δεσποτικὸν καὶ τυραννικὸν τρόπον της πολιτείας είναι μόνον εύδαίμονά φασιν. παρ' ένίοις δε καὶ τῆς πολιτείας οὖτος ὅρος καὶ τῶν νόμων, ὅπως 5 δεσπόζωσι τῶν πέλας. διὸ καὶ τῶν πλείστων νομίμων χύδην 9 ώς είπειν κειμένων παρά τοις πλείστοις, όμως εί πού τι πρός έν οἱ νόμοι βλέπουσι, τοῦ κρατεῖν στοχάζονται πάντες, ώσπερ έν Λακεδαίμονι καὶ Κρήτη πρὸς τοὺς πολέμους συντέτακται σχεδον ή τε παιδεία και το των νόμων πληθος. έτι δ' έν 10 10 τοις έθνεσι πασι τοις δυναμένοις πλεονεκτείν ή τοιαύτη τετίμηται δύναμις, οίον έν Σκύθαις καὶ Πέρσαις καὶ Θραξὶ καὶ Κελτοις έν ένίοις γάρ καὶ νόμοι τινές είσι παροξύνοντες πρός την άρετην ταύτην, καθάπερ έν Καρχηδόνι φασί τον έκ των κρίκων κόσμον λαμβάνειν όσας αν στρατεύσων-15 ται στρατείας ήν δέ ποτε και περί Μακεδονίαν νόμος τον 11 μηδένα ἀπεκταγκότα πολέμιον ἄνδρα περιεζωσθαι την φορβειάν εν δε Σκύθαις ούκ εξην πίνειν εν έορτη τινὶ σκύφον περιφερόμενον τῷ μηδένα ἀπεκταγκότι πολέμιον έν δὲ τοῖς "Ιβηρσιν, έθνει πολεμικώ, τοσούτους τον άριθμον όβελίσκους 20 καταπηγνύουσι περὶ τὸν τάφον ὅσους αν διαφθείρη τῶν πολεμίων και έτερα δή παρ' έτέροις έστι τοιαῦτα πολλά, 12 τὰ μὲν νόμοις κατειλημμένα τὰ δὲ ἔθεσιν, καίτοι δόξειεν αν άγαν άτοπον ίσως είναι τοίς βουλομένοις έπισκοπείν, εί τοῦτ' έστιν έργον του πολιτικού, το δύνασθαι θεωρείν όπως άρχη 25 καὶ δεσπόζη τῶν πλησίον καὶ βουλομένων καὶ μὴ βουλομένων. πώς γαρ αν είη τοῦτο πολιτικον ή νομοθετικόν, ο γε μηδε 13 νόμιμόν έστιν; οὐ νόμιμον δὲ τὸ μὴ μόνον δικαίως άλλὰ

καὶ ἀδίκως ἄρχειν, κρατείν δ' έστι καὶ μὴ δικαίως. μην οὐδ' ἐν ταῖς ἄλλαις ἐπιστήμαις τοῦτο ὁρῶμεν' οὕτε γὰρ τοῦ ἰατροῦ οὕτε τοῦ κυβερνήτου έργον έστὶ τὸ ἡ πεῖσαι ἡ βιά- 30 σασθαι τοῦ μὲν τοὺς θεραπευομένους τοῦ δὲ τοὺς πλωτῆρας. 14 άλλ' ἐοίκασιν οἱ πολλοὶ τὴν δεσποτικὴν πολιτικὴν οἴεσθαι είναι, καὶ ὅπερ αὐτοῖς ἔκαστοι οῦ φασιν είναι δίκαιον οὐδὲ συμφέρον, τοῦτ' οὐκ αἰσχύνονται πρὸς τοὺς ἄλλους ἀσκοῦντες. αύτοι μέν γὰρ παρ' αύτοις τὸ δικαίως ἄρχειν ζητοῦσι, πρὸς 35 15 δε τους άλλους ούδεν μέλει των δικαίων, άτοπον δε εί μή φύσει τὸ μὲν δεσποστόν ἐστι τὸ δὲ οὐ δεσποστόν, ὥστε εἴπερ έγει τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον, οὐ δεῖ πάντων πειρασθαι δεσπόζειν. άλλα των δεσποστών, ωσπερ ούδε θηρεύειν έπὶ θοίνην ή θυσίαν ανθρώπους, αλλά τὸ πρὸς τοῦτο θηρευτόν έστι δὲ θη- 40 16 ρευτον δ αν άγριον ή έδεστον ζώον. άλλα μην είη γ' αν καί καθ' ξαυτήν μία πόλις εὐδαίμων, ή πολιτεύεται δηλονότι 1325 α καλώς, είπερ ένδεχεται πόλιν οἰκεῖσθαί που καθ' έαυτην νόμοις χρωμένην σπουδαίοις, ής της πολιτείας ή σύνταξις οὐ πρὸς πόλεμον οὐδὲ πρὸς τὸ κρατείν ἔσται τῶν πολεμίων 17 μηδέν γὰρ ὑπαρχέτω τοιοῦτον, δηλον ἄρα ὅτι πάσας τὰς 5 πρὸς τὸν πόλεμον ἐπιμελείας καλὰς μὲν θετέον, οὐχ ὡς τέλος δὲ πάντων ἀκρότατον, άλλὰ ἐκείνου χάριν ταύτας. τοῦ δὲ νομοθέτου τοῦ σπουδαίου έστὶ τὸ θεάσασθαι πόλιν καὶ γένος άνθρώπων καὶ πασαν άλλην κοινωνίαν, ζωής άγαθής πως 18 μεθέξουσι καὶ τῆς ἐνδεχομένης αὐτοῖς εὐδαιμονίας. διοίσει 10 μέντοι τῶν ταττομένων ἔνια νομίμων καὶ τοῦτο τῆς νομοθετικής έστιν ίδειν, έάν τινες υπάρχωσι γειτνιώντες, ποία πρός ποίους ἀσκητέον ή πῶς τοῖς καθήκουσι πρὸς ἐκάστους χρηστέον, άλλα τοῦτο μεν καν υστερον τύχοι της προσηκούσης σκέψεως, πρός τί τέλος δεί την αρίστην πολιτείαν συντείνειν. 15 πρός δε τούς όμολογούντας μεν τον μετ' άρετης είναι βίον 3 αἰρετώτατον, διαφερομένους δὲ περὶ τῆς χρήσεως αὐτοῦ, λεκτέον ημίν πρὸς ἀμφοτέρους αὐτούς (οἱ μὲν γὰρ ἀποδοκιμάζουσι τὰς πολιτικὰς ἀρχάς, νομίζοντες τόν τε τοῦ έλευθέρου

20 βίον έτερον τινα είναι τοῦ πολιτικοῦ καὶ πάντων αίρετώτατον, οί δὲ τοῦτον ἄριστον ἀδύνατον γὰρ τὸν μηδὲν πράττοντα πράττειν εὖ, τὴν δ' εὐπραγίαν καὶ τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν εἶναι ταύτον) δτι τὰ μὲν ἀμφότεροι λέγουσιν ὀρθῶς τὰ δὲ οὐκ ὀρθῶς, οί μεν ότι ο τοῦ έλευθέρου βίος τοῦ δεσποτικοῦ ἀμείνων τοῦτο 2 25 γὰρ ἀληθές οὐδὲν γὰρ τό γε δούλφ, ή δοῦλος, χρησθαι σεμνόν ή γὰρ ἐπίταξις ἡ περὶ τῶν ἀναγκαίων οὐδενὸς μετέχει των καλων. το μέντοι νομίζειν πασαν άρχην είναι δεσποτείαν ούκ δρθόν ού γαρ έλαττον διέστηκεν ή των έλευθέρων άρχη της των δούλων η αὐτὸ τὸ φύσει έλεύθερον τοῦ 30 φύσει δούλου. διώρισται δέ περὶ αὐτῶν ἰκανῶς ἐν τοῖς πρώτοις λόγοις, τὸ δὲ μᾶλλον ἐπαινεῖν τὸ ἀπρακτεῖν τοῦ πράττειν 3 ούκ άληθές: ή γὰρ εὐδαιμονία πρᾶξίς έστιν, έτι δὲ πολλῶν καὶ καλῶν τέλος έχουσιν αἱ τῶν δικαίων καὶ σωφρόνων πράξεις, καίτοι τάχ' αν υπολάβοι τις τούτων ούτω διωρισ-35 μένων ὅτι τὸ κύριον εἶναι πάντων ἄριστον οὕτω γὰρ ἀν πλείστων καὶ καλλίστων κύριος είη πράξεων. ώστε οὐ δεί 4 τὸν δυνάμενον ἄρχειν παριέναι τῷ πλησίον, άλλὰ μᾶλλον άφαιρείσθαι, καὶ μήτε πατέρα παίδων μήτε παίδας πατρὸς μήθ' όλως φίλον φίλου μηδένα ύπολογίζειν μηδέ πρός τοῦτο 40 φροντίζειν τὸ γὰρ ἄριστον αίρετώτατον, τὸ δ' εὖ πράττειν ἄριστον. τοῦτο μὲν οὖν ἀληθῶς ἴσως λέγουσιν, εἴπερ ὑπάρ-1325 b ξει τοις αποστερούσι και βιαζομένοις το των όντων αίρετώτατον· άλλ' ίσως ούχ οδόν τε ὑπάρχειν, άλλ' ὑποτίθενται 5 τοῦτο ψεῦδος οὐ γὰρ ἔτι καλὰς τὰς πράξεις ἐνδέχεται εἶναι τῷ μὴ διαφέροντι τοσούτον δσον ἀνὴρ γυναικὸς ἡ πατὴρ 5 τέκνων ή δεσπότης δούλων ωστε ο παραβαίνων οὐδεν αν τηλικοῦτον κατορθώσειεν ὕστερον ὅσον ἤδη παρεκβέβηκε τῆς άρετης, τοίς γάρ όμοίοις τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ δίκαιον ἐν τῷ (ἐν) μέρει, τοῦτο γὰρ ἴσον καὶ ὅμοιον τὸ δὲ μὴ ἴσον τοῖς ἴσοις 6 καὶ τὸ μὴ ὅμοιον τοῖς ὁμοίοις παρὰ φύσιν, οὐδὲν δὲ τῶν 10 παρὰ φύσιν καλόν. διὸ κἄν ἄλλος τις η κρείττων κατ' άρετην καὶ κατὰ δύναμιν την πρακτικήν τῶν ἀρίστων, τούτω

7 καλὸν ἀκολουθεῖν καὶ τούτω πείθεσθαι δίκαιον. δεῖ δ' οὐ μόνον ἀρετὴν ἀλλὰ καὶ δύναμιν ὑπάρχειν, καθ' ἢν ἔσται πρακτικός. άλλ' εἰ ταῦτα λέγεται καλῶς καὶ τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν εὐπραγίαν θετέον, καὶ κοινῆ πάσης πόλεως αν είη καὶ καθ' 15 8 εκαστον άριστος βίος ὁ πρακτικός. άλλα τὸν πρακτικὸν οὐκ άναγκαῖον εἶναι πρὸς ἐτέρους, καθάπερ οἴονταί τινες, οὐδὲ τὰς διανοίας είναι μόνας ταύτας πρακτικάς τὰς τῶν ἀποβαινόντων χάριν γιγνομένας έκ τοῦ πράττειν, άλλὰ πολύ μᾶλλον τὰς αὐτοτελεῖς καὶ τὰς αὑτῶν ἕνεκεν θεωρίας καὶ διανοή- 20 σεις ή γαρ εὐπραξία τέλος, ὥστε καὶ πραξίς τις μάλιστα δὲ καὶ πράττειν λέγομεν κυρίως καὶ τῶν ἐξωτερικῶν 9 πράξεων τοὺς ταῖς διανοίαις ἀρχιτέκτονας. ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδ' απρακτείν αναγκαίον τὰς καθ' αὐτὰς πόλεις ίδρυμένας καὶ ζην οὕτω προηρημένας ένδέχεται γὰρ κατὰ μέρη καὶ τοῦτο 25 συμβαίνειν πολλαί γὰρ κοινωνίαι πρὸς ἄλληλα τοῖς μέ-10 ρεσι της πόλεως είσιν. δμοίως δὲ τοῦτο ὑπάρχει καὶ καθ ένδς ότουοῦν τῶν ἀνθρώπων σχολῆ γὰρ αν ὁ θεὸς ἔχοι καλως και πας ο κόσμος, οίς ουκ είσιν έξωτερικαι πράξεις παρὰ τὰς οἰκείας τὰς αὐτῶν. ὅτι μὲν οὖν τὸν αὐτὸν βίον 30 άναγκαῖον εἶναι τὸν ἄριστον ἐκάστω τε τῶν ἀνθρώπων καὶ κοινη ταις πόλεσι και τοις άνθρώποις, φανερόν έστιν

'Επεὶ δὲ πεφροιμίασται τὰ νῦν εἰρημένα περὶ αὐτῶν, 4 καὶ περὶ τὰς ἄλλας πολιτείας ἡμῖν τεθεώρηται πρότερον, ἀρχὴ τῶν λοιπῶν εἰπεῖν πρῶτον ποίας τινὰς δεῖ τὰς ὑποθέ- 35 σεις εἶναι περὶ τῆς μελλούσης κατ' εὐχὴν συνεστάναι πόλεως. 2 οὐ γὰρ οἶόν τε πολιτείαν γενέσθαι τὴν ἀρίστην ἄνευ συμμέτρου χορηγίας. διὸ δεῖ πολλὰ προϋποτεθεῖσθαι καθάπερ εὐχομένους, εἶναι μέντοι μηδὲν τούτων ἀδύνατον. λέγω δὲ 3 οἷον περί τε πλήθους πολιτῶν καὶ χώρας. ὥσπερ γὰρ καὶ 40 τοῖς ἄλλοις δημιουργοῖς, οἷον ὑφάντη καὶ ναυπηγῷ, δεῖ τὴν ὕλην ὑπάρχειν ἐπιτηδείαν οὖσαν πρὸς 'τὴν ἐργασίαν (ὅσῷ 1326 α γὰρ ἄν αὕτη τυγχάνη παρεσκευασμένη βέλτιον, ἀνάγκη καὶ τὸ γιγνόμενον ὑπὸ τῆς τέχνης εἶναι κάλλιον), οὕτω καὶ

τῷ πολιτικῷ καὶ τῷ νομοθέτη δεῖ τὴν οἰκείαν ὕλην ὑπάρχειν 5 έπιτηδείως έχουσαν, έστι δε πολιτικής χορηγίας πρώτον 4 τό τε πληθος των άνθρώπων, πόσους τε καὶ ποίους τινάς ύπάρχειν δεί φύσει, καὶ κατὰ τὴν χώραν ὡσαύτως, ὅσην τε είναι καὶ ποίαν τινὰ ταύτην, οἴονται μὲν οὖν οἱ πλεῖστοι προσήκειν μεγάλην είναι την εύδαίμονα πόλιν εί δε τοῦτ' 10 άληθές, άγνοοῦσι ποία μεγάλη και ποία μικρά πόλις. άριθμοῦ γὰρ πλήθος τῶν ἐνοικούντων κρίνουσι τὴν μεγάλην, δεί δὲ μάλλον μὴ είς τὸ πλήθος είς δὲ δύναμιν ἀποβλέπειν, έστι γάρ τι καὶ πόλεως έργον, ώστε τὴν δυναμένην τοῦτο μάλιστ' ἀποτελείν, ταύτην οἰητέον είναι μεγίστην, οίον τς Ίπποκράτην οὐκ ἄνθρωπον ἀλλὰ ἰατρὸν εἶναι μείζω φήσειεν άν τις τοῦ διαφέροντος κατὰ τὸ μέγεθος τοῦ σώματος. μην άλλα καν εί δεί κρίνειν προς το πληθος αποβλέποντας, ού κατὰ τὸ τυχὸν πληθος τοῦτο ποιητέον (ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ ἐν ταίς πόλεσιν ίσως υπάρχειν και δούλων άριθμον πολλών 20 καὶ μετοίκων καὶ ξένων), ἀλλ' ὅσοι πόλεώς εἰσι μέρος καὶ έξ ων συνίσταται πόλις οἰκείων μορίων ή γάρ τούτων ὑπεροχή τοῦ πλήθους μεγάλης πόλεως σημείον, έξ ής δὲ βάναυσοι μεν εξερχονται πολλοί τον άριθμον οπλίται δε ολίγοι, ταύτην άδύνατον είναι μεγάλην ού γαρ ταύτον μεγάλη τε 25 πόλις καὶ πολυάνθρωπος. ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ τοῦτό γε ἐκ τῶν 7 έργων φανερον ότι χαλεπόν, ίσως δ' άδύνατον, εύνομεισθαι την λίαν πολυάνθρωπον. των γουν δοκουσων πολιτεύεσθαι καλώς οὐδεμίαν δρώμεν οὖσαν ἀνειμένην πρός τὸ πληθος. τοῦτο δὲ δηλον καὶ διὰ τῆς τῶν λόγων πίστεως. ὅ τε γὰρ 8 30 νόμος τάξις τίς έστι, καὶ τὴν εὐνομίαν ἀναγκαῖον εὐταξίαν είναι, ο δε λίαν υπερβάλλων άριθμος ου δύναται μετέχειν τάξεως θείας γὰρ δὴ τοῦτο δυνάμεως ἔργον, ἥτις καὶ τόδε συνέχει τὸ πᾶν' ἐπεὶ τό γε καλὸν ἐν πλήθει καὶ μεγέθει είωθε γίνεσθαι. διὸ καὶ πόλις ής μετὰ μεγέθους ὁ λεχθεὶς 9 35 δρος ὑπάρχει, ταύτην είναι καλλίστην ἀναγκαῖον. ἀλλ' ἔστι τι καὶ πόλεως μεγέθους μέτρον, ὥσπερ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων πάν-

10 των, ζώων φυτῶν ὀργάνων· καὶ γὰρ τούτων ἔκαστον ούτε λίαν μικρον ούτε κατά μέγεθος ύπερβάλλον έξει την αύτοῦ δύναμιν, άλλ' ότε μεν όλως έστερημένον έσται της φύσεως, ότε δὲ φαύλως ἔχον, οἶον πλοῖον σπιθαμιαῖον μὲν οὐκ ἔσται 40 πλοίον ὅλως, οὐδὲ δυοίν σταδίοιν, εἰς δε τι μεγεθος ελθὸν ότὲ μέν διὰ σμικρότητα φαύλην ποιήσει τὴν ναυτιλίαν, ότὲ δὲ 1326 b 11 διὰ τὴν ὑπερβολήν. ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ πόλις ἡ μὲν ἐξ ὀλίγων λίαν οὐκ αὐτάρκης (ἡ δὲ πόλις αὕταρκες), ἡ δὲ ἐκ πολλῶν αταν έν τοις μεν αναγκαίοις αὐτάρκης, ώσπερ εθνος, αλλ' οὐ πόλις πολιτείαν γὰρ οὐ ράδιον ὑπάρχειν τίς γὰρ στρατη- 5 γὸς ἔσται τοῦ λίαν ὑπερβάλλοντος πλήθους, ἡ τίς κῆρυξ μὴ Στεντόρειος; διὸ πρώτην μὲν είναι πόλιν ἀναγκαίον τὴν ἐκ τοσούτου πλήθους δ πρώτον πλήθος αὔταρκες πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν 12 έστὶ κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν: ἐνδέχεται δὲ καὶ τὴν ταύτης ὑπερβάλλουσαν κατὰ πληθος εἶναι μείζω πόλιν το άλλὰ τοῦτ' οὐκ ἔστιν, ὥσπερ εὶπομεν, ἀόριστον. τίς δ' ἐστὶν ό της υπερβολης όρος, έκ των έργων ίδειν ράδιον. είσι γαρ αί πράξεις της πόλεως των μεν άρχόντων των δ' άρχομένων, 13 ἄρχοντος δ' ἐπίταξις καὶ κρίσις ἔργον· πρὸς δὲ τὸ κρίνειν περὶ τῶν δικαίων καὶ πρὸς τὸ τὰς ἀρχὰς διανέμειν κατ' 15 άξίαν άναγκαῖον γνωρίζειν άλλήλους, ποῖοί τινές εἰσι, τοὺς πολίτας, ώς ὅπου τοῦτο μὴ συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι, φαύλως ανάγκη γίγνεσθαι τὰ περὶ τὰς άρχὰς καὶ τὰς κρίσεις· περὶ ἀμφότερα γὰρ οὐ δίκαιον αὐτοσχεδιάζειν, ὅπερ ἐν 14 τἢ πολυανθρωπία τἢ λίαν ὑπάρχει φανερῶς. ἔτι δὲ ξένοις 20 καὶ μετοίκοις ράδιον μεταλαμβάνειν τῆς πολιτείας οὐ γὰρ χαλεπον το λανθάνειν δια την ύπερβολην τοῦ πλήθους. λον τοίνυν ώς οὖτός έστι πόλεως ὅρος ἄριστος, ἡ μεγίστη τοῦ πλήθους ύπερβολη πρός αὐτάρκειαν ζωης εὐσύνοπτος. μέν οὖν μεγέθους πόλεως διωρίσθω τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον 25

Παραπλησίως δε καὶ τὰ περὶ τῆς χώρας ἔχει. περὶ 5 μεν γὰρ τοῦ ποίαν τινά, δῆλον ὅτι τὴν αὐταρκεστάτην πᾶς τις ἄν ἐπαινέσειεν· τοιαύτην δ' ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι τὴν παν-

τοφόρον τὸ γὰρ πάντα ὑπάρχειν καὶ δεῖσθαι μηδενὸς 30 αὔταρκες. πλήθει δὲ καὶ μεγέθει τοσαύτην ώστε δύνασθαι τοὺς οἰκοῦντας ζῆν σχολάζοντας ἐλευθερίως ἄμα καὶ σωφρόνως. τοῦτον δὲ τὸν ὅρον εἰ καλῶς ἡ μὴ καλῶς λέγομεν, 2 υστερον έπισκεπτέον άκριβέστερον, όταν όλως περί κτήσεως καὶ της περὶ τὴν οὐσίαν εὐπορίας συμβαίνη ποιείσθαι 35 μνείαν, πῶς δεῖ καὶ τίνα τρόπον ἔχειν πρὸς τὴν χρῆσιν αὐτήν πολλαὶ γὰρ περὶ τὴν σκέψιν ταύτην εἰσὶν ἀμφισβητήσεις διὰ τοὺς ἕλκοντας ἐφ' ἐκατέραν τοῦ βίου τὴν ύπερβολήν, τοὺς μὲν ἐπὶ τὴν γλισχρότητα τοὺς δὲ ἐπὶ τὴν τρυφήν. τὸ δ' εἶδος τῆς χώρας οὐ χαλεπὸν εἰπεῖν (δεῖ δ' ἔνια 3 40 πείθεσθαι καὶ τοῖς περὶ τὴν στρατηγίαν έμπείροις), ὅτι χρὴ μέν τοις πολεμίοις είναι δυσέμβολον, αὐτοις δ' εὐέξοδον. 1327 α έτι δ΄ ὥσπερ τὸ πληθος τὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων εὐσύνοπτον ἔφαμεν είναι δείν, ούτω καὶ τὴν χώραν τὸ δ' εὐσύνοπτον τὸ εύβοήθητον είναι την χώραν έστίν. της δε πόλεως την θέσιν εί χρη ποιείν κατ' εὐχήν, πρός τε την θάλατταν προσήκει 5 κείσθαι καλώς πρός τε τὴν χώραν. είς μεν ὁ λεχθείς 4 δρος. δεί γὰρ πρὸς τὰς ἐκβοηθείας κοινὴν εἶναι τῶν τόπων άπάντων ὁ δὲ λοιπὸς πρὸς τὰς τῶν γινομένων καρπῶν παραπομπάς, έτι δὲ τῆς περὶ ξύλα ὕλης, κᾶν εἴ τινα άλλην έργασίαν ή χώρα τυγχάνοι κεκτημένη τοιαύτην, 10 εύπαρακόμιστον.

6 Περὶ δὲ τῆς πρὸς τὴν θάλατταν κοινωνίας, πότερον ἀφέλιμος ταῖς εὐνομουμέναις πόλεσιν ἢ βλαβερά, πολλὰ τυγχάνουσιν ἀμφισβητοῦντες· τό τε γὰρ ἐπιξενοῦσθαί τινας ἐν ἄλλοις τεθραμμένους νόμοις ἀσύμφορον εἶναί φασι πρὸς 15 τὴν εὐνομίαν, καὶ τὴν πολυανθρωπίαν· γίνεσθαι μὲν γὰρ ἐκ τοῦ χρῆσθαι τῆ θαλάσση διαπέμποντας καὶ δεχομένους ἐμπόρων πλῆθος, ὑπεναντίαν δ' εἶναι πρὸς τὸ πολιτεύεσθαι καλῶς. ὅτι μὲν οῦν, εἰ ταῦτα μὴ συμβαίνει, βέλτιον καὶ 2 πρὸς ἀσφάλειαν καὶ πρὸς εὐπορίαν τῶν ἀναγκαίων μετέ-20 χειν τὴν πόλιν καὶ τὴν χώραν τῆς θαλάττης, οὐκ ἄδηλον.

3 καὶ γὰρ πρὸς τὸ βάον φέρειν τοὺς πολέμους εὐβοηθήτους είναι δεί κατ' άμφότερα τοὺς σωθησομένους, καὶ κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλατταν καὶ πρὸς τὸ βλάψαι τοὺς ἐπιτιθεμένους, εί μη κατ' άμφω δυνατόν, άλλα κατα θάτερον υπάρ-4 ξει μᾶλλον ἀμφοτέρων μετέχουσιν. ὅσα τ' ἄν μὴ τυγχάνη 25 παρ' αὐτοῖς ὄντα, δέξασθαι ταῦτα καὶ τὰ πλεονάζοντα των γιγνομένων έκπέμψασθαι των αναγκαίων έστίν αύτη γαρ έμπορικήν, άλλ' οὐ τοῖς ἄλλοις δεῖ εἶναι τὴν πόλιν οί δε παρέχοντες σφας αύτους πασιν άγοραν προσόδου χάριν ταθτα πράττουσιν' ην δε μη δεί πόλιν τοιαύτης 30 μετέχειν πλεονεξίας, οὐδ' ἐμπόριον δεῖ κεκτῆσθαι τοιοῦτον. 5 έπεὶ δὲ καὶ νῦν ὁρῶμεν πολλαῖς ὑπάρχοντα καὶ χώραις καὶ πόλεσιν ἐπίνεια καὶ λιμένας εὐφυῶς κείμενα πρὸς τὴν πόλιν, ώστε μήτε τὸ αὐτὸ νέμειν ἄστυ μήτε πόρρω λίαν, άλλὰ κρατείσθαι τείχεσι καὶ τοιούτοις άλλοις έρύμασι, 35 φανερον ώς εί μεν άγαθον τι συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι διά της κοινωνίας αὐτῶν, ὑπάρξει τῆ πόλει τοῦτο τὸ ἀγαθόν, εἰ δέ τι βλαβερόν, φυλάξασθαι ράδιον τοις νόμοις φράζοντας καὶ διορίζοντας τίνας οὐ δεῖ καὶ τίνας ἐπιμίσγεσθαι δεῖ 6 πρὸς ἀλλήλους. περὶ δὲ τῆς ναυτικῆς δυνάμεως, ὅτι μὲν 40 βέλτιστον ὑπάρχειν μέχρι τινὸς πλήθους, οὐκ ἄδηλον (οὐ γὰρ μόνον αύτοις άλλὰ καὶ τῶν πλησίον τισὶ δεί καὶ φοβεροὺς 1327 b είναι καὶ δύνασθαι βοηθείν, ὥσπερ κατὰ γῆν, καὶ κατὰ 7 θάλατταν): περὶ δὲ πλήθους ἤδη καὶ μεγέθους τῆς δυνάμεως ταύτης πρός του βίου άποσκεπτέου της πόλεως εί μεν γάρ ήγεμονικον και πολιτικον ζήσεται βίον, αναγκαιον και ταύ- 5 την την δύναμιν υπάρχειν προς τας πράξεις σύμμετρον την δε πολυανθρωπίαν την γιγνομένην περί τον ναυτικον δχλον οὐκ ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν ταῖς πόλεσιν, οὐδὲν γὰρ 8 αὐτοὺς μέρος εἶναι δεῖ τῆς πόλεως τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἐπιβατικὸν ἐλεύθερον καὶ τῶν πεζευόντων ἐστίν, δ κύριόν ἐστι καὶ 10 κρατεί της ναυτιλίας πλήθους δε υπάρχοντος περιοίκων καὶ τῶν τὴν χώραν γεωργούντων, ἀφθονίαν ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι

καὶ ναυτῶν. ὁρῶμεν δὲ καὶ τοῦτο καὶ νῦν ὑπάρχον τισίν, οἶον τῆ πόλει τῶν Ἡρακλεωτῶν πολλὰς γὰρ ἐκπληροῦσι 15 τριήρεις κεκτημένοι τῷ μεγέθει πόλιν ἐτέρων ἐμμελεστέραν.

 Π ερὶ μὲν οὖν χώρας καὶ λιμένων καὶ πόλεων καὶ 9 θαλάττης καὶ περὶ τῆς ναυτικῆς δυνάμεως ἔστω διωρισμένα τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον' περὶ δὲ τοῦ πολιτικοῦ πλήθους, τίνα μὲν 7 δρον ὑπάρχειν χρή, πρότερον εἴπομεν, ποίους δέ τινας τὴν 20 φύσιν είναι δεί, νῦν λέγωμεν. σχεδὸν δὴ κατανοήσειεν ἄν τις τοῦτό γε, βλέψας ἐπί τε τὰς πόλεις τὰς εὐδοκιμούσας των Ελλήνων και πρός πασαν την οικουμένην, ώς διείληπται τοις έθνεσιν τὰ μέν γὰρ έν τοις ψυχροις τόποις έθνη 2 καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν Εὐρώπην θυμοῦ μέν έστι πλήρη, διανοίας 25 δὲ ἐνδεέστερα καὶ τέχνης, διόπερ ἐλεύθερα μὲν διατελεῖ μαλλον, ἀπολίτευτα δὲ καὶ τῶν πλησίον ἄρχειν οὐ δυνάμενα· τὰ δὲ περὶ τὴν 'Ασίαν διανοητικὰ μὲν καὶ τεχνικὰ τὴν ψυχήν, ἄθυμα δέ, διόπερ ἀρχόμενα καὶ δουλεύοντα διατελεί τὸ δὲ τῶν Ελλήνων γένος ὥσπερ μεσεύει κατὰ 3 30 τους τόπους, ούτως άμφοιν μετέχει και γάρ ένθυμον και διανοητικόν έστιν διόπερ έλεύθερόν τε διατελεί καὶ βέλτιστα πολιτευόμενον καὶ δυνάμενον ἄρχειν πάντων, μιᾶς τυγχάνον πολιτείας. την αὐτην δ' έχει διαφοράν καὶ τὰ 4 τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἔθνη [καί] πρὸς ἄλληλα τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἔχει 35 την φύσιν μονόκωλον, τὰ δὲ εὖ [τε] κέκραται πρὸς ἀμφοτέρας τὰς δυνάμεις ταύτας. φανερον τοίνυν ὅτι δεῖ διανοητικούς τε είναι καὶ θυμοειδείς την φύσιν τοὺς μέλλοντας εὐαγώγους έσεσθαι τῷ νομοθέτῃ πρὸς τὴν ἀρετήν. ὅπερ γάρ 5 φασί τινες δείν ὑπάρχειν τοίς φύλαξι, τὸ φιλητικοὺς μὲν 40 είναι τῶν γνωρίμων, πρὸς δὲ τοὺς ἀγνῶτας ἀγρίους, ὁ θυμός έστιν ὁ ποιῶν τὸ φιλητικόν αὕτη γάρ έστιν ἡ τῆς ψυχῆς 1328 a δύναμις ή φιλούμεν. σημείον δέ πρός γάρ τούς συνήθεις καὶ φίλους ὁ θυμὸς αἴρεται μᾶλλον ἡ πρὸς τοὺς ἀγνῶτας. όλιγωρείσθαι νομίσας. διὸ καὶ ἀρχίλοχος προσηκόντως 6 τοις φίλοις έγκαλών διαλέγεται πρός τον θυμόν.

σὺ γὰρ δὴ παρὰ φίλων ἀπάγχεο.

5 καὶ τὸ ἄρχον δὲ καὶ τὸ ἐλεύθερον ἀπὸ τῆς δυνάμεως ταύτης ὑπάρχει πᾶσιν' ἀρχικὸν γὰρ καὶ ἀήττητον ὁ θυμός. οὐ καλῶς δ' ἔχει λέγειν χαλεποὺς εἶναι πρὸς τοὺς ἀγνῶτας. πρὸς οὐδένα γὰρ είναι χρη τοιοῦτον, οὐδὲ εἰσὶν οἱ μεγαλόψυχοι τὴν φύσιν ἄγριοι, πλὴν πρὸς τοὺς ἀδικοῦντας. τοῦτο δὲ 10 μαλλον έτι πρός τους συνήθεις πάσχουσιν, ὅπερ εἴρηται πρότερον, αν άδικεισθαι νομίσωσιν. και τοῦτο συμβαίνει κατά λόγον παρ' οίς γάρ όφειλεσθαι δείν την εὐεργεσίαν ύπολαμβάνουσι, πρὸς τῷ βλάβει καὶ ταύτης ἀποστερεῖσθαι νομίζουσιν. ὅθεν εἴρηται "χαλεποὶ πόλεμοι γὰρ ἀδελφῶν" 15 καὶ " οι τοι πέρα στέρξαντες, οίδε καὶ πέρα μισοῦσιν."

Περὶ μὲν οὖν τῶν πολιτευομένων, πόσους τε ὑπάρχειν δεῖ καὶ ποίους τινὰς τὴν φύσιν, ἔτι δὲ τὴν χώραν πόσην τέ τινα καὶ ποίαν τινά, διώρισται σχεδόν (οὐ γὰρ τὴν αὐτὴν ἀκρίβειαν δεῖ ζητεῖν διά τε τῶν λόγων καὶ τῶν 20 γιγνομένων διὰ τῆς αἰσθήσεως) έπεὶ δ' ὥσπερ τῶν ἄλλων 8 των κατά φύσιν συνεστώτων οὐ ταῦτά ἐστι μόρια τῆς ὅλης συστάσεως, ὧν ἄνευ τὸ ὅλον οὐκ ἂν εἴη, δῆλον ὡς οὐδὲ πόλεως μέρη θετέον ὅσα ταῖς πόλεσιν ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν, 2 οὐδ' ἄλλης κοινωνίας οὐδεμιᾶς, έξ ἢς ἕν τι τὸ γένος. Εν γάρ 25 τι καὶ κοινὸν είναι δεῖ καὶ ταὐτὸ τοῖς κοινωνοῖς, ἄν τε ἴσον αν τε ανισον μεταλαμβάνωσιν, οίον είτε τροφή τοῦτό έστιν 3 είτε χώρας πληθος είτ' άλλο τι τῶν τοιούτων ἐστίν· ὅταν δ΄ ἢ τὸ μὲν τούτου ἕνεκεν τὸ δ' οὖ ἕνεκεν, οὐδὲν ἔν γε τούτοις κοινὸν ἀλλ' ἡ τῷ μὲν ποιῆσαι τῷ δὲ λαβεῖν λέγω δ' οἶον 30 όργάνφ τε παντί πρὸς τὸ γιγνόμενον έργον καὶ τοῖς δημιουργοις· οικία γάρ πρός οικοδόμον οὐδέν έστιν δ γίνεται κοινόν, 4 άλλ' έστι της οἰκίας χάριν ή τῶν οἰκοδόμων τέχνη. διὸ κτήσεως μεν δει ταις πόλεσιν, οὐδεν δ' έστιν ή κτήσις μέρος τής πόλεως. πολλά δ' ξμψυχα μέρη της κτήσεώς έστιν. ή δέ 35 πόλις κοινωνία τίς έστι των όμοίων, ένεκεν δε ζωής τής ένδε-5 χομένης αρίστης. έπεὶ δ' έστὶν εὐδαιμονία τὸ ἄριστον, αὕτη δὲ

άρετης ένέργεια καὶ χρησίς τις τέλειος, συμβέβηκε δὲ οὕτως ώστε τούς μεν ενδέχεσθαι μετέχειν αύτης, τούς δε μικρον ή 40 μηδέν, δηλον ώς τοῦτ' αἴτιον τοῦ γίγνεσθαι πόλεως εἴδη καὶ διαφοράς καὶ πολιτείας πλείους άλλον γὰρ τρόπον καὶ δι' 1328 η άλλων εκαστοι τοῦτο θηρεύοντες τούς τε βίους έτέρους ποιοῦνται καὶ τὰς πολιτείας, ἐπισκεπτέον δὲ καὶ πόσα ταυτί ἐστιν 6 ων άνευ πόλις οὐκ αν είη καὶ γὰρ α λέγομεν είναι μέρη πόλεως, έν τούτοις αν είη (α) αναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν. ληπτέον 5 τοίνυν τῶν ἔργων τὸν ἀριθμόν Εκ τούτων γὰρ ἔσται δῆλον. πρώτον μέν οὖν ὑπάρχειν δεῖ τροφήν, ἔπειτα τέχνας (πολλών 7 γὰρ ὀργάνων δεῖται τὸ ζῆν), τρίτον δὲ ὅπλα (τοὺς γὰρ κοινωνοῦντας ἀναγκαῖον καὶ ἐν αὐτοῖς ἔχειν ὅπλα πρός τε τὴν άρχήν, των ἀπειθούντων χάριν, καὶ πρὸς τοὺς ἔξωθεν ἀδικεῖν το έπιχειροῦντας), έτι χρημάτων τινὰ εὐπορίαν, ὅπως ἔχωσι καὶ πρὸς τὰς καθ' αὐτοὺς χρείας καὶ πρὸς πολεμικάς, πέμπτον δὲ καὶ πρῶτον τὴν περὶ τὸ θεῖον ἐπιμέλειαν, ἡν καλοῦσιν ίερατείαν, εκτον δε τον άριθμον και πάντων άναγκαιότατον κρίσιν περί τῶν συμφερόντων καὶ τῶν δικαίων τῶν πρὸς 15 άλλήλους. τὰ μὲν οὖν ἔργα ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ὧν δεῖται πᾶσα 8 πόλις ώς είπειν (ή γὰρ πόλις πληθός έστιν οὐ τὸ τυχόν. άλλὰ προς ζωήν αύταρκες, ώς φαμέν, έὰν δέ τι τυγχάνη τούτων ἐκλείπον, ἀδύνατον ἀπλῶς αὐτάρκη τὴν κοινωνίαν είναι ταύτην) άνάγκη τοίνυν κατά τὰς έργασίας 9 20 ταύτας συνεστάναι πόλιν· δεῖ ἄρα γεωργῶν τ' εἶναι πλῆθος, οὶ παρασκευάσουσι τὴν τροφήν, καὶ τεχνίτας, καὶ τὸ μάχιμου, καὶ τὸ εὖπορου, καὶ ἱερεῖς, καὶ κριτὰς τῶν ἀναγκαίων καὶ συμφερόντων.

3 Διωρισμένων δὲ τούτων λοιπὸν σκέψασθαι πότερον πᾶσι 25 κοινωνητέον πάντων τούτων (ἐνδέχεται γὰρ τοὺς αὐτοὺς ἄπαντας εἶναι καὶ γεωργοὺς καὶ τεχνίτας καὶ τοὺς βουλευομένους καὶ δικάζοντας) ἢ καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων ἄλλους ὑποθετέον, ἢ τὰ μὲν ἴδια τὰ δὲ κοινὰ τούτων ἐξ ἀνάγκης ἐστίν. οὐκ ἐν πάση δὲ ταὐτὸ πολιτεία. καθάπερ γὰρ εἴπομεν, 2

ένδέγεται καὶ πάντας κοινωνεῖν πάντων, καὶ μὴ πάντας 30 πάντων άλλὰ τινὰς τινῶν. ταῦτα γὰρ καὶ ποιεῖ τὰς πολιτείας έτέρας έν μεν γαρ ταις δημοκρατίαις μετέχουσι 3 πάντες πάντων, έν δὲ ταῖς όλιγαρχίαις τοὐναντίον, έπεὶ δὲ τυγχάνομεν σκοποῦντες περὶ τῆς ἀρίστης πολιτείας, αὕτη δ' έστὶ καθ' ἢν ἡ πόλις ἄν εἴη μάλιστ' εὐδαίμων, τὴν δ' 35 εὐδαιμονίαν ὅτι χωρὶς ἀρετῆς ἀδύνατον ὑπάρχειν εἴρηται πρότερον, φανερον έκ τούτων ώς έν τῆ κάλλιστα πολιτευομένη πόλει καὶ τῆ κεκτημένη δικαίους ἄνδρας ἀπλῶς, ἀλλὰ μη προς την υπόθεσιν, ούτε βάναυσον βίον ούτ' άγοραĵον δεί ζην τούς πολίτας (άγεννης γάρ ὁ τοιοῦτος βίος καὶ πρὸς 40 4 άρετην ύπεναντίος), οὐδὲ δη γεωργούς είναι τοὺς μέλλοντας έσεσθαι (δεί γὰρ σχολής καὶ πρὸς τὴν γένεσιν τῆς ἀρετῆς 1329 α καὶ πρὸς τὰς πράξεις τὰς πολιτικάς). ἐπεὶ δὲ καὶ τὸ πολεμικον και το βουλευόμενον περί των συμφερόντων καί κρίνον περί των δικαίων ένυπάρχει καὶ μέρη φαίνεται τῆς πόλεως μάλιστα όντα, πότερον έτερα καὶ ταῦτα θετέον ή 5 5 τοις αὐτοις ἀποδοτέον ἄμφω; φανερὸν δὲ καὶ τοῦτο, διότι τρόπον μέν τινα τοῖς αὐτοῖς, τρόπον δέ τινα καὶ ἐτέροις. ή μεν γαρ ετέρας ακμής εκάτερον των έργων, και το μεν δείται φρονήσεως τὸ δὲ δυνάμεως, ἐτέροις ή δὲ τῶν ἀδυνάτων έστὶ τοὺς δυναμένους βιάζεσθαι καὶ κωλύειν, τούτους 10 ύπομένειν άρχομένους ἀεί, ταύτη δὲ τοῖς αὐτοῖς οἱ γὰρ τῶν δπλων κύριοι καὶ μένειν ἡ μὴ μένειν κύριοι τὴν πολιτείαν. 6 λείπεται τοίνυν τοῖς αὐτοῖς μὲν ἀμφοτέροις ἀποδιδόναι τὴν πολιτείαν ταύτην, μη αμα δέ αλλ', ωσπερ πέφυκεν, ή μέν δύναμις έν νεωτέροις, ή δε φρόνησις έν πρεσβυτέροις 15 έστίν, οὐκοῦν οὕτως ἀμφοῖν νενεμησθαι συμφέρει καὶ δίκαιον 7 είναι έχει γάρ αΰτη ή διαίρεσις τὸ κατ ἀξίαν. μην καὶ τὰς κτήσεις δεῖ (εἶναι) περὶ τούτους ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ εὐπορίαν ὑπάρχειν τοῖς πολίταις, πολίται δὲ οὖτοι. τὸ γὰρ βάναυσον ού μετέχει της πόλεως, οὐδ' ἄλλο οὐδὲν γένος δ 20 μή της άρετης δημιουργόν έστιν. τοῦτο δὲ δηλον έκ της VOL: III. E

ύποθέσεως. το μέν γαρ εύδαιμονείν αναγκαίον ύπαρχειν μετὰ τῆς ἀρετῆς, εὐδαίμονα δὲ πόλιν οὐκ εἰς μέρος τι βλέψαντας δει λέγειν αὐτῆς, ἀλλ' εἰς πάντας τοὺς πολίτας. 25 φανερόν δε καὶ ὅτι δεῖ τὰς κτήσεις εἶναι τούτων, εἴπερ ἀναγ- 8 καίον είναι τοὺς γεωργοὺς δούλους ἡ βαρβάρους [ἡ] περιοίκους. λοιπὸν δ' ἐκ τῶν καταριθμηθέντων τὸ τῶν ἱερέων γένος, φανερά δὲ καὶ ἡ τούτων τάξις. οὔτε γὰρ γεωργὸν οὔτε 9 βάναυσον ίερέα καταστατέον ὑπὸ γὰρ τῶν πολιτῶν πρέπει 30 τιμᾶσθαι τοὺς θεούς έπεὶ δὲ διήρηται τὸ πολιτικὸν είς δύο μέρη, τοῦτ' ἐστὶ τό τε ὁπλιτικὸν καὶ τὸ βουλευτικόν, πρέπει δὲ τήν τε θεραπείαν ἀποδιδόναι τοῖς θεοῖς καὶ τὴν ἀνάπαυσιν έχειν περί αὐτοὺς τοὺς διὰ τὸν χρόνον ἀπειρηκότας. τούτοις αν είη τας ίερωσύνας αποδοτέον. ων μεν τοίνυν ανευ 10 35 πόλις οὐ συνίσταται, καὶ ὅσα μέρη πόλεως, εἴρηται (γεωργοὶ μὲν γὰρ καὶ τεχνῖται καὶ πᾶν τὸ θητικὸν ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν ταῖς πόλεσιν, μέρη δὲ τῆς πόλεως τό τε ὁπλιτικὸν καὶ βουλευτικόν, καὶ κεχώρισται δὴ τούτων ἔκαστον, τὸ μὲν άεί, τὸ δὲ κατὰ μέρος).

10 "Εοικε δ' οὐ νῦν οὐδὲ νεωστὶ τοῦτ' εἶναι γνώριμον τοῖς περὶ πολιτείας φιλοσοφοῦσιν, ὅτι δεῖ διηρῆσθαι χωρὶς κατὰ γένη 1329 b τὴν πόλιν καὶ τό τε μάχιμον ἔτερον εἶναι καὶ τὸ γεωργοῦν. ἐν Αἰγύπτω τε γὰρ ἔχει τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον ἔτι καὶ νῦν, τά τε περὶ τὴν Κρήτην, τὰ μὲν οὖν περὶ Αἰγυπτον Σεσώστριος, ὡς φασίν, οὕτω νομοθετήσαντος, Μίνω δὲ τὰ περὶ Κρήτην. ἀρχαία δ' ἔοικεν εἶναι καὶ τῶν συσσιτίων ἡ 2 τάξις, τὰ μὲν περὶ Κρήτην γενόμενα περὶ τὴν Μίνω βασιλείαν, τὰ δὲ περὶ τὴν Ἰταλίαν πολλῷ παλαιότερα τούτων. φασὶ γὰρ οἱ λόγιοι τῶν ἐκεῖ κατοικούντων Ἰταλόν 3 τινα γενέσθαι βασιλέα τῆς Οἰνωτρίας, ἀφ' οῦ τό τε ὅνομα 10 μεταβαλόντας Ἰταλοὺς ἀντ' Οἰνωτρῶν κληθῆναι καὶ τὴν ἀκτὴν ταύτην τῆς Εὐρώπης Ἰταλίαν τοὕνομα λαβεῖν, ὅση τετύχηκεν ἐντὸς οὖσα τοῦ κόλπου τοῦ Σκυλλητικοῦ καὶ τοῦ Λαμητικοῦ ἀπέχει γὰρ ταῦτα ἀπ' ἀλλήλων ὁδὸν ἡμι-

4 σείας ήμέρας. τοῦτον δή λέγουσι τὸν Ιταλὸν νομάδας τοὺς Οίνωτρούς όντας ποιησαι γεωργούς, καὶ νόμους άλλους τε 15 αὐτοῖς θέσθαι καὶ τὰ συσσίτια καταστήσαι πρῶτον, διὸ καὶ νῦν ἔτι τῶν ἀπ' ἐκείνου τινὲς χρῶνται τοῖς συσσιτίοις 5 καὶ τῶν νόμων ἐνίοις. ὥκουν δὲ τὸ μὲν πρὸς τὴν Τυρρηνίαν 'Οπικοί και πρότερον και νῦν καλούμενοι τὴν ἐπωνυμίαν Αύσονες, τὸ δὲ πρὸς τὴν Ἰαπυγίαν καὶ τὸν Ἰόνιον 20 Χώνες, την καλουμένην Σιρίτιν ήσαν δε και οι Χώνες 6 Οίνωτροί το γένος. ή μεν οῦν τῶν συσσιτίων τάξις ἐντεῦθεν γέγονε πρώτον, ὁ δὲ χωρισμὸς ὁ κατὰ γένος τοῦ πολιτικοῦ πλήθους έξ Αίγύπτου πολύ γαρ ύπερτείνει τοῖς χρόνοις την 7 Μίνω βασιλείαν ή Σεσώστριος, σχεδόν μέν οὖν καὶ τὰ 25 άλλα δεί νομίζειν εύρησθαι πολλάκις έν τῷ πολλῷ χρόνῳ, μαλλον δ' ἀπειράκις τὰ μεν γὰρ ἀναγκαῖα τὴν γρείαν διδάσκειν είκδς αὐτήν, τὰ δὲ είς εὐσχημοσύνην καὶ περιουσίαν ὑπαρχόντων ἤδη τούτων εὔλογον λαμβάνειν τὴν αὔξησιν: ώστε καὶ τὰ περὶ τὰς πολιτείας οἴεσθαι δεῖ τὸν αὐτὸν 30 8 έχειν τρόπον ότι δε πάντα άρχαῖα, σημεῖον τὰ περὶ Αίγυπτόν έστιν ούτοι γάρ άρχαιότατοι μέν δοκοῦσιν είναι, νόμων δε τετυχήκασι και τάξεως πολιτικής. διό δεί τοίς μέν είρημένοις ίκανως χρησθαι, τά δὲ παραλελειμμένα πειρασθαι ζητείν. 35

9 "Ότι μέν οὖν δεῖ τὴν χώραν εἶναι τῶν ὅπλα κεκτημένων καὶ τῶν τῆς πολιτείας μετεχόντων, εἴρηται πρότερον, καὶ διότι τοὺς γεωργοῦντας αὐτῶν ἐτέρους εἶναι δεῖ, καὶ πόσην τινὰ χρὴ καὶ ποίαν εἶναι τὴν χώραν' περὶ δὲ τῆς διανομῆς καὶ τῶν γεωργούντων, τίνας καὶ ποίους εἶναι χρή, 40 λεκτέον πρῶτον, ἐπειδὴ οὕτε κοινήν φαμεν εἶναι δεῖν τὴν κτῆσιν, ὥσπερ τινὲς εἰρήκασιν, ἀλλὰ τῆ χρήσει φιλικῶς 1330 α γινομένην κοινήν, οὕτ' ἀπορεῖν οὐδένα τῶν πολιτῶν τροφῆς.

10 περὶ συσσιτίων τε συνδοκεῖ πᾶσι χρήσιμον εἶναι ταῖς εὖ κατεσκευασμέναις πόλεσιν ὑπάρχειν δι' ἢν δ' αἰτίαν συν-δοκεῖ καὶ ἡμῖν, ὕστερον ἐροῦμεν. δεῖ δὲ τούτων κοινωνεῖν 5

πάντας τοὺς πολίτας, οὐ ῥάδιον δὲ τοὺς ἀπόρους ἀπὸ τῶν ίδίων τε εἰσφέρειν τὸ συντεταγμένον καὶ διοικεῖν τὴν ἄλλην οἰκίαν. ἔτι δὲ τὰ πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς δαπανήματα κοινὰ πάσης τῆς πόλεώς ἐστιν. ἀναγκαῖον τοίνυν εἰς δύο μέρη 11 10 διηρῆσθαι τὴν χώραν, καὶ τὴν μὲν είναι κοινὴν τὴν δὲ τῶν ίδιωτῶν, καὶ τούτων ἐκατέραν διηρῆσθαι δίχα πάλιν, τῆς μέν κοινής το μέν έτερον μέρος είς τας προς τους θεους λειτουργίας, τὸ δὲ ἔτερον εἰς τὴν τῶν συσσιτίων δαπάνην. τῆς δὲ τῶν ἰδιωτῶν τὸ ἔτερον μέρος τὸ πρὸς τὰς ἐσχατιάς, 15 έτερον δὲ τὸ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν, ἵνα δύο κλήρων ἐκάστφ νεμηθέντων αμφοτέρων των τόπων πάντες μετέχωσιν τό τε γὰρ ἴσον οὕτως ἔχει καὶ τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ πρὸς τοὺς ἀστυγείτονας πολέμους δμονοητικώτερον. ὅπου γὰρ μὴ τοῦτον 12 έχει τον τρόπον, οι μεν ολιγωρούσι της προς τους ομόρους 20 έχθρας, οἱ δὲ λίαν φροντίζουσι καὶ παρὰ τὸ καλόν. διὸ παρ' ένίοις νόμος έστὶ τοὺς γειτνιῶντας τοῖς ὁμόροις μὴ συμμετέχειν βουλης των πρός αὐτοὺς πολέμων, ώς διὰ τὸ ίδιον οὐκ ἄν δυναμένους βουλεύσασθαι καλῶς. τὴν μὲν οὖν χώραν 13 ανάγκη διηρησθαι τον τρόπον τοῦτον διὰ τὰς προειρημένας 25 αίτίας τοὺς δὲ γεωργήσοντας μάλιστα μέν, εἰ δεῖ κατ' εὐχήν, δούλους εἶναι, μήτε ὁμοφύλων πάντων μήτε θυμοειδῶν (οὕτω γὰρ ἀν πρός τε τὴν ἐργασίαν εἶεν χρήσιμοι καὶ πρός το μηδέν νεωτερίζειν άσφαλείς), δεύτερον δε βαρβάρους περιοίκους παραπλησίους τοῖς εἰρημένοις τὴν φύσιν. 30 τούτων δε τους μεν [ίδίους] έν τοις ίδίοις είναι ίδίους των κε- 14 κτημένων τὰς οὐσίας, τοὺς δ' ἐπὶ τῆ κοινῆ γῆ κοινούς. τίνα δὲ δεῖ τρόπον χρησθαι δούλοις, καὶ διότι βέλτιον πᾶσι τοῖς δούλοις άθλον προκείσθαι την έλευθερίαν, ὕστερον έροῦμεν. Την δε πόλιν ότι μεν δεί κοινην είναι της ηπείρου τε 35 καὶ τῆς θαλάσσης καὶ τῆς χώρας ἀπάσης ὁμοίως ἐκ τῶν ένδεχομένων, εἴρηται πρότερον· αὐτῆς δὲ πρὸς αὐτὴν εἶναι τὴν θέσιν εὔχεσθαι δεῖ κατατυγχάνειν πρὸς τέτταρα δὴ βλέποντας, πρώτον μέν, ώς άναγκαῖον, πρός ὑγίειαν (αί τε 2

γάρ πρὸς ξω τὴν ξγκλισιν ξχουσαι καὶ πρὸς τὰ πνεύματα τὰ πνέοντα ἀπὸ τῆς ἀνατολῆς ὑγιεινότεραι, δεύτερον δὲ 40 κατὰ βορέαν εὐχείμεροι γὰρ αὖται μᾶλλον) τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν πρός τε τὰς πολιτικὰς πράξεις καὶ πολεμικὰς καλῶς 1330 b 3 έχειν. πρός μέν οὖν τὰς πολεμικὰς αὐτοῖς μέν εὐέξοδον είναι χρή, τοίς δ' έναντίοις δυσπρόσοδον καὶ δυσπερίληπτον. ύδάτων τε καὶ ναμάτων μάλιστα μὲν ὑπάρχειν πληθος οἰκείον, εἰ δὲ μή, τοῦτό γε ευρηται διὰ τοῦ κατασκευάζειν 5 ύποδοχὰς όμβρίοις ὕδασιν άφθόνους καὶ μεγάλας, ὥστε μηδέποτε ὑπολείπειν εἰργομένους τῆς χώρας διὰ πόλεμον 4 έπεὶ δὲ δεῖ περὶ ὑγιείας φροντίζειν τῶν ἐνοικούντων, τοῦτο δ' έστὶν έν τῷ κεῖσθαι τὸν τόπον έν τε τοιούτω καὶ πρὸς τοιούτον καλώς, δεύτερον δε ύδασιν ύγιεινοίς χρησθαι, καί 10 τούτου την έπιμέλειαν έχειν μη παρέργως. οίς γάρ πλείστοις χρώμεθα πρὸς τὸ σῶμα καὶ πλειστάκις, ταῦτα πλεῖστον συμβάλλεται πρὸς τὴν ὑγίειαν ἡ δὲ τῶν ὑδάτων καὶ τοῦ 5 πνεύματος δύναμις τοιαύτην έχει την φύσιν. διόπερ έν ταῖς $\epsilon \hat{v}$ φρονούσαις δε $\hat{\iota}$ διωρίσθαι πόλεσιν, έ \hat{a} ν μ $\hat{\eta}$ πάνθ' $\hat{\iota}$ 15 δμοια μήτ' ἀφθονία τοιούτων ή ναμάτων, χωρὶς τά τε είς τροφήν ὕδατα καὶ τὰ πρὸς τὴν ἄλλην χρείαν. περὶ δὲ τόπων τῶν ἐρυμνῶν, οὐ πάσαις ὁμοίως ἔχει τὸ συμφέρον ταις πολιτείαις οίον ακρόπολις όλιγαρχικόν και μοναρχικόν, δημοκρατικὸν δ' όμαλότης, άριστοκρατικὸν δ' οὐδέτερον, 20 6 άλλὰ μᾶλλον ἰσχυροὶ τόποι πλείους. ἡ δὲ τῶν ἰδίων οἰκήσεων διάθεσις ήδίων μεν νομίζεται καὶ χρησιμωτέρα πρός τὰς ἄλλας πράξεις, ἄν εὔτομος ἢ καὶ κατὰ τὸν νεώτερον καὶ τὸν Ἱπποδάμειον τρόπον, πρὸς δὲ τὰς πολεμικὰς άσφαλείας τοὐναντίον, ώς είχον κατά τὸν άρχαῖον χρόνον 25 δυσέξοδος γὰρ ἐκείνη τοῖς ξενικοῖς καὶ δυσεξερεύνητος τοῖς 7 ἐπιτιθεμένοις. διὸ δεῖ τούτων ἀμφοτέρων μετέχειν (ἐνδέχεται γάρ, ἄν τις οὕτω κατασκευάζη καθάπερ ἐν τοῖς γεωργοις &ς καλουσί τινες των άμπέλων συστάδας) και την μέν όλην μη ποιείν πόλιν εύτομον, κατά μέρη δε και τόπους 30

ούτω γὰρ καὶ πρὸς ἀσφάλειαν καὶ κόσμον ἔξει καλῶς. περὶ δὲ τειχῶν, οἱ μὴ φάσκοντες δεῖν ἔχειν τὰς τῆς ἀρε-8 της αντιποιουμένας πόλεις λίαν αρχαίως υπολαμβάνουσιν. καὶ ταῦθ' ὁρῶντες ἐλεγχομένας ἔργφ τὰς ἐκείνως καλλω-35 πισαμένας. έστι δὲ πρὸς μὲν τοὺς ὁμοίους καὶ μὴ πολὺ τῷ 9 πλήθει διαφέροντας οὐ καλὸν τὸ πειρᾶσθαι σώζεσθαι διὰ της των τειχων έρυμνότητος έπει δε και συμβαίνει και ένδέχεται πλείω την υπεροχην γίγνεσθαι τῶν ἐπιόντων καὶ της ανθρωπίνης και της έν τοις ολίγοις αρετης, εί δεί 40 σώζεσθαι καὶ μὴ πάσχειν κακῶς μηδὲ ὑβρίζεσθαι, τὴν άσφαλεστάτην έρυμνότητα τῶν τειχῶν οἰητέον εἶναι πολε-1331 α μικωτάτην, ἄλλως τε καὶ νῦν εὐρημένων τῶν περὶ τὰ βέλη καὶ τὰς μηχανὰς εἰς ἀκρίβειαν πρὸς τὰς πολιορκίας. δμοιον γὰρ τὸ τείχη μὴ περιβάλλειν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἀξιοῦν 10 καὶ τὸ τὴν χώραν εὐέμβολον ζητείν καὶ περιαιρείν τοὺς 5 όρεινους τόπους, όμοίως δε και ταις οἰκήσεσι ταις ίδίαις μή περιβάλλειν τοίχους ως ανάνδρων έσομένων των κατοικούντων. άλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ τοῦτό γε δεῖ λανθάνειν, ὅτι τοῖς μὲν 11 περιβεβλημένοις τείχη περί την πόλιν έξεστιν άμφοτέρως χρησθαι ταις πόλεσιν, και ως έχούσαις τείχη και ως μή ιο έχούσαις, ταις δε μη κεκτημέναις οὐκ ἔξεστιν. εί δη τοῦτον έχει τὸν τρόπον, οὐχ ὅτι τείχη μόνον περιβλητέον, ἀλλὰ καὶ τούτων ἐπιμελητέον, ὅπως καὶ πρὸς κόσμον ἔχη τῆ πόλει πρεπόντως καὶ πρὸς τὰς πολεμικὰς χρείας, τάς τε άλλας καὶ τὰς νῦν ἐπεξευρημένας. ὥσπερ γὰρ τοῖς ἐπιτι- 12 15 θεμένοις έπιμελές έστι δι' ων τρόπων πλεονεκτήσουσιν, ούτω τὰ μεν ευρηται τὰ δε δεί ζητείν και φιλοσοφείν και τους φυλαττομένους άρχην γάρ οὐδ ἐπιχειροῦσιν ἐπιτίθεσθαι τοίς εὖ παρεσκευασμένοις.

12 'Επεὶ δὲ δεῖ τὸ μὲν πληθος τῶν πολιτῶν ἐν συσσι20 τίοις κατανενεμησθαι, τὰ δὲ τείχη διειληφθαι φυλακτηρίοις καὶ πύργοις κατὰ τόπους ἐπικαίρους, δηλον ὡς αὐτὰ προκαλεῖται παρασκευάζειν ἔνια τῶν συσσιτίων ἐν τούτοις

2 τοῖς , φυλακτηρίοις. καὶ ταῦτα μέν δὴ τοῦτον ἄν τις διακοσμήσειε τον τρόπον, τας δε τοις θείοις αποδεδομένας οικήσεις καὶ τὰ κυριώτατα τῶν ἀρχείων συσσίτια ἀρμόττει 25 τόπον ἐπιτήδειόν τε ἔχειν καὶ τὸν αὐτόν, ὅσα μὴ τῶν ίερων δ νόμος άφορίζει χωρίς ή τι μαντείον άλλο πυθόχρη-3 στον. είη δ' άν τοιοῦτος ὁ τόπος ὅστις ἐπιφάνειάν τε ἔχει πρός την της άρετης θέσιν ίκ~νως και πρός τα γειτνιώντα μέρη της πόλεως έρυμνοτέρως. πρέπει δ' ὑπὸ μὲν τοῦτον 30 τὸν τόπον τοιαύτης ἀγορᾶς εἶναι κατασκευὴν οἵαν καὶ περὶ 4 Θετταλίαν ὀνομάζουσιν, ην έλευθέραν καλοῦσιν, αὕτη δ' έστιν ην δεί καθαράν είναι των ώνίων πάντων, και μήτε βάναυσον μήτε γεωργόν μήτ' άλλον μηδένα τοιοῦτον παραβάλλειν μη καλούμενον ύπο των άρχοντων (είη δ' αν 35 εύχαρις δ τόπος, εί καὶ τὰ γυμνάσια τῶν πρεσβυτέρων 5 έχοι την τάξιν ένταῦθα πρέπει γὰρ διηρησθαι κατά τὰς ήλικίας καὶ τοῦτον τὸν κόσμον, καὶ παρὰ μέν τοῖς νεωτέροις ἄρχοντάς τινας διατρίβειν, τους δε πρεσβυτέρους παρά τοις άρχουσιν ή γάρ έν όφθαλμοις των άρχόντων παρου- 40 σία μάλιστα έμποιεί την άληθινην αίδῶ καὶ τὸν τῶν έλευ-6 θέρων φόβον)· την δὲ τῶν ώνίων ἀγορὰν ἐτέραν τε δεῖ ταύ- 1331 b της είναι και χωρίς, έχουσαν τόπον εύσυνάγωγον τοις τε άπὸ τῆς θαλάττης πεμπομένοις καὶ τοῖς ἀπὸ τῆς χώρας πασιν. έπεὶ δὲ τὸ † πληθος † διαιρείται της πόλεως εἰς ἱερείς. είς ἄρχοντας, πρέπει καὶ τῶν ἱερέων συσσίτια περὶ τὴν τῶν 5 7 ίερων οἰκοδομημάτων ἔχειν τὴν τάξιν. των δ' ἀρχείων ὅσα περί τὰ συμβόλαια ποιείται τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν, περί τε γραφας δικών και τας κλήσεις και την άλλην την τοιαύτην διοίκησιν, έτι δε περί την άγορανομίαν και την καλουμένην άστυνομίαν, πρὸς άγορα μεν δεί καὶ συνόδω τινὶ κοινή κα- 10 τεσκευάσθαι, τοιούτος δ' ό περί την άναγκαίαν άγοράν έστι τόπος ένσχολάζειν μεν γαρ την άνω τίθεμεν ταύτην δε 8 πρός τὰς ἀναγκαίας πράξεις. νενεμησθαι δε χρη την είρημένην τάξιν καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν χώραν καὶ γὰρ ἐκεῖ τοῖς

15 ἄρχουσιν, οὖς καλοῦσιν οἱ μὲν ὑλωροὺς οἱ δὲ ἀγρονόμους, καὶ φυλακτήρια καὶ συσσίτια πρὸς φυλακὴν ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν, ἔτι δὲ ἱερὰ κατὰ τὴν χώραν εἶναι νενεμημένα, τὰ μὲν θεοῖς τὰ δὲ ἥρωσιν. ἀλλὰ τὸ διατρίβειν νῦν ἀκριβο- 9 λογουμένους καὶ λέγοντας περὶ τῶν τοιούτων ἀργόν ἐστιν.
20 οὐ γὰρ χαλεπόν ἐστι τὰ τοιαῦτα νοῆσαι, ἀλλὰ ποιῆσαι μᾶλλον τὸ μὲν γὰρ λέγειν εὐχῆς ἔργον ἐστί, τὸ δὲ συμβῆναι τύχης. διὸ περὶ μὲν τῶν τοιούτων τό γε ἐπὶ πλεῖον ἀφείσθω τὰ νῦν,

Περὶ δὲ τῆς πολιτείας αὐτῆς, ἐκ τίνων καὶ ἐκ ποίων 13 25 δεί συνεστάναι την μέλλουσαν έσεσθαι πόλιν μακαρίαν καὶ πολιτεύσεσθαι καλώς, λεκτέον. ἐπεὶ δὲ δύ ἐστὶν ἐν οίς 2 γίγνεται τὸ εὖ πᾶσι, τούτοιν δ' έστὶν ἐν μὲν ἐν τῶ τὸν σκοπον κείσθαι και το τέλος των πράξεων ορθώς, έν δε τάς πρός τὸ τέλος φερούσας πράξεις εὐρίσκειν (ἐνδέχεται γὰρ 20 ταθτα καὶ διαφωνείν άλλήλοις καὶ συμφωνείν ένίστε γὰρ ό μέν σκοπὸς ἔκκειται καλῶς, ἐν δὲ τῷ πράττειν τοῦ τυχείν αὐτοῦ διαμαρτάνουσιν, ότὲ δὲ τῶν μὲν πρὸς τὸ τέλος πάντων έπιτυγχάνουσιν, άλλὰ τὸ τέλος έθεντο φαῦλον, ὁτὲ δὲ ἐκατέρου διαμαρτάνουσιν, οἷον περὶ ἰατρικήν οὔτε γὰρ 35 ποίδν τι δεί τὸ ὑγιαίνον είναι σῶμα κρίνουσιν ἐνίοτε καλῶς, οὔτε πρὸς τὸν ὑποκείμενον αὐτοῖς ὅρον τυγχάνουσι τῶν ποιητικών δεί δ' έν ταίς τέχναις καὶ έπιστήμαις ταῦτα ἀμφότερα κρατεῖσθαι, τὸ τέλος καὶ τὰς εἰς τὸ τέλος πράξεις) δτι μέν οὖν τοῦ τε εὖ ζην καὶ της εὐδαιμονίας ἐφίενται 3 40 πάντες, φανερόν, άλλὰ τούτων τοῖς μεν έξουσία τυγχάνειν, τοις δε ού, διά τινα φύσιν ἡ τύχην (δείται γὰρ καὶ χο-1332 a ρηγίας τινὸς τὸ ζῆν καλῶς, τούτου δὲ ἐλάττονος μὲν τοῖς ἄμεινον διακειμένοις, πλείονος δὲ τοῖς χεῖρον), οἱ δ' εὐθὺς οὐκ 4 όρθως ζητούσι την εύδαιμονίαν, έξουσίας υπαρχούσης. δὲ τὸ προκείμενον ἐστι τὴν ἀρίστην πολιτείαν ἰδεῖν, αὕτη δ' 5 έστὶ καθ' ην ἄριστ' αν πολιτεύοιτο πόλις, ἄριστα δ' αν πολιτεύοιτο καθ' ην εὐδαιμονείν μάλιστα ἐνδέχεται την πόλιν,

5 δήλον ότι την εὐδαιμονίαν δεί, τί έστι, μη λανθάνειν. φαμέν δὲ καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἡθικοῖς, εἴ τι τῶν λόγων ἐκείνων ὄφελος, ένέργειαν είναι καὶ χρησιν άρετης τελείαν, καὶ ταύτην 6 ούκ έξ ὑποθέσεως ἀλλ' ἀπλῶς. λέγω δ' έξ ὑποθέσεως 10 τάναγκαῖα, τὸ δ' ἀπλῶς τὸ καλῶς οἶον τὰ περὶ τὰς δικαίας πράξεις αι δίκαιαι τιμωρίαι και κολάσεις άπ' άρετης μέν είσιν, αναγκαίαι δέ, και το καλώς αναγκαίως έγουσιν (αίρετώτερον μεν γαρ μηδενός δείσθαι των τοιούτων μήτε τὸν ἄνδρα μήτε τὴν πόλιν), αἱ δ' ἐπὶ τὰς τιμὰς καὶ 15 7 τὰς εὐπορίας ἀπλῶς εἰσὶ κάλλισται πράξεις. τὸ μὲν γὰρ έτερον κακοῦ τινὸς αἵρεσίς έστιν, αἱ τοιαῦται δὲ πράξεις τούναντίον κατασκευαὶ γὰρ ἀγαθῶν είσὶ καὶ γεννήσεις. χρήσαιτο δ' αν ο σπουδαίος ανήρ και πενία και νόσφ και ταις. ἄλλαις τύχαις ταις φαύλαις καλώς άλλὰ τὸ μακάριον 20 έν τοῖς έναντίοις έστίν. καὶ γὰρ τοῦτο διώρισται κατὰ τοὺς ήθικούς λόγους, ότι τοιοῦτός ἐστιν ὁ σπουδαίος, ώ διὰ τὴν 8 άρετὴν τὰ ἀγαθά ἐστι τὰ ἀπλῶς ἀγαθά, δῆλον δ' ὅτι καὶ τὰς χρήσεις ἀναγκαῖον σπουδαίας καὶ καλὰς είναι ταύτας άπλως. διὸ καὶ νομίζουσιν ἄνθρωποι τῆς εὐδαιμονίας αἴτια 25 τὰ έκτὸς είναι τῶν ἀγαθῶν, ὥσπερ εί τοῦ κιθαρίζειν λαμπρον και καλώς αιτιώτο την λύραν μαλλον της τέχνης. άναγκαῖον τοίνυν έκ τῶν εἰρημένων τὰ μὲν ὑπάρχειν, τὰ 9 δὲ παρασκευάσαι τὸν νομοθέτην. διὸ κατ' εὐχὴν εὐχόμεθα την της πόλεως σύστασιν, ων η τύχη κυρία κυρίαν γάρ 30 ύπάρχειν τίθεμεν τὸ δὲ σπουδαίαν είναι τὴν πόλιν οὐκέτι τύχης έργον, άλλ' έπιστήμης καὶ προαιρέσεως. άλλὰ μὴν σπουδαία γε πόλις έστι τῷ τοὺς πολίτας τοὺς μετέχοντας της πολιτείας είναι σπουδαίους ήμιν δε πάντες οί πολίται 10 μετέχουσι της πολιτείας. τοῦτ' ἄρα σκεπτέον, πῶς ἀνηρ γί- 35 νεται σπουδαίος. καὶ γὰρ εἰ πάντας ἐνδέχεται σπουδαίους είναι, μή καθ' εκαστον δε των πολιτων, ούτως αίρετώτερον. άκολουθεί γὰρ τῷ καθ' ἔκαστον καὶ τὸ πάντας. άλλὰ μὴν 11 άγαθοί γε καὶ σπουδαῖοι γίγνονται διὰ τριῶν. τὰ τρία δὲ

40 ταῦτά ἐστι φύσις ἔθος λόγος. καὶ γὰρ φῦναι δεῖ πρῶτον οἶον ἄνθρωπον ἀλλὰ μὴ τῶν ἄλλων τι ζώων, οὕτω καὶ ποιόν τινα τὸ σῶμα καὶ τὴν ψυχήν. ἔνιά τε οὐδὲν ὅφελος 332 b φῦναι τὰ γὰρ ἔθη μεταβαλεῖν ποιεῖ· ἔνια γάρ ἐστι διὰ τῆς φύσεως ἐπαμφοτερίζοντα διὰ τῶν ἐθῶν ἐπὶ τὸ χεῖρον καὶ τὸ βέλτιον. τὰ μὲν οῦν ἄλλα τῶν ζώων μάλιστα μὲν 12 τῆ φύσει ζῆ, μικρὰ δ' ἔνια καὶ τοῖς ἔθεσιν, ἄνθρωπος δὲ 5 καὶ λόγω μόνον γὰρ ἔχει λόγον. ὥστε δεῖ ταῦτα συμφωνεῖν ἀλλήλοις πολλὰ γὰρ παρὰ τοὺς ἐθισμοὺς καὶ τὴν φύσιν πράττουσι διὰ τὸν λόγον, ἐὰν πεισθῶσιν ἄλλως ἔχειν βέλτιον. τὴν μὲν τοίνυν φύσιν οῖους εἶναι δεῖ τοὺς μέλλον- 13 τας εὐχειρώτους ἔσεσθαι τῷ νομοθέτῃ, διωρίσμεθα πρότερον, 10 τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν ἔργον ἤδη παιδείας τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἐθιζόμενοι μανθάνουσι, τὰ δ' ἀκούοντες.

14 'Επεὶ δὲ πᾶσα πολιτική κοινωνία συνέστηκεν έξ άρχόντων καὶ ἀρχομένων, τοῦτο δὴ σκεπτέον, εἰ ἐτέρους εἶναι δεί τους ἄρχοντας και τους άρχομένους ή τους αύτους διά 15 βίου δήλον γάρ ώς ἀκολουθείν δεήσει καὶ την παιδείαν κατὰ τὴν διαίρεσιν ταύτην. εἰ μὲν τοίνυν εἴησαν τοσοῦτον 2 διαφέροντες ἄτεροι τῶν ἄλλων ὅσον τοὺς θεοὺς καὶ τοὺς ήρωας ήγούμεθα των άνθρώπων διαφέρειν, εύθύς πρώτον κατὰ τὸ σῶμα πολλὴν ἔχοντας ὑπερβολήν, εἶτα κατὰ 20 την ψυχήν, ωστε αναμφισβήτητον είναι και φανεράν την ύπεροχήν τοις άρχομένοις την των άρχόντων, δήλον δτι βέλτιον ἀεὶ τοὺς αὐτοὺς τοὺς μὲν ἄρχειν τοὺς δ' ἄρχεσθαι καθάπαξ' έπει δε τοῦτ' οὐ ράδιον λαβείν οὐδε έστιν ώσπερ 3 έν Ίνδοις φησί Σκύλαξ είναι τους βασιλέας τοσούτον δια-25 φέροντας των άρχομένων, φανερόν ὅτι διὰ πολλάς αἰτίας άναγκαιον πάντας όμοίως κοινωνείν τοῦ κατὰ μέρος ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι, τό τε γὰρ ἴσον ταὐτὸν τοῖς ὁμοίοις, καὶ χαλεπόν μένειν την πολιτείαν την συνεστηκυίαν παρά τό δίκαιον, μετά γάρ των άρχομένων υπάρχουσι νεωτερίζειν 4 30 βουλόμενοι πάντες οἱ κατὰ τὴν χώραν τοσούτους τε εἶναι

τους έν τῷ πολιτεύματι τὸ πληθος ώστ' είναι κρείττους πάντων τούτων, εν τι των άδυνάτων έστίν, άλλα μην ότι γε δεί τους άρχοντας διαφέρειν των άρχομένων, άναμφισβήτητον. πως ουν ταυτ' έσται και πως μεθέξουσι, δεί σκέ-5 ψασθαι τὸν νομοθέτην, εἴρηται δὲ πρότερον περὶ αὐτοῦ, 35 ή γαρ φύσις δέδωκε την διαίρεσιν, ποιήσασα αὐτὸ τῷ γένει ταύτὸ τὸ μὲν νεώτερον τὸ δὲ πρεσβύτερον, ὧν τοῖς μὲν άρχεσθαι πρέπει, τοις δ' άρχειν' άγανακτει δε ούδεις καθ' ηλικίαν άρχόμενος, οὐδε νομίζει είναι κρείττων, άλλως τε καὶ μέλλων ἀντιλαμβάνειν τοῦτον τὸν ἔρανον, ὅταν τύχη τῆς 40 6 ίκνουμένης ήλικίας. έστι μέν άρα ώς τούς αύτούς άρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι φατέον, ἔστι δὲ ώς ἐτέρους. ὥστε καὶ τὴν παιδείαν έστιν ώς την αύτην άναγκαῖον, έστι δ' ώς έτέραν 1333 α είναι. τόν τε γὰρ μέλλοντα καλῶς ἄρχειν ἀρχθῆναί φασι δείν πρώτον. ἔστι δε ἀρχή, καθάπερ έν τοίς πρώτοις είρηται λόγοις, ή μέν τοῦ ἄρχοντος χάριν, ή δὲ τοῦ ἀρχομένου. 7 τούτων δὲ τὴν μὲν δεσποτικὴν εἶναί φαμεν, τὴν δὲ τῶν έλευ- 5 θέρων. διαφέρει δ' ένια των έπιταττομένων οὐ τοῖς έργοις άλλα τῷ τίνος ἔνεκα, διὸ πολλα τῶν είναι δοκούντων διακονικών έργων καὶ τών νέων τοῖς έλευθέροις καλλν διακονείν πρός γάρ το καλόν και το μη καλόν ούχ ούτω διαφέρουσιν αἱ πράξεις καθ' αὐτὰς ὡς ἐν τῷ τέλει καὶ τῷ 10 8 τίνος ενεκεν. έπεὶ δὲ πολίτου καὶ ἄρχοντος τὴν αὐτὴν άρετην είναι φαμεν και του άριστου άνδρός, τον δ' αὐτον άρχόμενόν τε δείν γίγνεσθαι πρότερον καὶ ἄρχοντα ὕστερον. τοῦτ' αν είη τῷ νομοθέτη πραγματευτέον, ὅπως ἄνδρες άγαθοὶ γίγνωνται, καὶ διὰ τίνων ἐπιτηδευμάτων, καὶ τί τὸ 15 9 τέλος της ἀρίστης ζωής. διήρηται δε δύο μέρη της ψυχής, ών τὸ μὲν ἔχει λόγον καθ' αὐτό, τὸ δ' οὐκ ἔχει μὲν καθ' αύτό, λόγω δ' ύπακούειν δυνάμενον. ων φαμέν τὰς ἀρετὰς είναι καθ' ᾶς ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς λέγεταί πως. τούτων δ' έν ποτέρω μαλλον τὸ τέλος, τοῖς μὲν οὕτω διαιροῦσιν ώς ἡμεῖς 20 10 φαμέν οὐκ ἄδηλον πῶς λεκτέον, αἰεὶ γὰρ τὸ χείρον τοῦ

βελτίονός έστιν ένεκεν, καὶ τοῦτο φανερον όμοίως έν τε τοῖς κατά τέχνην καὶ τοῖς κατά φύσιν, βέλτιον δὲ τὸ λόγον έχον διήρηταί τε διχή καθ' δνπερ είώθαμεν τρόπον διαι-25 ρείν ό μεν γάρ πρακτικός έστι λόγος ό δε θεωρητικός. ώσαύτως οὖν ἀνάγκη διηρησθαι καὶ τοῦτο τὸ μέρος δηλονότι 11 καὶ τὰς πράξεις δ' ἀνάλογον ἐροῦμεν ἔχειν, καὶ δεῖ τὰς τοῦ φύσει βελτίονος αίρετωτέρας είναι τοῖς δυναμένοις τυγχάνειν ή πασων ή τοίν δυοίν αίει γαρ εκάστω τουθ' αίρε-30 τώτατον, οὖ τυχεῖν ἔστιν ἀκροτάτου. διήρηται δὲ καὶ πᾶς 12 δ βίος είς ἀσχολίαν καὶ είς σχολην καὶ πόλεμον καὶ εἰρήνην, καὶ τῶν πρακτῶν τὰ μὲν εἰς τὰ ἀναγκαῖα καὶ χρήσιμα τὰ δὲ είς τὰ καλά. περὶ ὧν ἀνάγκη τὴν αὐτὴν 13 αιρεσιν είναι καὶ τοις της ψυχης μέρεσι καὶ ταις πράξε-35 σιν αὐτῶν, πόλεμον μὲν εἰρήνης χάριν, ἀσχολίαν δὲ σχολῆς. τὰ δ' ἀναγκαῖα καὶ χρήσιμα τῶν καλῶν ἕνεκεν. πάντα μέν τοίνυν τῷ πολιτικῷ βλέποντι νομοθετητέον. καὶ κατὰ τὰ μέρη τῆς ψυχῆς καὶ κατὰ τὰς πράξεις αὐτῶν, μᾶλλον δὲ πρὸς τὰ βελτίω καὶ τὰ τέλη. τὸν 14 40 αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον καὶ περὶ τοὺς βίους καὶ τὰς τῶν πραγμάτων διαιρέσεις. δεῖ μὲν γὰρ ἀσχολεῖν δύνασθαι καὶ πο-1333 b λεμείν, μαλλον δ' είρήνην άγειν καὶ σχολάζειν, καὶ τάναγκαΐα καὶ τὰ χρήσιμα δὲ πράττειν, τὰ δὲ καλὰ δεῖ μαλλον. ὥστε πρὸς τούτους τοὺς σκοποὺς καὶ παίδας ἔτι οντας παιδευτέον και τὰς ἄλλας ἡλικίας, ὅσαι δέονται 5 παιδείας. οἱ δὲ νῦν ἄριστα δοκοῦντες πολιτεύεσθαι τῶν 15 Έλλήνων, καὶ τῶν νομοθετῶν οἱ ταύτας καταστήσαντες τὰς πολιτείας, ούτε πρὸς τὸ βέλτιον τέλος φαίνονται συντάξαντες τὰ περὶ τὰς πολιτείας ούτε πρὸς πάσας τὰς άρετὰς τοὺς νόμους καὶ τὴν παιδείαν, ἀλλὰ φορτικῶς ἀπέκλιναν 10 πρός τὰς χρησίμους είναι δοκούσας καὶ πλεονεκτικωτέρας. παραπλησίως δε τούτοις και των υστερόν τινες γραψάντων 16 άπεφήναντο την αὐτην δόξαν έπαινοῦντες γὰρ την Λακεδαιμονίων πολιτείαν άγανται τοῦ νομοθέτου τὸν σκοπόν, ὅτι

πάντα πρός τὸ κρατεῖν καὶ πρὸς πόλεμον ἐνομοθέτησεν & καὶ κατὰ τὸν λόγον ἐστὶν εὐέλεγκτα καὶ τοῖς ἔργοις ἐξε- 15 17 λήλεγκται νῦν. ὥσπερ γὰρ οἱ πλεῖστοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων ζηλοῦσι τὸ πολλῶν δεσπόζειν, ὅτι πολλὴ χορηγία γίγνεται τῶν εὐτυχημάτων, οὕτω καὶ Θίβρων ἀγάμενος φαίνεται τὸν τῶν Λακώνων νομοθέτην, καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἕκαστος τῶν γραφόντων περὶ (τῆς) πολιτείας αὐτῶν, ὅτι διὰ τὸ γεγυμνάσθαι 20 18 πρὸς τοὺς κινδύνους πολλῶν ἦρχον καίτοι δῆλον ὡς ἐπειδὴ νῦν γε οὐκέτι ὑπάρχει τοῖς Λάκωσι τὸ ἄρχειν, οὐκ εὐδαίμονες, οὐδ' ὁ νομοθέτης ἀγαθός. ἔτι δὲ τοῦτο γελοῖον, εί μένοντες έν τοῖς νόμοις αὐτοῦ, καὶ μηδενὸς έμποδίζοντος πρὸς τὸ χρησθαι τοῖς νόμοις, ἀποβεβλήκασι τὸ ζην κα- 25 19 λώς, οὐκ ὀρθώς δ' ὑπολαμβάνουσιν οὐδὲ περὶ τῆς ἀρχῆς ἡν δεῖ τιμῶντα φαίνεσθαι τὸν νομοθέτην τοῦ γὰρ δεσποτικῶς άρχειν ή τῶν ἐλευθέρων ἀρχὴ καλλίων καὶ μᾶλλον μετ' άρετης. ἔτι δὲ οὐ διὰ τοῦτο δεῖ τὴν πόλιν εὐδαίμονα νομίζειν καὶ τὸν νομοθέτην ἐπαινεῖν, ὅτι κρατεῖν ἤσκησεν ἐπὶ τὸ 30 τῶν πέλας ἄρχειν· ταῦτα γὰρ μεγάλην ἔχει βλάβην. 20 δήλον γὰρ ὅτι καὶ τῶν πολιτῶν τῷ δυναμένῷ τοῦτο πειρατέον διώκειν, ὅπως δύνηται τῆς οἰκείας πόλεως ἄρχειν. όπερ έγκαλοῦσιν οἱ Λάκωνες Παυσανία τῷ βασιλεῖ, καίπερ έχοντι τηλικαύτην τιμήν. οὔτε δή πολιτικός τῶν τοιού- 35 των λόγων καὶ νόμων οὐδεὶς οὔτε ώφέλιμος οὔτε άληθής έστιν. 21 ταὐτὰ γὰρ ἄριστα καὶ ἰδία καὶ κοινῆ, τόν (τε) νομοθέτην έμποιεῖν δεῖ ταῦτα ταῖς ψυχαῖς τῶν ἀνθρώπων' τήν τε τῶν πολεμικών ἄσκησιν οὐ τούτου χάριν δεῖ μελετᾶν, ἵνα καταδουλώσωνται τοὺς ἀναξίους, ἀλλ' ἵνα πρῶτον μὲν αὐτοὶ μὴ 40 δουλεύσωσιν έτεροις, επειτα οπως ζητῶσι τὴν ἡγεμονίαν τῆς ώφελείας ενεκα των άρχομένων, άλλα μη πάντων δεσπο- 1334 a 22 τείας τρίτον δε το δεσπόζειν των άξίων δουλεύειν. ὅτι δε΄ δει τὸν νομοθέτην μαλλον σπουδάζειν όπως καὶ τὴν περὶ τὰ πολεμικὰ καὶ τὴν ἄλλην νομοθεσίαν τοῦ σχολάζειν ένεκεν τάξη καὶ τῆς εἰρήνης, μαρτυρεῖ τὰ γιγνόμενα τοῖς 5

λόγοις αἱ γὰρ πλεῖσται τῶν τοιούτων πόλεων πολεμοῦσαι μὲν σώζονται, κατακτησάμεναι δὲ τὴν ἀρχὴν ἀπόλλυνται. τὴν γὰρ βαφὴν ἀφιᾶσιν, ὥσπερ ὁ σίδηρος, εἰρήνην ἄγοντες. αἴτιος δ' ὁ νομοθέτης οὐ παιδεύσας δύνασθαι σχο-10 λάζειν.

Έπεὶ δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τέλος εἶναι φαίνεται καὶ κοινῆ καὶ 15 ίδία τοις ανθρώποις, και τον αυτον δρον αναγκαίον είναι τῷ τε ἀρίστῳ ἀνδρὶ καὶ τῆ ἀρίστη πολιτεία, φανερον ὅτι δεῖ τὰς εἰς τὴν σχολὴν ἀρετὰς ὑπάρχειν τέλος γάρ. 15 ώσπερ είρηται πολλάκις, είρήνη μέν πολέμου, σχολή δ' άσχολίας. χρήσιμοι δὲ τῶν ἀρετῶν εἰσὶ πρὸς τὴν σχολὴν 2 καὶ διαγωγήν, ὧν τε ἐν τῆ σχολῆ τὸ ἔργον καὶ ὧν ἐν τῆ άσχολία. δεί γὰρ πολλὰ τῶν ἀναγκαίων ὑπάρχειν, ὅπως έξη σχολάζειν διδ σώφρονα την πόλιν είναι προσήκει 20 καὶ ἀνδρείαν καὶ καρτερικήν κατὰ γὰρ τὴν παροιμίαν, οὐ σχολή δούλοις, οἱ δὲ μή δυνάμενοι κινδυνεύειν ἀνδρείως δοῦλοι τῶν ἐπιόντων εἰσίν. ἀνδρίας μὲν οὖν καὶ καρτερίας 3 δεί πρὸς τὴν ἀσχολίαν, φιλοσοφίας δὲ πρὸς τὴν σχολήν, σωφροσύνης δε και δικαιοσύνης εν άμφοτεροις τοις χρό-25 νοις, καὶ μᾶλλον εἰρήνην ἄγουσι καὶ σχολάζουσιν ὁ μὲν γάρ πόλεμος ἀναγκάζει δικαίους είναι καὶ σωφρονείν, ή δὲ της εὐτυχίας ἀπόλαυσις καὶ τὸ σχολάζειν μετ' εἰρήνης ύβριστας ποιεί μαλλον, πολλής ούν δεί δικαιοσύνης καί 4 πολλής σωφροσύνης τοὺς ἄριστα δοκοῦντας πράττειν καὶ 30 πάντων των μακαριζομένων ἀπολαύοντας, οίον εί τινές είσιν, ὥσπερ οἱ ποιηταί φασιν, ἐν μακάρων νήσοις μάλιστα γάρ οὖτοι δεήσονται φιλοσοφίας καὶ σωφροσύνης καὶ δικαιοσύνης, δσφ μᾶλλον σχολάζουσιν ἐν ἀφθονία τῶν τοιούτων άγαθων. διότι μέν ουν την μέλλουσαν εύδαιμονήσειν καί 5 35 σπουδαίαν έσεσθαι πόλιν τούτων δεί των άρετων μετέχειν. φανερόν. αίσχροῦ γὰρ ὅντος μὴ δύνασθαι χρῆσθαι τοῖς άγαθοις, έτι μάλλον το μη δύνασθαι έν τω σχολάζειν χρησθαι, άλλ' ἀσχολοῦντας μέν καὶ πολεμοῦντας φαίνεσθαι

άγαθούς, είρήνην δ' άγοντας καὶ σχολάζοντας άνδραποδώ-6 δεις. διὸ δεῖ μὴ καθάπερ ἡ Λακεδαιμονίων πόλις τὴν ἀρε- 40 την άσκείν, έκείνοι μέν γάρ οὐ ταύτη διαφέρουσι τῶν ἄλλων, τῷ μὴ νομίζειν ταὐτὰ τοις ἄλλοις μέγιστα τῶν 1334 b άγαθων, άλλὰ τῷ γενέσθαι ταῦτα μᾶλλον διά τινος άρετης, έπει δε μείζω τε άγαθα ταῦτα, και την ἀπόλαυσιν την τούτων ή την των άρετων . . . και ότι δι' αὐτήν, φανερον έκ τούτων, πώς δὲ καὶ διὰ τίνων ἔσται, τοῦτο δὴ θεωρητέον, 5 7 τυγχάνομεν δη διηρημένοι πρότερον ὅτι φύσεως καὶ ἔθους καὶ λόγου δεῖ, τούτων δὲ ποίους μέν τινας είναι χρή τήν φύσιν, διώρισται πρότερον, λοιπὸν δὲ θεωρησαι πότερον παιδευτέοι τῷ λόγῳ πρότερον ἢ τοῖς ἔθεσιν. ταῦτα γὰρ δεῖ πρός ἄλληλα συμφωνείν συμφωνίαν την ἀρίστην: ἐνδέχε-10 ται γὰρ διημαρτηκέναι καὶ τὸν λόγον τῆς βελτίστης ὑπο-8 θέσεως, καὶ διὰ τῶν ἐθῶν ὁμοίων ἦχθαι, φανερὸν δὴ τοῦτό γε πρώτον μέν, καθάπερ έν τοῖς ἄλλοις, ὡς ἡ γένεσις ἀπ' άρχης έστι και το τέλος άπό τινος άρχης άλλου τέλους, ό δὲ λόγος ἡμῖν καὶ ὁ νοῦς τῆς φύσεως τέλος, ὥστε πρὸς 15 τούτους την γένεσιν καὶ την τῶν ἐθῶν δεῖ παρασκευάζειν 9 μελέτην, ἔπειτα ὥσπερ ψυχὴ καὶ σῶμα δύ ἐστίν, οὕτω καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ὁρῶμεν δύο μέρη, τό τε ἄλογον καὶ τὸ λόγον έχον, καὶ τὰς έξεις τὰς τούτων δύο τὸν ἀριθμόν. ων τὸ μέν ἐστιν ὄρεξις τὸ δὲ νοῦς. ὅσπερ δὲ τὸ σωμα 20 πρότερον τῆ γενέσει τῆς ψυχῆς, οὕτω καὶ τὸ ἄλογον τοῦ 10 λόγον έχοντος. φανερον δε και τοῦτο θυμός γάρ και βούλησις, έτι δε επιθυμία καὶ γενομένοις εὐθὺς ὑπάρχει τοῖς παιδίοις, ὁ δὲ λογισμὸς καὶ ὁ νοῦς προϊοῦσιν ἐγγίγνεσθαι πέφυκεν. διὸ πρώτον μὲν τοῦ σώματος τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν 25 άναγκαιον είναι προτέραν ή την της ψυχης, έπειτα την της δρέξεως, ένεκα μέντοι τοῦ νοῦ την της δρέξεως, την δὲ τοῦ σώματος της ψυχης.

Είπερ οὖν ἀπ' ἀρχῆς τὸν νομοθέτην ὁρᾶν δεῖ ὅπως 16 βέλτιστα τὰ σώματα γένηται τῶν τρεφομένων, πρῶτον μὲν 3°

έπιμελητέον περί την σύζευξιν, πότε και ποίους τινας όντας χρη ποιείσθαι πρός άλλήλους την γαμικην όμιλίαν. δεί δ' 2 άποβλέποντα νομοθετείν ταύτην την κοινωνίαν προς αὐτούς τε καὶ τὸν τοῦ ζῆν χρόνον, ἵνα συγκαταβαίνωσι ταῖς ἡλι-35 κίαις έπὶ τὸν αὐτὸν καιρὸν καὶ μὴ διαφωνῶσιν αἱ δυνάμεις τοῦ μὲν ἔτι δυναμένου γενναν τῆς δὲ μὴ δυναμένης. ή ταύτης μèν τοῦ δ' ἀνδρὸς μή (ταῦτα γὰρ ποιεῖ καὶ στάσεις πρὸς ἀλλήλους καὶ διαφοράς). ἔπειτα καὶ πρὸς τὴν τῶν τέκνων διαδοχήν, δεῖ γὰρ οὔτε λίαν ὑπολείπεσθαι ταῖς 3 40 ήλικίαις τὰ τέκνα τῶν πατέρων (ἀνόνητος γὰρ τοῖς μὲν πρεσβυτέροις ή χάρις παρά τῶν τέκνων, ἡ δὲ παρὰ τῶν 1335 α πατέρων βοήθεια τοις τέκνοις), οὔτε λίαν πάρεγγυς εἶναι (πολλήν γὰρ ἔχει δυσχέρειαν ή τε γὰρ αἰδώς ήττον ὑπάρχει τοις τοιούτοις ώσπερ ήλικιώταις, και περί την οίκονομίαν έγκληματικόν το πάρεγγυς). έτι δ', δθεν άρχόμενοι δεῦρο 4 5 μετέβημεν, ὅπως τὰ σώματα τῶν γεννωμένων ὑπάρχῃ πρὸς την τοῦ νομοθέτου βούλησιν. σχεδον δη πάντα ταῦτα συμβαίνει κατά μίαν έπιμέλειαν. έπεὶ γάρ ωρισται τέλος 5 της γεννήσεως ώς έπι το πλείστον είπειν ανδράσι μεν ό τῶν ἐβδομήκοντα ἐτῶν ἀριθμὸς ἔσχατος, πεντήκοντα δὲ 10 γυναιξίν, δεί την άρχην της συζεύξεως κατά την ηλικίαν είς τοὺς χρόνους καταβαίνειν τούτους. ἔστι δ' ὁ τῶν νέων συν- 6 δυασμός φαῦλος πρός τὴν τεκνοποιίαν έν γὰρ πᾶσι ζώοις άτελη τὰ τῶν νέων ἔκγονα καὶ θηλυτόκα μᾶλλον καὶ μικρὰ την μορφήν, ώστ' άναγκαῖον ταὐτὸ τοῦτο συμβαίνειν καὶ 15 έπὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων. τεκμήριον δέ έν ὅσαις γὰρ τῶν πόλεων έπιχωριάζεται το νέους συζευγνύναι και νέας, άτελείς καὶ μικροὶ τὰ σώματά είσιν. ἔτι δὲ ἐν τοίς τόκοις 7 αὶ νέαι πονοῦσί τε μαλλον καὶ διαφθείρονται πλείους διὸ καὶ τὸν χρησμὸν γενέσθαι τινές φασι διὰ τοιαύτην αἰτίαν 20 τοις Τροιζηνίοις, ώς πολλών διαφθειρομένων διά τὸ γαμίσκεσθαι τὰς νεωτέρας, άλλ' οὐ πρὸς τὴν τῶν καρπῶν κομιδήν. έτι δὲ καὶ πρὸς σωφροσύνην συμφέρει τὰς ἐκδό-8

σεις ποιείσθαι πρεσβυτέραις άκολαστότεραι γάρ είναι δοκοῦσι νέαι χρησάμεναι ταῖς συνουσίαις. καὶ τὰ τῶν ἀρρένων δὲ σώματα βλάπτεσθαι δοκεί πρὸς τὴν αὔξησιν, έὰν ἔτι τοῦ 25 σπέρματος αὐξανομένου ποιῶνται τὴν συνουσίαν καὶ γὰρ τούτου τις ώρισμένος χρόνος, δν ούχ ύπερβαίνει πληθύον έτι 9 (ή μικρόν). διὸ τὰς μὲν ἀρμόττει περὶ τὴν τῶν ὀκτωκαίδεκα έτων ηλικίαν συζευγνύναι, τους δ' έπτα και τριάκοντα [, ή μικρόν εν τοσούτω γάρ ακμάζουσί τε τοις σώμασι σύζευξις 30 έσται, καὶ πρὸς τὴν παῦλαν τῆς τεκνοποιίας συγκαταβήσεται 10 τοις χρόνοις εὐκαίρως έτι δε ή διαδοχή τῶν τέκνων τοις μέν ἀρχομένοις ἔσται τῆς ἀκμῆς, ἐὰν γίγνηται κατὰ λόγον εὐθὺς ἡ γένεσις, τοῖς δὲ ήδη καταλελυμένης τῆς ἡλικίας πρὸς τὸν τῶν ἐβδομήκοντα ἐτῶν ἀριθμόν. περὶ μὲν οὖν τοῦ 35 πότε δεί ποιείσθαι την σύζευξιν, είρηται, τοίς δὲ περὶ την ώραν χρόνοις δεί χρησθαι οίς οί πολλοί χρώνται καλώς καί νῦν, δρίσαντες γειμώνος την συναυλίαν ποιείσθαι ταύτην. 11 δεί δὲ καὶ αὐτοὺς ἤδη θεωρείν πρὸς τὴν τεκνοποιίαν τά τε παρὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν λεγόμενα καὶ τὰ παρὰ τῶν φυσικῶν 40 οι τε γάρ ιατροί τούς καιρούς των σωμάτων ίκανως λέγουσι. καὶ περὶ τῶν πνευμάτων οἱ φυσικοί, τὰ βόρεια τῶν νοτίων 1335 b 12 έπαινοῦντες μᾶλλον, ποίων δέ τινων τῶν σωμάτων ὑπαρχόντων μάλιστ' (αν) όφελος είη τοις γεννωμένοις, επιστήσασι μέν μάλλον λεκτέον έν τοις περί της παιδονομίας. τύπφ δὲ ἰκανὸν εἰπεῖν καὶ νῦν, οὔτε γὰρ ἡ τῶν ἀθλητῶν 5 χρήσιμος έξις πρός πολιτικήν εὐεξίαν οὐδε πρός ὑγίειαν καὶ τεκνοποιίαν, ούτε ή θεραπευτική καὶ κακοπονητική λίαν. 13 άλλ' ή μέση τούτων. πεπονημένην μέν οὖν ἔχειν δεῖ τὴν έξιν, πεπονημένην δὲ πόνοις μὴ βιαίοις, μηδὲ πρὸς ἕνα μόνον, ώσπερ ή των άθλητων έξις, άλλα πρός τας των 10 έλευθέρων πράξεις. όμοίως δε δεί ταῦτα ὑπάρχειν ἀνδράσι 14 καὶ γυναιξίν. χρη δὲ καὶ τὰς ἐγκύους ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τῶν σωμάτων, μη ραθυμούσας μηδ' άραια τροφή χρωμένας.

τοῦτο δὲ ράδιον τῷ νομοθέτη ποιῆσαι προστάξαντι καθ'

F

VOL. III.

15 ημέραν τινὰ ποιεῖσθαι πορείαν πρὸς θεῶν ἀποθεραπείαν τῶν είληχότων την περί της γενέσεως τιμήν. την μέντοι διάνοιαν τοὐναντίον τῶν σωμάτων ῥαθυμοτέρως ἀρμόττει διάγειν ἀπολαύοντα γὰρ φαίνεται τὰ γεννώμενα τῆς ἐχούσης ώσπερ τὰ φυόμενα τῆς γῆς. περὶ δὲ ἀποθέσεως καὶ 20 τροφής των γιγνομένων, έστω νόμος μηδέν πεπηρωμένον τρέφειν, διὰ δὲ πληθος τέκνων, ἐὰν ἡ τάξις τῶν ἐθῶν κωλύη, μηδέν ἀποτίθεσθαι τῶν γιγνομένων ὡρίσθαι γὰρ δεῖ τῆς τεκνοποιίας τὸ πληθος, ἐὰν δέ τισι γίγνηται παρὰ ταθτα συνδυασθέντων, πρίν αἴσθησιν έγγενέσθαι καὶ ζωήν, 25 έμποιείσθαι δεί την άμβλωσιν το γάρ δσιον καὶ το μή διωρισμένον τη αίσθήσει καὶ τῷ ζην ἔσται. ἐπεὶ δ' ἡ μὲν άρχη της ηλικίας άνδρι και γυναικί διώρισται, πότε άρχεσθαι χρη της συζεύξεως, καὶ πόσον χρόνον λειτουργείν άρμόττει πρὸς τεκνοποιίαν ὡρίσθω τὰ γὰρ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων 30 έκγονα, καθάπερ τὰ τῶν νεωτέρων, ἀτελη γίνεται καὶ τοῖς σώμασι καὶ ταῖς διανοίαις, τὰ δὲ τῶν γεγηρακότων ἀσθενῆ. διὸ κατὰ τὴν τῆς διανοίας ἀκμήν αὕτη δ' ἐστὶν ἐν τοῖς πλείστοις ήνπερ των ποιητών τινές εἰρήκασιν οἱ μετροῦντες ταις έβδομάσι την ηλικίαν, περί τον χρόνον τον των πεντή-35 κοντα έτῶν. ὥστε τέτταρσιν ἡ πέντε ἔτεσιν ὑπερβάλλοντα την ηλικίαν ταύτην άφεισθαι δεί της είς το φανερον γεννήσεως τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν ὑγιείας χάριν ή τινος ἄλλης τοιαύτης αιτίας φαίνεσθαι δεί ποιουμένους την δμιλίαν. περί δέ της πρός άλλην ή πρός άλλον, έστω μέν άπλως μή καλόν 40 άπτόμενον φαίνεσθαι μηδαμή μηδαμώς, δταν ή καὶ προσαγορευθή πόσις, περί δὲ τὸν χρόνον τὸν τῆς τεκνοποιίας 1386 α έάν τις φαίνηται τοιοῦτόν τι δρών, ἀτιμία ζημιούσθω πρεπούση πρὸς τὴν άμαρτίαν.

17 Γενομένων δὲ τῶν τέκνων οἴεσθαι μεγάλην εἶναι διαφορὰν πρὸς τὴν τῶν σωμάτων δύναμιν τὴν τροφήν, ὁποία 5 τις αν ἢ. φαίνεταί τε διά τε τῶν ἄλλων ζώων ἐπισκοποῦσι, καὶ διὰ τῶν ἐθνῶν οῖς ἐπιμελές ἐστιν ἄγειν τὴν

πολεμικήν έξιν, ή τοῦ γάλακτος πλήθουσα τροφή μάλιστ' 2 οἰκεία τοῖς σώμασιν, ἀοινοτέρα δὲ διὰ τὰ νοσήματα. ἔτι δε και κινήσεις όσας ενδέχεται ποιείσθαι τηλικούτων συμπρός δε το μη διαστρέφεσθαι τὰ μέλη δι' άπαλό- 10 τητα χρώνται καὶ νῦν ἔνια τών ἐθνών ὀργάνοις τισὶ μηχανικοίς, ά τὸ σῶμα ποιεί τῶν τοιούτων ἀστραβές, συμφέρει δ' εὐθὺς καὶ πρὸς τὰ ψύχη συνεθίζειν ἐκ μικρῶν παίδων τοῦτο γὰρ καὶ πρὸς ὑγίειαν καὶ πρὸς πολεμικὰς 3 πράξεις εύχρηστότατον. διό παρά πολλοίς έστι των βαρ- 15 βάρων έθος τοις μέν είς ποταμον άποβάπτειν τὰ γιννόμενα ψυχρόν, τοις δε σκέπασμα μικρον άμπίσχειν, οίον Κελτοίς. πάντα γὰρ ὅσα δυνατὸν ἐθίζειν, εὐθὺς ἀρχομένων βέλτιον μεν εθίζειν, εκ προσαγωγής δ' εθίζειν. εύφυης δ' ή τῶν παίδων έξις διὰ θερμότητα πρός την τῶν 20 4 ψυχρών ἄσκησιν. περί μέν οὖν τὴν πρώτην συμφέρει ποιείσθαι την έπιμέλειαν τοιαύτην τε καί την ταύτη παραπλησίαν την δ' έχομένην ταύτης ηλικίαν μέχρι πέντε έτων. ην ούτε πω πρός μάθησιν καλώς έχει προσάγειν οὐδεμίαν οὔτε πρὸς ἀναγκαίους πόνους, ὅπως μὴ τὴν αὔξησιν ἐμποδί- 25 ζωσιν, δει δε τοσαύτης τυγχάνειν κινήσεως ώστε διαφεύγειν την άργίαν των σωμάτων ην χρη παρασκευάζειν και δί 5 ἄλλων πράξεων καὶ διὰ τῆς παιδιᾶς. δεῖ δὲ καὶ τὰς παιδιὰς εἶναι μήτε ἀνελευθέρους μήτε ἐπιπόνους μήτε ἀνειμένας. καὶ περὶ λόγων δὲ καὶ μύθων, ποίους τινὰς ἀκούειν δεῖ 30 τοὺς τηλικούτους, ἐπιμελὲς ἔστω τοῖς ἄρχουσιν οὺς καλοῦσι παιδονόμους. πάντα γὰρ δεῖ τὰ τοιαῦτα προοδοποιεῖν πρὸς τὰς ὔστερον διατριβάς διὸ τὰς παιδιὰς εἶναι δεῖ τὰς πολ-6 λας μιμήσεις των ύστερον σπουδασομένων. τας δε διατάσεις των παίδων καὶ κλαυθμούς οὐκ όρθως ἀπαγορεύουσιν οί 35 κωλύοντες έν τοις νόμοις συμφέρουσι γάρ πρός αύξησιν γίνεται γάρ τρόπον τινά γυμνασία τοις σώμασιν ή γάρ τοῦ πνεύματος κάθεξις ποιεί τὴν ἰσχὺν τοίς πονοῦσιν, δ 7 συμβαίνει και τοις παιδίοις διατεινομένοις. Επισκεπτέον δε

40 τοις παιδονόμοις την τούτων διαγωγην την τ' άλλην. καὶ οπως ότι ήκιστα μετὰ δούλων έσται. ταύτην γάρ τὴν ἡλι-1336 b κίαν, καὶ μέχρι τῶν ἐπτὰ ἐτῶν, ἀναγκαῖον οἰκοι τὴν τροφην έχειν, εύλογον οθν απολαύειν από των ακουσμάτων καὶ τῶν ὁραμάτων ἀνελευθερίαν καὶ τηλικούτους ὅντας. ὅλως 8 μέν οὖν αἰσχρολογίαν ἐκ τῆς πόλεως, ὥσπερ ἄλλο τι, δεῖ 5 τον νομοθέτην έξορίζειν (έκ τοῦ γὰρ εὐχερῶς λέγειν ότιοῦν τῶν αἰσχρῶν γίνεται καὶ τὸ ποιείν σύνεγγυς), μάλιστα μέν οὖν ἐκ τῶν νέων, ὅπως μήτε λέγωσι μήτε ἀκούωσι μηδὲν τοιοῦτον· ἐὰν δέ τις φαίνηταί τι λέγων ἡ πράττων τῶν 9 άπηγορευμένων, τον μεν έλεύθερον μήπω δε κατακλίσεως 10 ήξιωμένον έν τοῖς συσσιτίοις άτιμίαις κολάζειν καὶ πληγαίς, του δε πρεσβύτερου της ηλικίας ταύτης ατιμίαις άνελευθέροις άνδραποδωδίας χάριν. έπεὶ δὲ τὸ λέγειν τι τῶν τοιούτων έξορίζομεν, φανερὸν ὅτι καὶ τὸ θεωρεῖν ή γραφάς ή λόγους άσχήμονας. έπιμελές μέν οὖν έστω τοῖς 10 15 ἄρχουσι μηδὲν μήτε ἄγαλμα μήτε γραφὴν είναι τοιούτων πράξεων μίμησιν, εί μη παρά τισι θεοίς τοιούτοις οίς καὶ τὸν τωθασμόν αποδίδωσιν ο νόμος πρός δε τούτοις αφίησιν ο νόμος [τοὺς τὴν ἡλικίαν ἔχοντας ἔτι τὴν ἰκνουμένην] καὶ ύπερ αύτων καὶ τέκνων καὶ γυναικών τιμαλφείν τοὺς θεούς. 20 τους δε νεωτέρους ούτ' ιάμβων ούτε κωμωδίας θεατάς νομοθε- 11 τητέον, πρίν ή την ηλικίαν λάβωσιν έν ή και κατακλίσεως ύπάρξει κοινωνείν ήδη καὶ μέθης καὶ της ἀπὸ τῶν τοιούτων γιγνομένης βλάβης ἀπαθείς ή παιδεία ποιήσει πάντας. νῦν μὲν οὖν τούτων ἐν παραδρομῆ πεποιήμεθα τὸν λόγον 12 25 υστερον δ' επιστήσαντας δεί διορίσαι μαλλον, είτε μη δεί πρώτον είτε δεί διαπορήσαντας, και πώς δεί κατά δε τον παρόντα καιρον έμνήσθημεν ώς άναγκαῖον, ἴσως γάρ οὐ 13 κακώς έλεγε το τοιούτον Θεόδωρος ο τής τραγφδίας ύποκριτής οὐδενὶ γὰρ πώποτε παρῆκεν ξαυτοῦ προεισάγειν, 30 οὐδὲ τῶν εὐτελῶν ὑποκριτῶν, ὡς οἰκειουμένων τῶν θεατῶν ταις πρώταις άκοαις, συμβαίνει δε ταὐτό τοῦτο και πρός

τὰς τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὁμιλίας καὶ πρὸς τὰς τῶν πραγμάτων 14 πάντα γὰρ στέργομεν τὰ πρῶτα μᾶλλον, διὸ δεῖ τοῖς νέοις πάντα ποιείν ξένα τὰ φαῦλα, μάλιστα δ' αὐτῶν ὅσα έχει ή μοχθηρίαν ή δυσμένειαν. διελθόντων δε των πέντε 35 έτων τὰ δύο μέχρι των έπτὰ δεί θεωρούς ήδη γίγνεσθαι 15 των μαθήσεων, ας δεήσει μανθάνειν αὐτούς. δύο δ' είσιν ήλικίαι πρός ας αναγκαίον διηρήσθαι την παιδείαν, μετα την από των έπτα μέχρι ήβης και πάλιν μετα την αφ' ήβης μέχρι τῶν ἐνὸς καὶ εἴκοσιν ἐτῶν. οἱ γὰρ ταῖς ἐβδο- 40 μάσι διαιροῦντες τὰς ἡλικίας ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ λέγουσιν οὐ κακώς, δεί δὲ τῆ διαιρέσει τῆς φύσεως ἐπακολουθείν πᾶσα 1337 α γάρ τέχνη καὶ παιδεία τὸ προσλείπον βούλεται τῆς φύ-16 σεως άναπληρούν. πρώτον μέν ούν σκεπτέον εί ποιητέον τάξιν τινὰ περί τοὺς παίδας, ἔπειτα πότερον συμφέρει κοινῆ ποιείσθαι την έπιμέλειαν αὐτῶν η κατ' ἴδιον τρόπον (δ 5 γίγνεται καὶ νῦν ἐν ταῖς πλείσταις τῶν πόλεων), τρίτον δὲ ποίαν τινά δει ταύτην.

$$E'(\Theta')$$
.

"Οτι μὲν οὖν τῷ νομοθέτῃ μάλιστα πραγματευτέον περὶ τὴν τῶν νέων παιδείαν, οὐδεὶς ἀν ἀμφισβητήσειεν, καὶ γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν οὐ γιγνόμενον τοῦτο βλάπτει τὰς πο-2 λιτείας (δεῖ γὰρ πρὸς ἐκάστην παιδεύεσθαι' τὸ γὰρ ἡθος τῆς πολιτείας ἐκάστης τὸ οἰκεῖον καὶ φυλάττειν εἴωθε τὴν 15 πολιτείαν καὶ καθίστησιν ἐξ ἀρχῆς, οἶον τὸ μὲν δημοκρατικὸν δημοκρατίαν, τὸ δ' ὀλιγαρχικὸν ὀλιγαρχίαν' ἀεὶ δὲ τὸ βέλτιστον ἦθος βελτίονος αἴτιον πολιτείας), ἔτι δὲ πρὸς πάσας δυνάμεις καὶ τέχνας ἔστιν ὰ δεῖ προπαιδεύεσθαι καὶ προεθίζεσθαι πρὸς τὰς ἐκάστων ἐργασίας, ὥστε δῆλον 20 δτι καὶ πρὸς τὰς τῆς ἀρετῆς πράξεις. ἐπεὶ δ' ἐν τὸ τέλος τῆ πόλει πάση, φανερὸν ὅτι καὶ τὴν παιδείαν μίαν καὶ τὴν αὐτὴν ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι πάντων καὶ ταύτης τὴν ἐπιμέ-

λειαν είναι κοινήν καὶ μή κατ' ίδίαν, δν τρόπον νῦν ἕκα-25 στος ἐπιμελεῖται τῶν αὐτοῦ τέκνων ἰδία τε καὶ μάθησιν ίδίαν, ην αν δόξη, διδάσκων, δεί δὲ τῶν κοινῶν κοινην ποιείσθαι καὶ τὴν ἄσκησιν. ἄμα δὲ οὐδὲ χρὴ νομίζειν 4 αὐτὸν αὑτοῦ τινὰ εἶναι τῶν πολιτῶν, ἀλλὰ πάντας τῆς πόλεως, μόριον γὰρ ἕκαστος τῆς πόλεως ἡ δ' ἐπιμέλεια 30 πέφυκεν έκάστου μορίου βλέπειν πρὸς τὴν τοῦ ὅλου ἐπιμέλειαν. ἐπαινέσειε δ' ἄν τις καὶ τοῦτο Λακεδαιμονίους καὶ γάρ πλείστην ποιούνται σπουδήν περί τούς παίδας καί κοινή ταύτην. ὅτι μὲν οὖν νομοθετητέον περὶ παιδείας καὶ ταύτην 2 κοινήν ποιητέον, φανερόν τίς δ' έστιν ή παιδεία, και πώς 35 χρη παιδεύεσθαι, δεί μη λανθάνειν. νῦν γὰρ ἀμφισβητείται περί τῶν ἔργων οὐ γὰρ ταὐτὰ πάντες ὑπολαμβάνουσι δείν μανθάνειν τους νέους ούτε προς άρετην ούτε προς τον βίον τον άριστον, ούδε φανερον πότερον προς την διάνοιαν πρέπει μαλλον ή πρὸς τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος ἔκ τε τῆς ἐμ- 2 40 ποδών παιδείας ταραχώδης ή σκέψις, καὶ δήλον οὐδὲν πότερον ἀσκείν δεί τὰ χρήσιμα πρὸς τὸν βίον ἡ τὰ τείνοντα πρός άρετην ή τὰ περιττά (πάντα γὰρ είληφε ταῦτα κρι-1337 b τάς τινας)· περί τε τῶν πρὸς ἀρετὴν οὐδέν ἐστιν ὁμολογούμενον (καὶ γὰρ τὴν ἀρετὴν οὐ τὴν αὐτὴν εὐθὺς πάντες τιμῶσιν, ὥστ' εὐλόγως διαφέρονται καὶ πρὸς τὴν ἄσκησιν αὐτῆς). ότι μεν ουν τὰ ἀναγκαῖα δεῖ διδάσκεσθαι τῶν χρησίμων, 3 5 οὐκ ἄδηλον• ὅτι δὲ οὐ πάντα, διηρημένων τῶν τε ἐλευθέρων έργων καὶ τῶν ἀνελευθέρων, φανερὸν ὅτι τῶν τοιούτων δεῖ μετέχειν δσα τῶν χρησίμων ποιήσει τὸν μετέχοντα μὴ βάναυσον. βάναυσον δ' έργον είναι δεί τοῦτο νομίζειν καὶ 4 τέχνην ταύτην καὶ μάθησιν, ὅσαι πρὸς τὰς χρήσεις καὶ 10 τας πράξεις τας της αρετής αχρηστον απεργάζονται το σῶμα τῶν ἐλευθέρων ἢ τὴν ψυχὴν ἢ τὴν διάνοιαν. διὸ 5 τάς τε τοιαύτας τέχνας δσαι τὸ σῶμα παρασκευάζουσι χείρον διακείσθαι βαναύσους καλουμεν, καὶ τὰς μισθαρνικας έργασίας άσχολον γαρ ποιούσι την διάνοιαν και τα-

πεινήν. ἔστι δὲ καὶ τῶν ἐλευθερίων ἐπιστημῶν μέχρι μέν 15 τινος ένίων μετέχειν ούκ άνελεύθερον, τὸ δὲ προσεδρεύειν λίαν 6 προς ακρίβειαν ένοχον ταις είρημέναις βλάβαις. έχει δέ πολλην διαφοράν και το τίνος ενεκεν πράττει τις ή μανθάνει τὸ μὲν γὰρ αὐτοῦ χάριν ἡ φίλων ἡ δι' ἀρετὴν οὐκ άνελεύθερον, ὁ δὲ αὐτὸ τοῦτο πράττων πολλάκις δι' ἄλλους 20 θητικόν καὶ δουλικόν δόξειεν αν πράττειν, αὶ μὲν οὖν καταβεβλημέναι νῦν μαθήσεις, καθάπερ ἐλέχθη πρότερον, έπαμφοτερίζουσιν έστι δε τέτταρα σχεδον α παιδεύειν είώ- 3 θασι, γράμματα καὶ γυμναστικήν καὶ μουσικήν καὶ τέταρτον ένιοι γραφικήν, την μέν γραμματικήν καὶ γραφικήν 25 ώς χρησίμους πρός τον βίον ούσας καὶ πολυχρήστους, την δέ γυμναστικήν ώς συντείνουσαν πρός άνδρίαν την δε μουσικήν 2 ήδη διαπορήσειεν άν τις. νῦν μέν γὰρ ώς ήδονης χάριν οί πλείστοι μετέχουσιν αὐτης οι δ' έξ άρχης έταξαν έν παιδεία διὰ τὸ τὴν φύσιν αὐτὴν ζητεῖν, ὅπερ πολλάκις εἴρη- 30 ται, μη μόνον ἀσχολείν όρθως άλλα και σχολάζειν δύνασθαι καλώς· αὕτη γὰρ ἀρχὴ πάντων, ἵνα καὶ πάλιν 3 είπωμεν περί αὐτῆς. εί γὰρ ἄμφω μεν δεί, μᾶλλον δε αίρετον το σχολάζειν της άσχολίας και τέλος, ζητητέον ο τι ποιούντας δεί σχολάζειν, οὐ γὰρ δὴ παίζοντας τέλος 35 4 γὰρ ἀναγκαῖον είναι τοῦ βίου τὴν παιδιὰν ἡμῖν. εί δὲ τοῦτο άδύνατον, καὶ μᾶλλον ἐν ταῖς ἀσχολίαις χρηστέον ταῖς παιδιαίς (ὁ γὰρ πονῶν δείται τῆς ἀναπαύσεως, ἡ δὲ παιδιὰ χάριν ἀναπαύσεώς έστιν: τὸ δ' ἀσχολείν συμβαίνει μετά πόνου καὶ συντονίας), διὰ τοῦτο δεῖ παιδιὰς εἰσάγε- 40 σθαι καιροφυλακουντας την χρησιν, ώς προσάγοντας φαρμακείας χάριν άνεσις γάρ ή τοιαύτη κίνησις της ψυχής, καὶ διὰ τὴν ἡδονὴν ἀνάπαυσις τὸ δὲ σχολάζειν ἔχειν 1338 a αὐτὸ δοκεῖ τὴν ἡδονὴν καὶ τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν καὶ τὸ ζῆν 5 μακαρίως. τοῦτο δ' οὐ τοῖς ἀσχολοῦσιν ὑπάρχει ἀλλὰ τοῖς σχολάζουσιν ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἀσχολῶν ἕνεκά τινος ἀσχολεί τέλους ώς ούχ ὑπάρχοντος, ἡ δ' εὐδαιμονία τέλος ἐστίν, ἡν 5

ού μετά λύπης άλλα μεθ' ήδονης οιονται πάντες είναι. ταύτην μέντοι την ήδονην οὐκέτι την αὐτην τιθέασιν, άλλα καθ' έαυτοὺς ξκαστος καὶ τὴν ξξιν τὴν αὐτῶν, ὁ δ' ἄριστος την αρίστην και την από των καλλίστων. ωστε φανερόν δτι 6 10 δεί και πρός την έν τη διαγωγή σχολην μανθάνειν άττα καὶ παιδεύεσθαι, καὶ ταῦτα μὲν τὰ παιδεύματα καὶ ταύτας τὰς μαθήσεις έαυτῶν εἶναι χάριν, τὰς δὲ πρὸς τὴν άσχολίαν ώς άναγκαίας καὶ χάριν ἄλλων. διὸ καὶ τὴν 7 μουσικήν οί πρότερον είς παιδείαν έταξαν ούχ ώς άναγκαῖον 15 (οὐδὲν γὰρ ἔχει τοιοῦτον) οὐδ' ὡς χρήσιμον, ὥσπερ τὰ γράμματα πρός χρηματισμόν καὶ πρός οἰκονομίαν καὶ πρός μάθησιν καὶ πρὸς πολιτικὰς πράξεις πολλάς. δοκεί δὲ καὶ γραφική χρήσιμος είναι πρὸς τὸ κρίνειν τὰ τῶν τεχνιτῶν ἔργα κάλλιον· οὐδ' αὖ καθάπερ ἡ γυμναστικὴ πρὸς 20 υγίειαν καὶ άλκήν (οὐδέτερον γὰρ τούτων δρῶμεν γιγνόμενον έκ τῆς μουσικῆς). λείπεται τοίνυν πρὸς τὴν ἐν τῆ σχολῆ 8 διαγωγήν, είς δπερ καὶ φαίνονται παράγοντες αὐτήν ἡν γάρ οἴονται διαγωγήν εἶναι τῶν ἐλευθέρων, ἐν ταύτη τάττουσιν. διόπερ Ομηρος ούτως εποίησεν

25 ἀλλ' οἷον †μὲν † ἔστι καλεῖν ἐπὶ δαῖτα θαλείην, καὶ οὕτω προειπὼν ἐτέρους τινάς, "οῖ καλέουσιν ἀοιδόν," φη- 9 σιν, "ὅ κεν τέρπησιν ἄπαντας." καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις δέ φησιν (δ) 'Οδυσσεὺς ταύτην ἀρίστην εἶναι διαγωγήν, ὅταν εὐφραινομένων τῶν ἀνθρώπων "δαιτυμόνες δ' ἀνὰ δώματ' ἀκουάζων- 30 ται ἀοιδοῦ ἡμενοι ἐξείης." ὅτι μὲν τοίνυν ἐστὶ παιδεία τις 10 ἡν οὐχ ὡς χρησίμην παιδευτέον τοὺς υἰεῖς οὐδ' ὡς ἀναγκαίαν ἀλλ' ὡς ἐλευθέριον καὶ καλήν, φανερόν ἐστιν· πότερον δὲ μία τὸν ἀριθμὸν ἡ πλείους, καὶ τίνες αὖται καὶ πῶς, ὕστερον λεκτέον περὶ αὐτῶν. νῦν δὲ τοσοῦτον ἡμῖν εἶναι πρὸ 11 35 όδοῦ γέγονεν, ὅτι καὶ παρὰ τῶν ἀρχαίων ἔχομέν τινα μαρτυρίαν ἐκ τῶν καταβεβλημένων παιδευμάτων· ἡ γὰρ μουσικὴ τοῦτο ποιεῖ δῆλον. ἔτι δὲ καὶ τῶν χρησίμων ὅτι δεῖ τινὰ παιδεύεσθαι τοὺς παῖδας οὐ μόνον διὰ τὸ χρήσιμον,

οίον τὴν τῶν γραμμάτων μάθησιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὸ πολλὰς

12 ἐνδέχεσθαι γίγνεσθαι δι' αὐτῶν μαθήσεις ἐτέρας, ὁμοίως 40

δὲ καὶ τὴν γραφικὴν οὐχ ἵνα ἐν τοῖς ἰδίοις ὡνίοις μὴ διαμαρτάνωσιν, ἀλλ' ὧσιν ἀνεξαπάτητοι πρὸς τὴν τῶν σκευῶν

ὧνήν τε καὶ πρᾶσιν, ἡ μᾶλλον ὅτι ποιεῖ θεωρητικὸν τοῦ 1338 ḥ
περὶ τὰ σώματα κάλλους. τὸ δὲ ζητεῖν πανταχοῦ τὸ χρήσιμον ἥκιστα ἀρμόττει τοῖς μεγαλοψύχοις καὶ τοῖς ἐλευ
13 θέροις. ἐπεὶ δὲ φανερὸν πρότερον τοῖς ἔθεσιν ἡ τῷ λόγῳ
παιδευτέον εἶναι, καὶ περὶ τὸ σῶμα πρότερον ἡ τὴν διά- 5
νοιαν, δῆλον ἐκ τούτων ὅτι παραδοτέον τοὺς παῖδας γυμναστικῆ καὶ παιδοτριβικῆ τούτων γὰρ ἡ μὲν ποιάν τινα ποιεῖ
τὴν ἔξιν τοῦ σώματος, ἡ δὲ τὰ ἔργα.

Νῦν μέν οὖν αἱ μάλιστα δοκοῦσαι τῶν πόλεων ἐπιμε- 4 λείσθαι τῶν παίδων αἱ μὲν ἀθλητικὴν ἔξιν ἐμποιοῦσι, λω- 10 βώμεναι τά τε είδη καὶ τὴν αύξησιν τῶν σωμάτων, οί δὲ Λάκωνες ταύτην μὲν οὐχ ημαρτον τὴν ἁμαρτίαν, θηριώδεις δ' ἀπεργάζονται τοῖς πόνοις, ὡς τοῦτο πρὸς ἀνδρίαν 2 μάλιστα συμφέρον. καίτοι, καθάπερ εἴρηται πολλάκις, οὔτε πρὸς μίαν οὖτε πρὸς μάλιστα ταύτην βλέποντα ποιητέον 15 την έπιμέλειαν εί τε καὶ πρὸς ταύτην, οὐδὲ τοῦτο έξευρίσκουσιν· ούτε γὰρ έν τοῖς ἄλλοις ζώοις ούτ' έπὶ τῶν έθνῶν δρώμεν την ανδρίαν ακολουθούσαν τοῖς αγριωτάτοις, αλλά 3 μαλλον τοις ήμερωτέροις και λεοντώδεσιν ήθεσιν. πολλά δ' έστὶ τῶν έθνῶν ἃ πρὸς τὸ κτείνειν καὶ πρὸς τὴν ἀνθρω- 20 ποφαγίαν εύχερως έχει, καθάπερ των περὶ τὸν Πόντον Άχαιοί τε καὶ Ἡνίοχοι καὶ τῶν ἠπειρωτικῶν ἐθνῶν ἔτερα, τὰ μὲν ὁμοίως τούτοις τὰ δὲ μᾶλλον, ά ληστρικά μέν έστιν, 4 άνδρίας δ' οὐ μετειλήφασιν. έτι δ' αὐτοὺς τοὺς Λάκωνας ἴσμεν, εως μεν αὐτοὶ προσήδρευον ταις φιλοπονίαις, ὑπε- 25 ρέχοντας των άλλων, νῦν δὲ καὶ τοῖς γυμνικοῖς ἀγωσι καὶ τοις πολεμικοις λειπομένους έτέρων ου γάρ τῷ τους νέους γυμνάζειν τον τρόπον τοῦτον διέφερον, άλλα τῷ μόνον μή 5 πρὸς ἀσκοῦντας ἀσκεῖν. ὥστε τὸ καλὸν ἀλλ' οὐ τὸ θηριῶδες

30 δεί πρωταγωνιστείν οὐδὲ γὰρ λύκος οὐδὲ τῶν ἄλλων θηρίων άγωνίσαι-ο αν οὐδένα καλὸν κίνδυνον, άλλα μαλλον άνηρ άγαθός, οἱ δὲ λίαν εἰς ταῦτα ἀνέντες τοὺς παῖδας, καὶ 6 των άναγκαίων άπαιδαγώγους ποιήσαντες, βαναύσους κατεργάζονται κατά γε τὸ ἀληθές, πρὸς ἕν τε μόνον ἔργον τῆ 35 πολιτική χρησίμους ποιήσαντες, καὶ πρὸς τοῦτο χείρον, ὡς φησὶν ὁ λόγος, έτέρων. δεῖ δὲ οὐκ ἐκ τῶν προτέρων ἔργων 7 κρίνειν, άλλ' έκ τῶν νῦν: ἀνταγωνιστὰς γὰρ τῆς παιδείας νῦν ἔχουσι, πρότερον δ' οὐκ εἶχον. ὅτι μὲν οῦν χρηστέον τῆ γυμναστικῆ, καὶ πῶς χρηστέον, ὁμολογούμενόν ἐστιν 40 (μέχρι μὲν γὰρ ήβης κουφότερα γυμνάσια προσοιστέον, τὴν βίαιον τροφην καὶ τοὺς πρὸς ἀνάγκην πόνους ἀπείργοντας, ίνα μηδεν εμπόδιον ή προς την αύξησιν, σημείον γάρ ου 8 1339 α μικρὸν ὅτι δύνανται τοῦτο παρασκευάζειν, ἐν γὰρ τοῖς ὀλυμπιονίκαις δύο τις αν ή τρείς εύροι τοὺς αὐτοὺς νενικηκότας άνδρας τε καὶ παίδας, διὰ τὸ νέους ἀσκοῦντας ἀφαιρείσθαι τὴν δύναμιν ὑπὸ τῶν ἀναγκαίων γυμνασίων. ὅταν δ' ἀφ' 9 5 ηβης έτη τρία πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις μαθήμασι γένωνται, τότε άρμόττει καὶ τοῖς πόνοις καὶ ταῖς ἀναγκοφαγίαις καταλαμβάνειν τὴν ἐχομένην ἡλικίαν, ἄμα γὰρ τῆ τε διανοία καὶ τῷ σώματι διαπονεῖν οὐ δεῖ, τοὐναντίον γὰρ ἐκάτερος άπεργάζεσθαι πέφυκε των πόνων, έμποδίζων ο μεν τοῦ το σώματος πόνος την διάνοιαν, ο δε ταύτης το σώμα).

5 Περὶ δὲ μουσικής ἔνια μὲν διηπορήκαμεν τῷ λόγῷ καὶ πρότερον, καλῶς δ' ἔχει καὶ νῦν ἀναλαβόντας αὐτὰ προαγαγεῖν, ἵνα ὥσπερ ἐνδόσιμον γένηται τοῖς λόγοις οθς ἄν τις εἴπειεν ἀποφαινόμενος περὶ αὐτής. οὔτε γὰρ τίνα 2 15 ἔχει δύναμιν ράδιον περὶ αὐτής διελεῖν, οὔτε τίνος δεῖ χάριν μετέχειν αὐτής, πότερον παιδιᾶς ἕνεκα καὶ ἀναπαύσεως, καθάπερ ὕπνου καὶ μέθης (ταῦτα γὰρ καθ' αὐτὰ μὲν οὔτε τῶν σπουδαίων, ἀλλ' ἡδέα, καὶ ἄμα παύει μέριμναν, ὡς φησὶν Εὐριπίδης. διὸ καὶ τάττουσιν αὐτὴν καὶ 3 20 χρῶνται πᾶσι τούτοις ὁμοίως, ὕπνῷ καὶ μέθη καὶ μουσικῆς.

τιθέασι δε καὶ τὴν ὄρχησιν έν τούτοις), ἡ μᾶλλον οἰητέον προς άρετήν τι τείνειν την μουσικήν, ώς δυναμένην, καθάπερ ή γυμναστική τὸ σῶμα ποιόν τι παρασκευάζει, καὶ τὴν μουσικήν το ήθος ποιόν τι ποιείν, έθίζουσαν δύνασθαι χαί-4 ρειν ὀρθῶς, ἢ πρὸς διαγωγήν τι συμβάλλεται καὶ πρὸς 25 φρόνησιν (καὶ γὰρ τοῦτο τρίτον θετέον τῶν εἰρημένων). ὅτι μέν οθν δεί τους νέους μη παιδιάς ένεκα παιδεύειν, ούκ άδηλον ού γάρ παίζουσι μανθάνοντες μετά λύπης γάρ ή μάθησις. άλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ διαγωγήν γε παισὶν άρμόττει καὶ ταῖς ἡλικίαις ἀποδιδόναι ταῖς τοιαύταις οὐδενὶ γὰρ 30 5 ἀτελεῖ προσήκει τέλος. άλλ' ἴσως ἄν δόξειεν ἡ τῶν παίδων σπουδή παιδιας είναι χάριν ανδράσι γενομένοις καὶ τελειωθείσιν. άλλ' εί τοῦτ' έστὶ τοιοῦτον, τίνος αν ένεκα δέοι μανθάνειν αὐτούς, άλλὰ μὴ καθάπερ οἱ τῶν Περσῶν καὶ Μήδων βασιλείς, δί άλλων αὐτὸ ποιούντων μεταλαμβάνειν 35 6 της ήδονης και της μαθήσεως; και γάρ αναγκαίον βέλτιον άπεργάζεσθαι τοὺς αὐτὸ τοῦτο πεποιημένους ἔργον καὶ τέχνην τῶν τοσοῦτον χρόνον ἐπιμελουμένων ὅσον πρὸς μάθησιν μόνον. εί δε δεί τὰ τοιαθτα διαπονείν αὐτούς, και περί τὴν τῶν δψων πραγματείαν αὐτοὺς αν δέοι παρασκευάζειν άλλ' 40 7 ἄτοπον. τὴν δ' αὐτὴν ἀπορίαν ἔχει καὶ εἰ δύναται τὰ ἤθη βελτίω ποιείν ταῦτα γὰρ τί δεί μανθάνειν αὐτούς άλλ' ούχ έτέρων ἀκούοντας ὀρθώς τε χαίρειν καὶ δύνασθαι κρίνειν, 1339 b ώσπερ οἱ Λάκωνες; ἐκεῖνοι γὰρ οὐ μανθάνοντες ὅμως δύνανται κρίνειν ὀρθώς, ώς φασί, τὰ χρηστὰ καὶ τὰ μὴ 8 χρηστὰ τῶν μελῶν. ὁ δ' αὐτὸς λόγος κάν εἰ πρὸς εὐημερίαν καὶ διαγωγὴν ἐλευθέριον χρηστέον αὐτῆ· τί δεῖ μαν- 5 θάνειν αὐτούς, άλλ' οὐχ ἐτέρων χρωμένων ἀπολαύειν; σκοπείν δ' έξεστι την υπόληψιν ην έχομεν περί των θεων ου γάρ ὁ Ζεθς αὐτὸς ἀείδει καὶ κιθαρίζει τοῖς ποιηταῖς, άλλὰ καὶ βαναύσους καλοῦμεν τοὺς τοιούτους καὶ τὸ πράττειν οὐκ 9 άνδρὸς μὴ μεθύοντος ἡ παίζοντος. άλλ' ΐσως περὶ μὲν 10 τούτων υστερον επισκεπτέον, ή δε πρώτη ζήτησίς εστι πότε-

ρον οὐ θετέον εἰς παιδείαν τὴν μουσικὴν ἡ θετέον, καὶ τί δύναται των διαπορηθέντων τριών, πότερον παιδείαν ή παιδιὰν ή διαγωγήν. εὐλόγως δ' είς πάντα τάττεται καὶ 15 φαίνεται μετέχειν. ή τε γαρ παιδιά χάριν άναπαύσεώς 10 έστι, την δ' ανάπαυσιν αναγκαίον ηδείαν είναι (της γάρ διὰ τῶν πόνων λύπης ἐατρεία τίς ἐστιν), καὶ τὴν διαγωγὴν δμολογουμένως δεί μη μόνον έχειν το καλον άλλα καί την ηδονήν (το γαρ εύδαιμονείν έξ αμφοτέρων τούτων έστίν). 20 την δε μουσικήν πάντες είναι φαμεν των ήδιστων, και ψι- 11 λην οὖσαν καὶ μετὰ μελφδίας (φησὶ γοῦν καὶ Μουσαῖος είναι " βροτοίς ήδιστον αείδειν". διό και είς τας συνουσίας καὶ διαγωγὰς εὐλόγως παραλαμβάνουσιν αὐτὴν ώς δυναμένην εὐφραίνειν), ὥστε καὶ ἐντεῦθεν ἄν τις ὑπολάβοι παιδεύε-25 σθαι δείν αὐτὴν τοὺς νεωτέρους. ὅσα γὰρ ἀβλαβῆ τῶν 12 ήδέων, οὐ μόνον άρμόττει πρὸς τὸ τέλος άλλὰ καὶ πρὸς την ανάπαυσιν έπει δ' έν μεν τώ τέλει συμβαίνει τοις άνθρώποις όλιγάκις γίνεσθαι, πολλάκις δε άναπαύονται καὶ χρώνται ταῖς παιδιαῖς οὐχ ὅσον ἐπὶ πλέον ἀλλὰ καὶ 30 διὰ τὴν ἡδονήν, χρήσιμον αν είη διαναπαύειν έν ταις ἀπὸ ταύτης ήδοναίς. συμβέβηκε δὲ τοίς ἀνθρώποις ποιείσθαι 13 τὰς παιδιὰς τέλος. ἔχει γὰρ ἴσως ἡδονήν τινα καὶ τὸ τέλος, άλλ' οὐ τὴν τυχοῦσαν, ζητοῦντες δὲ ταύτην, λαμβάνουσιν ως ταύτην έκείνην, διὰ τὸ τῷ τέλει τῶν πράξεων 35 έχειν ὁμοίωμά τι' τό τε γὰρ τέλος οὐδενὸς τῶν ἐσομένων χάριν αίρετον, καὶ αἱ τοιαῦται τῶν ἡδονῶν οὐδενός εἰσι τῶν έσομένων ενεκεν, άλλα των γεγονότων, οΐον πόνων και λύπης. δι' ην μέν οὖν αἰτίαν ζητοῦσι την εὐδαιμονίαν γίγνε- 14 σθαι διὰ τούτων τῶν ἡδονῶν, ταύτην ἄν τις εἰκότως ὑπο-40 λάβοι την αἰτίαν περὶ δὲ τοῦ κοινωνεῖν της μουσικης, οὐ διὰ ταύτην μόνην, ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὸ χρήσιμον εἶναι πρὸς τὰς ἀναπαύσεις, ὡς ἔοικεν—οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ ζητητέον μή ποτε 15 1340 a τουτο μέν συμβέβηκε, τιμιωτέρα δ' αὐτῆς ἡ φύσις έστιν ἡ κατά την είρημένην χρείαν, και δεί μη μόνον της κοινης

ηδονης μετέχειν ἀπ' αὐτης, ης έχουσι πάντες αἴσθησιν (έχει γάρ ή μουσική την ήδονην φυσικήν, διό πάσαις ηλικίαις καὶ πᾶσιν ήθεσιν ή χρησις αὐτης έστὶ προσφιλής), άλλ' 5 όραν εί πη και πρός τὸ ήθος συντείνει και πρός την ψυχήν. 16 τοῦτο δ' ἄν εἴη δηλον, εἰ ποιοί τινες τὰ ἤθη γιγνόμεθα δι' αὐτῆς. άλλὰ μὴν ὅτι γιγνόμεθα ποιοί τινες, φανερὸν διὰ πολλών μέν καὶ έτέρων, οὐχ ήκιστα δὲ καὶ διὰ τών 'Ολύμπου μελών ταῦτα γὰρ ὁμολογουμένως ποιεῖ τας ψυχὰς 10 ένθουσιαστικάς, ὁ δ' ένθουσιασμός τοῦ περὶ τὴν ψυχὴν ἤθους 17 πάθος έστίν. έτι δὲ ἀκροώμενοι τῶν μιμήσεων γίγνονται πάντες συμπαθείς, και χωρίς τῶν ρυθμῶν και τῶν μελῶν αὐτῶν. ἐπεὶ δὲ συμβέβηκεν είναι τὴν μουσικὴν τῶν ἡδέων, την δ' άρετην περί το χαίρειν δρθώς και φιλείν και μισείν, 15 δεί δηλονότι μανθάνειν καὶ συνεθίζεσθαι μηδέν οΰτως ώς τὸ κρίνειν ὀρθῶς καὶ τὸ χαίρειν τοῖς ἐπιεικέσιν ἤθεσι καὶ 18 ταις καλαις πράξεσιν, έστι δε δμοιώματα μάλιστα παρά τας αληθινάς φύσεις έν τοις ρυθμοίς και τοις μέλεσιν δργής καὶ πραότητος, ἔτι δ' ἀνδρίας καὶ σωφροσύνης καὶ πάντων 20 τῶν ἐναντίων τούτοις καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἡθικῶν (δῆλον δὲ ἐκ των έργων μεταβάλλομεν γὰρ τὴν ψυχὴν ἀκροώμενοι 19 τοιούτων), ὁ δ' ἐν τοῖς ὁμοίοις ἐθισμὸς τοῦ λυπεῖσθαι καὶ χαίρειν έγγύς έστι τῷ πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν τὸν αὐτὸν έχειν τρόπον (οΐον εί τις χαίρει την είκόνα τινός θεώμενος μη 25 δι άλλην αιτίαν άλλα δια την μορφην αυτήν, αναγκαιον τούτω καὶ αὐτὴν ἐκείνην τὴν θεωρίαν, οὖ τὴν εἰκόνα θεωρεῖ. 20 ήδείαν είναι), συμβέβηκε δὲ τῶν αἰσθητῶν ἐν μὲν τοῖς άλλοις μηδέν ὑπάρχειν ὁμοίωμα τοῖς ἤθεσιν, οἶον ἐν τοῖς άπτοις και τοις γευστοις, άλλ' έν τοις όρατοις ήρέμα 30 (σχήματα γάρ έστι τοιαῦτα, άλλ' έπὶ μικρόν, καὶ πάντες της τοιαύτης αἰσθήσεως κοινωνοῦσιν, ἔτι δὲ οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα δμοιώματα τῶν ἠθῶν, ἀλλὰ σημεῖα μᾶλλον τὰ γιγνόμενα 21 σχήματα καὶ χρώματα τῶν ἡθῶν, καὶ ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ἐπὶ τοῦ σώματος έν τοις πάθεσιν οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ὅσον διαφέρει καί 35

περὶ τὴν τούτων θεωρίαν, δεῖ μὴ τὰ Παύσωνος θεωρεῖν τοὺς νέους, άλλὰ τὰ Πολυγνώτου κἂν εἶ τις ἄλλος τῶν γραφέων ή των αγαλματοποιων έστιν ήθικός), έν δε τοίς μέλεσιν αὐτοῖς ἐστὶ μιμήματα τῶν ἠθῶν. καὶ τοῦτ' ἔστι φανε- 22 40 ρόν εύθὺς γὰρ ἡ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν διέστηκε φύσις, ὥστε ἀκούοντας άλλως διατίθεσθαι καὶ μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχειν τρόπον προς έκάστην αὐτῶν, ἀλλὰ προς μέν ἐνίας ὀδυρτικωτέρως 1340 b καὶ συνεστηκότως μαλλον, οΐον πρὸς τὴν μιξολυδιστὶ καλουμένην, πρὸς δὲ τὰς μαλακωτέρως τὴν διάνοιαν, οΐον πρὸς τὰς ἀνειμένας, μέσως δὲ καὶ καθεστηκότως μάλιστα πρὸς έτέραν, οΐον δοκεί ποιείν ή δωριστί μόνη των άρμονιων, ένθου-5 σιαστικούς δ' ή φρυγιστί· ταῦτα γὰρ καλῶς λέγουσιν οἱ περὶ 23 την παιδείαν ταύτην πεφιλοσοφηκότες λαμβάνουσι γάρ τὰ μαρτύρια τῶν λόγων ἐξ αὐτῶν τῶν ἔργων τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον έχει καὶ τὰ περὶ τοὺς ρυθμούς οἱ μὲν γὰρ ἡθος έχουσι στασιμώτερον οἱ δὲ κινητικόν, καὶ τούτων οἱ μὲν 10 φορτικωτέρας έχουσι τὰς κινήσεις οἱ δὲ ἐλευθεριωτέρας. ἐκ 24 μέν οὖν τούτων φανερόν ὅτι δύναται ποιόν τι τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ήθος ή μουσική παρασκευάζειν· εί δε τοῦτο δύναται ποιείν, δήλον δτι προσακτέον καὶ παιδευτέον έν αὐτή τοὺς νέους. έστι δὲ ἀρμόττουσα πρὸς τὴν φύσιν τὴν τηλικαύτην ἡ δι- 25 15 δασκαλία τῆς μουσικῆς οἱ μὲν γὰρ νέοι διὰ τὴν ἡλικίαν άνήδυντον οὐδεν ὑπομένουσιν ἐκόντες, ἡ δε μουσική φύσει τῶν ἡδυσμένων ἐστίν. καί τις ἔοικε συγγένεια ταῖς ἀρμονίαις καὶ τοῖς ρυθμοῖς εἶναι διὸ πολλοί φασι τῶν σοφῶν οί μέν άρμονίαν είναι την ψυχήν, οι δ' έχειν άρμονίαν. 6 Πότερον δε δεί μανθάνειν αὐτοὺς ἄδοντάς τε καὶ χειρουργοῦντας ή μή, καθάπερ ήπορήθη πρότερου, νῦν λεκτέον. ούκ άδηλον δη ότι πολλην έχει διαφοράν πρός τὸ γίγνε-

ρουργούντας ή μή, καθάπερ ήπορήθη πρότερον, νύν λεκτεον.
οὐκ ἄδηλον δὴ ὅτι πολλὴν ἔχει διαφορὰν πρὸς τὸ γίγνεσθαι ποιούς τινας, ἐάν τις αὐτὸς κοινωνἢ τῶν ἔργων' ἔν
γάρ τι τῶν ἀδυνάτων ἡ χαλεπῶν ἐστὶ μὴ κοινωνήσαντας
25 τῶν ἔργων κριτὰς γενέσθαι σπουδαίους. ἄμα δὲ καὶ δεῖ τοὺς 2
παῖδας ἔχειν τινὰ διατριβήν, καὶ τὴν ἀρχύτου πλαταγὴν

οίεσθαι γενέσθαι καλώς, ην διδόασι τοις παιδίοις δπως χρώμενοι ταύτη μηδέν καταγνύωσι τῶν κατὰ τὴν οἰκίαν ού γάρ δύναται τὸ νέον ήσυχάζειν. αΰτη μέν οὖν έστὶ τοῖς νηπίοις άρμόττουσα των παιδίων, ή δε παιδεία πλαταγή 30 3 τοις μείζοσι των νέων, ότι μεν ουν παιδευτέον την μουσικήν ούτως ώστε καὶ κοινωνείν των έργων, φανερον έκ των τοιούτων τὸ δὲ πρέπον καὶ τὸ μὴ πρέπον ταῖς ἡλικίαις οὐ χαλεπον διορίσαι, καὶ λῦσαι πρὸς τοὺς φάσκοντας βάναυ-4 σον είναι την έπιμέλειαν. πρώτον μέν γάρ, έπεὶ τοῦ κρίνειν 35 χάριν μετέχειν δεί των έργων, δια τοῦτο χρη νέους μέν όντας χρησθαι τοις έργοις, πρεσβυτέρους δε γινομένους των μεν έργων άφεισθαι, δύνασθαι δε τὰ καλὰ κρίνειν καὶ χαίρειν δρθώς διὰ τὴν μάθησιν τὴν γενομένην έν τῆ νεότητι 5 περί δε της επιτιμήσεως ην τινες επιτιμώσιν ώς ποιούσης 40 της μουσικης βαναύσους, ού χαλεπον λύσαι σκεψαμένους μέχρι τε πόσου των έργων κοινωνητέον τοις πρός άρετην παιδευομένοις πολιτικήν, καὶ ποίων μελών καὶ ποίων ρυ- 1341 a θμών κοινωνητέον, έτι δὲ ἐν ποίοις ὀργάνοις τὴν μάθησιν 6 ποιητέον, καὶ γὰρ τοῦτο διαφέρειν εἰκός, ἐν τούτοις γὰρ ἡ λύσις έστὶ τῆς ἐπιτιμήσεως οὐδὲν γὰρ κωλύει τρόπους τινὰς της μουσικης απεργάζεσθαι το λεχθέν. φανερον τοίνυν ότι 5 δεί την μάθησιν αὐτης μήτε έμποδίζειν πρός τὰς ὕστερον πράξεις, μήτε τὸ σῶμα ποιεῖν βάναυσον καὶ ἄχρηστον πρὸς τὰς πολεμικὰς καὶ πολιτικὰς ἀσκήσεις, πρὸς μὲν τὰς χρή-7 σεις ήδη, πρός δε τας μαθήσεις υστερον. συμβαίνοι δ' πν περὶ τὴν μάθησιν, εἰ μήτε τὰ πρὸς τοὺς ἀγῶνας τοὺς τεχνι- 10 κούς συντείνοντα διαπονοίεν, μήτε τὰ θαυμάσια καὶ περιττὰ τῶν ἔργων, ὰ νῦν ἐλήλυθεν είς τοὺς ἀγῶνας, ἐκ δὲ τῶν 8 άγώνων είς την παιδείαν, άλλα και τα τοιαῦτα μέχρι περ αν δύνωνται χαίρειν τοις καλοίς μέλεσι και ρυθμοίς, καὶ μὴ μόνον τῷ κοινῷ τῆς μουσικῆς ὥσπερ καὶ τῶν ἄλ- 15 λων ένια ζώων, έτι δὲ καὶ πληθος ἀνδραπόδων καὶ παιδίων. δηλον δε έκ τούτων και ποίοις δργάνοις χρηστέον.

ούτε γάρ αὐλοὺς εἰς παιδείαν ἀκτέον οὕτ' ἄλλο τεχνικὸν 9 δργανον, οίον κιθάραν κάν εί τι τοιοῦτον ετερόν έστιν. άλλὰ 20 δσα ποιήσει αὐτῶν ἀκροατὰς ἀγαθοὺς ἢ τῆς μουσικῆς παιδείας ή της άλλης έτι δε ούκ έστιν ο αύλος ήθικον άλλα μαλλον δργιαστικόν, ώστε πρός τους τοιούτους αυτώ καιρούς χρηστέον έν οἷς ή θεωρία κάθαρσιν μᾶλλον δύναται ή μάθησιν. προσθώμεν δὲ ὅτι συμβέβηκεν ἐναντίον αὐτῷ πρὸς 10 25 παιδείαν και τὸ κωλύειν τῷ λόγφ χρῆσθαι τὴν αὔλησιν. διδ καλώς ἀπεδοκίμασαν αὐτοῦ οἱ πρότερον τὴν χρῆσιν ἐκ τῶν νέων καὶ τῶν ἐλευθέρων, καίπερ χρησάμενοι τὸ πρῶτον αὐτῷ. σχολαστικώτεροι γὰρ γιγνόμενοι διὰ τὰς εὐπο- 11 ρίας καὶ μεγαλοψυχότεροι πρὸς τὴν ἀρετήν, ἔτι τε πρότερον 30 καὶ μετὰ τὰ Μηδικὰ φρονηματισθέντες έκ τῶν ἔργων, πάσης ήπτοντο μαθήσεως, οὐδεν διακρίνοντες άλλ' έπιζητοῦντες. διὸ καὶ τὴν αὐλητικὴν ἥγαγον πρὸς τὰς μαθήσεις. καὶ γὰρ ἐν Λακεδαίμονί τις χορηγὸς αὐτὸς ηὔλησε τῷ 12 χορώ, και περι 'Αθήνας ουτως έπεχωρίασεν ώστε σχεδον οί 35 πολλοί τῶν ἐλευθέρων μετείχον αὐτῆς δῆλον δὲ ἐκ τοῦ πίνακος δυ ανέθηκε Θράσιππος Έκφαντίδη χορηγήσας. υστερον δ' ἀπεδοκιμάσθη διὰ τῆς πείρας αὐτῆς, βέλτιον δυναμένων κρίνειν το προς άρετην και το μη προς άρετην συντείνον όμοίως δέ καὶ πολλά τῶν ὀργάνων τῶν ἀρχαίων, 13 40 οδον πηκτίδες καὶ βάρβιτοι καὶ τὰ πρὸς ἡδονὴν συντείνοντα τοις ακούουσι των χρωμένων, έπταγωνα και τρίγωνα και 1341 b σαμβῦκαι, καὶ πάντα τὰ δεόμενα χειρουργικῆς ἐπιστήμης. εύλόγως δ' έχει καὶ τὸ περὶ τῶν αὐλῶν ὑπὸ τῶν ἀρχαίων μεμυθολογημένον φασί γὰρ δη την Άθηναν εύροῦσαν ἀποβαλείν τους αὐλούς, οὐ κακῶς μέν οῦν ἔχει φάναι καὶ διὰ 14 5 την ασχημοσύνην τοῦ προσώπου τοῦτο ποιήσαι δυσχεράνασαν την θεόν ου μην άλλα μαλλον είκος δτι προς την διάνοιαν οὐδέν έστιν ή παιδεία τῆς αὐλήσεως τῆ δὲ Αθηνά τὴν έπιστήμην περιτίθεμεν καὶ τὴν τέχνην. ἐπεὶ δὲ τῶν τε ὀργά- 15 νων καὶ τῆς ἐργασίας ἀποδοκιμάζομεν την τεχνικήν παι-

δείαν, τεχνικήν δε τίθεμεν την πρός τους άγωνας (έν ταύτη 10 γάρ ὁ πράττων οὐ τῆς αὐτοῦ μεταχειρίζεται χάριν ἀρετῆς, άλλα της των ακουόντων ήδονης, και ταύτης φορτικής, διόπερ οὐ τῶν ἐλευθέρων κρίνομεν είναι τὴν ἐργασίαν, άλλὰ 6 θητικωτέραν καὶ βαναύσους δὴ συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι πουπρός γάρ ὁ σκοπός πρός δυ ποιούνται τὸ τέλος ὁ γάρ 15 θεατής φορτικός ων μεταβάλλειν είωθε την μουσικήν, ώστε καὶ τοὺς τεχνίτας τοὺς πρὸς αὐτὸν μελετῶντας αὐτούς τε ποιούς τινας ποιεί και τὰ σώματα διὰ τὰς κινήσεις).

Σκεπτέον δ' έτι περί τε τὰς άρμονίας καὶ τοὺς ρυθμούς, 7 [καὶ πρὸς παιδείαν] πότερον πάσαις χρηστέον ταῖς άρμονίαις 20 -καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ρυθμοῖς ἡ διαιρετέον, ἔπειτα τοῖς πρὸς παιδείαν διαπονοῦσι πότερον τὸν αὐτὸν διορισμὸν θήσομεν ή † τρίτον δεῖ τινὰ ἔτερον, ἐπειδὴ † τὴν μὲν μουσικὴν ὁρῶμεν δια μελοποιίας και ρυθμών ουσαν, τούτων δ' έκάτερον ου δεί λεληθέναι τίνα έχει δύναμιν πρός παιδείαν, και πότερον 25 προαιρετέον μαλλον την εύμελη μουσικην ή την εύρυθμον. ε νομίσαντες οὖν πολλὰ καλῶς λέγειν περὶ τούτων τῶν τε νῦν μουσικών ένίους καὶ τών έκ φιλοσοφίας ὅσοι τυγχάνουσιν έμπείρως έχοντες της περί την μουσικήν παιδείας, την μέν καθ' εκαστον ἀκριβολογίαν ἀποδώσομεν ζητείν τοίς βουλο- 30 μένοις παρ' έκείνων, νῦν δὲ νομικῶς διέλωμεν, τοὺς τύπους ι μόνον εἰπόντες περὶ αὐτῶν. ἐπεὶ δὲ τὴν διαίρεσιν ἀποδεχόμεθα τῶν μελῶν ὡς διαιροῦσί τινες τῶν ἐν φιλοσοφία, τὰ μὲν ἠθικὰ τὰ δὲ πρακτικὰ τὰ δ' ἐνθουσιαστικὰ τιθέντες, καὶ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν τὴν φύσιν πρὸς ἔκαστα τούτων οἰκείαν 35 άλλην πρὸς άλλο μέρος τιθέασι, φαμέν δ' οὐ μιᾶς ενεκεν ώφελείας τη μουσική χρήσθαι δείν άλλα και πλειόνων χάριν (καὶ γὰρ παιδείας ενεκεν καὶ καθάρσεως—τί δὲ λέγομεν την κάθαρσιν, νθν μέν άπλως, πάλιν δ' έν τοίς περί ποιητικής ἐροῦμεν σαφέστερον—τρίτον δὲ πρὸς διαγωγήν. 40 πρός ἄνεσίν τε καὶ πρός την της συντονίας ανάπαυσιν), φανερον ότι χρηστέον μεν πάσαις ταις άρμονίαις, οὐ τον 1342 a VOL. III.

αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον πάσαις χρηστέον, άλλὰ πρὸς μὲν τὴν παιδείαν ταις ήθικωτάταις, πρός δε άκρόασιν έτέρων χειρουργούντων καὶ ταῖς πρακτικαῖς καὶ ταῖς ἐνθουσιαστικαῖς. δ 4 5 γὰρ περὶ ἐνίας συμβαίνει πάθος ψυχὰς ἰσχυρῶς, τοῦτο ἐν πάσαις ὑπάρχει, τῷ δὲ ἦττον διαφέρει καὶ τῷ μᾶλλον, οίον έλεος καὶ φόβος, έτι δ' ένθουσιασμός. καὶ γὰρ ὑπὸ ταύτης της κινήσεως κατακώχιμοί τινές είσιν έκ των δ' ίερῶν μελῶν ὁρῶμεν τούτους, ὅταν χρήσωνται τοῖς ἐξοργιά-10 ζουσι τὴν ψυχὴν μέλεσι, καθισταμένους ὥσπερ ἰατρείας τυχόντας καὶ καθάρσεως. ταύτὸ δὴ τοῦτο ἀναγκαῖον πάσχειν 5 καὶ τοὺς ἐλεήμονας καὶ τοὺς φοβητικοὺς καὶ τοὺς ὅλως παθητικούς, τοὺς δ' ἄλλους καθ' ὅσον ἐπιβάλλει τῶν τοιούτων έκάστφ, καὶ πᾶσι γίγνεσθαί τινα κάθαρσιν καὶ κουφίζεσθαι 15 μεθ' ήδονης. όμοίως δὲ καὶ τὰ μέλη τὰ καθαρτικὰ παρέχει χαρὰν ἀβλαβη τοῖς ἀνθρώποις. διὸ ταῖς μὲν τοιαύταις 6 άρμονίαις καὶ τοῖς τοιούτοις μέλεσι (χρῆσθαι) θετέον τοὺς την [θεατρικήν] μουσικήν μεταχειριζομένους άγωνιστάς (έπεὶ δ' ὁ θεατης διττός, ὁ μὲν ἐλεύθερος καὶ πεπαιδευμένος, ὁ δὲ 20 φορτικός έκ βαναύσων καὶ θητῶν καὶ ἄλλων τοιούτων συγκείμενος, ἀποδοτέον ἀγῶνας καὶ θεωρίας καὶ τοῖς τοιούτοις πρὸς ἀνάπαυσιν· εἰσὶ δ' ὥσπερ αὐτῶν αἰ ψυχαὶ παρε-7 στραμμέναι τῆς κατὰ φύσιν ἔξεως, οὕτω καὶ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν παρεκβάσεις είσὶ καὶ τῶν μελῶν τὰ σύντονα καὶ παρακε-25 χρωσμένα, ποιεί δὲ τὴν ἡδονὴν ἐκάστοις τὸ κατὰ φύσιν οίκειον διόπερ αποδοτέον έξουσίαν τοις αγωνιζομένοις πρός τον θεατήν τον τοιούτον τοιούτω τινί χρησθαι τώ γένει της μουσικής) πρός δὲ παιδείαν, ὥσπερ εἴρηται, τοῖς ἠθικοῖς τῶν 8 μελών χρηστέον καὶ ταῖς άρμονίαις ταῖς τοιαύταις. τοιαύτη 30 δ' ή δωριστί, καθάπερ είπομεν πρότερον. δέχεσθαι δὲ δεῖ κάν τινα άλλην ήμιν δοκιμάζωσιν οι κοινωνοι της έν φιλοσοφία διατριβής καὶ τής περὶ τὴν μουσικὴν παιδείας. 69 δ' έν τῆ πολιτεία Σωκράτης οὐ καλῶς τὴν φρυγιστὶ μόνην καταλείπει μετὰ τῆς δωριστί, καὶ ταῦτα ἀποδοκιμάσας

τῶν ὀργάνων τὸν αὐλόν. ἔχει γὰρ τὴν αὐτὴν δύναμιν 1342 b ή φρυγιστὶ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν ἥνπερ αὐλὸς ἐν τοῖς ὀργάνοις. 10 ἄμφω γὰρ ὀργιαστικὰ καὶ παθητικά. δηλοῖ δ' ἡ ποίησις πάσα γάρ βακχεία καὶ πάσα ή τοιαύτη κίνησις μάλιστα τῶν ὀργάνων ἐστὶν ἐν τοῖς αὐλοῖς, τῶν δ' ἀρμο- 5 νιών έν τοῖς φρυγιστὶ μέλεσι λαμβάνει ταῦτα τὸ πρέπον, οΐον ο διθύραμβος όμολογουμένως είναι δοκεί Φρύγιον. 11 καὶ τούτου πολλὰ παραδείγματα λέγουσιν οἱ περὶ τὴν σύνεσιν ταύτην άλλα τε, καὶ διότι Φιλόξενος έγχειρήσας έν τῆ δωριστὶ ποιῆσαι διθύραμβον τοὺς Μυσοὺς οὐχ οἶός τ' ἦν, 10 άλλ' ὑπὸ τῆς φύσεως αὐτῆς ἐξέπεσεν εἰς τὴν φρυγιστὶ τὴν 12 προσήκουσαν άρμονίαν πάλιν. περὶ δὲ τῆς δωριστὶ πάντες όμολογοῦσιν ώς στασιμωτάτης οὕσης καὶ μάλιστ' ήθος έχούσης ανδρείον. έτι δὲ ἐπεὶ τὸ μέσον μὲν τῶν ὑπερβολῶν έπαινοῦμεν καὶ χρῆναι διώκειν φαμέν, ἡ δὲ δωριστὶ ταύτην 15 έχει τὴν φύσιν πρὸς τὰς ἄλλας ἁρμονίας, φανερὸν ὅτι τὰ 13 Δώρια μέλη πρέπει παιδεύεσθαι μαλλον τοις νεωτέροις. είσι δὲ δύο σκοποί, τό τε δυνατὸν καὶ τὸ πρέπον καὶ γὰρ τὰ δυνατὰ δεῖ μεταχειρίζεσθαι μᾶλλον καὶ τὰ πρέποντα ἐκόστοις. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ταῦτα ώρισμένα ταῖς ἡλικίαις, οἶον τοῖς 20 απειρηκόσι δια χρόνον οὐ ράδιον ἄδειν τας συντόνους άρμονίας, άλλὰ τὰς ἀνειμένας ἡ φύσις ὑποβάλλει τοῖς τηλικού-14 τοις. διὸ καλῶς ἐπιτιμῶσι καὶ τοῦτο (τῷ) Σωκράτει τῶν περὶ την μουσικήν τινες, ὅτι τὰς ἀνειμένας ἀρμονίας ἀποδοκιμάσειεν είς τὴν παιδείαν, ώς μεθυστικάς λαμβάνων αὐτάς, 25 ού κατὰ τὴν τῆς μέθης δύναμιν (βακχευτικόν γὰρ ή γε μέθη ποιεί μᾶλλον) άλλ' ἀπειρηκυίας. ὥστε καὶ πρὸς τὴν έσομένην ήλικίαν, τὴν τῶν πρεσβυτέρων, δεῖ καὶ τῶν τοιού-15 των άρμονιῶν ἄπτεσθαι καὶ τῶν μελῶν τῶν τοιούτων. ἔτι δ εἴ τίς ἐστι τοιαύτη τῶν ἀρμονιῶν ἣ πρέπει τῇ τῶν παί- 30 δων ηλικία δια το δύνασθαι κόσμον τ' έχειν αμα καὶ παιδείαν, οδον ή λυδιστὶ φαίνεται πεπονθέναι μάλιστα τών άρμονιών . . . δήλον ότι τούτους όρους τρείς ποιητέον είς τήν παιδείαν, τό τε μέσον καὶ τὸ δυνατὸν καὶ τὸ πρέπον . . .

CRITICAL NOTES.

BOOK III.

1274 b 33. Vet. Int. renders ποτε by quidem, as in 1276 a 18 and 1286 a 1. 40. Vet. Int. has et for δi, as in 1291 b 40, βραχίων δi.

1275 & 11. καὶ γὰρ ταῦτα τούτοις ὑπάρχει Om. Π¹. 19. γὰρ ΓΠ Vat. Pal. Bekk.: & Ar. Conring, Sus. See explanatory note. 20. ἔγκλημα] Vet. Int. adiectionem (objectionem?). Εγκλημα is rendered accusatio in 1264 a 27 and 1268 b 19, the two other passages of the Politics in which it occurs. deduevor] Vet. Int. opportunam, as in 1323 b 30 and in Rhet. 1. 2. 1357 a 9 and 2. 7. 1385 a 20 (Dittmever, Quae ratio inter vetustam Aristotelis Rhetoricorum translationem et Graecos codices intercedat, p. 50). 24. Bippyμέναι ΓΙΙ Vat. Pal. (Vet. Int. divisi): διωρισμέναι Scaliger, Sus. explanatory note on 1275 a 23. 27. ἀν φαίη Γ P1 4 6 Vat. Pal., φαίη Ma, αντιφαίη Pas etc. Göttling conj. αν αντιφαίη. But in Eth. Nic. 3. 8. 1117 a 14, where the MSS. have derimabeir, Heylbut (Aspas. Comm. Praef. p. x) and Bywater accept an madein from Aspasius. See also Stallbaum's critical note on Plato, Gorg. 481 D, where he restores $d\nu \phi \hat{\eta}$ from the best MSS. in place of the 87. 3] Vet. Int. 28. καίτοι . . ἀρχης om. Π1. vulg. ἀντιφη. secundum quod, which may represent f (see critical note on 1280 a 24), though qua is a more usual equivalent for it in Vet. Int. (see e.g. 1270 a 11 and 1286 a 23).

1275 b 7. I follow Coray and Sus. in adding èν before èνίαιε: cp. c. 11. 1282 a 27, ås èν èνίαις πολιτείαις, where M^a P¹ and possibly Γ omit èν, and 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 27, ħ κατασκευάσαντας ἀρχείον οἷον èν èνίαις πολιτείαις ἐστίν, where Π^a om. èν.

13. ἀλλὰ . . γὰρ] Vet. Int. sed, but this stands for ἀλλὰ γὰρ here, as in 1282 b 8 and 1323 b 36. Γὰρ om. P¹ Qb and over an erasure P⁴.

16. ἀποδέδοται Π: Vet. Int. attribuilur, as in 1299 a 26. In 1285 a 6 ἀποδέδοται is rendered attributa sunt, but it is doubtful whether Vet. Int. found

άποδίδοται in Γ in the passage before us and in 1299 a 26, for he renders πέπονθε by patitur in 1294 b 17. 17. Ms P¹ omit the second mepl, but see critical note on 1331 b 24 and explanatory note on 1284 a 35. 21. del dn II Vat. Pal. Bekk.: all MSS. of Vet. Int. which have been examined but three (h k z) have etiam, which stands for $\delta \hat{\eta}$ in 1277 b 16 and 1292 b 10; h k z have autem. I follow Sus. in reading δέ. πρός την χρησιν Vet. Int. secundum usum: did he find κατά in Γ? 25. δὲ Π¹ P² Sus.: δὴ P³ Π³ Bekk. 26. ἐκείνον \ Vet. Int. hunc (τοῦτον Γ?). 30. είναι γάρ τινας Λαρισοποιούς (είναι γάρ τινας λαρισσοποιούς ΓΠ Vat. Pal.) is bracketed by Ridgeway and Sus., and Camerarius (Interp. p. 112) would read Λαρισαιοποιούς in place of Λαρισοποιούς. See explanatory note on 1275 b 26. 32. Agay II Ald. Vat. Pal. Sus. and over an erasure P4: noav dv P23 etc. Bekk. καὶ γὰρ οὐδὲ M4 P123 Vat. Pal. etc. Sus.4: καὶ γὰρ οὐ P4 etc. Bekk.: Vet. Int. neque enim, which perhaps represents καὶ γὰρ οὐδέ. 33. έκ om. P1 and perhaps Γ (Vet. Int. 34. 'èreîvo Victorius et vir doctus quod ex cive mare aut femina). in marg. Aldinae Monacensis . . . ekelunu aut ekelun Ma, ekelunu P2 etc. et pr. P1 (rasura super e1) et pr. P4 et corr. P3, exervos pr. P8 (ut videtur) et editores inde a Victorio et Morelio posteaque exouos eidem editores, Sus.3, who reads excipo and exet. Vet. Int. illi 35. Richards would add obs after olov, a suggestion which well deserves to be recorded, though I am not sure that any change should be made in the text. 39. κάν Bekk. Sus. : ral II Bekk.1 It is not easy to say what Vet. Int. found in his Greek text, for he has equidem et cum hoc adhuc aliquis dubitabit: perhaps καίτοι και τούτφ τις έτι προσαπορήσειεν. As to the omission of dv by Γ II see critical note on 1283 b 14. τοῦτό La Ald. pr. Ma Bekk. Sus., τούτω Γ P1 234.

1276 a 4. της om. Ms P1: the reading of Γ is of course uncertain.

5. ἐφαμεν P¹ Π³ Bekk. Sus.: φαμέν Γ Μ•.

14. καὶ δημοκρατοῦνταί τινες] Vet. Int. in democratiam versae fuerunt (or fuerint) quaedam, a rendering which it is hard to explain. We cannot be sure that καὶ was omitted in Γ, for Vet. Int. often fails to render καὶ: in may, however, stand for κατὰ installed in the place of καὶ. Does versae fuerunt stand for ἐτράποντο repeated from τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον?

21. Sus. brackets τὸν τόπον καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, but compare the similar repetition of τὴν χώραν in 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 33 (where Sus. brackets τὴν χώραν), and of τῶν ἡθῶν in 5 (8). 5. 1340 a 33 sq. (where Sus. brackets the first τῶν ἡθῶν).

τὸν αὐτὸν τόπον P^{4 6} Bekk. and possibly Γ (Vet. Int. eundem locum). As to additions of this kind in P^{4 6} see critical note on 1329 a 1. 83. τθνος om. II² and in a lacuna P¹.

1276 b 9. λέγομεν Albertus Magnus, Leonardus Aretinus, Morelius, Bekk., Sus.: λέγοιμεν Γ Π. Should δν be added after ἐτέραν and λέγοιμεν be retained?

14. μεταβάλη μεταβάλλη Π³ Bekk.
30. διὸ P¹ Π² Vat. Pal. Bekk. Sus.: διόπερ Γ Μβ. 33. τὸν δ΄ ἀγαθὸν ἄνδρα φαμὲν κατὰ μίαν ἀρετὴν εἶναι τὴν τελείαν is found only in Γ P¹ Ar., corr.³ P² (in paler ink than the MS.), and marg. P⁴: τὴν, however, is omitted in pr. P¹ and supplied by the scribe in the same ink as the MS.; it is also written above the line in marg. P⁴: we cannot be sure that it was added in Γ. 36. ἀλλὰ om. M³ Vat. Pal. pr. P¹, but not Γ (as Sus. says), for Vet. Int. has quin immo, which represents οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ in 1278 b 21 and 1323 b 6. 39. αὐτὸν Π² Bekk., αυτον Vat. Pal., αὐτὸν Γ (Vet. Int. τρεμη): ἐαυτὸν Μ³ P¹ Sus. 40. ἐπεὶ δὲ Π² Vat. Pal. Ar., ἐπεὶ δ' Bekk., ἐπειδὴ δὲ P¹, ἐπειδὴ Γ M³ Sylburg, Thurot, Sus.: Thurot and Sus., however, place ἐπειδὴ Γ M³ Sylburg, Thurot, Sus.: Thurot and Sus., however, place ἐπειδὴ Γ M³ Sylburg, Thurot, Sus.: Thurot and Sus.,

1277 a 8. κτησις is bracketed by Bernays. See explanatory note. 12. $\delta \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ om. Π^1 . 17. $\delta \rho \chi o \nu \tau o s$ $\tau o \hat{\nu}$ $\delta \rho \chi o \nu \tau o s$ Π^s Bekk. φαίνονται παιδευόμενοι] Vet. Int. videntur erudiri, which stands for φαίνονται παιδευόμενοι: cp. 1338 a 20 and 1339 a 2. 20. δέ H² Bekk.: δή Π1 Sus. (Vet. Int. itaque). Vat. Pal. has ειδεαυτη. 24. ἴσως om. Π1. τυραννοῖ] τυραννεῖ M8 P1 pr. P2 (corrected in the same ink as the MS.): Vet. Int. has quando non tyrannizat, which probably represents ὅτε μὴ τυραννεῖ. 26. After or (with Bernays) before δοκίμου we should probably supply δοκεί: compare the omission of δοκούσι before διώκειν in II1 in 3. 13. 1284 a 19. 1 prefer this change to Dr. Jackson's ingenious suggestion of donei που in place of δοκίμου (adopted by Sus. 3a). 30. έτερα Coray: αμφότερα Γ Π: αμφω έτερα Bernays, who translates 'Beide müssten Verschiedenes (lernen). 'Audotrepa from the next line, however, may perhaps have taken the place of ἔτερα. 82. τοὐντεῦθεν 112 Vat. Pal. Bekk., κάντεῦθεν Γ P1 Sus. (Vet. Int. et hinc), κατένθεν Μ. 34. λέγομεν, & Lamb.: λεγόμενα Γ Π. Heylbut in his report of the readings of Vat. Pal. (Rhein. Mus. 42. 103) does not note any variation in 34 from the reading of Sus.⁸ (λέγομεν, δ), but this may be an oversight. Sus. *a takes the reading of Vat. Pal. here to be that of ΓΠ, λεγόμενα. 39. αὐτούς See explanatory note on 1277 a 38.

1277 b 2. πρίν δημον γενέσθαι Vet. Int. antequam fuisset demus. Γενέσθαι is rendered by fuisse in 1329 b 9 and by esse in 1288 a 16. just as eyévero is rendered by fuit in 1297 b 16, 1303 b 38, and 1304 a 5. 14. ἄρχεσθαι καὶ ἄρχειν Π2 Bekk.: ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι III Sus. See critical note on 1288 a 13. The words are found in both orders (see e.g. 1284 a 2, 1317 b 2, 1277 a 26 sq., b 20). **20**. ωσπερ] ως γάρ Γ M⁸ pr. P¹. **23**. λάλος Π¹ Bekk., ἄλλος P^{2 3} etc., ἄλλως Ald., ἄλαλος P⁴. See explanatory note on 1277 b 22. κοσμία] z has ornala without any erasure (with c h k l m n and rec. a), which is probably right, for koopeiv is rendered by ornare in Vet. Int. in 1323 b 3, 1314 b 37, and (in z) 1321 a 37: b g Sus. 29. ωππερ αύλοποιός γάρ Π2 Bekk.: ωσπερ γάρ aὐλοποιὸs Π¹ Sus. Π² are probably right, for in 1278 a 37 Γ Π have ώσπερ μέτοικος γάρ έστιν ό των τιμών μη μετέχων. A similar variation occurs in 1293 b 17, where Π2 have els αρετήν τε καὶ δημον and Mo P1 (Γ?) είς τε άρετην και δήμον, and in 1302 a 31, where Π2 have περί ων δέ, and Ma P1 (Γ?) περί δέ ων. See critical note on 1300 b 17 and cp. also 1326 b 4, where Mo P1 and possibly Γ have εν μεν τοις αναγκαίοις, and P234 έν τοις μέν αναγκαίοις.

1278 a 11. των δ' ἀναγκαίων] See explanatory note. 12. κοινη Π1 Sus.: κοινοί Π2 Bekk. 26. μή μετέχειν άρχης μή μετέχειν άρετης Γ M. Vet. Int. has non participare virtute, but in a z the words principatu fortes interpres are added after virtule. It has not been pointed out that the three words are probably a corruption of 'principalu forlasse' interpres, a suggestion by the translator that principatu should be read in place of virtute, in which he was undoubtedly right. 32. Vet. Int. does not translate δε. Vat. Pal. has δοχλου with Π. παραιρούνται Vet. Int. eligunt, which probably represents προαιροῦνται (cp. 1325 b 25 and 1341 b 26). Vet. Int. may have found this reading in r; it is more likely, however, that he misread his Greek text. 34. αστων Vat. Pal., αστῶν codex Hamilton collated by Dr. H. Rabe, confirming a conjecture of Perizonius (ad Ael. Var. Hist. 6. 10), αὐτῶν Γ Π. The two words are often confused in the MSS.: thus II1 have abrovs in place of dorous in 6 (4). 16. 1300 b 32, and in Oecon. 2. 1346 b 27 the MSS. have αὐτῶν in place of ἀστῶν. 38. Sus. 38: 'ωσπερ . . . 38. μετέχων post 40, εστίν Vaticanum et pr. P1 et corr. P4, 37, ώσεί . . . 38, μετέχων ibidem Π^2 Ar., 37, ώσπερ . . . μετέχων ibidem Γ M³, verum ordinem restituit corr. P1' (i. e. Demetrius Chalcondylas, the writer of P1, in the same ink as the MS.). 87. Soci Vet. Int. ac si, just as he has ac si for δσπερ κάν in 1312 a 26. 40. Schneider (followed by Sus.) may well be right in adding ἀρετὴν after τὴν αὐτὴν (cp. c. 4. 1277 a 20, where Π¹ omit ἀρετὴ after ἡ αὐτὴ), but ἀρετὴν is omitted in 1. 13. 1260 a 24 and 3. 13. 1283 a 40.

1278 b 1. ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων om. Π¹, but Vat. Pal. agrees with Π² in adding the words. 8. κάκεινος Γ M. II. Vat. Pal. (Vet. Int. et ille): Demetrius Chalcondylas, the writer of P1, has first written nancivos and then corrected it to nancivos, adding, however, o above of (see Sus.1). III Sus. add d'after rareivos or rareims. A duvinevos elvas κύριος is added by Π1 P2 Vat. Pal., but omitted by pr. P3 4 (add. 7. καν el Πº Vat. Pal. (καν el πλείους om. Mo): και el P1 and possibly r (Vet. Int. et si plures), but et si appears to represent καν el in 1326 a 17, 1340 a 37, and 1341 a 19. 12. δημοκραrikaîs] z has democratiis: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. democraticis. 14. τούτων z has horum: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. corum. 15. δή] δὲ pr. Qb Bekk. 17. δή Π² (except P¹), Bekk.: δὲ Π¹ P⁴ Sus. Vat. Pal. has δη. κατά] καὶ κατά P1 corr. P4 Bekk. от II Vat. Pal. Sus., от Bekk.: Vet. Int. fails to render кад, but this he often fails to do (see vol. ii. p. lxiii). δ is added before ἄνθρωπος in Mo P1 Sus. (Vet. Int. homo leaves the reading of Γ uncertain): om. 112 Vat. Pal.: see critical note on 1253 a 2, and Stallbaum on Plato, Rep. 619 Β, γίγνεται ανθρωπος. 20. παρ' ἀλλήλων παρ' άλλήλων Γ (Vet. Int. ab invicem): περί άλλήλων Mo II2 and pr. Pl. also Vat. Pal. βοηθείας] πολιτείας Γ M. Vat. Pal. Π1 Vat. Pal. omit οὐκ τλαττον and are probably right: see Heylbut in Rhein. Mus. 42. 108, who urges that the meaning intended to be expressed by our έλαττον here is always expressed by οὐχ ἡττον, οὐδεν ἡττον, in Aristotle's writings (e.g. in 2. 6. 1265 a 26, 3. 11. 1282 a 6 etc.), while čλαττον on the contrary always means what is smaller in number, time, or space. 25. καὶ συνέχουσι τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν These words are placed after αὐτοῦ by Π1 Vat. Pal., but after μόριον by Π2. Bekker's text is συνέρχονται δε καὶ τοῦ ζην ενεκεν αὐτοῦ (ἴσως γὰρ ενεστί τι τοῦ καλοῦ μόριον) καὶ συνέχουσι τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν καὶ κατά τὸ ζῆν αὐτὸ μόνον κ.τ.λ. 27. ὑπερβάλλη Με: ὑπερβάλη P22 etc. Vat. Pal.: ύπερβάλλει P14: it is uncertain what reading Vet. Int. found in his Greek text, for his rendering is excedatur. 30. dada un kal the αρχής γε] z adds et before principatus, thus giving an equivalent for sal, which the other MSS. of Vet. Int. do not. P2 Vat. Pal. 40. δοπερ] ώς M. P. Sus. The reading of Γ is uncertain (Vet. Int. ut). Vat. Pal. has ωσπερ. II often omit

small words, and these MSS. (or at any rate M⁸ P¹) omit $\pi \epsilon \rho$ also in 1317 a 23.

1279 a 2. ένα] εἶναι Π¹, but not Vat. Pal.

12. αὐτοῦ P¹ Ald. Bekk. Sus.: the other MSS. and Γ αὐτοῦ.

20. καὶ after πᾶσαι Π³ Bekk., but see explanatory note on 1281 a 26.

25. τὸ πολίτευμα M³ P¹ Vat. Pal. Sus.: Π² Bekk. omit τὸ: the reading of Γ is uncertain.

27. ὁλίγους] z has paucos, but paucum, the reading of the other MSS. of Vet. Int., may perhaps be right, for M³ has ὀλίγου.

34. τῶν before ὀλίγων om. M³ P¹ and possibly Γ, but not Vat. Pal.

37. πολιτεύηται] Vet. Int. vivit (politice vivit?: cp. 1267 b 29, 1255 b 37).

1279 b 15. τ_i om. Π^1 , but Π^1 often omit τ_i s and its parts (see critical note on 1288 a 16). 22. + συμβαίνη + συμβαίνη Ms P23 etc.: συμβαίνηι Vat. Pal.: συμβαίνη Bekk.1: συμβαίνει P14 Bekk.2 Sus. (compare the reading of these two MSS. in 1278 b 27). Vet. Int. accidat, which might stand for either reading (see critical notes on 1253 a 22, b 26). See explanatory note on 1260 b 31. Meister (Das Colonialrecht von Naupaktos, p. 201 sqq.) defends the use of al with the subjunctive (al ἀνχορές = al ἀνχωρέη) in a Locrian inscription from Naupactus (Hicks, Manual, No. 63: Cauer, Delectus Inscr. Gr., ed. 2, No. 229), but the verb is there used, as he remarks, in a future sense, which is hardly the case here, and even if this were otherwise, the difference of dialect would have to be taken into account. 26. κάν τις P¹ Π² Vat. Pal. Bekk.: ἐάν τις Ms: Vet. Int. si quis, which may represent either εάν τις οτ κάν τις, for si stands for καν in 1282 b 8, 1298 b 23, and 1309 b 9: καν εί 28. προσαγορεύη Morelius Bekk.: προσαγορεύει Vat. Pal. TIS Sus. Ob Tb Ald.: προσαγορεύοι Ms P123 etc. Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. appellet what was the reading of Γ. 82. Sylburg and Bekker add of before εδποροι. 38. Sus. adds διά after συμβαίνει and reads διαφοράs in place of διαφοράs in 39, but see explanatory note. 39. γίνεσθαι II: γίγνεσθαι Vat. Pal.

1280 a 15. φαῦλοι κριταὶ Π^2 Vat. Pal.: κριταὶ φαῦλοι Π^1 . See explanatory note on 1275 a 32. For similar transpositions cp. 1277 a 1 (ἀρετὴ μία Π^1 : μία ἀρετὴ Π^3 Vat. Pal.), 1281 a 27 (φαῦλα πάντα Π^1 : πάντα φαῦλα Π^2 Vat. Pal.), 1323 b 19 (αἰρετὰ πέφυκε ταῦτα Π^1 : ταῦτα πέφυκεν αἰρετὰ Π^2), 1326 b 8 (ζῆν εὖ Π^1 : εὖ ζῆν Π^2), 1290 b 8 (μόρια πλείονα Π^1 : πλείονα μόρια Π^2 Vat. Pal.), 1294 a 22 (ἀρετὴ καὶ πλοῦτος ἀρχαῖος Π^1 : ἀρχαῖος πλοῦτος καὶ ἀρετή Π^2), 1320 a 23 (ἐκκλησίας δλίγας Π^1 : δλίγας ἐκκλησίας Π^2). In 1322 a 31 it is Π^2 that place the

substantive before the adjective (er σχήματι δε μείζονι Π³: εν μείζονι δε σχήματι Π1). See critical note on 1282 a 40, and cp. 1311 a 22. where II2 have rous imeperortas raw noditar (except P4, which omits των), and Π1 των πολιτών τους υπερέχοντας. 24. έλευθερία] έλευθερίη Mo, ελευθερία or ελευθερίη Γ (Vet. Int. secundum libertatem, and secundum pecunias in 1280 a 23 represents χρήμασιν), έλευθέριοι Π2, ελευθεοιοι with the first above the line Vat. Pal., ελεύθεροι P1. Sus. ascribes the introduction of Exertepla into the text to Vict., whose first edition appeared in 1552, but Sepulveda's translation, which appeared in 1548, already has the rendering ut libertate (p. 83 b). 28. Toov Vet. Int. aeguum, not aeguale: so ex aeguo stands for if ίσου in 1318 a 8. 29. μνών Π Vat. Pal.: Vet. Int. talentis, though mnam for uvar and mnas or minas for uvas in 2. 8. 1268 b 13, 14. είσενέγκαντα II3 Bekk.: εισεναγκαντα Vat. Pal.: είσενεγκόντα Ma P1 Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. intulit what the reading of Γ was. 'In Attic inscriptions only the later form every as occurs, not every wor' (Meisterhans, Gramm. der att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 147). (7) Vet. Int. ipsius vivere: for this rendering of the article cp. 1286 b 19, where ipsam multitudinem stands for το πληθος, 1290 a 34, 1292 a 12, 1301 b 34, and many other passages. See critical note on 1258 a 2. μόνον ενεκεν Π2 Vat. Pal. Bekk.: ενεκεν μύνον Γ M. Sus.: «veker om. pr. P1. 34. «veker] «veka Ma P1 and possibly T: everer Π2 Vat. Pal. Bekk. Sus. 36. Τυρρηνοί] τυρηννοι Vat. Pal., τυρηννοί P4, τύραννοι P23. So in 1329 b 18 Ms P234 have τυρηνίαν. The form Tuppyvirds appears in an Attic inscription of B. C. 350-300 (Meisterhans, p. 76).

1280 b 2. rov om. M. P. Vat. Pal. and perhaps Γ, possibly rightly: Vet. Int. neque quales quosdam esse oporteat alteros alteri 4. The mistake is a frequent one: see critical notes on 1283 a 7 and 1286 a 30. αδικήσουσιν Morelius, αδικήσωσιν II Vat. Pal.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. iniuste agant what was the reading in Γ, for Vet. Int. has 5. πολιτικής om. Π^1 . 6. διασκοπούσιν Π^2 : διακοhabeat for ites. ποῦσιν pr. P1 (corrected in a paler ink than the MS.), διακονοῦσιν r M. Vat. Pal. 8. yíretai II : yiyvetai Vat. Pal. See critical note on 1262 a 29. In 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 22, l. 28 ἄπωθεν is the form used. 10. συμμάχων Γ Π Vat. Pal. Bekk.: συμμαχιών Conring, Sus. See explanatory note on 1280 b 8. 13. συναγάγοι] συνάγοι Π⁸ Bekk. So in 1317 a 36 M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ have συνάγειν, Π² συναγαγείν. 18. ἄποθεν See critical note on

1262 a 29, where, however, Vat. Pal. should have been stated to have $a\pi\omega\theta\epsilon\nu$ here, not $a\pi\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$.

19. εἴησαν P¹ Vat. Pal.: εἰ ἦσαν the other MSS. and Γ (Vet. Int. si essent).

23. που Π Vat. Pal. and probably Γ (Vet. Int. quidem, which represents ποτε in 1274 b 33 and πως in 1286 a 12): πω Ar. Bekk. Sus.

30. ἡ πόλις οὐκ ἔστιν ἡ πόλις Π¹ Sus.

34. τελέας Μ² P¹ Vat. Pal. Sus.: τελείας Π² Bekk.: the reading of Γ is uncertain.

35. καὶ Γ Π: η Vat. Pal.

37. φρατρίαι] See critical note on 1264 a 8.

40. δὲ ἡ Γ Π Vat. Pal.: δὴ ἡ or δὴ Sus.

1281 a 3. χάριν Π² Vat. Pal. Bekk.: om. Γ M⁸ pr. P¹. Sus. 16. γàρ P¹ Π² Vat. Pal. Bekk. Sus.: γàρ ấν Γ M8 (z omits utique representing av. but probably wrongly). Vat. Pal. Bekk.: deî M6 P1 Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. oportet which reading he found in his Greek text, for in 1263 b 30 and 1289 a 1 oportet represents xon and in 1342 b 15 oportere represents χρηναι, while oportet often elsewhere represents δεί (e.g. in 1262 b 2-7). In 1335 b 28 again Ms P1 and possibly I have dec and Π² χρή. ληφθέντων ΓΠ (Vet. Int. acceptis); λειφθεντων Vat. Pal. 21. τοῦτον Γ II : τουτων Vat. Pal. 25. ταὐτά] ταῦτα Γ II : Vat. Pal. does not give breathing or accent. Sus. ascribes the reading rairà to Vict. and Lamb. followed by Montecatino, and it is true that Vict. and Lamb. have haec eadem and Montecatino (vol. iii. p. 138) eadem, but I find the rendering 'li medesimi danni' ('the same losses') in Bernardo Segni's Italian translation of the Politics (p. 147, ed. 1549), which was published before either of Victorius' editions or the translation by Lambinus ap-27. πάντα φαῦλα Π2 Vat. Pal. Bekk.: φαῦλα πάντα Π1 Sus. See critical note on 1280 a 15. 28. dicata II³ Vat. Pal. Bekk. Sus.: σπουδαία Π1. 36. ἔχοντά γε τὰ συμβαίνοντα πάθη περί την ψυχήν] These words are placed after άλλά μη νόμον φαῦλον in P1 II2 Vat. Pal. Bekk., but before these words in r Ms. Sus. 3a (1894) places the words in the following order—άλλ' ίσως φαίη τις αν το κύριον όλως ανθρωπον είναι φαῦλον έχοντά γε τὰ συμβαίνοντα πάθη περί την ψυχήν άλλα μη νόμον. But the order of P1 Π2 Vat. Pal. seems to me preferable. No doubt it interposes ἀλλὰ μὴ νόμον φαῦλον between ἄνθρωπον and ἔχοντα, but as to that see explanatory note on 1276 a 28. 41. δόξειεν αν λύεσθαι κ.τ.λ.] See explanatory note on 1281 a 40.

1281 b 1 οὐ Π¹ P⁴, ὁ P³³ etc. 5. συνελθόντων Π¹ pr. P³³ Sus., συνελθόντας P⁴ etc., corr.¹ P³³ (same ink as MSS.), Bekk. 7. τὰ

περὶ τὰ ήθη Γ in place of περὶ τὰ ήθη (Vet. Int. quae circa mores).
περὶ is added before τὴν διάνοιαν by M⁸ and perhaps by Γ (Vet. Int. circa intellectum), but this is not certain, for Vet. Int. often repeats prepositions (see critical note on 1253 a 36).

8. κρίνουσιν] αρίης Γ Μ⁸.

13. κεχωρισμένων] κεχωρισμένων Γ (Vet. Int. separatum), καὶ χωρισμένον Μ⁸.

25. μηδέν] μηδέ ἔν suggested by Sus. with a query, μηδὲ ἐν Γ¹, μὴ δὲ ἐν Μ⁸, μηδέεν P²³, μηδ΄ ἐν Γ⁴, μηδεὲν Ald.

30. πολεμίων] Vet. Int. seditionis.

42. δ is added before larρδς in M⁸ P¹ followed by Sus.: the reading of Γ is uncertain (Vet. Int. iste autem est medicus). M⁸ P¹ are probably wrong: see explanatory note on 1253 b 11 and Bon. Ind. 546 a 51 sqq., and cp. c. 4. 1277 b 15, αὖτη ἀρετὴ πολίτον, and 5 (8). 3. 1337 b 32, αὖτη γὰρ ἀρχὴ πάντων.

1282 a 5. rai is placed not after but before roword in M. P1, followed by Sus.: Vet. Int. does not render it in either place. The authority of M. P. unsupported by r is small, and it is probably better to follow II's and to place kai after rosouros, taking it to mean 'in connexion with wellnigh all the arts, as well as in connexion with the medical art.' 7. kai om. III, but kai is very frequently omitted in Π^1 . 10. el yap kai] Vet. Int. et enim si, which probably stands for el yàp kai and not for kai yàp el, for et si stands for et rai in 1282 b 38 and 1322 b 8. 11. As to ου τι see explanatory note on 1282 a 10. 17. II om. ή before Beλτίους, but these MSS, are apt to omit ή when it is followed by a second η_i as in the passage before us. This happens in 1268 a 6, 1324 b 30, 1298 b 32, and 1305 a 32, as well as here. The same thing holds also of ral. See also critical note on 1331 b 18. μόνον Π: μόνος Γ (Vet. Int. solus). Sus. 12 μόνος, Sus. 14 24. I incline to retain μόνον: cp. c. 13. 1284 a 34, οὐδὲ μόνον οἰ τύραννοι ποιούσιν. 21. κρινεί \mathbf{R} κρίνει \mathbf{R}^1 . 27. μέγιστον $\mathbf{P}^{3.3}$ etc. Bekk.: μέγιστοι Μ^{*}, μέγισται Ρ¹ , μέγιστα Γ Sus. (Vet. Int. maxima). iv om. Mo P1 and possibly r, though Vet. Int. has in quibusdam politiis (see critical note on 1275 b 7). \vec{a} ρχουσιν Γ P^1 , \vec{a} ρχωσιν M^8 : $\vec{\epsilon}$ χουσιν Π^2 (so in 41 Π^2 have $\vec{\epsilon}$ χόντων for ἀρχόντων). μεγάλων Π² Bekk., μειζάνων Π¹ Sus. 40. το before жа́ргар om. Ms P1, and probably also Г, for Vet. Int. does not render it, though he renders τὸ before τῶν, πάντων τούτων Π² Bekk.: τούτων πάντων Π1 Sus. So in 1332 b 31 P1 H2 have πάντων τούτων: Γ Με τούτων πάντων. πάντων τούτων is the more usual and less emphatic order (see Class. Rev. 10. 106), but II1 have a leaning

to inversions of this kind (see critical note on 1280 a 15). Ταῦτα πάντα ('every one of these things') is used when emphasis is called for (e.g. in 1268 b 3, 1281 a 13, 1291 a 16, 1309 a 39, 1321 b 38), which does not seem to be the case in the passage before us.

1282 b 5. διορίσαι Π¹ Sus. (Vet. Int. determinare): δηλώσαι Π² Bekk. Π¹ are probably right: cp. 1287 b 16 sq. 8. καὶ Π² Bekk., κᾶν Μ² P¹ Sus.: Vet. Int. si (which however a b z Alb. omit) may perhaps stand for κᾶν, as in 1279 b 26, 1298 b 23, and 1309 b 9. 15. δὲ Π² Bekk., δὴ Π¹ Sus. (Vet. Int. ilaque).

1283 8 4. μᾶλλον | See explanatory note. 7. ὑπερέχει corr. P¹ and possibly Γ (Vet. Int. excedit): ὑπερέχειν the rest. But the addition of a final v is a common error of the MSS. (see critical notes on 1280 b 4 and 1286 a 30). 8. I follow Sus. in bracketing μέγεθος: see explanatory note on 1283 a 6. and om. II1, but see critical note on 1282 a 7. 11. $\vec{a}\nu\iota\sigma\delta\tau\eta\tau$ Π^2 . except P4 (which has ανισότητα), Ισότητ' Ma, Ισότητα pr. P1 (corrected in a paler ink), lσότητα or lσότητ' Γ (Vet. Int. aequalitatem). r' om. M[®] P¹ and probably Γ (Vet. Int. does not render τε, but then he seldom does so). 27. ἴσον Π¹, ἴσων P² ('ut videtur,' Sus.¹) 32. rà om. M8 P1: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. ad conventiones what was the reading in r. 36. oikol Vet. Int. 37. δη π: of Susemihl's MSS. of the Vet. Int. only two (g k) have autem representing &, while five have etiam, which stands for δή in 1275 b 21, 1277 b 16, and 1292 b 10: z has autem etiam. Sus. seems to go too far in assuming that I had be.

1283 b 2. τι om. Π¹, but Π¹ often omit τις and its parts (see critical note on 1288 a 16).

14. δόξαιεν γὰρ (ἄν)] δόξαιεν γὰρ Π², δόξειαν γὰρ Π², δόξειαν γὰρ Π², δύξειαν γὰρ Μ³. We cannot tell from Vet. Int. videbuntur enim whether Γ had δόξαιεν γὰρ or δόξειαν γὰρ. Δόξαιεν may be right, for δόξαι (opt. of δοκεῖν) occurs in 3. 4. 1277 b 21, and δόξαιεν in Eth. Nic. 10. 1181 a 1. ἄν om. Γ II (as in 1275 b 39), add. Coray Bekk. Sus. Π¹ omit ἄν in 1267 a 40, 1297 a 41, and 1313 a 20; it is not therefore surprising that Γ Π should now and then omit it. See Bon. Ind. 41 b 4 sqq.

20. τοῦτοις σως οι τοῦτ' ἴσως Π², τοῦτοις Π¹, but here Π¹ omit the last syllable, as (e. g.) in 1276 b 20 (κοινῶν for κοινωνῶν) and 1335 b 35 (ὧς for ὧστε).

1284 a 5. παρασχέσθαι Π² Bekk., παρέχεσθαι M³ P¹ Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. exhiberi what was the reading in Γ. For other variations of a similar nature see Susemihl's apparatus criticus on 1260 b 36, 1267 a 35, 1332 b 1, and 1317 a 36.

γάρ δή δοκοῦσι διώκειν Π², ιιὖται γάρ δή διώκειν Μ², and probably Γ, though Vet. Int. has hae enim utique persecuntur, ταύτας γάρ δεῖ διώκειν P¹, where ταύτας and δεῖ are in all likelihood conjectural emendations of Demetrius Chalcondylas, the writer of the MS., intended to remedy the flaw caused by the omission of δοκοῦσι in the archetype of Π¹. 37. τῶ P¹ ²³, τὸ the other MSS. and Γ (Vet. Int. scilicet prohibere). κολούειν P¹ and marg. P² ³: κωλύειν the rest and Γ. 41. παρὰ Π¹ P⁴, the rest περὶ.

1284 b 11. 71 om. II1, but see critical note on 1288 a 16. 18. μονάρχους Π² Bekk., μονάρχας Π¹ Sus. (Vet. Int. monarchas). 20. evivvero P234: evivero Bekk, Sus. with the rest. 29. γάρ δή Vet. Int. enim, as in 1328 a 5 and probably in 1303 a 11. 31. afioler P1 Π2, αξιοίμεν Γ (Vet. Int. velimus), αξιοί μεν Me. 32. Snep toike Vet. Int. adds et before videtur, his equivalent for foire, but see critical notes on 1252 a 25, 1262 a 29, and 1264 a 9. ði Π3 Bekk. Sus.. δεῖ δη Γ Ma P123. **41**. ἐν τὸ Π² Bekk., ἔν τι Π¹ Sus. (Vet. Int. unum aliquod genus). αὐτῆς Π1 Bekk. Sus., αὐτῶν Π2. . 1285 a 6. τους om. Ms P1: the reading of Γ is uncertain. Π1 often omit the article. 8. αὐτοκρατόρων II Bekk.1: the translation of Vet. Int. is ducatus quidam exercitus imperialis, and hence Vict. Schn. Bekk.² and Sus. read αὐτοκράτωρ, though it is just possible that imperialis is in the genitive in agreement with exercitus and represents αὐτοκρατόρων. See explanatory note on 1285a 7. 9. ἔν τινι βασιλεία] Leonardus Aretinus does not translate these words. His rendering is (MS. Ball, 242), vitae enim necisque alicuius non habet polestatem, nisi dum bellum gerit, ut etiam apud antiquos fuisse videtur. Nor does Giph. translate in time Basileig. Bekk. brackets the Sus. and Bernays bracket Barikeig, and it is true that the word may easily have been repeated from Baoileía two lines above. but on the whole I incline to retain it (see the explanatory note for my interpretation of the passage). Bywater would read evera deshias in place of in time Barileia, while Jackson would omit these words and transpose in rais modemunis ifodois to take their place (Sus.4). 10. έν χειρός νόμφ Vet. Int. promptus potens lege, but z and pr. a omit promptus, which is probably an alternative reading for potens, the equivalent for eyxerpos r. In just the same way in 1283 a q two alternative renderings of speirror (melior and valentior) have together found their way into the text of Vet. Int. δ γάρ ἀγαμέμνων Π² Bekk.: ἀγαμέμνων γὰρ M⁶ P¹ Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. Agamemnon enim which reading he found in r. The reading of II2 is probably right, the Agamemnon of the epic being referred to: Bywater adds του before Eκτορος in Eth. Nic. 7. 1. 1145 a 20. Cp. C. 16. 1287 b 14, where Π have τοῦ 'Αγαμέμνονος. 12. γοῦν Π' Bekk. Sus. (except P4, which has οὖν), γὰρ Π1. So in 1339 b 21 Π2 have γοῦν 13. μάχης νοήσω pr. P1, μάχης νοήσω corr. P1 (in the and II1 vào. same ink as the MS.): Vet. Int. quem ego videro fugientem e proelio. Here Γ P¹ appear to complete the sense from a gloss. πλησίαν παραπλησίως P46 etc. pr. P2. 19. τυραννίσιν, είσι δέ και κατά Sus., τυραννίσι καὶ κατά Γ Ma, τυραννίσι κατά PI and a corrector of P2 in paler ink than the MS., rupann and after a lacuna karà P3 Dr. P², τυραννικήν είσι δ' δμως κατά P^{4 6} L⁸ O Mb Ub (as to these MSS. see critical note on 1253 a 12), τυραννική, είσι δ' όμως κατά Ald. Bekk. A short lacuna evidently existed in the archetype of the best MSS., and this has been filled up (perhaps conjecturally) in P46 L8 Q Mb 20. ήθη | τθνη Π⁴. 24. πάτριαι Π² Bekk.: πάτριοι M³ P¹ Ub. Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. patria which reading he found in Γ. See critical note on 1285 b 5. 25. την αὐτην Π: τοιαύτην οι την τοιαύτην Γ (Vet. Int. talem). 35. μιτυληναίοι Π. The MSS. have μιτυλήνη, μιτυληναίοι in almost every passage of Aristotle's writings in which these words occur: Rhet. 2. 23. 1398 b 12, however, is an exception, for there the best MSS, have μυτιληναίοι. Pr. P3 has μυτιλήνην in 1304 a 4. Πιττακόν φιττακόν Π1 and also in 38 and 39. In 1274 b 18 all MSS. have mirrards. The form **ФІТТАК**ОС appears on imperial coins of Mytilene (Head, Hist. Num. p. 488). Φ sometimes takes the place of Π in Attic inscriptions; thus in some of them we find the form papelines in place of παοθένος (Meisterhans, Gramm. der att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 79). As to the same confusion in MSS. see Mr. T. W. Allen in Journ. Hell. Studies, 15. 299. 36. pvyádas The reading profugas in Vet. Int. is probably right, for a stands alone in reading profuges (z has profugas): cp. 1303 a 35. 39. του κακοπάτριδα Πιττακου κ.τ.λ.] See explanatory note.

1285 b 2. διὰ μὲν τὸ δεσποτικαὶ εἶναι τυραννικαί] So Sus. after Sepulveda: διὰ μὲν τὸ τυραννικαὶ εἶναι δεσποτικαί ΓΠ. Sepulveda's note is (p. 99), 'herilia, quoniam tyrannica. Sic legitur in graecis exemplaribus quaecunque mihi videre contigit, sed lectio videtur esse transposita, quae commodius habitura videretur, si sic esset—tyrannica, quoniam herilia.' There is little doubt that he is right: compare the corresponding sentence 1285 a 23 sq. and also 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 15 sqq.

5. ἐκούσιαί τε καὶ πάτριαι Π² Bekk.: ἐκούσιοί τε

(Ms ἐκούσίοι τε) καὶ πάτριοι Ms P1 Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. voluntariae et patriae which reading he found in F. The fem. form έκούσιος is more commonly used in Aristotle's writings than έκουσία (in 1313 a 5 all MSS. have invoiced doxin), and this is the case in Greek literature generally (Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. Blass, 1. 537), but Kb has exoveras in Eth. Nic. 3. 7. 1114 b 24, rai al nanias enouvosos du eleu, and the fem. enouvoias occurs in Plato, Rep. 603 C, and έκουσία in Plato, Laws 925 A. The fem. form πατρία is also less often used in Aristotle's writings than πάτριος (in 1285a 33 all MSS. have πάτριος), but in 7 (5). 5. 1305 a 28 all MSS. have τη̂ς πατρίας δημοκρατίας. Plato uses the fem. πάτριαι in Laws 759 A. 7. πορίσαι | Vet. Int. emerunt, which probably represents πρίασθαι, a misreading or mistake in Γ for πορίσαι. 10. θυσιών οὐσιών Π¹, and so in 16 ovoice II1 for ovoice, readings which indicate that the archetype of these MSS, was written in uncial characters (see Sus.1 p. xiv. and critical notes on 1271 a 27 and b 25). τασις P² Ald, corr. P³, ἐπανάστασις M⁶ P^{1 4} pr. P³: we cannot be sure from Vet. Int. elevatio which reading he found in r, but perhaps he may have found ἐπανάτασις, for in 1302 b 33 he renders ἐπανάστασις 13. καὶ τὰ κατὰ πόλιν | See explanatory note. Me adds al πάτριαι and P1 al πάτριοι before οὐσίαι, which wrongly takes the place of θυσίαι in II1, and Vet. Int. has patriae substantiae: al πάτριοι (or πάτριαι) is omitted in Π2 Bekk. That the ἄρχων βασιλεύς at Athens, who was more or less the representative of the ancient kings, had to do with πάτριοι θυσίαι, we see from 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 57: cp. Plato, Polit. 200 E. But whether these two words are rightly added by II1 before ovoice is doubtful. The language of Plutarch in Quaest. Rom. c. 63 makes rather in favour of their omissionέπει δ' οὐκ έμετρίαζον (οί βασιλείς) άλλ' ήσαν ὑπερήφανοι και βαρείς, των μέν Ελλήνων οι πλείστοι την έξουσίαν αὐτῶν περιελόμενοι μόνον τὸ θύειν τοῖς θεοῖς aπελιπου—but Π2 occasionally omit words or parts of words wrongly (these MSS., for instance, are undoubtedly wrong in omitting $\mu \hat{\eta}$ in 1335 b 25 and in reading at for airia in 1304 b 6), and I incline on the whole to accept the reading of II1 here, though it is no doubt possible that al πάτριοι is a gloss. 22. ωρισμένοις Γ P1, the rest ώρισμένων. τε is added after στρατηγός in M. P1, and possibly was added in r also, but this is uncertain, for, as usual, Vet. Int. gives no rendering for it. 33. πλειόνων Γ P1 corr. P2 8 (i. e. corrections in P23 in the same ink as the MSS.): πλείονος M6 Π2. 36. παμβασιλείας βασιλείας Π1, but Π1 occasionally omit the first VOL. III. Н

syllable of words, e.g. in 1342 b 32 (διάνοιαν for παιδείαν οἷον) and 1273 a 10 (τάξαντα for τὰ δόξαντα). 39. μέρος Π¹ P²⁸ Bekk., αἵρεσιν P⁴⁶ L⁴ Sus. 'Κατὰ μέρος fortasse corruptum in Pol. 3. 15. 1285 b 39' (Bon. Ind. 456 a 24).

1286 a 1. ποτε is added after πότερον in Π1 (Vet. Int. quidem. which represents more, for mûs more is rendered qualiter quidem in 1276 a 17). It is probably a blundered dittography of πότερου. 9. δοκοῦσι the third Basle edition of Aristotle, followed by Bekker: δοκεί Γ II Sus., who prefers (with Göttling) to correct of νόμοι, the reading of ΓΠ in the next line, to ὁ νόμος. Either change may be right: that of Bekker avoids the transition from νόμων, q, to δ νόμος. 10. and then back to νόμους in 15, but it may be urged on the other hand that there is a similar transition from vóμοι to νόμος in 12. καὶ καὶ πως Γ P¹ Sus., καὶ πῶς M⁸. Is not 1202 a 32 SQ. πῶς; a marginal query by some perplexed reader which has crept into the text? 13. τετρήμερον P1 Π2 Bekk., τριήμερον Γ Ms Sus. In 1304 b 12 and 1305 b 27 II wrongly substitute τριακοσίων and τριακοσίοις for τετρακοσίων and τετρακοσίοις, an error which occurs also in the MSS. of Lysias Or. 30. 8 and elsewhere. On the other hand, in 1258 b 27 II1 have réraprov in place of rpirov. In Hist. An. 5. 19. 553 a 10 all Bekker's MSS. have the form τετραήμερου. 14. αὐτοῦ Γ Sus. (Vet. Int. in suo periculo), αὐτῶ Mª, αὐτοῦ the rest and Bekker. 25. πάντας Π¹ P⁴: πάνυ P² 8 6. 30. κρίνει] κρίνειν M⁸ Π³. See critical notes on 1280 b 4 and 1283 a 7. δργισθήναι Vet. Int. impelu ferri. He may have misread δργισθήναι as δρμηθήναι (see Schn.). 38. el δè δή Vet. Int. si autem, which may possibly stand for el δè δή: cp. 1292 b 32 and 1295 a 34. τοῦτο μὴ Π² Bekk.: μὴ τοῦτο Π¹ Sus. See critical note on 1280 a 15. 1286 b 1. δε πάντες δ' ανδρες Γ Ms. 7. όμοίους δμοίως Γ Π3 (Vet. Int. similiter). 10. olkovvras Vet. Int. habitabant, but Vet. Int. sometimes substitutes the indicative for the participle, e.g. in 1329 b 4, where he has lege statuit for νομοθετήσαντος, in 1333 a 18, where he has potest for δυνάμενον, and in 1305 a 24, where he has seditionem movit for oragiágas. 14. γιγνόμενοι γενόμενοι Με Ρ1: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. facti whether Γ had γενόμενοι or 15. Vet. Int. does not translate ποθεν, but neither does he translate που in 1288 a 25. 17. μετέβαλλου Me Πe Vat. Pal. Bekk. and probably Γ (Vet. Int. transmutabantur): μετέβαλον P1 Bekk. Sus. 21. ετι] Vet. Int. iam (ήδη Γ?). 24. ὁποῖοί r P1 Julian (ep. ad Themist. p. 261 A) and corr. P2, ontoto Vat. Pal.,

όποιοι Ma, όποιόν Pa Ald. and pr. Pa, όποιων Pa La Ob Tb. 25. rois 29. avror the third Basle edition of Aristotle, avror ΓΠ. [7] ħ Γ P^{1 4}, η Vat. Pal., ħ the rest.

81. καὶ is added before κατὰ in Π², but omitted in Π¹ Vat. Pal.

82. αὐτοῦ Γ Bekk. Sus. (Vet. Int. suam voluntatem), αὐτοῦ Π. 33. φυλάξει P4 Ald.. φυλάξεται Mª P1, φυλάξαι P3 etc. Vat. Pal.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. custodiet whether he found φυλάξει or φυλάξεται in Γ, for τοὺς φυλαττομένους in 1331 a 16 is rendered custodientes by Vet. Int., while in 1285 a 26 φυλάττουσιν is rendered custodiunt. 36. ikáotov Vet. Int. ea quae singulorum, where singulorum may possibly represent έκάστων, not έκάστου, for singularum represents έκάστων in 1337a 20 and singulis έκάστως in 1295 a 38. Did Vet. Int. find την added before έκάστων (or έκάστου) in Γ? 40, συρακοσιοις Vat. Pal.: συρακουσίοιs all other MSS, except P4, which has συρακουσίος. Rhet. 2. 6. 1384 b 16 Ac has συρακοσίους (συρακουσίους Yb Zb, συρραrovoíous Q). In Pol. 7 (5). 3. 1303 a 38, however, all MSS. have συρακούσιοι, and in 7 (5). 10. 1312 b 8 the MSS. have συρακούσιοι, except pr. P3, which has συρακόσιοι. We know from inscriptions (Meisterhans, pp. 21, 75, ed. 2) and from coins that Συρακόσιοι is the correct form.

1287 a 4. πολιτείας Victorius and Camerarius (Interp. p. 138), followed by Bekker and Sus.: Barileias PII Vat. Pal. Julian (Ep. ad Themist. p. 261 A) appears to have read Bartheias, for he says, έξης δε περί του κατά ιόμον λεγομένου βασιλέως διεξελθών, ος έστιν υπηρέτης καὶ φύλαξ τῶν νόμων, καὶ τοῦτον οὐδὲ βασιλέα καλῶν οὐδὲ τὸ τοιοῦτον είδος (SC. βασιλείας?) υζόμενος. 9. πάντα Π Vat. Pal.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. principatur omnibus whether he found πάντα οτ πάντων in Γ: πάντων Julian, Sus., παν Cod. Voss. of Julian (according to Hertlein). 10. ἐαυτοῦ Π: αὐτοῦ Julian, αὐτοῦ Hertlein. See explanatory note. Vet. Int. adds dicendum after & Barilei's from a gloss λεκτέον which appears in P2. δέ om. Julian. τὸ add. Julian after οὐδὲ. 11. τὸ κύριον ενα πάγτων είναι τῶν πολιτῶν $P^1\Pi^2$: τὸ κύριον είναι πάντων τῶν πολιτών ένα Γ Ma. οπου-ή πόλις om. Julian. 13. καὶ --- φύσιν om. 15. 7à Sus. following the better MSS., 7ò Bekk. following P4 and others of the less good MSS. 18. τοίνυν om. Π1 but not Vat. Pal. odder P II Vat. Pal. Bekk.: oddera Bernays, Sus. 28. όμοίων Π1 Bekk. Sus.: όμοίως Π2. 25. άλλ' ἐπίτηδες παιδεύσας ό νόμος εφίστησι] So II Vat. Pal. (εφιστησιν Vat. Pal.): παιδεύσας om. Ms P1: Vet. Int. sed universale lex instituit, which probably stands for αλλά το καθόλου ο νόμος εφίστησι, though εφιστάναι is

not once rendered by Vet. Int. instituere in the Politics (it is rendered insistere in 1336 b 25). Τὸ καθόλου may probably have been a gloss in Γ (Sus.3); the words ἐπίτηδες παιδεύσας were either wanting in r or left untranslated by Vet. Int. 27. Vet. Int. adds omnia before his equivalent for ἐπανορθοῦσθαι. He has dant for δίδωσιν and may have found διδόασιν in his Greek text, but he is not always faithful to the number which he finds in his Greek text (e.g. in 1338 b 11 and 1296 a 34, 35). 8 71 av 8687] Vet. Int. quodcunque videbitur, as in 1318 a 28. The more usual equivalent is quodcunque videatur. 28. Π Vat. Pal. have δ μέν ουν τον νόμον κελεύων άρχειν δοκεί κελεύειν άρχειν τον θεον και τους νόμους. Vet. Int. qui quidem intellectum iubet principari videtur iubere principari deum et leges (thus he fails to render our and seems to have found νοῦν in r in the place of νόμον). The Vossian MS. of Julian has δ μεν οὖν τον νόμον κελεύων ἄρχειν τον θεον καὶ τον νοῦν μόνους: thus it wrongly omits δοκεί κελεύειν άρχειν and has (no doubt rightly) του νοῦν μόνους in place of τους νόμους. 30. η τε γὰρ ἐπιθυμία κ.τ.λ.] Vet. Int. quando enim concupiscentia tale et furor principatum habuerit (or habuit), tandem et optimos viros interimet, representing possibly ότε γαρ επιθυμία τοιούτον καὶ δ θυμός άρχον, τέλος καὶ τούς άρίστους ἄνδρας διαφθερεί (or διαφθείρει, for Vet. Int. sometimes renders the present by the future, e.g. in 1281a 19). Me has o re in place of # re, as r apparently had ore. See next note. αρχοντας διαστρέφει και τους αρίστους ανδρας Π2 Vat. Pal. Bekk.: αρχοντας καὶ τοὺς ἀρίστους ἄνδρας διαφθείρει $M^8\,P^1$ (except that pr. P^1 has φθείρει) Sus. As to Vet. Int. see preceding note. In 1336 a 10 the correct reading $\delta_{ia\sigma\tau\rho\acute{e}\phi\epsilon\sigma\theta ai}$ has been corrupted in Π^1 into διαφέρεσθαι, and διαστρέφει appears to have been corrupted in these MSS, here in a somewhat similar way. Julian has καὶ δ θυμός διαστρέφει και τους αρίστους πυδρας, omitting αρχοντας, but otherwise agreeing with Π². 32. νοῦς ὁ νόμος Π²: ὁ νοῦς νόμος M⁶ Vat. Pal. Julian pr. P1: we cannot be sure from Vet. Int. intellectus lex est which reading he found in r. 34. kal om. Vat. Pal. possibly rightly: it is bracketed by Sus. But see explanatory note. enipeiar Vet. Int. affectum. He does not understand the word. In Rhet. 2. 2. 1378 b 14, 18 and 2. 4. 1382 a 2 ἐπηρεασμός is rendered epireasmus, and in Rhet. 2. 2. 1378 b 17 6 innpealor qui epireazit. 39. πιστευθέντας Π Vat. Pal. Bekk.1: πεισθέντας Schn. Bekk.2 Sus. and perhaps Γ. Vet. Int. has persuasos ab inimicis for πιστευθέντας τοις έχθοοις, and this probably represents πεισθέντας τοις έχθροις, for

πιστευθέντες is represented elsewhere in the Politics by crediti (1305 a 22, 28) or credibiles facti (1310 b 16), and nowhere by persuasi, while πεισθώσιν is represented by persuadeantur in 1332 b 7.

1287 b 4. γàρ Γ Π Vat. Pal.: δὲ Thurot, Sus. 6. δστ' εἰ Π¹ Vat. Pal. (Sore el Ma), Eucken, Sus., Sore Π2 Bekk. See Eucken, De Partic. Usu, p. 64. 8. πολλά om. Π³ pr. P³. 14. ἐργομέτω P1 П2 Bekk. Sus.: гохонетов Г М1 Vat. Pal. (Vet. Int. simul duobus venientibus). 17. ye is added in Me P1 Vat. Pal. and possibly was added in Γ , though Vet. Int. does not render it, for he seldom renders ye. duvaros Vet. Int. potuit, but see above on 1286 b 10. 18. ως—κρίνειεν is placed in II2 wrongly before έπεὶ, 17. 19. έπειδη Π² Vat. Pal. Bekk.: ἐπεὶ M⁸ P¹: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. quoniam which reading he found in Γ. 22. νομοθετήσαι II Vat. Pal. Bekk.: νενομοθετήσθαι Coray and possibly Γ (Vet. Int. lege statuta esse), but this is not certain, for Vet. Int. often renders the active by 26. τ' ΐσως Π¹ Vat. Pal. Sus.: δ' ΐσως Π² Bekk. the passive. 29. μόναρχοι Π² Vat. Pal. 27. δυοίν See explanatory note. Bekk.: μονάρχαι II1 Sus. 30. αὐτῶν Morelius, Bekk., Sus., and perhaps Γ (Vet. Int. sibi): αὐτῶν Π. τῆ ἀρχῆ τῆς ἀρχῆς Casaubon, Richards, probably rightly (cp. 33). 31. autou Sus., autou I II Bekk.1, autois Bekk.2 The Aldine edition of the Scholia Graeca in Aristophanem, in quoting ατοπον, 26 - συνάρχους, 31, on Acharn. 92 (ed. Didot, p. 300), gives aurois, but this quotation may have been interpolated by the editor of the Aldine, the Cretan Marcus Musurus, as to whose interpolations in these Scholia see Dindorf's preface in Didot's edition of them, p. iv. In that case this reading would no doubt be a conjectural emendation by Musurus. As to αύτοῦ, see explanatory note. 38. δεσποστόν Sylburg, Bekk.: δεσποτόν Π2 Vat. Pal.: δεσποτικόν Π1 Sus. (two MSS. of the Vet. Int., however, a z, have despotum). Compare 1324 b 39, where P46 L6 have δεσποτικών and the other MSS. δεσποτών, the true reading being δεσποστών. και άλλο βασιλευτόν H2 Vat. Pal. Bekk.: om. Π1 (καὶ άλλο βασιλικόν Sus.). 39. Richards would add τοῦτο after 41. τà is added before παρά φύσιν in Π1 Vat. Pal. δίκαιον. (Vet. Int. quae praeter naturam).

1288 a 6. ήδη om. Π¹, but these MSS. occasionally omit ήδη: see critical note on 1268 b 21. 9, z has aristocratica autem multitudo for ἀριστοκρατικὸν δὶ πλήθος (with rec. b), whereas all the other MSS. of Vet. Int. which have been examined have aristocratia.

10. Vict. and Bekk.² bracket πλήθος δ πέφυκε φίρειν, but then the

rulers in an aristocracy cease to be a part of τὸ ἀριστοκρατικὸν πληθος. Sus. prefers to bracket πληθος ἄρχεσθαι δυνάμενον, but this course is open to the same objection. In reality the ἀριστοκρατικὸν πληθος includes both rulers and ruled, as we see from c. 18. 1288 a 35 sqq. 12. ήγεμονικών Vet. Int. praesidibus, which stands for ήγεμόνων (see 1303 b 28, 1305 a 40, 1313 b 29, and 1316 b 18), but it is possible that Vet. Int. mistook the reading in Γ and that this was ἡγεμονικῶν, 13. Π^2 add καὶ ἐν before ἐγγίνεσθαι, but Π^1 Vat. Pal. Sus. omit kal ev, Vat. Pal. reading evylyveodal. Bekk. brackets kal έν, and Spengel and Bekk.2 bracket πληθος έν & πέφυκε καὶ έν έγγίνεσθαι, but a πληθος which is a fit subject for Polity is not necessarily exclusively composed of πολεμικοί: it is rather under the sway of πολεμικοί (CD. C. 7. 1279 b 2, διόπερ κατά ταύτην την πολιτείαν κυριώτατον τὸ προπολεμοῦν). Καὶ ἐν (ἐν?) is probably an intimation that the copyist found ενχίνεσθαι in the text which he was copying in addition to έγγίνεσθαι. πολεμικόν Π2 Bekk. Sus., πολιτικόν Π1 Vat. Pal. Πολιτικόν probably comes from the preceding line. ἄρχεσθαι καὶ ἄρχειν Π^2 Vat. Pal. Bekk., ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι Γ Pt Sus., καὶ ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι M^n . See critical note on 1277 b 14. 15. εὐπόροις Π^1 Bekk. Sus., ἀπόροις II2 Vat. Pal. ή γένος P1 II2 Bekk.: ή γένος Γ M8: η γένος Sus. τῶν ἄλλων should probably follow, not precede, ἔνα τινὰ: the two words may, however, have been placed where they stand in order to avoid the hiatus in ή καὶ ἔνα τινὰ τῶν ἄλλων, or they may be repeated (a common blunder) from των άλλων, 17. 16. τινά om. II¹, but see critical notes on 1273 b 27, 1279 b 15, 1283 b 2, 1284 b 22. πάντη II1, if Vet. Int. penilus stands for 11, and 1324 a 37. πάντη, παντηι Vat. Pal., πάντη Sus.: πάντες Π2 Bekk. **24**. ἀλλὰ καὶ r Schn. Sus. (Vet. Int. immo, which represents άλλά καὶ in 1282 a 20 -a fact which has hitherto escaped notice): ἀλλὰ M⁶ P¹ Π² Vat. Pal. 27. την om. Ma Pa Qb Tb and possibly r, followed by Bekker. rouror om. II1, but see critical note on 1257 b 24. 89. της πόλεως της αρίστης H[®] Vat. Pal.: της αρίστης πόλεως M[®] P¹ and probably Γ (Vet. Int. optimae civitatis). So in 1260 b 23 Π2 have της πολιτείας της αρίστης, while Mo Pi and probably Γ have της αρίστης πολιτείας, and in 1331 a 5 H2 have rais olkhosos rais leiais, while Mo P1 and probably Γ have rais ίδίαις ολκήσεσι. It should be noticed that in 1288 b 3 II Vat. Pal. have περί της πολιτείας ήδη πειρατέον λέγειν της 40. διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν z has per eadem rightly (with g alone of the MSS. of Vet. Int. collated by Sus.): all the other MSS. have per eandem.

1288 b 3. δὲ Π, δὴ Vat. Pal. perhaps rightly: Conring had already conjectured δὴ. 5. ἀνάγκη δὴ τὸν μέλλοντα περὶ αὐτῆς ποιήσασθαι τὴν προσήκουσαν σκέψω, which is evidently a slightly different version of the opening words of the Fourth (old Seventh) Book, is added by Γ Π Vat. Pal. at the end of the Third, except that P^{4 δ} L⁸ omit τὸν μέλλοντα and substitute γὰρ for δὴ. In one of the best MSS. of the Metaphysics, Ab, the closing words of one Book are often repeated in the opening words of the next: see Christ's preface to his edition of the Metaphysics, p. vii.

BOOK IV (VII).

1288 b 5. See critical note at the close of the Third Book.

1323 a 16. πρώτον P1 Π2 Bekk : πρότερον Γ M8 Sus. 20. όμολογείσθαι Π: Vet. Int. confessum esse, but he may probably have found δμολογείσθαι, not ωμολογήσθαι, in his Greek text (compare his renderings in 1262 b 6, where he has arbitratus est for oura, and 29. παραπετομένας | Vet. Int. 1273 b 30). 27. χρή om. Π². volantes, but this may probably stand for παραπετομένας, not πετομένας, for he has dicens for προειπών in 1338 a 26, pascentes for επινέμοντας in 1305 a 26, peccare for diapapráveir in 1338 a 41, 1288 b 37, and 1297 a 7. laborare for διαπονείν in 1339 a 8 and 1341 b 22, and labores for φιλοπονίαιs in 1338 b 25, to mention no other examples. 30. τοῦ is added after ἡ in MoP1: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. comedere vel bibere whether he found this rou in I. δέ καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν διάνοιαν οῦτως ἄφρονα | Vet. Int. similiter autem et quae circa prudentiam se habent, neque enim beatificant sic imprudentem, where Sus. thinks that the translator has rendered a gloss. 40. διαλαμβάνειν Π² Bekk.¹: διαβαίνειν Π¹ (Vet. Int. provenire should probably be pervenire, the reading of Sus., which no doubt stands for διαβαίνειν, though διαβέβηκεν in 1272 b 21 is rendered transivit): λαμβάνειν Lamb. Bekk.2 Sus. See explanatory note on 1323 a 39.

1328 b 6. οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ Γ (Vet. Int. quin immo) Π²: οὐ μὴν M³ pr. P¹. 8. &ν] Vet. Int. eorum, which perhaps should be eorum quorum, or simply quorum. 9. αὐτῶν om. Π¹. Αὐτοῦ is omitted by Π¹ in 1301 a 8. 15. εῖληφε H² Bekk.¹: εῖληχε Π¹ Bekk.² Sus. 17. τιμιώτερον] Vet. Int. pretiosior: see critical note on 1267 b 1. 23. συνωμολογημένον] z has confessum with all the MSS. of Vet. Int. except a, and this is probably the correct

reading: Sus. follows a, which has concessum. See critical note on 27. τοῦτ' Γ in place of ταῦτ', the reading of Π (Vet. 1323 a 20. 41. ἐκάστω P² Bekk.: ἐκάστου the rest, except that P4 Int. hoc). Vb Ald. and pr. Ps Sb omit και χωρις εκάστου (οτ εκάστω): έκάστου Sus. 1324 & 10. 8001 Te All the MSS. of Vet. Int. except z have quicunque: z quicunque autem. See critical notes on 1330 b 4, 1332 & 42. and 1336 a 5. 12. εἶ τέ τις Vet. Int. si etiam aliquis, where τε is rendered (it is not often rendered by Vet. Int.) and rendered by etiam, as in 1327 a 25. 14. πότερος πότερον Γ (Vet. Int. utrum): M[®] has a contraction which may represent πότερον (see Sus.¹). 22. vào is omitted in r P4 6 L8 Bekk. See critical note on 1272 b 31, λέγω δὲ δύο We expect λέγω δὲ τοὺς δύο (cp. 1307 a 10, λέγω δὲ τὰ δύο δημον καὶ όλιγαρχίων). The natural meaning of λέγω δὲ δύο surely is 'and I call the political and the philosophical lives two.' There was a question whether these lives were two or only one (cp. 28 sq. and Plato, Gorg. 500 D), but this can hardly be Aristotle's meaning here. Should rove be added before 800? Spengel Sus., 74 M5 P1 H2 Bekk. Vet. Int., as usual, does not render 35. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ καστον and την πολιτείαν Π^2 Bekk.. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ κάστω and τη πολιτεία

37. ruds om. II1, but see critical note on 1288 a 16. 1324 b 3. $\pi a \rho'$ evious $\kappa. \tau. \lambda$. Π^2 omit $\kappa a \lambda$ before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$, which I add with Congreve (P46 omit δρος also): παρ' ένίοις δ' οὖτος καὶ τῶν νόμων και της πολιτείας όρος Γ Ma Sus.: παρ' ενίοις δ' ούτος και της 8. πολέμους Π2 Bekk. Sus.: πολεμίους πολιτείας δρος των νόμων Ρ1. 18. απεκταγκότα and 18. απεκταγκότι] In 16 P15 have απεκτονότα, pr. P3 (correxit margo recens) απεκτανκότα, P46 απεκτακότα, Mª ἐπταικότα, and in 18 Mª P146 have ἀπεκτακότι, pr. P3 (correxit recens) απεκτανκότι, P⁸ απεκτονότι: P² has the forms given in the text (Sus.3). It is not absolutely certain that ἀπεκτακότα and ἀπεκτακότι should not be read, for we find απεκτακότες in Polyb. 11. 18. 10 and απεκτακώς in some MSS. in Polyb. 3. 86. 10, but the authority of P2 8 is in favour of difference and difference, and απεκτάγκασι occurs in Menand. Μισούμενος, Fragm. 8 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 173) and ἀπεκταγκώς in some MSS. (followed by Hultsch) in Polyb. 3. 86. 10 (see Kühner, Ausf. gr. Gr., ed. Blass, 2. 468, and Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective, p. 395). Cp. Moeris (ed. Bekk. p. 189), απέκτονεν Αττικοί, απέκταγκεν Ελληνες. 20. καταπηγεύουσι] Vet. Int. commassant, which probably represents καταπυκνούσι: he may have misread καταπηγεύουσι. 28. άλλά... δρώμεν om. Π^1 . 30. τὸ $\hat{\eta}$ πείσαι $\hat{\eta}$ βιάσασθαι Π^2 , τὸ πείσαι $\hat{\eta}$ τὸ lúσασθαι M[®] P¹ and probably Γ (Vet. Int. suasisse aut sanasse); but see critical notes on 1282 a 17 and 1298 b 32. 37. δεσπόζον and οὐ δεσποστόν Γ Π Bekk. Giph. (p. 893) δεσποστόν and οὐ δεσποστόν: Stahr, Sus. δεσποστόν and οὐ δεσποστόν, probably rightly. 39. δεσποστών Lamb. (also a recent correction in P⁸, but this corrector probably reproduces the conjecture of Lamb.: cp. 1332 a 41): Sepulveda had already translated the words iis duntaxat qui tali imperio sunt idonei, and Segni, à chi è atto à servire: δεσποτικών P^{4,6} L⁶, δεσποτών the rest (Vet. Int. despotibus).

1325 a. 5. δήλον ἄρα ὅτι P4 Bekk. Sus.: δήλον ὅτι ἄρα P128 Sb Vb. δηλονότι ἄρα M⁸, and these MSS. may possibly be right: I would follow them if I was aware of any parallel to this use of δηλονότε. 8. τοῦ before σπουδαίου om. M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ. κιμάζουσι] z has reprobant, not reprobrant as Sus. (is this a misprint?). 25. τό P3 Bekk. Sus., τῶ M8 P124, τῷ Ald. 28. δεσποτείαν] δεσποτικήν P1 and possibly Γ, for a c have despoticam, z despoticiam, and b despociam: despotica, however, represents degnoreia in 1253 b 19. 29. αὐτὸ τὸ corr. 1 P2 (i.e. the scribe of P2 in the same ink as the MS.). αὖ τὸ Γ P14, αὐτὸ M8 Ald., αὐτῶ P3 pr. P2. 36. ὥστε οὐ δεί] Sus. reads e conj. deiv in place of dei, but cp. 2. 12. 1274 a 5, where the indirecta oratio is similarly abandoned, though Aristotle is describing the 39. υπολογίζειν, the third Basle edition of views of others. Aristotle, followed by Sus. Sb, a MS. of very little authority, which Bekker follows, has ὑπολογεῖν: all the other MSS. ὑπολογεῖν. The existence of the word imologeiv is doubtful, for in Theopomp. Com., Inc. Fab. Fragm. 31 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 822: 5. cxx) the true reading is not ὑπολογεῖν but ὑπολέγειν. The active ὑπολοvices is, however, extremely rare (see Liddell and Scott, s.v.). Richards doubts imologically, especially with a genitive, and suggests that ὑπόλογον ἔχειν should be read in place of it.

1325 b 3. ψεῦδος is not rendered by Vet. Int. 7. ἐν is added before μέρει by Thurot and Sus. 20. αὐτῶν Vict. Bekk. Sus.: αὐτῶν Γ Π. 22. καὶ before πράττειν om. Π¹ and Julian, Ep. ad Themist. p. 263 D, but Π¹ often omit καί, and other errors occur in Julian's quotation. 37. γενέσθαι] Vet. Int. fore, but this probably stands for γενέσθαι, as esse stands for γενέσθαι in 1288 a 16. συμμέτρον] Vet. Int. moderata: elsewhere σύμμετρος is rendered by commensuratus (1327 b 6, 1298 b 25). Συμμετρία is always rendered by commensuratio. Moderatus represents μέτριος in 1267 b 13, moderatius μετριώτερον in 1313 a 20, and moderate μετρίως in 1315 b 15, so that

moderata may stand for μετρίας here, though μέτριος is more usually rendered by mediocris. 38. προϋποτεθεῖσθαι] Vet. Int. praesupponi, just as he renders διηρῆσθαι by dividi in 1330 a 24, διωρίσθαι by distingui in 1330 b 15, and κατεσκευάσθαι by constitui in 1331 b 10.

1826 a 2. αὖτη Π¹ Sus. : αὐτὴ Π² Bekk. 6. πόσους τε Vet. Int. quos quot, but perhaps two alternative readings have here together 7. Sonv II Bekk.1 (Vet. Int. found their way into the text. quantam may stand for either δσην or πόσην): πόσην Sylburg, Bekk.2, Sus.: see explanatory note on 1326 a 5. 10. mola is added before μικρά in Γ M⁸ Bekk. Sus. : om. P¹ Π². 14. ointéou om. Π^1 . ποιητέον Camerarius (Interp. p. 279), Bekk.2, Sus.: οἰητέον Γ Π. 21. μορίων Π² Bekk.: μερών M⁸ P¹ Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. partibus which reading he found in Γ. Μερών in M⁸ P¹ may be repeated from μέρος in the preceding line: this kind of error occurs not infrequently in Π¹. **25**. ἀλλὰ μὴν Π² Bekk.: οὐ μὴν άλλὰ Π¹ Sus. (Vet. Int. non solum sed). 29. τε om. M⁸ P¹: the reading in I is uncertain, for Vet. Int. seldom translates Te. 34. πόλις P¹ II²: πόλιν Γ M⁸ Bekk. Sus. See explanatory note. 36. πόλεως Π1 Sus. : πόλεσι Η2 Bekk.

1326 b 4. ἐν τοῖς μέν P2 3 4 etc. Bekk.: ἐν μέν τοῖς Mª P1 Ald. 12. ράδιον All MSS. of Vet. Int. but z have facile est, but Sus. 19. ἐν τῆ πολυανθρωπία τῆ λίαν Vet. Int. in ea quae valde multorum hominum (ἐν τῆ πολυανθρώπω τῆ λίαν Γ?). I doubt, however, whether Vet. Int. found in his Greek text a different reading from that which we have in the MSS., for in 1302 b 28 he has eos qui sine ordine et sine principatu sor the atagias kai avapulas, and in 1310 b 21 he has conditores populi et prospectores for ràs δημιουργίας καὶ τὰς θεωρίας. He seems now and then to allow himself a little laxity in his rendering of substantives in -la. 82. τον ορον om. P34 pr. P2, but erroneous omissions occur occasionally in the MSS. of the second family (e.g. of $\mu \eta$ in 1335 b 25 and of Tpyor in 1288 b 16), though not so often as in those of the first family, and here tou opon can hardly be spared, for it would be difficult to supply it from 23. 34. συμβαίνη] z has accidit probably rightly: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have accidet. αὐτήν Richards suggests whether αὐτῆς should not be read, but perhaps αὐτήν is defensible: see explanatory note on 1326 b 35.

1327 a 5. μὲν] μὲν οὖν Schn. Sus. See, however, explanatory note. 12. πολλά] πολλοὶ Camerarius (Interp. p. 283) and perhaps Ma and pr. P¹ (see Sus.¹), followed by Bekk.² Sus., πολλά Γ Π² Bekk.¹

20. τὴν χώραν] See explanatory note on 1327 a 19. 21. πολέμους Sylburg, Bekk., Sus.: πολεμίους Γ Π. 23. Susemihl (Jahresbericht für Altertumswissenschaft, lxxix. (1894), p. 273) thinks that J. Argyriades in his Διορθώσεις εἰς τὰ ᾿Αριστοτέλους Πολιτικά Ι. (Athens, 1893) is right in bracketing πρὸς, but see explanatory note. 32. ἐπεὶ δὲ Π¹ Bekk. Sus.: ἐπειδὴ Π². ὑπάρχοντα καὶ Welldon, Sus.: ὑπάρχοντα Congreve, ὑπάρχον καὶ Γ Π Bekk.¹, ὑπάρχειν καὶ Schn. Bekk.² 34. τὸ αὐτὸ νέμειν ἄστυ Μ² Ρ¹ Π² Bekk. Sus.³ 4, except that P⁴ has αὐτὸν: νέμειν αὐτὸ τὸ ἄστυ Γ Sus.¹² (Vet. Int. ut neque occupetur ipsum municipium).

1327 b 11. rns rauridias Vet. Int. navigium, though elsewhere he rightly translates the word navigatio. 13. kai before rouro om. II1 Sus., but the authority of these MSS, is weak in omissions, and especially in omissions of καί. 14. 'Ηρακλεωτῶν See explanatory note. 15. κεκτημένοι τῷ μεγέθει πόλιν έτέρων ἐμμελεστέραν Vet. Int. aedificata civitate magnitudine aliis contractione (z artione), which appears to represent κεκτισμένης πόλεως τῷ μεγέθει έτέρων έμμελεστέρας. πόλεων Γ II Bekk.1: πόλεως Congreve, Sus. Bekk.2 brackets καὶ 17. διωρισμένα] διωρισμένον L. P. Ald. corr. P. Bekk.: for the reading of pr. P4 see Sus.1 and Sus.4: but these MSS, have little authority. For torw διωρισμένα cp. 1. 11. 1258 b 39, έπει δ' έστιν 28. II1 add μέν after άρχόμενα, ένίοις γεγραμμένα περί τούτων. probably repeated from the preceding line. 31. βέλτιστα II: Vet. 34. Mº Π² Bekk. add καὶ before πρὸς ἄλληλα: Γ P¹ Int. maxime. omit it, probably rightly. Sus. brackets it. 35: 7ê 112: 76 Bekk.1: om. M8 P1 Bekk.3: Sus. brackets it: the reading of I is uncertain, for Vet. Int. seldom translates re. But the authority of II1 in omissions of re is weak, for these MSS, often omit it. Te may be merely a blundered dittography of the first syllable of the next word κέκραται. It can hardly be accounted for here in the way in which Stallbaum accounts for its presence in Plato, Meno 72 E, Phaedo 63 C, and Hipp. Maj. 282 B.

1828 a 2. αίρεται] z tollitur: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. attollitur. But I doubt whether z is right here. 5. σὸ γὰρ δὴ παρὰ φιλων ἀπάγχεο] So Bergk, probably rightly, though Γ II have οὸ in place of σὸ and all MSS. but Γ Pô have περὶ in place of παρὰ: ἀπάγχεο P²²α La Ald. Bekk., ἀπάγχετο P⁴, ἀπάγχεω P¹ Sus., ἀπέγχεω Ma, a lanceis perforationes Vet. Int., whatever that may represent (z has alancenis perforationnes with a dot under the second n of alancenis to expunge it). Vet. Int. has enim here for γὰρ δὴ, as in 1284 b 29.

13. deîv II3, deî Ma, de pr. P1: Vet. Int. does not render it. 14. ὑπολαμβάνουσι Π² Bekk. Sus.: νομίζουσι M² P¹ and probably Γ (Vet. Int. pulant, which is his equivalent for νομίζωσι in 1302 a 25): νομίζουσι has evidently slipped in here from the line below and displaced ὑπολαμβάνουσι. 15. χαλεποὶ πόλεμοι γὰρ ἀδελφῶν Π¹, Plut. De Fraterno Amore, c. 5. 480 D, Sus. (πολέμιοι in place of πόλεμοι Γ): χαλεποί γάρ πόλεμοι άδελφων Π2 Bekk. In 1338 a 25 Π1 give an unmetrical version, and in 1253 b 36 r M. 16. πέρα Π² Bekk.: πέραν M⁸ P¹ Sus.: the reading of Γ is uncertain. olde Gomperz. Sus. 3a; of de I' II Bekk. (except that P4 omits de). 18. όπόσην M⁸ P1 and possibly r, perhaps rightly (see critical note on 1326a 7): 22. ταῦτά ΓΠ² Bekk.² Sus., ταυτά Mª P¹, ταὐτά Bekk.¹ the rest πόσην. 26. δεί Π¹ P4, δή the rest. ταὐτὸ Π² Bekk. Sus., τοῦτο Π¹. Π¹, δ' ή Bekk. Sus., δή Π². 40. τοῦ Π² Bekk., and probably Γ: τω M. P1, τω Sus.: Vet. Int. palam quod hoc causa quare fiant civitatis species, which probably represents δηλον ώς τοῦτ' αἴτιον τοῦ (not τώ) γίνεσθαι πόλεως είδη (cp. 1305 a 10, where αίτιον δε του τότε μεν yiveoθai is rendered causa autem quare tunc quidem fiebal).

1328 b 4. έν τούτοις αν είη (α) αναγκαίον υπάρχειν εν τούτοις αν είη αναγκαίου ὑπάρχειν 112 Bekk.: ἐν τούτοις αν είη, διὸ αναγκαίου ὑπάρχειν Me P1 Sus., who, however, marks a lacuna after διὸ: ἐν τούτοις αν είη διὰ τὸ ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν probably Γ, for Vet. Int. has in his utique erunt, propterea quod necessarium existere. Cp. 1333 b 20, where proplerea quod exercitati fuerunt represents διὰ τὸ γεγυμνάσθαι, 1282 b 5, 1338 a 39, 1339 b 34, 41, 1295 a 15, and many other passages. Vet. Int. renders & by propter quod, not propterea quod. I supply & before ἀναγκαῖον, which might easily drop out: διὰ τὸ is of course impossible and διὸ seems to me unsatisfactory. 11. Schn. Bekk.2 Sus. add τάς before πολεμικάς, but see explanatory 21. παρασκευάσουσι P28 Bekk. and perhaps Γ (Vet. Int. qui praeparent): παρασκευάζουσι Mª P¹ Π³ Sus. 22. avaykaiwy See explanatory note on 1328 b 22. 29. ταὐτὸ Sus.: τοῦτο Γ Π 32. μέν om. Π¹, but these MSS. often omit μέν. Bekk. την αρετήν Me Pt Sus.: αρετήν Π2 Bekk.: the reading of Γ is uncertain. Me P1 may be right, for in 1329 a 1 II have της αρετής. See critical note on 1332 a 22. odde dy II2 Bekk.: odde dei II1 Sus. On odde δή see Eucken, De Partic. Usu, p. 45.

1329 a.1. P⁴ L⁸ Ald. add πολίτας after ἔσεσθαι, but these MSS. have little authority. For similar additions in them intended to complete the sense see critical notes on 1255 b 12, 1303 b 35,

1304 a 15, and 1332 a 30. 6. 8 H Bekk. Sus.: 8 H. 11. τοις αὐτοις Bekk. Sus.: τους αὐτούς Γ Π. Camerarius, commenting on τους αυτούς, remarks (Interp. p. 295), ελλειπτικώς, scilicet είναι dei. Eosdem esse oportet utrosque, id est quibus ambo munera mandentur. Καταλληλότερον esset, si scriberetur τοῖε αὐτοῖε, nimirum ἀποδοτέον, quod supra autor communiter posuerat.' If τοὺς αὐτούς were retained. I should prefer to supply, not chas dei (with Camerarius), but θετέον τους προπολεμούντας και τους βουλευομένους και κρίνοντας (cp. 5). 18. την πολιτείαν ταύτην] See explanatory note. ioriv] Lamb. Bekk. would exchange the places of this ioriv and 17. elvas is transferred by Camerarius to after rourous, 18, and by Sus. to after &. 18. Vet. Int. adds videtur after his rendering for elvas, but it is not likely that he found dones in his Greek text; he sometimes seeks to mend defects in it by conjectures (see vol. ii. p. lxiv): cp. also his addition of vivere in 1265a 34. Welldon reads δίκαιόν ἐστιν, perhaps rightly. 18. δεῖ (εἶναι)] Vet. Int. oportet esse (дей ейга Г?): дей without ейга П. Bekker adds elvat. See explanatory note on 1329 a 17. 20. γένος Π² Bekk., μέρος Π1 Sus. Μέρος may possibly come from 23, μέρος τι. before περιοίκους is found in Γ II, but Sus. is probably right in bracketing it: cp. c. 10. 1330 a 28 sq. 27. leρέων Γ L. Ald., leρων the rest: leρέων Bekk, Sus. 33. αὐτοὺς Sus. αὐτοὺς? There is something to be said for this suggestion (cp. Eth. Nic. 8. 11. 1160 a 24, τιμάς απονέμοντες τοις θεοις και αυτοις αναπαύσεις πορίζοντες μεθ' ήδονης), but on the whole I incline to retain αὐτούς. 34. **τούτοι**ς αν είη τὰς ἱερωσύνας ἀποδοτέον] τούτους Γ Bekk.: τούτοις nearly all other MSS., so far as they have been examined. Tais lepubinais r п Bekk. Bekker may be right in reading rourous and rais lepuσύναις: cp. 5 (8). 7. 1342 a 16, διὸ ταῖς μὲν τοιαύταις άρμονίαις καὶ τοῖς τοιούτοις μέλεσι θετέον τους την [θεατρικήν] μουσικήν μεταχειριζομένους αγωνιστάς, where, however, χρησθαι should probably be added before θετέον, and Isocr. Busir. § 15, τους μεν έπι τας ιερωσύνας κατέστησε. But as almost all MSS. have rourous, not rourous, it seems better to substitute τàs lερωσύνας for ταις lερωσύναις: ἀποδοτέον thus regains its 38. 87 T II Bekk., & Schn. Sus. usual meaning.

1329 b 2. δὶ is added after ἔτι in Π¹. 4. νομοθετήσαντος] Vet. Int. lege statuit, but see critical note on 1286 b 10. 10. μετα-βαλόντας] Vet. Int. transsumentes, which represents μεταλαβόντας (cp. 1326 b 21, 1328 a 27, and 1339 a 35). 13. γὰρ Π² Bekk.: δὶ Π¹ Sus. 18. τὸ μὲν πρὸς τὴν Τυρρηνίαν] Vet. Int. quod quidem apud

Tyrreniam, which may possibly represent τὸ μὲν πρὸς τῆ Τυρρηνία, for apud represents πρός with the dative in 1331 b 10 and 1322 a 26. As to Τυρρηνίαν see critical note on 1280 a 36. 21. Χῶνες Π¹, Χάωνες Ρ²¾ etc. The name of the race is Χῶνες and the name of their country Χώνη in Strabo, p. 255: cp. Χώνων, Strabo, p. 264, and Χωνίαν, Strabo, p. 654, and Lycophron, Alex. 983. Σιρῖτιν Göttling, Bekk.², Sus.: σύρτιν M³ P¹²⁴ etc.: σύρτην pr. P³, Syrtem Vet. Int. 'It seems certain that we should read Σιρῖτιν for Σύρτιν: Lycophr. Alex. 983' (the late Sir E. H. Bunbury in Dict. of Greek and Roman Geography, Art. Chones). 30. καὶ τὰ περὶ τὰς πολιτείας] z has et qua (should be quae) circa politias: all Susemihl's MSS. of the Vet. Int. have et circa politias. 34. εἰρημένοις] See explanatory note on 1329 b 33.

1330 a 1. τῆ χρήσει] z has usu, perhaps rightly: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have usui. 2. γινομένην See explanatory note on 10. τῶν ἰδιωτῶν Vet. Int. propriam (ἰδίαν Γ?). ràs egyatiás Vet. Int. proprias necessitates. 20. διδ παρ' Π2 Bekk. Sus., διόπερ Ma P1, διό or διόπερ Γ (Vet. Int. propler quod). 22. των προς αὐτούς πολέμων Vet. Int. eorum quae ad ipsos proeliorum; πόλεμος is rendered by proelium in 1297 b 19 also. 28. δεύτερον δέ] z has secundo autem: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. et secundo autem. τούτων δὲ τοὺς μὲν ἐν τοῖς Ιδίοις είναι Ιδίους P4 L8 probably rightly. Almost all other MSS. as well as I add idious before ev rois idious: I omits ίδίους after είναι. 36. αὐτης δέ πρός αὐτην είναι την θέσιν εθχεσθαι δει κατατυγχάνειν See explanatory note. 37. πρός om. Π1. 38. ai re Pi Ald. etc., are P28 etc., ai M8 P1 and δή om. Π² Bekk. possibly r (Vet. Int. quae), but Vet. Int. rarely renders re. Coray and Sus. add ai before κατά βορέαν, but see explanatory notes on 1330 a 40 and 1330 b 10. εὐχείμεροι] Vet. Int. recentiores.

1930 b 2. μὲν after αὐτοῖς om. Π¹.

4. τε] Vet. Int. autem (δὲ Γ?). The same thing occurs in 1336 a 5 and 1332 a 42 (see also critical note on 1324 a 10).

6. ὀμβρίοις Pô So and perhaps Γ (Vet. Int. per praeparationem susceptaculorum aquarum imbrium), ὀμβρίους Με P¹ ² 3 ⁴ etc. ΰδασιν] ὕδατος P².

7. πόλεμον] Vet. Int. multitudinem: did he misread πόλεμον as πλῆθος, or did he find πλῆθος in Γ?

12. χρώμεθα] Vet. Int. utuntur.

14. τοιαύτην Π² Bekk.: ταύτην Π¹ Sus.

16. μήτ Π Bekk. Sus., μηδ' Coray. See critical notes on 1257 b 12 and 1293 a 9. τοιούτων Π¹ P⁴ 6 L8 Bekk.² Sus.: τούτων the rest, followed by Bekk.¹

18. τῶν om. Mε P¹: the reading of Γ is of course uncertain.

21. ἰδίων] οἰκείων Π¹ (Vet. Int. familiarium,

which no doubt represents olkelwe, as in 1336 a 8 and 1312 b 13): olicion, however, is probably a corruption of olicion, an alternative reading for οἰκήσεων, which has displaced ίδίων. 22. μέν om. Π¹, as often elsewhere. 23. κai om. Π^1 Sus., but the authority of Π^1 is very weak in omissions and especially in omissions of ral. iπποδάμειον Ma P1 Bekk. Sus.: iπποδάμιον Π2: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. domativum equorum which reading he found in Γ. H² have όρινοὺς wrongly for όρεινοὺς in 1331 a 5. 27. τούτων αμφοτέρων Π2 Bekk. (cp. c. 13. 1331 b 37 and 2. 7. 1266 a 33): ἀμφοτέρων τούτων Π¹ Sus. (cp. 5 (8), 5, 1339 b 19). 28. γεωργοίς See explanatory 31. καὶ πρὸς ἀσφάλειαν καὶ κόσμον Π2: Π1 add πρὸς before κόσμον, but wrongly in all probability: cp. Metaph. A. 2. 982 b 23, καὶ πρὸς ράστώνην καὶ διαγωγήν, and Pol. 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 22, καὶ els τάς συνουσίας καὶ διαγωγάς, and 4 (7). 17. 1336 b 18, καὶ ὑπέρ αὐτῶν καὶ τέκνων καὶ γυναικών, and see explanatory note on 1284 a 35. See Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 451. 1. 32. τὰς τῆς άρετης αντιποιουμένας πόλεις z has virtuti contrafactas civitates: perhaps contrafactos (Sus.) is a misprint.

1331 a 2. προς τάς πολιορκίας Vet. Int. ad insultus, yet in 1267 a 37 he translates της πολιορκίας correctly by obsidione. Insultus, if right, will therefore hardly represent πολιορκίας, but what it 5. opewovs Ms P1: opwovs 112: the reading represents is doubtful. of r is uncertain. ταις ολκήσεσι ταις ίδιαις Π2 Bekk.: ταις ίδιαις ολκήσεσι Me P1 and probably r (Vet. Int. propriis habitationibus). See critical note on 1288 a 39. 10. ταις δε μή κεκτημένοις Γ II Bekk.1: τοις δέ μη κεκτημένοις Aretinus' translation, followed by Bekk.2 and Sus. But it is more natural to speak of cities as κεκτημέναι τείχη than of peoples: cp. 9, έχούσαις, and 1330 b 32 sq. 21. δηλον... φυλακτηρίοις om. Π1. αὐτὰ Π2 Bekk. (it forms part of the passage which Π¹ omit): αὐτὸ Bonitz, Sus. 24. θείοις Γ Π (except P4, which has Geois), Bekk.1: Geois Bekk.2 Sus., who says however in Qu. Crit. p. 409 n. 'at forsitan θείοις servare liceat.' 25. ἀρχείων αρχών P. L. Ald., ἀρχαίων the rest, except P5, which has ἀρχείων. έρυμνοτέρως] Vet. Int. eminenter, but Vet. Int. often renders the comparative by the positive (e.g. in 1283 a 35, 1287 b 9, 1333 b 10, and 1340 a 42). 32. δνομάζουσω Γ Π Bekk.1: νομίζουσω Lamb. Bekk.2 Sus. and apparently Bonitz (Ind. 487 b 51). See however explanatory note on 1331 a 31. 34. τοιοῦτον M⁸ Π² etc. Bekk.: τῶν τοιούτων P1 and possibly r, for az have talium, though the seven other MSS. of Vet. Int. which have been examined have

talem. Sus. reads τῶν τοιούτων, against the weight of MS. authority, it would seem. See critical notes on 1336 b 8 and 1314 b 26. 39. τοὺς δὲ πρεσβυτέρους P^1 Π^2 , τὰ δὲ πρεσβυτέρα M^8 , τὰς δὲ πρεσβυτέρας Γ ? (Vet. Int. matronas autem).

1331 b 4. +πληθος + Should προεστός be read? Cp. Plato, Rep. 428 Ε, τῷ προεστῶτι καὶ ἄρχοντι, and 564 D, τὸ προεστὸς αὐτῆς (i. e. της πόλεως). είς ίερείς, είς άρχοντας Schneider and Bekk.2, following others, add kai before the second eis, perhaps rightly (cp. c. 14. 1333 a 30). Welldon reads kal in place of the second els. But, if $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta$ os is retained, some further change would appear to be necessary—the substitution (with Sus.) of δπλίτας or στρατιώτας for the second eis, or the addition of και όπλίτας (with Welldon) after καὶ ἄρχοντας. Perhaps, however, πληθος should be προεστός, and the classes referred to by Aristotle are those at the head of the State, which do not include the hoplites. 5. καὶ τῶν ἱερέων συσσίτια] Should τὰ be added before τῶν? See, however, explanatory note on 1285 b 12, τοῦ σκήπτρου ἐπανάτασις. την om. Ms P1 and probably r, but the authority of these MSS is weak in omissions, and especially in omissions of the article. 8. την after άλλην om. M^s P¹ the reading of Γ is of course uncertain. 13. νενεμησθαι Π¹ corr. P² Bekk.¹ Sus., νενεμιμήσθαι over an erasure P⁴, μεμιμήσθαι P⁸ pr. P⁹ etc. Bekk.² 16. avaykaîov] z has necessarium: is necessariam in Sus. a misprint? 24. έκ before ποίων om. II¹ Bekk.² Sus. So in 1275 b 17 Ms P1 have ή περί πάντων ή τινών, where the rest have $\hat{\eta}$ $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i $\pi\acute{a}$ $u\epsilon
u$ $\hat{\eta}$ $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i $\tau\iota
u\hat{\omega}
u$, and in 1275 b 32 P^1 and perhaps Γ have τὸ ἐκ πολίτου ἡ πολίτιδος, where the rest have τὸ ἐκ πολίτου ἡ ἐκ πολίτιδος: so again in 1271 a 17, διά φιλοτιμίαν και διά φιλοχρηματίαν, Mª and perhaps r omit the second διά, in 1336 a 14, καὶ πρὸς ὑγίειαν καὶ πρὸς πολεμικὰς πράξεις, Mª P1 omit the second πρὸς, and in 1340 a 6, εί π_{η} καὶ πρὸς τὸ ήθος συντείνει καὶ πρὸς τὴν ψυχήν, Ms P^1 omit the second πρός. See also the readings in 1322 b 32, where Π^1 omit $\pi \epsilon \rho l$. See critical note on 1282 a 17. The first family of MSS. have little authority in omissions, and especially in the omission of small words. 26. πολιτεύσεσθαι Coray Bekk.2 Sus. probably rightly: πολιτεύεσθαι Π. Vet. Int. has civitatem quae debet esse beata et politizare bene, which leaves it uncertain what reading he found in r. The letter o is easily added or omitted between two vowels in verbs (see critical notes on 1255 b 24, 1274 a 5, 1298 b 20), as well as at the end of words. See Mr. T. W. Allen in Journ. Hellenic Studies, 15. 278, 281, 296, and 297. 27. ἐντῷ τὸν σκοπὸν κεῖσθαι καὶ τὸ τέλος

τῶν πράξεων ὀρθῶς] z has in eo quod est intentionem et finem actionum poni recte, which is probably correct: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. omit est.

31. ἔκκειται] z has iacet rightly: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. latet. καλῶς Μο Πο: καλὸς Γ Ρι (Vet. Int. bona). Sus. ascribes the reading καλὸς to Γ Μο, but this is probably a misprint for Γ Ρι (see Sus. 12).

32. ὁτὲ Π, except Ρι, which has ὅτε, and Ρο, which has ὁτος and is followed by Bekker.

34. γὰρο οπ. Πι, but probably wrongly: see critical note on 1272 b 36, and compare the omission of γὰρ by Γ Ριο Lo in 1324 a 22.

41. τύχην ἡ φύσιν Πο and perhaps Μο, followed by Bekker: φύσιν ἡ τύχην Γ Ρι Sus., an order which suits δεῖται γὰρ κ.τ.λ. well, though on the other hand in Plato, Laws 747 C (which is probably present to Aristotle's memory here) we have εἶτε χαλεπὴ τύχη προσπεσοῦσα εἶτε καὶ φύσις ἄλλη τις τοιαύτη. For a similar interchange in the order of two words see critical notes on 1333 b 36 and 1318 b 4.

1832 a 4. την om. M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ, but omissions of the article in these MSS, have little weight. 8. καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἡθικοῖς Η2 Bekk.: καὶ διωρίσμεθα ἐν τοῖς ἢθικοῖς Π¹ Sus., but see explanatory note 13. kai is added before dvaykaim in F Ms. alpeσis] See explanatory note on 1332 a 16. 22. την before αρετήν om. M8 P1 and possibly Γ: contrast the reading of these MSS, in 1328 b 41. Their authority in omissions of the article 23. tà before dynbá is bracketed by Reiz and Sus., and is small. omitted by Bekk.2 Sus. places a full stop after dπλωs dyaθά and reads δηλον δη in place of δηλον δ, but not, I think, rightly. Δηλον δέ ... dπλωs is part of the paragraph introduced by και γάρ, 21. 29. κατ' εύχην Γ II Bekk., κατατυχείν Cor. Sus. 30. αὐτην is added after vào in P4 L4 Bekk., but see critical note on 1329 a 1. 32. M^s P¹ add της before τύχης: we cannot tell whether Vet. Int. found της in Γ. See critical note on 1270 b 19. In 30 we have ή τύχη (as in c. 1. 1323 b 28 and Eth. Nic. 6. 4. 1140 a 18), but in C. 12. 1331b 21 sq. we have τύχης (ἔργον), not τῆς τύχης, and in 1273 b 21 Π have άλλὰ τουτί ἐστι τύχης ἔργον, not τῆς τύχης. It is unlikely therefore that Mo P1 are right. The best MSS, omit the before τύχης in 1258 b 36. Cp. also 1323 b 27-29. 33. Mª P¹ are probably right in adding γε after σπουδαία: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. at vero whether he found αλλά μήν or αλλά μήν . . . ye in his Greek text, for he renders both the one and the other by at vero (see e. g. 1286 a 16 and 1287 a 41). τφ Γ Ald. corr. P2 (i. e. a correction in P^s in the same ink as the MS.): Vet. Int.

at vero studiosa civitas est in cives participantes politia studiosos esse: the rest τδ.

41. οῦτω Γ M⁸ P¹ Π² Ar. pr. P⁵: εἶτα Lamb., followed by Bekk. and Sus. (also a recent correction in P⁵, as to which see critical note on 1324 b 39).

42. τε Π Bekk.: Vet. Int. autem; hence Sus. reads δὲ in place of τε, but see critical notes on 1324 a 10, 1330 b 4, and 1336 a 5.

1332 b 1. μεταβαλείν Π² Bekk.: μεταβάλλειν M⁸ P¹ Sus. and possibly Γ (Vet. Int. transmutari). See for similar variations critical note on 1284 a 5. 8. την μέν τοίνυν φύσιν την μέν φύσιν δη Ms pr. P1 and probably I, for Vet. Int. has quod quidem utique natura, where utique represents δη. 10. ἐθιζόμενοι Π¹ Bekk. Sus.: ἐθιζόμενα 13. δη II² Bekk.: είναι altered into δη P¹: ήδη Γ M⁸ Sus. Βουλόμενοι | See explanatory note on 1332 b 29. 36. διαίρεσιν | αίρεσιν r Π Bekk.: διαίρεσιν Leonardus Aretinus, who translates natura enim ostendit quemadmodum haec distinguenda sint. Diaipeour (which Bonitz approves, Ind. 18 b 52) is probably right: cp. 16, την διαίρεσιν ταύτην, and c. g. 1329 a 17, έχει γάρ αυτη ή διαίρεσις τό κατ' aξίαν (referring to the same matter), and c. 17. 1337 a 1, δεί δὲ τŷ διαιρέσει της φύσεως έπακολουθείν. ΔΙ might easily drop out before AI. αὐτὸ P2 8 4 etc.: αὐτῶ M8 and after τῷ Γ, om. P1: αὐτῷ Bekk. and (between brackets) Sus. 37. ταὐτὸ Π² Sus.: ταὐτῶ M8 pr. P1, om. Γ: ταὐτὸν Bekk. Vet. Int. natura enim dedit electionem faciens eidem generi hoc quidem iunius, hoc autem senius. Tò pèv . . . τὸ δὲ] τοτὲ μὲν . . . τοτὲ δὲ M^8 P^1 . 40. τοῦτον τὸν Π^2 Bekk. : τὸν τοιούτον Π¹ Sus. (Vet. Int. talem). See critical note on 1292 a 17.

1333 a 18. δυνάμενον] Vet. Int. potest, but see above on 1286 b 10. 32. καὶ τῶν πρακτῶν κ.τ.λ.] See explanatory note. 40. τὰς τῶν πραγμάτων διαιρέσεις Γ Π Bekk. (δι' αἰρέσεις P4), αἰρέσεις Coray. In 1318 a 16 Schn. Bekk. and Sus. seem right in reading αἰρέσεων in place of διαιρέσεων (unless with Lamb. we should read ἀρχαιρεσιῶν), and Sus. follows Coray in reading αἰρέσεις here. The change is not without plausibility, especially if we compare Isocr. De Pace s 106, τὰς αἰρέσεις τῶν πραγμάτων, but both Bekk. and Bonitz (Ind. 180 b 38) retain διαιρέσεις, and I incline on the whole to follow them, particularly looking to 30 sqq., διήρηται τῶν πρακτῶν τὰ μὲν εἰς τὰ ἀναγκαῖα καὶ χρήσιμα τὰ δὲ εἰς τὰ καλά. 41. Vet. Int. fails to render μὲν, but he fails to render μὲν in μὲν γὰρ in 1300 b 34 also.

1333 b 2. δε before πράττειν om. Π' Sus., but the authority of these MSS. in the omission of small words is weak.

7. βέλτων

Π Bekk.: βέλτιστον Π Sus. 8. πάσας om. Π 1. 15. εὐέλεγκτα] z has facile et redarguibilia: the other MSS, of Vet. Int. facile arguibilia. The addition of et in z is wrong, but redarguibilia may well be right, for εξελήλεγεται immediately after is rendered sunt redarguta and in 1330 b 34 theyxopieras is rendered redargutas, though in 1308 a 1 efekéyzerai is rendered arguintur. om. Π1. 17. ζηλοῦσι Γ Π: ζητοῦσι Camotius, Bekker (approved by Sus.), but see explanatory note. το Π1 P2 8 Sus., των 113 Bekk. 18. θίβρων Π2 Bekk.: θίμβρων Π1 Sus. Praestare videtur forma OiBpos, qua usus est Nicander, Alex. 568 . . . Eandem varietatem in scriptura nominis proprii Θίμβρων et Θίβρων deprehendas (Meineke, Analecta Alexandrina, p. 128). See also Meisterhans, Gramm, d. att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 65, who finds only the form O'Bowr in Attic Inscriptions. For the frequent interchange of β_{P} and $\mu\beta_{P}$ in MSS, see Mr. T. W. Allen in Journ. Hell. Studies 15, 275. 20. Schneider, Bekk.2, and Sus. are probably light in adding the before πολιτείας. 21. ἐπειδή νῦν γε Γ II² Bekk, Sus. (Vet, Int. quontam nunc quidem): ἐπειδή γε νῦν M. P. 30. τὸ II Bekk. Sus. : τῷ Scaliger, Bekk.² Γ probably had το, for Vet. Int. translates ad principari super vicines, whereas he commonly translates ini with the dative by in or super. 33. diweir z has persequi probably rightly, for this is the word by which Vet. Int. renders διώκειν: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have prosequi. 36. λόγων (om. P4) καὶ νόμων 112 Bekk.: νόμων καὶ λόγων Π1 Sus. See critical note on 1331 b 41. ταὐτὰ P 28 etc. Bekk. Sus., ταῦτα Π1 P4 etc. τόν (τε) νομοθέτην I follow Thurot and Sus. in adding (74) after τόν. 41. (ητῶσι) Vet. Int zelent (ζηλῶσι Γ?).

1334 a 3. ὅπως . . . 4, σχολάζειν om. Γ M³, and Vet. Int. seeks to heal the defect by rendering τάξη ordinis (see vol. ii. p. lxiv sq.) 8. ἀφιᾶσιν Π² Bekk. Sus., ἀνιᾶσιν Μ³ and also P¹, if Sus.¹² and the 1882 edition of Sus.³, confirmed by St. Hilaire in his edition of the Politics published in 1837 (vol. ii. p. 94), are to be trusted, though the 1894 reprint of Sus.³ ascribes the reading ανιᾶσιν to Γ Μ³ only. It is difficult to tell from Vet. Int. rubiginem contrahunt what reading he found in his Greek text; he may possibly have found ἐφιᾶσιν, for in 1278 a 27 προσεφέλκεται is rendered contrahitur. There is much to be said for ἀνιᾶσιν: cp. Plut. De Gen. Socr. c. 14, ὅπηνίκα δὲ οὖτος οὐ προδίδωσι τὴν πενίαν, οὐδὲ ὡς βαφὴν ἀνίησι τὴν πάτριον πενίαν: Pollux 1. 44, ἔστι δὲ εἰπεῖν καὶ ἀνεῖται βαφῆς: and Theophr. Hist. Plant. 5. 5. 1, τὰ δὲ μοχθηρὰ σιδήρια δύναται τέμνειν τὰ σκληρὰ (sc.

τῶν ξύλων) μᾶλλον τῶν μαλακῶν, ἀνίησι γὰρ ἐν τοῖς μαλακοῖς ὥσπερ ἐλέχθη περὶ τῆς φιλύρας, παρακονᾶ δὲ μάλιστα ταῦτα. But the weight of MS. authority appears to be on the side of ἀφιᾶσιν. 14. ὑπάρχειν Γ Π Bekk.: ὑπερέχειν Sus. not, I think, rightly. 19. σώφρονα is bracketed by Sus., and it is true that Aristotle adds no proof of the indispensability of σωφροσύνη in the acquisition of necessaries, as he does in regard to ἀνδρία and καρτερία, but that it is indispensable we have seen in the explanatory note on 1334 a 19 (cp. also 1334 a 24).

28. πολλῆς οὖν κ.τ.λ.] See explanatory note. 30. ἀπολαύοντας] Vet. Int. frui (ἀπολαύειν Γ?). 36. τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς om. pr. P³: τοῖς ... 38, χρῆσθαι om. M³: ἔτι... 38, χρῆσθαι om. Π². Γ P¹ omit nothing, but pr. P¹ has τῶ μὴ in 37 in place of τὸ μὴ. Bekker omits τὸ.

1334 b 2. γενέσθαι] See explanatory note on 1334 a 41 sub fin. 3. έπει δέ κ.τ.λ.] See explanatory note on 1334 a 41. τε] τὰ P1. Vet. Int. adds before haec, his equivalent for ravra, the words quam quae belli, which represent ή τὰ τοῦ πολέμου, and Ma has τὰ πολέμου in the same place, but here a gloss has crept into the text of Γ Ms, for P2 gives in red ink the gloss τὰ πολεμικά. 12. ἐθῶν om. Γ M⁸ pr. P¹. δμοίων Γ M⁸ P^{2 3 4} pr. P¹: δμοίως two or three inferior MSS, and marg. Pi, followed by Bekk, Sus. 23. καὶ is added after & in M8 P1 Sus.: om. 112 Bekk. and perhaps I, for z and almost all the MSS. of Vet. Int. consulted by Sus. omit et. 27. την δε του σώματος της ψυχής Π2 Bekk. Sus., του δε σώματος της ψυχης P1, τοῦ δὲ τοῦ τρίτου της ψυχης Ms: Vet. Int. huius aulem eorum quae animae = τοῦ δὲ τῶν τῆς ψυχῆς. 30. γένηται Π² Bekk.: γίνηται M. P. Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. fiant which reading he found in his text, for fiunt stands for yévwrae in 1303 b 20. See critical note on 1339 a 13. 39. των om. P23. λίαν om. II.

1335 a 2. γὰρ after τε om. Π¹. 5. μετέβημεν] Vet. Int. devenimus. 12. τὴν before τεκνοποιίαν om. Π³ P³ Bekk., but probably wrongly: cp. 31, 39, 1335 b 23, 41, 1265 a 40, b 7, 1270 a 40. The article is absent only in 1335 b 7, 29. 13. ἔκγονα Μ³ P¹ Bekk.² Sus.: we cannot tell whether Vet. Int. found ἔκγονα or ἔγγονα in his text: ἔγγονα Π² Bekk.¹, but in 1335 b 30 all MSS. have ἔκγονα, and the Index Aristotelicus gives no other instance of the form ἔγγονος, though many of ἔκγονος, from the genuine writings of Aristotle. See Meisterhans, Gramm. der att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 83, on the two forms: the form ἔκγονος is the more common in Attic inscriptions. 14. ταὐτὸ τοῦτο Π² Bekk.: αὐτὸ τοῦτο Μ³ P¹ Sus.,

τοῦτο αὐτὸ perhaps Γ (Vet. Int. hoc ipsum). 16. ἐπιχωριίζεται Π² Bekk, probably rightly (see explanatory note), in your M. Sus. and after a lacuna pr. P1. Vet. Int. has lexatur (z et laxant: pr. a ālatur); possibly allocatur or allaudatur ('is allowed') is the true reading, representing ἐπιχωριάζεται. 18. al νέαι Π° Bekk. Sus.: ένιαι Γ Me pr. P1. πονουσί τε Π2 Bekk. Sus.: τε πονουσι Me P1: the reading of Γ is uncertain, for, as usual, Vet. Int. does not translate 23. elvas om. II1, but these MSS, occasionally omit elvas, 25. & om. II1, but these MSS, often emit &. 26. σπέρματος See explanatory note on 1335 a 24. 27. πληθύου] Me has a contraction which perhaps stands for πληθος, and Vet. Int. has multum (= $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}$). Göttling and Sus, are probably right in transposing ħ μικρόν, 29, to after ἔτι, 27. 29. As to [ħ μικρόν] see 30. τοσούτω Π1 P23, followed by Bekk. Sus.: τούτω the on 27. 32. τοις μέν αρχομένοις έσται της ακμής Me Pi II Sus.: Vet. rest. Int. his quidem crit inchoante akmes, which probably stands for rois μέν ἀρχομένης ἔσται της ἀκμης, and this is Bekker's reading. Sus. believes appopions to be right, though he leaves appopions in his text. 37. δεί χρησθαι οίς II1 Sus. (χρασθαι in place of χρησθαι M. P1): ώς in place of δει χρησθαι οίς Π² Bekk. The reading of Π¹ may be no more than an explanatory gloss on ώς which has crept into the text and taken the place of ω_s , a thing which sometimes happens to this family of MSS. (see critical note on 1255 b 12), but it is also true that H2 occasionally omit, though less often than H1, and I incline on the whole to think that II's are in fault here. 39. δέ Π¹ Sus.: δι' altered into δή P³, δή the rest followed by Bekker. 1835 b 3. μάλιστ' (ἄν) μάλιστα ἄν Mª (if Schoell, who collated Mª for Susemihl, is right): μάλιστα Γ P1 Π2 Bekk.1: μάλιστ' αν Cor. Bekk.2 Sus. 'In Graecis codicibus non raro scribitur vitiose ήκιστα, μάλιστα pro ἥκιστ' ἄν, μάλιστ' ἄν' (Madvig, Adversaria Critica, 1.41). 4. παιδονομίας Π² Bekk. Sus.: παιδείας Γ M⁸ pr. P¹. 5. Sus. adds δεί before 8. olde Coray, Bekk., Sus.: oure II. See explanatory note eiπeîν. 7. κακοπονητική] κακοποιητική Ma pr. P1: Vet. Int. on 1272 b 38. male habens (καχεκτική Γ ?). 10. των έλευθέρων Γ P^1 Sus : the rest, followed by Bekk., των ελευθερίων. 11. ταῦτα II² Bekk.: ταὐτό Γ M. Sus., ταυτό I'1. καὶ is added before ἀνδράσι in II' Sus. 15. των είληχότων την περί της γενέσεως τιμήν Vet. Int. his quae sortitae sunt cum qui de generatione honorem, but whether he found rais einnxviais in his Greek text is doubtful. 18. τὰ γεντώμενα Π¹ Bekk. Sus. (Vet. Int. quae generantur): τὰ γενόμενα Π2 Bekk.1 See critical note on

1256 b 13. 19. καὶ is added before τὰ φυόμενα in Π⁸ Bekk. 20. γιγνομένων Π2 Bekk.1, γινομένων M8 Bekk.2: γενομένων P1 Sus. The reading of Γ is uncertain (Vet. Int. genilorum). 21. ἐὰν om. II¹ Sus., but the authority of these MSS. is small in omissions, and especially in omissions of small words. των έθων z alone of the MSS. of the Vet. Int. which have been examined has suetudinum: all the rest have gentium representing των έθνων, but probably a had suetudinum originally, for its present reading gentium is written over an erasure in a recent hand (see Sus.1). Schn. and Sus. take Leonardus Aretinus to have found ἐθνῶν in his Greek text in place of ἐθῶν, but his rendering, as it stands in MS. Ball. 242, is in aliis vero si mores institutaque civitatis prohibeant natos exponere, which seems to point to $\epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, not $\epsilon \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. 22. κωλύη P^{2} etc. and a recent hand in P3 (Bekk. κωλύη), 'κωλύοι (ut videtur) pr. P3' (Sus.), κωλύει III Sus., but corr. P1 (i. e. a correction in P1 in the same ink as the MS.) gives κωλύη. ώρίσθαι Γ, a correction in the margin of P1, and corr. P2 (i. e. a correction in P2 in the same ink as the MS.) Sus., ωρισθαι Ma, δρισθηναι pr. P1, ωρισται the rest followed by 23. δεί Γ Ms pr. P1 Sus., δη the rest followed by Bekker. 24. συνδυασθέντων Π: Vet. Int. combinatis, but it is Bekker. doubtful whether Γ had συνδυασθείσιν, for in 1336 a 9 Vet. Int. 25. μη om. Π². 28. χρη Π² renders τηλικούτων by tantillos. Bekk.: δεί M⁸ P¹ Sus. and possibly Γ (see however critical note on 30. καθάπερ τὰ τῶν νεωτέρων Π: Vet. Int. sicut et 1281 a 17). tuniorum: Sus. is inclined therefore to read καθάπερ καὶ τὰ τῶν νεωτέρων, but see critical notes on 1252 a 25, 1262 a 29, 1264 a 9, and 1284 b 32. 31. των γεγηρακότων Π2 Bekk. Sus.: των γηρασκόντων Mapr. Pi: Vet. Int. decreptorum, which probably represents 35. ωστε Π2 Bekk, Sus.: ως Π1 (Vet. Int. ut... τών γεγηρακότων. oportet), but a correction in P1 in the same ink as the MS. gives 39. ἡ Π² Bekk.: καὶ II¹ Sus.

1336 a 5. φαίνεταί τε P¹ Π², φαίνεται τὲ M⁸: Vet. Int. apparel autem (φαίνεται δὲ Γ?). But see critical notes on 1324 a 10, 1330 b 4, and 1332 a 42. 6. ἄγειν M⁸ P¹ and perhaps Γ, for Vet. Int. has inducere, and though ἄγειν is usually rendered by ducere and sometimes by adducere in Vet. Int., it is rendered by inducere in 1306 a 3. Vict. placed εἰσάγειν in his text, taking inducere in Vet. Int. to represent εἰσάγειν, which no doubt it sometimes does (e.g. in 1320 a 14), but it does not always do so. No MS. appears to have εἰσάγειν: P^{2 3 4} etc. have ἀεὶ. "Αγειν may be right: cp.

Aristot. Fragm. 627. 1584 a 16, ror de aphaperor ris edis 'Apartréλης Αρίωνά φησιν είναι, δε πρώτος τον κύκλιον ήγαγε χορόν. Λαβείν (Plato, Rep. 591 B) or Exer (Phaedr. 268 E), in addition to elσάγειν, would be possible alternatives for άγειν. 7. πλήθουσα II. but the word is not included in the Index Aristotelicus and does not appear to occur elsewhere in Aristotle's writings: πληθύουσα Vict. Bekk. and Sus. probably rightly (Sus., however, docs not place it in his text). Πλήθειν occurs 'in Attic Prose only in the phrase αγοράς πληθούσης, ἐν ἀγορά πληθούση etc.' (Liddell and Scott): it is a poetical word; still it may have been used by Aristotle, for πόσις also (1253 b 6 and 1335 b 41) and τιμαλφείν (1336 b 19) are poetical words. 9. τηλικούτων Mo P1 23 etc. Bekk. Sus.: τηλικούτω P46 L5: Vet. Int. tantillos, but it is doubtful whether he found τηλικούτους in Γ (see critical note on 1335 b 24). 10. διαστρέφεσθαι Π2 Bekk, Sus., διαφέρεσθαι 111 (for Vet. Int. has defluere, which perhaps should be diffluere), except that pr. P1 had διαφέρθαι. See critical note on 1287 a 31. 14. καὶ πρὸς ὑγίειαν καὶ πρὸς πολεμικάς πράξεις Π² Bekk, Sus.: M⁸ P¹ omit the second πρός (see critical note on 1331 b 24): about I we cannot be certain, for Vet. Int. commonly repeats the preposition in sentences of this kind, whether he finds it in the Greek or not (see critical note on 1253 a 36). 17. Vuxpor is bracketed by Sus., who follows P1 corr. P4 in reading \psi_vxpor in place οί μικρον. αμπίσχειν M⁸ P¹⁴ Bekk. Sus., αμπισχείν P²⁸. 18. αρχομένων II13 Sus.: ἀρχομένω P23, ἀρχομένφ Bekk. 20. Εξις is here represented by habitudo in Vet. Int., and not, I think, elsewhere in 23. ήλικίαν Vet. Int. actati (ήλικίας Γ?). Vet. Int. in qua (iv # 17: cp. 1336 b 21). 26. de om. II P4 34. σπουδασομένων Cor. Sus.: σπουδασομένων οι σπουδασθησομένων Γ (Vet. Int. sludendorum): σπουδαζομένων Π Bekk. In 1328 b 21 some MSS, have παρασκευάζουσι and others παρασκευάσουσι, so that σπουδαζομένων might easily take the place of σπουδασομένων. διατάσεις] Vet. Int. cohibitiones: cp. 39, where διατεινομένοις is rendered qui cohibentur. 35. xai II Bekk.: Vet. Int. in ploratibus, so that he probably found sarà in I, which Sus. reads instead of καὶ. τοὺs is added before κλαυθμοὺs in M®P¹ Sus.: whether in Γ also, 38. τοις πονούσιν] τοις πνεύμοσιν? Ridgeway (see the 1894 edition of Sus.3), a suggestion which I cannot follow Susemihl in adopting. See for the force of rois novovous explanatory note on 1336 a 37.

1836 b 2. ἀπολαύειν Mⁿ P¹ Sus., ἀπολαβεῖν Γ (Vet. Int. absumere),

απελαύνειν Π2 Bekk. 3. ανελευθερίαν | των ανελευθέρων P6 L8 Ar Ald. Bekk. and pr. P4, των ανελευθερίαν corr. P4. Τωκ ανελευθέρων is evidently a correction intended to suit the false reading ἀπελαύνειν. See critical note on 1340 b 14. For και before τηλικούτους z has etiam probably rightly: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. et. απηγορευμένων Π² Bekk.: απηγορευμένον Π¹ Sus. See critical notes on 1331 a 34 and 1314 b 26. 14. ἔστω P1 Ar. Bekk. Sus., ἐστι 18. [τοὺς τὴν ἡλικίαν ἔχοντας ἔτι τὴν ἰκνουμένην]. These words, which I have bracketed, are found in M⁸ P¹ and are inserted by Sus. in his text: Vet. Int. has eos qui habent aetatem amplius provectam, which probably represents Bekker's reading, rous Exortas ήλικίαν πλέον προήκουσαν, a reading found only in P6 and there probably adopted from the Vet. Int. (πλέον over an erasure in P⁵): II2 omit the words, reading πρὸς δὲ τούτοις ἀφίησιν ὁ νόμος καὶ ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν καὶ τέκνων καὶ γυναικῶν τιμαλφείν τοὺς θεούς, and I incline to think that Π^2 are right, and that the words added in M⁸P¹ and also those added in Γ P⁵ are merely a gloss intended to complete the sense, which has crept from the margin into the text. The MSS, of the first family occasionally admit glosses into their text (see vol. ii. p. lvii). "Ere in the reading of M. P1 I do not 19. καὶ γυναικών om. Γ M8 pr. P1. τιμαλφείν Π2 understand. Bekk. Sus.: τιμαλφάν M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ. 20. νομοθετητέον Π² Bekk., θετητέον M², θετέον Γ P¹ Sus. (Vet. Int. ponendum): είναι ἐατέον conj. Jackson. 24. τούτων τοῦτον P1 and after ἐν παραδρομῆ: τούτον before these words P4 Ald.: τούτων after έν παραδρομή Γ M4 Ar. Sus., before these words the rest followed by Bekker. ἴσως γὰρ κ.τ.λ. | See explanatory note. **30**. θεατῶν Π² corr. P¹ Bekk.: θεάτρων Γ M⁸ pr. P¹ Sus. See critical note on 1338 b 23. 35. ή μοχθηρίαν ή δυσμένειαν Vet. Int. infectionem aut inhaesionem, but probably these are two alternative readings, both of them incorrect, which have taken the place of infensionem, the true equivalent for δυσμένειαν, while malitiam, the true equivalent for μοχθηρίαν, has been omitted. The original rendering of Vet. Int. probably was aut malitiam aut infensionem. 36. ηθη om. II. 38. την before παιδείαν om. Me pr. Pi and possibly Γ. μετά] See explanatory note on 1336 b 37. 39. μέχρι ηβης Π² Bekk.: μέχρις ηβης M. P. Sus. and possibly r. In 1319 b 12, on the other hand, M⁶ P¹ and possibly Γ have $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota$ before a vowel: $\Pi^2 \mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota \epsilon$. In Attic inscriptions μέχρι does not become μέχρις before a vowel (Meisterhans, Gramm. der att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 180). Phrynichus'

rule is μέχρις καὶ ἄχρις σὖν τῷ ς ἀδόκιμα, μέχρι δὲ καὶ ἄχρι λέγε. In the 'Aθ. Πολ. this rule is observed, as will be seen from Dr. Sandys' Index. In Eth. Nic. 7. 7. 1149 b 13, however, Kb and all the MSS. appear to have μέχρις ἐνταῦθα.

1337 a 1. κακώς Muretus, Bekk.² Sus.: καλώς Γ II Bekk.¹ In 1294 a 7 Π¹ have καλώς wrongly in place of κακώς. [7. δεί] δείται M²: Vet. Int. oportet esse (δεί εἶναι Γ?).

BOOK V (VIII).

1337 a 14. δεί γάρ Vet. Int. oportet autem (δεί δε Γ?). παιδεύεσθαι Ar. Sus. (Aretinus' translation being oportet enim ad singula gubernandi genera disciplinam accommodari); πολιτεύεσθαι Γ II Bekk. In 1341 a 1 πολιτευομένοις is erroneously read in Γ Mⁿ in place of παιδευομένοις, and the same mistake appears to occur here. βέλτιστον βέλτιον Ma Ar. Sus. Bekk.2: the rest βέλτιστον followed by Bekk. Bonitz (Ind. 403 a 15 sqq.) appears to accept βέλτιστον, comparing Rhet. 1. 7. 1364 b 29 sq. 27. αμα δε οὐδε χρη νομίζειν αὐτὸν αὐτοῦ τινὰ είναι τῶν πολιτῶν] Vet. Int. simul autem neque oportet pulare civium ipsorum aliquem sibi esse, so that I probably had αὐτῶν αὐτῶ in place of αὐτὸν αὐτοῦ. Pi has αὐτὸν αὐτῶ: Ma an ambiguous contraction in place of αὐτὸν, followed by αὐτῶ: almost all the other MSS, have αὐτὸν αὐτοῦ. 29. μόριον . . . πόλεως om. II¹. 34. κοινήν Π2 Bekk.: κοινή P1, κοινή οτ κοινώς Γ Ar. (Vet. Int. com-86. περί 112 Bekk.: διά Γ M* pr. muniter), κοινώς Ma (Sus. κοινή). 40. obder P2 s etc. Bekk.: obdere P¹ Sus. See explanatory note. π¹ P4 Sus.

1337 b 5. δτι δὲ οὐ πάντα κ.τ.λ.] See explanatory note. ἐλευθέρων] Schneider conjectures ἐλευθερίων, and perhaps Γ had ἐλευθερίων, for Vet. Int. has liberalibus.

6. ἀνελευθέρων] ἀνελευθέρων P¹ and possibly Γ (Vet. Int. illiberalibus, but illiberalis commonly represents ἀνελεύθερος).

11. ἡ τὴν ψυχὴν is bracketed by Sus., who says in Qu. Crit. p. 418, 'si διάνοια pars animae est, expectas ἡθος pro ψυχὴν,' but for the use of ψυχὴ in the sense of ἡθος compare (with Bonitz, Ind. 866 a 3) c. 5. 1340 a 6; cp. also c. γ. 1342 a 22, εἰσὶ δ΄ ῶσπερ αὐτῶν (i. e. τῶν βαναύσων καὶ θητῶν) αί ψυχαὶ παρεστραμμέναι τῆς κατὰ φύσιν ἔξεως. An objection to the addition of these words not noticed by Sus. is that there is no reference to them in 11–15, as there is to τὸ σῶμα and τὴν διάνουν,

but for a similar inexactness of reference cp. 4 (7). r. 1323 b 33 sqq and other passages. 12. $\tau \epsilon$ om. $M^{s} P^{1}$ and possibly Γ , but Π^{1} often omit τε. 16. 'τὸ δὲ . . . 20, ἀνελεύθερον om. P^{2 8 6} C⁴ Sb Tb L⁸ Ald. pr. P⁴ (suppl. marg. P⁴), Sus. το δε προσεδρεύειν M⁸ P¹ and possibly r (Vet. Int. assiduare autem): om. pr. P6 in a lacuna, προσεδρεύειν δε a recent correction in Po followed by Bekker. But P⁵ is a MS. of little or no authority and a recent correction in P⁵ counts for even less than the MS. 17. πρὸς ἀκρίβειαν Ms P1 marg. P4 Sus.: the words fall within the passage omitted by Π^2 : Vet. Int. ad perfectionem, which may perhaps represent προς ἀκρίβειαν (though Vict. and Bekk. take it to represent πρὸς τὸ ἐντελές and adopt this reading), for Vet. Int. translates els akpiseian by ad certitudinem in 1331 a 2, ἀκρίβειαν by certitudinem in 1328 a 20, and τη ακριβεία by diligentia in 1274 b 7, and he may well have used a third equivalent here. είρημέναις] ρηθείσαις P8. 18. ενεκεν M8 P1 Sus.: χάριν P5 Bekk.: Π2 omit 16-20: Vet. Int. gratia may represent either ένεκεν οτ χάριν. 19. τὸ μὲν γὰρ αὐτοῦ Mª P¹ Sus.: αὐτοῦ μὲν γὰρ P⁵ Bekk.: Vet. Int. ipsius quidem enim gratia may represent either reading. των is added before φίλων in P⁵. αὐτὸ τοῦτο] ταὐτὸ τοῦτο Richards. πράττων Ms P1 Bekk. Sus.: πράσσων Π²: the reading of Γ is of course uncertain. πολλάκις δι' άλλους Π¹ Sus. probably rightly (see explanatory note on 1255 b 2): Π² Bekk. 22. ελέχθη Π2 Bekk.: είρηται M8 P1 Sus. have δι' άλλους πολλάκις. and probably Γ (Vet. Int. dictum est). 25. την μέν . . . γραφικήν om. Γ Mⁿ pr. P¹. 34. τη̂ς ... 35, σχολάζειν om. Π^2 . τέλος Γ Π , except that Po has redeuraion: όλως Vict. Bekk. See explanatory note on 36. ἀναγκαῖον See explanatory note on 1337 b 35. **41.** καιροφυλακοῦντας Π^2 : καιροφυλακοῦντα Π^1 Sus.: καιροφυλακτοῦντας P8 Bekk. προσάγοντας Π2 Bekk.: προσάγοντα Π1 Sus.

1338 a 8. αὐτῶν Γ and Π² (except P⁴ Ald., which have αὐτῶν) Bekk. Sus.: αὐτῆν Μ⁴ pr. P¹, αὐτῶν a correction in P¹ in the ink of the MS.

10. τῆν ἐν τῆ διαγωγῆ σχολῆν] See explanatory note on 1338 a 9.

16. καὶ πρὸς μάθησιν is bracketed by Sus., 'nisi corruptum potius est μάθησιν '(Sus.).

25. Π¹ place καλεῖν after ἐπὶ δαῖτα. See critical note on 1328 a 15. Vet. Int. translates the line sed est quidem velut ad epulas vocari congaudere. Vocari may well represent καλεῖν (see vol. ii. p. lxiii, note 6), and congaudere probably stands for some corruption of θαλείην or else for a marginal gloss συνευφραίνεσθαι. As to †μὶν† see explanatory note on 1338 a 24. I propose to read μόνον in place of it.

26. οἱ κα-

λίουσιν] See explanatory note on 1338 a 24. 27. δ] δε Π¹ (Vet. Int. tanquam delectantem omnes): δε P⁴. I add δ before 'Οδυσσενές because the Homeric Odysseus is referred to. 28. δταν κ.τ.λ.] Vet. Int. quando gaudentibus hominibus 'congregati super tecta audiunt philomenam sedentes deinceps,' where congregati should perhaps be convivati, and philomenam philomelam. He appears either to have found δηδόνος in his Greek text in place of δοιδού or to have misread δοιδού as δηδόνος. 31. δναγκαίον Π¹ Bekk. Sus., δναγκαίον Π². 33. μία Π² Bekk. Sus., μίαν Π¹. τὸν δριθμὸν Π² Bekk.: τῷ δριθμῷ Μ² P¹ and probably Γ (Vet. Int. numero), Sus.

1338 b 1. ή μάλλον αλλά μάλλον Reiz, Thurot, Sus.: μάλλον ή Postgate. See explanatory note. θεωρητικόν II1 Bekk. Sus.: θεωρη-3. άρμόττει Π² Bekk.: άρμόζει M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ, Sus. Mo P1 and possibly Γ have δρμόζουσα in 1288 b 24, where H2 Vat. Pal. have δρμόττουσα, and the forms άρμόσει, δρμόσειε are of course used by all MSS., but I have not noticed any other passages in the Politics in which the form doputation is not used in all the MSS. 'In Attic inscriptions δρμόττω is the only form in use: δρμόζω is nowhere found' (Meisterhans, Gramm. der att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 141). έλευθέροις | See explanatory note. 4. πρότερον corr. P1 (i. e. a correction in P1 in the same ink as the MS, and therefore probably by the writer of it, Demetrius Chalcondylas): πότερον Γ II. 5. elvas om. II1, but these MSS, often omit elvas, and here the omission of elvas suits the erroneous reading morepov, 4. re ellon] Vet. Int. et speciem, but see critical note on 1287 a 27. 23. ληστρικά M⁶ P¹ L⁶ Ald. (the reading of Γ is uncertain), ληστρικά Sus.: ληστικά P2, λήτστικα pr. P3, ληστικα rec. P3, ληστικά Bekk. Agarpikà may be right, for in 1256 b 1, 5 (the only other passages of Aristotle to which the Index Aristotelicus refers for either of fused; thus in 1336 b 30 H2 have bearon and H1 bearpon, and in 1274 a 39 we find γραφήν in 112 in place of ταφήν. On the whole, therefore, I incline to follow Ms P1 and to read ληστρικά. Both forms, however, appear in the text of Thucydides—ληστικός in three passages and hyperpixos in two (see Von Essen's Index). τοῖς γυμμικοῖς ἀγώσι καὶ τοῖς πολεμικοῖς Π1 Sus.: καὶ τοῖς γυμμασίοις καὶ τοις πολεμικοις αγώσι Π Bekk. 28. τῷ μόνον μόνον τῷ Reiz, Richards, but possibly μόνον is here displaced as οὐ μύνον sometimes is, e.g. in De An. 3. 6. 430 b 4 sq. (Bon. Ind. s. v. µ6vor). 30. οὐδέ γὰρ Π¹ Sus.: οὐ γὰρ Π² Bekk. It is more likely that οὐδέ

has been changed into οὐ than that οὐ has been changed into οὐδὶ. Compare the readings in 1293 a 7 and see critical note on 1261 b 7. οὐδὲ τῶν ἄλλων θηρίων] Vet. Int. neque aliarum ferarum aliqua, but whether he found τι added in Γ after θηρίων may well be doubted. Vict. and Bekk. add it without necessity.

33. ἀπαιδαγώγους M⁸ P¹⁸⁴⁸ Sb Tb Ald. Sus.: ἀπαιδαγωγήτους P² Bekk. In Eth. Nic. 4. 3. 1121 b 11 (the only other reference for either word given in the Index Aristotelicus) all MSS. have ἀπαιδαγώγητος.

1339 a. 1. добрата П L⁸ Ald. Sus.: добрата P^{2 3 4} etc. Bekk. explanatory note. 5. γένωνται Π² Bekk. Sus.: γίνωνται M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ (Vet. Int. fiunt). 11. διηπορήκαμεν M⁸ P¹ and probably r (Vet. Int. dubitavimus), Sus.: διηπορήσαμεν Π2 Bekk. $\tau a \iota \Pi$: the reading of Γ is of course uncertain (Vet. Int. fiat): γίνηται? Sus. But cp. 4 (7). 16. 1334 b 29 sq., where II² and possibly Γ have οπως γένηται. 14. είπειεν P2 Bekk. Sus. : είποιεν the rest: the reading of Γ is uncertain. 18. οὖτε τῶν σπουδαίων] z has neque studiosorum: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have neque studiosorum sunt. αμα παύει μέριμναν Π2 Bekk.1: αμα μέριμναν παύει Π1 Sus.: αναπαύει μέριμναν Göttling, Bekk.2 perhaps rightly (cp. Eurip. Bacch. 332 sqq. Bothe, quoted in explanatory note on 1339 a 17). υπνω Ar., Reiz, Bekk.2, Sus.: οίνω Γ II Bekk.1. Οίνος and υπνος are often interchanged in the MSS.: see Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. 22. r. om. M. P1, but not r (Vet. Int. secundum Gr. p. 393. 23. παρασκευάζει παρασκευάζειν Γ Με. 24. δύνασθαι aliquid). 25. καὶ πρὸς Φρόνησιν See explanatory note on om. r Ms. 1330 a 26. 29. γε παισίν P1, τε παισίν H2 Bekk. , παισίν M8: the reading of P is uncertain, for Vet. Int. seldom renders ye or re (he has deductionem pueris here). I read ye παισίν with P1 Coray and Eucken (De Partic, Usu, p. 15): see explanatory note and Class. Rev. 7. 305, note 1. Bekk.2 reads [re] maioiv. 30. οὐθενὶ H2 Bekk.: οὐδέν M8 P1: οὐδέ Γ (Vet. Int. neque). Π¹ make the same mistake in 1255 a 39. 33. δέοι Π2 Bekk. Sus.: δεί Γ M. pr. P1 (Vet. Int. oportet). 35, &' om. P2 Bekk. 89. Richards may well be right in adding τὰ before περί. See explanatory note. 40. åv om. II', but these MSS. occasionally omit av.

1339 b 4. ϵl Π^1 P^4 , $\epsilon l \eta$ the rest. 21. $\gamma o \tilde{\nu} n^2$ Bekk.: $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \Pi^1$ Sus.: see critical note on 1285 a 12. 26. Vet. Int. translates $d\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ kal by sed, as in 41. 33. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ om. Γ M^0 pr. P^1 . 37. of on. Γ M^0 pr. P^1 .

1340 a 6. Mª P¹ omit the second mpds. See critical note on

12. Vet. Int. gives no equivalent for ἀκροώμενοι, 1331 b 24. a b m leaving a lacuna before the equivalent for τῶν μιμήσεων: r probably omitted ακροώμενοι in a lacuna, for pr. Me omits ροώμενοι in a lacuna. 18. pr. Ms leaves a lacuna between xwols and άνθμῶν in which τῶν has disappeared, and there was probably a lacuna in the same place in T, for several MSS, of the Vet. Int. leave a lacuna between the equivalents for χωρίε and ρυθμών, but whether r omitted rw it is impossible to tell from Vet. Int. 27. καὶ αὐτὴν Π² Bekk. Sus.: κατ' αὐτὴν Mª P1, καθ' αὐτὴν rhythmis. r (Vet. Int. secundum se). As to αὐτὴν ἐκείνην see explanatory note. 34. ταῦτ' Π2 Bekk.: τοῦτ' Π1 Sus. 31. See explanatory note. έπὶ Π² Bekk.: ἀπὸ Π¹ Sus. 'Επὶ appears to be right: see Plut. Sympos. 9. 15. 2, quoted in explanatory note on 1340 a 34. παύσωνος Π1 Bekk. Sus., πάσωνος P2366 etc. and pr. P4. But in Poet. 2. 1448 a 6 Ac has παύσων and in Metaph. 9. 8. 1050 a 20, where some MSS. have πάσωνος or πάσσωνος, Ε has παύσωνος. The artist referred to in this passage of the Metaphysics was a sculptor, and Pauson was a painter, but he may have been a sculptor also. For the frequent interchange of a and av in MSS. see Mr. T. W. Allen in Journ. Hell. Studies, 15. 289. 37. Khu el Vet. Int. et si, but see critical note on 1278 b 7. 41. καὶ μὴ] z has et non: all the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have sed non.

1340 b 6. παιδείαν Ar. Bekk. Sus., παιδιάν Γ Π. 7. 88 III Sus. : γάρ Π² Bekk. 8. τὰ om. Π³ pr. P¹: Bekk. brackets it. ελευθεριωτέρας | Vet. Int. liberiores (ελευθερωτέρας Γ?). 11. ποιόν τι] z has qualem quendam in agreement with morem animae: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have qualem quandam. 14. Fore or fore I, fore P1: έχει the rest. άρμόττουσα] άρμοζόντως P4 L4 Ald, to suit the false reading tyes. See critical note on 1336 b 3, where these MSS. similarly correct ανελευθερίαν. 16. z has natura rightly for φύσει: is naturae in Sus.1 a misprint? 17. As to ήδυσμένων see explanatory note on 1340 b 16. 20. robs is wrongly added before aurous in II1: z has eas for rous aurous, but the other MSS. of Vet. Int. are probably right in reading eosdem. P123: 82 the rest (Vet. Int. autem), followed by Bekk. and 24. μη κοινωνήσαντας | Vet. Int. eos qui doubtfully by Sus. non communicaterunt, but this may well stand for μή κοινωνήσαντας: cp. 1281 a 30. where qui non honorantur stands for μη τιμωμένους, 1335 a 24, where quae usae fuerunt stands for xpnoapera, 1336 a 39, Where pueris qui cohibentur stands for rois naidiois diareivopévois, and 1322 a 4, where qui sustinent stands for ὑπομείναντες. critical note on 1269 a 18, though I have now little doubt that qui mutaverit there stands not for ὁ κινήσας but for κινήσας. άρχύτου Π² Bekk. and a correction in P¹ in the same ink as the MS.: ἀρχύτα M8 Dr. P1 Sus.: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. Archytae which form he found in his text. It is doubtful whether Aristotle wrote 'Αργύτα, for though in 1311 b 4 all MSS, but one or two have dépda, they have aujurou in the preceding line, and we find lσμηνίου in Rhet. 2. 23. 1398 b 4 and εὐαγόρου in Rhet. 2. 23. 1300 a 4. In Pol. 6 (4). 13. 1207 a 23, again, all MSS. have χαρώνδου, not γαρώνδα as in Strabo, p. 539. As to the usage in Attic inscriptions Meisterhans (Gramm. der att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 94) reports, 'Foreign personal names ending in -as in Athenian public documents of the fifth and fourth century B. C. form the genitive in -ου, not -α (Περδίκκου, 'Αμύντου, 'Αρύββου, and so forth). On the other hand -a occurs in a private inscription in the name of an Athenian (Χαιρεδήμου Φιλέα in an Attic inscription of the fifth century B. c.).' On the whole the chances are in favour of apxirov. In the nominative we have artimevidge, not artimevidae, in 3. 14. 1285 a 36, and ελλανοκράτης, not ελλανοκράτας, in 7 (5). 10. 1311 b 17, though the forms in -as are the local forms. 32. $\kappa a i \text{ om } \Pi^1$. **39.** γενομένην Π² Bekk. Sus.: γινομένην M⁸ P¹: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. factam which reading he found in his Greek text, for in 1337 a 13 factum stands for γιγνόμενον.

1341 a 8. πρὸς μὲν κ.τ.λ.] See explanatory note on 1341 a 7.

13. καὶ om. Π¹ Sus., but the authority of these MSS. is weak in omissions and especially in omissions of καί.

15. κοινῶ Γ P¹ rightly: the rest κοινωνῶ.

18. τι is added in Π¹ Sus. after άλλο.

19. ἔτερῶν ἐστιν Π² (except corr. P⁴, ἄλλο ἔτερῶν ἐστιν) and probably Γ (Vet. Int. alterum est), for Vet. Int. usually renders ἔτερος by alter and ἄλλος by alius: ἄλλο ἐστιν Μ² P¹, ἄλλο ἔστιν Sus. κλλο is probably repeated from the preceding line.

29. τὴν which Bekkeι omits before ἀρετῆν is omitted only in a few MSS. of little authority.

33. αὐτὸς om. Π¹.

1341 b 1. σαμβύκαι Gottling, Bekk., Sus.: σαμβύκαι Π²: ἵαμβοι Π¹.

2. εὐλόγως] z has rationabiliter rightly: the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have rationaliter.

3. δἡ om. Π¹.

4. Vet. Int. has enim for μὲν οὖν (or possibly μὲν, for pr. M³ omits οὖν in a lacuna), but see critical note on 1252 a 24.

11. αὐτοῦ Γ (Vet. Int. suimet) Bekk. Sus.: αὐτοῦ Π.

19-26. I retain δ' after σκεπτέον, thinking that its

presence in the text is due simply to the fact that owing to the long parenthesis, 10-18, Aristotle has forgotten that his protasis needs an apodosis. I bracket καὶ πρὸς παιδείαν, because, as Bonitz points out (Aristot. Stud. 2 and 3, p. 97 sq.), there is no such limitation in the solution of the problem given in 1342 a 1 sqq. Πρὸς παιδείαν has probably been repeated from the line below. 22 sq., \$\frac{1}{2} \tau pirov \delta \epsilon \tau viva \text{erepov}, there is much to be said for the emendation of Sus., # Tiva ETEPOV, TPÍTOV DE, but if we adopt this reading, it will be necessary, as Sus. sees, in order to obtain an apodosis, to translate rai, 25, 'also,' or else, which Sus. prefers. to expunge it. I feel inclined to suggest a greater change. Should not rpirov 860 be bracketed and 570 86 be read in place of έπειδή? Τρίτον δὲ (altered into τρίτον δεῖ) may be nothing more than a marginal gloss which has crept into the text, just as πρώτον μέν has done in some MSS. in 1265 a 21. I have obelized rpirov . . . ineidi to indicate that the soundness of the text is doubtful. I thought better of the state of the text in 19-26 when I wrote vol. i. p. 366, than I do now. 23. z has quontam autem for iπειδή (ἐπεὶ δὲ?): the other MSS. of Vet. Int. have quoniam. μέρος ΓΙΙ Bekk.: μέλος Tyrwhitt, Sus. possibly rightly. is added after vào in P124. 40. τρίτον δέ κ.τ.λ. | See explanatory note.

1342 a 1. οὐ . . . χρηστέον om. II¹. 8 ἐκ τῶν δ' M⁸ P^{1 2 8} Sus. : the reading of Γ is uncertain: ἐκ δὲ τῶν P^{4.6} L⁸ Ald. Bekk. καθισταμένους P³ H⁵ Bekk. Sus.: καθισταμένας Γ M⁶ P² pr. P¹ (Vet. Int. 11, δή Vet. Int. autem (δέ Γ ?). 15. καθαρτικά Γ Η Bekk.: πρακτικά Sauppe, Sus. See explanatory note. θετέον Spengel, Sus. . θετέον Γ Mª P2 pr. P1 corr. P4: the rest θεατέον. 18. θεατρικήν om. Γ Ma P1 and pr. P2 (it appears as a red-ink gloss in P2). Sus, brackets it. The Index Aristotelicus does not give any other instance of the use of the word θεατρικός. χρωσμένα P3 H3 Bekk. Sus.: παρακεχωρημένα Ma P2 pr. P1 and probably **r**: z has discretae perhaps rightly (see Sus.¹, p. 368), and this no doubt represents παρακεχωρημένα. 34. καταλείπει Vet. Int. admittet. 1342 b 10. τους μύθους Γ Π: τους Μυσούς Schn. Bekk. Sus. probably rightly. 17. τοις νεωτέροις See explanatory note on 17. είσὶ δέ-34, τὸ πρέπον. See explanatory note. 19. énáorois P8, followed by Bekk, and Sus.: éndorous the rest: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. quae decent singulos what reading he found in r. 21. xpópor P3 5 II3 and perhaps Ma (rightly, cp.

1329 a 33): the rest, including probably I (Vet. Int. per tempus), have χρόνου. Bekk. reads χρόνου, Sus. χρόνου. 23. (τω) Σωκράτει] So J. C. Wilson followed by Sus.: σωκράτει Π. We expect τώ Σωκράτει. as the Socrates of the Republic (398 E) is referred to. If in Rhet. 3. 14. 1415 b 30 we have δ γάρ λέγει Σωκράτης έν τῷ ἐπιταφίφ, in Rhet. 1. 9. 1367 b 8 (the same quotation) we have δ Σωκράτης. 26. As petulantia impetuosum is the equivalent for βακγευτικου in Vet. Int., the parenthesis in Sus. p. 371 which ends after magis should begin before petulantia, not before impetuosum. 29. Schn. Cor. and Göttling place a colon, not a full stop, after τοιούτων, but see explanatory note. 33. A is added after apportur by P1 and corr. P2 (i.e. a correction in P2 in the same ink as the MS.), followed by Sus., who however places the mark of a lacuna after $\hat{\eta}$. Göttling reads $\hat{\eta}$ in place of $\hat{\eta}$, comparing 2. 10. 1272 b q. Schn. and Cor, omit ή and add οὖν after δηλον. 34. I follow Vet. Int., Sus., and others in indicating a lacuna after πρέπου: see vol. ii. p. xxix.

BOOK III.

32. Τώ περί πολιτείας επισκοπούντι, και τίς εκάστη και ποία τις. C. 1. Attention has already been called (above on 1274 b 26) to the 1274 b. absence of any connecting particle. Hodireias is probably not the acc. plur., as some take it to be, but the gen. sing. as in 6 (4). 8. 1293 b 29, ημίν δε την μεθοδον είναι περί πολιτείας, 4 (7). 10. 1329 2 40, τοίς περί πολιτείας φιλοσοφούσιν, 6 (4). 1. 1288 b 35. των αποφαινομένων περί πολιτείας, and 2. 12. 1273 b 27, των δέ αποφηναμένων τι περί πολιτείας: cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1181 b 14, καί όλως δή περί πολιτείας, and Pol. 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 8, έν οίς περί βασιλείας enegropoù uev. From what has been said at the end of the First and the beginning of the Second Book we expect to be invited here to inquire into the nature of the best constitution, not into the nature of each constitution (see vol. i. p. 226), but the programme of the Politics given at the close of the Nicomachean Ethics contemplates an inquiry into the due structure of each constitution, and it is clear from Pol. 1. 13. 1260 b 12, ev rois mepì ràs modirelas, that Aristotle intends to study all constitutions, not the best only.

τίς ἐκάστη καὶ ποία τις. This inquiry is taken up in the Sixth and following chapters. The question ris kal moia ris is characteristic of Science (Eth. Nic. 1. 7. 1098 a 29, καὶ γὰρ τέκτων καὶ γεωμέτρης διαφερόντως επιζητούσι την ορθήν ο μέν γάρ εφ' όσον χρησίμη πρός τὸ ἔργον, ὁ δὲ τί ἐστιν ἡ ποιόν τι θεατής γάρ τάληθους). The distinction between ris and moia ris recurs in Pol. 6 (4). 1.1288 b 21 sqq. and 1. 3. 1253 b 7 sq. As to its nature, if we refer to Eth. Nic. 2. 4. 1106 2 12, δ τι μέν ουν έστι τφ γένει ή αρετή, είρηται δεί δε μή μόνον ούτως είπείν, ότι έξις, άλλα και ποία τις, we shall be tempted to take ris in the passage before us as asking what is the genus, and noise rese as asking what is the differentia, but it would seem that the answer to ris is rather the definition, while the answer to moia res is given in further illustrative details, and that the sense K

of the words is 'what is the nature of each and how each may be described.' Cp. Plato, Gorg. 448 E, ΠΩΛ. οὐ γὰρ ἀπεκρινάμην, ὅτι εἶη ἡ καλλίστη; ΣΩ. καὶ μάλα γε. ἀλλ' οὐδεὶς ἐρωτῷ, ποία τις εἵη ἡ Γοργίου τέχνη, ἀλλὰ τίς, καὶ ὅντινα δέοι καλεῖν τὸν Γοργίαν: Aristot. Metaph. H. 3. 1043 b 23, ὥστε ἡ ἀπορία ἡν οί ᾿Αντισθένειοι καὶ οἱ οῦτως ἀπαίδευτοι ἡπόρουν ἔχει τινὰ καιρόν, ὅτι οὐκ ἔστι τὸ τί ἔστιν ὁρίσασθαι (τὸν γὰρ ὅρον λόγον εἶναι μακρόν), ἀλλὰ ποῖον μέν τί ἐστιν ἐνδέχεται καὶ διδάξαι, ὥσπερ ἄργυρον, τί μέν ἐστιν, οῦ, ὅτι δ' οἶον καττίτερος. Cp. also Plato, Symp. 201 E, Euthyphr. 11 A, Meno 71 B, Rep. 328 E, and Aristot. Eth. Nic. 10. 3. 1174 a 13, τί δ' ἐστὶν ἡ ποῖόν τι (sc. ἡ ἡδονή), where Ramsauer thinks that ἡ ποῖόν τι is added because Aristotle feels that he fails in what follows to give a precise definition of pleasure. In Plato, Rep. 557 B sqq. in answer to the question ποία τις ἡ τοιαύτη πολιτεία a description of a State democratically governed is given.

33. περί πόλεως is of course to be taken, not with σκέψις, but with lôείν.

34 sqq. Aristotle gives three reasons for inquiring into the nature of the πόλις before passing on to the πολιτεία:—1. The nature of the πόλιε is a disputed question. 2. The statesman and the lawgiver are concerned with the πόλις, hence an inquiry into its nature is not only needed but belongs to the province of the πολιτική ἐπιστήμη. 3. The constitution (which is the subject of his treatise) is an ordering of those who dwell in the $\pi \delta \lambda is$. As to the first reason, see c. 3. 1276 a 6 sqq. and notes, and compare also (with Eaton) Thuc. 3. 62. 4 sq. As to the second reason, cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1102 2 7 sqq., δοκεί δε καὶ ό κατ' αλήθειαν πολιτικός περὶ ταύτην (i. e. την αρετήν) μάλιστα πεπονήσθαι, where a similar reason is given for the study of virtue. As to the third, we learn from c. 6. 1278 b 8 sqq., 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 15 sqq., and 6 (4). 3. 1290 a 7 sqq. what kind of ordering a constitution is (Sus.2, note 432 b: Sus.4, 1. p. 354). Aristotle's inquiry into the nature of the πόλιε and the citizen helps him to answer the question which he takes up later on, what a moderaia is, because it discloses that the modes is an aggregate of citizens, or in other words of men possessing access to office and therefore either actual or possible rulers, and thus leads on to the conclusion arrived at in c. 6, that the moderate is an ordering of the offices of the moles and especially of its supreme office (1278 b 8 sq.). The fact that the πόλις consists of actual or possible rulers also suggests the further conclusion that it is a κοινωνία τῶν ἐλευθέρων (c. 6. 1279 a 21), and that in the normal πολιτεία the kind of rule exercised is not the rule of a master over his slaves but rule for the common good. Thus the inquiry into the nature of the πολις and the citizen is fruitful of important results. It also incidentally discloses the existence of a plurality of constitutions differing from one another. It will be observed that Aristotle conceives the statesman to be concerned only with the πόλις, not with the ἄθνος, an error into which he was possibly led in part by the use of the word πολιτικός to designate a statesman. The ἄθνος, whether in the form of an aggregate of cities or of villages, certainly deserved to be carefully studied by him. See as to the ἄθνος vol. i. p. 39 and note on 1326 b 3.

34. vũv, 'as it is,' without any special reference to the time at which Aristotle is writing.

36. τοῦ δὲ πολιτικοῦ καὶ τοῦ νομοθέτου πάσαν ὁρῶμεν τὴν πραγματείαν οὖσαν περὶ πόλιν. Bonitz (Ind. 629 b 29) groups this passage with Eth. Nic. 2. 2. 1105 a 10, ώστε καὶ διὰ τοῦτο περὶ ἡδονὰς καὶ λύπας πάσα ἡ πραγματεία καὶ τῆ ἀρετῆ καὶ τῆ πολιτικῆ, and Metaph. K. 1. 1059 b 16 sqq.

τοῦ πολιτικοῦ καὶ τοῦ νομοθέτου, often mentioned in the Politics in combination: see Bon. Ind. 488 b 11, where 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 4, 6 (4). 1. 1288 b 27, and 7 (5). 9. 1309 b 35 are referred to. See also Plato, Polit. 309 C.

38. ἐπεὶ δ' ἡ πόλις κ. τ. λ. The πόλις is a compound in the sense of being a whole composed of many parts. Not all compounds are wholes: see Metaph. Z. 17. 1041 b 11 sqq., which. following Grote (Aristotle 2. 348), we may thus paraphrase-'Compounds are of two sorts—aggregates like a heap (mechanical) and aggregates like a syllable (organic or formal). In these last there are not merely the constituent elements, but something else besides. The syllable ba is something more than the letters b and a; flesh is something more than fire and earth, its constituent elements . . . This "something more" is the essence of each compound—the First Cause of existence to each.' A whole is a compound of the second kind; in it, as in a syllable, there is over and above the constituent elements an essence which is its First Cause. 'Voce δλον Aristoteles fere significat id quod per certam formam definitum ac consummatum est; cf. Metaph. I. 1. 1052 2 22, τὸ ὅλον καὶ ἔχον τινὰ μορφήν καὶ είδος: Δ. 6. 1016 b 12, δυ μή τι όλου ή, τούτο δὶ δυ μή τὸ είδος έχη έν: M. 2. 1077 a 28:

- M. 8. 1084 b 30' (Bonitz on Metaph. A. 1. 1069 a 18). A whole is in fact a kind of $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ (see the passages referred to in Bon. Ind. 223 a 25 sqq.), and thus, while the $\pi\delta\lambda\iota s$ is termed a whole here and in 1. 2. 1253 a 20 and 4 (7). 8. 1328 a 21 sqq., it is termed an $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ in 2. 2. 1261 a 29. It is implied in the passage before us that there are wholes which are not composed of many parts, or perhaps of parts at all. A monad, a point, and a sound $(\phi\theta\delta\gamma\gamma s)$ are given in Diog. Laert. 3. 107 as instances of things which are not compound. Are these wholes? As to the method of examining the parts in order to learn the nature of the whole, see above on 1252 a 17. The parts of the $\pi\delta\lambda\iota s$ are here taken to be citizens: for other uses of the term see vol. i. pp. 98, 495, and Appendix A.
- 41. ἡ γὰρ πόλις πολιτῶν τι πληθός ἐστιν. Τι πληθός is explained in 1275 b 20 by πληθός Ικανὸν πρὸς αὐτάρκειαν ζωῆς, ὡς ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν. On this account of the πόλις see vol. i. p. 226 sq., and for other accounts of it given in the Politics, vol. i. p. 283 sq. Compare with the passage before us Justin 2. 12. 14, Themistocles . . . persuadet omnibus patriam municipes esse, non moenia, civitatemque non in aedificiis, sed in civibus positam.
- 1275 a. 1. τίνα χρή καλειν πολίτην και τίς δ πολίτης εστί. Cp. Plato, Gorg. 448 E, αλλ' οὐδεις έρωτα ποια τις είη ή Γοργίου τέχνη, αλλα τίς, και δυτινα δέοι καλειν τον Γοργίαν.
 - 3. ἔστι γάρ τις κ.τ.λ. For instance the βάναυσος, who was not a citizen in the full sense in the Theban oligarchy (c. 5. 1278 a 25: 8 (6). 7. 1321 a 28) or probably in oligarchies based on birth, though he would often be so in oligarchies based simply on a property-qualification (c. 5. 1278 a 21 sqq.).
 - 5. τοὺς μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. "Αλλως πως, 'in some other sense than the proper one,' opposed to κυρίως or οἰκείως: cp. Eth. Eud. 1. 5. 1216a 23, ἀλλ' οἱ πολιοὶ τῶν πολιτικῶν οἰκ ἀληθῶς τυγχάνουσι τῆς προσηγορίας οἰ γάρ εἰσι πολιτικοὶ κατὰ τὴν ἀλήθειαν, and Eth. Nic. 1. 4. 1096 b 11, τὰ δὲ ποιητικὰ τούτων (i. e. τῶν καθ' αὐτὰ διωκομένων καὶ ἀγαπωμένων) ἢ φυλακτικά πως ἢ τῶν ἐναντίων κωλυτικὰ διὰ ταῦτα λέγεσθαι καὶ τρόπον ἄλλον. Ποιητοὶ πολίται were excluded at Athens from the archonship and from priesthoods ([Demosth.] c. Neaer. c. 92), and often did not reside within the State which made them citizens: thus Dion was a ποιητὸς πολίτης of the Lacedaemonian State (Plut. Dion, cc. 17, 49). See as to ποιητοὶ πολίται Gilbert. Constitutional Antiquities of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 184 sqq.

- 7. οδ τή οἰκεῖν που. See explanatory note on 1260 b 41. 'In the Athenian use of language a resident alien was described in contrast to a citizen, not as a demot, but as a dweller in a deme—e.g. in Corp. Inscr. Att. 1. 324 we read Τεῦκρος ἐν Κυδα-θηναίω οἰκῶν' (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 1. 170=Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 177). Whether the resident alien was termed μέτοικος, πάροικος ἔνοικος, κάτοικος, σύνοικος, οτ ἔποικος (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 294. 1), his designation always expressed residence. Cp. Herondas 2. 94, ἄπασι τοῖς οἰκεῦσι τὴν πόλιν ξείνοις.
- 8. οὐδ' οἱ κ.τ.λ., 'nor are those citizens who, [as metoeci usually do,] share in political rights to the extent of undergoing trial and suing.' It would have been more regular if Aristotle had continued οὐδὲ τῷ τῶν δικαίων μετέχειν κ.τ.λ.
 - 10. τούτο, i.e. τὸ τῶν δικαίων μετέχειν οῦτως ώστε κ.τ.λ.

τοῖς ἀπὸ συμβόλων κοινωνοῦσιν, who are obviously not citizens of the State in which they possess these rights. 'Από denotes the cause or origin of the association (Bon. Ind. 77 b 51 sqq.).

11. ταῦτα, i.e. τὸ δίκην ὑπέχειν καὶ δικάζεσθαι, and so τούτων, 12. The parenthetic remark, καὶ γὰρ ταῦτα τούτοις ὑπάρχει, seems needless, but for equally needless parenthetic remarks see c. 11.1282 a 36 sqq. and c. 12.1282 b 39.

πολλαχοῦ μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ., 'nay in many places,' etc., so that in these places metoeci are still further removed from citizenship than in places in which they completely share in these rights. Μὲν οὖν has nothing to answer to it. It is here used in the sense of 'nay,' as in Rhet. 2. 23. 1399a 15, 23. 'To what exact extent the resident aliens at Athens were obliged to allow themselves to be represented by their προστάτης we have no means of saying, but it can be shown that they could plead their cause before a judicial tribunal themselves: this is proved by the speech of Demosthenes against Dionysodorus, where the speaker is a resident alien... In Herondas 2, again, the πορνοβοσκός, who pleads in person before a Coan court of justice, is obviously a μέτοικος, vv. 15, 40, 92 sqq.' (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 1. 170=Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 177 sq.).

12. For νέμειν προστάτην, 'to take,' or 'choose,' 'a patron' (Liddell and Scott), cp. Isocr. De Pace, § 53, καὶ τοὺε μὲν μετοίκους τοιούτους εἶναι νομίζομεν, οῖους περ ἀν τοὺς προστάτας νέμωσιν, and Pollux 8. 35, τῶν οὺ νεμόντων προστάτην μετοίκων.

- 13. τῆς τοιαύτης κοινωνίας, 'of the kind of association which has just been described,' i. e. of the association which goes no further than a right to sue and be sued.
- 14. ἀλλὰ καθάπερ κ.τ.λ., 'but [we may dismiss these from consideration], just as,' etc.
- 15. ἐγγεγραμμένους, i.e. at Athens in the ληξιαρχικὸν γραμματεῖον, or list of citizens kept by the demarch, as to which see note on 1336 b 37. Boyhood is usually made to cease not, as here, on entry in this list, but at puberty (see note on 1333 b 3).

τούς γέροντας τούς άφειμένους. Cp. Plut. Tit. Flaminin., c. 21, ώσπερ ύπο γήρως απτήνα και κόλουρον αφειμένον ζήν χειροήθη τον Αννίβαν αποκτείνας, and Plato, Rep. 498 C, όταν δε λήγη μεν ή ρώμη, πολιτικών δε και στρατειών εκτός γίγνηται, τύτε ήδη άφετους νέμεσθαι κ.τ.λ. Ιτ would seem that after a certain age old citizens were excused attendance at the assembly and the dicasteries, unless we take Aristotle to refer merely to their exemption from military service, as to which see Lycurg. c. Leocr. c. 40 and Diod. 14. 74. 1 sq. That attendance at the meetings of the assembly was to a certain extent compulsory at Athens, we see from Pollux 8. 104, though the rich seem often to have escaped attendance (6 (4). 6. 1293 a 8). Giphanius (p. 292) compares the 'senes depontani, seu de ponte deiiciendi, ut vocabant Romani, who were excluded from the bridge which led to the Septa, the place where the comitia voted: see as to them Mommsen, Röm. Staatsrecht 3. 401. 3, and other passages referred to by Willems, Droit Public Romain, р. 167. 9.

16. φατέον είναι μέν πως πολίτας, οὐχ ἀπλῶς δὲ λίαν. Λίαν qualifies ἀπλῶς in the sense of 'very' or 'quite': cp. [Plato,] Ετγχ. 393 Ε, ὅπως ἀν βέλτιστα λίαν πράττοι τά τε αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ πράγματα καὶ τὰ τῶν φίλων. Λίαν alone (without any ἀπλῶς) stands in contrast to πως in De Part. An. 3. 7. 669 b 36 sqq.

dλλά προστιθέντας κ.τ.λ. Supply φατέον είναι. For the case of προστιθέντας see Jelf, Gr. Grammar, § 613, Obs. 5, and cp. c. 3. 1276 b 10, 4 (7). 1. 1323 a 21 sqq., and 1324 a 2 sq. In 4 (7). 16. 1335 b 3, however, we have ἐπιστήσασι μὲν μᾶλλον λεκτέον κ.τ.λ. 'The dative and the accusative of the agent are both allowed with the verbal in -τέον' (Goodwin, Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb, ed. 2, § 926).

17. dτελείς. Cp. c. 5. 1278 a 4, where of παίδες are said to be πολίται ἀτελείς, and therefore πολίται έξ ὑποθέσεως.

- 19. ζητοῦμεν γάρ κ.τ.λ. Vict. 'quaerimus enim hic civem simpliciter, et qui nullam quasi culpam in se contineat, quam oratione corrigere oporteat, ut factum est in superioribus generibus civium. "Εγκλημα must here mean, not 'accusation,' but 'culpa' ('defect': Sus. 'Mangel'), for if we construe 'accusation,' the accusation will be said to 'need correction,' which is not the sense required. Bonitz (Ind. s.v.) marks off the use of ἔγκλημα in this passage from its ordinary use. 'Frohberger on Lys. 10. 23 quotes Xen. Hell. 7. 4. 34: Lys. 16. 10: Polyb. 2. 52. 4: Demosth. 1. 7, in all which passages ξγκλημα seems practically to mean "offence" (Richards). Táp. 10, probably refers not to what immediately precedes but to the general sense of the preceding passage (like enei . . . ye in 2. 7.1267a 12, where see note), and especially to the clause suppressed in 14 ('we may dismiss these from consideration'). Of arthor kail φυγάδες are referred to as also being πως πυλίται, being so far citizens that their disqualification was in the case of some arrupor incomplete, and in the case of all aripor and puyades reversible (see Schömann, Antiq. Iur. Publ. Graec. pp. 199, 234). For τον άπλως πολίτην cp. Antiphanes, Τριταγωνιστής (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 121), την αληθώς μουσικήν. Καὶ, 20, 'also,' not 'both.'
- 22. πολίτης δ' ἀπλῶς κ.τ.λ. 'Απλῶς is to be taken with πολίτης. For τὸν ἀπλῶς πολίτην, 19, followed by πολίτης δ' ἀπλῶς here, see note on 1276 b 28. As to κρίσεως, see vol. i. p. 230, note 1. Κρίσις here seems to mean ἡ τῶν δικαίων κρίσις (Plato, Laws 766 I)). A Greek hardly felt himself to be a citizen if he was excluded from all share in judicial functions (Plato, Laws 768 B, ὁ γὰρ ἀκοινώνητος ὧν ἐξουσίας τοῦ συνδικάζειν ἡγεῖται τὸ παράπαν τῆς πόλεως οὐ μέτοχος εἶναι). 'Αρχή is a wider term than κρίσις and is made in what follows to include κρίσις (e.g. in 1275 b 18 sq.).
- 23. τῶν δ' ἀρχῶν αὶ μέν εἰσι διηρημέναι κατὰ χρόνον, 'now of magistracies some are severed in point of time,' i. e. their tenure is severed, they cannot be held continuously. So Bernays, 'ein Theil der Aemter freilich erleidet zeitliche Unterbrechung.' For the contrast of διηρημένος and συνεχής cp. 1. 5. 1254 a 29, εἶτε ἐκ συνεχῶν εῖτε ἐκ διηρημένων, and Phys. 4. 4. 211 a 29. Compare also ἀδιαίρετον κατὰ χρόνον in Metaph. M. 8. 1084 b 14 sqq. Mr. Welldon translates, 'some offices of State are determinate in point of time,' but can other passages be produced in which διαιρεῖσθαι bears this meaning? It would be easier to explain the passage thus if we read with Scaliger and Sus. διωρισμέναι in place of διηρημέναι.

- 24. ὧστ' ἐνίας μὲν κ.τ.λ. Cp. 6 (4). 15. 1299 a 37 sq. Ἐνίας μέν should have been followed by ἐνίας δέ, but it is in fact followed by ἢ, 25: compare the way in which in 7 (5). 6. 1305 b 24 ἡ μέν is followed by ἢ ὅταν, 28, and in Poet. 3. 1448 a 21, 23, ὁτὲ μέν is followed by ἢ. See also note on 1338 b 1. The Lacedaemonian admiralship could not be held twice by the same person (Xen. Hell. 2. 1. γ), and the same was the case with many offices at Athens ('Aθ. Πολ. c. 62 sub fin.). At Thurii at one time a repeated tenure of the office of stratêgus by the same individual was prohibited except after an interval of five years (γ (5). γ. 1307 b γ). See on this subject Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 1. 206. 1 (=Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 215. 4) and 2. 320. 1.
- 26. δ δ' δόριστος. We expect al δ' αόριστοι, but see note on 1258 b 26.

τάχα μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Μὲν οὖν is answered by ἀλλά, 29. Οὐδ ἄρχοντας, 'not even magistrates, [to say nothing of their being magistrates unlimited in respect of time].' Philocleon in the Vespae of Aristophanes will not hear of the function of dicast not being a magistracy: see Vesp. 548-551 (Didot), 575,

άρ' οὐ μεγάλη τοῦτ' ἔστ' ἀρχή καὶ τοῦ πλούτου καταχήνη;

and 619 sqq. Plato in the Laws (767 A: cp. 768 C) holds that if a dicast is not in strictness a magistrate, he is in a sense a magistrate, and an important one too, on the day on which he decides a lawsuit. Cp. Aeschin. c. Ctes. c. 233, ἀνῆρ γὰρ ἰδιώτης ἐν πόλει δημοκρατουμένη νόμφ καὶ ψήφφ βασιλεύει. But dicasts and magistrates were no doubt commonly distinguished: Strabo, for instance (p. 665), speaks of δικασταί and ἄρχοντες, and Aristotle himself in the Sixth (old Fourth) Book distinguishes between ἀρχαί and τὸ δικαστικόν (6 (4). 14. 1297 b 41 sqq.). When he speaks otherwise in 7 (5). 6. 1306 b 8 sq., he is referring to oligarchical constitutions in which the judge was really a magistrate. A member of the assembly, on the other hand, would be less likely to claim to be a magistrate. In c. 11. 1282 a 34 Aristotle says that dicasts and members of the Boulê and the assembly are not magistrates, but parts of a magistracy.

- 27. τούς τοιούτους, 'the above-mentioned,' i.e. dicasts and members of the assembly.
- 28. διὰ ταῦτ', by reason of their being dicasts and members of the assembly.

τοὺς κυριωτάτους. Cp. c. 11. 1282 a 25 sqq. and 2. 12. 1274 a 4 sqq., and see Philocleon's description in the Vespae of the greatness of his own position as dicast. The deliberative is described as 'supreme over the constitution' in 6 (4). 14. 1299 a 1 and 8 (6). 1. 1316 b 31 sq. (cp. 2. 6. 1264 b 33 sq.).

- 29. περὶ δνόματος γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for the dispute is about a name, for the difficulty arises from the fact that there is no single word in use to designate that which a dicast and a member of the assembly have in common, [and to tell us] what we ought to call the functions of both.' Cp. Meteor. 1. 4. 341 b 15, ἀνώνυμον γὰρ τὸ κοινὸν ἐπὶ πάσης τῆς καπνώδους διακρίσεως. Τί δεῖ ταῦτ' ἄμφω καλεῖν is perhaps added because ἀνώνυμος has much of the sense of ἄδηλος, which is coupled with it in Metaph. Z. 7. 1033 a 13, ὧν δ' ἡ στέρησις ἄδηλος καὶ ἀνώνυμος. Compare, however, also such sentences as 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 15, πολιτεία μὲν γάρ ἐστι τάξις ταῖς πόλεσιν ἡ περὶ τὰς ἀρχάς, τίνα τρόπον νενέμηνται, and 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 5, ἔστι δὲ πολιτικῆς χορηγίας πρῶτον τό τε πλῆθος τῶν ἀνθρώπων, πόσους τε καὶ ποίους τινὰς ὑπάρχειν δεῖ φύσει κ.τ.λ.
- 31. διορισμοῦ χάριν, 'distinctionis causa' (Bon. Ind. 200 a 60, where 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 13 and Magn. Mor. 1. 34. 1195 a 27 are referred to).
- 32. dóριστος ἀρχή. When an adjective and substantive are without the article, the substantive is usually in the Politics placed first and the adjective second (cp. for instance 1275 b 18, ἀρχῆς βουλευτικῆς ἡ κριτικῆς, c. 4. 1276 b 17, ἀνδρὸς ἀγαθοῦ καὶ πολίτου σπουδαίου, and 1277 a 33, ἀρχὴ δεσποτική), but now and then we find the reverse order adopted, e.g. in the passage before us and in c. 11. 1281 b 35, ἱκανὴν αἴσθησιν. When under these circumstances the adjective is placed first, it is usually intended to be emphasized. Πολύς and some other adjectives are exceptions to this rule; they commonly precede the substantive with which they agree (7 (5). 10. 1312 b 25: 7 (5). 11. 1314 b 27, 30), and are placed after it when they are emphatic (3. 16. 1287 b 29: Plato, Phaedr. 274 E, å λόγος πολὺς ὧν τῆς διελθεῖν: Demosth. in Lept. c. 162).

τοὺς οῦτω μετέχοντας, those who share as διριστοι δρχοντες, in tacit contrast to 1275 a 8, οἱ τῶν δικαίων μετέχοντες οὕτως ὥστε καὶ δικην ὑπέχειν καὶ δικάζεσθαι. Cp. c. 9. 1280 b 25, οὖτω κοινωνοῦντες.

33. δ μέν οδν μάλιστ' αν έφαρμόσας πολίτης κ.τ.λ. Πολίτης = διορισμός τοῦ πολίτου. We are told in fact later that the definition

of the citizen which rests on extraction fails to suit persons whom nevertheless all would hold to be citizens (1275 b 32). Έφαρμόττειν is a word often used by Aristotle, but it would seem to be rather a poetical than a prose word, and it does not appear to occur in Plato, Thucydides, or the Attic orators, nor indeed in Xenophon, unless the Agesilaus is his work.

- 34. δεῖ δὲ μὴ λανθάνειν . . . 1275 b 5, πολιτείαν. See vol. i. p. 242 for an interpretation of this passage. The πολιτείαι are the ὑποκείμενα of the citizen because they are the 'res ad quas refertur' πολίτου 'notio et a quibus suspensa est' (Bon. Ind. 798 b 59, cp. 799 a 16). I follow the interpretation of Bonitz, as do also Prof. Jowett (Politics, 2. 106) and Prof. J. A. Stewart (Class. Rev. 9. 455 sq.).
 - 35. τῶν πραγμάτων is probably a partitive genitive after οίς.
- 36. καὶ τὸ μὲν αὐτῶν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 4. 1096 a 19-23: Eth. Eud. 1. 8. 1218 a 1 sqq.: Metaph. B. 3. 999 a 6 sqq.: and see Zeller, Plato, Eng. Trans., p. 256, note 103 (esp. p. 259).
- 37. ἢ τοιαῦτα, i.e. in the case before us 'as citizens.' The citizen of the best constitution and the citizen of an extreme democracy may have much in common with each other as animals, but little or nothing as citizens.
- 1275 b. 1. τὰς γὰρ ἡμαρτημένας κ.τ.λ. Bonitz (Ind. 652 a 51 sqq.) groups with the passage before us Categ. 12. 14 b 4 sqq. (see Waitz on 14 a 26) and Metaph. B. 2. 997 a 12, where προτέρα is conjoined with κυριωτέρα. Cp. also Pol. 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 40, τῆς πρώτης καὶ θειοτάτης (πολιτείας), and Plut. Sympos. 2. 3. 3, καὶ λόγον ἔχει τοῦ ἀτελοῦς ψύσει πρώτερον εἶναι τὸ τέλειον, ὡς τοῦ πεπηρωμένου τὸ ὁλόκληρον καὶ τοῦ μέρους τὸ όλον.
 - 2. τὰς δὲ παρεκβεβηκυίας κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has, however, already used the term παρεκβάσεις (2. 11. 1273 a 3).
 - **δ. δ λεχθείς.** Cp. 1275 a 32. 'He who shares in the dόριστος dρχή of the dicast and the member of the assembly.'
 - ἐν μὲν δημοκρατία μάλιστα. These words are to be taken together.
 - 7. (ἐν) ἐνίαις γὰρ οὖκ ἔστι δῆμος, sc. πολιτείαις. Δῆμος appears here to mean 'a people gathered in an assembly,' 'a body of ecclesiastae' (cp. c. 11. 1282 a 34 sqq., where ὁ ἐκκλησιαστής is said to be a μόμιον of ὁ δῆμος), while ἐκκλησία means the institution itself, the assembly.
 - 8. συγκλήτους, such as, for instance, the 5000 at Athens, whom the 400 were to call together whenever they pleased (Thuc. 8.

67. 3). The contrast drawn implies that it was of the essence of an έκκλησία to meet at regular intervals, and not merely when the authorities of the State chose to convoke it. Compare the Pregadi at Venice. 'C'est le nom qu'on donnait aux sénateurs, parce que dans l'origine, alors qu'il n'existait pas de jour fixe pour leurs séances, on allait à domicile prier chaque membre de vouloir bien se rendre au Palais Ducal' (Yriarte, Vie d'un Patricien de Venise, p. 78). Schömann (Antiqq. Iuris Publ. Graec. p. 82, note 6) refers to the enikanto instituted by Lysimachus at Ephesus (Strabo, ρ. 640, ην δε γερουσία καταγραφομένη, τούτοις δε συνήεσαν οι επίκλητοι καλούμενοι καὶ διώκουν πάντα), but the nature of these επίκλητοι is obscure. Perhaps we should compare with them the eneignantos of 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 30. l. 21 sqq. (ed. Sandys). The members of the council of the Aetolian League were called ἀπόκλητοι (see as to them Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 28. 4). Σύγκλητοι would be officials, not αυριστοι άρχοντες.

κατὰ μέρος, 'by sections': cp. 6 (4). 16. 1301 a 1, τοσοῦτοι δ' ἔτεροι καὶ οἱ κατὰ μέρος (τρόποι), opposed to τὸ πάντας κρίνειν. Lambinus adopts a different interpretation, 'et lites controversiasque alias alii cognoscunt ac disceptant,' and so Vict. and Bonitz ('aliam alius magistratus,' Ind. 455 b 7), but this rendering seems inconsistent with 11, τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον καὶ περὶ Καρχηδόνα, for this does not appear to have been the plan followed at Carthage.

- 9. οδον έν Λακεδαίμονι τὰς τῶν συμβολαίων δικάζει τῶν ἐφόρων ἄλλος ἄλλας. This is confirmed by Plut. Apophth. Lac. Eurycratidas, διὰ τί περὶ τὰ τῶν συμβολαίων δίκαια ἐκάστης ἡμέρας κρίνουσιν οἱ ἔφοροι.
- 10. ἐτέρα δ' ἴσως ἀρχή τις ἐτέρας. Ε.g. the kings (Hdt. 6. 57, δικάζειν δὲ μούνους τοὺς βασιλέας τοσάδε μοῦνα' πατρούχου τε παρθένου πέρι, ἐς τὸν ἰκνέεται ἔχειν, ῆν μή περ ὁ πατὴρ αὐτὴν ἐγγυήση, καὶ ὁδῶν δημοσιέων πέρι).
 - 11. τον αυτον δε τρόπον κ.τ.λ. See note on 1273 a 19.
- 13. ἀλλ' ἔχει γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'however [we need not give up] our definition of a citizen, as it admits of correction' (Mr. Welldon).
- 14. ταῖς ἄλλαις πολιταίαις, i.e. other than democracy, as in 6. Surely, however, an assembly and discasteries will exist in a polity? An assembly, indeed, appears to have existed in some oligarchies also, though members of it were required to possess a high property-qualification (6 (4). 9. 1294 b 3 sq.) or it was made harmless in some way (6 (4). 14. 1298 b 26 sqq.), and not only an

assembly, but dicasteries of which the poor were at any rate nominally members (6 (4). 9. 1294 a 37 sqq.: 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 16 sqq.).

- 15. δ κατά τὴν ἀρχὴν ὡρισμένος, literally 'he who is limited [in point of time] in respect of his office,' i.e. the holder of office for a limited period. Cp. Plut. Pericl. c. 10, δ μὲν οὖν ἐξυστρακισμὸς ὡρισμένην εἶχε νόμφ δεκαετίαν τοῖς φεύγουσιν.
 - 16. τούτων, i.e. των κατά την άρχην ωρισμένων.

risis, as at Carthage, so far at least as judicial authority is concerned, for a share of deliberative authority was accorded at Carthage to the popular assembly (2. 11. 1273 a 6 sqq.). In many oligarchies, probably, the power of deliberating about all matters and trying all suits was possessed by a part or the whole of the holders of office.

17. περί τινῶν. This would be characteristic of an aristocracy or a polity rather than an oligarchy (6 (4). 14. 1298 b 5 sqq.: 6 (4). 16. 1301 a 13 sqq.).

18. ψ γὰρ ἐξουσία κ.τ.λ. Participation in either deliberative or judicial office is, it would seem, enough to constitute a citizen: thus if, as in some oligarchies, e.g. that of Heracleia on the Euxine (7 (5). 6. 1305 b 34), the dicasteries are recruited from those outside the ruling class, the members of them would be citizens. Aristotle's view that full citizenship is constituted by access to deliberative and judicial office is quite in harmony with his description of the deliberative and judiciary of a State as 'parts of the State in an especial sense' (6 (4). 4. 1291 a 24 sqq.). In c. 5. 1278 a 35, however, we are told that δ μετέχων τῶν τιμῶν not simply ἀρχης βουλευτικής ή κριτικής—is ὁ μάλιστα πολίτης. Still it would seem that a man may be a full citizen without access to al άρχαί strictly so called, for at Malis ol ωπλιτευκότες were citizens, though they were not eligible for ai doxai (6 (4). 13. 1297 b 14). Whether the mere right to elect magistrates, which is distinguished from deliberative authority in 8 (6). 4. 1318 b 21 sqq., would be sufficient in Aristotle's view to constitute citizenship, may be doubted. We gather from 3. 14. 1285 a 25 sqq. and 7 (5). 10. 1311 a 7 that there are citizens in States ruled by kings, but Aristotle nowhere explicitly takes account of such citizens, nor does he explain their position.

19. ταύτης τῆς πόλεως, 'of the State in which he possesses these rights': cp. c. 3. 1276 a 15 and c. 11. 1281 b 29 sqq.

20. πρὸς αδτάρκειαν ζωῆς. So too in 4 (7). 4. 1326 b 24, cp. 4 (7). 8. 1328 b 16, πρὸς ζωὴν αῦταρκες, but in 4 (7). 4. 1326 b 8 we have αῦταρκες πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν (cp. 1. 2. 1252 b 28 sqq. and Oecon. 1. 1. 1343 a 10 sq.), and this is the exacter statement.

21. δρίζονται δὲ κ.τ.λ. After giving his definition of a citizen C. 2. Aristotle now proceeds to point out the weakness of a rival definition. The citizen was commonly defined as descended from two citizen-parents. Those who defined citizenship thus could appeal to laws existing at Athens (vol. i. p. 227) and Byzantium ([Aristot.] Oecon. 2. 1346 b 26 sqq.) and to the general feeling throughout Greece, that those descended from two citizen-parents were γνήσιοι πολίται (c. 5. 1278 a 30). Gilbert (Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 297. 2) traces this feeling at Oreus, Cos, Rhodes, and elsewhere. Not only citizenship but other things also were held to pass most surely by descent from both parents—e. g. virtue (Eurip. Fragm. 524,

ήγησάμην οὖν, εἰ παραζεύξειε τις χρηστῷ πονηρὸν λέκτρον, οὐκ ἄν εὐτεκνεῖν, ἐσθλοῖν δ' ἀπ' ἀμφοῖν ἐσθλὸν ᾶν Φῦναι γόνον),

nobility (1. 6. 1255 a 36 sqq.), and physical strength (Xen. Rep. Lac. 1. 4, νομίζων έξ ἀμφοτέρων ἰσχυρῶν καὶ τὰ ἔκγονα ἐρρωμενέστερα γίγνεσθαι).

πρὸς τὴν χρῆσιν, in tacit opposition to πρὸς τὴν γνῶσιν: cp. 1. 11. 1258 b q sq.

23. ofor is here explanatory (see above on 1255 b 38).

of δè κ.τ.λ., while others even carry this requirement further, for instance to the extent of two, three, or more ancestors.' Cp. C. 13. 1283 a 33, οἱ δ' ἐλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς ὡς ἐγγὺς ἀλλήλων πολίται γὰρ μᾶλλον οἱ γενναιότεροι τῶν ἀγεννῶν. Liddell and Scott compare Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 4. 47, os οὐδε είς τρίτου πάππου ανενεγκείν έχει τὸ γένος: cp. also Menand. Inc. Fab. Fragm. 4 (Meineke, Fragm. Com. Gr. 4. 229), ἀριθμοῦσίν τε τοὺς πάππους όσοι. It was usually in connexion with sacred offices that a pedigree of this kind was required (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 321.1: cp. Dittenberger, Syll. Inscr. Gr., No. 371), but civil offices were sometimes subject to a similar restriction-thus the Thesmothetae at Athens (Aristot. Fragm. 374. 1540 a 39 sqq.) and the τιμούχοι at Massalia (a senate of 600 life-members which ruled the State, Strabo, p. 179) were required to be διὰ τριγονίας ἐκ πολιτών γεγονότες—and we learn from the passage before us that some even denied the name of citizen to those who had not these advantages of descent. A still narrower

view prevailed at one time at Apollonia on the Ionian Gulf, and at Thera, where the only persons regarded as ελεύθεροι were the descendants of the original settlers (6 (4). 4. 1290 b 9 sqq.). Dio Chrysostom, on the other hand, sensibly remarks (Or. 41, 2. 181 R), τὸ γὰρ ἀπωτέρω δυοῖν βαθμοῖν ('further back than one's grandfather,' Liddell and Scott) ζητεῖν τὸ γένος οὐδαμῶς ἐπιεικές οὐδεὶς γὰρ οὕτω τό γε ἀληθὶς ἐξ οὐδεμιᾶς εὐρεθήσεται πόλεως. In a similar spirit a slave of three generations (τρίδουλος, Soph. O. T. 1062 sq., cp. ἐπτάδουλος) was thought to be especially a slave. We read of ὁ ἐκ τρι[γο]νίας [ὧν] μυροπώλης in Hyperid. c. Athenogen. col. 9. 3.

25. πολιτικώς. Cp. Poet. 6. 1450 b 7 and Polyb. 5. 33. 5, οὐδ' ἐφ' ὅσον οἱ τὰ κατὰ καιροὺς ἐν ταῖς χρονογραφίαις ὑπομνηματιζόμενοι πολιτικώς εἰς τοὺς τοίχους, where Schweighäuser explains 'populari, vulgari, simplici ratione, nude, sine arte,' and [Xen.] Ages. 8. 7, ἀκουσάτω δὲ ὡς ἐπὶ πολιτικοῦ καινάθρου κατήει εἰς ᾿Αμύκλας ἡ θυγάτηρ αὐτοῦ. 'Compare also the πολιτικὰ ὀνόματα of Isocr. Evag. § 10' (Richards).

ταχέως. Cp. Plut. Pericl. c. 13, ή γὰρ ἐν τῷ ποιεῖν εὐχέρεια καὶ ταχύτης οὐκ ἐντίθησι βάρος ἔργφ μόνιμον οὐδὲ κάλλους ἀκρίβειαν.

άποροῦσί τινες τὸν τρίτον ἐκεῖνον ἡ τάταρτον, 'some raise a question as to that third or fourth ancestor.' The 'third ancestor' is apparently the great-grandfather. For this 'anticipatory accusative,' see Dr. Holden on Xen. Oecon. 18. 9, and other passages. Antisthenes may have been one of these τινες, for we know that his extraction was made a subject of reproach to him, and a rejoinder of this kind would be quite in his vein (compare his rejoinder in Diog. Laert. 6. 4). It is interesting to note that he was a disciple of Gorgias, of whose views we hear in 26 sqq.

28. Γοργίας μὲν οδν κ.τ.λ. 'Gorgias of Leontini, indeed, partly perhaps in a questioning way' (cp. ἀποροῦσι, 25), 'partly in a spirit of banter, said that as those are mortars which have been made by mortar-makers, so those are Larissaeans who have been made by the handicraftsmen, for that there were certain Larissa-making handicraftsmen; but [there is nothing to raise any question about;] the matter is simple,' etc. Τῶν δημιουργῶν must be translated 'the handicraftsmen' and δημιουργοῦς must be supplied after Λαρισοποιοῦς, and then the added explanation, εἶναι γάρ τινας Λαρισοποιοῦς, which Ridgeway and Sus. would omit, is not otiose. The 'Larissamaking handicraftsmen' referred to are of course the magistrates (δημιουργοῦ) of Larissa, the word δημιουργοῦς meaning both 'handi-

craftsman' and 'magistrate.' We expect Λαρισαιοποιούς in place of Λαρισοποιούς, and Camerarius reads Λαρισοιοποιούς, but since a city = its citizens, 'Larissa-makers'='makers of Larissaeans. and Λαρισοποιούε, which (or rather Λαρισσοποιούε) is the reading of г п Vat. Pal., may be used in preference to Aapto atomotous, partly because it is nearer in form to δλμοποιούς, and partly to convey a hint that the making of Larissaeans had been on so large a scale that it virtually amounted to a making of Larissa. Gorgias said that every one was a citizen who was made a citizen by the duly empowered magistrates, and thus went to the length of acknowledging all those as citizens who were made citizens by the authorities of the State, whatever the rights conferred on them; Aristotle, on the contrary, looks not merely to the persons who confer citizenship, but also to the rights conferred; if these are the rights which constitute citizenship, the persons made citizens are citizens, but not otherwise. He probably objects to Gorgias' view because according to it mointoi πολίται would be citizens. But Gorgias' view was in his opinion so far correct that it did not base citizenship on extraction, but traced it to the action of the State. It was quite in the spirit of Gorgias' philosophical teaching to make out that citizens and the State were manufactured, artificial products. He himself was a Eiros at Larissa, and was no doubt not sorry to banter the Larissaean nobles on their pride of birth (compare Matt. iii. 9, 'And think not to say within yourselves, We have Abraham to our father, for I say unto you that God is able of these stones to raise up children unto Abraham'). We have seen that the word δημιουργός meant 'handicrastsman' as well as 'magistrate.' A line of Leonidas of Tarentum (Anth. Pal. 6, 305),

τώς Λαρισσαίως κυτογάστορας έψητήρας,

lends some colour to the view that a further equivoque lurks in the saying of Gorgias, and that Λαρισπίους may well have borne two meanings in the minds of his hearers, 'Larissaeans' and 'pots,' but as the late Dr. Thompson has pointed out (see Prof. Ridgeway, Camb. Philol. Trans. 2. 136), it is not clear that Λαρισαίος without a substantive could mean 'pot,' for it is linked with έψητήρ in the epigram, and indeed, if it could, we should expect Λαρισαιοποιούς in the clause which follows: Λαρισσοποιούς, however, as has been said, is the reading of all the MSS. and of Vet. Int. The saying loses little or nothing, if this additional subtlety is withdrawn from

it. Larissa was oligarchically governed, but it is perhaps hardly likely that even there the demiurgi of the State had the uncontrolled right of admitting citizens; more probably they acted under a commission empowering them to admit to citizenship persons who fulfilled certain conditions prescribed by the State. Szanto, Das griech. Bürgerrecht, p. 30 sq. Prof. Ridgeway (Journal of Philology, 15. p. 164) makes the not improbable suggestion that the addition to the citizen-roll of Larissa to which Gorgias' saying refers was necessitated by the blow which the city received in B. C. 404 from Lycophron of Pherae (Xen. Hell. 2. 3. 4). It would seem from 35 that it was not preceded by any change of constitution. Gorgias was well known for his irony (Rhet. 3. 7. 1408 b 19, η δη ούτω δεί η μετ' είρωνείας, ωσπερ Γοργίας εποίει και τὰ εν τῷ Φαίδρω). We see from Rhet. 2. 2. 1370 b 31 that elpaveia implies slight contempt. Whether Meineke, Fragm. Comicorum Anonymorum, 183 (Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 649),

τῶν πολιτῶν ἄνδρας ὑμίν δημιουργοὺς ἀποφανῶ,

has anything to do with Gorgias' saying, is more than I will undertake to decide.

32. καὶ γὰρ οὐδὰ δυνατὸν κ.τ.λ., ' for it is not even possible [much less the fact] that the test of descent from a citizen-father or mother should apply in the case of those who were the first to dwell in the city or to found it,' and yet these would be citizens in an especial degree: compare 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 12 sq. and an inscription quoted by Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 167. 3, in which a Halicarnassian named Nireus is honoured διά τε την ἀπό [τ]ων κτιστών καὶ τυρα[νν]οκτόνων της πόλε[ω]ς καθ' έκα[τ]έρους τους [γ]ονείς αὐτοῦ εὐγενίαν: also Diod. 14. 98. 1, Εὐαγόρας ὁ Σαλαμίνιος, δς ην μεν εὐγενέστατος, των γάρ κτισάντων την πόλιν ην απόγονος. Susemihl translates 'auf die ersten Ansiedler und Staatsgründer,' but # appears to distinguish between being the first to dwell in a city and being the first to found it. In 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 38, the only other passage in Aristotle's writings (except a fragment) to which the Index Aristotelicus gives a reference for the word krilew, k isavres is used of kings who founded cities, and I am inclined to think (with Sepulv. Vict. Lamb. and Giph.) that it is used in a similar way in the passage before us of the griara of cities, who, while they would no doubt be themselves among οι πρώτοι οἰκήσαντες, would be marked off from them by being their chiefs and leaders. Kricew is especially used of these grioras or olseoras, or else of the mothercity, though it is occasionally used of the whole body of original settlers, as for instance in Thuc. 5. 16. 3. For οὐδὲ δυνατόν, cp. c. 15. 1286 b 21, οὐδὲ ῥάδιον, and c. 16. 1287 a 10, οὐδὲ κατὰ φύσυν. I follow Bonitz (Ind. s. v.) in taking ἐφαρμόττειν here as intransitive, as in 1275 a 33, but it is quite possible that Sus., Liddell and Scott, and others are right in taking it as transitive.

34. dhi tous k.t.l., 'but perhaps this case lends itself more to debate, the case of those who acquired the rights of citizens after a change of constitution, such a creation of citizens, I mean, as that which Cleisthenes enacted at Athens, for he enrolled in the tribes many aliens and slave metoeci.' The question whether citizens who acquired citizenship after a change of constitution are citizens is a more difficult one than that just discussed, because the new citizens in this case are not made citizens by duly empowered magistrates of the old constitution, but by the introducer of the new one; besides, the citizens admitted by Cleisthenes were aliens and slave metoeci, and aliens and metoeci are the very opposite of citizens (c. 5. 1277 b 39). Euripides had made one of the characters of his Erechtheus say (Fragm. 362. 11 sqq.),

όστις δ' ἀπ' ἄλλης πόλεος οἰκίζει πόλιν, άρμος πονηρός ώσπερ ἐν ξύλφ παγείς, λόγφ πολίτης ἐστί, τοίς δ' ἔργοισιν οῦ.

As to ἐκείνο see critical note. For ἔχει ἀπορίαν, cp. Phys. 8. 2. 253a 7, μάλιστα δ' αν δόξειε το τρίτον έχειν απορίαν, and 1. 3. 186 a 8, μάλλον δ' δ. Μελίσσου (λόγος) φορτικός και ουκ έχων απορίαν, άλλ' ένδε ατόπου δοθέντος τάλλα συμβαίνει τοῦτο δ' οὐδεν χαλεπόν: also Pol. 3. 11. 1281 a 41 and 3, 12, 1282 b 22. For οίον 'Αθήνησιν εποίησε Κλεισθένης cp. 2. 7. 1266 b 16, οίον και Σόλων ενομοθέτησεν, and for εποίησε. ' enacted,' 2. 12. 1274 b 7. Οίον κ.τ.λ. explains μετέσχον. A suggestion, however, which well deserves notice, that our should be added after olov, has been recorded in the critical note on 1275 b 35. For the plural in την των τυράννων ἐκβολήν, cp. Diod. 11. 55, μετά την κατάλυσιν των τυράννων των περί Πεισίστρατον, Thuc. 8. 68, έπειδή οί τύραννοι κατελύθησαν, Polyb. 3. 22. 1, μετά την των βασιλέων κατάλυσιν, and the words μετά την κατάλυσιν των έν Αλγύπτω βασιλέων (referring to the dethronement of the Ptolemies) in an inscription found at Philae and published in the Athenaeum for March 14, 1896. The displacement of the dynasty is expressed by the plural, as in 'pulsis regibus,' Tac. Hist. 3. 72. This is the sense which

the plural seems to bear in the passage before us, though οἱ τύραννοι is often loosely used where an act of only one of the tyrants is referred to (e.g. in 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 19. l. 13 and c. 20. l. 2, and in Demosth. c. Mid. c. 144). Ἐφυλέτευσε is stronger than πολίτας ἐποιήσατο would have been. As to δούλους μετοίκους see vol. i. p. 231 note. Cp. also [Plato,] Alcib. 1. 119 A, ἀλλὰ τῶν ἄλλων 'Αθηναίων ἡ τῶν ξένων δοῦλον ἡ ἐλεύθερον εἰπέ, ὅστις αἰτίαν ἔχει διὰ τὴν Περικλέους συνουσίαν σοφώτερος γεγονέναι, where it is implied that the ξένοι include slaves, and Hecataeus, Fragm. 318 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 24). Bernays (Heraklit. Briefe, p. 155) takes ξένους and δούλους as both of them adjectives and μετοίκους as the substantive common to them, referring to Aristoph. Eq. 347 Didot,

εί που δικίδιον είπας εὐ κατά ξένου μετοίκου,

which shows that the term Eévos µérousos was a recognized one, but on the other hand Eévoi and méroisoi are often distinguished (e.g. in c. 5. 1277 b 39), and perhaps it is hardly likely that Cleisthenes confined himself to enfranchising resident aliens. His object was to strengthen his own party among the citizens, the democratic party, and this was most effectually done by enrolling aliens and slave metoeci, for they were sure to side with him against the γνώριμοι. At any rate they would not hold with the συνήθειαι at which he sought to strike a blow (8 (6). 4. 1319 b 26). Towards the close of the Peloponnesian War Athens enrolled not only metoeci but aliens also as citizens (Diod. 13. 97. 1). Not all aliens were absolute aliens; some were sons of a citizen-father by a mother not of citizen-birth, like the Eévoi mentioned in c. 5. 1278 a 26 sqq. Those aliens and metoeci who had a touch of servile blood in their veins would be most unwelcome as citizens, for we learn in c. 5. 1278 a 33 that citizens of servile origin were the first to be extruded when the State could afford to get rid of them. How hateful the measure of Cleisthenes must have been to many, we see from Lycurg. c. Leocr. c. 41, πολλών δὲ καὶ δεινών κατά τὴν πόλιν γινομένων καὶ πάντων τῶν πολιτῶν τὰ μέγιστα ἢτυχηκότων, μάλιστ' ἄν τις ἤλγησε καὶ έδάκρυσεν έπλ ταις της πόλεως συμφοραίς ήνιχ όραν ην τον δημον ψηφισάμενον τους μέν δούλους έλευθέρους, τους δε ξένους 'Αθηναίους, τους δ' ατίμους εντίμους δς πρώτον επί τώ αυτόχθων είναι και ελεύθερος έσεμνύνετο. The 'happy city' of Lucian's Hermotimus, in which all are ἐπήλυδες καὶ ξένοι, αὐθιγενής δὲ οὐδὲ είς (c. 24), is in designed contrast to the generally accepted ideal. The making of slaves and aliens citizens was a measure often resorted to by tyrants (see

vol. i. p. 547, note 2: Diod. 11. 72. 3, 14. 7. 4) and by extreme democrats (Xen. Hell. 2. 3. 48).

37. τὸ δ' ἀμφισβήτημα πρὸς τούτους. Cp. c. 16. 1287 b 35.

1. δρ' εἰ μὴ δικαίως πολίτης, οὐ πολίτης, 'whether, if a man is not 1276 a. justly a citizen, he is not in fact no citizen at all.' This Aristotle will not admit: compare the line he takes in Eth. Nic. 3. 6. 1113 a 17 sqq. Cicero, on the contrary, in De Legibus 2. 5. 11-2. 6. 14 denies that faulty laws are laws at all.

ώς ταὐτὸ δυναμένου τοῦ τ' ἀδίκου καὶ τοῦ ψευδοῦς. For the use of τε in sentences of this kind cp. c. 4. 1277 a 20 sq. and 4 (7). 10. 1329 b 1. Cp. also 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 19, ἴσον τε δεομένην σκυτέων τε καὶ γεωργῶν.

- 4. $d\rho\chi\hat{\tau}$ τινί, i.e. $d\rho\chi\hat{\eta}$ βουλευτικ $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta}$ κριτικ $\hat{\eta}$ (c. 1. 1275 b 18).
- 7. την είρημένην πρότερον αμφισβήτησιν, in c. 1. 1274 b 34 sq. C. 3. The question whether these citizens are justly citizens or not is connected with the question whether they were made citizens by the State or not, a question which some identify with the question whether the constitution under which they have become citizens is based merely on force or exists for the common advantage, and if we deny that the acts of a tyrant or an oligarchy are acts of the State on the ground that the tyranny or oligarchy is based merely on force and does not exist for the common good, we must say the same thing of the acts of any democracy which is in the same position, so that we shall deny that those who are created citizens by a democracy of this kind are justly citizens. Aristotle appears to hint that the democracy introduced by Cleisthenes was a democracy resting on force and not for the common good, and that on the principle laid down by the persons to whom he refers the aliens whom Cleisthenes made citizens were not justly citizens, but he does not adopt the view that the acts of a constitution not for the common good are not acts of the State.
- 10. τότε γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for then some are not willing to discharge either (public) contracts on the plea that the tyrant, not the State, received the loan, or many other obligations of a similar kind, holding that some constitutions are based on superior force and are not for the common advantage, [and that the acts done by the authorities they constitute are consequently not acts of the State].' These persons probably regarded democracies as in an especial degree constitutions for the common advantage: compare Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 76, where it is argued that democracies, unlike

oligarchies, are governed by laws conceived in the interest of the Our own use of the words 'republic' and 'commonwealth' indicates the prevalence of a cognate view (see Sir I. R. Seeley, Introduction to Political Science, p. 173). For an instance in which a public contract ran a risk of being thus repudiated, see vol. i. p. 231 sq. Οῦτ' ἄλλα πολλά τῶν τοιούτων perhaps refers to the quashing of acts and judicial decisions: this happened after the fall of the Thirty at Athens (Aeschin. c. Timarch. c. 39: Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 56: Andoc. De Myst. c. 87). Questions of a similar nature have found their way into modern English law-courts. 'Given a revolutionary government which has been recognized by foreign States as a government de facto, but which has since been superseded by a more legitimate régime, are its acts and contracts to be held valid or not by the courts of those foreign States, as far as concerns the subjects over which they have jurisdiction? This was the issue which Mr. Justice Kay had to decide yesterday in the case of "The Republic of Peru v. Dreyfus" (Times, Feb. 21, 1888, where a report of the case will be found). This case, it will be noticed, applies only to revolutionary governments recognized by foreign States.

- 12. τῷ κρατεῖν οὔσας. Cp. De Gen. An. 1. 18. 723 a 31, εἰ τοῦτο θήσομεν οὕτως ὅτι οὐ τῷ ἀπελθεῖν ἀπό τινος τὸ θῆλυ, and such expressions as φύσει εἶναί Οτ τὰς βία πράξεις (Plato, Polit. 280 D).
- 14. δημοκρατοῦνται κατά τον τρόπον τοῦτον, i.e. τῷ κρατεῖν ἀλλ' οὐ διὰ τὸ κοινῆ συμφέρον. Cp. Thuc. 8. 53. 1, 'Αλκιβιάδην καταγαγοῦσι καὶ μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον δημοκρατουμένοις, and Plato, Polit. 291 Ε, δημοκρατίας γε μήν, ἐάν τ' οὖν βιαίως ἐάν τε ἐκουσίως τῶν τὰς οὐσίας ἐχόντων τὸ πλῆθος ἄρχῃ, καὶ ἐάν τε τοὺς νύμους ἀκριβῶς φυλάττον ἐάν τε μή, πάντως τοῦνομα οὐδεὶς αὐτῆς εἴωθε μεταλλάττειν.

δμοίως κ.τ.λ., 'we must say that the acts of the authorities set up by this constitution are to just the same extent and no more acts of this State as those proceeding from the oligarchy and the tyranny.' For δμοίως καί cp. 2. 8. 1269 a 6, δμοίους εἶναι καὶ τοὺς τυχόντας καὶ τοὺς ἀνοήτους ('no better than'). Τῆς πόλεως ταύτης, i.e. the State of the τίνες referred to: cp. c.11. 1281 b 29, ὅταν γὰρ ἄτιμοι πολλοὶ καὶ πένητες ὑπάρχωσι, πολεμίων ἀναγκαίον εἶναι πλήρη τὴν πόλιν ταύτην, and 7 (5). 9. 1309 b 40. For τὰς ἐκ τῆς ὁλιγαρχίας (πράξεις) see Liddell and Scott s.v. ἐκ iii. 3, and see note on 1302 a 4. Cp. also 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 6, τὰς άμαρτίας τὰς παρ' ἀμφοτέρων τῶν πολιτειῶν, and Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 76, τὴν ἐκ τῆς ὁλιγαρχίας ἀδικίαν.

17. ἔοικε δ' οἰκεῖος ὁ λόγος είναι τῆς ἀπορίας ταύτης, πῶς ποτέ κ.τ.λ.. 'but the inquiry [to which we have just referred] seems to be cognate to this question, on what principle we ought to say that.' etc. With Sepulveda, Bernays, and Welldon I take mos ποτέ κ.τ.λ. to explain της απορίας ταύτης, and not ο λόγος, as Sus. Looking to the aropias, 10, this seems to be the more natural interpretation, though it is true that της ἀπορίας ταύτης might refer to άποροῦσι, 8. Aristotle means that the question whether the contracts of those who are in power under a constitution resting on force and not for the common advantage are acts of the State and should be fulfilled by those who are in power after a change of constitution is cognate to the question under what circumstances the State is to be regarded as the same or not the same. He decides (1276 b 10 sqq.) that after any change of constitution the State is not the same, but that the question as to the fulfilment of contracts is a separate one. As to πως ποτέ, see Liddell and Scott, who refer to Soph. O. T. 1210.

19 sqq. 'The mode of dealing with this problem which lies nearest to hand is in connexion with the site and its inhabitants. for the site and the inhabitants may be divided into two or more sections, and some of the inhabitants may dwell on one site, and some on another.' Mèr our is taken up by mèr our, 22, but it is difficult to say where the answering particle is to be found. For έπιπολαιστάτη, cp. Rhet. 3. 10. 1410 b 21, διδ ούτε τὰ ἐπιπόλαια τῶν ενθυμημάτων εὐδοκιμεί (ἐπιπόλαια γὰρ λέγομεν τὰ παντὶ δῆλα καὶ ά μηδὲν δεί ζητήσαι) κ.τ.λ. The words ένδέχεται γάρ διαζευχθήναι τον τόπον καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους have been interpreted in two ways. Vict. explains them, 'contingit enim ut locus distinctus sit, hominesque codem pacto separati, ita ut hi hanc, alii vero aliam sedem habcant.' Mr. Welldon, on the other hand, translates, 'it is possible that the inhabitants should be divorced from the site and should come to dwell in different sites.' Perhaps the former interpretation is to be preferred. As an instance of some inhabitants dwelling on one site and others on another, we may take the dioinious of Mantineia by the Lacedaemonians (Paus. 8. 8. 9, we de elde the Martirelar ('Aynoinolis), δλίγον μέν τι κατέλιπεν ολκείσθαι, το πλείστον δε els εδαφος καταβαλών αὐτης κατά κώμας τοὺς ἀνθρώπους διώκισε). The question then is will a change of this kind have destroyed the identity of the molius? Aristotle's somewhat curt answer is that the word moles is used in many different senses, and that it is easy to solve the question if

that is borne in mind. His meaning perhaps is that if we take $\pi\delta\lambda_{is}$ in the sense of 'an aggregate of human beings or citizens,' the Mantineans after the $\delta\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota$ will still constitute the same State as before, but if we take it in the sense of 'an aggregate of human beings or citizens gathered on a given site,' they will no longer do so.

- 24. $\delta\mu$ oíws $\delta \ell$ κ.τ.λ., 'and similarly [one might raise the question] in the case also in which the inhabitants occupy one and the same site, when we ought to consider the $\pi\delta\lambda$ is to be one.' Are we to say that it is one, however large the site may be and however varied in race the inhabitants, provided only that it is enclosed within one and the same wall?
- 26. οὐ γὰρ δὴ τοῖς τείχεσιν, 'for surely it is not one πόλις in virtue of its walls.' For γὰρ δή, cp. c. 9. 1280 b 24 and 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 32.
- 27. εἴη γὰρ ἃν Πελοποννήσω περιβαλεῖν ἐν τεῖχος. Aristotle probably remembers a famous taunt of the Athenians addressed to the Lacedaemonians, which is recorded in the Funeral Oration ascribed to Lysias, c. 44, ὕστερον δὲ Πελοποννησίων διατειχιζόντων τὸν Ἰσθμόν ... ὀργισθέντες ᾿Αθηναῖοι συνεβούλευον αὐτοῖς, εἶ ταύτην τὴν γνώμην ἔξουσιν, περὶ ὅπασαν τὴν Πελοπόννησον τεῖχος περιβαλείν. A line in the Temenidae of Euripides (Fragm. 730) ran,

απασα Πελοπόννησος εὐτυχεῖ πόλις.

Polybius (2. 37. 9 sqq.) implies that in his day Peloponnesus was virtually one city, having the same magistrates, etc., the only want being a common wall.

τοιαύτη, 'like Peloponnesus with a wall round it.' Cp. 4 (7). 4. 1326 b 3 sqq.

28. καὶ Βαβυλων...30. πόλεως. As to Babylon, see note on 1326 b 3. The walls of Babylon according to Herodotus (1. 178) were 480 stadia in circumference, according to Ctesias (Diod. 2. 7) 360 stadia, and according to Cleitarchus (Diod. ibid.) 365 stadia. A still larger circuit of wall (1500 stadia) was to be found after Aristotle's time at Antiochia Margiana (the modern Merv), if we could trust Strabo (p. 516). Herodotus (1. 191) says that owing to the size of Babylon, when the outer part of it had been taken, the inhabitants of the centre were unaware of the fact and continued to celebrate a festival till they learnt it; he does not mention that the interval amounted to three days. Here, as in 2. 3. 1262 a 18 sqq., 3. 13. 1284 a 26 sqq., and 4 (7). 2. 1324 b 17 sq. (see notes on these passages), Aristotle mentions a circumstance also mentioned

by Herodotus, but mentions it with a slight variation. He may possibly quote Herodotus from memory. Megalopolis was taken by Cleomenes 'before the Megalopolitans were aware of the fact' (Plut. Cleom. c. 23), but then Megalopolis was 'a great desert' (Polyb. 2. 55. 2: 5. 93. 5). For the omission of πόλις after πάσα see note on 1266 b 1; its omission is facilitated by the occurrence of the word πόλεως in the next line. For the interposition of καὶ πάσα . . . πόλεως between ης and its antecedent Βαβυλών, cp. Phys. 8. 6. 259 a 3, ἀλλ' οὐδὲν ηττον ἔστι τι ὁ περιέχει, καὶ τοῦτο παρ' ἔκαστον, ο ἀστιν αἴτιον κ.τ.λ., where καὶ τοῦτο παρ' ἔκαστον is interposed. Τινὸς μέντοι πολίτου is interposed in a somewhat similar way in Pol. 3. 4. 1277 a 22 sqq., and καὶ κεκαλλωπισμέναι in Aristoph. Lysistr. 43 Didot,

αὶ καθήμεθ' εξανθισμέναι, κροκωτὰ φοροῦσαι καὶ κεκαλλωπισμέναι καὶ Κιμβερίκ' ὀρθοστάδια καὶ περιβαρίδας.

- 31. είς άλλον καιρόν χρήσιμος, cp. 7 (5). 11. 1314 a 4, καὶ χρήσιμοι οἱ πονηροὶ εἰς τὰ πονηρά.
- 32. περὶ γὰρ μεγέθους . . . 34. πολιτικόν. Supply συμφέρει after τό τε πόσον. The question πότερον ἔθνος ἐν ἡ πλείω συμφέρει is probably suggested by the mention of Peloponnesus, of which Herodotus says (8. 73), οἰκίει δὲ τὴν Πελοπόννησον ἔθνεα ἐπτά. As to this question see vol. i. p. 295, note 1, where it has been pointed out that it is not dealt with in 4 (7). 4, though the proper size of the πόλις is discussed there. The πόλις consists ἐκ πλειώνων κωμῶν (1. 2. 1252 b 27), and a question might naturally be raised whether it should not also consist of more ἔθνη than one. The colony of Thurii had been recruited from a variety of sources (Diod. 12. 10. 4: 12. 11. 3), and Plato had seen advantages in a citizen-body derived from more quarters than one (Laws 708).
- 34. dhad k.r.h. With the discussion of the question of the identity of the $\pi\delta\lambda\iota$ s which commences here should be compared the remarks of Plutarch on the same subject in De Sera Numinis Vindicta, c. 15: Plutarch, however, does not seem to have had this passage of the Politics before him. The question of identity had long been raised in reference to the individual. The speculations of Heraclitus and his doctrine of the flux of all things had drawn attention to this question, and Epicharmus had made a character in one of his comedies point to the increase and decrease in size which takes place in human beings and ask how this increase and

decrease was compatible with personal identity, and how a man whose identity had changed could be called on to pay his debts. See Diog. Laert. 3. 10–11, and Bernays' essay on 'Epicharmos und der að ξανόμενος λόγος' in his Gesammelte Abhandlungen 1. 109–117, and Zeller, Gr. Ph. 1. 461. 1. Compare also the remarks of Diotima in Plato, Symp. 207 D. Aristotle is concerned in the passage before us not with the question of the identity of the individual, but with the question of the identity of the $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$. In dealing with this question as with others, he steers a midway course. He agrees neither with Isocrates, who held that States are immortal (De Pace § 120), nor with those who held that change in the individuals composing them destroyed their identity; their identity is according to him destructible, but it is destroyed by a change of constitution, not by a change of individuals.

37. ἄσπερ καὶ ποταμοὺς κ.τ.λ. Heraclitus had denied that they were the same (Fragm. 41 Bywater, ποταμοῖσι δὶς τοῖσι αὐτοῖσι οὐκ ἀν ἐμβαίης· ἔτερα γὰρ (καὶ ἔτερα) ἐπιρρέει ὕδατα, and Fragm. 81, ποταμοῖσι τοῖσι αὐτοῖσι ἐμβαίνομέν τε καὶ οὐκ ἐμβαίνομεν, εἶμέν τε καὶ οὐκ εἶμεν). But Aristotle holds that the constant change of the watery particles of which a river is composed does not prevent its remaining the same. A river, however, is different from a πόλις. It is not an ὅλον οτ α σύνθεσις, like a πόλις or an ἀρμονία or a χορός, the identity of which depends on the arrangement of the parts (Metaph. Δ. 26. 1024 a 6, ὕδωρ δὲ καὶ ὅσα ὑγρὰ καὶ ἀριθμὸς πῶν μὲν λέγεται, ὅλος δ΄ ἀριθμὸς καὶ ὅλον ὕδωρ οὐ λέγεται, ἃν μὴ μεταφορậ). A river will remain the same so long as its particles consist of water, but a πόλις will not remain the same, so long as it consists of men of the same stock, if meanwhile a change should occur in its σύνθεσις οτ πολιτεία.

40. διά την τοιαύτην αίτίαν, i.e. διά το είναι το γένος ταυτό των κατοικούντων.

την δε πόλιν ετέραν, 'but the State different [if there is a change of polity]' (Mr. Welldon, following Bernays).

1276 b. 2. κοινωνία πολιτών πολιτείας, 'a sharing of citizens in a constitution.' Bekker places a comma after πολιτών, but I follow Susemihl's punctuation: cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 36, κοινωνούντων ἀπάντων μάλιστα τῆς πολιτείας όμοίως. In c. 4. 1276 b 29 the πολιτεία, not the πόλις, is said to be a κοινωνία (see note on 1276 b 28).

γιγνομένης έτέρας τῷ εἴδει καὶ διαφερούσης. We may have here a mere tautology, like those collected by Vahlen in his note on

- pet. 1. 1447 a 17, but perhaps it is more likely that διαφερούσης fers to changes less complete than a change of kind.
- 8. ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι δόξειεν ἄν κ.τ.λ. The πολιτεία represents the δος τῆς συνθέσεως (7) in the case of the πόλις and thus constitutes its entity: compare the passages from the Topics which Bonitz (Ind. 19 a 51 sq.) groups with the passage before us—Top. 6. 13. 150 b 2, ἔτι εἰ μὴ εἶρηκε τὸν τρόπον τῆς συνθέσεως οὐ γὰρ αῦταρκες πρὸς τὸ ωρίσαι τὸ εἰπεῖν ἐκ τούτων οὐ γὰρ τὸ ἐκ τούτων, ἀλλὰ τὸ οῦτως ἐκ ύτων ἐκάστου τῶν συνθέτων ἡ οὐσία, καθάπερ ἐπ' οἰκίας οὐ γὰρ ἄν τωσοῦν συντεθῆ ταῦτα, οἰκία ἐστίν, and 6. 14. 151 a 23, οὐ γὰρ ἀπάχρη σύνθεσιν εἰπεῖν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ποία τις προσδιοριστέον οὐ γὰρ ὁπωσοῦν νττεθέντων τούτων σὰρξ γίνεται, ἀλλ' ούτωσὶ μὲν συντεθέντων σάρξ, τωσὶ δ' ὀστοῦν. For the notion that a change of laws might fect the identity of a πόλις, compare Plato Com., Fragm. 42 εleineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 692). According to Plut. De Ser. Num. ind. c. 15 the identity of the πόλις remains μέχρις ἃν ἡ ποιοῦσα καὶ ννδέουσα ταῖς ἐπιπλοκαῖς κοινωνία τὴν ἐνότητα διαφυλάττη.
- 4. δσπερ γε καὶ χορὸν κ.τ.λ., 'as we say that a chorus also opearing at one time as a comic, and at another as a tragic, norus is not the same.' 'The tragic chorus consisted of three les (στοίχοι) of five men each and of five ranks (ζυχά) of three ien each; the comic chorus of four files of six men each and of x ranks of four men each' (C. F. Hermann, Gr. Ant. 3. 2. 205, .. Müller, Die gr. Bühnenalt., where Pollux 4. 108-9 is referred to). hus the eldos the gurdégeus was different in the case of a tragic nd comic chorus. The numbers of the two kinds of chorus were lso different, and, as Mr. Richards points out, it is remarkable that ristotle takes no notice of this. He implies that in a Dorian and Phrygian 'harmony' the sounds may be the same, but that even hen the είδος της συνθέσεως των φθόγγων will be different. It is not uite clear what this means. Does it mean that the sounds will be rranged in a different order? It is still less easy to say how political constitution is an eldos της συνθέσεως of the elements of he molies. Does Aristotle mean that in each constitution the itizens of a πόλις are arranged in a different way—that in an ristocracy the best men are at the head and in an oligarchy or lemocracy the rich or the poor? Perhaps so: compare 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 25 sqq. and 4 (7). 2. 1324 a 17, in the latter of which bassages he appears to imply that a constitution is a diábeous roneme.

- 5. τῶν αὐτῶν πολλάκις ἀνθρώπων ὅντων. We expect rather τῶν ἀνθρώπων than ἀνθρώπων, but cp. 1. 1. 1252 a 7, ὅσοι μὲν οὖν οἴονται πολιτικὸν καὶ βασιλικὸν καὶ οἰκονομικὸν καὶ δεσποτικὸν εἶναι τὸν αὐτόν: 1. 2. 1252 b 9, ὡς ταὐτὸ φύσει βάρβαρον καὶ δοῦλον ὅν: 1. 8. 1256 a 10, ὅτι μὲν οὖν οὖχ ἡ αὐτὴ οἰκονομικὴ τῆ χρηματιστικῆ. Yet in 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 20 we have καὶ ὁ δημαγωγὸς καὶ ὁ κόλαξ οἱ αὐτοὶ καὶ ἀνάλογον.
- 6. πάσαν ἄλλην κοινωνίαν καὶ σύνθεσιν. Σύνθεσιν is the wider term. For πάσαν ἄλλην κοινωνίαν, cp. 4 (7). 2. 1325 a 8, πόλιν καὶ γενος ἀνθρώπων καὶ πάσαν ἄλλην κοινωνίαν.
- 7. &ν είδος ἔτερον ἢ τῆς συνθέσεως. Not τὸ είδος, for with ἔτερος, as with ὁ αὐτός (see above on 5), the subject of the sentence often is without the article: cp. c. 4. 1277 b 13, 17, 24, 30 sq., and c. 6. 1278 b 13 sq.
 - 9. **\(\delta\)** See below on 1277 a 37.
 - εὶ δὴ τοῦτον ἔχει τὸν τρόπον recurs in 4 (7). 11. 1331 a 10.
 - 10. For λεκτέον . . . βλέποντας, see note on 1275 a 16.
- 11. ὅνομα δὲ καλεῖν κ.τ.λ. According to Thuc. 6. 4. 5 (see however Freeman, Sicily 2. 115 and 486 sqq.), Zancle received the name Messana from Anaxilas of Rhegium when he expelled the Samians and peopled the city afresh. On the other hand, Catana retained its name, when Dionysius the Elder replaced its citizens by Campanians (Diod. 14. 15), and so did the Trachinian Heracleia, though its inhabitants were changed by the Thebans in B.C. 395 (Diod. 14. 82. 6, 7). The name of Corinth was replaced by that of Argos in B.C. 393 during the supremacy of a faction, though the inhabitants were unchanged (Xen. Hell. 4. 4. 6, ὁρῶντες δὲ τοὺς τυραννεύοντας, αἰσθανόμενοι δὲ ἀφανιζομένην τὴν πόλιν διὰ τὸ καὶ ὅρους ἀνεσπάσθαι καὶ Ἅργος ἀντὶ Κορίνθου τὴν πατρίδα αὐτοῖς ὀνομάζεσθαι).
- C. 4. 16 sqq. Aristotle passes on from defining the citizen to examine the nature of his virtue, just as after defining the slave he goes on in 1.13.1259 b 21 sqq. to ask what is the virtue of the slave. He has there found that the virtue of the woman, child, and slave is not τελεία ἀρετή, but relative to the head of the household, and now he asks in effect whether the virtue of the citizen is τελεία ἀρετή, and whether it is identical with the virtue of the good man. Thucydides (2. 42. 2-4) makes Pericles argue in his Funeral Oration that those who had fallen gloriously fighting for their country were εο ipso proved to be good men, for their service to the State outweighed and cast into the shade all private faults. It is not, however, so much in correction of views of this kind

as in correction of the teaching of Socrates that the Fourth Chapter is written. Socrates had taught the unity of virtue, claiming that virtue is one and the same in all who possess it. Aristotle holds, on the contrary, that virtue varies with the work a person has to do, and that, as a citizen's work is relative to the constitution, his virtue varies with the constitution. To identify the virtue of the good citizen with that of the good man is therefore to ignore the difference between one constitution and another. It is also to ignore the difference between the ruling and ruled citizen in the best of constitutions. See vol. i. p. 234 sqq. as to the contents of the Fourth Chapter. Looking to the definition of a citizen which Aristotle has already given, we might expect him to say that the virtue of a citizen consists in the ability to deliberate and judge well, i.e. to rule well. But we learn in the Fourth Chapter that the work of a citizen consists not only in ruling but also in being ruled, and therefore that his virtue consists in knowing not only how to rule but also how to be ruled, with this limitation, however, that the only kind of rule which he needs to know is that which is exercised over freemen. To learn this he must be ruled first and rule afterwards—a principle which is not forgotten when Aristotle comes to construct his 'best State' (4 (7), 14, 1333 a 11 sqq.). One remarkable conclusion, it should be noted, results from the Fourth Chapter. This is that the good man cannot be a good citizen (in the sense of contributing to the preservation of the constitution) in any constitution but the best without ceasing to be a good man in the strict sense of the words. The justice of Socrates, for instance, is not the imperfect kind of justice which tends to the preservation of a democracy (7 (5), 9, 1309 a 36 sqq.). But is Aristotle's account of good citizenship correct? Is not he a good citizen whose influence tends to the improvement of a constitution rather than he whose influence tends to its preservation? May not a man be all the better as a citizen because he is morally and intellectually somewhat in advance of the constitution under which he lives? Is a good citizen bound to do what contributes to the preservation of that constitution, even if it is a tyranny or an extreme democracy or oligarchy? See note on 1337 2 27.

^{18.} dlld μην . . . γε, 'but certainly': see above on 1271 a 20.

^{19.} For τύπψ τινί, 'in some sort of outline,' cp. Plato, Phileb. 61 A, τὸ τοίνυν ἀγαθὸν ήτοι σαφῶς ἡ καί τινα τύπον αὐτοῦ ληπτέον, and 32 B,

and Laws 718 C and 802 D sq., and for τύπφ τινὶ ληπτέον, Aristot. Τορ. 1. 7. 103 a 7, ώς τύπφ λαβείν.

For mpwrov in the sense of mporepov, see below on 1323 a 16.

- 21. των πλωτήρων is in strictness in the gen. after έκάστου, 24.
- 22. δ μέν γάρ κ.τ.λ. For the relative rank of these personages cp. Aristoph. Eq. 541 Didot,

καὶ πρὸς τούτοισιν ἔφασκεν

ερέτην χρήναι πρώτα γενέσθαι, πρὶν πηδαλίοις ἐπιχειρεῖν, κἦτ' ἐντεῦθεν πρφρατεῦσαι καὶ τοὺς ἀνέμους διαθρήσαι, κἦτα κυβερνῶν αὐτὸν ἐαυτῷ,

a passage already referred to by Camerarius (Interp. p. 114). As to the κυβερνήτης, cp. c. 6. 1279 a 3.

- 24. δήλον ώς κ.τ.λ. Translate, with Bernays (see also Sepulveda's note on 'perfectissima cuiusque ratio,' p. 77 b), 'it is clear that the most exact definition of the virtue of each will be special to the man.' As to $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s$, 'definition,' see Bon. Ind. 434 b 6 sqq., where it is pointed out that $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s$ is sometimes used in a wider sense than $\delta \rho \iota \sigma \mu \delta s$, and as to the contrast of thus and κοιν δs λόγοs, see Bon. Ind. 339 a 55 and vol. i. p. 242 note.
- 25. δμοίως δὲ καὶ κοινός τις ἐφαρμόσει πᾶσιν. The phrase recurs in De An. 2. 3. 414 b 22, γένοιτο δ' ἄν καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν σχημάτων λόγος κοινός, δε ἐφαρμόσει μὲν πᾶσιν, ίδιος δ' οὐδενὸς ἔσται σχήματος. 'Ομοίως δέ, ' but equally.'
- 28. ἡ γὰρ σωτηρία κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Laws 961 E, δρ' οὐκ ἐν νηὶ κυβερνήτης ἄμα καὶ ναῦται τὰς αἰσθήσεις τῷ κυβερνητικῷ νῷ συγκερασάμενοι σώζουσιν αὐτούς τε καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν ναῦν; and Demosth. Phil. 3. c. 69, εως ᾶν σώζηται τὸ σκάφος . . . τότε χρὴ καὶ ναύτην καὶ κυβερνήτην καὶ πάντ' ἄνδρα έξῆς προθύμους εἶναι, καὶ ὅπως μήθ' ἐκὼν μήτ' ἄκων μηδεὶς ἀνατρέψη, τοῦτο σκοπεῖσθαι. Giph. refers to Cic. Epist. Ad Fam. 12. 25. 5.
- 28. ἡ σωτηρία τῆς κοινωνίας ἔργον ἐστί, κοινωνία δ' ἐστὶν ἡ πολιτεία. Cp. 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 19 sqq. 'The safeguarding of the association' seems hardly to answer to 'the safeguarding of the voyage'; we expect rather 'the safeguarding of the successful working of the State'; and though the πολιτική κοινωνία is often, as here, identified with the πολιτεία (compare for instance 2. 1. 1260 b 27 and 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 35), the πόλις is more usually said to be the κοινωνία (e.g. in c. 3. 1276 b 1). For the structure of the sentence, in which (with Stahr, Bern., Sus., and others) I take κοινωνία to be the subject and ἡ πολιτεία the predicate, cp. c. 6. 1278 b 10,

κύριον μέν γάρ πανταχού το πολίτευμα της πόλεως, πολίτευμα δ' έστιν ή πολιτεία: c. 7. 1279 a 25 sqq.: and c. 13. 1283 b 41, πρός το κοινόν το τών πολιτών πολίτης δε κ.τ.λ. See also note on 1275 a 22.

33. τον δ' άγαθον ἄνδρα κ.τ.λ. Supply άγαθών with είναι: cp. 35, την άρετην καθ' ην σπουδαίος άνηρ. Compare the line of an unknown elegiac poet quoted in Eth. Nic. 2. 5. 1106 b 34,

έσθλοι μέν γάρ άπλως, παντοδαπως δέ κακοί,

and Eth. Eud. 7. 2. 1237 a 30, δ δὲ σπουδαίος τέλειος: also Pol. 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 3, τὴν γὰρ ἐκ τῶν ἀρίστων ἀπλῶς κατ ἀρετὴν πολιτείαν καὶ μὴ πρὸς ὑπόθεσίν τινα ἀγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν μόνην δίκαιον προσαγορεύειν ἀριστοκρατίαν. Τελεία ἀρετή in the passage before us probably means ἀρετή μὴ πρὸς ὑπόθεσίν τινα. It turns out, indeed, on further investigation (1277 b 18 sqq.), that the virtue of the good man is not strictly one, but has two forms, the virtue of the ruler and the virtue of the ruled. As to φαμέν, see below on 1277 a 14.

84. $\mu \ell \nu$ our is answered by our $\mu \eta \nu$ dhhá, 36, as in 5 (8). 6. 1341 b 4 sqq. (Sus. Ind. Gramm. s. v. $M \ell \nu$), and also in 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 1 sqq.

36. οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ.. 'not but that it is possible in another way also to go over the same argument in reference to the best constitution by raising questions and debating them.' 'In another way,' because hitherto the best constitution has not been made the subject of the inquiry. For ἐπελθεῖν του αὐτον λόγον, cp. Phys. 8. 5. 256 a 21 (referred to by Bonitz, Ind. 267 a 39), (re de kai δδε του αυτόν τουτον λόγον έστιν επελθείν. Lamb. translates, 'veruntamen etiam alio modo eandem rationem pertractare et persequi licet de optima reipublicae administrandae forma dubitantibus': thus he seems to take διαπορούντας with περί της αρίστης πολιτείας (as do apparently Stahr and Bernays), but not, I think, rightly. Διαπορούντας (here = διερχομένους τὰς ἀπορίας, Bon. Ind. 187 b 11) is added to indicate to us the mode of investigation which Aristotle is about to adopt. That Aristotle 'goes over the same argument' we shall see if we bear in mind that he has just shown that the good citizen will not necessarily be a good man under any and every constitution, and now goes on to show that this is true of the best constitution also. For κατ' ἄλλον τρόπου cp. 2. 2. 1261 b 10 and 2. 8. 1269 a 13, and (with Bonitz, Ind. 772 b 19) Meteor. 1. 3. 3402 15.

37. εί γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for if it is impossible that a State should consist of members all of whom are good, and yet each member

must discharge his function well, and this proceeds from virtue. [so that, though all will not be good, all will possess virtue, i.e. the virtue of the citizen, still, as it is impossible that all the citizens should be alike, there would not be one form of virtue belonging to the good citizen and to the good man; for the virtue of the good citizen ought to belong to all (for it is necessarily only in that way that the State will come to be the best State), but the virtue of the good man cannot possibly belong to all, unless all the citizens in the good State must necessarily be good, [which we have declared to be impossible].' It is implied that the citizens will not be alike if they all possess the virtue of a citizen, but that they will, if they possess in addition the virtue of a good man. The virtue of the good man is one, whereas the virtue of the good citizen varies with the function discharged. Compare 2. 2. 1261 a 24, οὐ γὰρ γίνεται πόλις έξ δμοίων, a doctrine which may also be traced in 3. 12. 1283 a 18 sq. and 3. 11. 1281 b 34 sqq., and indeed in Fragm. 21 of the Aeolus of Euripides.

> δοκεῖτ' ἀν οἰκεῖν γαῖαν, εἰ πένης ἄπας λαὸς πολιτεύοιτο πλουσίων ἄτερ; οὐκ ἀν γένοιτο χωρὶς ἐσθλὰ καὶ κακά, ἀλλ' ἔστι τις σύγκρασις, ὥστ' ἔχειν καλῶς,

where Euripides perhaps has before him Heraclitus' doctrine of the harmony of contraries. But is it Aristotle's view that the citizens of the 'best State' cannot all be good men? This does not seem to be his view in 4 (7). 13. 1332 a 32 sqq., where we are told that all the citizens of the 'best State' will be good men (compare 7 (5). 12. 1316 b 9, where it appears to be implied that there may be States in which all the citizens are good men). Either we must admit a discrepancy between the passage before us and these passages and leave it unexplained, or we may seek to explain it by saying (with Zeller, Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. ii. p. 209, note 2: see my first volume, p. 236, note 2) that the passage before us is merely aporetic, or by supposing that the word 'citizen' is used in the passage before us (as seems sometimes to be the case, see vol. i. Appendix B) in a wider sense than in 4 (7).

89. dπ' ἀρετής, cp. 4 (7). 13. 1332 a 12 and Eth. Nic. 2. 5. 1106 a 22 sqq.

1277 a. 5. ἔτι κ.τ.λ. This is a further thrust. In 1276 b 37-1277 a 5 it has been argued that though all the citizens of the best State will

be good citizens, they will not all be good men, but now it is argued that they will not all be good citizens in the same way—one will be a good citizen in the way in which a ruler is a good citizen, and another a good citizen in the way in which a ruled person is a good citizen—and thus the virtue of all the citizens will not be the same, so that if the various forms of the virtue of the citizen are not identical with each other, they cannot all be identical with the virtue of the good man. This argument leads directly up to what follows in 1277 a 12 sqq., and I cannot agree with Susemihl that it ought to be bracketed as an interpolation. I did not see the relation in which 1277 a 5—12 stands to 1276 b 37—1277 a 5 so clearly when I wrote vol. i. p. 236 as I do now. See also Prof. J. A. Stewart's remarks in defence of the genuineness of 1277 a 5—12 in Class. Rev. 9, 456.

- 8. κτήσις ἐκ δεσπότου καὶ δούλου. Bernays brackets κτήσις, and I do not feel sure that he is wrong. If we retain the word, Aristotle's meaning will apparently be that ownership of property consists of master and slave, or in other words implies the existence of an owner and a slave. Surely, however, ownership implies the existence of things owned as well as of persons owned?
- 9. ἄλλων ἀνομοίων είδων, such as ruling and ruled citizens, and soldiers in contrast with deliberators and judges.
- 11. ἄσπερ οὐδὲ τῶν χορευτῶν κορυφαίου καὶ παραστάτου. As the tragic chorus marched into the theatre in its five ranks and three files (see above on 1276 b 4), its left-hand file was turned to the audience and its right-hand file to the stage; the left-hand file was consequently the most conspicuous of the three files. The κορυφαίος was third in this file, the two παραστάται second and fourth, and the two τριτοστάται (Metaph. Δ. 11. 1018 b 27) first and fifth. See on this subject C. F. Hermann, Gr. Ant. 3. 2. 206 sq. (A. Müller, Die gr. Bühnenalt.). For the absence of the article before κορυφαίου see note on 1285 b 12.
- 12. διότι μεν τοίνυν άπλως οὐχ ή αὐτή, sc. ἀρετή πολίτου τε σπουδαίου καὶ ἀνδρὸς σπουδαίου, for here the question raised in 1276 b 17 receives an answer.
- 18. ἀλλ' ἀρα ἔσται τινὸς κ.τ.λ., 'but will not the virtue of the good citizen and the good man be the same in a particular citizen?' By τινός is evidently meant ἄρχοντος, cp. 20 sqq. For the absence of the article before ἀρετή, see note on 1276 b 5.
 - 14. fault by K.T. A., 'we say that a good ruler (of any kind) is

good and prudent, and the man who is capable of ruling a State (1278 b 3 sqq.) must necessarily be prudent.' To be dyabos is not the same thing as to be φρόνιμος (cp. Top. 3. 1. 116 a 14, δ μαλλον αν έλοιτο δ φρόνιμος ή δ αγαθός ανήρ), though one cannot be φρόνιμος without being dyabós (Eth. Nic. 6. 13. 1144 a 36), or indeed really dyaθόs without being φρόνιμος (1144 b 16, 31). Cp. c. 11. 1281 b 4, άρετης και φρονήσεως. As to δή, see note on 1252 a 24. Φαμέν seems to be used by Aristotle when he recalls some well-known principle of his philosophy (as in 1. 2. 1253 a 9, οὐδὲν γάρ, ὡς φαμέν, μάτην ή φύσις ποιεί), or repeats what he has already said in the same treatise (as in 3. 18. 1288 a 32) or in another (as in 4 (7). 13. 1332 a 7, φαμέν δέ καὶ έν τοῖς ἡθικοῖς), or gives utterance to some generally accepted view. Φαμέν may here possibly refer to 1.13. 1260 a 17, διό τὸν μὲν ἄρχοντα τελέαν ἔχειν δεί τὴν ἢθικὴν ἀρετήν (i. c. μετά φρονήσεως, see note on this passage), where the head of a household is referred to, but the view was a commonly accepted one (Xen. Hipparch, 7, 1, παντί μέν οδυ προσήκει άρχουτι φρονίμω είναι, where the rule is applied to generals of cavalry: Plato, Rep. 433C: Isocr. De Antid. § 71: Xen. Cyrop. 1. 6. 22). If every ruler needs to be φρόνιμος, the ruler of a State does so especially; indeed we are told in Eth. Nic. 6. 8. 1141 b 23 that πολιτική and φρόνησιε are the same habit. Cp. Plut. De Pyth. Orac. c. 22, ἀλλά καὶ τὸν Βάττον . . . είς Λιβύην έπεμψαν οἰκιστήν, ὅτι τραυλὸς μέν ἢν καὶ Ισχνόφωνος, . βασιλικός δέ και πολιτικός και φρόνιμος.

- 16. καὶ τὴν παιδείαν δ' εἰθὺς κ.τ.λ., 'and indeed the very education of a ruler some make out to be different (from that of a ruled person),' i.e. not only his virtue, but the training by which it is imparted; or possibly not only the virtue of the fully-developed ruler, but his very education, which begins in childhood and is the first step in his development. Aristotle quite agrees that if the rulers are to be throughout life different from the ruled, their education will be different (4 (7). 14. 1332 b 12 sqq.), but the rulers of his best State, unless it takes the form of an Absolute Kingship, will be ruled first and rulers afterwards (1332 b 25 sqq.).
- 17. ὥσπερ καὶ φαίνονται κ.τ.λ., 'as in fact we see that the sons of kings are taught riding and the art of war.' For καὶ φαίνονται, see note on 1262 a 18. Compare Plut. De Adul. et Amic. c. 16, Καρνεάδης δὲ ἔλεγεν ὅτι πλουσίων καὶ βασιλέων παίδες ἱππεύειν μόνον, ἄλλο δὲ οὐδὲν εὖ καὶ καλῶς μανθάνουσι: Strabo p. 730, μέμνηται δ΄ 'Ονησίκριτος καὶ τὸ ἐπὶ τοῦ Δαρείου τάφω γράμμα τόδε, " φίλος ἢν τοῖς

φίλοις ἱππεὺς καὶ τοξότης ἄριστος ἐγενόμην κυνηγῶν ἐκράτουν πάντα ποιεῖν ἡδυνάμην": Plut. Pyrrh. c. 8, καὶ ὅλως τοῦτο (strategy) μελετῶν ἔοικε καὶ φιλοσοφῶν ἀεὶ διατελεῖν ὁ Πύρρος, ὡς μαθημάτων βασιλικώτατον κ.τ.λ. The sons of the great at Athens were trained in riding (Isocr. Areopag. § 45: Plato, Meno 93 D, 94 B), but not Greek boys in general, as would seem from the passage before us and fioin 5 (8). 3. 1337 b 23 sqq.; Persian boys, on the contrary, were taught to ride, to shoot with the bow, and to speak the truth (Hdt. 1. 136).

19. καὶ Εὐριπίδης φησὶ κ.τ.λ. Occasionally (here for instance and in 1. 13. 1260 a 29), but not always (see 1. 6. 1255 a 36). Aristotle ascribes to the dramatic poet himself a saying placed by him in the mouth of one of his characters. The fragment before us is from the Aeolus and is preserved in a completer form by Stobaeus, Floril. 45. 13 (Eurip. Fragm. 16 Nauck),

λαμπροί δ' εν αίχμαῖς "Αρεος εν τε συλλόγοις μή μοι τὰ κομψὰ ποικίλοι γενοίατο, ἀλλ' ὧν πόλει δεί, μεγάλα βουλεύοιντ' ἀεί.

The lines are no doubt spoken by King Aeolus and relate to the princes his sons. Thus they are quite to the point. In τὰ κομψά Euripides probably has in view the varied subtleties which had been introduced into Greek education in the days which followed the repulse of the Persian invasion (5 (8). 6. 1341 a 28 sqq.), whether connected with musical art or with such subjects as geometry and astronomy (for in Xen. Mem. 4. 7 Socrates thinks it necessary to prescribe limits to these studies) and dialectic and philosophy. See note on 1337 a 39. Mr. Richards compares Thuc. 1. 84. 5, μὴ τὰ ἀχρεῖα ξυνετοὶ ἄγαν ὅντες, τὰς τῶν πολεμίων παρασκευὰς λόγφ καλῶς μεμφόμενοι ἀνομοίως ἔργφ ἐπεξιέναι. In ὧν πόλει δεί κ.τ.λ. Euripides is thinking partly of prowess in arms, cp. Probl. 27. 5. 948 a 31 sqq.: Eurip. Suppl. 855 Bothe, 881 Dindorf,

δ δ' αὖ τρίτος τῶνδ' Ἰππομέδων τοιόσδ' ἔφυ παῖς ὧν ἐτόλμησ' εὐθὺς οὐ πρὸς ἡδονὰς Μουσῶν τραπέσθαι πρὸς τὸ μαλθακὸν βίσυ, ἀγροὺς δὲ ναίων, σκληρὰ τῆ φύσει διδοὺς ἔχαιρε πρὸς τἀνδρεῖον, εἴς τ' ἄγρας ἰὼν ἵπποις τε χαίρων, τόξα τ' ἐντείνων χεροῦν, πόλει παρασχεῖν σῶμα χρήσιμον θέλων,

Eurip. Fragm. 284. 16 sqq., 362. 25 sqq., and a fragment of the Phaedo or Phaedrias of Alexis (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 497), μάχιμος γὰρ ἀνήρ, χρήσιμος δὲ τῆ πόλει.

Compare also Antiphon, Tetral. 2.2.3, ἐδόκουν μὲν οὖν ἔγωγε ταῦτα παιδεύων τὸν υἱὸν ἐξ ὧν μάλιστα τὸ κοινὸν ἀφελεῖται, ἀμφοῖν τι ἡμῖν ἀγαθὸν ἀποβήσεσθαι, where teaching boys how to hurl the dart is referred to. But Euripides probably has especially before him the teaching of Protagoras of Abdera, who claims in Plato, Protag. 318 D sqq. that he does not, like Hippias of Elis, carry boys back to the arts from which they have just escaped and make them study calculation, astronomy, geometry, and music, but teaches εὐβουλία περί τε τῶν οἰκείων, ὅπως ᾶν ἄριστα τὴν αὐτοῦ οἰκίαν διοικοῖ, καὶ περὶ τῶν τῆς πόλεως, ὅπως τὰ τῆς πόλεως δυνατώτατος ᾶν εἴη καὶ πράττειν καὶ λέγειν. Cp. Gorg. 491 A sq., where Callicles expresses similar views.

20. ἄρχοντος παιδείαν. Cp. 2. 11. 1273 a 31, ἀμάρτημα νομοθέτου. ἀρετή, not $\dot{\eta}$ ἀρετή, see note on 1276 b 5.

23. τινός μέντοι πολίτου. See note on 1276 a 28.

24. καὶ διὰ τοῦτ' ἴσως κ.τ.λ. Διὰ τοῦτο, because the virtue of a citizen is different from that of a ruler, a citizen having, at any rate occasionally, to become a private man, a part which Jason did not know how to play. The first question which arises as to this saving of Jason's is as to the meaning of ore un here. "Ore un is used with the optative in Hom. Il. 13. 319 and 14. 247 sq., etc. and Odyss. 16. 197 in the sense of 'unless,' or, according to Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 512. 4 b, 'except when,' and Bern. and Sus. render it 'unless' in the passage before us (Bern. 'er habe nichts zu essen, wenn er nicht Tyrann sei ': Sus.4, 'he must starve if he were not on the throne'). But if ὅτε μή meant 'unless' here, should we not have had πεινήν αν rather than πεινήν? I incline, therefore, to translate πεινην ότε μή τυραννοί either 'he was a starving man except when he was tyrant' or (as Mr. Welldon) 'he was a starving man whenever he was not tyrant' (cp. Thuc. 2. 15. 2, όπότε μή τι δείσειαν). The second of these two renderings is open to the objection that it implies that Jason was more than once tyrant of Pherae and that his tenure of the tyranny was not continuous, a fact which we do not learn elsewhere, and as the first is less open to this objection and also has the merit of giving the same meaning to ore un in the passage before us as it bears in Homer, perhaps it is to be preferred. A further question is whether Jason meant by meissign literal starvation (his ignorance of the art of being a private man making it impossible for him to maintain himself in that capacity), or starvation in a metaphorical sense (compare such expressions as 'auri sacra fames'). I incline to

the latter view. A man who does not possess the virtue of an ἀρχόμενος is not thereby incapacitated for earning a living as a ruled person; he is only incapacitated for τὸ ἄρχεσθαι καλῶς. As to Jason see vol. i. p. 237, note 1. Isocrates (Philip. § 65) describes Dionysius the Elder as 'desiring monarchy in an irrational and frenzied way.' 'The Venetian chronicler says of Giovanni Frangipane,' who had resigned the position of Count of Veglia and had retired to Venice, '"He was no more able to live in a free city than night can abide the rising of the sun" (T. G. Jackson, Dalmatia, 3. 135).

ότε μὴ τυραννοῖ. ''Οτε μή is always found in the best authors with the optative' (Liddell and Scott s.v. őre).

- 25. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἐπαινεῖταί γε κ.τ.λ. 'Αλλὰ μὴν . . . γε, 'but certainly,' as elsewhere. 'Επαινεῖται, 'id est, virtus est: habitus enim laudabiles virtutes vocamus, ut ipse ait in fine libri primi Ethicorum' (Sepulveda, who here refers to Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1103 a 9, τῶν ἔξεων δὲ τὰς ἐπαινετὰς ἀρετὰς λέγομεν). Compare also Eth. Nic. 2. 4. 1106 a 1 and 2. 7. 1108 a 31, ἡ γὰρ αἰδὼς ἀρετὴ μὲν οὐκ ἔστιν, ἐπαινεῖται δὲ καὶ ο αἰδήμων. Thus the transition from ἐπαινεῖται, 25, to ἡ ἀρετή, 26, is an easy one. Agesilaus was praised for knowing both how to rule and how to be ruled (e.g. in Xen. Ages. 2. 16); Lysander, on the other hand, is described by Plutarch (Lysand. c. 20) as τὸν οἴκοι ζυγὸν οὐ φέρων οὐδ ὑπομένων ἄρχεσθαι. Plato had said (Laws 942 C), τοῦτο καὶ ἐν εἰρήνη μελετητέον εὐθὺς ἐκ τῶν παίδων, ἄρχειν τε ἄλλων ἄρχεσθαί θ ὑφ՝ ἐτέρων.
- 26. καὶ πολίτου δοκίμου (δοκεῖ) ἡ ἀρετὴ εἶναι τὸ δύνασθαι καὶ ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι καλῶς. Aristotle here probably has before him Plato, Laws 643 E, τὴν δὲ πρὸς ἀρετὴν ἐκ παίδων παιδείαν ποιοῦσαν ἐπιθυμητήν τε καὶ ἐραστὴν τοῦ πολίτην γενέσθαι τέλεον, ἄρχειν τε και ἄρχεσθαι ἐπιστάμενον μετὰ δίκης. Δόκιμος takes the place of τέλεος and καλῶς of μετὰ δίκης. As to the insertion of δοκεῖ see critical note.
- 28. την δε τοῦ πολίτου ἄμφω, i.e. τὸ δύνασθαι καὶ ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεισθαι καλῶς.
- 29. οὖκ των τη τρων επαινετὰ ὁμοίως. "Αμφω, the two aptitudes just referred to. Aristotle hints that the citizen must in fact possess two different kinds of virtue unequal in praiseworthiness (see vol. i. p. 237). He here anticipates the conclusion which he is slowly approaching. So Sepulveda, who has a note on 'Non eodem modo utrumque laudabitur,'—'non erit eadem virtus.'

ἐπεὶ οὖν . . . 32. κατίδοι τις. 'Since then it is occasionally held that the ruler and the ruled should learn different things and not the same, and that the citizen [who is both ruler and ruled] should understand both and share in both, one may see at a glance the further course of the inquiry.' The next step in it is to point out that the citizen should not learn the work of all kinds of ruled persons. Armed with this principle, we are able to reconcile the two contradictory views. Aristotle here, as often elsewhere, sets two conflicting opinions side by side and brings them into collision, in order to show that each contains an element of truth. One view is that the ruler and the ruled should learn different things; the other is that the citizen, who is in part a ruler, should learn both how to rule and how to be ruled, or in other words should learn the same things as the ruled. Both of these views are partly true. Those who hold that the ruler and the ruled should learn different things are so far correct that the citizen-ruler over citizens, and therefore the citizen, should not learn the work of unfreely ruled persons. Those who hold that the ruler and the ruled should learn the same things are so far correct that the citizen-ruler over citizens should learn to be ruled as a freeman is ruled. Thus the truth lies midway, Aristotle thinks, between the two opinions. For τουντεύθεν, cp. c. 5. 1278 a 13, εντεύθεν μικρόν επισκεψαμένοις, and Plato, Syinp. 217 E, μέχρι μέν οὖν δή δεῦρο τοῦ λόγου καλῶς ἄν ἔχοι καὶ πρὸς όντινοῦν λέγειν, τὸ δ' ἐντεῦθεν κ.τ.λ. In Polit. 27 1 Β, τὸ γὰρ ἐντεῦθεν, οίμαι, χρὴ ξυννοείν εχόμενον γάρ έστι κ.τ.λ., it is explained by εχόμενον (as in Aristoph. Eq. 131 sq. Didot by uerà rourou): cp. Eth. Eud. 2. 6. 1223 a 1 sq.

31. ἀμφότερ' ἐπίστασθαι καὶ μετέχειν ἀμφοῦν. This reversal in the order of words (a kind of Chiasmus) is not uncommon in the Politics. Compare for instance c. 14. 1285 b 30, ἔκαστον ἔθνος καὶ πόλις ἐκάστη: 1. 1. 1252 a 4, μάλιστα δὲ καὶ τοῦ κυριωτάτου πάντων ἡ πασῶν κυριωτάτη: 3. 6. 1278 b 11, λέγω δ' οἶον ἐν μὲν ταῖς δημοκρατικαῖς κύριος ὁ δῆμος, οἱ δ' ὁλίγοι τοὐναντίον ἐν ταῖς όλιγαρχίαις: 3. 11. 1281 b 11 sq.: 3. 17. 1288 a 3 sq.: 6 (4). 3. 1290 a 18, ὥσπερ ἐν τοῖς πνεύμασι τὸν μὲν ζέφυρον τοῦ βορέου, τοῦ δὲ νότου τὸν εὖρον: 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 19-21: 7 (5). 12. 1316 a 22 sq. See Kaibel, Stil und Text der Πολιτεία 'Λθηναίων des Aristoteles, p. 100, for similar instances from that work. The occasional occurrence in the Politics of this studied arrangement of words affords an argument

against the view that it is a pupil's hasty report of Aristotle's lectures.

- 33. ταύτην δὲ τὴν περὶ τἀναγκαῖα λέγομεν, 'and by this we mean the kind of rule which obtains in connexion with necessary services.' Τἀναγκαῖα = τὰ ἀναγκαῖα ἔργα, cp. τὴν τῶν ἀναγκαῖων σχολήν in 2. 9. 1269 a 35. Λέγομεν, in much the same sense as λέγω, 36, though perhaps the 'we' in λέγομεν is the 'we' of a teacher (cp. c. 1. 1275 b 3, 19). The term δεσποτική ἀρχή is not always used by Aristotle in the sense of 'the rule which obtains in connexion with necessary services'; it is not, for instance, in 3. 14. 1285 a 22. It should be noticed that by explaining δεσποτική ἀρχή as ή περὶ τἀναγκαῖα ἀρχή Aristotle is enabled to represent even freemen who do necessary work (e.g. βάνανσοι) as subject to δεσποτική ἀρχή, and not merely absolute slaves.
- 34. Ε ποιείν επίστασθαι τον Ερχοντ' οὐκ αναγκαίον, αλλά χρησθαι μάλλον. In the case of δεσποτική άρχή the ruler does not need to know how to do the things that the ruled do, but only how to use their services. Hence in this form of rule he does not need to learn how to be ruled in order to learn how to rule; he does not need to be a slave first in order to be a good master. In the case of πολιτική ἀρχή, on the other hand, the ruler does need to know how to do what the ruled does, or in other words how to be ruled, for it is thus that he learns to be a good ruler. In δεσποτική ἀρχή all that the ruler needs to know is how to use the services of slaves, and even this he hardly needs to know, for this knowledge has nothing exalted about it (4 (7). 3. 1325 a 24 sqq.: 1. 7. 1255 b 33 sqq.), and a master may dispense with it by employing a steward (1. 7. 1255 b 35 sqq.). But if in δεσποτική αρχή the ruler does not need to know how to do the things that slaves do, still less does he need to be able to do them for another. Doing them for another stands on a far lower level than merely doing them and is fit only for slaves (cp. 1277 b 5 and 5 (8). 2. 1337 b 17 sqq.).
- 37. δούλου δ' εΐδη πλείω λέγομεν. Δούλου takes up ἀνδραποδώδες, 35. Aristotle adds this in order to show that he regards as ἀνδραποδώδες, not only the work of actual slaves, but also that of βάναυσοι τεχνίται and χερνήτες generally. Λέγομεν is probably here used in the same sense as in 34, not in that in which it is used in c. 3. 1276 b 9, where it seems to mean 'men commonly say.' The βάναυσος τεχνίτης and the δής are implied to be closely allied to

the slave in 1. 13. 1260a 40 sqq.: 5 (8). 2. 1337 b 21: 5 (8). 6. 1341 b 13.

38. ὧν κ.τ.λ. *Ων refers to τῶν ἐργασιῶν according to Bonitz, Ind. 377 a 7. For ἐν μέρος κατέχουσιν, he (ibid.) compares 6 (4). 8. 1294 a 17 sqq. Χερνής is a rare, and apparently a poetical, word: χειροτέχνης is the equivalent word in Attic prose.

οδτοι δ' εἰσὶν κ.τ.λ. Montecatino's conjecture of αὐτῶν or αὐτό for αὐτούς is a tempting one (Richards would read αὐτοῖς), and one or other of these emendations may well be right, but it is also possible that as εἰσίν immediately precedes, we are intended to supply εἶναι from it with αὐτούς, for Aristotle often omits εἶναι when it can readily be supplied from a neighbouring εἶναι, ἐστιν, or εἶσιν, e.g. in 2. 12. 1273 b 40, 3. 4. 1276 b 20 sq., 1277 b 26 sq., 3. 15. 1286 b 35 sqq., 4 (7). 10. 1330 a 25 sq., and 4 (7). 15. 1334 b 17 sq.; indeed, he sometimes omits it where this is not the case (see notes on 1260 a 14 and 1327 a 34, and Vahlen on Poet. 24. 1459 b 7). Bonitz (Ind. s.v. σημαίνειν) compares Phys. 4. 7. 213 b 30, πρὸς δὲ τὸ ποτέρως ἔχει δεῖ λαβεῖν τί σημαίνει τοῦνομα. As to the derivation of χερνής see Liddell and Scott s.v. To live by manual labour allied a man to the class of slaves (1. 11. 1258 b 38: 1. 5. 1254 b 17 sqq.: 1. 13. 1259 b 25).

1277 b. 1. ἐν οἶς ὁ βάναυσος τεχνίτης ἐστίν. Cp. Solon, Fragm. 13. 49, ἄλλος ᾿Αθηναίης τε καὶ Ἡφαίστου πολυτέχνεω ἔργα δαεὶς χειροῦν συλλέγεται βίστον.

In the passage before us βάναυσοι τεχνίται are included under χερνήτες, whereas in 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 18-25 τὸ χερνητικόν is distinguished from τὸ περὶ τὰς τέχνας. Aristotle speaks of ὁ βάναυσος τεχνίτης, not simply ὁ τεχνίτης, because not all τεχνίται are χερνήτες. In Eth. Nic. 1. 4. 1097 a 6 sqq. physicians and generals appear to be included under τεχνίται. He sometimes, however, uses the word τεχνίτης 'ad significandos opifices' (see Bon. Ind. s. v. τεχνίτης).

810 $\pi \alpha \rho'$ dríois $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. $\Delta \iota \delta$, because they are slaves (cp. c. 5. 1278 a 6 sqq.). Kaissling (Tempora und Modi in des Aristoteles Politica und in der Atheniensium Politia, p. 72) remarks that the use of $\pi \rho l \nu$ here with an infinitive after a negative principal clause is contrary to the general rule. In $\pi \alpha \rho'$ dríois Aristotle probably refers especially to Athens. If so, it would seem that handicraftsmen were excluded from office at Athens not only in the early days when it was confined to Eupatridae (Plut. Thes. c. 25: Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 2. 8), but even down to the time when the

'ultimate democracy' was introduced (the time of Pericles or later). According to the 'Aθ. Πολ., c. 13, however, the board of ten archons appointed in the year after the archonship of Damasias included two demiurgi. Was Aristotle aware of this? That the fourth and lowest of the property-classes, τὸ θητικόν, was excluded by Solon from office is well known (2. 12. 1274 a 21: Plut. Solon c. 18). Did handicraftsmen belong to this class under Solon's legislation, even if they owned land enough to place them in one or other of the three higher classes? At Ragusa the artisans 'had no voice at all in the government, and were not admissible to any office' (T. G. Jackson, Dalmatia, 2. 309).

- 3. Tà μèν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Μέν οὖν here, as in 2. 9. 1270 b 17 and elsewhere, is answered by ἀλλά (7). Sus. brackets τον ἀγαθόν, and it is true that the question with which we have been concerned from 1277 a 29 onwards has been what the citizen and ruler should learn, not what the good man should learn. But the capability of rendering to another service has been said to be andpamoδώδες in 1277 a 35, and as the opposite of δ ἀνδραποδώδης is δ επιεικής (Eth. Nic. 4. 14. 1128 a 17 sqq.: cp. 10. 6. 1177 a 6 sqq.), Aristotle's first thought is that this capability is not one which should be acquired by & dyabós, his next that it should not be acquired by δ πολιτικός (who is φρόνιμος, 1277 a 15, and therefore dyados), and his next that it should not be acquired by the good Compare the story of the captive Spartan youth in Plut. Apophth. Lac. Obscur. Vir. § 35, 234 B, who replied Οὐ δουλεύσω, when a specially humiliating service was demanded of him.
- 5. εἰ μἡ ποτε κ.τ.λ., 'except occasionally to satisfy some need arising for him in relation to himself, for then it no longer happens that the one party (the party to whom the service is rendered) comes to be a master and the other (the party who renders the service) a slave.' A man who learns to do servile work for himself does not learn to do it for a master, and it is to the good man or good citizen learning to become a slave and to serve a master that Aristotle objects. 'Si quis usus sui gratia sordidum opificium discat, ut Alfonsus dux Ferrariensis, qui singulari industria et artificio aenea tormenta bellica conficere sciebat, is, quia sibi, non alteri, servit, non debet servus more artificum appellari' (Sepulveda). So Bern., 'ausser etwa für seinen persönlichen Bedarf, weil in diesem Falle das Herrn- und Sclavenverhältniss

nicht mehr stattfindet.' Vict., however, explains οὐ γάρ-δοῦλον otherwise, 'si deberet qui regit haec discere, futurum esse ut distingui non possit servus ab ero,' and so Mr. Welldon, who translates, 'else the relation of master and slave ceases to exist,' and Mr. Richards, 'a citizen should not be thoroughly familiar with the Toya of a slave, for then the difference between a master and a slave vanishes.' I prefer the interpretation of Sepulveda and Bernays. Αὐτῷ πρὸς αὐτόν (a phrase recurring in 7 (5). 1. 1302 a 12 and 7 (5). 6. 1305 b 13) is to be taken with xpeias χάριν: cp. 4 (7). 8. 1328 b 10, έτι χρημάτων τινὰ εὐπορίαν, ὅπως έχωσι καὶ πρὸς τὰς καθ αὐτοὺς χρείας καὶ πρὸς πολεμικάς. For χρείας χάριν, cp. Thuc. 1. 136. 6, καὶ ἄμα αὐτὸς μεν εκείνω χρείας τινὸς καὶ οὐκ ἐς τὸ σῶμα σώζεσθαι ἐναντιωθῆναι. For the thought, see note on 1337 b 19, and cp. Rhet. 1. 9. 1367 a 31, καὶ τὸ μηδεμίαν έργάζεσθαι βάναυσον τέχνην έλευθέρου γάρ το μη προς άλλον ζην: also Plut. Praec. Reip. Gerend. c. 15, ἀλλὰ βοηθεί μοι τὸ τοῦ ᾿Αντισθένους μνημονευόμενον θαυμάσαντος γάρ τινος εί δι' άγορας αυτός φέρει τάριχον, Έμαυτώ γε, είπεν έγω δ' ανάπαλιν πρός τους έγκαλουντας εί κεράμω παρέστηκα διαμετρουμένω . . . Οὐκ έμαυτώ γε φημὶ ταῦτ' οἰκονομεῖν, άλλὰ τῆ πατρίδι, where Plutarch makes a notable advance on the older view. It should be noticed that Aristotle's language in the passage before us is carefully guarded; the doing of menial work, even for one's own behoof, is only permitted if it is occasional, not habitual, and in satisfaction of a need.

7. dll' दैना गड़ doxn k.r.l., 'but there is a kind of rule which men exercise over those like themselves in birth and free, for it is of this nature that we say the political rule (the rule which obtains between citizens) is, which [unlike the rule over slaves] the ruler ought to learn while being ruled.' "Αρχει, sc. ὁ ἄρχων. political tule is exercised over men free and equal, we see from 1. 7. 1255 b 20. Citizens are alike in birth, even though some of them are more nobly born than others, but kings are superior in birth to those over whom they rule (3. 13. 1284 a 11 sqq.: 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 12), and the master of a slave is of course superior in birth to his slave. When Aristotle says in 1. 12. 1259 b 15 that the king is the same To yever as those over whom he rules, he probably means 'in race.' Pericles was already familiar with the contrast between rule over freemen and other kinds of rule (cp. Plut. Praec. Reip. Gerend. c. 17, where we read that he said to himself, αναλαμβάνων την χλαμύδα, Πρόσεχε, Περίκλεις έλευθέρων άρχεις, Έλλήνων ἄρχειε, πολιτῶν 'Αθηναίων), and Lysander also, who said, when the Spartan harmost Callibius raised his staff to strike the athlete Autolycus, that 'he knew not how to rule over freemen' (Plut. Lysand. c. 15).

- 10. στρατηγεῖν στρατηγηθέντα καὶ ταξιαρχήσαντα καὶ λοχαγήσαντα. For the absence of καί before στρατηγεῖν see critical note on 1260 a 26, and cp. 6 (4). 8. 1294 a 16, μόνον γὰρ ἡ μίξις στοχάζεται τῶν εὐπόρων καὶ τῶν ἀπόρων, πλούτου καὶ ελευθερίας. Καὶ ταξιαρχήσαντα καὶ λοχαγήσαντα is added to show that one should not only have been under the command of a general before one becomes a general, for this might be said of a private soldier, but should have risen from the rank of a private to that of a lochagus, and from that rank to the rank of a taxiarch (compare the saying ascribed to the comic poet Crates by Aristophanes in Eq. 541 Didot, quoted above on 1276 b 22, and the principle underlying the ordo magistratuum at Rome). That the lochagus was subordinate to the taxiarch, we see from 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 1 sqq.: see also Liddell and Scott, s.v. ταξίαρχος.
- 11. διὸ λέγεται κ. τ. λ. Aristotle probably refers to a saying ascribed to Solon, ἄρχε πρώτον μαθών ἄρχεσθαι (Diog. Laert. 1. 60), which Plato may have before him in Laws 762 E (quoted in vol. i. p. 238, note 1). Cp. also Cic. De Leg. 3. 2. 5 and M. Antonin. Comm. 11. 29. Kal τοῦτο, 'this also,' for Aristotle has already said that one should learn to rule freemen by being ruled, and now he goes further and says that it is not possible to rule them well without having been ruled. Alcibiades' experience of being ruled was probably far too short, for he figures as a leading statesman at Athens at a comparatively early age. Even good rulers have been thought to have lost somewhat through too rapid a rise in early life. Some traced Lord Stratford de Redcliffe's 'exceeding masterfulness' to this cause. 'He was pushed up the easiest possible incline to almost the top of the ladder of diplomatic rank before he was twenty-four' (S. Lane-Poole, Life of Lord Stratford de Redcliffe, 1. 80).
- 18. τούτων δὲ ἀρετὴ μὲν ἐτέρα κ.τ.λ. Τούτων, i.e. τοῦ ἄρχοντος καὶ τοῦ ἀρχομένου τὴν τῶν ἐλευθέρων ἀρχήν. Cp. 1. 13. 1259 b 32 sqq. Μέν, 'while,' as often elsewhere.
- 14. ἐπίστασθαι καὶ δύνασθαι. Δύνασθαι is a wider term than ἐπίστασθαι: knowledge is only one of the conditions of capability. Cp. Xen. Cyrop. 3. 2. 25, εἰσὶ δέ τινες τῶν Χαλδαίων οἱ ληζόμενοι ζῶσι

καὶ οῦτ' ἄν ἐπίσταιντο ἐργάζεσθαι οῦτ' ἄν δύναιντο, εἰθισμένοι ἀπὸ πολέμου Βιοτεύειν.

- 15. ἀρετή. For the absence of the article before ἀρετή see note on 1253 b 11.
- 16. ἐπ' ἀμφότερα, 'on both sides,' i.e. both as ruler and as ruled: cp. Eth. Nic. 6. 12. 1143 a 35, καὶ ὁ νοῦς τῶν ἐσχάτων ἐπ' ἀμφότερα, and Plut. Lycurg. c. 18, ἐκοινώνουν δὲ οἱ ἐρασταὶ τοῖς παισὶ τῆς δόξης ἐπ' ἀμφότερα (i.e. both when their repute was bad and when it was good).

καὶ ἀνδρὸς δὴ ἀγαθοῦ ἄμφω, i.e. τὸ δύνασθαι ἄρχειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι τὴν τῶν ἐλευθέρων ἀρχήν. Thus Aristotle's 'best State,' which is composed of σπουδαῖοι (4 (7). 13. 1332 a 32 sqq.), is also composed of men who have learnt to rule well by being ruled (4 (7). 14. 1333 a 2) and who interchange ruling and being ruled (1332 b 25 sqq.). As to καὶ... δή see above on 1253 a 18.

17. καὶ εἰ κ.τ.λ., 'and if the temperance and justice appropriate to a ruler differ in kind [from the temperance and justice appropriate to a person ruled but free], for the temperance and justice of a person ruled but free are also different in kind [from those of a ruler], it is clear that the good man's virtue, for instance his justice, will be of two kinds,' [for the good man must have the virtue which fits him to rule and also the virtue which fits him to be ruled.] That the virtue of the ruler is different in kind from that of the ruled we have seen in 1. 13. 1259 b 32-1260 a 24, a passage with which that before us is nearly connected. For the suppression in 17 of 'from the temperance and justice appropriate to a person ruled but free, cp. 1277 a 16, καὶ τὴν παιδείαν δ' εὐθὺς έτέραν είναι λέγουσί τινες αρχοντος, where 'from that of the ruled' is suppressed, and [Plut.] Consol. ad Apollonium, c. 23, ayroourres on ό ἄωρος θάνατος, ώς πρὸς τὴν τῶν ἀνθρώπων φύσιν, οὐδὲν διαφέρει (SC. τοῦ ώραίου). For the ellipse in καὶ γὰρ ἀρχομένου μὲν ελευθέρου δέ see the examples collected by Bonitz, Ind. s.v. γάρ (146 a 50 sqq.), and , especially Eth. Nic. 3. 13. 1118 b 21, περί δὲ τὰς ἰδίας τῶν ἡδονῶν πολλοί και πολλαχώς άμαρτάνουσιν των γάρ φιλοτοιούτων λεγομένων (SC. πολλοί άμαρτάνουσιν) ή τῷ χαίρειν οίς μή δεί κ.τ.λ. So here with καὶ γαρ αρχομένου μεν έλευθέρου δέ we must supply έτερον έστιν είδος σωφροσύνης καὶ δικαιοσύνης, or possibly, as Mr. Richards suggests, έστι σωφροσύνη καὶ δικαιοσύνη. For the absence of the article before αρετή in του αγαθού αρετή, see note on 1285 b 12, του σκήπτρου inaváraois. Aristotle had assumed for a moment in an aporetic argument (1276 b 33) that the virtue of the good man is of one kind only, but he now arrives at a different conclusion. Plato had already so far distinguished the virtue of the ruler from that of the ruled as to say that poornous exists only in the ruler (Rep. 433 C), but neither he nor Protagoras had drawn any distinction between the temperance and justice of the ruler and the same qualities in the ruled, when they said (Plato, Rep. 431 E-432 B, 433 D: Protag. 324 D-325 A) that justice and temperance should be possessed by all classes in the State. Aristotle's principle is that ruling differs in kind from being ruled, and that therefore the virtue of the ruler differs in kind from the virtue of the ruled (1. 13. 1259 b 37 sq.). I do not remember any other passage in Aristotle's writings in which this view is expressed with equal distinctness. He appears in what follows to connect the difference between the courage and temperance of the man and the woman with the more active and arduous nature of the functions of the man in household management.

20. καθ' ἄ, cp. 4 (7). 3. 1325 b 13, δύναμων καθ' ἡν ἔσται πρακτικός.
ὥσπερ κ.τ.λ. This has been already said in 1. 13. 1260 a 21 sqq., where we are told that these virtues in the man are ἀρχικαί and in the woman ὑπηρετικαί. In Poet. 15. 1454 a 22 we read ἔστι γὰρ ἀνδρείον μὲν τὸ ἦθος, ἀλλ' οὐχ ἀρμόττον γυναικὶ τὸ ἀνδρείαν ἡ δεινὴν εἶναι, but Ac has τῶι in place of the second τό with a blank space before it large enough for two letters, and Vahlen conjectures οὕτως. The article is absent before σωφροσίνη in γυναικὸς καὶ ἀνδρὸς ἐτέρα σωφροσύνη καὶ ἀνδρία, just as it is often absent in similar sentences where ὁ αὐτὸς οccurs: see above on 1276 b 5, 7, and 1277a 13, and cp. 24, ἐπεὶ καὶ οἰκονομία ἐτέρα ἀνδρὸς καὶ γυναικός.

22. καὶ γυνὴ λάλος, εἰ οῦτω κοσμία εἴη ῶσπερ ὁ ἀνὴρ ὁ ἀγαθός. Looking to δειλός, 22, which is the opposite of ἀνδρεῖος, we might expect to find ἀκόλαστος, the opposite of σώφρων, in place of λάλος, and Susemihl on the strength of 'inhonesta' in Leonardus Aretinus' translation places ἀκόλαστος in his text, but λάλος is probably right. Λάλος is often opposed to κόσμιος, e.g. in Philem. 'Αδελφοί, Fragm. 2 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 5),

οὐκ, ἄν λαλἢ τις μικρόν, ἐστὶ κόσμιος, οὐδ' ἄν πορεύηταί τις εἰς τὴν γῆν βλέπων. δ δ' ἡλίκον μὲν ἡ φύσις φέρει λαλῶν, μηδὲν ποιῶν δ' ἄσχημον, οὖτος κόσμιος.

Addos, it is true, is found only in II1, but II2 (except P4, which has

dλaλos) have dλλos, which is frequently found in MSS. as a misreading for λάλos (see for instance Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 858: 3. 567). L. Schmidt has shown (Ethik der alten Griechen, 1. 313) how nearly akin κοσμιότηs is to σωφροσύνη. Compare with the passage before us Trag. Gr. Fragm. Adespota 364 (Nauck),

άλλος γυναικός κόσμος, άλλος άρσένων.

- 24. ἐπεὶ καὶ κ.τ.λ. For the transition here from ἀρετή to οἰκονομία, compare what Meno says in Plato, Meno 71 E, εί δὲ βούλει γυναικός άρετήν, οὐ χαλεπόν διελθείν, ότι δεί αὐτήν τήν οἰκίαν εὖ οἰκείν, σώζουσάν τε τὰ ἔνδον καὶ κατήκοον οὖσαν τοῦ ἀνδρός. Aristotle evidently has this passage before him, and probably also Xen. Oecon. 7, 25, έπει δε και το φυλάττειν τα είσενεχθέντα τη γυναικί προσέταξε, γιγνώσκων ό θεδς ότι πρός το φυλάττειν ου κάκιον έστι φοβεράν είναι την ψυχήν πλείον μέρος καὶ τοῦ φόβου έδάσατο τῆ γυναικὶ ἡ τῷ ἀνδρί. Compare also [Aristot.] Oecon. 1. 3. 1343 b 26-1344 a 8. The account given there and in the passage before us of the household management of the man as being concerned with acquiring is, however, at issue with the usual teaching of Aristotle on the subject, which is that household management has to do with using: see above on 1256 a 11. To acquire is more difficult than to keep and demands a higher type of virtue (Demosth. Ol. 2. 26, πολύ γάρ βάον ἔχοντας φυλάττειν ή κτήσασθαι πάντα πέφυκεν: Dio Cass. Hist. Rom. 52. 18. 5. καὶ μακρῷ τὸ φυλάξαι τι τοῦ κτήσασθαι ράον έστι πρὸς μέν γὰρ τὸ τάλλότρια προσποιήσασθαι καὶ πόνων καὶ κινδύνων δεῖ, πρὸς δὲ τὸ τὰ ὑπάρχοντα σῶσαι βραχεία Φροντίς ἀρκεί).
 - 25. ἡ δὲ φρόνησις ἄρχοντος τδιος ἀρετὴ μότη, 'and moral prudence [is the only virtue which has not two kinds, for it] is the only virtue which is peculiar to the ruler.' This sentence is a continuation in a rough way of 18-21. The fem. form τδιος is used here, as in 7 (5). 12. 1316 a 12 and De Part. An. 2. 7. 652 b 2, in all three cases before a word commencing with a vowel (see note on 1283 a 33). Bonitz (Ind. 472 b 44) gives a number of instances in which the word μόνος is placed at the end of a sentence, among them Eth. Nic. 7. 6. 1149 a 20. As to the ruler's need of φρόνησις see above on 1277 a 14. It has been already said (above on 17) that Plato treats φρόνησις as peculiar to the ruler in Rep. 433 C, a passage which Aristotle also has before him when he ascribes δόξα ἀληθής to the ruled. Compare Timaeus 51 D sqq. and the contrast between ruler and ruled in Laws 734 E sq. Yet in Laws 632 C Plato speaks of instituting guardians of the laws, τοὺς μὲν διά

φρονήσεως, τοὺς δὲ δι' ἀληθοῦς δόξης Ιόντας, so that in the State of the Laws there were to be rulers without φρόνησις, armed only with true opinion (see as to this vol. i. pp. 437, 449). For the effect of 'true opinion respecting what is noble and just and good and the contrary' on the character of the members of a State, see Plato, Polit. 309 C sqq. Bonitz (Ind. 203 b 52) refers to Aristot. περὶ μνήμης καὶ ἀναμνήσεως Ι. 450 a 15, διὸ καὶ ἐτέροις τισὶν ὑπάρχει τῶν ζώων, καὶ οὺ μόνον ἀνθρώποις καὶ τοῖς ἔχουσι δόξαν ἡ φρόνησιν, where the distinction reappears.

- 26. For the omission of the see notes on 1260 a 14 and 1277 a 38.
- 28. ἀρχομένου δέ γε κ.τ.λ., 'but as to a person ruled, his virtue is not moral prudence but true opinion, for the ruled person is like a flute-maker, while the ruler is a flute-player, who uses what the flute-maker makes.' The reason assigned seems at first sight to be no reason at all, till we recall 1. 8. 1256 a 5 sqq. and 1. 10. 1258 a 21 sqq., where the art that makes is explained to be ministerial (ὑπηρετική) and subordinate to the art that uses. The ruled person is similarly ministerial to the ruler, and hence has a merely ministerial kind of virtue. Cp. Eth. Eud. 7. 13. 1246 b 11, ἡ γὰρ τοῦ ἀρχομένου χρῆται. Compare also (with Sus.², Note 499) Plato, Rep. 601 D, οἶου αὐλητής που αὐλοποιῷ ἐξαγγελεῖ περὶ τῶν αὐλῶν, οἱ ἀν ὑπηρετῶσιν ἐν τῷ αὐλεῖν, καὶ ἐπιτάξει οῖους δεῖ ποιεῖν, ὁ δ' ὑπηρετήσει, and (with Prof. Jowett) Cratyl. 388 sqq. (esp. 390 B sqq.). Γε in δέ γε qualifies ἀρχομένου: see Liddell and Scott s. ν. γε sub fin.
- 31. καὶ πῶς ἡ αὐτὴ καὶ πῶς ἐτέρα. The virtue of a good citizen has been shown to be the same as the virtue of the good man in the case of the citizen of the best State who is possessed of φρόνησις, or in other words who is capable of ruling. For πῶς, cp. 4 (7). 14. 1332 b 41 sqq.
- 34. ὡς ἀληθῶς γὰρ κ.τ.λ. The question raised here is probably C. 5. suggested by the mention in c. 4. 1277 b 1 of the fact that in some States handicraftsmen had no share in office till the 'ultimate democracy' came into being. Cp. Polyb. 10. 17. 6, where of πολιτικοί are distinguished from of χειροτέχναι. But surely handicraftsmen even in those States shared in the ἀδριστος ἀρχή access to which, according to c. 1. 1275 a 30 sqq., suffices to make a man a citizen? Aristotle now seems to require that the citizen shall share not merely in ἀδριστος ἀρχή, but in ἀρχαί strictly so called

- (35 sqq.). He appears to hold that if a citizen does not do so, he cannot be said to possess the virtue of a citizen (36). Aristotle's inquiry into the nature of citizen-virtue results, in fact, in a change in his standard of citizenship; at any rate we are told in 1278 a 35 that δ μάλιστα πολίτης is to be found in δ μετέχων τῶν τιμῶν.
- 37. την τοιαύτην ἀρετήν, 'the virtue which we have ascribed to the citizen' (cp. 1278 a 9, πολίτου ἀρετην ην εἴπομεν), i. e. the virtue which fits men both to rule and to be ruled.

οὖτος γὰρ πολίτης. Οὖτος, i.e. ῷ μὴ μέτεστιν ἀρχῶν. The addition of οὖτος γὰρ πολίτης seems unnecessary, but it is quite in Aristotle's manner: cp. c. 1. 1275 a 11, and the addition of οἱ μὲν γὰρ θορικὰ ἔχουσιν, οἱ δ' ὑστέρας in De Gen. An. 3. 5. 755 b 20 sqq., and see notes on 1282 a 36 and b 39.

- 38. ἐν τίνι μέρει θετέος ἔκαστος; 'in what class are we to place the individual handicraftsman?' For ἐν τίνι μέρει see Liddell and Scott s. v. μέρος. Compare Demosth. c. Aristocr. c. 23, εἰ σκέψαισθ ἐν τίνι τάξει ποτ' ἐστὶν ὑπὲρ οὖ τὸ ψήφισμα εἴρηται, πότερα ξένος ἡ μέτοικος ἡ πολίτης ἐστίν.
- 39. διά γε τοῦτον τὸν λόγον, 'by reason of this statement at any rate,' i.e. the statement that βάνανσοι are not citizens nor metoeci nor aliens. Cp. Metaph. Λ. 10. 1075 a 25, ὅσα δὲ ἀδύνατα συμβαίνει ἢ ἄτοπα τοῖς ἄλλως λέγουσι.
- 1278 a. 2. των είρημένων, i. e. citizens metoeci and aliens.

τοῦτο γὰρ ἀληθὲς κ.τ.λ. The preceding sentence has pointed to the conclusion that βάναυσοι are not citizens, and γάρ introduces a justification of this conclusion. For the thought cp. 4 (7). 8. 1328 a 21 sqq.

- 4. οὐδ' οἱ παίδες, 'not even the children, [though they come nearer to being citizens than handicraftsmen do].' For what follows cp. c. 1. 1275 a 14 sqq. The sons of citizens are said to be citizens ἐξ ὑποθέσεως, because they are citizens not absolutely but 'on an assumption'—the assumption, namely, that they will become citizens when they grow older.
- 6. dr μèr οὖν τοῖς dρχαίοις χρότοις κ.τ.λ. For the use of μèν οὖν here see note on 1265 b 12. It is answered by δέ, 8. The sense is—'Nay, in ancient times the handicraftsmen were in some States slaves or aliens, but the best State will not go so far as to make them slaves, it will refuse to make them citizens' (cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 33 sqq.). Handicraftsmen would be especially likely to be slaves in military States (Xen. Oecon. 4. 3, and Plut. Lycurg. et

Num. inter se comp. c. 2, αὐστηρὰ δὲ ἡ Λυκούργειος (διάταξις) καὶ αριστοκρατική, τὰς μεν βαναύσους αποκαθαίρουσα τέχνας els olkeτών καὶ μετοίκων χείρας, αὐτούς δὲ τοὺς πολίτας εἰς τὴν ἀσπίδα καὶ τὸ δόρυ συνάyoura). In maritime and commercial States like Corinth, where handicraftsmen were less despised, there would be less eagerness to keep them outside the citizen-body. When Solon offered citizenship at Athens to persons immigrating with their families for the practice of a handicraft (Plut. Solon c. 24), he bade farewell to the old-fashioned policy of keeping handicraftsmen slaves and aliens, and aided in the creation of that numerous body of handicraftsmen, the existence of which made it possible for Themistocles a century later to build and equip a fleet (Diod. 11, 43, 3). That handicraftsmen were often strangers in early days is implied in Hom. Odyss. 17. 382 sqq., and it appears that the first makers of the peplos of Athena were two aliens, Aceseus of Patara and Helicon of Carystus (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 22). but, according to Büchsenschütz (Besitz und Erwerb, p. 321), we do not read in Homer of slaves employed in handicrafts.

- 7. διόπερ οἱ πολλοὶ τοιοῦτοι καὶ νῦν. That handicraftsmen were often aliens in Plato's day is implied in Laws 848 A, τὸ δὲ τρίτον δημιουργοῖς τε καὶ πάντως τοῖς ξένοις. Cp. also Andoc. ap. Schol. Aristoph. Vesp. 1007, where we read about Hyperbolus ὡς δὲ ξένος ὡν καὶ βάρβαρος λυχνοποιεῖ, and Demosth. in Eubulid. c. 31, ἡμεῖς δ' ὁμολογοῦμεν καὶ ταινίας πωλεῖν καὶ ζῆν οὐχ ὅντινα τρόπον βουλόμεθα καὶ εἴ σοὶ ἐστι τοῦτο σημεῖον, ὡ Εὐβουλίδη, τοῦ μὴ ᾿Αθηναίους εἶναι ἡμῶς κ.τ.λ. Even at Athens most handicraftsmen may have been slaves or aliens as late as the time of Aristotle, though the Athenian citizenbody undoubtedly comprised a large number of βάνανσοι.
- 9. εἰ δὲ καὶ οὖτος πολίτης, ἀλλά κ.τ.λ. For this use of ἀλλά in the sense of 'at any rate' in an apodosis after a conditional clause introduced by εἰ or ἐάν, see Bon. Ind. 33 a 42 sqq., and cp. Phys. 8. 6. 258 b 32-259 a 4.
 - 10. λεκτέον οὐ παντὸς κ.τ.λ., sc. είναι: see above on 1277 a 38.
- 11. τῶν δ' ἀναγκαίων κ.τ.λ. The expression τῶν ἀναγκαίων presents much difficulty. It is possible that the word ἀναγκαίων has been repeated by a scribe's mistake from the preceding sentence and has displaced some other word (perhaps ἄλλων, which Bernays would read in place of it). Another possible view is Prof. Postgate's (Notes, p. 26), who construes τῶν δ' ἀναγκαίων 'and with respect to necessary services,' but the sentence certainly reads as if τῶν

dναγκαίων were masculine. If we take it as masculine, we may translate 'the necessary people,' so termed in contradistinction to 'those who are quit of necessary services,' and compare 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 15, τοῖε ἀναγκαίοιε βοσκήμασιν. It is thus that Lambinus appears to interpret τῶν ἀναγκαίων, for his rendering is 'eorum autem qui operibus et muneribus necessariis funguntur.' Τὰ τοιαῦτα = τὰ ἀναγκαῖα ἔργα. In speaking of slaves as rendering services to an individual, Aristotle forgets the case of public slaves.

12. οἱ δὲ κοινῆ κ.τ.λ. Compare their name δημιουργοί.

13. ἐντεῦθεν μικρὸν ἐπισκεψαμένοις, 'starting from this point and carrying our investigation a little further.' So we have in Meteor. 1. 3. 340 b 14 δεῖ δὲ νοεῖν οὕτως καὶ ἐντεῦθεν ἀρξαμένους. 'Εντεῦθεν should probably be taken, as Susemihl takes it, with μικρὸν ἐπισκεψαμένοις, and not with φανερόν, as Bernays, followed by Mr. Welldon, takes it.

14. αὐτῶν, i. e. βάναυσοι and θῆτες.

αὐτὸ γὰρ φανὲν τὸ λεχθὲν ποιεῖ δῆλον, 'for that which has been said is enough by itself, when once made known, to render this manifest.' Aristotle probably refers in τὸ λεχθέν to what has been said in c. 1. 1275 a 38 sqq. For φανέν, cp. Plato, Gorg. 508 E, ταῦτα ἡμῦν ἄνω ἐκεῖ ἐν τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν λόγοις οὕτω φανέντα, and Soph. O. T. 848,

αλλ' ώς φανέν γε τούπος ωδ' επίστασο,

and Trachin. 1. Aristotle evidently has in his mind a familiar proverb αὐτὸ δείξει: cp. Plato, Protag. 324 A, εἰ γὰρ ἐθελεις ἐννοῆσαι τὸ κολάζειν, δο Σώκρατες, τοὺς ἀδικοῦντας τί ποτε δύναται, αὐτό σε διδάξει, ὅτι οῖ γε ἄνθρωποι ἡγοῦνται παρασκευαστὸν εἶναι ἀρετήν: Critias 108 C, τοῦτο μὲν οἶν οἶον ἐστιν, αὐτό σοι τάχα δηλώσει: Hipp. Maj. 288 B: Eurip. Orest. 1101 Bothe, 1129 Dindorf,

είτ' αὐτὸ δηλοί τοῦργον, ή τείνειν χρεών:

Androm. 261 Bothe, 265 Dindorf (for other references to Euripides see Liddell and Scott s. v. σημαίνω 1. 2): Aristoph. Lysistr. 375 Didot: Cratin. Πυλαία, Fragm. 9 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 114). See also Rhein. Mus. 42. 400.

16. καὶ μάλιστα τοῦ ἀρχομένου πολίτου. Cp. 1. 13. 1260 a 3 sq.

18. ofor εἴ τίς ἐστιν κ.τ.λ. Cp. 6 (4). 8. 1294 a 9 sqq. and 2. 11. 1273 a 25 sqq. ⁴Ην καλοῦσιν ἀριστοκρατικήν, for ἀριστοκρατία in the truest sense of the word implies something more than the

award of office according to virtue; it implies a constitution under which all the citizens, or at any rate all the citizens capable of rule, are men of full excellence (6 (4). 7. 1293 b 1 sqq.). Cp. 6 (4). 11. 1295 a 31, δε καλοῦσω ἀριστοκρατίας.

20. κατ' άξίαν is here associated with κατ' άρετήν, but we must not identify the two expressions. 'Afia, as Hildenbrand has already pointed out (Geschichte und System der Rechts- und Staatsphilosophie 1. 294), attaches not only to virtue, but to property and to every other attribute which gives its possessor a special importance in relation to the life of the State. To kar' affiar toor is commonly contrasted with τὸ κατ' ἀριθμὸν ἴσον (e.g. in 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 29 sq. and 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 3 sq.), whence we infer that constitutions not based on τὸ κατ' ἀριθμὸν ἴσον, for instance oligarchy, are based in a sense on τὸ κατ' ἀξίαν ἴσον: indeed, democracy itself, though commonly represented as resting its claims on τὸ κατ' ἀριθμὸν ἴσον (8 (6). 2. 1317 b 3 sq.), is sometimes implied to rest them on rò κατ' αξίαν чет (Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131 a 25 sqq.: ср. Pol. 3. 17. 1288 a 20 sqq.). Wealth, virtue, high birth, education, and even ελευθερία, confer aξία (Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131 a 25 sqq.). That the wealthy, the well-born, and the free-born have a real, and not merely a fancied, claim on the score of affa, results, I think, from Pol. 3. 12. 1283 a 14 sqq. Their claim, however, cannot compare with that of men superior in virtue (3. 9. 1281 a 4 sqq.: cp. 7 (5). 1. 1301 a 39 sqq.), and hence Kar' afiar is especially and most truly used in the Politics, as it is in the passage before us, of agia conferred by virtue (see 4 (7). 4. 1326 b 15: 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 17: 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 33).

ού γάρ οίδυ τε κ.τ.λ. Cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 37 sqq. and 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 26 sqq.: also Xen. Oecon. 4. 3, καὶ ἀσχολίας δὲ μάλιστα ἔχουσι καὶ φίλων καὶ πόλεως συνεπιμελεῖσθαι αὶ βαναυσικαὶ καλούμεναι (τέχναι) ώστε οἱ τοιοῦτοι δοκοῦσι κακοὶ καὶ φίλοις χρῆσθαι καὶ ταῖς πατρίσιν ἀλεξητῆρες εἶναι.

22. µér, 'while,' as often elsewhere.

23. ἀπὸ τιμημάτων γὰρ μακρῶν κ.τ.λ. Not so, however, surely in the first kind of Oligarchy (6 (4). 5. 1292 a 39-b 2). For τιμημάτων μακρῶν cp. 6 (4). 5. 1292 b 1 and 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 4: also 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 16 and 8 (6). 7. 1321 a 11.

24. πλουτοῦσι γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle perhaps has in his mind Hom. Odyss. 17. 386, where δημιοεργοί are referred to,

ούτοι γὰρ κλητοί γε βροτών ἐπ' ἀπείρονα γαίαν, πτωχὸν δ' οὐκ ὧν τις καλέοι τρύξυντα ε αὐτόν.

Still Plato (Rep. 406 C) contrasts οἱ δημιουργοί with οἱ πλούσιοἱ τε καὶ εὐδαίμονες δοκοῦντες εἶναι. Καί intensifies οἱ πολλοί, 'quite the majority.' See Stallbaum's notes on Plato, Rep. 562 C and Laws 630 A.

25. ἐν Θήβαις δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'but in Thebes,' etc. Bern. translates δέκα ἐτῶν 'seit zehn Jahren' ('since ten years previously'). Sus. 'zehn Jahre lang' ('for the space of ten years'). In support of Bernays' rendering Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 418.8 b, may be referred to. In Aristoph. Lysistr. 280 the Latin translation contained in Didot's Aristophanes renders & ἐτῶν ἄλουτος 'inde a sex annis illotus.' But I should prefer Susemihl's rendering of δέκα ἐτῶν if parallel passages from Aristotle's writings can be adduced in support of it. It is conceivable, though perhaps hardly likely, that διά has dropped out before δέκα. 'Απεσχημένου της αγοράς appears to mean 'abstained from selling in the agora.' Aristotle no doubt refers to the time when Thebes was under an oligarchical constitution; he contrasts the oligarchy which existed at Thebes with other forms of oligarchy under which it was possible for a handicraftsman to find his way into office, and evidently prefers the strictness of the Theban oligarchy: cp. 8 (6). 7. 1321 a 26, την δε μετάδοσιν γίνεσθαι τῷ πλήθει τοῦ πολιτεύματος ήτοι . . τοῖς τὸ τίμημα κτωμένοις ή, καθάπερ Θηβαίοις, ἀποσχομένοις χρόνον τινὰ τῶν βαναύσων ἔργων κ.τ.λ. (It would seem, if we compare this passage with that before us, that abstaining from the practice of a handicraft and abstaining from selling in the agora were much the same thing; handicraftsmen would appear to have both manufactured their goods and sold them in the agora, cp. 8 (6). 4. 1319a 26-30). Xenophon may perhaps refer to Thebes as well as to the Lacedaemonian State when he says in Oecon. 4. 3, καὶ ἐν ἐνίαις μὲν τῶν πόλεων, μάλιστα δὲ ἐν ταῖς εὐπολέμοις δοκούσαις εἶναι, οὐδ' ἔξεστι τῶν πολιτῶν οὐδενὶ βαναυσικάς τέχνας έργάζεσθαι. oligarchies went further and enacted laws forbidding holders of offices to engage in any lucrative occupation (7 (5). 12. 1316 b 3 sqq.). Nόμος ην is probably emphatic, as in 2. q. 1270 b 3; there was no concealment in the way in which Thebes excluded Báravou from office, as there was in the methods followed by some States (1278 a 38 sqq.).

26. ἐν πολλαῖς δὲ πολιτείαις κ.τ.λ., 'but on the other hand in many constitutions,' etc. Here we pass from one extreme to another, from the extreme strictness of the Theban oligarchy to

the extreme laxity of other constitutions. Aristotle evidently holds. in full agreement with current opinion, that to make aliens citizens was worse than making handicraftsmen citizens. The constitutions to which he refers were no doubt extreme democracies (cp. 8 (6). 4. 1210 b 6-19), and it is noticeable that Aristotle does not charge even them with commonly admitting absolute aliens to citizenship. whatever they might do at special crises (see note on 1275 b 34); he speaks in the passage before us of 'some of the class of aliens' (των ξένων, not τους ξένους), and refers in particular to 'persons born of a citizen-mother and an alien father,' i.e. half-aliens. As he distinguishes these half-aliens from vibo, he would seem to regard them as born in wedlock. These half-aliens would differ much among themselves; the alien parent would be in some cases a Greek, in others an European or Asiatic barbarian, and a barbarian of high or low position. Cimon was the son of a Greek father and a Thracian princess, Themistocles of a Greek father and a Thracian or Carian woman of less exalted position, and this would be much the commoner case. Many half-aliens would probably be the offspring of marriages between poor citizenwomen and rich metoeci (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 200, 1). Similar differences would exist in the ranks of the νόθοι. The term νόθος was used in strictness to designate those who were not born in wedlock, even if they were descended from citizen-parents (Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 190). Thus a vólos might be more purely Athenian than the half-aliens of whom we have been speaking, for he might be the offspring of an illicit connexion between Athenians of full citizen status. Far more frequently, however, he would be the offspring of an illicit connexion between an Athenian citizen and a slave-woman; occasionally he might be the offspring of an illicit connexion between an Athenian woman and a slave. Gilbert (Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 299. 1) holds that in the passage before us Aristotle intends to identify the νόθοι with οἱ ἐκ δούλου ή δούλης (33), but perhaps we need not take him to assert that these constituted the whole class of vólou: they were no doubt the largest and least welcome portion of it. The distinction between Eiros and róbos is not always maintained: see Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 297. 2, who refers to Pollux 3. 21, vólos di ό ἐκ ξένης ἡ παλλακίδος . . . τὸν δὲ νόθον καὶ ματρόξενον ἔνιοι καλοῦσιν, and to Demosth. c. Aristocr. c. 213.

29. οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ἐπεὶ κ.τ.λ. 'Επεί, 'as' or 'seeing that.' The

passage implies that all States which made aliens and bastards citizens did so for want of genuine citizens, a statement which seems to conflict with 8 (6). 4. 1319 b 6 sqq., where we are told that the founders of extreme democracies adopted measures of this kind, not because they could not help themselves, but with the view of making the demos strong. Aristotle leaves cases of this nature out of sight, for his object in the passage before us is to prove that States only make aliens and bastards citizens when they are forced by necessity to do so, and that, in fact, even the States which do this practically confess that some types of citizen are less authentic than others (vol. i. p. 241). Ποιουνται, 'make for themselves': contrast ποιήσει, 1278 a 8, and ποιούσιν, 34, and compare for a similar transition c. 16. 1287 b 29-31. Tous rowirous, i. e. ξένους καὶ νόθους. It would seem from Oecon. 2. 1346 b 13-29 that at Byzantium the law requiring both parents to be citizens was relaxed at a time of merely financial pressure.

- 31. οὖτω χρῶνται τοῖς νόμοις, 'they have laws of this nature' (literally, 'they have their laws thus'): cp. Aristot. Fragm. 155. 1504 a 25, πολλοὶ δὲ οὖτω χρῶνται τῶν βαρβάρων.
- 32. εὐποροῦντες δ' ὄχλου κ.τ.λ. The occurrence of δέ in the apodosis here after a protasis introduced by inel raises a very difficult question. There is no doubt that in the writings of Aristotle, as in those of other Greek authors, de not unfrequently occurs in the apodosis after a protasis introduced by el, when the apodosis or some part of it is opposed in sense to the protasis: see Jelf, Gr. Gr. § 770. 1 a. We have instances of this in 3. 16. 1287 b 11 sqq.: Metaph. B. 4. 999 a 26 sqq.: Phys. 4. 8. 215 b 13 sqq. But the question is whether & occurs in the apodosis after a protasis introduced by in the genuine writings of Aristotle. It occurs after a protasis introduced by ws in the so-called Second Book of the Oeconomics (1349 b 12, ώς δὲ πρὸς τοῦτο ἔταξε, σφάζεσθαι ὅσα δεῖ τῆς ἡμέρας, οι δὲ πάλιν ιερόθυτα ἐποίουν, referred to in Bon. Ind. 167 a 38), but Bonitz (Ind. 167 a 34 sqq.) and Eucken (De Partic. Usu, p. 31) hold that in the genuine writings of Aristotle & does not occur in the apodosis after a protasis introduced by inei, and Sus.3 reads on in the place of of in the passage before us. Neither Bonitz nor Eucken, however, notice this passage: see Bonitz' discussion of the question in Aristot. Studien, 3. 124 sqq., and Eucken's in De Partic. Usu, pp. 26-31. When in 3. 12. 1282 b 14 sqq. a long string of sentences connected

by de and introduced by enel is followed, as it would seem, by an anodosis in 21 introduced by de, nolwe & lading earl kal nolwe ανισότης, δει μη λανθάνειν, the presence of δέ in the apodosis may be accounted for by the anacoluthic character of the sentence. The same reason may be given for the occurrence of di in the anodosis after a string of sentences introduced by inti in Rhet. 1. 1. 1355 a 3-14, where the best MSS, have & in 10, though the Vet. Int., supported by a few MSS. not of the best type, gives no equivalent for it. In the passage before us we make a nearer approach to the structure of the passages in which & occurs in the apodosis after a protasis introduced by el, for in this passage, as in those, there is an opposition in sense between the protasis and the apodosis, but here again the passage may be anacoluthic, the insertion of the parenthesis, διὰ γὰρ δλιγανθρωπίαν οῦτω χρῶνται τοῖς vous, serving to break the grammatical connexion and leading to the addition of δέ in εὐποροῦντες δ' δχλου. But whether we regard the passage as anacoluthic or as a real instance of the occurrence of δl in the apodosis after a protasis introduced by $ln \ell l$, there is no need to follow William of Moerbeke in omitting & or to substitute δή for it.

κατὰ μικρὸν παραιροῦνται. Aristotle appears to be speaking of a gradual change in the law of citizenship, not of such purgations of the citizen-lists as occurred at Athens after the expulsion of the Peisistratidae ('Aθ. Πολ. c. 13) and in B.c. 444 (Plut. Pericl. c. 37) and 346 (Schaefer, Demosthenes und seine Zeit, 2. 289 sq.).

33. τοὺς ἐκ δούλου πρῶτον ἡ δούλης. As to this class see above on 1278 a 26; and cp. Diod. 1. 80. 3, νόθον δ' οὐδένα τῶν γεννηθέντων νομίζουσιν, οὐδ' ἀν ἐξ ἀργυρωνήτου μητρὸς γεννηθῆ, where Diodorus is speaking of the Egyptians. If Antiochus' account of the Partheniae of the Lacedaemonian State (ap. Strab. p. 278) is true, and they were the sons of slaves, their enforced emigration to Tarentum would be an illustration of what Aristotle says here. The children of slaves were commonly thought to be morally below the mark (Eurip. Fragm. 966: Theogn. 537-8).

elra τοὺς ἀπὸ γυναικῶν, i.e. sons of a citizen-mother by an alien, not a slave, father (Jowett). It will be noticed that sons of a citizen-father by an alien, not a slave, mother were usually the last to be excluded.

34. τοὺς ἐξ ἀμφοῖν ἀστῶν. See above on 1275 b 21. For ἀμφοῖν ἀστῶν see below on 1310 b 5 and critical note on 1301 b 35.

ότι μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Μέν οὖν is not answered by ἀλλά, 38; it has, in fact, nothing answering to it, unless we take it to be eventually answered by δέ in 1278 b 6, έπεὶ δὲ ταῦτα διώρισται. In 1278 a 34-40 we have a summary of the results of the fifth chapter introduced by µèv ov, and in 1278 a 40-b 5 a summary introduced by another were over of the results of the fourth chapter, this second μέν οὖν finding an answer in 1278 b 6, ἐπεὶ δὲ ταῦτα διώρισται. No doubt the fourth and fifth chapters form to a certain extent a connected whole, for the fifth chapter is added by way of supplement to the fourth, it being necessary to explain that there are citizens in whose case the definition of the citizen's virtue given in c. 4 does not hold good, but still there is much awkwardness in the arrangement by which a summary of the results of the fourth chapter is added at the end of the fifth, all the more so as we have already had a brief mention (hardly a summary) of the results of the fourth chapter at the end of that chapter (1277 b 30 sqq.). It is doubtful whether the summary in 1278 a 40-b 5 is not an interpolation by some editor. It is not quite exact: see vol. i. Appendix B, and note on 1285 b 27. As to είδη πλείω πολίτου, there are citizens who share in office and there are citizens who do not share in office except under certain constitutions.

- 36. δόπερ καὶ κ.τ.λ. Καί, 'for instance,' as in 1. 12. 1259 b 8. The quotation is from Hom. Il. 9. 648 and 16. 59, where Achilles complains of Agamemnon's treatment of him. Aristotle quotes the words as if Achilles meant 'excluded from office' by ἀτίμητος. The transition was easy for Greeks from the idea of exclusion from office to that of being dishonoured (cp. c. 10. 1281 a 29 sqq. and Thuc. 6. 38. 5).
- 37. ἄσπερ μέτοικος γάρ κ.τ.λ. Bonitz (Ind. s. v. μέτοικος) refers to Eth. Eud. 3. 5. 1233 a 28, διὸ καὶ οὐδεὶς ἄν εἴποι μικρόψυχον, εἴ τις μέτοικος ῶν ἄρχειν μὴ ἀξιοῖ ἐαυτὸν ἀλλ' ὑπείκει, ἀλλ' εἴ τις εὐγενὴς ὧν καὶ ἡγούμενος μέγα εἶναι τὸ ἄρχειν. Cp. also Isocr. Paneg. § 105 and Xen. Hell. 4. 4. 6.
- 38. ἀλλ' ὅπου κ.τ.λ., 'but where exclusion from office is concealed, [there is a bad motive present. for] this concealment is practised by those who resort to it with a view to deceive those who dwell in the same State.' Aristotle uses the expression τῶν συνοικούντων, not τῶν συμπολιτευομίνων, because those who are excluded from office can only be said κοινωνεῖν τῆς οἰκήσεως, like metoeci and slaves (c. 1. 1275 a 7). How hateful a thing it was

to deceive one's fellow-citizens appears from the remark of Solon to Peisistratus (Plut. Solon, c. 30), οὐ καλῶς, ὁ παῖ Ἱπποκράτους, ὑποκρίνη τὸν ὑρηρικὸν Ὀδυσσέα ταὐτὰ γὰρ ποιεῖς τοὺς πολίτας παρακρουόμενος, οἶς ἐκεῖνος τοὺς πολεμίους ἐξηπάτησεν αἰκισάμενος ἐαυτόν. At Athens to deceive the people was a crime (Hdt. 6. 136: Demosth. c. Aristocr. c. 97). To wrong σύνοικοι is especially dangerous (Isocr. Panath. § 178). Most oligarchies openly excluded the many from office (7 (5). 8. 1308 b 33 sqq.), but there may have been some oligarchies, and certainly there were aristocracies, in which an attempt was made to conceal their exclusion from them (6 (4). 12. 1297 a 7 sqq.). To these aristocracies, as Susemihl has already remarked (Sus.², Note 518: Sus.⁴, 1. p. 379), Aristotle probably here refers.

- **40.** πότερον μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. As to this summary see above on 34. For the omission of ἀρετήν, cp. 1.13.1260 a 24, and see vol. ii. p. li. note 4.
- 2. For the added explanation δτι κ.τ.λ. cp. 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 6 sq. 1278 b. The sentence, if complete, would apparently run, ὅτι τινὸς μὲν πόλεως ὁ σπουδαῖος πολίτης ὁ αὐτός ἐστι τῷ ἀνδρὶ τῷ ἀγαθῷ κ.τ.λ.
- 3. Kakeîros où mâs, 'and not every citizen of the State in which the two are the same.'

δ πολιτικός. With the account given of the πολιτικός here compare Xen. Mem. 4. 2. 11, where the word πολιτικοί is conjoined with ἄρχειν Ικανοί. Οἱ πολιτικοί are distinguished from οἱ δημοτικοί in 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 24, from οἱ ἐργαστικοί in Polyb. 10. 16. 1, from οἱ χειροτέχναι in Polyb. 10. 17. 6, and from οἱ δημιουργοί and οἱ ρήτορες in Plato, Apol. 23 E (cp. Diog. Laert. 2. 39). In 4 (7). 14. 1333 a 11, ἐπεὶ δὲ πολίτου καὶ ἄρχοντος τὴν αὐτὴν ἀρετὴν εἶναί φαμεν καὶ τοῦ ἀρίστου ἀνδρός, Aristotle substitutes ἄρχοντος for πολιτικοῦ.

4. καθ' αὐτόν. Sus.² (Note 521: Sus.⁴, 1. p. 380) holds that Aristotle is thinking of δ βασιλικός, but we have been concerned in c. 4 with statesmen who understand both ruling and being ruled, and the reference probably is to magistracies held singly and not in conjunction with others: cp. Aeschin. c. Timarch. c. 109, ἀλλ' ἴσως καθ' αὐτὸν μὲν ἄρχων φαῦλος ἦν, μετὰ πλειόνων δ' ἐπιεικής. Cp. also c. 11. 1282 a 40, τῶν καθ' ἔνα καὶ κατ' ὀλίγους μεγάλας ἀρχὸς ἀρχόντων.

της των κοινών επιμελείας. Cp. Xen. Mem. 2. 8. 4, οί γε έν ταίς πόλεσι προστατεύοντες καὶ των δημοσίων επιμελόμενοι. In 6 (4). 6. 1293 2.7 we have ή των ίδίων επιμελεία.

- C. 6. Επεὶ δὲ κ.τ. λ. The question raised in c. 1. 1275 a 1, τίνα χρή καλείν πολίτην και τίς ὁ πολίτης έστί, has now been answered, and in strictness (cp. 1274 b 38 sqq.) the next question is the more early h πόλις, but this has been answered already in c. 1. 1275 b 20, and Aristotle passes on at once to the question as to the nature of each constitution which he has marked out for consideration in the first sentence of the Third Book. The citizen has been defined by access to office, and as access to office is regulated by the constitution, the question whether there are more constitutions than one, and, if so, how many there are and what differences exist between them, is 'next' (rò μετὰ ταῦτα) dealt with. Aristotle prefixes to his discussion of these questions (see c. 7. 1279 a 22 sqq.) an inquiry into two preliminary ones, what is the true end for which the πόλις exists, and what is the true nature of political rule. At the close of this inquiry he no longer troubles to ask whether there are more constitutions than one (he has, indeed, already assumed this in c. 5. 1278 a 15), but asks at once (c. 7 init.) how many there are.
 - 7. κᾶν εἰ πλείους. Eucken (De Partic. Usu, p. 61) remarks that κᾶν εἰ is often used just as καὶ εἰ might be. 'Id iam apud Platonem, Demosthenem, alios invenitur, sed apud nullum saepius quam apud Aristotelem . . . Inveniuntur loci, ubi nihil impedit, quominus ᾶν ex verbo κᾶν ad apodosin referamus' (he refers among other passages to De An. 2. 10. 422 a 11 sq.), 'sed multo saepius omnino nulla apodosis est ad quam ᾶν referri possit, maxime in Politicis, in quibus, ut exemplum afferam, saepe compluribus rebus enumeratis postremo loco verbis κᾶν εἰ aliquid additur quod magis generale est (cf. Pol. 1. 9. 1257 a 38) . . . Sed etiam aliis rationibus κᾶν εἰ eodem modo atque καὶ εἰ usurpatur' (Eucken cites the passage before us and 4 (γ). 4. 1326 a 16 sqq.). 'Simili modo κᾶν εἰ etiam in ceteris scriptis adhibetur, sed saepius praeter Politica in Metaphysicis tantum, rarius in ceteris, maxime in Rhetoricis, ubi semel (1. 1. 1354 a 25) usurpatur.'
 - 8. διαφοραὶ τίνες αὐτῶν εἰσίν, 'what are the differences between them': cp. Hist. An. 1. 1. 487 a 11, ai δὲ διαφοραὶ τῶν ζώων εἰσὶ κατά τε τοὺς βίους καὶ τὰς πράξεις κ.τ.λ. This question is dealt with in c. 7, where the normal and the deviation-forms of constitution are distinguished, and also in c. 8. 1279 b 39 sqq. But it receives further consideration in the Sixth Book: cp. 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 31, ἔτι δὲ τίνες al διαφοραὶ (τῶν πολιτειῶν) καὶ διὰ τίνα alτίαν συμβαίνει.

ἔστι δὰ πολιτεία κ.τ.λ. Giphanius, Heinsius, and Bernays, fol-

lowed by Sus. and Mr. Welldon, are probably right in translating, 'now a constitution is an ordering of a State in respect both of its other magistracies and especially of the magistracy which is supreme over everything' (πάντων is probably neuter, cp. 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 26). Compare Rhet. 1. 8. 1365 b 27, τὰ δὲ κύρια διήρηται κατὰ τὰς πολιτείας ὅσαι γὰρ αὶ πολιτείαι, τοσαῦτα καὶ τὰ κύρια ἐστιν. See vol. i. p. 243, note 1, for other accounts in the Politics of the nature of a constitution. That implied in 3. 3. 1276 b 1 sqq., that it is the εἶδος τῆς συνθέσεως of the elements of the πόλις, should not be lost sight of. See note on 1276 b 4.

10. κύριον μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Γάρ introduces a proof that the constitution is an ordering of the supreme magistracy. It is so because it is an ordering of the πολίτευμα and varies as this varies, and the πολίτευμα is the supreme authority of the State. Τὸ πολίτευμα, 'the supreme authority,' whether One Man or a Few or Many (cp. c. 7. 1279 a 25 sqq., and see vol. i. p. 243, note 2), usually not an individual, but a number of individuals, and thus we read of ol μετέχοντες τοῦ πολιτεύματος in 6 (4). 6. 1293 a 15 (cp. 24, τοὺς εἰς τὸ πολίτευμα βαδίζοντας). It was, however, possible to be a member of the πολίτευμα and yet not to share in the greatest magistracies, as we see from 7 (5). 6. 1306 a 12, καταλύονται δὲ καὶ ὅταν ἐν τῷ ὀλιγαρχία ἐτέραν ὀλιγαρχίαν ἐμποιῶσιν τοῦτο δ' ἐστὶν ὅταν τοῦ παντὸς πολιτεύματος ὀλίγου ὅντος τῶν μεγίστων ἀρχῶν μὴ μετέχωσιν οἱ ὀλίγοι πάντες.

11. πολίτευμα δ' ἐστὶν ἡ πολιτεία, 'and the supreme authority virtually is the constitution.' With Sepulv., Vict., Giph., Heinsius, and Stahr I take πολίτευμα to be the subject of the sentence (for the absence of the article before πολίτευμα see above on 1276 b 28). Lamb., however, translates, 'civitatis autem administrandae forma, quam politiam diximus a Graecis appellari, est administratio seu gubernatio civitatis'; thus he makes ή πολιτεία the subject of the sentence, and Bernays appears to do so too, for he translates, 'die regierende Klasse bestimmt sich nach der Regierungsform' ('the governing class is determined by the form of government'). This rendering suits well with 8-10, but not so well with what follows in 11 sqq., and I prefer the other interpretation. Aristotle proves that the constitution is especially an ordering of the supreme authority by showing that the nature of the supreme authority is decisive of the character of the constitution, from which it follows that the main business of the constitution is to fix the supreme authority. The two words πολιτεία and πολίτευμα are interchanged

- in 7 (5). 8. 1308 a 6, καὶ τοῖε ἔξω τῆε πολιτείας καὶ τοῖε ἐν τῷ πολιτεύματι, and in 7 (5). 6. 1306 a 14 sqq., where τῆς πολιτείας δι ὀλίγων οῦσης answers to τοῦ παντὸς πολιτεύματος ὀλίγου ὄντος.
- 12. For the omission of πόλεσι after ταις δημοκρατικαις see above on 1266 b 1. We rather expect ταις όλιγαρχικαις to follow.
- 13. φαμὲν δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and we say that the constitution also (as well as the πολίτενμα) of these' (i.e. of those who live under the supremacy of the demos and those who live under the supremacy of the few) 'is different.' It is not quite clear whether in φαμέν Aristotle refers to himself and his school or (as Bernays thinks) to the common use of language (cp. c. 7. 1279 a 33, καλεῖν εἰώθαμεν). Perhaps ἐροῦμεν in the next line rather points to the former interpretation.
- 15. των ἄλλων, 'the others' (in opposition to τούτων), i. e. those who live under the supremacy, not of the demos or the few, but of some other supreme authority. Or possibly 'the other constitutions.' Susemihl takes the words in the latter way, and he may be right.

ύποθετέον δή κ.τ.λ. We must ascertain the end for which the State exists and the various kinds of rule exercised in relation to man as a member of society before we can say how many forms of constitution there are or discriminate the normal forms from the deviation-forms. For in the normal forms the true end is aimed at and the true kind of rule exercised, and in the deviation-forms neither is the case.

- 16. τῆς ἀρχῆς εἴδη πόσα τῆς περὶ ἄνθρωπον καὶ τῆν κοινωνίαν τῆς ζωῆς. We are concerned here only with the kinds of rule exercised in relation to man (not in relation to the lower animals), and still further, only with such as have to do with human beings as associates in life, therefore with those kinds of rule only which are connected with the Household, Village, and State.
- 17. κατά τοὺς πρώτους λόγους. As to οἱ πρῶτοι λόγοι see vol. ii. p. xx sqq., and cp. Isocr. De Antid. § 71, where Isocrates, speaking of his own address to Nicocles, uses the words, ἐν μὲν οὖν τῷ προοιμίφ καὶ τοῖς πρώτοις λεγομένοις. The reference in the passage before us is to 1. 2. 1253 a 1 sqq.
- 19. καὶ ὅτι κ.τ.λ., 'among other things this also, that'etc. The passage commencing here, together with c. 9. 1280 b 36 sqq. and perhaps 1. 2. 1252 b 12 sqq., seems to have been known to and used by an interpolator of Strabo, p. 419, where we read, ή μὲν σὖν ἐπίνοια αὖτη τῆς τε τῶν πόλεων κτίσεως καὶ τῆς τῶν κοινῶν ἰερῶν ἐκτιμήσεως καὶ γὰρ κατὰ πόλεις συνήεσαν καὶ κατὰ ἔθνος φυσικῶς κοινωνικοὶ ὅντες καὶ γὰρ κατὰ πόλεις συνήεσαν καὶ κατὰ ἔθνος φυσικῶς κοινωνικοὶ ὅντες καὶ

άμα τῆς παρ' ἀλλήλων χρείας χάριν, καὶ εἰς τὰ ἰερὰ τὰ κοινὰ ἀπήντων διὰ τὰς αὐτὰς αἰτίας, ἐορτὰς καὶ πανηγύρεις συντελοῦντες φιλικὸν γὰρ πᾶν τὸ τοιοῦτον, ἀπὸ τῶν ὁμοτραπέζων ἀρξάμενον καὶ ὁμοσπόνδων καὶ ὁμωροφίων ὅσφ δὲ πλείον καὶ ἐκ πλειόνων ἐπεδήμει, τοσῷδε μεῖζον καὶ τὸ ὅφελος ἐνομίζετο. Μέν ('while') is answered by οὐ μὴν ἀλλά, as in c. 13. 1284 b 4 sqq. and in the cases noted above on 1276 b 34. For the absence of the article before ἄνθρωπος see note on 1253 a 10 and critical note on 1253 a 2.

- 21. οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ., 'not but that the common advantage also brings them together, so far as a share in good life falls to the lot of each.' See above on 1252 b 27 sqq., and for the limiting clause, cp. 4 (7). 8. 1328 a 38, συμβέβηκε δὲ οὕτως ὥστε τοὺς μὲν ἐνδίχεσθαι μετέχειν αὐτῆς (i.e. εὐδαιμονίας), τοὺς δὲ μικρὸν ἡ μηδέν, and Plato, Rep. 421 C, ἐατέον ὅπως ἐκάστοις τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἡ φύσις ἀποδίδωσι τοῦ μεταλαμβάνειν εὐδαιμονίας.
- 23. As to μèν οδν see above on 1252 b 27 sqq. Τοῦτο, i. e. τὸ ζῆν καλῶs. For the thought cp. c. 9. 1280 a 31 sqq., where however it seems to be implied that men do not come together to form the State for the sake of life alone, which does not agree with 24 sqq.
- 24. συνέρχονται δέ κ.τ.λ. Αὐτοῦ, 'alone' (see note on 1338 b 25) Contrast [Aristot.] Oecon. 1. 1343 a 10, πόλις μὲν οὖν οἰκιῶν πλῆθός ἐστι καὶ χώρας καὶ χρημάτων αὕταρκες πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν' φανερὸν δέ, ὅταν γὰρ μὴ δυνατοὶ ὧσι τούτου τυγχάνειν, διαλύεται καὶ ἡ κοινωνία.
- 25. Τσως γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for perhaps there is an element of what is noble in life even if we take it by itself.' "Ενιστι is probably not to be taken with κατὰ τὸ ζῆν αὐτὸ μόνον as if κατά meant 'in'; it means rather 'in respect of,' and τῷ ζῆν should be supplied with ἔνεστι. In order to show that τὸ ζῆν may be the end with which the πόλιε is formed and maintained, Aristotle shows that τὸ ζῆν has in it two characteristics of the end of human action, τὸ καλόν and pleasurableness: cp. 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 17, καὶ τὴν διαγωγὴν ὁμολογουμένως δεῖ μὴ μόνον ἔχειν τὸ καλὸν ἀλλὰ καὶ τὴν ἡδουήν' τὸ γὰρ εὐδαιμονείν ἐξ ἀμφοτέρων τούτων ἐστίν. Compare with the account of τὸ ζῆν in the passage before us Eth. Nic. 9. 9. 1170 a 19, τὸ δὶ ζῆν τῶν καθ αὐτὸ ἀγαθῶν καὶ ἡδέων' ὡρισμένον γάρ, τὸ δ' ὡρισμένον τῆς τὰγαθοῦ ψύσεως, 1170 a 25 sqq., and b 1: Eth. Nic. 9. 7. 1168 a 5 sqq.: Rhet. 1. 6. 1362 b 25 sqq. Aristotle follows here in the track of Sappho, Fragm. 79.

έγω δε φίλημ' άβροσύναν, καί μοι το λάμπρον έρος . . . ἀελίω καὶ το κάλον λέλογχεν,

where Clearchus of Soli, who has preserved the fragment (ap. Athen. Deipn. 687 a: Clearch. Sol. Fragm. 4 in Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 304), adds, φανερόν ποιοῦσα πᾶσιν ὡς ἡ τοῦ ζῆν ἐπιθυμία τὸ λαμπρὸν καὶ τὸ καλὸν εἶχεν αὐτῆ, and in ἀν μὴ τοῖς χαλεποῖς κ.τ.λ. in the track of Aeschylus, Fragm. 171,

τί γὰρ καλὸν ζῆν βίον, δε λύπας φέρει; (where we should read with Nauck τρ βίος, or possibly δε βίος, or, with Richards, βίοτον, δε), and Soph. Aj. 473,

αλσχρον γαρ ανδρα τοῦ μακροῦ χρήζειν βίου, κακοῖσιν δστις μηδεν εξαλλάσσεται.

Cp. also Aesch. Fragm. 392, Soph. Fragm. 445, 867, and Bacchylides 1. 30 sqq. For τοῦ καλοῦ μόριόν τι, cp. c. 9. 1281 a 9, μέρος τι τοῦ δικαίου λέγουσι, and 1. 11. 1258 b 28 sq. For τοῖς χαλεποῖς κατὰ τὸν βίον, cp. Rhet. 2. 17. 1391 a 32, τὰ κατὰ τὸ σῶμα ἀγαθά.

- 27. δηλον δ' ώς κ.τ.λ. Aristotle perhaps designedly refers to οι πολλοί rather than to οι σοφοί, thinking that their views are a better guide to what is natural (5 (8). 5. 1340 a 2 sqq. and 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 15 sqq.), but he might have said the same thing of some σοφοί: see as to the last days of Antisthenes and Speusippus Diog. Laert. 6. 18 sq. and 4. 3. For οι πολλοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων cp. Xen. Cyrop. 8. 2. 24.
- 30. ἀλλὰ μὴν . . . γε, 'but certainly' (see above on 1271 a 20). τῆς ἀρχῆς τοὺς λεγομένους τρόπους, 'the forms of rule commonly spoken of.' Bonitz (Ind. 424 b 40) compares Categ. 12. 14 a 26, πρώτερον ἐτέρον ἔτερον λέγεται τετραχῶς, and 14 b 9, οἱ μὲν οὖν λεγόμενοι τρόποι τοῦ προτέρου σχεδὸν τοσοῦτοἱ εἰσιν. He adds that Bernays translates the words otherwise ('die in Betracht kommenden Weisen der Herrschaft'), and refers to Bernays, Dialoge des Aristoteles, p. 53. Bonitz' translation seems to me to be the right one.
- 31. καὶ γὰρ ἐν τοῖς ἐξωτερικοῖς λόγοις κ.τ.λ. 'Εξωτερικοὶ λόγοι are literally 'external inquiries,' i.e. probably inquiries external to philosophy, cp. Eth. Eud. 1. 8. 1217 b 22, ἐπέσκεπται δὲ πολλοῖς περὶ αὐτοῦ τρόποις καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἐξωτερικοῖς λόγοις καὶ ἐν τοῖς κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν. See Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 114 sqq. (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 110 sqq.) for a full discussion of the meaning of the expression (also Grote, Aristotle, 1. 63 sqq., and Sus. 1. p. 561 sqq.). Zeller remarks (p. 119. 2: Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 115, note 4) that if we give an extended meaning to the 'we' of διοριζόμεθα in the passage before us, it is possible to take ἐξωτερικοὶ λόγοι here as referring to views advanced outside the

Aristotelian school in the intercourse of ordinary life, but that the use of the term in other passages makes it probable that Aristotle here also refers to writings of his own of a popular kind (possibly to the πολιτικός and the περὶ βασιλείας).

- 32. ή μὲν γὰρ δεσποτεία κ.τ.λ. Cp. 7 (5). 10. 1311 a 2. There is a striking resemblance between the passage before us and Dio Chrys. Or. 14. 439 R.
- 37. ἡ δὲ τέκνων ἀρχὴ κ.τ.λ. Οἰκονομικὴ ἀρχή is here used in a sense exclusive of δεοποτικὴ ἀρχή, though undoubtedly the rule of the master over the slave is usually treated in the Politics as a part of οἰκονομικὴ ἀρχή (cp. for instance 1. 3. 1253 b 1 sqq. and 1. 12. 1259 a 37 sqq., and see Sus.², Note 529: Sus.⁴, 1. p. 383). We must bear in mind that Aristotle's use of the word χρηματιστική also varies (see note on 1256 a 1), and that the free members of the household are its members in an especial sense, so that in 1. 13. 1260 b 8 sqq. the only members of the household mentioned are husband and wife, father and child. Thus in 1. 2. 1252 b 20 (cp. 3. 14. 1285 b 31 sq.) the household is said βασιλεύεσθαι, though the rule of its head over his slaves is of course not a kingly rule. Cp. Hom. Odyss. 1. 397, where the οἰκος is distinguished from the δμῶες,

αὐτὰρ ἐγὼν οἴκοιο ἄναξ ἔσομ' ἡμετέροιο καὶ δμώων οὖς μοι ληίσσατο δίος 'Οδυσσεύς.

Younger brothers and sisters may be referred to in της ολκίας πάσης (the household as a whole,' see above on 1253 b 33, πῶς ὁ ὑπηρέτης, and cp. also 7 (5). 5. 1305 a 34, πάντα τὸν δημον). In Eth. Nic. 5. 10. 1134 b 8-17 (cp. 5. 15. 1138 b 7 sq.), τὸ ολκονομικὸν δίκαιον is distinguished from τὸ δεσποτικὸν δίκαιον, but is explained as existing between husband and wife only, not between father and child also.

- 38. ἢν δή. Δή 'vim relativi urguet,' and means 'just' or 'exactly' ('eben' or 'gerade,' Eucken, De Partic. Usu, p. 43).
 - 89. ή κοινοῦ τινὸς ἀμφοῖν, sc. ἀγαθοῦ.
 - 40. ωσπερ δρώμεν καὶ τὰς ἄλλας τέχνας, sc. είναι.
- 2. καν αυτών είεν, i. e. καν αυτών χάριν είεν ή Ιατρική και ή γυμναστική. 1279 a. See Schneider's note.
- οὐδὲν γὰρ κωλύει κ.τ.λ. Bonitz (Ind. 338 a 33) groups this passage with Metaph. Δ. 12. 1019 a 17, ή Ιατρική δύναμις οὖσα ὑπάρχοι ἀν ἐν τῷ ἰατρευομένφ, ἀλλ' οὐχ ἢ ἰατρευόμενος.
- 3. δοπερ δ κυβερνήτης κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Rep. 341 C-D, which Aristotle here slightly corrects.
 - 4. δ μεν ουν παιδοτρίβης κ.τ.λ. takes up δοπερ όρωμεν και τας άλλας

τέχνας, 1278 b 40: here as elsewhere (see above on 1252 b 27 sqq.)
μέν οδν ' usurpatur ubi notio modo pronunciata amplius explicatur.'

- 8. γίνεται, 'comes to be': see above on 1252 b 7 and 1264 a 14. παιδοτρίβης ων, 'though he is a training-master.'
- διδ κ.τ.λ., 'hence' (i.e. because rule over a household and the rule which is exercised in the arts is essentially for the good of the ruled, and only accidentally and in certain cases for the common good of ruler and ruled) men imply by their acts that rule in a State also is essentially for the good of the ruled, inasmuch as they claim that all should hold office in turn, at any rate when the constitution rests on a basis of equality, thus treating office as a burden which should be borne in turn by all. No doubt this is not the case now—on the contrary, men seek to be perpetually in office, inasmuch as office brings great gains—but we must judge by what was the case formerly, when the state of things was natural. It may be asked how, if ruling is a burden to the ruler, perpetuity of rule, such as exists in a kingship, is fair to the ruler. Aristotle would perhaps reply that the perpetual ruler receives a quid pro quo in 'honour and reward' (see vol. i. p. 244, note 4). In τας πολιτικάς άργάς State-offices are referred to in contradistinction to such positions of command as those of the captain of a ship or a physician (cp. c. 16. 1287 a 37 and 4 (7). 3. 1325 a 19).
- 9. δταν ἢ κ.τ.λ. The suppressed nom. to ἢ is ἡ πόλις οτ ἡ πολιτεία, probably the latter, for συνεστηκυῖα points rather to it (6 (4). 3. 1290 a 25: 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 33, b 16, etc.), though we have in 4 (7). 4. 1325 b 36 περὶ τῆς μελλούσης κατ' εὐχὴν συνεστάναι πόλεως. For κατ' ἰσότητα συνεστηκυῖα, cp. 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 32, βούλεται γὰρ ἐκατέρα κατ' ἀρετὴν συνεστάναι κεχορηγημένην.
- 10. πρότερον μέν κ.τ.λ. Πρότερον, 'in former times.' As Susemihl has already pointed out (Sus.², Note 532 b: Sus.4, 1. p. 384), Aristotle has before him Isocrates' picture of Athens in the days when the Areopagus was strong (Areopag. § 24)—αἴτιον δ΄ ἢν τοῦ ταῦτα τοῖς πολλοῖς ἀρέσκειν καὶ μὴ περιμαχήτους εἶναι τὰς ἀρχάς, ὅτι μεμαθηκότες ἦσαν ἐργάζεσθαι καὶ φείδεσθαι, καὶ μὴ τῶν μὲν οἰκείων ἀμελεῖν τοῖς δ ἀλλοτρίοις ἐπιβουλεύειν, μηδ΄ ἐκ τῶν δημοσίων τὰ σφέτερ' αὐτῶν διοικεῖν, ἀλλ' ἐκ τῶν ἐκάστοις ὑπαρχόντων, ὁπότε δεήσειε, τοῖς κοινοῖς ἐπαρκεῖν, μηδ' ἀκριβέστερον εἰδέναι τὰς ἐκ τῶν ἀρχείων προσόδους ἢ τὰς ἐκ τῶν ἰδίων γιγνομένας αὐτοῖς οῦτω δ' ἀπείχοντο σφόδρα τῶν τῆς πόλεως ὥστε χαλεπώτερον ἢν ἐν ἐκείνοις τοῖς χρόνοις εὐρεῖν τοὺς βουλομένους ἄρχειν ἡ νῦν τοὺς μηδὲν δεομένους· οὐ γὰρ ἐμπορίαν ἀλλὰ λειτουργίαν (cp. 11, λειτουργεῖν)

ένόμιζον είναι τὴν τῶν κοινῶν ἐπιμελειαν, οὐδ' ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης ἡμέρας ἐσκόπουν ἐλθόντες εἴ τι λῆμμα παραλελοίπασιν οἰ πρότερον ἄρχοντες, ἀλλὰ πολὺ μᾶλλον κ.τ.λ. Cp. also Isocr. Panath. § 145, and De Antid. § 145: also Hdt. 1.97.2.

- 11. λειτουργείν. Cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 35 sqq. and Andoc. De Myst. c. 132. Λειτουργείν stands in contrast to άρχειν, 10.
- 12. $\pi \acute{a}\lambda \iota \nu$ answers to $\pi \rho \acute{o}\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ in Some ρ $\pi \rho \acute{o}\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ a. 1.289 b 28 sq.
- 13. τὰς ἀφελείας τὰς ἀπὸ τῶν κοινῶν καὶ τὰς ἐκ τῆς ἀρχῆς. This repeats Isocrates' phrases quoted above on 10 from Areopag. § 24, ἐκ τῶν δημοσίων τὰ σφέτερ' αὐτῶν διοικεῖν and τὰς ἐκ τῶν ἀρχείων προσόδους: cp. also De Antid. § 145, τῶν μὲν ἀρχῶν καὶ τῶν ἀφελιῶν τῶν ἐντεῦθεν γιγνομένων. Profits derived from office are distinguishable from those derived from public property, for they would often come in the shape of bribes from individuals. It would seem from 7 (5). 8. 1309 a 20 sqq. that in an oligarchy, at all events, not all offices were lucrative.
- 14. βούλονται συνεχῶς ἄρχειν, as at Thurii (7 (5). 7. 1307 b 6 sqq.). Compare the reference to of συνεχεῖς οίδε in Demosth. Procem. 55, p. 1461. The repeated tenure of the same office was no doubt often forbidden or discouraged in democracies, but even where that was the case, men might be perpetually in office, if they held different offices.
- 17. φανερόν τοίνυν κ.τ.λ. For όσαι μέν . . . αὐται μέν compare (with Sus. Ind. Gramm. s. v. Mév, p. 629 foot) 28-29 and 1. 5. 1254 b 16-19: cp. also 8 (6). 7. 1321 a 8 sq., and see Bon. Ind. 454 a 23, where De Gen. et Corr. 1. 1. 314 a 8-9 is compared. Tò τοινή συμφέρον, i.e. τῶν πολιτῶν (cp. 31 sq. and c. 13. 1283 b 40 sqq.). As to Aristotle's distinction of δρθαί πυλιτείαι and παρεκβάσεις, see vol. i. p. 215 sq. Is it not, however, possible that in some cases the rule of the holder or holders of supreme power, though exercised exclusively in their own interest, may nevertheless be for the common advantage? Gibbon remarks (Decline and Fall, c. 5) that 'the true interest of an absolute monarch generally coincides with that of his people. Their numbers, their wealth, their order. and their security are the best and only foundations of his real greatness; and were he totally devoid of virtue, prudence might supply its place and would dictate the same rule of conduct.' Is t not also possible that there are constitutions in which the rulers ule partly for their own and partly for the common advantage?

And are there not cases in which it is impossible to legislate for the advantage of all, the interest of one section of the population (for instance, producers or consumers) being entirely opposed to that of another? In 8 (6). 1. 1316 b 39 sqq., again, we find constitutions mentioned which are partly aristocratic, partly oligarchical in their organization, and others which are partly organized as polities, partly as democracies. These constitutions then will be partly normal, partly deviation-forms.

- 18. κατὰ τὸ ἀπλῶς δίκαιον, 'according to the standard of that which is absolutely just.' Τὸ ἀπλῶς δίκαιον (cp. Soph. Fragm. 699, τὴν ἀπλῶς δίκην) is opposed to δίκαιον τι in c. 9. 1280 a 22, and to τὸ ἰδία συμφέρον καὶ δίκαιον in c. 13. 1284 b 24 sq. Τὸ δίκαιον, by which is no doubt meant τὸ ἀπλῶς δίκαιον, is identified with τὸ κοινῆ συμφέρον in c. 12. 1282 b 17. It is because the normal constitutions conform to the end for which the State came into being, and adjust their mode of rule to that which should prevail in communities of freemen, that they are pronounced normal according to the standard of absolute justice. Cp. Eth. Nic. 8. 11. 1160 a 11 sqq.
 - 20. For the place of πασαι, see note on 1281 a 26.
- C.7. 28. πρώτον τὰς ὀρθὰς αὐτῶν. We find in fact that the normal constitutions are described first in 1279 a 25—b 10. On the other hand, in a later Book (6 (4). 8. 1293 b 31 sqq.) the study of the Polity, and indeed of the lower forms of Aristocracy, is designedly postponed till Democracy and Oligarchy have been studied.
 - 26. πολίτευμα δὲ κ.τ.λ. With all the translators and commentators, so far as I have observed, I take πολίτευμα to be the subject of the sentence. For the absence of the article, see above on 1276 b 28 and 1278 b 11.
 - 27. ἀνάγκη δ' εἶναι κύριον ἡ ἔνα ἡ δλίγους ἡ τοὺς πολλούς. Aristotle leaves out of sight the possibility that the One, Few, and Many, or two of them, may share supremacy.
 - 28. τὸ κοινὸν συμφέρον, as in 33 and 37, not τὸ κοινῆ συμφέρον, as in 17 and 1278 b 21. Cp. Plut. Phocion c. 21, Arat. cc. 10, 24, where τὸ κοινὸν συμφέρον occurs.
 - 80. tas de pròs to thion n.t.l. Cp. Plato, Laws 712 E and 832 B-D. Supply sumpéron with to thon.
 - 31. ħ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for [they deviate from the true standard, inasmuch as they do not admit all the citizens to a share of advantage,

and] either those who share in the constitution are not to be called citizens or they should share in the advantages derivable from it.'

- 38. καλείν δ' εἰώθαμεν κ.τ.λ. Kingship exists for the protection of the ἐπισικεῖε against the demos (7 (5). 10. 1310 b 9 sq.), but still it rules for the common advantage. Ideally Kings are guardians both of the rich and of the demos against wrong (7 (5). 10. 1310 b 40 sqq.). Polybius (6. 4. 2), on the other hand, rests the distinction between Kingship and Tyranny on the willingness or unwillingness of the subjects, but this criterion comes to much the same thing as that of Aristotle (see 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 19-23).
- 34. τὴν δὲ τῶν δλίγων μὲν κ.τ.λ. We must apparently supply ἀρχήν from μοναρχιῶν (cp. 2. 12. 1274 b 24 sq., where νύμος must be supplied from νομοθέτης).
- **35.** το**λς ἀρίστους ἄρχει**ν. Cp. c. 18. 1288 a 33 sqq.: 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 1 sqq., 40 sq.: Rhet. 1. 8. 1365 b 33 sqq.
- 36. ἡ διὰ τὸ πρὸς τὸ ἄριστον τῆ πόλει καὶ τοῖς κοινωνοῦσιν αὐτῆς, i.e. τῆς πόλεως, cp. 4. (7). 2. 1324 a 15, ὁ διὰ τοῦ συμπολιτεύεσθαι καὶ κοινωνεῖν πόλεως. In 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 19 we have τὸ γὰρ βάναυσον οὐ μετέχει τῆς πόλεως. A definition of Aristocracy by its aim is most in harmony with the method of c. 7: thus Kingship (33), Polity (37), and the παρεκβάσεις (1279 b 6 sqq.) are all classified by their aim in c. 7. Perhaps another object with which this alternative definition of ἀριστοκρατία is added is to include such aristocracies as those described in Rhet. 1. 8. 1365 b 33 sqq. and 1366 a 5, where the ruling class is οἱ φαινόμενοι ἄριστοι (cp. 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 12 sqq.). The similarity of the language used here to that used in c. 13. 1283 b 40 sqq. should be noticed.
- 37. δταν δὲ τὸ πλήθος κ.τ.λ. The name πολιτεία was already used to designate democracy (Harpocr. s. v. πολιτεία. ὶδίως εἰώθασι τῷ ὀνόματι χρῆσθαι οἱ ῥήτορες ἐπὶ τῆς δημοκρατίας, ὥσπερ Ἰσοκράτης τε ἐν τῷ Πανηγυρικῷ καὶ Δημοσθένης ἐν Φιλιππικοῖς, where the reference probably is to Isocr. Paneg. § 125 and Demosth. Phil. 2. c. 21).
- 39. συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως, i.e. 'it happens reasonably' that it bears the common name of all constitutions. It seems likely that we should supply these words, but it is by no means easy to explain why Aristotle thinks that this happens reasonably. Giph. (p. 335) explains the matter thus—' cur autem huic reipublicae potius acciderit id quam aliis, ut suo vacans nomine dicatur communi, rationem reddit Aristoteles; quia vix accidat ut multi virtute praediti bonum

spectent publicum: facilius unus aut pauci reperiuntur tales, multi difficillime. Ouare factum est ut regnum et aristocratia essent nota vocabula, multorum respublica vix esset nota et proinde nomine vacans,' and he refers in confirmation of this to 6 (4). 7. 1293 a 30 sqg., where we are told that the polity was of rare occurrence. (Mr. Mark Pattison takes a somewhat similar view in a note written in his copy of Stahr's edition of the Politics—' συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως. viz. that this form should appropriate to itself κατ' εξοχήν the term which is common to all the forms, viz. πολιτεία, as it must be more rare than either of the other forms, in proportion as it is more difficult to find many virtuous than to find few or one.') I am myself inclined to suggest whether Aristotle's meaning is not rather this—it happens reasonably that the polity is called by the name common to all constitutions, and not by a special name indicative of exalted virtue in the rulers, such as Kingship (cp. 6 (4). 2. 1289 2 41 sq. and 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 31 sqq.) or Aristocracy, because the Many who rule in the polity will not be possessed of exalted virtue. I can hardly think that Bernays' view of the passage is right, but it deserves mention. He refers συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως to what follows, not what precedes, translating these words bei diesem Verfassungsstaat tritt nun naturgemäss folgendes Verhältniss hervor.' It would be more possible to refer συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως to what follows if we could suppose that the passage is anacoluthic, and that, when Aristotle began his sentence, he intended to write συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως κατὰ ταύτην τὴν πολιτείαν κυριώτατον είναι τὸ προπολεμοῦν, but being led to interpose after συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως the parenthetic explanation ενα μεν γάρ-γίγνεται, prefixed διόπερ to the postponed completion of his sentence, thus making it anacoluthic. But this is hardly a likely supposition. The probability is that συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως refers to what precedes, not to what follows. Schmidt and Sus., on the other hand, transpose 1279 b 3, καὶ μετέχουσιν αὐτῆς οἱ κεκτημένοι τὰ ὅπλα, to before συμβαίνει δ' εὐλόγως, but not, I think, rightly. These words seem to me to be better placed where they stand in the MSS.

40. πλείους δ' ήδη κ.τ.λ., 'but when we come to a larger number of men, it is difficult that they,' etc. See as to ήδη note on 1268 b 21.

1279 b. 1. ἡκριβῶσθαι πρὸς πᾶσαν ἀρετήν, 'to be perfected in respect of every kind of virtue.' Compare such phrases as τέλεος πρὸς ἀρετήν (Plato, Laws 678 B, 647 D), and cp. Plut. De Solertia Animalium

c. 4, τῶν θηρίων αἰτιᾶσθαι τὸ μὴ καθαρὸν μηδ' ἀπηκριβωμένον πρὸς ἀμετήν: Plato, Laws 810 B, πρὸς τάχος ἡ κάλλος ἀπηκριβῶσθαι. For πᾶσαν ἀρετήν, cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 15. 1138 a 5, τὰ κατὰ πᾶσαν ἀρετήν ὑπὸ τοῦ νόμου τεταγμένα.

αλλά μάλιστα την πολεμικήν. Obviously we are not intended to carry on χαλεπόν ηκριβώσθαι πρός, as we might naturally do, for this would give a false sense: what we must carry on is ἐνδέχεται ηκριβώσθαι πρός. Compare Metaph. I. 7. 1057 a 37, τῶν δὲ πρός τι δσα μὴ ἐναντία, οὐκ ἔχει μεταξύ αἴτιον δ' ὅτι οὐκ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ γένει ἐστίντί γὰρ ἐπιστήμης καὶ ἐπιστητοῦ μεταξύ; ἀλλὰ μεγάλου καὶ μικροῦ (sc. ἐστὶ μεταξύ), and Phys. 7. 4. 249 a 6, τοιγαροῦν οὐ συμβλητόν κατὰ τοῦτο, οἷον πότερον κεχρωμάτισται μᾶλλον, μὴ κατά τι χρῶμα, ἀλλ' ἢ χρῶμα ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὸ λευκόν (sc. συμβλητόν ἐστιν).

- 2. αὖτη γὰρ ἐν πλήθει γίγνεται, 'for this kind of virtue arises in a mass of men.' See note on 1330 b 38.
- 8. μετέχουσιν αὐτῆς οἱ κεκτημένοι τὰ ὅπλα. Cp. 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 1, 2. 6. 1265 b 28, and 3. 17. 1288 a 12 sq.
- 5. τυραννίς μέν βασιλείας. In 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 39 sqq. tyranny is implied to be a παρέκβασις of the παμβασιλεία.
- 6. ἡ μὲν γὰρ τυραννὶς κ.τ.λ. Cp. 7 (5). 10. 1311 a 2 sqq. and Thuc. 1. 17. 'It is but justice to Meg Dods to state that though hers was a severe and almost despotic government, it could not be termed a tyranny, since it was exercised upon the whole for the good of the subject' (Sir Walter Scott, St. Ronan's Well, p. 13). The Scholiast on Aristophanes, speaking of the terms βασιλεύς and τύραννος, remarks (Acharn. 61), χρῶνται δὲ ἀδιαφόρως ἔνιοι τοῖς ὀνόμασιν. 'Ίέρωνα μὲν βασιλέα Πίνδαρος καλεῖ τὸν Συρακουσίων τύραννον, Εῦπολις δὲ ἐν Δήμοις εἰσάγει τὸν Πεισίστρατον βασιλέα.
- 7. ἡ δ' δλιγαρχία κ.τ.λ. We should naturally supply ἐστὶ μοναρχία, but of course ἐστί only must be supplied.
- 9. τὸ τῷ κοινῷ λυσιτελοῦν, 'that which profits the whole body of citizens': cp. Plato, Rep. 442 C, τοῦ ξυμφέροντος ἐκάστω τε καὶ δλω τῷ κοινῷ σφῶν αὐτῶν τριῶν ὅντων. Cp. also Laws 715 B and 875 A-B.
- 11. τίς ἐκάστη τούτων τῶν πολιτειῶν ἐστίν, i.e. apparently the C. 8. three deviation-forms (cp. 16–19), though tyranny soon drops out of view. For the question τίς ἐκάστη cp. c. 1. 1274 b 32.
- 12. τῷ δὲ περὶ ἐκάστην μέθοδον φιλοσοφοῦντι κ.τ.λ. Cp. De Caelo 2. 5. 287 b 28 sqq. and Anal. Post. 2. 13. 96 b 35—97 a 6.
 - 14. τὸ μὴ παρορῶν μηδέ τι καταλείπειν. See note on 1281 a 26.

- 15. δηλοῦν, 'to make fully manifest': see note on 1253 a 10.
- 16. ἔστι δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'now tyranny is, as has been said' (in c. 7. 1279 b 6 and c. 6. 1279 a 21) 'a form of monarchy ruling over the political association as a master rules over his slaves.' Τῆς πολιτικῆς κοινωνίας appears to be in the genitive after δεσποτική (see Liddell and Scott, s. v. δεσποτικός, who compare 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 19 and Xen. Oecon. 13. 5). In c. 6. 1279 a 21 the πόλις is said to be an association of freemen; hence tyranny is evidently wrong and receives no further consideration.
- 17. δλιγαρχία δὲ κ.τ.λ. This agrees with Plato, Rep. 550 C, except that Plato adds that the poor have no share in office.
- 18. κύριοι τῆς πολιτείας. Cp. 24, 33, 6 (4). 14. 1299 a 1, and 8 (6). 1. 1316 b 32.

δημοκρατία δὲ κ.τ.λ. In the first form of democracy the law refuses to give supremacy either to rich or to poor, still, as the poor are in a majority, supremacy necessarily falls as a matter of fact to them (6 (4). 4. 1291 b 31-38).

- 19. οἱ μὴ κεκτημένοι πλήθος οὐσίας ἀλλ' ἄποροι. This shows that the ἄποροι in the Politics are not altogether without property, but have not much property. Cp. 6 (4). 13. 1297 a 20, where οἱ ἄποροι are opposed to οἱ ἔχοντες τίμημα, and 3. 12. 1283 a 17 sqq. They must not be confused with οἱ λίαν ἄποροι and οἱ σφόδρα πένητες, of whom we read in 8 (6). 5. 1320 a 32 sqq., 2. 9. 1270 b 9, and 1271 a 30, but they do not appear commonly to have had any slaves (8 (6). 8. 1323 a 5 sqq.), and unless they received pay from the State (6 (4). 6. 1293 a 1 sqq.), they were obliged to work hard for the support of themselves and their families (7 (5). 8. 1309 a 4 sqq.). As to οἱ πένητες see note on 1297 b 6.
- 20. πρώτη δ' ἀπορία πρὸς 'τὸν διορισμὸν ἐστίν. Sepulv. 'est autem prima de definitione controversia,' and so Vict. Giph. Bern. and Sus. ('the first difficulty affects the definition'): Lamb., however, 'prima autem difficultas ac dubitatio ad superiorem distinctionem pertinens est haec.' The former interpretation is probably to be preferred. For the absence of the article with πρώτη ἀπορία, cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 30, δημοκρατία μὲν οὖν ἐστὶ πρώτη μὲν ἡ λεγομένη μάλιστα κατὰ τὸ ἴσον. For πρός, cp. c. 13. 1283 b 13, ἔστι δὲ ἀπορία τις πρὸς ἀπαντας τοὺς διαμφισβητοῦντας περὶ τῶν πολιτικῶν τιμῶν, and Metaph. Z. 6. 1032 a 6, οἱ δὲ σοφιστικοὶ ἔλεγχοι πρὸς τὴν θέσιν ταύτην φανερὸν ὅτι τῆ αὐτῆ λύονται λύσει. The definition of oligarchy and democracy given in the foregoing (c. 7.

1279 b 6-c. 8. 1279 b 19) has represented the former as a constitution in which a few rich rule and the latter as a constitution in which many poor rule, and has failed to make it clear whether both characteristics (the fewness and the wealth of the rulers in the case of oligarchy, and their numbers and poverty in the case of democracy) are essential features of the two constitutions, or, if not, which of them is so. This question, however, requires an answer. A similar inquiry as to the nature of oligarchy and democracy occurs in 6 (4). 4. 1290 a 30 sqq. (where, however, no reference is made to the earlier discussion), but the inquiry contained in the chapter before us is far the more satisfactory of the two. See note on 1290 a 30. It should be noticed that though constitutions in which the rich, being a majority, rule on the ground of their wealth are here implied to be oligarchies, and constitutions in which the poor, being a minority, rule are implied to be democracies, no place is made for oligarchies and democracies of this type in the classification of forms of oligarchy and democracy contained in 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 30 sqq. and 6 (4). 5. 1292 a 39 sqq.

22. †συμβαίνη†. See above on 1260 b 31, and critical note on 1279 b 22.

32. την ἐν ἡ πλείους εὔποροι, 'that in which there is a majority of rich men.' For την ἐν ἡ Bonitz (Ind. 495 a 14 sq.) compares Anal. Post. 1. 24. 85 b 36, ἐπὶ δὲ τῶν ὅσα αἴτια οὕτως ὡς οὖ ἕνεκα κ.τ.λ.

38. διό καὶ οὐ συμβαίνει κ.τ.λ. With τὰς ρηθείσας I supply altias (not πολιτείας, as Bernays), and take these words to refer to πληθος and δλιγότης, translating thus—'hence' (i. e. because πληθος and ολιγότης are accidents and not differentiae) 'it also does not happen that the causes we have mentioned' (πληθος and δλιγότης) 'come to be causes of a difference between oligarchy and democracy.' Prof. Jowett (Politics 2. 124) and Prof. J. A. Stewart (Class. Rev. 9. 456) have anticipated me in this view of the passage. One alriage is made to serve for two, much as one peréxes is made to serve for two in 6 (4). 6. 1292 b 35, έστι γάρ καὶ πασιν έξειναι τοις ανυπευθύνοις κατά τὸ γένος (SC. μετέχειν), μετέχειν μέντοι δυναμένους σχολάζειν. See also note on 1326 a 34. For airias diapopas cp. 1280 a 5 and 6 (4). 6. 1292 b 33, τοῦτο μέν οὖν είδος έν δημοκρατίας διά ταύτας τὰς αἰτίας. A definition of a thing must not be built on a distinguishing feature which is only an accident and not present in every case (Top. 6. 6. 144 2 23, σκοπείν δε και εί κατά συμβεβηκός υπάρχει τῷ δριζομένο ή

διαφορά οὐδεμία γὰρ διαφορὰ τῶν κατὰ συμβεβηκὸς ὑπαρχόντων ἐστί, καθάπερ οὐδὲ τὸ γένος οὐ γὰρ ἐνδέχεται τὴν διαφορὰν ὑπάρχειν τινὶ καὶ μὴ ὑπάρχειν).

1280 a. 1. μέν, answered by ἀλλά, 3, marks the antithesis between that which is necessary and τὸ συμβεβηκός: it is on the former that the real διαφορά between oligarchy and democracy rests.

διά πλοῦτον, cp. 5, δι' ås αἰτίας (i. e. εὐπορίαν καὶ ἐλευθερίαν) ἀμφισβητοῦσιν ἀμφότεροι τῆς πολιτείας, and Eth. Nic. 8. 12. 1161 a 2, οὐ δὴ γίνονται κατ' ἀρετὴν αὶ ἀρχαί, ἀλλὰ διὰ πλοῦτον καὶ δύναμιν, καθάπερ ἐν ταῖς ὀλιγαρχέαις.

- C. 9. 7. Αηπτέον δὲ πρῶτον κ.τ.λ., 'we must first ascertain what distinguishing principles of oligarchy and democracy men put forward' [before we go on to examine their soundness], 'and what is the oligarchical and the democratic version of what is just.' Cp. c. 6. 1278 b 15, ὑποθετέον δὴ πρῶτον, and 7 (5). 2. 1302 a 17, ληπτέον καθόλου πρῶτον τὰς ἀρχὰς κ.τ.λ. Liddell and Scott render ὅρος in passages like that before us as 'end' or 'aim,' comparing Rhet. 1. 8. 1366 a 2 sqq., but perhaps its meaning here is rather 'mark' or 'distinguishing principle' ('id quo alicuius rei natura constituitur et definitur,' Bon. Ind. 529 b 44): cp. Plato, Polit. 292 A.
 - 8. το δίκαιον το τε ολιγαρχικον και δημοκρατικον. For the absence of the article before δημοκρατικον, cp. 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 37, μέρη δὲ τῆς πόλεως το τε οπλιτικον και βουλευτικον.
 - 9. πάντες is explained by Sus. as here = ἀμφότεροι. See Sus. on the passage before us and on 1273 a 8. So in 40 πᾶσιν means 'for both': for this use of πάντες, 'ubi de duobus tantum agitur,' see Bon. Ind. 571 b 50 sqq.

ἄπτονται δικαίου τινός. Bonitz (Ind. 89 b 56) compares Eth. Eud. 2. 10. 1227 a 1, εἰ γὰρ καὶ μὴ διακριβοῦσιν, ἀλλ' ἄπτονταί γέ πη τῆς ἀληθείας: De Gen. et Corr. 1. 7. 324 a 15, ἄπτεσθαι τῆς φύσεως. Cp. also Plut. De Gen. Socr. c. 21, καὶ γὰρ εἰ μὴ λίαν ἀκριβῶς, ἀλλ' ἔστιν ὅπη ψαύει τῆς ἀληθείας καὶ τὸ μυθῶδες. Δικαίου τινός, ' q just ground of claim,' 'a principle which is in a degree just.' Δίκαιόν τι is here contrasted with τὸ κυρίως δίκαιον, as in 22 with τὸ ἀπλῶς δίκαιον.

- 10. μέχρι τινός, '[only] to a certain point': see note on 1282 a 36.
- 11. οδον δοκεί ζσον τὸ δίκαιον είναι. Το show that the views of democrats and oligarchs as to what is just are only partially correct, Aristotle takes first a view prevalent among democrats and then (in

12) a view prevalent among oligarchs, and points out that neither is completely true. For οἶον δοκεῖ ῗσον τὸ δίκαιον εἶναι, cp. c. 12. 1282 b 18, Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131 a 12, εἶ οὖν τὸ ἄδικον ἄνισον, τὸ δίκαιον ἴσον ὅπερ καὶ ἄνευ λύγου δοκεῖ πᾶσιν, and Eurip. Phoeniss. 513 Bothe (547 Dindorf),

σὺ δ' οὐκ ἀνέξει δωμάτων ἔχων ἴσον, καὶ τῷδ' ἀπονεμείς; κἆτα ποῦ 'στὶν ἡ δίκη;

άλλ' οὐ πᾶσιν άλλά τοῖς ἴσοις. Cp. 7 (5). 8. 1308 a 11 sqq.

- 12. καὶ τὸ ἄνισον δοκεῖ δίκαιον είναι. Cp. 2. 7. 1267 a 1, where see note.
- 14. ἀφαιροῦσι, 'take away,' 'strike off': ἀφαιρεῖν is here, as often elsewhere, opposed to προστιθέναι. It would seem, however, from 19, τὴν δὲ οἶς ἀμφισβητοῦσι, that the advocates of oligarchy and democracy did not ignore the fact that the determination of what is just involves a question of persons, but that they each gave a different answer to this question and, as it turns out, a wrong one.
- 15. σχεδόν δὲ κ.τ.λ. For the thought cp. c. 16. 1287 b 2 sq. and Xen. Hell. 5. 3. 10, λεγόντων δὲ τῶν κατεληλυθότων, καὶ τίς αὕτη δίκη εἵη, ὅπου αὐτοὶ οἱ ἀδικοῦντες δικάζοιεν, οὐδὲν εἰσήκουον.
- 16. ωστ' ἐπεὶ κ.τ.λ., 'and so, since what is just is relative to persons and is divided in the same way in respect of the things distributed and the persons who receive them' (i. e. since a just allotment of things to A and B will correspond with and follow the just claims of A and B respectively), 'as has been said before in the ethical discussions, they agree as to the equality of the thing, but differ as to the equality of the persons.' Cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131 a 14-24, and esp. 20, καὶ ἡ αὐτὴ ἔσται Ισότης, οἶς καὶ ἐν οἶς. For διήρηται τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον κ.τ.λ., cp. (with Jackson and Stewart) Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131 b 3, έστι δέ καὶ τὸ δίκαιον έν τέτταρσιν έλαχίστοις, καὶ ὁ λόγος ὁ αὐτός. διήρηται γὰρ όμοίως, οίς τε καὶ ἄ (i.e. if the one person stands to the other in the proportion of two to one, a just distribution will make the things stand to each other in the same proportion). Both in this passage and in that before us we have the perfect, not the present—difformai, not diaipeirai: as to this use of the perfect see Vahlen on Poet. 5. 1449 b 9 (p. 114), quoted below on 1282 b 24. I have translated την μέν τοῦ πράγματος ισότητα δμολογούσι, την δε οίς αμφισβητούσι, they agree as to the equality of the thing, but differ as to the equality of the persons,' but there is a further question what these words exactly mean. Perhaps 'they agree as to what constitutes equality in the thing,

but differ as to what constitutes equality in the persons.' For την τοῦ πράγματος Ισότητα cp. 6 (4). 8. 1294 a 19, της Ισότητος της πολιτείας.

- 20. διότι. 'Διότι non raro usurpatur pro verbo δτι, veluti . . . Pol. 3. 9. 1280 a 20 (quamquam ibi causalem vim habere potest),' observes Bonitz, Ind. 200 b 43 (see his remarks in 45 sqq.). Bernays and Susemihl render διότι by 'because' in the passage before us, but I incline (with Bonitz and Mr. Welldon) to the rendering 'that': διότι may well be used in place of ὅτι because ἄρτι precedes.
- 22. οἱ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 7 (5). 1. 1301 a 28 sqq., which agrees with what is said here. Cp. also Plato, Protag. 331 E, ἀλλ' οὐχὶ τὰ ὅμοιόν τι ἔχοντα ὅμοια δίκαιον καλεῖν, οὐδὲ τὰ ἀνόμοιόν τι ἔχοντα ἀνόμοια, κδυ πάνυ σμικρὸν ἔχη τὸ ὅμοιον.
- 24. ελευθερία. See vol. i. p. 248, note 1, as to the meaning of this word.
- 25. τὸ κυριώτατον evidently is the aim with which the πόλις was founded.
- εὶ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 7. 1131 b 29, καὶ γὰρ ἀπὸ χρημάτων κοινῶν ἐὰν γίγνηται ἡ διανομή, ἔσται κατὰ τὸν λόγον τὸν αὐτὸν ὅνπερ ἔχουσι πρὸς ἄλληλα τὰ εἰσενεχθέντα, and 8. 16. 1163 a 30 sqq.

τῶν κτημάτων. See above on 1258 a 33.

- 26. ἐκοινώνησαν καὶ συνήλθον. Κοινωνία is possible without τὸ συνελθείν: cp. 1280 b 17 sqq., and esp. 1280 b 25.
- 27. δ τῶν δλιγαρχικῶν λόγος. Cp. 7 (5). 12. 1316 a 39 sqq. Οὐ γὰρ εἶναι κ. τ. λ., sc. φασί, appears to explain δ λόγος.
- 30. οὖτε τῶν ἐξ ἀρχῆς οὖτε τῶν ἐπιγινομένων, 'neither of the original sum nor of the accruing profits,' for Bernays can hardly be right in rendering these words 'whether it be the first founders of the company or their successors' ('mögen es nun die ersten Begründer der Gesellschaft oder deren Rechtsnachfolger sein'). These words seem to be epexegetic of τῶν ἐκατὸν μνῶν, 29, and to be, like them, in the gen. after μετέχειν. Sharing in the hundred minae includes sharing not only in the sum originally contributed, but also in the profits accruing from it. The word ἐπιγένημα is often used in the Revenue Laws of Ptolemy Philadelphus in the sense of 'surplus.' Cp. also ἐπελαβεν in 1. 11. 1259 a 27 sq.
- 31. εἰ δὲ μήτε τοῦ ζῆν μόνον ἔνεκεν κ.τ.λ. Yet in c. 6. 1278 b 24 we are told συνέρχονται δὲ καὶ τοῦ ζῆν ἔνεκεν αὐτοῦ, and in 1. 2. 1252 b 29 the πόλις is said to come into existence for the sake of life, though it exists for the sake of good life. The protasis which

begins here expires in 36 sqq. without being succeeded by an apodosis. If an apodosis had followed, it would evidently have been to the effect of διόπερ ὅσοι κ.τ.λ., 1281 a 4 sqq.

32. καὶ γὰρ ἄν κ.τ.λ. For τῶν ἄλλων ζώων see above on 1254 b 23, and cp. 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 57, line 31. Slaves do not share in εὐδαιuovia (compare-with Mr. Congreve-Eth. Nic. 10. 6. 1177 a 8, ευδαιμονίας δ' ουδείς ανδραπόδω μεταδίδωσιν, εί μή και βίου), nor in life in accordance with mpoalpeous: in this they might share without sharing in εὐδαιμονία (they might live, for instance, in accordance with a vicious προαίρεσις, which would not bring them εὐδαιμονία). That slaves have not mpoalpeous, we see from Pol. 1. 13. 1260 a 12 (cp. Phys. 2. 6. 197 b 6, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο οῦτε ἄψυχον οὐδέν οῦτε θηρίον ούτε παιδίον οὐδεν ποιεί ἀπὸ τύχης, ὅτι οὐκ ἔχει προαίρεσιν). The citizen of the best State is δ δυνάμενος και προαιρούμενος αρχεσθαι καὶ αρχειν πρὸς τὸν βίον τὸν κατ' αρετήν, and no citizen can act the part of a citizen without mpoalpeous. The notion of a 'city of slaves' is as old as Hecataeus (Fragm. 318: Müller, Fragm. Hist. Gr. 1. 24), and there was a proverb έστὶ καὶ δούλων πόλις, έπὶ τῶν πονηρῶς πολιτευομένων (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 411) and a counter-proverb οὐκ ἔστι δούλων πόλις: διὰ τὸ σπάνιον είρηται (ibid. 1. 324, 433). Just as there were those who knew where to look for the mythical land 'where mice eat iron' (Herondas 3. 75: Crusius, Untersuchungen zu den Mimiamben des Herondas, p. 73), so there were those who found a 'local habitation' for 'the city of slaves.' Hecataeus said that it was in Libya (Fragm. 318), and was followed by Ephorus (Paroem. Gr. 1. 433, note: cp. 2. 371); others placed it in Crete or Egypt; in a fragment of the Σερίφιοι of the elder Cratinus (Meineke, Fragm. Com. Gr. 2. 133) we read

είτα Σάκας αφικνεί και Σιδονίους και Έρεμβούς, ες τε πόλιν δούλων, ανδρών νεοπλουτοπονήρων, αισχρών, "Ανδροκλέων, Διονυσιοκουροπυρώνων,

on which see Meineke's note, and cp. Fragm. Com. Gr. 2. 506. On the other hand, Anaxandrides, who was a senior contemporary of Aristotle, placed in the mouth of one of the characters of his 'Ayxions the lines (Meineke, Fragm. Com. Gr. 3. 162),

οὐκ ἔστι δούλων, & 'γάθ', οὐδαμοῦ πόλις, τύχη δὲ πάντα μεταφέρει τὰ σώματα,

and perhaps they are present to Aristotle's memory here. Meineke (Fr. Com. Gr. 5. xl) refers to Lehrs, Ep. Qu. p. 85 on the subject,

which I have not seen. Aristotle again dismisses the idea of a city composed wholly of slaves in c. 12. 1283 a 18 sq.: compare also c. 6. 1279 a 21.

34. μήτε συμμαχίας ένεκεν, όπως ύπο μηδενός άδικώνται. Ιπ strictness the term for an engagement for mutual defence against attack was ἐπιμαχία, but συμμαχία was often used in this sense, as here (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 387, who refers to Thuc. 1. 44 and 5. 48). We have in the passage before us ὅπως ὑπὸ μηδενὸς ἀδικῶνται, but in 39 σύμβολα περί τοῦ μη άδικεῖν and in 1280 b 4 ὅπως μηδέν άδικήσουσιν άλλήλους. The two things are not the same. Το say that the πόλις is formed for protection against wrongs inflicted by all and sundry is not the same thing as to say that it is formed to protect its members against wrongs inflicted on them by each other. It is evident that Aristotle has the latter view of the origin of the πόλις before him in 30 and in 1280 b 4: hence it is not quite certain that he is thinking of the former in the passage before us, though his language is such as to admit of this interpretation. The view, however, that the πόλις was formed for protection against attacks from those outside it is a very tenable one, and deserved more consideration than it here receives. The rise of the πόλις out of a collection of scattered villages was probably often due to a wish for better protection against hostile attack than the village régime could offer. Thus the Athenian general Demosthenes was encouraged to invade Aetolia because the Aetolians lived in scattered and unwalled villages (Thuc. 3. 94. 4), and Megalopolis was founded to protect South-West Arcadia against Lacedaemonian attack (Paus. 8. 27. 1). Another and probably still more common origin of the πόλιs in early times was that described by Lucretius (5. 1108),

Condere coeperunt urbes arcemque locare Praesidium reges ipsi sibi perfugiumque.

To cases of this kind Aristotle makes no reference.

- 35. μήτε δια τας αλλαγάς κ.τ.λ. This is the origin which Plato imagines for the πόλις in Rep. 369 A sqq. (see vol. i. p. 36). Cp. also 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 14 sqq., where Aristotle says that the buying and selling of necessaries is thought to be the original cause which brings men to group themselves under one constitution.
- 36. As to the relations of the Etruscans and Carthaginians see Meltzer, Geschichte der Karthager 1. 168 sqq. and Mommsen, History of Rome, Eng. Trans., 1. 153. The Phocaeans settled

about B.C. 560 at Alalia (Aleria) in Corsica, opposite to Caere, and about twenty-five years later (Busolt, Gr. Gesch., ed. 2, 2. 753-755) they were expelled by a combined fleet of Etruscans and Carthaginians—an evidence of the alliance of which Aristotle here speaks. According to E. Meyer, Gesch. des Alterthums 2. 708, Aristotle refers to treaties concluded between Carthage and each of the Etruscan seacoast cities separately, not between Carthage and a central Etruscan authority; this may be so, but one would hardly have guessed it from Aristotle's language. His words appear to imply that States which were not connected by $\sigma i \mu \beta o \lambda a$ did not commonly trade with each other.

38. είσὶ γοῦν αὐτοῖς συνθήκαι περὶ τῶν εἰσαγωγίμων καὶ σύμβολα περί του μή άδικείν και γραφαί περί συμμαχίας. Not all συνθήκαι between States had to do with exports and imports, but when a State had surplus products to export or needed to import products, it made a συνθήκη with States willing to take exports from it or to supply it with imports, the object of the συνθήκη being to facilitate and regulate this trade. Compare Rhet. 1. 4. 1360 a 12, έτι δε περί τροφής, πόση δαπάνη ίκανη τη πόλει και ποία ή αὐτοῦ τε γιγνομένη καὶ εἰσαγώγιμος, καὶ τίνων τ' έξαγωγης δέονται καὶ τίνων είσαγωγής, ΐνα πρός τούτους (i.e. those who will receive exports and send imports) καὶ συνθηκαι καὶ συμβολαὶ γίγνωνται πρός δύο γάρ διαφυλάττειν αναγκαίον ανεγκλήτους τους πολίτας, πρός τε τους κρείττους και προς τους είς ταῦτα χρησίμους, and see for an example of such συνθήκαι Hicks, Manual of Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 74 (p. 129). When States were linked together by a mutually advantageous commerce of this kind, it was important that provision should be made for the peaceful settlement of disagreements arising between individual citizens belonging to them, and hence σύμβολα were concluded between them in addition to the συνθηκαι. Σύμβολα may indeed have occasionally existed between States not linked together by συνθήκαι περί των είσαγωγίμων. These σύμβολα established a form of legal process for the trial of offences committed by members of the one State against those of the other, in order that sufferers by those offences, or the State to which they belonged, might no longer be obliged, if they wished to obtain redress for them, to resort to forcible reprisals. The provisions of these σύμβολα were probably very various; a common one in those concluded by Athens was that offenders were to be proceeded against in the courts of the State to which they belonged, though the prosecutor

might appeal from their decision to a third State (ἔκκλητος πόλις). What the provisions of the σύμβολα between Etruria and Carthage were, we have no means of knowing. As to σύμβολα see C. F. Hermann, Gr. Ant. 1. 2. 432, ed. Thumser, and Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 432 sqq., and Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 380 sqq. Γραφαὶ περὶ συμμαχίας, for not all alliances were in writing (Polyb. 3. 25. 3).

- 40. ἀλλ' οὖτ' ἀρχαὶ κ.τ.λ. Peloponnesus is regarded by Polybius (2. 37) as in his day all but one πόλις, inasmuch as it had the same laws, weights and measures, and coinage, and also the same magistrates, councillors, and dicasts, the only thing wanting being a common wall. Πᾶσιν, 'for both,' see above on 1280 a 9. 'Επὶ τούτοις is rendered by Sus. and Welldon 'to secure these objects' (cp. 1. 2. 1253 a 14), but Bonitz (Ind. 268 b 8) groups this passage with 6 (4). 14. 1298 a 22, τὰς ἀρχὰς τὰς ἐφ' ἐκάστοις τεταγμένας, and evidently interprets ἐπὶ τούτοις 'over these things,' 'charged with jurisdiction over these matters.' I incline on the whole to follow Bonitz. Cf. 8 (6). 8. 1322 a 37, ἐπὶ πᾶσι τούτοις ἀρχαὶ πλείους εἰσίν.
- 1280 b. 1. For the construction, if τοῦ is omitted before ποίους (with M⁸ P¹ Vat. Pal. and perhaps Γ), cp. Eth. Eud. 3. 5. 1232 b 6, καὶ μᾶλλον δυ φροντίσειεν ἀνὴρ μεγαλόψυχος τί δοκεῖ ἐνὶ σπουδαίφ ἡ πολλοῖς τοῖς τυγχάνουσιν, but the construction with the genitive is far more usual. For the thought cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 10. 1099 b 29, τὸ γὰρ τῆς πολιτικῆς τέλος ἄριστον ἐτίθεμεν, αὕτη δὲ πλείστην ἐπιμέλειαν ποιείται τοῦ ποιούς τινας καὶ ἀγαθοὺς τοὺς πολίτας ποιῆσαι καὶ πρακτικοὺς τῶν καλῶν. That members of the same State seek to make each other good had been pointed out in a famous passage of the discourse of Protagoras in Plato, Protag. 327 A sq., where the speaker says, λυσιτελεῖ γάρ, οἶμαι, ἡμῖν ἡ ἀλλήλων δικαιοσύνη καὶ ἀρετή.
 - 5. περὶ δ' ἀρετῆς καὶ κακίας πολιτικῆς διασκοποῦσιν δσοι φροντίζουσιν εὐνομίας. Πολιτικῆς, not συμμαχικῆς. The word is emphatic, and there can be little doubt that Γ Ma pr. Pl are wrong in omitting it. These MSS. are prone to omit words; they also give the next word διασκοποῦσιν in a corrupt form. For ἀρετῆς πολιτικῆς cp. 1281 a 6, κατὰ δὲ τὴν πολιτικὴν ἀρετὴν ἀνίσοις, where, as in the passage before us, κατ ἀρετήν follows in the next line, and 5 (8). 6. 1340 b 42, τοῖς πρὸς ἀρετὴν παιδευσμένοις πολιτικήν (where see note). Cp. also Aeschin. c. Ctes. c. 232, αὐτοὶ δὲ οὐ κυκλίων χορῶν κριταὶ καθεστηκότες, ἀλλὰ νύμων καὶ πολιτικῆς ἀρετῆς, and Plato, Protag. 322 E. We expect οἱ μιᾶς πόλεως πολίται in place of ὅσοι φροντίζουσιν εὐνομίας,

but Aristotle probably remembers that not all πολεις cared for the promotion of virtue in their citizens. Hence he prefers to appeal here, as he does in 2. 5. 1263 a 31 sq. and Rhet. 1. 1. 1354 a 18 sqq., to the practice of those who care for εὐνομία, or in other words of those who are truly πολιτικοί, for εὐνομία is the end of the political science (see above on 1253 a 37, and Eth. Nic. 3. 5. 1112 b 14, Eth. Eud. 1. 5. 1216 b 18), and of any πόλις which deserves the name (6 sqq.). For διασκοπεῖν, 'to consider carefully,' cp. Eth. Eud. 1. 8. 1217 b 16, where it is contrasted with συντόμως εἰπεῖν, and Thuc. 7. 71. 6.

- 6. ἢ καὶ φανερὸν κ.τ.λ. For the construction περὶ ἀρετῆς ἐπιμελές εἶναι κ.τ.λ., Bonitz (Ind. 275 a 43 sq.) compares Hist. An. 3. 3. 513 a 14, εἶ τινι περὶ τῶν τοιούτων ἐπιμελές, and Metaph. E. 2. 1026 b 4. Not all πόλεις are regarded by Aristotle as making the promotion of virtue a matter of public concern (Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1180 a 24 sqq.: Pol. 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 12, ἐν ταῖς μὴ ποιουμέναις κοινὴν ἐπιμέλειαν ἀρετῆς: 4 (7). 11. 1330 b 32), but he evidently thinks that all πόλεις which deserve the name should do so. Still, even where the πόλις failed to do this, much was done for virtue by other agencies at work within it, as we see from the address of Protagoras in Plato, Protag. 325 C sqq. So that Aristotle's view that a πόλις omitting to make the promotion of virtue a matter of public concern becomes a mere 'alliance' does not seem to be altogether true.
- 8. γίνεται γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for otherwise,' etc. Sus., following Conring, reads συμμαχιῶν in place of συμμάχων, which is the reading of Γ Π, but τῶν ἄποθεν συμμαχιῶν is an awkward phrase needing to be justified by parallel instances, and we should probably supply τῆς συμμαχίας before τῶν ἄλλων τῶν ἄποθεν συμμάχων. Cp. Plato, Rep. 375 A, οἶει οὖν τι ... διαφέρειν φύσιν γενναίου σκύλακος εἰς φυλακήν νεανίσκου εἰγενοῦς; We have perhaps in συμμάχων a similar irregularity to that which often occurs in comparisons (see note on 1267 a 5, μείζω ἐπιθυμίαν τῶν ἀναγκαίων, and cp. Meteor. 1. 4. 342 a 30, σημεῖον δ' ἡ φαινομένη αὐτῶν ταχυτὴς ὁμοία οὖσα τοῖς ὑφ' ἡμῶν ἡιπτουμένοις, and Xen. Cyrop. 5. 1. 4, καὶ τοίνυν ὁμοίαν ταῖς δούλαις εἶχε τὴν ἐσθῆτα). For τῶν ἄποθεν συμμάχων cp. Plut. Aquae et ignis comparatio, c. 11, τῶν ἔξωθεν συμμάχων.
- 10. καὶ ὁ νόμος συνθήκη κ.τ.λ. See vol. i. p. 389. As to the sophist Lycophron see above on 1255 a 32, and see Sus.², Note 552 (Sus.⁴, 1. p. 393). In Aristot. Fragm. 82. 1490 a 10 he is

spoken of as a writer. 'Ο σοφιστής is added to distinguish this Lycophron from others who bore the same name. This view of the object of law was inherited by Epicurus: see Zeller, Stoics Epicureans and Sceptics, Eng. Trans., p. 462 sq., who refers to Diog. Laert. 10. 150, τὸ τῆς φύσεως δίκαιδν ἐστι σύμβολον τοῦ συμφέροντος εἰς τὸ μὴ βλάπτειν ἀλλήλους μηδὲ βλάπτεσθαι: Stob. Floril. 43. 139, οἱ νόμοι χάριν τῶν σοφῶν κεῖνται, οὐχ ἵνα μὴ ἀδικῶσιν, ἀλλ΄ ἵνα μὴ ἀδικῶνται: Lucr. 5. 1143 sqq. To Schopenhauer 'the State is in essence nothing more than an institution designed for protection against external attacks directed against the whole and against internal attacks made by individuals on each other ' (see the references in Frauenstädt's Schopenhauer-Lexikon 2. 343 sq.).

12. ἀγαθοὺς καὶ δικαίους. Καὶ δικαίους is added partly to explain ἀγαθούς, partly to sharpen the contrast with τῶν δικαίων in the preceding line. In much the same way we have ἀρετὴν καὶ δικαιοσύνην ἐν ἐκάστη πολιτεία τὴν πρὸς τὴν πολιτείαν in 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 36, where καὶ δικαιοσύνην is added because Aristotle is about to prove that ἀρετή relative to the constitution exists by proving the existence of δικαιοσύνη relative to the constitution. Another reason for adding καὶ δικαίους in the passage before us may be that bravery is often connoted by ἀγαθός more than anything else (see note on 1338 b 31). Cp. Demosth. Prooem. 55. p. 1461, ἐξ ἰδίων σπουδαίων καὶ δικαίων ἀνδρῶν, Plato, Protag. 327 B, ἡ ἀλλήλων δικαιοσύνη καὶ ἀρετή, Pol. 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 36, and Poet. 13. 1453 a 8.

δτι δὲ τοῦτον ἔχει τὸν τρόπον, i.e. that the πόλις is not really a πόλις, if it does not care for the promotion of virtue. Aristotle proves this by showing that nothing short of participation in good life constitutes a πόλις, or at any rate what would be accepted as a πόλις by close inquirers (οι ἀκριβῶς θεωροῦντες, 1280 b 28)—that unity of site is not enough, even if combined with intermarriage, nor nearness, or even unity, of site combined with the exchange of products and laws for the punishment of persons wronging each other in that exchange.

- 14. τους τόπους, 'the sites of two cities.'
- 16. ἐπιγαμίας, plural, as in 36 and in Rhet. 1. 14. 1375 a 10, and these are the only passages in Aristotle's writings in which the Index Aristotelicus notes the occurrence of the word.

τῶν ἰδίων ταῖς πόλεσι κοινωνημάτων, 'one of those acts of communion which are characteristic of States.' As to the right of intermarriage see Hdt. 5. 92 and Thuc. 8. 21, referred to by Eaton,

but Aristotle probably remembers that not all πολεις cared for the promotion of virtue in their citizens. Hence he prefers to appeal here, as he does in 2. 5. 1263 a 31 sq. and Rhet. 1. 1. 1354 a 18 sqq., to the practice of those who care for εὐνομία, or in other words of those who are truly πολιτικοί, for εὐνομία is the end of the political science (see above on 1253 a 37, and Eth. Nic. 3. 5. 1112 b 14, Eth. Eud. 1. 5. 1216 b 18), and of any πόλις which deserves the name (6 sqq.). For διασκοπεῖν, 'to consider carefully,' cp. Eth. Eud. 1. 8. 1217 b 16, where it is contrasted with συντόμως εἰπεῖν, and Thuc. 7. 71. 6.

- 6. ἢ καὶ φανερὸν κ.τ.λ. For the construction περὶ ἀρετῆς ἐπιμελές εἶναι κ.τ.λ., Bonitz (Ind. 275 a 43 sq.) compares Hist. An. 3. 3. 513 a 14, εἶ τινι περὶ τῶν τοιούτων ἐπιμελές, and Metaph. E. 2. 1026 b 4. Not all πόλεις are regarded by Aristotle as making the promotion of virtue a matter of public concern (Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1180 a 24 sqq.: Pol. 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 12, ἐν ταῖς μὴ ποιουμέναις κοινὴν ἐπιμέλειαν ἀρετῆς: 4 (7). 11. 1330 b 32), but he evidently thinks that all πόλεις which deserve the name should do so. Still, even where the πόλις failed to do this, much was done for virtue by other agencies at work within it, as we see from the address of Protagoras in Plato, Protag. 325 C sqq. So that Aristotle's view that a πόλις omitting to make the promotion of virtue a matter of public concern becomes a mere 'alliance' does not seem to be altogether true.
- 8. γίνεται γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for otherwise,' etc. Sus., following Conring, reads συμμαχιῶν in place of συμμάχων, which is the reading of Γ Π, but τῶν ἄποθεν συμμαχιῶν is an awkward phrase needing to be justified by parallel instances, and we should probably supply τῆς συμμαχίας before τῶν ἄλλων τῶν ἄποθεν συμμάχων. Cp. Plato, Rep. 375 A, οἶει οὖν τι ... διαφέρειν φύσιν γενναίου σκύλακος εἰς φυλακήν νεανίσκου εἰγενοῦς; We have perhaps in συμμάχων a similar irregularity to that which often occurs in comparisons (see note on 1267 a 5, μείζω ἐπιθυμίαν τῶν ἀναγκαίων, and cp. Meteor. 1. 4. 342 a 30, σημεῖον δ' ἡ φαινομένη αὐτῶν ταχυτὴς ὁμοία οὖσα τοῖς ὑφ' ἡμῶν ἡιπτουμένοις, and Xen. Cyrop. 5. 1. 4, καὶ τοίνυν ὁμοίαν ταῖς δούλαις εἶχε τὴν ἐσθῆτα). For τῶν ἄποθεν συμμάχων cp. Plut. Aquae et ignis comparatio, c. 11, τῶν ἔξωθεν συμμάχων.
- 10. καὶ ὁ νόμος συνθήκη κ.τ.λ. See vol. i. p. 389. As to the sophist Lycophron see above on 1255 a 32, and see Sus.², Note 552 (Sus.⁴, 1. p. 393). In Aristot. Fragm. 82. 1490 a 10 he is

households and families in living well? It stands in opposition to 25, ἔκαστος μέντοι χρῷτο τη lδία οἰκία ὁσπερ πόλει, and means that the several households and families do not live well singly, each within itself, but that they, as it were, throw their 'living well' into a common stock so that all share in it, and live well as members of a larger whole, the πόλις. The dative καὶ ταῖς οἰκίαις καὶ τοῖς γένεσι designates the sharers: cp. 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 15, πολιτεία μὲν γάρ ἐστι τάξις ταῖς πόλεσιν ἡ περὶ τὰς ἀρχάς, and see Bon. Ind. 166 a 61 sqq. for instances of a similar dative. The πόλις is not an union of single individuals but of οἰκίαι and γένη (= κῶμαι): cp. 1. 2. 1252 b 27 sqq., 2. 5. 1264 a 5 sqq., and the closing sentences of the interpolation in Strabo, p. 419, quoted above on 1278 b 19.

35. τοῦτο, i.e. ή τοῦ εὖ ζην κοινωνία κ.τ.λ.

86. διδ κ.τ.λ. 'Hence,' i. e. because ή τοῦ εἶ ζην κοινωνία cannot be realized without dwelling in the same place and intermarrying, or in other words without τὸ συζην, various forms of τὸ συζην came into being in States. Κηδείαι, φρατρίαι, θυσίαι, and διαγωγαί τοῦ συζην bring together the households and yeun of which the πόλις consists, and enable them to realize 'communion in good life.' The omission of any mention of the tribe is significant. It was probably too large, and at Athens too scattered, to be of much value as a means of There may well have been some who regarded τὸ συζην as the end of the State (cp. Eth. Eud. 7. 1. 1234 b 22, The yap πολιτικής έργον είναι δοκεί μάλιστα ποιήσαι φιλίαν, και την αρετήν δια τοῦτό φασιν είναι χρήσιμον οὐ γάρ ενδέχεσθαι φίλους έαυτοῖς είναι τοὺς άδικουμένους ὑπ' ἀλλήλων): hence the pains which Aristotle takes to point out that it is only a means to that end. Compare his language in c. 6, 1278 b 20 sqq. and Eth. Nic. 8, 11, 1160 a 19, τιιαι δε των κοινωνιών δι' ήδονήν δοκούσι γίγνεσθαι, θιασωτών και έρανιστών αύται γάρ θυσίας ένεκα καὶ συνουσίας. πάσαι δ' αύται ύπὸ τὴν πολιτικὴν έοικασιν είναι ου γάρ του παρόντος συμφέροντος ή πολιτική έφίεται, άλλ els dπαντα τὸν βίον. There was a risk that the πόλις might be regarded as existing for the sake of pleasure like biago, and sparo, or at any rate might be bracketed with marriage and the phratry as a means primarily to τὸ συζην. Aristotle is all the more anxious to show that the end of the πόλις is not τὸ συζην but τὸ εὖ ζην, because he is thus enabled to draw the conclusion which he draws at the end of the chapter, that virtuous men have a claim to a larger share in the πόλις than the rich or the ελεύθεροι. Just as Plato had spoken of festivals in Laws 653 D as a means by which men correct and complete their education (cp. 828 A, where he takes up the subject of festivals for treatment immediately after that of education), so Aristotle regards affinities and phratries and sacrifices and ways of passing time pleasantly together as aiding in the realization of a 'communion in good life.' Another use of social ties of this kind was that they served to protect the individual from wrong, as we see from Plato, Laws 720 E, Epypos vàp ŵr ô Eéros έταίρων τε και ξυγγενών έλεεινότερος ανθρώποις και θεοίς, but a reference to this would not be to the point here. Compare the enumeration of social ties in Aeschin. De Fals. Leg. c. 23, hueîs de, ols lepà nai τάφοι προγόνων υπάρχουσιν έν τη πατρίδι και διατριβαί και συνήθειαι μεθ' ύμων ελευθέριοι και γάμοι κατά τους νόμους και κηδεσται και τέκνα κ.τ.λ. I take διαγωγαί τοῦ συζην to mean 'modes of passing time belonging to social life': cp. (with Bonitz, Ind. 710 a 38) Eth. Nic. 4. 13. 1127 2 17, ἐν δὴ τῷ συζῆν οἱ μὲν πρὸς ἡδονὴν καὶ λύπην ὁμιλοῦντες είρηνται. Διαγωγαί του συζην are tacitly contrasted with διαγωγαί not τοῦ συζην, such as, for instance, solitary contemplation. I prefer this interpretation to those of Stahr ('Vereine für den Zweck heiterer Geselligkeit') and Bernays ('Belustigungen zur Beförderung des Zusammenlebens'), in which τοῦ συζην is taken to mean 'for the purpose of social life.' Common sacrifices and festivals were all the more necessary to ancient City-States, because their citizens usually dwelt scattered over the territory, and not concentrated in the city, like those of many mediaeval City-States.

88. to 82 tolouror bilias Epyor. The point of this remark, which is not at first sight evident, becomes so if we translate, 'but that which has just been mentioned' (i. e. τὸ συζην) 'is the business of friendship, [not the end of the mohis].

40. Rouge is added in explanation of yerer (cp. 1. 2. 1252b 16 sqq.).

1. τούτο, i e. ζωή τελεία καὶ αὐτάρκης.

1281 a.

- s φαμέν, cp. Eth. Eud. 2. 1. 1219 2 38, είη αν ή εὐδαιμονία ζωής τελείας ενέργεια κατ' άρετην τελείαν.
- 4. διόπερ κ.τ.λ. Compare 7 (5). 1. 1301 a 39 sqq. Την τοιαύτην κοινωνίαν, i.e. την των καλών πράξεων χάριν συνεστηκυίαν κοινωνίαν.
- 8. oti per our n.t.A. Harres here means 'both,' as in 1280 a 9, and uipos to tou ducaiou, 'only a part of what is just.' For the suppression of 'only' see below on 1282 a 36.

P

11. "Exel 8" dwopiar K.T. A. So far the question discussed has C. 10. been who have the best claim to a superior share in the πόλις, but VOL. III.

now Aristotle asks what the supreme authority of the State should be, for we have been told in c. 6. 1278 b 8 sqq. that the nature of the constitution depends on the award made of supreme authority in the State. In the discussion which commences here Aristotle probably has before him Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 42 sqq., where Pericles is compelled by Alcibiades to admit that a law imposed by force whether by a tyrant, the few, or the many, is not law but lawlessness. Compare also Plutarch, Ad Princ. Inerudit. c. 4, οί παλαιοί οὔτω λέγουσι καὶ γράφουσι καὶ διδάσκουσιν, ὡς ἄνευ δίκης ἄρχειν μηδὲ τοῦ Διὸς καλῶς δυναμένου.

12. For ἡ γάρ τοι Eucken (De Partic. Usu, p. 72) compares Phys. 8. 3. 254 a 18, adding that τοι appears to belong to ή, not to γάρ.

13. For the juxtaposition of ἔνα πάντων cp. c. 13. 1283 b 18, c. 14. 1285 a 2, c. 16. 1287 a 11, and 6 (4). 1. 1288 b 15.

ἢ τύραννον. If we hold that the Good should be supreme, then we shall have to allow that the One Best should be supreme, and so again, if we hold that the rich should be supreme, we shall have to allow that the One Richest, or in other words a tyrant, should be supreme: cp. 8 (6). 3. 1318 a 22, εὶ μὲν γὰρ ὅ τι ἀν οἱ ὀλίγοι, τυραννίς (καὶ γὰρ ἐὰν εἶς ἔχη πλείω τῶν ἄλλων εὐπόρων, κατὰ τὸ ὀλίγαρχικὸν δίκαιον ἄρχειν δίκαιος μόνος).

άλλὰ ταῦτα πάντα ἔχειν φαίνεται δυσκολίαν. Compare the very similar sentence in 2.8. 1268 b 3, ταῦτα δὴ πάντα πολλὴν ἔχει ταραχήν, where also we have the emphatic order ταῦτα πάντα ('every one of these things'). See critical note on 1282 a 40.

14. ἀν οἱ πένητες κ.τ.λ. Cp. 8 (6). 3. 1318 a 24 sqq. and Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 43 sqq. Toῦτ' οἰκ ἄδικόν ἐστιν; 'is this not unjust?' The answer to this question is given by a supporter of the supremacy of the Many—'No, for by Zeus it was justly decreed by the supreme authority'—to which Aristotle replies, 'Then what are we to say is the extreme of injustice, if not this?' Δικαίως, not 'with full legal validity' (as Sus. 'auf durchaus rechtsgültige Weise'), but 'justly,' for what the supreme authority decides is ipso facto just. Δικαίως is severed from ἔδοξε, the word which it qualifies, for the sake of emphasis: see notes on 1255 a 21, 1265 b 15, and 1323 a 36, and Holden on Xen. Oecon. 2. 8. Vict. and some others take τῷ κυρίφ δικαίως together ('summam potestatem habenti iuste'), but not, I think, rightly. Νὴ Δία occurs also in c. 11. 1281 b 18, but the Index Aristotelicus gives no other

instance from Aristotle's writings. In both these passages the expression is used asseveratively to introduce a statement which may be strongly affirmed.

- 17. πάλιν τε πάντων ληφθέντων, 'and again, taking men as a whole, irrespective of wealth and poverty.' For πάντων ληφθέντων see above on 1254 b 15, and cp. λαμβανομένων, c. 13. 1283 a 42. Bernays, followed by Susemihl, translates these words 'nachdem [den Reichen] Alles genommen worden,' but I cannot think that they are right. Mr. Welldon translates rightly, 'take the whole body of citizens.' Aristotle here, in fact, turns to consider the case of the Many despoiling the Few of their property, whether those Few are rich or poor.
- 19. ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐχ ἢ γ' ἀρετὴ κ.τ.λ., 'but certainly it is not virtue that destroys the thing which possesses it,' so that the measures of spoliation just referred to cannot be the outcome of virtue. Cp. Eth. Nic. 2. 5. 1106 a 15, βητέον οὖν ὅτι πᾶσα ἀρετή, οὖ ἀν ἢ ἀρετή, αὐτό τε εὖ ἔχον ἀποτελεῖ καὶ τὸ ἔργον αὐτοῦ εὖ ἀποδίδωσιν, and Menand. Inc. Fab. Fragm. 12 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 235),

μειράκιου, οδ μοι κατανοείν δοκείς δτι ύπο της ίδίας εκαστα κακίας σήπεται.

- 20. οὐδὲ τὸ δίκαιον πόλεως φθαρτικόν. Cp. 2. 2. 1261 a 30, τὸ ἴσον τὸ ἀντιπεπουθὸς σώζει τὰς πόλεις. The just is the political good (c. 12. 1282 b 16), and 'the good of each thing preserves it' (2. 2. 1261 b 0: cp. Plato. Rep. 608 E sqq.).
- 21. καὶ τὸν νόμον τοῦτον, i. c. the law by which supreme authority is given to the majority, no less than that by which supreme authority is given to the poor. So we read in c. 17. 1288 a 14, κατὰ νόμον τὸν κατ' ἀξίαν διανέμοντα τοῖς εὐπόροις τὰς ἀρχάς. Where a depreciatory meaning is intended to be conveyed, as perhaps here, οὖτος is often placed by Aristotle after its substantive—e. g. in 2. 3. 1262 a 13, 2. 6. 1265 b 16, 18, 1266 a 1, 2. 9. 1271 a 1, 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 28, and 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 23. But οὖτος is often placed after its substantive where this is not the case.

eti καὶ τὰς πράξεις κ.τ.λ. This was the greatest of paradoxes, for a tyrant was commonly regarded as the incarnation of injustice (4 (7). 2. 1324 a 35 sqq.: Plato, Rep. 344 A).

26. διαρπάζωσι. Bernays takes διαρπάζειν to be here used absolutely ('rauben'), but Susemihl supplies τὸ πλήθος ('das Volk plündern'), and Bonitz (Ind. s. v.) τὰ κτήματα τοῦ πλήθους. I incline to follow Bonitz (see also Liddell and Scott), for Aristotle some-

times introduces a necessary word later than we expect: see for instance 5 (8). 3. 1337 b 31 and 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 1, where δύνασθαι comes in late; also 2. 6. 1264 b 35 (μετέχουσι), 3. 6. 1279 a 20 (πασαι), 3. 8. 1279 b 15 (τι), and 1. 2. 1252 a 33 (φύσει).

- 28. τοδς ἐπιεικεῖς, who will not plunder anybody. As to the danger arising from a mass of ἄτιμοι see note on 1281 b 28.
- 84. ἀλλ' ἴσως φαίη τις ἄν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably refers to Plato, Laws 713 E sqq., where States are advised to place themselves under the rule of law, since a god is no longer forthcoming, as in the days of Cronus, εἰ δ' ἄνθρωπος εἶς ἢ ὀλιγαρχία τις ἢ καὶ δημοκρατία ψυχὴν ἔχουσα ἡδονῶν καὶ ἐπιθυμιῶν ὀρεγομένην καὶ πληροῦσθαι τούτων δεομένην, στέγουσαν δὲ οὐδέν, ἀλλ' ἀνηνύτω καὶ ἀπλήστω κακῷ νοσήματι ξυνεχομένην, ἄρξει δὴ πόλεως ἢ τινος ἰδιώτου καταπατήσας ὁ τοιοῦτος τοὺς νόμους . . . οὐκ ἔστι σωτηρίας μηχανή. Cp. also c. 15. 1286 a 16 sqq. Long before Plato, however, Pittacus had declared in favour of the rule of law (Diod. 9. 27. 4: Diog. Laert. 1. 77). See below on 1286 a 7.
- 36. &ν οδν κ.τ.λ. Plato had omitted to guard himself by explaining that the rule of law which he recommended must be the rule of good law. 'The Englishman in America will feel that this is slavery—that it is *legal* slavery, will be no compensation, either to his feelings or his understanding' (Burke, Speech on American Taxation: Works, ed. Bohn, 1. 433). Burke goes still further elsewhere when he says that 'bad laws are the worst sort of tyranny.' Aristotle, however, finds in the Sixth Book (6 (4). cc. 4-5) and elsewhere a great difference between democracies or oligarchies in which law (i. e. democratic or oligarchical law) is supreme and those in which it is not.
- C. 11. 40. ὅτι δὲ δεῖ κ.τ.λ. Λύεσθαι has been translated in many different ways. Vict. translates δόξειεν ἄν λύεσθαι 'videretur solvi,' and Lamb. 'videatur esse expeditum ac solutum.' Bernays translates the words in what I take to be a similar way ('scheint sich befriedigend zu erledigen'). Bonitz appears to explain λύεσθαι here as 'to be refuted' (which is also the rendering of Mr. Welldon), for in Ind. 439 a 20 sqq. he groups the passage before us with passages (Eth. Eud. 2. 8. 1224 b 6: Eth. Nic. 5. 15. 1138 a 27 and 7. 13. 1153 a 29) in which the word bears this meaning, but, if we interpret λύεσθαι thus, it seems to be little in harmony with the words which follow immediately. Sus. translates 'gegen die angeregten Bedenken vertheidigen zu lassen' ('to be susceptible

of defence against the doubts raised about it'), but it is not easy to get this meaning out of the Greek, and he does not seem himself to be satisfied with his rendering, for he holds that the text is unsound in λύεσθαι-άλήθειαν. If we retain λύεσθαι, I should be disposed to follow Vict. in his rendering of the word and to translate, 'but [the apparent paradox] that the Many ought rather to be supreme than the Few Best would appear to receive a solution' (cp. Metaph. Λ. 7. 1072 a 19, επεί δ' ούτω τ' ενδέχεται, καὶ εὶ μὴ οῦτως, ἐκ νυκτὸς ἔσται καὶ ὁμοῦ πάντων καὶ ἐκ μὴ ὅντος, λύοιτ' αν ταῦτα, where Bonitz explains 'diremptae sunt hae quaestiones.' and Grote, Aristotle, 2. 377, 'we may consider the problem as solved'). But there is some strangeness in δτι δὶ δεῖ κ.τ.λ. followed by λύεσθαι in this sense, and I strongly suspect either that some word has dropped out before or after λύεσθαι, such as δείν, or that λύεσθαι is corrupt. Perhaps we should read λείπεσθαι ('to remain as a possible alternative') in place of it. The Few Best had found a panegyrist in Heraclitus (Fragm. 111 Bywater, τίς γὰρ αὐτῶν νόος ή φρήν; [δήμων] ἀοιδοίσι ἔπονται καὶ διδασκάλω χρέωνται δμίλω, οὐκ είδότες ότι πολλοί κακοί όλίγοι δε αγαθοί αίρευνται γάρ εν αντία πάντων οί άριστοι, κλέος αέναον θυητών, οι δε πολλοί κεκόρηνται δκωσπερ κτήνεα: cp. Eurip. Fragm, 358,

(ἐσθλοὺς ἐγὼ)

όλίγους επαινώ μάλλον ή πολλούς κακούς,

where ἐσθλοὺς ἐγώ is added e conj., but probably rightly, by Hense, and 8 (6). 4. 1318 b 16, οί γάρ πολλοί μάλλον δρέγονται τοῦ κέρδους ή της τιμής). But it is especially because Plato in the Republic had placed his ideal State in the hands of the Few Best (see Rep. 503 A sqq.) that Aristotle takes pains both here and in c. 13. 1283 b 20-35 to show that if superior virtue gives a claim to political power, the Many have solid claims on that ground to such political power, at any rate, as they can exercise when gathered in an assembly and converted as it were into a single human being. We must not take him, however, to assert that a constitution in which the Few Best and a popular assembly of good type divide the powers of the State between them in this fashion is the best possible constitution; on the contrary, the best constitution is that in which all the citizens are men of complete excellence (4 (7). 13. 1332 a 32 sqq.: 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 1 sqq.). His aim in the Eleventh Chapter, as in the Ninth, is in the main a negative and critical one—to overthrow the exclusive claims of the Few Best, just as in

214

the Ninth he overthrows the exclusive claims of the rich and the ἐλεύθεροι.

41. καί τιν' ἔχειν ἀπορίαν. See above on 1275 b 34.

42. καν. 'Ipsum καν non sequente εί ita usurpatur ut a simplice και vix distinguatur' (Bon. Ind. 41 a 36, where instances of this are given).

τούς γάρ πολλούς κ.τ.λ. Aristotle here probably remembers Hom. Il. 13. 237,

ξυμφερτή δ' άρετή πέλει άνδρών και μάλα λυγρών.

1281 b. 1. σπουδαίος ἀνήρ. See vol. i. p. 293.

δμως stands in opposition to ων εκαστός εστιν οὐ σπουδαίος ἀνήρ. Compare its use in 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 12, καὶ γὰρ εν ταῖς μὴ ποιουμέγαις κοινὴν ἐπιμέλειαν ἀρετῆς εἰσὶν ὅμως τινὲς οὶ εὐδοκιμοῦντες καὶ δοκοῦντες εἶναι ἐπιεικεῖς, and in De Part. An. 1. 5. 645 a 7 sqq., in both which passages the opposition is of a similarly indirect character.

2. ἐκείνων, 'the Few Best.'

ούχ ώς ἔκαστον. Cp. 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 12.

οδον τὰ συμφορητὰ δεῖπνα κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 15. 1286 a 29, δοπερ έστίασις συμφορητὸς καλλίων μιᾶς καὶ ἀπλῆς: Hesiod, Op. et Dies 722 sq.: St. Jerome, Epist. 26, c. 4.

4. πολλων γάρ ὄντων κ.τ.λ., 'for each of them, numerous as they are, may have a share of virtue and prudence, and the Many, when they have come together, just as they become one man with many feet and many hands and many senses, may likewise become one man with many excellences of character and intelligence.' Supply ενδέχεται from I with έχειν, 4. 'Αρετής και φρονήσεως is taken up by τὰ ήθη καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν, 7. For συνελθόντων, where συνελθόντας might have been used (it is the reading of some of the less good MSS.), see notes on 13 and 1335 b 19, and cp. De Gen. An. 2, 6, 744 a 15 sqq. and De Gen. et Corr. 1. 4. 319 b 10 sqq. As to the gain of having many eyes, ears, hands, and feet, see c. 16. 1287 b 26 sqq. The Lacedaemonians dedicated a statue of Apollo with four hands and four ears, as he had appeared to the combatants in a battle near Amyclae (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem, Gr. 1. 22: 2. 264); the Erinnys is conceived by Sophocles (Electr. 488) as having many hands and feet; we read of beings like Geryon (see vol. i. p. 256, note 5, and Stallbaum's note on Plato, Laws 795 C); and Aristotle imagines the same multiplicity extended to moral and intellectual gifts. He perhaps remembers in the passage before us Aristoph. Ran. 675 Didot,

Μοῦσα, χορῶν ἰερῶν ἐπίβηθι καὶ ἔλθ ἐπὶ τέρψιν ἀοιδας ἐμας, τὸν πολὺν ὀψομένη λαῶν ὅχλον, οῦ σοφίαι μυρίαι κάθηνται.

Compare also Eurip. Bacch. 359 Bothe (427 Dindorf), σοφὰν δ' ἀπέχειν πραπίδα Φρένα τε περισσῶν παρὰ φωτῶν τὸ πληθος ὅ τι τὸ φαυλότερον

ένόμισε χρηταί τε, τόδε τοι λέγοιμ' αν, and Xen. Cyrop. 4. 3. 21, where Chrysantas says that the mounted horseman gets the advantage of his horse's ears and eyes as well as his own, and thus comes to be something better than a centaur, for a centaur has only two eyes and two ears. The thought that the Many gathered in an assembly become, as it were, one man recurs in 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 11 sqq. Plato had already (Rep. 493 A sqq.) compared the Many under these circumstances to a θρέμμα μέγα καὶ ἰσχυρόν, but had regarded the opinions of this great creature, whether on questions of drawing, or music, or politics (493 D), as the reverse of wise, and the Sausage-seller in the Equites of Aristophanes (752 sqq. Didot) finds the Athenian Demos far cleverer at home than in the Pnyx (cp. Demosth. Prooem. 14. p. 1427). On the other hand, bodies of men acting as a whole have sometimes been credited by good observers with a superiority to the individuals composing them taken singly. Thus 'Canning used to say that the House of Commons as a body had better taste than the man of best taste in it, and I am very much inclined to think that Canning was right' (Letter of Lord Macaulay, Feb. 1831: Life and Letters, 1. 174). 'The quick and correct feeling of the House of Commons as a body is very striking' (Lord Stratford de Redcliffe in 1820: Life by S. Lane-Poole, 1. 294). The House of Commons, it is true, is a more or less picked assembly. Compare, however, also Plin. Epist. 7. 17. 10, opinor, quia in numero ipso est quoddam magnum conlatumque consilium, quibusque singulis iudicii parum, omnibus plurimum.

7. τὰ ἦθη καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν. For the distinction between τὰ ἦθη and ἡ διάνοια, which evidently repeats ἀρετῆς καὶ φρονήσεως, 4, Bonitz (Ind. 185 b 61) compares 5 (8). 2. 1337 a 38 sq.

διὸ καὶ κρίνουσιν ἄμεινον κ.τ.λ., 'hence' (i. e. because they possess as a Whole these manifold excellences of character and intelligence) 'the Many [not only are better than the Few, but] also judge better both works of music and works of the poets.' Socrates was of a different opinion (Diog. Laert. 2. 42: 3. 5), and Plato also (Rep.

493 A sqq.: Laws 670 B, γελοῦος γὰρ ὅ γε πολὺς ὅχλος ἡγούμενος ἱκανῶς γιγνώσκειν τό τε εὐάρμοστον καὶ εὕρυθμον καὶ μή, and 700 A-701 B). Aristotle here (speaking to some extent aporetically) echoes the compliments which it was the fashion for comic poets to shower on their audiences (Cratin. Inc. Fab. Fragm. 51: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 192),

χαῖρ', ὧ μέγ' ἀχρειόγελως ὅμιλε, ταῖς ἐπίβδαις, τῆς ἡμετέρας σοφίας κριτὴς ἄριστε πάντων.

- 10. ἀλλὰ τούτῳ κ.τ.λ., 'but it is just in this that men of complete excellence differ from each individual of the Many.' I follow Vict. Giph. Bern. and Sus. in my rendering of διαφέρουσιν. Sepulv. Lamb. and Welldon render it 'are superior to,' but the former rendering suits 16 sq. and 19 sq. better. Plato had claimed (Rep. 484 sqq.: compare the picture drawn of Theaetetus in Theaet. 144 A sq.) that there was an union of many great qualities in the philosophic nature, and Aristotle says the same thing of the σπουδαίος. For οἱ σπουδαίος τῶν ἀνδρῶν cp. Isocr. De Antid. § 316, τοῖς καλοῖς κὰγαθοῖς τῶν ἀνδρῶν.
- 11. ώσπερ κ.τ.λ., 'as indeed men say that beautiful persons differ from those who are not beautiful and pictures done by art from the original objects.' For other instances of the chiasmus which we note in ωσπερ, 11—αληθινών, 12, see note on 1277 a For τῶν ἀληθινῶν, cp. 5 (8). 5. 1340 a 19, τὰς ἀληθινὰς Sus.² (Note 566: Sus.⁴, r. p. 399) has already referred to the remark addressed by Socrates to Parrhasius in Xen. Mem. 3. 10. 2, and Vict. and Giph., followed by many others, to the mode in which Zeuxis obtained the ideal of womanly beauty which he depicted in his Helen. See Brunn, Geschichte der griech. Künstler 2. 80, 88 (referred to by Vahlen and Sus.), and Overbeck, Antiken Schriftquellen Nos. 1667-9, where Cic. De Invent. 2. 1. 3 is quoted, tum Crotoniatae publico de consilio virgines unum in locum conduxerunt et pictori, quam vellet, eligendi potestatem dederunt. Ille autem quinque delegit . . . Neque enim putavit omnia quae quaereret ad venustatem in corpore uno se reperire posse ideo quod nihil simplici in genere omnibus ex partibus perfectum natura expolivit.
- 13. ἐπεὶ κεχωρισμένων γε, 'since if we conceive them' (i. e. τὰ συνηγμένα εἰς εν) 'to be separated from each other.' Here, as often elsewhere (see above on 1254 b 34), ἐπεὶ . . . γε 'justifies what precedes by pointing out what would result if the contrary were

the case.' As to the genitive absolute κεχωρισμένων, see Bonitz on Metaph. A. 9. 990 b 14, νοείν τι φθαρέντος. 'Omissi in genitivis absolutis subjecti exempla ex Aristotele congessit Waitz ad Hermen. 10. 10 b 37, ex aliis scriptoribus Krüger, Gr. Gr. § 47. 4, 3. Usurpantur autem genitivi absoluti, cum per leges grammaticas videatur participium ad nomen quoddam ipsius enunciati primarii referendum fuisse (νοείν τι φθαρέντος idem quod νοείν τι φθαρέν), quo maiore vi participium, seiunctum illud ab enunciatione primaria, pronuncietur, cf. Matthiae, Gr. Gr. § 561, Krüger l. l. § 47. 4, 2. Exempla Aristotelica contulit Waitz ad An. Pr. 2. 4. 57 a 33.' Thus in the passage before us κεχωρισμένον might well have taken the place of κεγωρισμένων, and this reading is actually given by Γ and in a blundered form by Ms, but κεχωρισμένων is certainly right. Waitz on De Interp. 10. 19 b 37 compares among other passages Probl. 35. 4. 965 a 1, τὰ σώματα θιγγανόντων ψυχεινότερά ἐστι τοῦ θέρους ή τοῦ χειμῶνος: see also Bon. Ind. 149 b 37 sqq.

15. εἰ μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Μὲν οὖν here introduces a slight qualification of what has been said (see above on 1252 b 27 sqq. and 1253 a 10): it is answered by ἀλλά, 20. For περὶ πάντα δῆμον καὶ περὶ πᾶν πλῆθος, cp. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 12, ἐκ τοῦ δήμου καὶ τοῦ πλήθους, and other passages in which the two words are used in much the same sense, e. g. 8 (6). 1. 1317 a 24 sq. and 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 19 sq. The almost tautological repetition is for the sake of emphasis (see notes on 1323 b 29 and 1325 b 10). Aristotle probably remembers a remark of Socrates recorded in Diog. Laert. 2. 34, πρὸς τὸ οὐκ ἀξιόλογον πλῆθος ἔφασκε (Σωκράτης) ὅμοιον εἴ τις τετράδραχμον ἐν ἀποδοκιμάζων τὸν ἐκ τῶν τοιούτων σωρὸν ὡς δόκιμον ἀποδέχοιτο, and see vol. i, p. 256, note 1.

18. For νη Δία see above on 1281 a 14.

ένίων, sc. δήμων, and so ένιοι, 20.

δ γὰρ αὐτὸς κ.τ.λ., 'for [if we claimed that every kind of demos possesses this superiority over the Few Good,] the same argument would hold in the case of brutes also, [which is absurd:] and yet what difference is there, so to speak, between some kinds of demos and brutes?' Aristotle refers in ἔνωι especially to cases in which the demos is composed of βάννισοι ἀγοραῖοι and θῆτες, and is therefore of a servile type (cp. 1282 a 15, ἄν ἦ τὸ πλῆθος μὴ λίαν ἀνδραποδώδες, and 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 24 sqq.). The βάναισος and the θής have been ranked with slaves in c. 4. 1277 a 37 sqq., and the slave comes very near to the brute (1. 5. 1254 b 24 sqq.). The Many had been compared to brutes by Heraclitus (Fragm. 111, quoted above on

1281 a 40), by Plato (Rep. 496 C sq.), and by Aristotle himself (Eth. Nic. 1. 3. 1095 b 19 sq.).

- 21. διδ καὶ τὴν πρότερον εἰρημένην ἀπορίαν λύσειεν αν τις διά τούτων κ.τ.λ. The question referred to is that raised in c. 10. 1281 a 11, τί δεῖ τὸ κύριον εἶναι τῆς πόλεως. Isocrates had already declared for a similar solution of the question (Areopag. § 26, is di συντόμως είπειν, έκεινοι διεγνωκότες ήσαν ότι δεί τον μέν δήμον ώσπερ τύραννον καθιστάναι τὰς ἀρχὰς καὶ κολάζειν τοὺς εξαμαρτάνοντας καὶ κρίνειν περί των αμφισβητουμένων, τούς δε σχολήν αγειν δυναμένους και βίον ίκανδυ κεκτημένους επιμελείσθαι των κοινών, ώσπερ ολκέτας . . . καίτοι πώς αν τις ευροι ταύτης βεβαιοτέραν ή δικαιοτέραν δημοκρατίαν, της τους μέν δυνατωτάτους έπλ τὰς πράξεις καθιστάσης, αὐτῶν δὲ τούτων τὸν δῆμον κύριον ποιούσης;). Half the interest of the chapter before us lies in this, that in it Aristotle supports the views of Isocrates against those of his master Plato. There are no doubt some expressions in the passage just quoted of which Aristotle would not approve; he would also, it would seem, wish the magistracies to be in the hands of the Few Best rather than of οί σχολήν ἄγειν δυνάμενοι καὶ βίον iκανὸν κεκτημένοι, though this is not quite clear, for in 1282 a 31 sq. he connects the interests of 1282 a 26 with the possession of high property-qualifications.
- 24. δσοι μήτε πλούσιοι κ.τ.λ. For the omission of εἰσίν see Vahlen on Poet. 24. 1459 b 7, where Eth. Nic. 6. 13. 1144 b 5, καὶ γὰρ δίκαιοι καὶ σωφρονικοὶ καὶ ἀνδρεῖοι καὶ τᾶλλα ἔχομεν εὐθὺς ἐκ γενετῆς, is compared among other passages. For ἀξίωμα ἔχουσιν ἀρετῆς μηδέν, 'possess no ground of claim in respect of virtue,' cp. 2. 5. 1264 b 8 sqq. and Plut. De Adulatore et Amico, c. 33, μηδὲ ἔχων ἀρετῆς ὁμολογούμενον ἀξίωμα καὶ δόξης.
- 25. τὸ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. If we supply φήσειεν ἄν τις εἶναι with οὐκ ἀσφαλές from λύσειεν ἄν τις, 22, we shall thus be able to explain the infinitives ἀδικεῖν ἄν (which Sus., following Rassow, would alter into ἀδικεῖν ἀνάγκη) and άμαρτάνειν. Aristotle is still expressing the views which the imaginary τις of 22 might entertain. See notes on 1259 à 39 and 1280 a 27. Vahlen, Beiträge zu Aristot. Poet. 1. 51, explains these infinitives as 'dependent on the thought contained in what precedes,' and refers to Waitz on Hermen. 19 a 23. The strong language here used as to the ἀφροσύνη and ἀδικία of the Many as individuals recalls the language of the Persian Megabyzus in Hdt. 3. 81, δμίλου γὰρ ἀχρηίου οὐδέν ἐστι ἀξυνετώτερον οὐδὲ ὑβριστότερον, and that of Plato in Rep. 496 C sq., where μανία and ἀδικία are ascribed

to them; Aristotle himself, if he were expressing his own views, would perhaps use milder terms.

- 28. τὸ δὲ μὴ μεταδιδόναι μηδὲ μετέχειν φοβερόν. Supply ἀρχῆς with μεταδιδόναι and μετέχειν, and εἶναι with φοβερόν. For the risks attending the presence in a Greek State of many ἄτιμοι see [Xen.] Rep. Ath. 3. 12 sq. and Plut. Ages. c. 30. Cp. also 2. 12. 1274 a 17, μηδὲ γὰρ τούτου (i. e. τοῦ τὰς ἀρχὰς αἰρεῖσθαι καὶ εὐθύνειν) κύριος ὧν ὁ δῆμος δοῦλος ὧν εῖη καὶ πολέμιος, and 3. 15. 1286 b 18 sqq.
- 31. λείπεται δή κ.τ.λ. For το βουλεύεσθαι καὶ κρίνειν, CD. C. 1. 1275 b 18, ἀρχῆς βουλευτικῆς \hbar κριτικῆς, where κριτικῆς = δικαστικῆς, as appears from 1275 b 16, το βουλεύεσθαι και δικάζειν. Hence το κρίνειν in the passage before us probably means 'judging,' but as Aristotle is speaking of functions exercised by the whole demos gathered in one assembly, and not broken up into a number of dicasteries, he must refer to the judicial functions which fell to the popular assembly (6 (4). 14. 1298 a 3 sqq.). When the holders of magistracies are said in 6 (4). 15. 1299 a 25 sqq. βουλεύεσθαι περί τινών και κρίνειν καὶ ἐπιτάττειν, the word κρίνειν is used in a different sense. In saying that, if the ἐλεύθεροι are excluded from the greatest offices. the only remaining course is to give them rights of deliberating and judging, Aristotle forgets that it would be possible to admit them to minor offices, a course suggested by him under certain circumstances in 7 (5). 8. 1309 a 27 sqq. and 8 (6). 5. 1320 b II sqq.
- 32. Ιόλων. Cp. 2. 12. 1274 a 15-21, 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 7, and Plut. Solon, c. 18. As Solon gave the assembly no more power than this, it is difficult to understand why he took the trouble to institute a Boulê of 400 to aid it in the performance of these light duties. Aristotle points out in 7 (5). 6. 1305 b 30 sqq. the risks besetting oligarchies in which ούχ οὖτοι αίροῦνται τὰς ἀρχὰς ἐξ ὧν οἱ ἄρχοντές εἰσιν. Was not the Solonian constitution exposed to similar risks? Contrast with Solon's policy that of the founder or founders of the Lacedaemonian Ephorate; this great office was made accessible to all the citizens. It is possible that Solon legislated on this subject in intentional opposition to them. The passage before us reads as if Solon was the first to give the right of electing magistrates to τὸ πληθος τῶν πολιτῶν at Athens. If this is Aristotle's meaning, we must suppose that in 2. 12. 1273 b 41 sqq., where he says that Solon found the magistrates already appointed by election, he means that, though they were thus appointed before Solon's time,

they were not elected by the people. On the question whether Aristotle's statements as to Solon here and in 1282 a 25 sqq. and 2. 12. 1274 a 15 sqq. are reconcilable with 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 8, τὰς δ' ἀρχὰς ἐποίησε (sc. ὁ Σόλων) κληρωτὰς ἐκ προκρίτων, [ο]δε [ἐκάσ]τη προκρίνειε τῶν ψυλῶν προδκρινεν δ' εἰς τοὺς ἐννέα ἄρχοντας ἐκάστη δέκα, καὶ τού[τοις] ἐ[πεκ]λήρουν (οτ καὶ [ἐκ] τού[των ἐκλ]ήρουν), Gilbert (Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 136. 1) and Busolt (Gr. Gesch., ed. 2, 2. 275. 1) take opposite views, the former thinking that they are and the latter that they are not. To me the latter view seems to be the true one.

τῶν ἄλλων τινὸς νομοθετῶν. Hippodamus allowed the demos in his ideal State the right of electing the magistrates (2.8.1268 a 11), but (so Aristotle thinks) excluded the cultivators and artisans from the most important offices (1268 a 20 sqq.). In some oligarchies the demos, though excluded from office, had the right of electing the magistrates (7 (5).6.1305 b 30 sqq.).

τάττουσιν ἐπί τε τὰς ἀρχαιρεσίας κ.τ.λ. Cp. Isocr. Philip. § 151, ἐπὶ δὲ τὰς πράξεις σὲ τάττουσι, νομίζοντες τούτων μὲν σὲ κάλλιστ ἀν ἐπιστατῆσαι κ.τ.λ. (Liddell and Scott s. v. τάσσω ii. 1). Aristotle speaks here as if to give the Many the right of electing the magistrates was equivalent to giving them deliberative authority; he distinguishes the two things, however, in 8 (6). 4. 1318 b 23 sqq. As to ἐπί τε κ.τ.λ. see note on 1284 a 35.

34. κατὰ μόνας. See vol. i. p. 257, note 2, and cp. Plato, Polit. 292 B, κατὰ πρώτας. The expression probably includes magistracies administered by Boards in addition to those held by single individuals.

.35. For the order of the words in iκανην αΐσθησιν see note on 1275 a 32.

μιγνύμενοι τοῖς βελτίοσι, cp. 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 20, βουλεύσονται γὰρ βελτίον κοινἢ βουλευόμενοι πάντες, ὁ μὲν δῆμος μετὰ τῶν γνωρίμων, οὖτοι δὲ μετὰ τοῦ πλήθους. Dr. Arnold has already compared the passage before us with Thuc. 6. 18. 6, where Alcibiades says, καὶ νομίσατε νεότητα μὲν καὶ γῆρας ἄνευ ἀλλήλων μηδὲν δύνασθαι, όμοῦ δὲ τό τε φαῦλον καὶ τὸ μέσον καὶ τὸ πάνυ ἀκριβὲς ἄν ξυγκραθὲν μάλιστ' ἄν ἰσχύειν. See also above on 1276 b 37.

36. ἡ μὴ καθαρὰ τροφή. Food in a more or less raw state, standing in need of some further working-up to fit it for consumption, is termed 'impure food' in De Gen. An. 1. 20. 728 a 26, ἔστι γὰρ τὰ καταμήνια σπέρμα οὐ καθαρὸν ἀλλὰ δεόμενον ἐργασίας, ὥσπερ ἐν τῆ

περί τους καρπούς γενέσει, όταν ή μήπω διητημένη (διηττημένη Z, followed by Aubert and Wimmer, 'sifted through,' from διαττάω), ἔνεστι μέν ή τροφή, δείται δ' έργασίας πρός την κάθαρσιν' διό και μιγνυμένη έκείνη μέν τη γονή, αύτη δὲ καθαρά τροφή, ή μεν γεννά, ή δὲ τρέφει. Cp. De Gen. Απ. 1. 18.725 2 14, της μέν οὖν πρώτης τροφης περίττωμα φλέγμα καὶ εί τι άλλο τοιούτον και γάρ το φλέγμα της χρησίμου τροφής περίττωμά έστιν σημείον δ' ότι μιγνύμενον τροφή καθαρά τρέφει και πυνούσι καταναλίσκεται. In Athen. Deipn. 109 c a καθαρός άρτος, or 'loaf of pure meal,' is opposed to a συγκομιστός άρτος, or 'loaf of unbolted' (i. e. 'unsifted') 'meal, and in Hippocr. De Victus Ratione (vol. i. p. 673 Kühn) καθαρά άλευρα are opposed to συγκομιστά άλευρα. Aristotle evidently thinks that a large quantity of pure and impure food together is more nutritious than a smaller quantity of pure food. He was much interested in questions about diet (Plut. Alex. C. 8, δοκεί δέ μοι καὶ τὸ φιλιατρεῖν 'Αλεξάνδρφ προστρίψασθαι μᾶλλον έτέρων Αριστοτέλης, οὐ γάρ μόνον την θεωρίαν ηγάπησεν, άλλα και νοσούσιν έβοήθει τοις φίλοις και συνέταττε θεραπείας τινάς και διαίτας, ώς έκ τών έπιστολών λαβείν έστιν).

- 39. πρώτην μέν is taken up by ταύτην μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ., 1282 a 23, and answered by ἄλλη δ' ἐστὶν ἐχομένη ταύτης, 24. Compare with this ἀπορία the remark ascribed to Anacharsis in Plut. Solon, c. 5 sub fin., ἔφη δὲ κἀκεῖνο θαυμάζειν ὁ ἀνάχαρσις ἐκκλησία παραγενόμενος, ὅτι λέγουσι μὲν οἱ συφοὶ παρ' Ἑλλησι, κρίνουσι δὲ οἱ ἀμαθεῖς, and the argument ascribed to him in Sext. Empir. Adv. Math. 7. 55–59.
- 41. I have not traced elsewhere the construction ποιήσαι όγια τής νόσου τής παρούσης, though Liddell and Scott give ύγιασθείς τοῦ τραύματος from Anon. ap. Suid. s. v. ύγιασθείς.
- 42. οὖτος δ' ἐστὶν ἰατρός. M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ add δ before ἰατρός, but probably wrongly: see above on 1253 b 11 and cp. c. 4. 1277 b 15, αὖτη ἀρετὴ πολίτου, and 5 (8). 3. 1337 b 32. See also Bon. Ind. 546 a 51 sqq.

όμοίως δὲ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ. We must apparently supply ἔχει. For similar omissions of ἔχει see Bon. Ind. 306 a 16 sqq.

- 1. τὰς ἄλλας ἐμπειρίας καὶ τέχνας. See note on 1297 b 20. 1282 a. The two words are conjoined also in 1. 9. 1257 a 4 and 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 21.
- 8. ἐατρὸς δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and we give the name of physician to the executant, and to the man of directing skill, and thirdly to the man who is merely cultivated in the science.' For the contrast of δημιουργός and ἀρχιτεκτονικός, cp. Polyb. 8. 9. 2, 'Ιέρωνος μὲν χορηγοῦ

γεγονότος, ἀρχιτέκτονος δὲ καὶ δημιουργοῦ τῶν ἐπινοημάτων ᾿Αρχιμήδους. In 1. 3. 1253 b 38 the ἀρχιτέκτων is contrasted with the ὑπηρέτης and in Metaph. A. 1. 981 a 30 sqq. and b 31 sq. with the χειροτέχνης. As to ὁ πεπαιδευμένος περὶ τὴν τέχνην, Coray compares Plato, Protag. 312 B, οἵαπερ ἡ παρὰ τοῦ γραμματιστοῦ ἐγένετο καὶ κιθαριστοῦ καὶ παιδοτρίβου τούτων γὰρ σὰ ἐκάστην οὖκ ἐπὶ τέχνη ἔμαθες, ὡς δημιουργὸς ἐσόμενος, ἀλλ᾽ ἐπὶ παιδεία, ὡς τὸν ἰδιώτην καὶ τὸν ἐλεύθερον πρέπει, and Bonitz (Ind. 558 a 4), De Part. An. 1. 1. 639 a 1, περὶ πᾶσαν θεωρίαν τε καὶ μέθοδον, ὁμοίως ταπεινοτέραν τε καὶ τιμιωτέραν, δύο φαίνονται τρόποι τῆς ἔξεως εἶναι, ὧν τὴν μὲν ἐπιστήμην τοῦ πράγματος καλῶς ἔχει προσαγορεύειν, τὴν δ᾽ οἷον παιδείαν τινά πεπαιδευμένου γάρ ἐστι κατὰ τρόπον τὰ δύνασθαι κρίναι εὐστόχως τί καλῶς ἡ μὴ καλῶς ἀποδίδωσιν ὁ λέγων.

- 4. είσὶ γάρ κ.τ.λ. See critical note on 1282 a 5.
- 7. την αίρεσιν, 'the election' of magistrates and other masters of an art, as well as the review of their conduct.
- 8. καὶ γὰρ τὸ ἐλέσθαι ὁρθῶς κ.τ.λ., 'for choosing rightly also [no less than judging rightly] is the work of those who know the particular science or art.' The force of καί is here retained in καὶ γάρ. Compare the remarks of Cicero in Pro Plancio 3. 7 and 4. 9.
- 10. εί γάρ κ.τ.λ., 'for if in the case of some kinds of work and some arts some non-scientific persons also do share in the ability to make a good choice, they do not do so in a higher degree than the scientific.' Supply τοῦ ελέσθαι δρθῶς with μετέχουσι. Coray, followed by Bekk.2, would read of roi in place of of ri, but of ri seems to be right here: see Eucken, De Partic. Usu, p. 70, who remarks, 'hoc videtur praemittendum esse, očroi ita distare ab očri, ut illud sententiam restringi significet, cum rì ad où addito nihil aliud nisi particulae negantis vis prematur.' See also Bon. Ind. 539 b The passage before us was perhaps present to the memory of Dionysius of Halicarnassus in De Thucyd. iud. 4, οὐδὲ γὰρ τὰς ᾿Απελλοῦ καὶ Ζεύξιδος καὶ Πρωτογένους καὶ τῶν ἄλλων γραφέων των διωνομασμένων τέχνας οἱ μὴ τὰς αὐτὰς ἔχοντες ἐκείνοις ἀρετὰς κρίνειν κεκώλυνται οὐδε τὰ Φειδίου καὶ Πολυκλείτου καὶ Μύρωνος έργα οί μή τηλικοῦτοι δημιουργοί έω γάρ λέγειν, ὅτι πολλων ἔργων οὐχ ἤττων τοῦ τεχνίτου κριτής δ ίδιώτης.
- 15. &ν ἢ τὸ πλῆθος μὴ λίαν ἀνδραποδώδες. In a passage of the Laws (701 A) which Aristotle probably has before him here Plato had said that the θεατροκρατία which sprang up at Athens after the Persian War would have mattered less if the demos had consisted of ελεύθεροι ἄνδρες.

18. περὶ ἐνίων, sc. τεχνῶν. Aristotle would not say this of geometry, for instance.

μόνον ὁ ποιήσας. See critical note.

- 20. ἀλλὰ καὶ βέλτιον κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Rep. 601 D, οὐκοῦν ἀρετὴ καὶ κάλλος καὶ ὀρθότης ἐκάστου σκεύους καὶ ζώου καὶ πράξεως οὐ πρὸς ἄλλο τι ἢ τὴν χρείαν ἐστὶ πρὸς ἢν ἄν ἔκαστον ἢ πεποιημένον ἢ πεψικός; Οὔτω. Πολλὴ ἄρα ἀνάγκη τὸν χρώμενον ἐκάστω ἐμπειρότατόν τε εἶναι καὶ ἄγγελον γίγνεσθαι τῷ ποιητῆ οἶα ἀγαθὰ ἢ κακὰ ποιεῖ ἐν τῆ χρεία ῷ χρῆται οἴον αὐλητής που αὐλοποιῷ ἐξαγγελεῖ περὶ τῶν αὐλῶν οἱ ἄν ὑπηρετῶσιν ἐν τῷ αὐλεῖν, καὶ ἐπιτάξει οἴους δεῖ ποιεῖν ὁ δ' ὑπηρετήσει, and Cratyl. 390. Yet if the user is a better judge of the excellence of some articles than the maker, it does not follow that some users are not better judges than others.
- 22. καὶ θοίνην ὁ δαιτυμών ἀλλ' οὐχ ὁ μάγειρος. For the thought see vol. i. p. 258, note 1. Cp. also Alexis, Fragm. Λίνος (Meineke, Fragm. Com. Gr. 3. 444),

καὶ τῶν μὲν ὑποκριτῶν πολὺ

κράτιστός έστιν όψοποιός, ώς δοκεί τοις χρωμένοις, των δ' όψοποιών υποκριτής.

- 25. δοκεί γάρ κ.τ.λ. This probably refers to Plato, Laws 945 B sqq.: see vol. i. p. 258.
- 26. αὶ δ' εὔθυναι κ.τ.λ. Cp. 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 25, τὸ δικάζειν πάντας καὶ ἐκ πάντων καὶ περὶ πάντων ἢ περὶ τῶν πλείστων καὶ τῶν μεγίστων καὶ τῶν κυριωτάτων, οἶον περὶ εὐθυνῶν κ.τ.λ.
 - 27. ωσπερ είρηται, in 1281 b 32.
 - 28. As to τοις δήμοις and ή ἐκκλησία, see above on 1275 b 7.
- 29. καίτοι κ.τ.λ. introduces a proof that members of the assembly, etc., are φαίλοι (26). So much mixed up is the conception of φαυλότης and ἐπιείκεια with wealth and poverty. It is here implied that the Boulê is not one of αὶ μέγισται ἀρχαί, whereas in 8 (6). 8. 1322 a 30-b 17 it is grouped with the offices of stratêgus and euthynus and logistês and counted among the most important magistracies. Notwithstanding what is said here, a high property-qualification was sometimes required for membership of the assembly, and sometimes none at all (6 (4). 9. 1294 b 3 sq.). At Athens no one could be a member of the Boulê or the Heliaea till he was thirty years of age (Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., pp. 265, 392), but Aristotle would perhaps regard this as ἡ τυχοῦσα ἡλικία. We read of Solon in ᾿Αθ. Πολ. c. 7, τὰς μ[ἐν σὖ]ν ἀρχὰς ἀπένειμεν ἄρχειν ἐκ πεντακοσιομεδίμνων καὶ ἱππέων καὶ ζευγιτῶν, τοὺς

- eiνέα ἄρχοντας καὶ τοὺς ταμίας... τοῖς δὲ τὸ θητικὸν τελοῦσιν ἐκκλησίας καὶ δικαστηρίων μετέδωκε μόνον. Solon, in fact, required the ταμίαι τῆς 'Αθηνᾶς to be Pentacosiomedimni, and the law was the same in Aristotle's day, but it was no longer observed ('Αθ. Πολ. c. 47 init.: c. 7 sub fin.: c. 8. l. 7). It does not appear that there was any property-qualification for the office of stratêgus at Athens, for the stratêgi are said to be elected 'from all' (Gilbert, ibid. p. 230).
- 83. καὶ ταῦτ', i. e. the giving of greater powers to men possessed of a small property-qualification only and youthful in years than to men possessed of a high property-qualification, no less than the giving to unskilled persons of the right to elect magistrates and to review their conduct in office.
- 36. μόριον λοτι τούτων, 'is only a part of these.' For the suppression of 'only' cp. c. 9. 1281 a 9, c. 11. 1282 b 4, and c. 15. 1286 b 8, and see notes on 1336 b 26, 1340 a 34, and 1292 a 32.
- λέγω δὲ μόριον κ.τ.λ. This explanation seems unnecessary, but see above on 1277 b 37 and below on 1282 b 39. See also Vahlen on Poet. 13. 1453 a 4.
- 40. πάντων τούτων, i.e. the members of the demos, the Boulê, and the dicastery.
- τὸ τῶν καθ' ἔνα καὶ κατ' ὁλίγους κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 5. 1278 b 4, κύριος ἢ καθ' αὐτὸν ἢ μετ' ἄλλων τῆς τῶν κοινῶν ἐπιμελείας.
- 1282 b. 1. ἡ δὲ πρώτη λεχθεῖσα ἀπορία, i. e. the discussion on the ἀπορία raised in c. 10. 1281 a 11, τί δεῖ τὸ κύριον εἶναι τῆς πόλεως. This discussion has made it clear that the check of law is necessary to prevent the Many or the Few committing injustice, and that law must be just law if it is to do this.
 - 4. περὶ τούτων, 'only about those things' (see above on 1282 a 36). ἐξαδυνατοῦσιν, 'are wholly unable.'
 - 7. το πάλαι διαπορηθέν, i. e. τί δεί το κύριον είναι της πόλεως.
 - 8. ἀλλὰ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'but it must needs be also that as the constitutions [to which laws belong] are bad or good and just or unjust, so the laws also are the same—this, however, is clear that the laws must be adjusted to the constitution, [not the constitution to the laws]—but if this is so, it is evident that laws in accordance with the normal constitutions must necessarily be just and laws in accordance with the deviation-forms not just.' For the view that laws vary with constitutions, cp. Plato, Laws 714 B sq. Cp. also 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 13, πρὸς γὸρ τὰς πολιτείας τοὺς νόμους δεῖ τίθεσθαι καὶ τίθεσται πάντες, ἀλλ' οὐ τὰς πολιτείας πρὸς τοὺς

rόμους. Demosthenes insists on this also: see Hug, Studien aus dem classischen Alterthum, p. 79, where Demosth, c. Androt, c. 30 is referred to, άξιον τοίνυν, & άνδρες Αθηναίοι, και τον θέντα τον νόμον έξετάσαι Σόλωνα, και θεάσασθαι δσην πρόνοιαν εποιείτο εν απασιν οίς ετίθει νόμοις της πολιτείας, και όσφ περί τούτου μάλλον έσπούδαζεν ή περί τοῦ πράγματος αὐτοῦ οὖ τιθείη τὸν νόμον, and also Demosth. in Lept. cc. 105-100, where the variation of the laws respecting rewards under different constitutions is traced. Sus. has already referred to Isocr. Areopag. § 14, ταύτη (i. e. τῆ πολίτεία) καὶ τοὺς νόμους καὶ τούς ρήτορας και τούς ίδιώτας αναγκαιόν έστιν όμοιουσθαι, και πράττειν ούτως έκάστους οιανπερ αν ταύτην έχωσιν. Εί τοῦτο (ΙΙ), SC. φανερόν έστι.

14 sqq. Aristotle's inquiries have so far led him to the conclu- C. 12. sion that the true supreme authority is to be found in 'laws in accordance with the normal constitutions, and we expect him (see vol. i. p. 250) to go on and ask what laws are in accordance with the normal constitutions, but perhaps he feels that he has not yet sufficiently studied how normal or just constitutions should be organized, and that till he has done this he cannot decide what laws are in accordance with them. At all events, instead of asking this question, he makes a new start in the Twelfth Chapter and learns from a renewed inquiry into the nature of Political Justice, (1) that a just or normal constitution will recognize in its distribution of power all attributes which contribute to the being and well-being of the State, and not one of them only, and (2) that under given circumstances the conclusion at which he has arrived in favour of the supremacy of law does not hold good, and that Justice may require that the State shall be ruled not by law, but by the will of an Absolute King supreme over all law. To this extent then the conclusion reached at the end of c. 11 needs to be modified. In teaching that account ought to be taken of other things besides virtue in the award of political power, and that superiority in virtue alone, unless it is transcendent, gives no just claim to exclusive political supremacy, Aristotle differs from the language held by Plato in Laws 756 E-758 A, and especially 757 C, where we read of the nobler of the two kinds of ισότης (ή άληθεστάτη και άρίστη ισότης), τφ μεν γάρ μείζονι πλείω, τφ δ' έλάττονι σμικρότερα νέμει, μέτρια διδούσα πρός τὰν αὐτῶν Φύσιν έκατέρφ, καὶ δή καὶ τιμάς μείζοσι μεν πρός άρετην άεὶ μείζους, τοῦς δε τουναντίον έχουσιν άρετης τε και παιδείας το πρέπου έκατέροις απουέμει κατά λόγου έστι γάρ δή που καὶ τὸ πολιτικὸν ήμῶν ἀεὶ τοῦτ' αὐτό, τὸ δίκαιον. He probably has

also before him Rep. 540 D, σταν οἱ ὡς ἀληθῶς φιλόσοφοι δυνάσται, ἣ πλείους ἡ εἶς, ἐν πόλει γενόμενοι, τῶν μὲν νῦν τιμῶν καταφρονήσωσιν . . . τὸ ὀρθὸν περὶ πλείστου ποιησάμενοι καὶ τὰς ἀπὸ τούτου τιμάς, μέγιστον δὲ καὶ ἀναγκαιότατον τὸ δίκαιον, καὶ τούτφ δὴ ὑπηρετοῦντές τε καὶ αὕξοντες αὐτὸ διασκευωρήσωνται τὴν ἐαυτῶν πόλιν. Cp. Isocr. Archid. § 35.

'Επεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. Here begins a long string of protases introduced by ἐπεί, which lack an expressed apodosis to take them up: compare 1. 12. 1259 a 37 sqq. The virtual apodosis perhaps comes in 21, ποίων δ' Ισότης κ.τ.λ., unless we supply after τὸ κοινή συμφέρον 'we shall do well to inquire what the just is.' Compare Magn. Mor. 1. 1. 1182 b 1, αλλά μην η γε πολιτική βελτίστη δύναμις, ώστε τὸ τέλος αὐτῆς των είη ἀγαθόν. For ἀγαθόν (not τὸ ἀγαθόν) see Stallbaum on Plato, Hipp. Maj. 293 E. For μάλιστα see note on 1252 a 4. That τὸ πολιτικὸν ἀγαθόν is τὸ δίκαιον might be guessed from Pol. 2. 2. 1261 a 30, διόπερ τὸ ἴσον τὸ ἀντιπεπονθὸς σώζει τὰς πόλεις, taken with 1261 b 9, καίτοι τό γε εκάστου αγαθον σώζει εκαστον. As to ή πολιτική δύναμις, ' al μετά λόγου δυνάμεις idem fere sunt ac τέχναι et ἐπιστημαι, itaque saepe δύναμις vel coniungitur cum verbis τέχνη. ἐπιστήμη vel pro synonymo usurpatur' (Bon. Ind. 207 b 4 sqq.). The three terms are already used in conjunction by Isocrates in Panath. § 30, επειδή τὰς τέχνας καὶ τὰς επιστήμας καὶ τὰς δυνάμεις ἀποδοκιμάζω.

17. τοῦτο δ' ἐστὶ τὸ κοινῆ συμφέρον, 'and by the just I mean that which is for the common advantage.' Cp. 1. 9. 1257 a 19, ἐν μὲν οὖν τῆ πρώτη κοινωνία (τοῦτο δ' ἐστὶν οἰκία). Aristotle adds this remark because he has already explained in c. 6 that the common advantage is the end for which the State originally comes into being and the end of all normal constitutions: cp. Eth. Nic. 8. 11. 1160 a 11 sqq. and Rhet. 1. 6. 1362 b 27 sq.

18. δοκεί δὲ πᾶσιν ἴσον τι τὸ δίκαιον εἶναι. Cp. c. 9. 1280 a 11 (where see note). By ἴσον τι is probably meant ἴσον κατ' ἀναλογίαν: cp. 7 (5). 1. 1301 a 26, πάντων μὲν ὁμολογούντων τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ κατ' ἀναλογίαν ἴσον.

19. τοῖς κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν λόγοις, ἐν οἶς διώρισται περὶ τῶν ἡθικῶν. The reference appears to be to Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131a 9 sqq. Popular opinion is distinguished from 'philosophical inquiries' very much as in Eth. Eud. 1. 8. 1217 b 22, ἐπέσκεπται δὲ πολλοῖς περὶ αὐτοῦ τρόποις καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἐξωτερικοῖς λόγοις καὶ ἐν τοῖς κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν: cp. De Part. An. 1. 1. 642a 4, τῶν μὲν γὰρ δύο τρόπων οὐδέτερον οἶόν τε ὑπάρχειν, τῶν διωρισμένων ἐν τοῖς κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν. See Bon. Ind. 821a 18 sqq., and cp. Plato, Symp. 218 A, τῶν ἐν φιλοσοφία λόγων.

- 20. τὶ γὰρ καὶ τισὶ τὸ δίκαιον, 'for that which is just is a thing and has to do with persons,' or, in other words, justice involves an assignment of a thing to persons.
- 22. ἔχει γὰρ τοῦτ' ἀπορίαν·καὶ φιλοσοφίαν πολιτικήν, 'for this inquiry is productive of questions and of philosophical speculation on politics.' For ἔχει see above on 1268 b 24. It is a merit in inquiries to give rise to aporetic discussion: see above on 1275 b 34. Bonitz (Ind. 820 b 58 sqq.) compares Phys. 1. 2. 185 a 17, οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ περὶ φύσεως μὲν οῦ, φυσικὰς δὲ ἀπορίας συμβαίνει λέγειν αὐτοῖς, ἴσως ἔχει καλῶς ἐπὶ μικρὸν διαλεχθῆναι περὶ αὐτῶν· ἔχει γὰρ φιλοσοφίαν ἡ σκέψις, and Eth. Eud. 1. 1. 1214 a 12, ὅσα μὲν οὖν ἔχει φιλοσοφίαν μύνον θεωρητικήν, λεκτέον κατὰ τὸν ἐπιβάλλοντα καιρόν, ὅ τι περ οἰκεῖον ἦν τῆ μεθόδφ. Cp. also 6 (4). 15. 1299 a 30.
- 23. ἴσως γὰρ των φαίη τις κ.τ.λ. Aristotle perhaps has before him the discussion in Plato, Gorg. 490 B sqq. He may possibly have thought that Plato lent some countenance to the view criticized by him when he said of true Justice in Laws 757 C, τῷ μὲν γὰρ μείζονι πλείω, τῷ δ' ἐλάττονι σμικρότερα νέμει, μέτρια διδοῦσα πρὸς τὴν αὐτῶν φύσιν ἐκατέρω, yet it is likely that Plato's language in Rep. 454 C (esp. ἐκεῖνο τὸ εἶδος τῆς ἀλλοιώσεώς τε καὶ ὁμοιώσεως μόνον ἐφυλάττομεν τὸ πρὸς αὐτὰ τεῖνον τὰ ἐπιτηδεύματα) suggested to Aristotle the distinction between attributes contributing to the work to be done and others. See also below on 27.
- 24. νενεμήσθαι, not νέμεσθαι: cp. 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 16: 4 (7). 12. 1331 b 13: 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 16: 6 (4). 8. 1294 a 10. Compare also 1. 13. 1260 a 4, ὑφήγηται, and 3. 9. 1280 a 16, διήρηται (see note). Saepe quidem in physicis maxime metaphysicisque libris cum aliorum verborum tum huius ipsius ἀκολουθεῖν formae praeteriti ponuntur vix ut praeteriti temporis notionem persentias, velut ut huius quidem verbi exempla pauca ponam, ταύτη δ' ἡκολούθηκε (τῆ καρδία) καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν καλουμένων σπλάγχνων ἔκαστον ἐκ τῆς αὐτῆς γὰρ ὅλης συνεστάσιν, De Part. An. 2. 1. 647 a 34' (Vahlen on Poet 5. 1449 b 9).
- 25. μηδὲν διαφέροιεν ἀλλ' δμοιοι τυγχάνοιεν ὅντες. For this 'abundantia contraria copulandi,' see Vahlen on Poet. 1. 1447 a 17, who refers among other passages to Pol. 5 (8). 5. 1340 a 41, ἄλλως διατίθεσθαι καὶ μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχειν τρόπον.
- 26. τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ κατ' ἀξίαν. Καὶ τὸ κατ' ἀξίαν is added in explanation of τὸ δίκαιον (see note on 1257 b 7) and to show that the kind of τὸ δίκαιον referred to is that which rests on ἀξία, for

there is another kind of τὸ δίκαιον (8 (6). 2. 1317 b 3, καὶ γὰρ τὸ δίκαιον τὸ δημοτικὸν τὸ ἴσον ἔχειν ἐστὶ κατὰ ἀριθμὸν ἀλλὰ μὴ κατ' ἀξίαν). That this kind alone is truly just we see from 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 16, οὐκοῦν οὕτως ἀμφοῖν νενεμῆσθαι συμφέρει καὶ δίκαιον είναι. ἔχει γὰρ αὕτη ἡ διαίρεσις τὸ κατ' ἀξίαν.

27. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. In correcting this error (cp. 1283 a 11-14) Aristotle probably has before him a saying of Solon (Diod. 9. 2. 5, δ Σόλων ἡγεῖτο τοὺς μὲν πύκτας καὶ σταδιεῖς καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ἀθλητὰς μηδὲν ἀξιόλογον συμβάλλεσθαι ταῖς πόλεσι πρὸς σωτηρίαν, τοὺς δὲ φρονήσει καὶ ἀρετἢ διαφέροντας μόνους δύνασθαι τὰς πατρίδας ἐν τοῖς κινδύνοις διαφυλάττειν), a saying which Xenophanes virtually repeats in the well-known lines (Fragm. 2. Bergk),

οῦτε γὰρ εἰ πύκτης ἀγαθὸς λαοῖσι μετείη οῦτ' εἰ πενταθλεῖν, οῦτε παλαισμοσύνην, οὐδὲ μὲν εἰ ταχυτῆτι ποδῶν, τό πέρ ἐστι πρότιμον ρώμης ὅσσ' ἀνδρῶν ἔργ' ἐν ἀγῶνι πέλει, τοῦνεκεν ὰν δὴ μᾶλλον ἐν εὐνομίη πόλις εἴη΄ σμικρὸν δ' ἄν τι πόλει χάρμα γένοιτ' ἐπὶ τῷ, εἴ τις ἀεθλεύων νικῷ Πίσαο παρ' ὅχθας' οὐ γὰρ πιαίνει ταῦτα μυχοὺς πόλεως

(cp. Isocr. Paneg. § 1 sq.). Plato had lent some momentary countenance to the opposite view in Laws 744 B (see vol. i. p. 260, note 1), but he anticipates Aristotle in Laws 696 B, οὐ γὰρ δὴ δεῖ κατὰ πόλιν γε εἶναι τὰς τιμὰς ὑπερεχούσας, ὅτι τίς ἐστι πλούτφ διαφέρων, ἐπεὶ οὐδ' ὅτι ταχὺς ἡ καλὸς ἡ ἰσχυρὸς ἄνευ τινὸς ἀρετῆς οὐδ' ἀρετῆς ἡς ἄν σωφροσύνη ἀπῆ (where he perhaps remembers the saying of Solon and the lines of Xenophanes), except that Aristotle thinks that the rich man has a better claim to office than the swift or handsome or strong man. The Ethiopians were said to make the biggest and strongest man among them their king (Hdt. 3. 20: Pol. 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 4 sqq.) or else the handsomest (Athen. Deipn. 566 c: Nic. Damasc. Fragm. 142 in Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 3. 463), other barbarians honoured swiftness of foot in the same way (Nic. Damasc. Fragm. 138: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. ibid.), and Euripides had put in the mouth of one of his characters the lines (Fragm. 1035),

δστις κατ' Ισχύν πρώτος ωνομάζετο ή τόξα πάλλων ή μάχη δορός σθένων, τοῦτον τυραννείν τών κακιόνων έχρην.

Indeed, Aristotle himself speaks in 1. 5. 1254 b 34 sqq. and 4 (7).

14. 1332 b 16 sqq. as if a great physical superiority conferred a title to rule.

- 30. φανερον δ' ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἐπιστημῶν καὶ δυνάμεων. Cp. c. 13. $1284\ b$ 7, δήλον δὲ τοῦτο καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων τεχνῶν καὶ ἐπιστημῶν.
- 31. τῶν γὰρ ὁμοίων κ.τ.λ. Aristotle first takes the case in which the essential attribute (skill in flute-playing, in the illustrative parallel which he has chosen) is shared by several individuals in an equal degree, and he says that these individuals must be awarded flutes of equal excellence; extraneous qualifications like that of high birth must not be allowed to turn the scale in favour of any one of them (cp. 4 (7). 3. 1325 b 8, τὸ δὲ μὴ ἴσον τοῖς ἴσοις καὶ τὸ μὴ ὅμοιον τοῖς ὁμοίοις παρὰ φύσιν οὐδὲν δὲ τῶν παρὰ φύσιν καλόν). He next passes on (34 sqq.) to the case in which one individual possesses the essential attribute in a far higher degree than the rest, and as to this case he tells us that no inferiority of this individual in respect of higher but non-essential things must lead us to deny him the superior award of flutes which is his due.
- 35. ἔτι μάλλον αὐτό προαγαγούσιν, 'if we push it still further.' Cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 7. 1998 a 22, δόξειε δ' ἀν παντός εἶναι προαγαγεῖν καὶ διαρθρώσαι τὰ καλώς ἔχοντα τῷ περιγραφῷ: Eth. Eud. 2. 8. 1224 a 8, μικρὸν προαγαγόντες τὸν λόγον.
- 38. εἰκαὶ μεῖζον κ.τ.λ. How little respect was felt for the art of flute-playing, we see from 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 18 sqq.

έκαστον here takes the place of ἐκάτερον, as in Poet. 6. 1449 b 25 (see Vahlen's note on this passage: he says 'ἐκάστου hic ut alibi est pro ἐκατέρου' and refers to his Aristot. Aufsätze, 2. 50).

39. λέγω δὲ κ.τ.λ. For this really needless explanation see above on 1277 b 37 and 1282 a 36.

κατά τὴν ἀναλογίαν, 'if we compare the two ratios,' i. e. the ratio in which noble birth and beauty excel the art of flute-playing and the ratio in which the surpassing flute-player excels his fellows. Cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131 a 31, ἡ γὰρ ἀναλογία ἰσότης ἀστὶ λόγων καὶ ἐν τέτταρσω ἐλαχίστοις.

- 2. In place of τοῦ πλούτου we expect τοῦ κάλλους, but see below 1283 a. on 1323 b 35.
- 8. ἔτι κατά γε τοῦτον τὸν λόγον κ.τ.λ., i.e. the λόγος of the imagined opponent in 1282 b 23 sqq. Things that differ very much are not commensurable (Eth. Nic. 5. 8. 1133 b 18, τῆ μὲν οὖν αληθεία ἀδύνατον τὰ τοσοῦτον διαφέροντα σύμμετρα γενέσθαι, πρὸς δὲ τὴν χρείαν ἐνδέχεται ἰκανῶς, and 1133 a 19, διὸ πάντα συμβλητὰ δεῖ πως

είναι, ὧν ἐστὶν ἀλλαγή, where $\pi \omega s = \pi \rho \delta s$ τὴν χρείαν: Phys. 7. 4. 249 a 3 sqq.).

4. εί γάρ μάλλον τὸ τὶ μέγεθος. Montecatino (vol. iii. p. 101) translates, 'si magis imperiorum et principatus civitatis esse particeps debet,' etc., and so Sus.4, 'for if a given bodily stature [confers political privileges] more [than a certain amount of wealth or good birth].' These interpreters apparently supply δει μετέχειν τῶν ἀρχῶν, or something similar, with μᾶλλον (cp. 1282 b 23 sqq.). Stahr, on the other hand, translates, 'denn wenn eine bestimmte Körpergrösse für irgend etwas höheren Werth verliehe (confers a higher value for anything whatever),' and Bernays, 'denn wenn z. B. einem gewissen Maass von Körpergrösse im Vergleich zu Reichthum und freier Geburt irgend etwas in höherem Grade zukommt.' Prof. Ridgeway brackets μᾶλλον, and another critic would read ἐνάμιλλον in place of it, and Sus. mentions these suggestions, though he still retains μᾶλλον in his text. I am myself inclined to supply αγαθόν with μαλλον from the preceding sentence ('more a good'), and to translate, 'for if a given amount of size is more a good than a given amount of some other good. such as wealth or free birth].'

καὶ ὅλως ἄν κ.τ.λ., 'size would also generally' (i.e. apart from its amount) 'be capable of being matched against wealth and free birth.'

6. ωστ' εί κ.τ.λ., 'and so, if this man excels in size more than this man in virtue' (or in other words, if this man's amount of size is superior to this man's amount of virtue), 'and size generally' (i. e. apart from questions of amount) 'is superior in a higher degree than virtue, everything would be comparable [whatever its amount], for if such an amount is better than such an amount, such an amount will evidently be equal.' I have followed Sus. in bracketing μέγεθος, 8, which may have been repeated by mistake from the preceding line, though it is possible that instead of bracketing μέγεθος we should read ἀγαθόν in place of it. difficulty of retaining $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \theta o s$ arises from this, that, if we do so, we have to translate, ' for if such an amount of size is better than such an amount [of something else], such an amount will evidently be equal,' and it is doubtful whether we have any right to supply 'of something else.' Aristotle probably means by είη αν συμβλητά πάντα, 8, that all goods would be comparable, not everything, for this is all that his argument proves. For τοσόνδε γὰρ κ.τ.λ., cp. Phys. 7.

- 4. 248 a 11, εί δή έστι πασα (SC. κίνησις) συμβλητή και όμοταχες το έν ίσφ χρόνφ ίσον κινούμενον, έσται περιφερής τις ίση εὐθεία, και μείζων δή και έλάττων. Κρείττον in 9 must mean 'better.'
 - 9. ἐπεὶ δὲ τοῦτ' άδύνατον. See above on 3.
- 10. καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν πολιτικῶν, 'in the case of things political also,' no less than ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἐπιστημῶν καὶ δυνάμεων (1282 b 30). For τὰ πολιτικά, cp. 2. 6. 1266 a 11.
- 11. εἰ γὰρ...14. τὴν τιμήν. Here the fragment of Xenophanes quoted in part above on 1282 b 27 is especially present to Aristotle's mind. Οὐδέν is to be taken with δεῖ, as in Eth. Nic. 9. 10. 1170 b 27, οὐδὲν οὖν δεῖ αὐτῶν. Τὴν τιμήν, 'the honour which falls to them.'
 - 13. ή τούτων διαφορά, 'the superiority possessed by these men.'
- 14. ἀλλ' ἐξ ῶν κ.τ.λ. Ἐν τούτοις is 'in respect of these things': cp. Rhet. 2. 2. 1379 b 1, ἐπειδὰν γὰρ σφόδρα οἴωνται ὑπάρχειν (sc. αὐτοῖς) ἐν τούτοις ἐν οῖς σκώπτονται, οὐ φροντίζουσιν, and Poet. 2. 1448 a 16, ἐν αὐτῆ δὲ τῆ διαφορᾶ καὶ ἡ τραγφδία πρὸς τὴν κωμφδίαν διέστηκεν. See also Stallbaum on Plato, Gorg. 452 Ε, καίτοι ἐν ταύτη τῆ δυνάμες δοῦλον μὲν ἔξεις τὸν ἰατρόν, δοῦλον δὲ τὸν παιδοτρίβην. The πόλις is regarded by Aristotle as composed of wealth, free birth, nobility, culture, etc.: cp. 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 17, ἔστι δὲ πᾶσα πόλις ἔκ τε τοῦ ποιοῦ καὶ ποσοῦ· λέγω δὲ ποιὸν μὲν ἐλευθερίαν πλοῦτον παιδείαν εὐγένειαν, ποσὸν δὲ τὴν τοῦ πλήθους ὑπεροχήν.
 - 16. της τιμης, cp. την τιμήν, 14, which answers to των ἀρχών, 11.
- 17. δεῖ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., '[for free birth and wealth are things of which the πόλις is composed,] for 'etc. Cp. Eurip. Fragm. 21 (quoted above on 1276 b 37). In 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 33 Aristotle mentions τὸ ταῖς οὐσίαις λειτουργοῦν, ὁ καλοῦμεν εὐπόρους, as a necessary part of a State. It is true that in Crete the State defrayed the liturgies which were elsewhere borne by rich men (see above on 1272 a 17), and that this might have been made the general rule, but even then rich men would be needed to contribute to the eisphora. Aristotle says nothing about οἱ εὐγενεῖς, though he has mentioned them in 16, probably because he includes them under οἱ ἐλεύθεροι (cp. 33 sqq.).

τίμημα φέροντας, i.e. contributing to the State a rateable quota of property. Cp. 6 (4). 13. 1297 a 20, τοῖς ἔχουσι τίμημα, and for φέρειν, 2. 5. 1263 a 3, τοὺς δὲ καρποὺς εἰς τὸ κοινὸν φέροντας ἀναλίσκειν. For the contrast implied here between ol ἄποροι and οἱ τίμημα φέροντες, see note on 1279 b 19.

18. οὐ γὰρ ἄν εἴη κ.τ.λ. See above on 1276 b 37 and 1280 a 32. Is there a tacit reference here to the latter passage, in which it was

shown that there could not be a moles wholly composed of slaves? If so, we have something to add to the other evidence (see vol. i. Appendix C) that cc. 12 and 13 were placed where they stand by Aristotle.

19. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 24 sqq. (where military prowess and judicial virtue are again mentioned together) and 4 (7). 15. 1334 a 18 sqq. Tyrtaeus had long ago said (Fragm. 12. 15),

ξυνὸν δ' ἐσθλὸν τοῦτο πόληί τε παντί τε δήμφ, ὅστις ἀνὴρ διαβὰς ἐν προμάχοισι μένη νωλεμέως κ.τ.λ.,

and as to justice Protagoras had gone farther than Aristotle, for he makes it essential to the very existence of a State (Plato, Protag. 324 D sq., and 326 E, τούτου τοῦ πράγματος, τῆς ἀρετῆς, εἰ μέλλει πόλις εἶναι, οὐδένα δεῖ ἰδιωτεύειν).

21. πλην κ.τ.λ. For the contrast here drawn between είναι πόλιν and οἰκεῖσθαι καλῶς, cp. 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 6 sqq.

- 24. πρός μέντοι ζωήν αγαθήν ή παιδεία καὶ ή αρετή μάλιστα C. 13. δικαίως αν αμφισβητοίησαν. Ζωή αγαθή is taken as the standard in 1. 8. 1256 b 32, and said to be the end which the lawgiver should set before him in 4 (7). 2. 1325 a 7 sqq. Haidela and apern are here conjoined as in Plato, Laws 757 C, and in 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 29 and 6 (4). 11. 1295 a 26 sqq. Παιδεία, 'culture,' is connected with aristocracy in 6(4), 15, 1200 b 24 sq., where the offices in an aristocracy, which are usually said to be filled ἐκ τῶν ἀρίστων (3. 7. 1279 a 35), are said to be filled εκ πεπαιδευμένων. In 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 38 sqq. it is opposed to Baravoía and treated as a note of oligarchy (cp. 6 (4). 8. 1293 b 37). Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective, s.v. ἀμφισβητέω, notes the rare occurrence of ἀμφισβητοίησαν, adding however that ἀμφισβητοίην occurs in Plato, Euthyd. 296 E, and άμφισβητοῖεν in Menex. 242 E (see also Demosth. Procem. 46. p. 1453 for αμφισβητοίεν). 'Αμφισβήτησειεν is used in Pol. 4 (7). 1. 1323 a 24 and 5 (8). 1. 1337 a 12.
 - 26. καθάπερ είρηται καὶ πρότερον, in c. 9. 1281 a 4 sqq.
 - ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. This has been already said in substance in c. 9. 1280 a 21 sqq., and it is repeated in 7 (5). 1. 1301 a 25 sqq. For πάντων ἴσον ἔχειν, 'to have an equal share with others of everything,' cp. Eth. Eud. 7. 10. 1242 b 30, ἵνα ἵσον ἢ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἡ τῆς λειτουργίας, and Eurip. Phoeniss. 513 Bothe, 547 Dindorf,

σύ δ' οὐκ ἀνέξει δωμάτων ἔχων ἴσον;

Tàs rosaúras πολιτείαs, i.e. constitutions which give an equal amount of everything to those who are equal in one thing only, or which give an unequal amount of everything to those who are unequal in one thing only. The reason why such constitutions must necessarily be παρεκβάσεις is that they contravene τὸ ἀπλῶς δίκαιον (cp. c. 6. 1279 a 17 sqq.).

- 29. εἴρηται μὲν οὖν καὶ πρότερον κ.τ.λ. This was said in c. 9. 1280 a 9 sqq. Μὲν οὖν has nothing to answer to it. Aristotle's original intention probably was, after interposing an explanation of the grounds on which the different claimants base their claims, to continue, ἀλλὰ τοῦτο ἤδη σκεπτέον, εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιῷ πόλει, τίνας ἄρχειν δεῖ. In adding this explanation, however, he allows his attention to be diverted and the strict sequence of the passage to be broken (just as in 1. 12. 1259 a 37 sqq.), and thus it happens that μὲν οὖν has nothing to answer to it. Μὲν οὖν here, as elsewhere, introduces a more particular and detailed treatment of the subject.
- 30. ὅτι διαμφισβητοῦσι τρόπον τινὰ δικαίως πάντες, ἀπλῶς δ' οὐ πάντες δικαίως. For the repetition of πάντες, cp. 5 (8). 7. 1342 a 1, φανερὸν ὅτι χρηστέον μὲν πάσαις ταῖς ἀρμονίαις, οὐ τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον πάσαις χρηστέον.
- 31. οἱ πλούσιοι μὲν κ.τ.λ. In the passage 31-42 Aristotle bears in mind the rule which he has laid down in c. 12. 1283 a 14 sq. that claimants for political power must rest their claims on attributes entering into the composition of a State. The different claimants are represented as doing so. This is indicated by κοινόν (32), πρὸς τὰ συμβόλαια πιστοὶ μάλλον (32), πολίται μάλλον (34), οἴκοι τίμιος (36), βελτίους (36), and κοινωνικήν άρετήν (38). Κοινόν, 32, 'a public thing,' or in other words, one of the things which are essential to the State: cp. 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 5 sqq. Compare also Eth. Nic. 8. 16. 1163 b 5, ούτω δ' έχειν τούτο καὶ έν ταις πολιτείαις φαίνεται οὐ γάρ τιμάται ό μηδέν άγαθόν τῷ κοινῷ πορίζων τὸ κοινὸν γὰρ δίδοται τῷ τὸ κοινὸν εὐεργετοῦντι, ή τιμή δὲ κοινόν. It is implied in the passage before us that the rich will be owners of land, and this may have commonly been the case in Greece; still there were other forms of wealth besides wealth in land (2. 7. 1267 b 10 sqq.), and most of Nicias' wealth was in silver (Plut. Nic. c. 4).
- 32. ἔτι κ.τ.λ. So the Syracusan Athenagoras, though he was the leader of the demos, admits that the rich are the best custodians of money (Thuc. 6. 39): that this was a common view we see from such passages as Rhet. ad Alex. 9. 1429 a 34, τοὺς γὰρ πλείστους

čστιν ίδεῖν νομίζοντας τοὺς πλουτοῦντας δικαιστέρους εἶναι τῶν πενομένων, and Pol. 6 (4). 8. 1293 b 38 sqq. and 2. 11. 1273 a 21 sqq. (cp. also Fragm. Trag. Adesp. 92 Nauck). Aristotle does not agree with this view; he requires virtue in a custodian of money (7 (5). 9. 1309 b 6 sqq.).

33. οί δ' έλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς κ.τ.λ., 'and the free-born and noble claim as not being far from each other, inasmuch as [if the free-born claim on the strength of their citizenship, those who are better born are citizens in a higher degree than the low-born, and nobility is in every State locally prized; and again because it is likely that those descended from better ancestors will be better, seeing that nobility is excellence of race.' The ἐλεύθεροι and the εὐγενεῖς are classed together in 1283 b 16 as οἱ κατὰ γένος ἀξιοῦντες ἄρχειν: the εὐγενεῖς are in a superlative degree what the ελεύθεροι are in a positive degree (cp. 1283 b 19 sq.). In some places the word έλείθερος appears to have been used to designate the noble (6(4). 4. 1290 b 9 sqq.), none but οί διαφέροντες κατ' εὐγένειαν καὶ πρῶτοι κατασχόντες τὰς ἀποικίας being accounted ελεύθεροι. The well-born were citizens in a higher degree than the low-born, for they could reckon more generations of citizen descent, and this was with many a test of citizenship (c. 2. 1275 b 21 sqq.). The fact that nobility is $\pi a \rho$ έκάστοις οίκοι τίμιος is insisted on, because this shows it to be of importance to the πολιτική κοινωνία, and therefore a just ground of claim. Its champions might have gone further and urged that Greek nobility is recognized everywhere (1. 6. 1255 a 32 sqq.), but this would not have been equally to the point. The sophist Lycophron would not admit that nobility belonged to the class of τίμια καὶ σπουδαία (Aristot. Fragm. 82. 1490 a 9 sqq.). The fem. form rimos is used in the passage before us (possibly because it is followed by &re: see note on 1277 b 25): in De Part. An. 1. 5. 644 b 24 we have περί μεν έκείνας (SC. τας οὐσίας) τιμίας οὕσας καὶ θείας. For ετι διότι βελτίους είκὸς τοὺς έκ βελτιόνων, cp. Rhet. 1. g. 1367 b 29, οίον εθγένεια καὶ παιδεία εἰκὸς γὰρ ἐξ ἀγαθῶν ἀγαθοὺς καὶ τὸν οὕτω τραφέντα τοιούτον είναι. For the definition of εὐγένεια as άρετη γένους cp. Rhet. 2. 15. 1390 b 22, έστι δε εύγενες μεν κατά την του γένους αρετήν, γενναίον δέ κατά το μη εξίστασθαι της φύσεως. όπερ ώς επί το πολύ ου συμβαίνει τοις ευγενέσιν, άλλ' είσιν οι πολλοί ευτελείς φορά γάρ τίς έστιν έν τοις γένεσιν ανδρών ωσπερ έν τοις κατά τας χώρας γιγνομένοις, και ένίστε αν ή άγαθον το γένος, έγγίνονται διά τινος χρόνου ανδρες περιττοί, κάπειτα πάλιν araδίδωσιν ('deficit,' Bon. Ind. s. v. araδιδόναι): Hist. An. 1. 1. 488 b

18 sag.: Aristot. Fragm. 85. 1490 b 43, ή μέν εθγένειά έστιν άρετή νένους, ή δ' άρετή σπουδαίον σπουδαίον δ' έστι γένος έν ώ πολλοί σπουδαίοι πεφύκασιν έγγίνεσθαι. These passages show that aperit γένους means 'excellence of race' in the sense that the race to which the edyeries belongs has produced in the past a number of virtuous men (cp. Pol. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 33, \$\hat{\eta} \kar' idian aper\hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \kar\at{\eta} \gamma\eta\cots), so that the edvern's stands at any rate a better chance of being virtuous than one who is not evyewis. We must bear in mind that this definition of εὐγένεια is here placed in the mouth of οι ελεύθεροι και εὐγενείς, who would be likely to take the most favourable view of evyéveia. We see from Rhet. 1. 5. 1360 b 34 that edyevera did not, in the ordinary acceptation of the word, necessarily imply descent from ancestors remarkable for virtue; it might imply only descent from ancestors remarkable for wealth or other social advantages; nor did it necessarily imply a frequent occurrence in the family of virtuous individuals, but only of individuals distinguished in some way or other (ἐπιφανεῖς). Cp. Diog. Laert. 3. 88. Still the view that evyéveia is doeth yévous is not far from that of Aristotle. In the Rhetoric (2. 15. 1390 b 22 sqq.), as we have seen, it is distinctly adopted by him, though he holds that, owing to the occurrence from time to time of degeneracy in families, most · elyeveis are men of little worth. Compare the view taken in the fragments of the possibly genuine Hepl evyevelas (Aristot. Fragm. 82-85. 1490 a 1 sqq.). Here, however, we find (1490 a 31 sqq.) a reference to the contention that of έκ πάλαι πλουσίων may be εὐγενεῖς no less than οἱ ἐκ πάλαι ἀγαθῶν (cp. Julian, Or. 2. p. 81 B, φασί γὰρ οί πολλοί τους έκ πάλαι πλουσίων εὐγενεῖς), and in the Politics Aristotle seems to adopt as his own the doctrine that evyévera implies descent from ancestors not only virtuous but rich (6 (4). 8. 1294 2 21, ή γάρ εὐγένειά έστιν άρχαίος πλοῦτος καὶ άρετή: 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 3).

37. δμοίως δὴ κ.τ.λ., 'we shall say then that in a similar way virtue also prefers a just claim, for we say that justice, which is necessarily accompanied by all the other virtues, is virtue operative in social relations [and therefore essential to the State: so that virtue as a whole has as good a claim to recognition as justice].' I take the antecedent to \vec{y} to be τὴν δικαιοσύνην, ποι κοινωνικὴν ἀρετήν. For the omission of ἀρετάς after τὰς ἄλλας, cp. 1. 13. 1260 a 24: 3. 5. 1278 a 40. Aristotle introduces his own view with δή, just as he introduces it with οὖν in c. 3. 1276 a 13 sqq. For δμοίως, cp.

- 40. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Here, as Eaton points out, Aristotle has before him Plato, Gorg. 488 D.
 - 42. λαμβανομένων. Cp. c. 10. 1281 a 17, πάντων ληφθέντων.
- αρ' οὖν εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιᾳ πόλει κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Gorg. 490 B, ἐὰν ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἄμεν, ἄσπερ νῦν, πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι ἄνθρωποι κ.τ.λ. ᾿Αρ' οὖν is repeated in πότερον for the sake of clearness, the parenthetic sentence λέγω δὲ . . . πολιτικόν having intervened: compare the way in which δῆλον ὅτι takes up δῆλον ὡς in 1283 b 17 sqq. after an intervening hypothetical sentence.
- 1283 b. 2. οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς. The article is omitted before εὐγενεῖς because the rich and noble are classed together in contradistinction to the good: cp. 1283 a 33, οἱ ἐλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς.
 - ἔτι δὲ πλήθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν, 'and further outside their ranks a mass composed of citizens.' Πολιτικόν is added because there is such a thing as a non-citizen πλήθος (4 (7). 4. 1326 a 18, ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἴσως ὑπάρχειν καὶ δούλων ἀριθμὸν πολλῶν καὶ μετοίκων καὶ ξένων).
 - 4. καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων. Cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 27, καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων: 2. 6. 1265 b 29, κοινοτάτην τῶν ἄλλων πολιτείαν: 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 5, τὸ μέσον ἐκατέρου τιμήματος τούτων: Sallust, Bell. Iugurth. 19. 7, pleraque ex Punicis oppida, and 30. 4, unam ex tam multis orationem eius.

- 5. τοις γάρ κυρίοις διαφέρουσιν άλλήλων, 'for it is just in respect of the supreme authority they constitute that they differ from each other' (Bernays).
- 6. τῷ διὰ πλουσίων. For the omission of the article, cp. 6 (4).
 15. 1299 b 24, οἶον ἐν μὲν ταῖς ἀριστοκρατίαις ἐκ πεπαιδευμένων, ἐν δὲ ταῖς ἀλιγαρχίαις ἐκ τῶν πλουσίων, ἐν δὲ ταῖς δημοκρατίαις ἐκ τῶν ἐλευθέρων, and see note on 1310 a 6.
- 8. ἀλλ' ὅμως σκοποῦμεν, ὅταν περὶ τὸν αὐτὸν ταῦθ' ὁπάρχη χρόνον. These constitutions settle the matter in their own way, but still we persist in asking how it ought to be settled. Ταῦτα refers to οῖ τ' ἀγαθοὶ καὶ οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς, ἔτι δὲ πλῆθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν: for the gender, see above on 1263 a 1.
- 9. εἰ δη ... 13. ἐξ αὐτῶν. 'Well, if those who possess virtue are quite few in number, in what way should we determine the question? Or perhaps we should snot trouble about their number in itself, but] consider the expression "few" in relation to the work they have to do, [and ask] whether they are able to govern the State, or whether they are numerous enough to constitute a State?' Thurot (Études sur Aristote, p. 47) and Susemihl think that this paragraph should be transposed so as to precede el de ris corre, 1284 a 3, but it seems to me to be in its right place. The discussion of the question just raised is introduced by $\delta \hat{\eta}$, as often elsewhere (e.g. in c. 4. 1277 a 14-16 and c. 15. 1286 a 7 sqq.). Τίνα δεί διελείν τρόπον, 10, takes up πως διοριστέον, 9. Aristotle's first impulse is to challenge the claims of the good to rule on the score of the smallness of their number, as he has already done in c. 10. 1281 a 28 sqq. But he drops this ground of attack, probably because he feels that paucity is no bar to a claim to rule. Even a single individual may have a just claim to rule, if his virtue is transcendent. Hence he passes on in 13 sqq. to deal with another objection, the discussion of which brings out this fact. The claims of the good have a weak point which they share with those of the rich and noble. Just as the claims of the rich and noble to rule may be defeated by those of one man who is richer or nobler than all the rest, so the claims of the good may be defeated by those of one man who is better than all the rest. And the claims of the Many may be defeated in a similar way. If this superiority of One Man or of a Few not numerous enough to constitute a State is overwhelming, the fact that they are not numerous enough for

- 40. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Here, as Eaton points out, Aristotle has before him Plato, Gorg. 488 D.
 - 42. λαμβανομένων. Cp. c. 10. 1281 a 17, πάντων ληφθέντων.
- αρ' οὖν εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιᾳ πόλει κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Gorg. 490 B, ἐὰν ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἄμεν, ἄσπερ νῦν, πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι ἄνθρωποι κ.τ.λ. ᾿Αρ' οὖν is repeated in πότερον for the sake of clearness, the parenthetic sentence λέγω δὲ . . . πολιτικόν having intervened: compare the way in which δῆλον ὅτι takes up δῆλον ὡς in 1283 b 17 sqq. after an intervening hypothetical sentence.
- 1283 b. 2. οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς. The article is omitted before εὐγενεῖς because the rich and noble are classed together in contradistinction to the good: cp. 1283 a 33, οἱ ἐλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς.
 - ἔτι δὲ πλήθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν, 'and further outside their ranks a mass composed of citizens.' Πολιτικόν is added because there is such a thing as a non-citizen πλήθος (4 (7). 4. 1326 a 18, ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἴσως ὑπάρχειν καὶ δούλων ἀριθμὸν πολλῶν καὶ μετοίκων καὶ ξένων).
 - 4. καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων. Cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 27, καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων: 2. 6. 1265 b 29, κοινοτάτην τῶν ἄλλων πολιτείαν: 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 5, τὸ μέσον ἐκατέρου τιμήματος τούτων: Sallust, Bell. Iugurth. 19. 7, pleraque ex Punicis oppida, and 30. 4, unam ex tam multis orationem eius.

- τὰ πλήθη, as in 7 (5). 11. 1314 b 2 and Diod. 9. 24. 2, οὐ μὴν τὰ πλήθη κατεπλάγη αὐτοῦ τὴν βαρύτητα: so also in Plato, Gorg. 452 E and Soph. 268 B (Liddell and Scott).
 - 35. αθρόους, not εθρόον: cp. 1. 2. 1252 b 14, οίκος . . . οδε κ.τ.λ.
- διό καὶ πρὸς τὴν ἀπορίαν κ.τ.λ. Καί appears to mean that we are not only led to the conclusion stated in 27 sqq., but are enabled to solve an ἀπορία which is raised by some persons. Τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον, 'on this basis,' i. e. on the basis of a recognition of the claims both of the Better and of the Many. Who were the persons who raised this ἀπορία? It is difficult to say, though some approach is made to the question by the disputants in Plato, Gorg. 488 B sqq.: cp. also 483 B, ἀλλ', οἶμαι, οἱ τιθέμενοι τοὺς νόμους οἱ ἀσθενεῖς ἄνθρωποἱ εἰσι καὶ οἱ πολλοί πρὸς αὐτοὺς οὖν καὶ τὸ αὐτοῖς συμφέρον τοὺς τε νόμους τίθενται καὶ τοὺς ἐπαίνους ἐπαινοῦσι κ.τ.λ., and Laws 757 D. Andocides says in c. Alcib. c. 6, καίτοι ταῦτα διέγνωσται ἄριστα τῶν δογμάτων, ἄ καὶ τοῖς πολλοῖς καὶ τοῖς δλίγοις ἀρμόττοντα μάλιστα τυγχάνει καὶ πλείστους ἐπιθυμητὰς ἔχει.
- 38. τίθεσθαι. We expect rather τιθέναι (Harpocr. s. v. θέσθαι, ἐπὶ γὰρ τῶν νόμων λέγεται ὡς ἔθηκε μὲν ὁ νομοθέτης, ἔθετο δὲ ὁ δῆμος). So we have ἐτίθει νόμων in 2. 8. 1268 a 6 : cp. 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 36.
- 39. ὅταν συμβαίνη τὸ λεχθέν, i.e. when the Many taken collectively are better than the Few. The contrary case is dealt with in 1284 a 3, εἰ δέ τίς ἐστιν εἶς κ.τ.λ. Bern. and Sus. take ὅταν συμβαίνη τὸ λεχθέν with ἐνδέχεται ἀπαντᾶν, thus making the sentence ἀποροῦσι, 36 . . . πλειόνων, 39, parenthetical, but the length of the parenthesis by which on this view these words are parted from the words which they qualify makes against this interpretation, and it seems preferable to take them, as Bekker and others do, with ἀποροῦσι γάρ τινες κ.τ.λ.
- 40. τὸ δ' ὁρθὸν ληπτέον ἴσως, 'but [the advantage of neither is to be studied exclusively, for] we must determine that which is correct and normal in a fair and equal fashion.' For ληπτέον, cp. Eth. Nic. 2. 5. 1106 a 36, τὸ δὲ πρὸς ἡμᾶς οὐχ οὕτω ληπτέον. 'Omnino λαμβάνειν est animo concipere, ita quidem ut modo investigandi (Waitz ad Anal. Post. 1. 4. 73 a 24), modo inveniendi cognoscendi definiendi intelligendi vim habeat' (Bon. Ind. 422 b 38). "Ισως is used in the sense of 'equally' in 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 22, κληρωτοὺς ἴσως ἐκ τῶν μορίων.
- τὸ δ' ἴσως δρθὸν κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 7. 1279 a 31 sq. Plato had already said the same thing, as Giph. points out, p. 371: cp. Cic. De Offic.

- 40. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Here, as Eaton points out, Aristotle has before him Plato, Gorg. 488 D.
 - 42. λαμβανομένων. Cp. c. 10. 1281 a 17, πάντων ληφθέντων.
- αρ' οὖν εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιᾳ πόλει κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Gorg. 490 B, ἐὰν ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἄμεν, ἄσπερ νῦν, πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι ἄνθρωποι κ.τ.λ. ᾿Αρ' οὖν is repeated in πότερον for the sake of clearness, the parenthetic sentence λέγω δὲ . . . πολιτικόν having intervened: compare the way in which δῆλον ὅτι takes up δῆλον ὡς in 1283 b 17 sqq. after an intervening hypothetical sentence.
- 1283 b. 2. οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς. The article is omitted before εὐγενεῖς because the rich and noble are classed together in contradistinction to the good: cp. 1283 a 33, οἱ ἐλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς.
 - ἔτι δὲ πλήθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν, 'and further outside their ranks a mass composed of citizens.' Πολιτικόν is added because there is such a thing as a non-citizen πλήθος (4 (7). 4. 1326 a 18, ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἴσως ὑπάρχειν καὶ δούλων ἀριθμὸν πολλῶν καὶ μετοίκων καὶ ξένων).
 - 4. καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων. Cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 27, καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων: 2. 6. 1265 b 29, κοινοτάτην τῶν ἄλλων πολιτείαν: 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 5, τὸ μέσον ἐκατέρου τιμήματος τούτων: Sallust, Bell. Iugurth. 19. 7, pleraque ex Punicis oppida, and 30. 4, unam ex tam multis orationem eius.

the case of the State sketched in the Fourth and Fifth (old Seventh and Eighth) Books, then the State will consist of equals, and they may each of them be treated as part of it and subjected to law.

- 6. τὴν δύναμιν αὐτῶν τὴν πολιτικήν. Translate (with Bern. and Sus.) here and in 10, 'their political capacity,' and τῆ δυνάμει, 13, 'in capacity.' So Plut. Cic. c. 4, ἀνεκίνει τὴν πολιτικὴν δύναμιν. Sepulveda explains, 'facultatem civilem vocat quicquid opis in homine est quod faciat ad civilem societatem iuvandam tuendamque, sive administrandam.' Δύναμις πολιτική answers to δυνάμενος, 2, as ἀρετή answers to προαιρούμενος. For the distinction implied between virtue and political capacity, cp. 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 33 sqq., where virtue is distinguished from δύναμις τῶν ἔργων τῆς ἀρχῆς, and 4 (7). 3. 1325 b 10 sqq., where it is distinguished from δύναμις ἡ πρακτική τῶν ἀρίστων. Δυνάμει is used in a different sense, that of 'political influence,' in 20, and πολιτική δύναμις often bears this sense (e. g. in Eth. Nic. 1. 9. 1099 a 33 sqq. and Plato, Rep. 473 D), but not, I think, here.
- 8. οὐκέτι θετέον τούτους μέρος πόλεως, i.e. we must not treat them as mere fellow-citizens of the rest (cp. 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 20), and expect them to take their turn with the rest of ruling and being ruled. Men of this transcendent excellence stand to their inferiors as a whole stands to its part (3. 17. 1288 a 26 sqq.).
- 9. αδικήσονται γαρ αξιούμενοι των ίσων. Των ίσων refers to 1283 b 40, το δ' ορθον ληπτέον ίσως. 'Classic authorities always use the future middle αδικήσομαι as passive in place of αδικηθήσομαι' (Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective s.v.).
- 10. ἄσπερ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Θεὸν ἐν ἀνθρώποις stands in tacit contrast to θεὸν ἐν θεοῖς. A god among men is in a position of transcendent superiority not enjoyed by a god among gods. For the meaning of θεὸν ἐν ἀνθρώποις, cp. Plut. Alex. c. 51, "οὐ δοκοῦσιν," εἶπεν, "ὑμῖν οἱ Ἑλληνες ἐν τοῖς Μακεδόσιν ὥσπερ ἐν θηρίοις ἡμίθεοι περιπατεῖν;" Θεὸς ἐν ἀνθρώποις was a proverbial expression: cp. Theogn. 339,

χοῦτως ἄν δοκέσιμι μετ' ἀνθρώποις θεὸς εἶναι, εἶ μ' ἀποτισάμενον μοῖρα κίχοι θανάτου,

and (with Crusius, Untersuchungen zu den Mimiamben des Herondas, p. 3) Antiphanes, Τριταγωνιστής (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 121),

θεός εν ανθρώποισιν ην

έκείνος, είδως την άληθως μουσικήν.

See Crusius ibid. Cp. also Plato, Rep. 360 C. VOL. III. R

- 40. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Here, as Eaton points out, Aristotle has before him Plato, Gorg. 488 D.
 - 42. λαμβανομένων. Cp. c. 10. 1281 a 17, πάντων ληφθέντων.
- αρ' οὖν εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιᾳ πόλει κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Gorg. 490 B, ἐὰν ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἄμεν, ἄσπερ νῦν, πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι ἄνθρωποι κ.τ.λ. ᾿Αρ' οὖν is repeated in πότερον for the sake of clearness, the parenthetic sentence λέγω δὲ . . . πολιτικόν having intervened: compare the way in which δῆλον ὅτι takes up δῆλον ὡς in 1283 b 17 sqq. after an intervening hypothetical sentence.
- 1283 b. 2. οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς. The article is omitted before εὐγενεῖς because the rich and noble are classed together in contradistinction to the good: cp. 1283 a 33, οἱ ἐλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς.
 - ἔτι δὲ πλήθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν, 'and further outside their ranks a mass composed of citizens.' Πολιτικόν is added because there is such a thing as a non-citizen πλήθος (4 (7). 4. 1326 a 18, ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἴσως ὑπάρχειν καὶ δούλων ἀριθμὸν πολλῶν καὶ μετοίκων καὶ ξένων).
 - 4. καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων. Cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 27, καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων: 2. 6. 1265 b 29, κοινοτάτην τῶν ἄλλων πολιτείαν: 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 5, τὸ μέσον ἐκατέρου τιμήματος τούτων: Sallust, Bell. Iugurth. 19. 7, pleraque ex Punicis oppida, and 30. 4, unam ex tam multis orationem eius.

14. αὐτοὶ γάρ εἰσι νόμος. Cp. c. 17. 1288 a 2, οῦτε μὴ νόμων ὅντων, άλλ' αὐτὸν ὡς ὅντα νόμον. This is as much as to say that they are Absolute Kings. The Persian King was a law to the Persians (Plut. Artox. c. 23, χαίρειν εάσαντα δόξας Ελλήνων καὶ νόμους. Πέρσαις δε νόμον αὐτὸν ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ δικαιωτήν αἰσχρῶν καὶ καλῶν ἀποδεδειγuévov). This explains the exclamation of Anaxarchus to Alexander, when the latter had murdered Cleitus and was lying speechless from remorse, οὐτός ἐστιν 'Αλέξανδρος, είς δν ή οἰκουμένη νῦν ἀποβλέπει' ό δε ερριπται κλαίων ωσπερ ανδράποδον ανθρώπων νόμον και ψόγον δεδοικώς. οίς αὐτὸν προσήκει νόμον είναι καὶ όρον των δικαίων (Plut. Alex. c. 52). So Xenophon (Cyrop. 8. 1. 22) says of his Cyrus, τον δε δίγαθον δρχοντα βλέποντα νόμον ανθρώποις ενόμισεν, ότι και τάττειν Ικανός έστι και δράν τον άτακτοῦντα καὶ κολάζειν. It was claimed in Justinian's time that to the power of the Roman Emperor αὐτοὺς ὁ θεὸς τοὺς νόμους ὑποτέθεικε, νόμον αὐτὴν ἔμψυχον καταπέμψας ἀνθρώποις (Nov. 105. c. 4, quoted by Mommsen, Röm. Staatsrecht, 2. 713. 2, ed. 1).

15. λέγοιεν γὰρ ἃν κ.τ.λ. Coray remarks on this passage, "ἐντεῦ-θεν ὁ μῦθος, ἡ μᾶλλον τὸ μύθου λείψανον τοῦτο, προσετέθη τῆ Συναγωγῆ τῶν Αἰσωπείων μύθων (Μύθ. 347 ἐμῆς ἐκδόσεως)." See Fabulae Aesopicac, ed. Halm, Fab. 241. The lions asked the hares, 'Where are your claws and teeth?' (Camerarius, Interp. p. 132). Cp. 8 (6). 3. 1318 b 4, ἀεὶ γὰρ ζητοῦσι τὸ ἴσον καὶ τὸ δίκαιον οἱ ῆττους, οἱ δὲ κρατοῦντες οὐδὲν Φροντίζουσιν, and the words of Callicles in Plato, Gorg. 483 E, πλάττοντες τοὺς βελτίστους καὶ ἐρρωμενεστάτους ἡμῶν αὐτῶν, ἐκ νέων λαμβάνοντες, ὥσπερ λέοντας κατεπάδοντές τε καὶ γοητεύοντες, καταδουλούμεθα λέγοντες, ὡς τὸ ἴσον χρὴ ἔχειν καὶ τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ δίκαιον. Cp. also Philemon, Inc. Fab. Fragm. 3 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 32),

απαντες οἱ λέοντές εἰσιν ᾶλκιμοι, δειλοὶ πάλιν έξης πάντες εἰσὶν οἱ λαγοί.

Antisthenes may have related the fable here alluded to in his 'Cyrus, or on Kingship,' a work mentioned by Diogenes Lacrtius, 6. 16. Had he before him a version of the fable of the Lion and the Wild Ass (Babrius, Fab. 67) in which lions and hares joined in hunting and fell into a dispute as to the division of the spoil? Compare the fragment of the lyrical poet Cydias preserved by Plato, Charmides 155 D, ἐνόμισα σοφώτατον εἶναι τὸν Κυδίαν τὰ ἐρωτικά, ὁς εἶπεν ἐπὶ καλοῦ λέγων παιδός, ἄλλφ ὑποτιθέμενος, εὐλαβεῖσθαι μὴ κατέναντα λέοντος νεβρὸν ἐλθόντα μοῖραν αἰρεῖσθαι κρεῶν' αὐτὸς γάρ μοι ἐδόκουν ὑπὸ τοῦ τοιούτον θρέμματος ἐαλωκέναι. See Bergk on Cydias, Fragm. 1.

- 40. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Here, as Eaton points out, Aristotle has before him Plato, Gorg. 488 D.
 - 42. λαμβανομένων. Cp. c. 10. 1281 a 17, πάντων ληφθέντων.
- αρ' οὖν εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιᾳ πόλει κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Gorg. 490 B, ἐὰν ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἄμεν, ἄσπερ νῦν, πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι ἄνθρωποι κ.τ.λ. ᾿Αρ' οὖν is repeated in πότερον for the sake of clearness, the parenthetic sentence λέγω δὲ . . . πολιτικόν having intervened: compare the way in which δῆλον ὅτι takes up δῆλον ὡς in 1283 b 17 sqq. after an intervening hypothetical sentence.
- 1283 b. 2. οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς. The article is omitted before εὐγενεῖς because the rich and noble are classed together in contradistinction to the good: cp. 1283 a 33, οἱ ἐλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς.
 - ἔτι δὲ πλήθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν, 'and further outside their ranks a mass composed of citizens.' Πολιτικόν is added because there is such a thing as a non-citizen πλήθος (4 (7). 4. 1326 a 18, ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἴσως ὑπάρχειν καὶ δούλων ἀριθμὸν πολλῶν καὶ μετοίκων καὶ ξένων).
 - 4. καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων. Cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 27, καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων: 2. 6. 1265 b 29, κοινοτάτην τῶν ἄλλων πολιτείαν: 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 5, τὸ μέσον ἐκατέρου τιμήματος τούτων: Sallust, Bell. Iugurth. 19. 7, pleraque ex Punicis oppida, and 30. 4, unam ex tam multis orationem eius.

the State of any disproportionately powerful person (7 (5), 3, 1302 b 18 sqq.: 7 (5). 8. 1308 b 10-18)—with this end in view he would avoid creating great offices held for long terms (7 (5). 8. 1308 a 18 sqq., b 10 sqq.: cp. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 20 sqq.), and would seek to equalize property (2. 7. 1266 b 14 sqq.: 7 (5). 8. 1309 a 23 sqq.) and to increase the number of the moderately well-to-do (6 (4), 11. 1206 a 1-5)—but, if measures of this kind should fail of their object, he recommends (7 (5). 8. 1308 b 19) that any sentence of removal inflicted on disproportionately powerful men shall be a sentence of removal beyond the limits of the State, in other words he recommends something very like the ostracism. That both the petalism and the ostracism had the evil effect of discouraging the participation of the more distinguished citizens in political life, we see from Diod. 11. 87. 3 sqq. and from Plutarch's Life of Pericles (c. 7). If there is any truth in Plutarch's view that in choosing the side of the Many Pericles was influenced to some extent by a dread of the ostracism, the institution gave a decisive turn at that moment to the constitutional development of Athens.

19. autai yap bij k.t.a., 'for these, I suppose, are thought to pursue equality more than anything else': cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 34 sq. and 8 (6). 2. 1318 a 3 sqq. Aristotle says 'are thought,' because democracies pursue only one kind of equality, arithmetical equality, and lose sight of equality based on desert (7 (5). 1. 1301 b 29 sqq.). 'Even now one discovers a tendency in the United States, particularly in the West, to dislike, possibly to resent, any outward manifestation of social superiority. A man would be ill looked upon who should build a castle in a park, surround his pleasure-grounds with a high wall, and receive an exclusive society in gilded saloons' (Bryce, American Commonwealth, 3. 315).

20. διά πλοῦτον ή πολυφιλίαν ή τινα άλλην πολιτικήν Ισχύν. Cp. Soph. O. T. 540,

δρ' οὐχὶ μῶρόν ἐστι τοὐγχείρημά σου, ἄνευ τε πλήθους καὶ φίλων τυραννίδα θηρᾶν, δ πλήθει χρήμασίν θ' δλίσκεται;

Plato, Rep. 434 B, ἔπειτα ἐπαιρόμενος ἡ πλούτφ ἡ πλήθει ἡ Ισχύῖ ἡ ἄλλφ τφ τοιούτφ εἰς τὸ τοῦ πολεμικοῦ εἶδος ἐπιχειρῆ ἰέναι: Pol. 6 (4). I I. I 295 b I 3, οἱ μὲν ἐν ὑπεροχαῖς εὐτυχημάτων ὅντες, ἰσχύος καὶ πλούτου καὶ φίλων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν τοιούτων. That the possessor of these advantages was not unlikely to be ostracized, we see from what is said of Pericles in Plut. Pericl. c. 7, πλούτου δὲ καὶ γένους προσόντος αὐτῷ

- 40. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Here, as Eaton points out, Aristotle has before him Plato, Gorg. 488 D.
 - 42. λαμβανομένων. Cp. c. 10. 1281 a 17, πάντων ληφθέντων.
- αρ' οὖν εἰ πάντες εἶεν ἐν μιᾳ πόλει κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Gorg. 490 B, ἐὰν ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἄμεν, ἄσπερ νῦν, πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι ἄνθρωποι κ.τ.λ. ᾿Αρ' οὖν is repeated in πότερον for the sake of clearness, the parenthetic sentence λέγω δὲ . . . πολιτικόν having intervened: compare the way in which δῆλον ὅτι takes up δῆλον ὡς in 1283 b 17 sqq. after an intervening hypothetical sentence.
- 1283 b. 2. οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ εὐγενεῖς. The article is omitted before εὐγενεῖς because the rich and noble are classed together in contradistinction to the good: cp. 1283 a 33, οἱ ἐλεύθεροι καὶ εὐγενεῖς.
 - ἔτι δὲ πλήθος ἄλλο τι πολιτικόν, 'and further outside their ranks a mass composed of citizens.' Πολιτικόν is added because there is such a thing as a non-citizen πλήθος (4 (7). 4. 1326 a 18, ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἴσως ὑπάρχειν καὶ δούλων ἀριθμὸν πολλῶν καὶ μετοίκων καὶ ξένων).
 - 4. καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων. Cp. 4 (7). 9. 1328 b 27, καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων: 2. 6. 1265 b 29, κοινοτάτην τῶν ἄλλων πολιτείαν: 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 5, τὸ μέσον ἐκατέρου τιμήματος τούτων: Sallust, Bell. Iugurth. 19. 7, pleraque ex Punicis oppida, and 30. 4, unam ex tam multis orationem eius.

Apollonius describes as urging the start from Pagasae (i. 525) and warning the Argonauts to expiate the murder of Absyrtus by a visit to Circe (iv. 580 sqq.).'

- 23. διά τοιαύτην αίτίαν, 'for a similar reason': cp. 4 (7). 16. 1335 a 19 and 7 (5). 6. 1306 a 6 and b 17. Not τὴν τοιαύτην, as in 18.
- 26. διδ κ.τ.λ. Καὶ τοὺς ψέγοντας, 'among others those who blame.' In τοὺς ψέγοντας κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably refers to Sosicles the Corinthian and the speech which he is represented in Hdt. 5. 92 to have addressed to the representatives of the Lacedaemonians and their allies gathered in council, in which, while recounting the misdeeds of the tyrants of Corinth, he dwelt especially on the hint given by Thrasybulus tyrant of Miletus to Periander tyrant of Corinth to get rid of the men who overtopped the rest. Compare Eurip. Suppl. 433 Bothe, 447 Dindorf,

πῶς οὖν ἔτ' ἄν γένοιτ' ἄν ἰσχυρὰ πόλις, ὅταν τις, ὡς λειμῶνος ἢρινοῦ στάχυν, τόλμας ἀφαιρῆ κἀπολωτίζη νέους;

Herodotus, as has been said, makes Thrasybulus give the hint to Periander, whereas Aristotle here makes Periander give the hint to Thrasybulus, but any one who compares the two narratives will see that the story as told by Aristotle is a shortened version of that of Herodotus. How then are we to account for the inversion in it of the parts played by Periander and Thrasybulus? We have already noticed other instances in which a slight divergence from a narrative of Herodotus is observable (see above on 1262 a 19 and 1276 a 28), and the same thing occurs again in 4 (7). 2. 1324 b 17 sq. Aristotle's memory may have betrayed him, as it did in the mention of Hector in Eth. Nic. 3. 11. 1116 a 33 (see below on 1285 a 12) and of Calypso in Eth. Nic. 2. 9. 1109 a 31, where Hom. Odyss. 12. 219 is referred to, but, if this was so, the slip must have been something more than a mere momentary one, for it recurs in 7 (5). 10. 1311a 20. It is natural that Aristotle should credit Periander with the advice, for he believed that many of the traditional maxims of tyranny came originally from Periander (7 (5). 11. 1313 a 36). We notice that Herodotus, a Greek of Asia Minor, ascribes the famous hint to a tyrant of Miletus, while Aristotle, a Greek of Europe, ascribes it to a tyrant of Corinth. For the dative Θρασυβούλφ dependent on the substantive συμβουλίαν, cp. De Part. An. 2. 17. 660 a 35, καὶ χρώνται τῆ

- γλώττη καὶ πρὸς έρμηνείαν ἀλλήλοις, and see Bon. Ind. 166 a 61 sqq. In 7 (5). 10. 1311 a 20 we have τὸ Περιάνδρου πρὸς Θρασύβουλον συμβούλευμα.
- 28. τον πεμφθέντα κήρυκα περὶ τῆς συμβουλίας. For the order of these words, cp. 1284 b 8, τον ύπερβάλλοντα πόδα τῆς συμμετρίας, and c. 12. 1282 b 31, τῶν ὁμοίων αὐλητῶν τὴν τέχνην, and see Vahlen on Poet. 15. 1454 b 16, τὰς ἀκολουθούσας αἰσθήσεις τῆ ποιητικῆ.
- **30**. δμαλῦναι. Όμαλύνειν is a rare word, but it is used by Plato in Tim. 45 E.
- 35. καὶ περὶ τὰς δλιγαρχίας καὶ τὰς δημοκρατίας. In sentences of this kind the preposition is usually repeated in the Politics before the second substantive (e.g. in 1. 8. 1256 b 17 we have καὶ διὰ τὴν χρῆσιν καὶ διὰ τὴν τροφήν), and this is so whether 'both . . . and' is expressed by καὶ . . . καί or by τε . . . καί, but sometimes the preposition is not thus repeated (e.g. in the passage before us and in 38: in 2. 12. 1274 b 24: 3. 11. 1281 b 33: 4 (7). 7. 1328 a 20: 5 (8). 7. 1341 b 19: 7 (5). 10. 1311 a 29 and b 25 sq.: see also critical note on 1330 b 31). I have not noticed that the preposition is similarly omitted in the Politics when $\hat{\eta}$. . . $\hat{\eta}$, οὖτε . . . οὖτε (or μήτε . . . μήτε), εἶτε . . . εἶτε, or πότερον . . . $\hat{\eta}$ are used, except that in 3. 1. 1275 b 17 M⁸ P¹ omit the second περί in $\hat{\eta}$ περὶ πάντων $\hat{\eta}$ περὶ τινῶν.
 - 37. τρόπον τινά. See above on 1255 a 13.
- 38. οἱ κύριοι τῆς δυνάμεως. Cp. Aeschin. c. Timarch. c. 187, οἱ τῆς ψήφου νυνὶ γεγονότες κύριοι.
- 39. οδον 'Αθηναῖοι μὲν κ.τ.λ. It is clear from this that the Samians, Chians, and Lesbians were the most powerful States of the Athenian alliance. They had been the leaders in the transfer of the headship of the maritime league against Persia from the Lacedaemonians to Athens (Plut. Aristid. c. 23). Miletus was no longer their equal. We read in 'Αθ. Πολ. c. 24, πεισθέντες δὲ ταῦτα καὶ λαβόντες τὴν ἀρχὴν (οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι) τοῖς τε συμμάχοις δεσποτικωτέρως ἐχρῶντο πλὴν Χίων καὶ Λεσβίων καὶ Σαμίων τούτους δὲ ψύλακας εἶχον τῆς ἀρχῆς, ἐῶντες τάς τε πολιτείας παρ' αὐτοῖς καὶ ἄρχειν ὧν ἔτυχον ἄρχοντες. This remark is obviously not true of the period subsequent to the revolt and subjugation of Samos in B. c. 440, and it probably refers to the Athenian Confederacy in its earlier days before it was converted into an Empire. If we understand it thus, it is not inconsistent with the passage before us. But it is not easy to say what were the infractions of treaties to which Aristotle

alludes. He appears to refer to humiliations inflicted by Athens on Samos, Chios, and Lesbos at a comparatively early period of her ascendency, 'as soon as she had taken a firm grip of her rule.' He can hardly refer, therefore, to humiliations which followed the suppression of revolts, for Samos did not revolt till B. C. 440, nor Mytilene till B.c. 428, nor Chios till B.c. 412. Besides, he seems to be speaking not of humiliations brought about by disloyalty on the part of these States, but of humiliations prompted by Athenian jealousy of their greatness. Athens may have prohibited wars between one of these States and other members of her alliance (see the speech of Hermocrates in Thuc. 6. 76)—it was a prohibition of this kind that led to the revolt of Samos (Thuc. 1.115) -or demanded the removal of fortifications (compare the case of Chios in B. c. 425, Thuc. 4. 51), or meddled with their territory (as in the case of Thasos, Thuc. 1. 100). No doubt, the Myttlenean envoys in Thuc. 3. 9 sqq. (see Grote, Hist. of Greece, 6. 300), speaking in B. C. 428, do not charge Athens with any infractions of treaties; on the contrary, they speak of their State having been 'honoured' by Athens, and ascribe their revolt to fear of ultimate subjugation, not to actual wrongs inflicted on Lesbos in the past. Still Plutarch implies in Aristid. c. 25 (where he perhaps follows the same authority as Aristotle does in the passage before us, for he uses the words, υστερον δε των πραγμάτων άρχειν εγκρατέστερον, ώς ξοικεν, εκβιαζομένων, cp. Thuc. 1. 76. 1) that Athens was guilty of infractions of treaties in her relations with her dependent allies, and it is likely enough that Samos, Chios, and Lesbos did not escape. That a time did come when Athens changed her original easygoing headship into a firm imperial control, we see from Thuc. 6. 76 and Diod. 11. 70. Diodorus (who may here represent Ephorus, a witness likely to be favourable to the dependent allies, as he belonged to the Aeolic Cyme) dates the commencement of this change from the time when Athens became aware that the Lacedaemonians had abandoned all thought of attempting to regain the headship of the maritime league by war. This happened as early as B.C. 475, if Diodorus' chronology is to be trusted (Diod. 11. 50. 8).

41. δ δὲ Περσῶν βασιλεὺς κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably refers not only to severities inflicted by the Persians on the Medes, Babylonians, and others after the suppression of revolts (see as to Babylon Hdt. 1. 183 and 3. 159 and Arrian, Anab. 3. 16. 4 and

7. 17. 2), but also and more especially to unprovoked evidences of distrust like that mentioned in Diod. 11. 6. 3, τὴν δὲ δύναμιν ἀναλαβὼν (ὁ Ξέρξης) ἦκεν ἐπὶ τοὺς ἐν Θερμοπύλαις ελληνας προτάξας ἀπάντων τῶν ἐθνῶν Μήδους, εἴτε δι ἀνδρείαν προκρίνας αὐτοὺς εἴτε καὶ βουλόμενος ἄπαντας ἀπολέσαι ἐνῆν γὰρ ἔτι Φρόνημα τοῖς Μήδοις, τῆς τῶν προγόνων ἡγεμονίας οὐ πάλαι καταπεπονημένης: cp. Xen. Cyrop. 7. 5. 69, μισθὸν δὲ καὶ τούτοις (i. e. τοῖς Φρουροῖς) Βαβυλωνίους ἔταξεν (ὁ Κῦρος) παρέχειν, βουλόμενος αὐτοὺς ὡς ἀμηχανωτάτους εἶναι, ὅπως ὅτι ταπεινότατοι καὶ εὐκαθεκτότατοι εἶεν. Egypt is probably referred to in τῶν ἄλλων τοὺς πεφρονηματισμένους διὰ τὸ γενέσθαι ποτ ἐπ᾽ ἀρχῆς: cp. Diod. 17. 49, οἱ γὰρ Λἰγύπτιοι, τῶν Περσῶν ἢσεβηκότων εἶς τὰ ἱερὰ καὶ βιαίως ἀρχόντων, ἄσμενοι προσεδέξαντο τοὺς Μακεδόνας. Compare Plato's picture of Persian rule in Laws 697 D, which explains Alexander's triumph.

1284 b. 2. πεφρονηματισμένους. 'A word occurring in the Politics alone of Aristotle's writings, but not very uncommon there, is φρονηματίζεσθαι. It occurs later in Polybius and is certainly un-Attic. It is entirely absent from the 'Αθ. Πολ., where θαρρεῖν takes its place' (Kaibel, Stil und Text der Πολιτεία 'Αθηναίων des Aristoteles, p. 37).

ἐπέκοπτε. Ἐπικόπτω is a rare word; the passage before us is, so far as I know, the earliest prose passage in which it occurs. It is a technical term of arboriculture, to 'lop' or 'pollard' (Theophr. Caus. Plant. 5. 17. 3, περὶ δὲ τῆς ἐπικοπῆς καὶ τῆς κολούσεως ἐν ὀλίγοις ἡ σκέψις . . . καλοῦσι δ' ἐπικοπῆν, ὅταν ἀφαιρεθείσης τῆς κόμης ἐπικόψη τις τὸ ἄκρον), and its metaphorical meaning in the passage before us agrees pretty closely with that of ἐταπείνωσαν in 1284 a 41.

- 3. περὶ πάσας τὰς πολιτείας, καὶ τὰς ὁρθάς, 'with regard to all constitutions, even the normal ones.' Cp. De Gen. An. 4. 2. 767 a 32, καὶ ἐν πᾶσίν ἐστι τροφὴ τοῦτο (i. e. τὸ ὕδωρ), καὶ ἐν τοῖς ξηροῖς. We gather from 25 sqq. that the best constitution will expel, if necessary, men who at disproportionately superior in wealth or political influence, though not men disproportionately superior in virtue. As to the importance of συμμετρία in the members of a State, see 7 (5). 3. 1302 b 33 sqq.
- 4. μέν is answered by οὐ μὴν ἀλλά, as in c. 4. 1276 b 34, c. 6. 1278 b 19, and 4 (7). 1. 1323 a 39 sqq.
- 5. πρὸς τὸ ἴδιον ἀποσκοποῦσαι. The opposition between πρὸς τὸ ἴδιον ἀποσκοποῦσαι and τὰς τὸ κοινὸν ἀγαθὸν ἐπισκοπούσας will be noticed. The Index Aristotelicus gives no other instance of the use of ἀποσκοπεῖν by Aristotle. Ἐπισκοπεῖν is also rare in the sense in which it is used in 6. It is not perhaps necessary to supply

dyaθόν from the next line with τὸ ἴδιον: cp. Rhet. ad Alex. 30. 1437 a 36, καὶ γὰρ οὖτος ἔνεκά τινος ἰδίον δοκεῖ παρὰ τὸ ἔθος δημηγορεῖν (referred to by Bonitz, Ind. 339 a 22).

- 7. δήλον δὲ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 12. 1282 b 30. Τοῦτο, i.e. the fact that a part of a whole which is disproportionate to the whole to which it belongs is not tolerated.
- 8. οὖτε is here followed by οὖτε and οὖδὲ δή ('nor yet surely'). See above on 1272 b 38, and compare the somewhat similar sequence in c. 17. 1288 a 24 sqq. In the passage before us the change from οὖτε . . . οὖτε to οὐδὲ δή is enough to cause the future ἐάσει to take the place of the optative ἐάσειεν ἄν.
- 10. οὖτε ναυπηγός πρύμναν κ.τ.λ. We must apparently supply ἐάσειεν ἃν ὑπερβάλλειν τῆς συμμετρίας.
- 13. ὧστε διὰ τοῦτο μὲν κ.τ.λ. '[The teacher of a chorus does not fall out with his chorus because he excludes from it a disproportionately excellent singer, for his rule over his chorus is beneficial to it, as being exercised for the advantage of the ruled (c. 6. 1278 b 39 sqq.),] and thus, so far as this practice at any rate is concerned, there is no reason why monarchs should not be in harmony with the States they rule, if, when they resort to it, thèir rule is beneficial to their States.' For μέν solitarium see above on 1262 a 6 and 1270 a 34. Ταῖς πόλεσιν Ι take to mean 'the States ruled by them': cp. 33, ὧστε βασιλέας είναι τοὺς τοιούτους ἀιδίους ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν. For τῆς οἰκείας ἀρχῆς, cp. 21, and 7 (5). 11. 1314 b 26, τὰς οἰκείας γυναῖκας.
- 15. διδ κ.τ.λ., 'hence in relation to acknowledged superiorities the argument in favour of the ostracism is not without a certain element of political justice.' Διό introduces an inference from the fact that constitutions which aim at the common good and practitioners of the arts resort to measures akin to the ostracism. Whatever restores the symmetry of the constitution is in a certain degree just. Κατὰ τὰs ὁμολογουμένας ὑπεροχάς (cp. 26, οὐ κατὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἀγαθῶν τὴν ὑπεροχήν, οἶον ἰσχύος καὶ πλούτου καὶ πολυψιλίας, ἀλλ' ἄν τις γένηται διαφέρων κατ' ἀρετήν) is added to exclude the application of the ostracism to cases in which there is no acknowledged superiority (such, for instance, as that of Hyperbolus). For the phrase τὰς ὁμολογουμένας ὑπεροχάς cp. Plato, Rep. 569 B and Meno 96 B, and Isocr. Hel. § 12. Ὑπεροχάς is in the plural because there are more kinds of superiority than one (Bon. Ind. 793 a 40, ὑπεροχά, i.e. varia τῆς ὑπεροχῆς genera'); there is superiority in

virtue, in wealth, in command of friends, in birth, and so forth. For δ λόγος δ περὶ τὸν δοτρακισμόν, cp. c. 9. 1280 a 27.

- 17. βέλτιον μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ. 'True, it is better,' etc. The same thing is said in 7 (5). 3. 1302 b 18 sqq. For the means by which Aristotle would effect this, see above on 1284 a 17.
- 19. δεύτερος δὲ πλοῦς κ.τ.λ. On the proverb δεύτερος πλοῦς, see Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 359 and 2. 24, where the lines of Menander are quoted (Θρασυλέων, Fragm. 2, ap. Stob. Floril. 59. 9: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 139),

ό δεύτερος πλοῦς ἐστι δήπου λεγόμενος, ἄν ἀποτύχη τις οὐρίου, κώπαισι πλεῖν

(οὐρίου Grotius, πρῶτου, ἐν or simply ἐν MSS.: see Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 5. ccliii). *Αν συμβή, sc. τὸ δείσθαι τοιαύτης λατρείας. Διορθοῦν, sc. τὴν πολιτείαν.

- 20. ὅπερ οὖκ ἐγίγνετο περὶ τὰς πόλεις, 'which did not come about in connexion with the States' (see note on 1327 b 7), a softened way of saying 'which was not done by the States.' Greek States did not use the ostracism to heal a defect in the constitution.
- 22. τοῖς ὀστρακισμοῖς, plural in the sense of 'acts of ostracizing.' ἐν μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ., 'in the deviation-forms of constitution, then, that the practice of removing persons disproportionately superior is of advantage to each form severally and just according to their several views of justice, is evident, and perhaps this also is evident that it is not absolutely just.' It is not absolutely just, because it is resorted to in the interest of the holders of power, not in the common interest of all the citizens (4 sq.). Μὲν οὖν is answered by ἀλλά, 25.
- 26. οὐ κατὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἀγαθῶν τὴν ὑπεροχήν. Τῶν ἄλλων ἀγαθῶν is emphasized by being placed before τὴν ὑπεροχήν: cp. c. 14. 1285 b 18, τῶν πολεμικῶν τὴν ἡγεμονίαν, and 7 (5). 5. 1305 a 25, τῶν εὐπόρων τὰ κτήνη, and 34, τῶν μὲν οὖν δημοκρατιῶν αἰ μεταβολαί.
- **27**. lσχύος must mean 'political strength': cp. 1284 a 21, η τινα αλλην πολιτικήν lσχύν.
- 28. αν τις γένηται διαφέρων κατ' αρετήν. Cp. c. 17. 1288 a 16, συμβή διαφέροντα γενέσθαι κατ' αρετήν.
- οδ γὰρ δὴ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle no doubt remembers, as Vict. points out, Heraclitus' indignant censure of the Ephesians for their expulsion of Hermodorus (see vol. i. p. 263, note 2). Compare the language ascribed to the Persian King when Themistocles was driven from Greece to his court (Plut. Themist. c. 28, μακαρίσας δὲ

πρὸς τοὺς φίλους ἐαυτόν, ὡς ἐπ' εὐτυχία μεγίστη, καὶ κατευξάμενος ἀεὶ τοῖς πολεμίοις τοιαύτας φρένας διδόναι τὸν 'Αριμάνιον, ὅπως ἐλαύνωσι τοὺς ἀρίστους ἐξ ἐαυτῶν κ.τ.λ.). Athens was popularly credited with 'envy of the good,' as we see from the epitaph on Aeschylus in Anthol. Pal. 7. 40,

τίς φθόνος, αι αι, Θησείδας αναθών έγκοτος αι εν έχει;

Theseus was believed by some to have been ostracized at Athens (Theophr. Fragm. 131). A current proverb ascribed a similar jealousy to the Megarians—μηδέποτε μηδείς Μεγαρέων γένοιτο σοφώτερος (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2. 528). Observe that δ τοιοῦτος recurs four times in 28-34. This is probably intentional. As to repetitions of this kind see notes on 1331 b 18, 1317 b 5, 1307 a 14, and 1325 b 11, and compare the frequency with which τοῖς ήθεσιν οι τῶν ἡθῶν recurs in 5 (8). 5. 1340 a 28-39.

30. dhhd μην κ.τ.λ. 'Ahhd μην . . . ye, 'but certainly,' as else-Supply paier de deir. A question then arises as to the construction and punctuation of the sentence. Hampke (followed by Sus., though not without a good deal of hesitation) places παραπλήσιου γάρ καυ εί του Διώς αρχειν άξιοιεν in a parenthesis, and takes μερίζοντες τὰς ἀρχάς with ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδ ἄρχειν γε τοῦ τοιούτου (φαίεν αν δείν), but Susemihl doubts with some reason whether, if we adopt this view of the construction of the sentence, μερίζοντες should not be μερίζοντας. In any case perhaps the more natural course is (with Bernays) to take μερίζοντες τὰς ἀρχάς with what immediately precedes, i.e. with παραπλήσιον ... άξιοῖεν, and not with αλλά . . . τοιούτου. But then the further question arises, what is the meaning of μερίζοντες τὰς ἀρχάς? Some have fancied that there is a reference to the 'distribution of offices' by Zeus among the other gods, when he had won supremacy in heaven (cp. Hesiod, Theog. 881-5, 112, and Aesch. Prom. Vinct. 228 sqq.), and have translated the passage, 'for to do so would be much the same thing as if men were to claim to rule over Zeus, distributing the offices (as he did when he succeeded to power).' But it is not likely that this is the meaning of the words. A different interpretation is suggested by the passage in which the conclusion arrived at here is repeated, c. 17. 1288 a 24, oure yap kreiven f puyadeven ουδ' δστρακίζειν δή που τὸν τοιοῦτον πρέπον ἐστίν, οῦτ' ἀξιοῦν ἄρχεσθαι κατά μέρος οὐ γάρ πέφυκε τὸ μέρος ὑπερέχειν τοῦ παντός, τῷ δὲ τὴν τηλικαύτην υπερβολήν έχοντι τουτο συμβέβηκεν. ώστε λείπεται μόνον τὸ

virtue, in wealth, in command of friends, in birth, and so forth. For δ λόγος δ περὶ τὸν δοτρακισμόν, cp. c. 9. 1280 a 27.

- 17. βέλτιον μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ. 'True, it is better,' etc. The same thing is said in 7 (5). 3. 1302 b 18 sqq. For the means by which Aristotle would effect this, see above on 1284 a 17.
- 19. δεύτερος δὲ πλοῦς κ.τ.λ. On the proverb δεύτερος πλοῦς, see Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 359 and 2. 24, where the lines of Menander are quoted (Θρασυλέων, Fragm. 2, ap. Stob. Floril. 59. 9: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 139),

ό δεύτερος πλοῦς ἐστι δήπου λεγόμενος, ἄν ἀποτύχη τις οὐρίου, κώπαισι πλεῖν

(οὐρίου Grotius, πρῶτου, ἐν or simply ἐν MSS.: see Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 5. ccliii). *Αν συμβή, sc. τὸ δείσθαι τοιαύτης λατρείας. Διορθοῦν, sc. τὴν πολιτείαν.

- 20. ὅπερ οὖκ ἐγίγνετο περὶ τὰς πόλεις, 'which did not come about in connexion with the States' (see note on 1327 b 7), a softened way of saying 'which was not done by the States.' Greek States did not use the ostracism to heal a defect in the constitution.
- 22. τοῖς ὀστρακισμοῖς, plural in the sense of 'acts of ostracizing.' ἐν μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ., 'in the deviation-forms of constitution, then, that the practice of removing persons disproportionately superior is of advantage to each form severally and just according to their several views of justice, is evident, and perhaps this also is evident that it is not absolutely just.' It is not absolutely just, because it is resorted to in the interest of the holders of power, not in the common interest of all the citizens (4 sq.). Μὲν οὖν is answered by ἀλλά, 25.
- 26. οὐ κατὰ τῶν ἄλλων ἀγαθῶν τὴν ὑπεροχήν. Τῶν ἄλλων ἀγαθῶν is emphasized by being placed before τὴν ὑπεροχήν: cp. c. 14. 1285 b 18, τῶν πολεμικῶν τὴν ἡγεμονίαν, and 7 (5). 5. 1305 a 25, τῶν εὐπόρων τὰ κτήνη, and 34, τῶν μὲν οὖν δημοκρατιῶν αἰ μεταβολαί.
- **27**. lσχύος must mean 'political strength': cp. 1284 a 21, η τινα αλλην πολιτικήν lσχύν.
- 28. αν τις γένηται διαφέρων κατ' αρετήν. Cp. c. 17. 1288 a 16, συμβή διαφέροντα γενέσθαι κατ' αρετήν.
- οδ γὰρ δὴ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle no doubt remembers, as Vict. points out, Heraclitus' indignant censure of the Ephesians for their expulsion of Hermodorus (see vol. i. p. 263, note 2). Compare the language ascribed to the Persian King when Themistocles was driven from Greece to his court (Plut. Themist. c. 28, μακαρίσας δὲ

where we read, 'We all willingly yield the palm of sovereignty to your unrivalled ability and virtue, except the few among us who are either... or who do not know that nothing in the world is more pleasing to God, more agreeable to reason, more politically just, or more generally useful, than that the supreme power should be vested in the best and the wisest of men' (Prose Works, i. 288 Bohn)?

33. βασιλέας ἀιδίους. 'Forma accusativi pluralis plerumque βασιλεῖς, veluti 2. 9. 1271 a 26: 2. 11. 1272 b 37: 3. 14. 1285 a 26: 3. 15. 1286 b 11, sed βασιλέας legitur 3. 13. 1284 b 33' (Bon. Ind. 135 a 21 sqq.), and also in 4 (7). 14. 1332 b 24. Βασιλέας is the form found in Attic Inscriptions, though βασιλεῖς appears after B.c. 307 (Meisterhans, Gramm. d. att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 110). The acc. plur. of ἱερεύς in the Politics is ἱερεῖς and of ἱππεύς ἱππείς. For the meaning of ἀίδιος see above on 1271 a 40. Not mere temporary kings, like the βασιλεῖς mentioned in 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 29. but perpetual kings.

35 sqq. μεταβήναι, because a transition is now made from C. 14. a question affecting all constitutions to the study of a single constitution, Kingship. Aristotle had said in c. 7, 1279 a 23 sqq. that he would discuss the normal constitutions first, and Kingship is a normal constitution. The inquiries of the Twelfth and Thirteenth Chapters have had reference to the question of Justice, but now Aristotle asks whether Kingship is expedient, not whether it is just, the two questions, however, do not lie far apart, and when he at length arrives at the end of the inquiry in c. 17, 1287 b 36 sqq., we find that an answer is given to both of them (1287 b 30, δίκαιον καὶ συμφέρον: 1288 α 1, οῦτε συμφέρον οῦτε δίκαιον: 1288 α 18, δίκαιον: 1288 a 30, πότερον οὐ συμφέρει ταις πόλεσιν ή συμφέρει). The question of the expediency of Kingship cannot be discussed until the various kinds of Kingship have been distinguished, for it may well be that one and the same answer will not hold good of all. Besides, Aristotle is not sorry to seize the opportunity which his classification of Kingships affords him of describing the various forms of Kingship and of correcting the error of those who regarded the Lacedaemonian Kingship as Kingship in a truer sense than any other Kingship according to law (1285 a 3 sqq.); for he holds that the Lacedaemonian Kingship is, in fact, the least of those according to It is the expediency of the Absolute Kingship, however, that he really wishes to discuss. In his account of this form Aristotle

probably has the Persian Kingship before him (see notes on 12842 14 and 1287 a 1). Socrates had described Kingship as always according to law (Xen. Mem. 4. 6. 12, βασιλείαν δε και τυραννίδα άρχας μεν αμφοτέρας ήγειτο είναι, διαφέρειν δε αλλήλων ενόμιζε την μέν γορ έκοντων τε των ανθρώπων και κατά νόμους των πόλεων αρχήν βασιλείαν ήγειτο κ.τ.λ.). Aristotle takes a different view. Just as in the classification of democracies and oligarchies in the Sixth (Fourth) Book forms in which law is supreme are marked off from those in which it is not, so here in the classification of Kingships the same is the case; but while the democracies and oligarchies in which law is not supreme are the worst, the form of Kingship in which law is not supreme is the best and highest. Aristotle's classification of Kingships would have been simplified, if he had first divided them into Kingships according to law and not according to law, and had then subdivided the class of Kingships according to law. His study of Kingship would probably have been fuller and more complete if he had not studied Kingship according to law on the way, as it were, to an examination of the question as to Absolute Kingship. We are grateful to him for studying barbarian Kingship as well as Greek, for in the case of other constitutions he is silent as to the non-Greek world, if we except his notice of the Carthaginian apiorosparia, and not entirely for want of material, for non-monarchical constitutions appear to have existed, for instance, in Lycia (see Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt, 2. 185); but his treatment of barbarian Kingship is cursory in the extreme. makes no pretence of being exhaustive, for the kind of barbarian Kingship which Aristotle selects for notice is said by him to exist only among 'some of the barbarians' (c. 14. 1285 a 17). It should also be noted that in the inquiry respecting the downfall and the preservation of Kingship and Tyranny contained in the Seventh (Fifth) Book no notice is taken of the distinction drawn in the Third between different kinds of Kingship, or of that drawn in the Sixth (Fourth) between different kinds of Tyranny. So again in 7 (5). 10. 1313 a 10 Aristotle evidently implies the existence of Kingships not κατὰ γένος, but it is not easy to say to what Kingships he there refers (see note on 1313 a 10). One remark may be added. Aristotle classes under the head of Barileia dignities to which we should not allow the name of Kingship. The Aesymneteship. for instance, might be held for only a few months or years, yet it is treated by Aristotle as a form of Barileia. It is so because it is

exercised over willing subjects and is invested with large powers, for these are the two characteristics of βασιλεία (7 (5). 10. 1313 a 5). Βασιλεία may or may not be according to law, may or may not be κυρία πάντων (c. 14. 1285 a 4, b 29), may or may not be elective, may or may not be hereditary, may even be for a less term than life, but these two characteristics are always found in connexion with it.

37. σκεπτέον δὲ πότερον κ.τ.λ. Compare the question raised in 2. 1. 1261 2 2, άλλα πότερον οσων ένδέχεται κοινωνήσαι, πάντων βέλτιον κοινωνείν την μελλουσαν οἰκήσεσθαι πόλιν καλώς, ή τινών μέν τινών δέ οὐ βέλτιον; Τη μελλούση καλώς οἰκήσεσθαι καὶ πόλει καὶ χώρα stands in opposition to τισὶ μὲν συμφέρει τισὶ δ' οὐ συμφέρει, therefore it must mean 'broadly to any city and country which is to be well-We have been told in the preceding constituted politically.' chapter that in some cases the best constitution must assume the form of a perpetual Kingship, but that does not preclude the raising of the question whether Kingship is advantageous to any political community which desires to be well-constituted or only to Aristotle's readiness to consider the question whether Kingship is expedient or not would be little in harmony with popular opinion in Greece, which was no doubt unfavourable to the institution: cp. Demosth. Philip. 2. 25, βασιλεύε γάρ καὶ τύραννος άπας έχθρὸς έλευθερία καὶ νόμοις έναντίος. Even Isocrates, though he praises Kingship in his Nicocles and Ad Nicoclemhe could hardly do otherwise in works written for a King-speaks of it in his Oration to Philip (§ 107) as little suited to Greeks, though indispensable to barbarians, and allows in his Nicocles (§ 24) that the Lacedaemonians and Carthaginians reserve it for use in war and are oligarchically governed at home. Xenophon's praises of Kingship in his Cyropaedeia refer, at any rate nominally, to a Persian King. Plato, however, had been bolder in his Republic and Politicus, for he certainly has Greeks in view when he advocates in those dialogues Kingship of the most thoroughgoing kind. See on this subject vol. i. p. 277.

38. καὶ πόλει καὶ χώρα. Χώρα probably here means not 'a territory occupied by villages,' as in Strabo, p. 336, σχεδὸν δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους τόπους τοὺς κατὰ Πελοπόννησον πλὴν ὀλίγων, οῦς κατέλεξεν ὁ ποιητής, οὺ πόλεις ἀλλὰ χώρας νομίζειν δεῖ, συστήματὰ δήμων ἔχουσαν ἐκάστην πλείω, ἐξ ὧν ὕστερον αὶ γνωριζόμεναι πόλεις συνωκίσθησαν, but 'a territory occupied by an ἔθνος οτ ἔθνη,' as in Xen. Anab. 4. 8. 22, VOL. III.

probably has the Persian Kingship before him (see notes on 12842 14 and 1287 a 1). Socrates had described Kingship as always according to law (Xen. Mem. 4. 6. 12, βασιλείαν δε και τυραννίδα άρχας μεν αμφοτέρας ήγειτο είναι, διαφέρειν δε αλλήλων ενόμιζε την μέν γορ έκοντων τε των ανθρώπων και κατά νόμους των πόλεων αρχήν βασιλείαν ήγειτο κ.τ.λ.). Aristotle takes a different view. Just as in the classification of democracies and oligarchies in the Sixth (Fourth) Book forms in which law is supreme are marked off from those in which it is not, so here in the classification of Kingships the same is the case; but while the democracies and oligarchies in which law is not supreme are the worst, the form of Kingship in which law is not supreme is the best and highest. Aristotle's classification of Kingships would have been simplified, if he had first divided them into Kingships according to law and not according to law, and had then subdivided the class of Kingships according to law. His study of Kingship would probably have been fuller and more complete if he had not studied Kingship according to law on the way, as it were, to an examination of the question as to Absolute Kingship. We are grateful to him for studying barbarian Kingship as well as Greek, for in the case of other constitutions he is silent as to the non-Greek world, if we except his notice of the Carthaginian apiorosparia, and not entirely for want of material, for non-monarchical constitutions appear to have existed, for instance, in Lycia (see Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt, 2. 185); but his treatment of barbarian Kingship is cursory in the extreme. makes no pretence of being exhaustive, for the kind of barbarian Kingship which Aristotle selects for notice is said by him to exist only among 'some of the barbarians' (c. 14. 1285 a 17). It should also be noted that in the inquiry respecting the downfall and the preservation of Kingship and Tyranny contained in the Seventh (Fifth) Book no notice is taken of the distinction drawn in the Third between different kinds of Kingship, or of that drawn in the Sixth (Fourth) between different kinds of Tyranny. So again in 7 (5). 10. 1313 a 10 Aristotle evidently implies the existence of Kingships not κατὰ γένος, but it is not easy to say to what Kingships he there refers (see note on 1313 a 10). One remark may be added. Aristotle classes under the head of Barileia dignities to which we should not allow the name of Kingship. The Aesymneteship. for instance, might be held for only a few months or years, yet it is treated by Aristotle as a form of Barileia. It is so because it is

we read in c. 17. 1288 a 9. In Philip. § 33, Λακεδαιμόνιοι δὲ τοῖε ἀπ' ἐκείνου γεγονόσι καὶ τὴν βασιλείαν καὶ τὴν ἡγεμονίαν εἰε ἄπαντα τὸν χρόνον δεδώκασι, Isocrates appears to distinguish between the Kingship and the ἡγεμονία. According to Hdt. 6. 56 the Lacedaemonian Kings had the right to determine against whom war should be made (πόλεμον ἐκφέρειν ἐπ' ἡν διν βούλωνται χώρην), and Gilbert holds (Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 46) that there are some indications that this right remained to them even in Xenophon's time (Xen. Hell. 5. 1. 34: 2. 2. 7: 4. 7. 1), but Xenophon implies in Rep. Lac. 15. 2, καὶ στρατιὰν ὅποι διν ἡ πόλις ἐκπέμπη, ἡγεῖσθαι, that the State and not the Kings possessed it.

- 6. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. Τὰ πρὸς τοὺς θεούς (cp. 1285 b 23) answers to τὰ πρὸς τὸν πόλεμον. Something more is meant by this phrase than the offering of sacrifices to the gods, sacrifices such as the Kings of the heroic times offered (1285 b 10: cp. 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 26 sqq.), and it probably includes the right of the Lacedaemonian Kings to name the officers called Pythii, through whom the Delphic oracle was consulted (Hdt. 6. 57. 2), and to have the custody of oracles (6. 57. 4). We learn from Xen. Rep. Lac. 15. 1, 7θηκε γὰρ θύειν μεν βασιλέα πρὸ τῆς πόλεως τὰ δημόσια ἄπαντα, ὡς ἀπὸ θεοῦ отта, why it fell to the Lacedaemonian King to sacrifice. It was natural that the same authorities should be charged with matters relating to the gods and to war, for success in war was held to be given by the gods. In his account of the prerogatives of the Lacedaemonian Kings Aristotle omits to notice their share in deliberative authority as members of the senate, and also the judicial authority which, as we know from Hdt. 6. 57, they possessed in a particular class of cases (this had perhaps been narrowed: see above on 1270 a 21).
- 7. αὖτη μὲν οὖν ἡ βασιλεία κ.τ.λ. Μὲν οὖν is taken up by ἐν μὲν οὖν τοῦτ' εἶδος βασιλείας, 14, and then at length finds a δέ to answer to it in παρὰ ταύτην δέ, 16. Αὖτη ἡ βασιλεία (cp. 14) means 'this kind of Kingship,' as αὖτη ἡ δικαιοσύνη in Eth. Nic. 5. 3. 1129 b 25 means 'this kind of justice,' for the Lacedaemonian Kingship is not the only Kingship included in the class, as we see from 15, τούτων δ' αὶ μὲν κατὰ γένος εἰσίν, αὶ δ' αἰρεταί. It appears, in fact, from 10 sqq. that the Kingship held by Agamemnon as leader of the Greek forces before Troy is included in it, a Kingship which we must not confuse with his Kingship of Mycenae, for his Kingship of Mycenae belongs to the fourth class of Kingships, αὶ κατὰ τοὺς

ποωϊκούς χρόνους βασιλείαι (1285 b 4 sqq.). Aristotle may well have also referred to this class the Kingship, or Leadership, of Greece which was held for a time by Agesilaus and for life by Philip and Alexander of Macedon. Compare Plut. Ages. c. 40, where Agesilaus is said to have been regarded until the defeat at Leuctra as σχεδον όλης της Έλλάδος ήγεμων και βασιλεύς, with Ages. c. 6, where we read that in a dream which Agesilaus had at Aulis before embarking for Asia, he heard a voice addressing him thus. δ βασιλεῦ Λακεδαιμονίων, ὅτι μεν οὐδεὶς τῆς Ἑλλάδος ὁμοῦ συμπάσης ἀπεδείχθη στρατηγός ή πρότερου 'Αγαμέμνων καὶ σὸ νῦν μετ' ἐκεῖνον, ἐννοεῖς δήπουθεν, and with Isocr. Panath. § 76. At a later time Philip of Macedon was elected by the Congress at Corinth ἡγεμών (or στρατηγός) αὐτοκράτωρ της Έλλάδος (Diod. 16. 1, 89, 91: Arrian, Anab. 7. 9. 5: cp. Demosth. De Cor. c. 201 and Justin, 9. 4, and see Schäfer, Demosthenes, 3. 1. 51. 3), and on his death his son Alexander was elected by the Congress to the same dignity (Diod. 17. 4. 9: Arrian, Anab. 1. 1. 2: Schäfer, Demosthenes, 3. 1. 90. 1). Thus the office of ήγεμών, or στρατηγός, αὐτοκράτωρ της Έλλάδος in the hands of Philip and Alexander was an elective office and tenable apparently for life. Long before the time of Agesilaus and Philip, Gelon had asked to be appointed στρατηγός τε καὶ ἡγεμῶν τῶν Ἑλλήνων πρὸς τὸν βάρβαρον (Hdt. 7. 158). Aristotle can hardly intend to include the Carthaginian Kingship under this form of Kingship, for in 2. 11. 1273 a 30 he distinguishes between the offices of King and General at Carthage. He would seem to omit the Carthaginian Kingship from his enumeration, for it cannot fall under the head of the barbarian Kingship, though the Carthaginians were non-Greeks, inasmuch as its authority was by no means of a 'despotic' type. Would such an office as that of the rayo's of the Thessalians be classed by Aristotle under this form of Kingship?

στρατηγία τις αὐτοκρατόρων καὶ ἀίδιος. 'Αίδιος is explained by διὰ βίου in 15. Α στρατηγὸς αὐτοκράτωρ καὶ ἀίδιος evidently had not the power of life and death. Vict. would read αὐτοκράτωρ in place of αὐτοκρατόρων, partly because the rendering of Vet. Int. is 'imperialis,' and Schneider and Sus., adopting his suggestion, place αὐτοκράτωρ in their text (see critical note on 1285 a 8). But, though στρατηγὸς αὐτοκράτωρ is a recognized title, I do not remember to have met with στρατηγία αὐτοκράτωρ. With στρατηγία τις αὐτοκρατόρων may be compared 7 (5). 7. 1307 b 18, δυναστείαν τῶν ἐπιχειρησάντων νεωτερίζειν. Α στρατηγὸς αὐτοκράτωρ differed from

an ordinary στρατηγός in having authority to deal with many questions for himself as to which an ordinary στρατηγός would have to consult the popular assembly or other supreme authority: cp. Polyb. 3. 87. 7, δ δε δικτάτωρ ταύτην έχει την διαφοράν των υπάτων των μεν γαρ υπάτων έκατέρφ δώδεκα πελέκεις ακολουθούσι, τούτω δ' είκοσι και τέτταρες, κάκεινοι μεν έν πολλοίς προσδέονται της συγκλήτου πρός το συντελείν τας επιβολάς, ούτος δ' έστιν αυτοκράτωρ στρατηγός. So in Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 37 A. § 4, ouraγωγης δε τωλ λογιστων ή βουλή αυτοκράτωρ έστω, the word αυτοκράτωρ is explained by Mr. Hicks to mean 'not bound to consult the popular assembly.' Cp. also Thuc. 6. 26 and 5. 27. It was the practice of Greek States to create στρατηγοί αὐτοκράτορες to deal with crises, just as the Romans created a dictator, but the στρατηγὸς αὐτοκράτωρ had not, like the dictator, the power of life and death. and more στρατηγοί than one could be declared αὐτοκράτορες, whereas the dictatorship was always confided to a single individual, though on one or two occasions we find two dictators in existence at the same time (Mommsen, Röm. Staatsrecht, 2. 131, ed. 1). Dionysius of Halicarnassus, in fact, identifies the Roman dictator, not with the στρατηγός αὐτοκράτωρ, but with the αἰσυμνήτης (Ant. Rom. 5. 73). Still the position of sole στρατηγός αὐτοκράτωρ was often the first step to a tyranny in the hands of men like Phalaris (Rhet. 2. 20. 1393 b 10 sqq.: cp. Pol. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 28), Aristodemus of Cumae (Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 7.8), and Dionysius the Elder (Diod. 13. 94.6).

8. εί μὴ ἔν τινι βασιλεία κ.τ.λ., 'except in a particular Kingship, as for instance [in the Kingship existing] in the time of the ancients, on warlike expeditions by right of force.' 'Ev xeipòs νόμφ is probably to be rendered 'manuum jure' (Lamb. 'lege ea quae est in manibus et armis posita'). It is opposed to èv biens νόμφ (Liddell and Scott s. v. νόμος). Where a person is slain by an exercise of the right which superior force confers, he is said to be slain ἐν χειρὸς νόμφ, whether he is slain by his adversary on the battlefield or, as in the passage before us, by his King for cowardice in presence of the enemy. Eaton compares Thuc. 3. 66, οθε μέν έν χερσίν ἀπεκτείνατε, οὐχ ὁμοίως ἀλγοῦμεν (κατὰ νόμον γὰρ δή τινα έπασχου): compare also the word χειροδίκαι in Hes. Op. et Dies, 189 ('men who use the right of might'). Bernays translates in xeipis νόμφ 'als standrechtliches Verfahren' ('by process of martial law'), and Mr. Welldon follows him, but the use of the word χειροδίκαι does not support this translation. In the kind of Kingship of

202

which Aristotle is speaking the King had, I conceive, the right to put to death with his own hand any of his warriors who showed cowardice on a warlike expedition; he had not the right to put any of them to death by judicial process. Sus. renders év xeios νόμω 'im Handgemenge' ('in the mélée'), but I much prefer the interpretation given above. How would the King be able to slav one of his own men while himself engaged in a hand-to-hand fight with the foe? For έν τινι βασιλεία, cp. c. 5. 1278 a 17, έν τινι πολιτεία, and 1278 a 28, έν τισι δημοκρατίαις. Sus.3: 'έν τινι Βασιλεία seclusit Gifanius, βασιλεία eodem tempore secluserunt Bernaysius et Susemihlius.' The suggestion of Bern, and Sus, is not without plausibility, but I do not think that any change is called for. Aristotle's meaning is that the class of Kingship of which the Lacedaemonian Kingship is the type does not possess, as a class, the power of life and death, but that particular Kingships falling under the class do possess it, and he gives an instance of this. It is doubtful whether, as the emendation of Bern, and Sus, would imply, the Lacedaemonian King possessed the right to put a Spartan citizen to death 'in a certain case'; we expect also to be told what case is referred to, but the only case mentioned is that of of doxaso. The Lacedaemonian King does not appear to have possessed the power which Kings of this type possessed ἐπὶ τῶν άρχαίων; the punishment provided by the Lacedaemonian law for οι τρέσαντες seems, on the contrary, to have been a severe form of ατιμία (Plut. Ages. c. 30).

11. κακῶς μὲν ἀκούων κ.τ.λ. 'For instance, in Il. 1. 225 sqq.' (Sus.², Note 618: Sus.⁴, 1. p. 422). See also Il. 2. 224 sqq.

12. ἐξελθόντων δὲ καὶ κτεῖναι κύριος ἢν. For ἐξελθόντων see above on 1281 b 4, 13. Καὶ κτεῖναι, not merely not to tolerate, but even to put to death.

λέγει γοῦν κ.τ.λ. The quotation is from Il. 2. 391, where Agamemnon says,

"όν δέ κ' έγων ἀπάνευθε μάχης έθελοντα νοήσω μιμνάξειν παρά νηυσὶ κορωνίσιν, οῦ οὶ ἔπειτα ἄρκιον ἐσσεῖται φυγέειν κύνας ἠδ' οὶωνούς." ώς ἔφατ' 'Αργεῖοι δὲ κ.τ.λ.

In Eth. Nic. 3. 11. 1116 a 32 these threats are ascribed to Hector, for there we read, ἀναγκάζουσι γὰρ οἱ κύριοι, ὥσπερ ὁ Ἦκτωρ

δυ δέ κ' εγών απάνευθε μάχης πτώσσοντα νοήσω, οῦ οἱ ἄρκιον εσσείται φυγέειν κύνας.

Aristotle probably remembered the similar threats placed by Homer in the mouth of Hector in Il. 15. 348 (cp. Il. 12. 248 sqq.),

δν δ' αν εγών απάνευθε νεών ετέρωθι νοήσω, αὐτοῦ οἱ θάνατον μητίσομαι, οὐδέ νυ τόνγε γνωτοί τε γνωταί τε πυρὸς λελάχωσι θανόντα, άλλα κύνες ερύουσι πι-δ αστεος ήμετέροιο,

and was thus led into the error of ascribing the lines quoted by him to Hector, and not to Agamemnon. It will be seen that Aristotle abbreviates this passage of Homer in quoting it both here and in Eth. Nic. 3. 11, that in the latter passage he substitutes πτώσσοντα for έθέλοντα μιμνάζειν παρά νηυσί κορωνίσιν, and that in the passage before us he adds πάρ γὰρ ἐμοὶ θώνατος after olwoous, words which do not appear in our text. There is nothing surprising in the abbreviation or the substitution to which reference has been made, but the addition of πάρ γὰρ ἐμοὶ θάνατος is remarkable. The passages in which the text of Homer as quoted by Aristotle differs from the text handed down in the extant MSS, and other authorities are very numerous. A list of them will be found in Bon. Ind. 507 a 29 sqq. In some of them Aristotle's memory may well be at fault (compare his inaccurate quotation from Isocrates in Rhet. 3. 9. 1410 a 1, and see Prof. Butcher in Class. Rev. 5. 310 sq.), and if the addition before us stood alone, we might be tempted to account for it by supposing a confusion with αὐτοῦ οἱ θάνατον μητίσομαι in the similar passage, Il. 15. 348 sqq. But it does not stand alone. In 5 (8), 3, 1338 a 25 Aristotle attributes to Homer the line (which is not to be found in our Homer),

άλλ' οΐου μεν έστι καλείν έπὶ δαίτα θαλείην,

and in Rhet. 2. 9. 1387 a 33 sqq. he adds after Il. 11. 542,

Αΐαντος δ' ἀλέεινε μάχην Τελαμωνιάδαο,

the following line, which does not occur in the MSS., but which Plutarch also found there (see De Audiend. Poet. c. 6. 24 C and c. 14. 36 A),

Ζεὺς γάρ οἱ νεμέσασχ', ὅτ' ἀμείνονι φωτὶ μάχοιτο.

So again, as we learn from Soph. El. 4. 166 b 6 sqq. (cp. Poet. 25. 1461 a 22) Aristotle found the words δίδομεν δέ οἱ εἶχος ἀρέσθαι in the address of the Dream to Agamemnon (Il. 2. 23 sqq.), but they are not to be found there now, though the words δίδομεν δέ τοι

εὐχος ἀρέσθαι occur in Il. 21. 297. For other instances of the same thing see Bon. Ind. 507 b 52 sqq. Variations of this nature must probably be ascribed to a difference between the text of Homer which Aristotle had before him and that which has come down to us. Even as early as the time of Alcibiades it seems to have been usual for grammarians to 'correct' the text of Homer (Plut. Alcib. c. 7, έτέρου δὲ (γραμματοδιδασκάλου) φήσαντος έχειν "Ομηρον ὑφ' αὐτοῦ διωρθωμένον, "εἶτ'," ἔφη, "γράμματα διδάσκεις "Ομηρον επανορθούν ίκανδε ων; ούχι τους νέους παιδεύεις;"), and, as Camerarius long ago pointed out (Interp. p. 134), Alexander possessed a copy of the Iliad corrected by Aristotle himself (Plut. Alex. c. 8: Strabo, p. 504). It is likely enough, therefore, that in the time of Alexander, and even earlier, more texts than one of Homer were current, with not a few varieties of reading. Recent discoveries of Homeric papyri add to the likelihood of this. See as to them Mr. F. G. Kenyon 'On the Geneva Fragments of Homer' in Class. Rev. 8. 134 sqq., and also in Class. Rev. 11. 406. The text of the quotations from the Homeric Hymn to Apollo given in Thuc. 3. 104 differs widely from that of our MSS. (see Mr. T. W. Allen in Journal of Hellenic Studies, vol. 15. p. 309).

- 13. οὖ οἱ ἄρκιον ἐσσεῖται φυγέειν, 'it shall not be a sure thing for him to escape'—perhaps a Litotes for 'he shall have no hope of escaping.'
- 15. τούτων δὲ κ.τ.λ. Κατὰ γένος does not perhaps necessarily imply that the Kingship passed from father to son, but only that it was confined to members of a given family. In place of αἰρεταί we have in c. 15. 1285 b 39 κατὰ μέρος, a wider term, for an office held κατὰ μέρος may be filled by election or otherwise. See below on 1285 b 39. To what elective Kingships of the Lacedaemonian type does Aristotle refer? Hardly to the Carthaginian (see above on 1285 a 7); perhaps, among others, to the office of ἡγεμῶν αὐτοκράτωρ τῆς Ἑλλάδος held for life, as we have seen (above on 1285 a 7), by Philip of Macedon and Alexander: whether he refers to the office of ταγός of the Thessalians, is doubtful, though this office was elective.
- 16. παρὰ ταύτην δὲ κ.τ.λ. Μοναρχίας είδος, as in 29 sq., not βασιλείας, as in 15, while in 1285 b 4 we have είδος μοναρχίας βασιλικής. Yet in the recapitulation, 1285 b 20 sqq. (cp. c. 15. 1285 b 34, 1286 a 5), all the forms which Aristotle enumerates are classed as βασιλείας είδη. Perhaps he is in doubt whether the

barbarian Kingship and the Aesymneteship are really forms of Kingship or of Tyranny; in 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 7 sqq. they are treated as forms of Tyranny shading off into Kingship, and we are there told that the barbarian Kingship was elective, which we do not learn here, unless it is implied in 1285 b 2 sq. (see note). He speaks of the 'barbarian' form of Kingship as existing only among 'some' of the barbarians of Europe and Asia. Other barbarian races perhaps had hereditary Kings whose power was more limited. Others again were not ruled by Kings at all; we hear, for instance, of Θράκες άβασίλευτοι in Xen. Hell. 5. 2. 17. The Kingship of the Thracians, Illyrians, Phoenicians, and Ethiopians may have been of the type here described by Aristotle. Hardly the Molossian Kingship (7 (5). 11. 1313 a 23 sq.), or the Macedonian, for the Macedonians were very outspoken to their King (Polyb. 5, 27, 6, είχου γάρ ἀεὶ τὴν τοιαύτην ἰσηγορίαν Μακεδόνες πρὸς τοὺς βασιλείς), and the Macedonian people seem to have been the judges in capital cases in time of peace (Abel, Makedonien vor König Philipp, p. 136, note).

18. ἔχουσι δ' αὖται κ.τ.λ. It is natural to expect that when the power of a Kingship is great, it will not be governed by law and hereditary. Tyranny is regarded by Aristotle as not hereditary, for though tyrannies often passed from father to son, the inheritor of a tyranny was apt to lose it (7 (5). 10. 1312 b 21 sqq.). Πατρικός is apparently not used by Aristotle elsewhere in the sense of 'hereditary,' and in 24, 33, and 1285 b 5, 9 we have πάτριος used in this sense, but πατρικός often bears this meaning (e.g. in Thuc. 1. 13). As to the extent of the authority of barbarian Kings, cp. Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 5. 74, κατ' ἀρχὰς μὲν γὰρ ἄπασα πόλις Ἑλλὰς ἐβασιλεύετο, πλὴν οὐχ ὥσπερ τὰ βάρβαρα ἔθνη δεσποτικῶς, ἀλλὰ κατὰ νόμους τινὰς καὶ ἐθισμοὺς πατρίους (this is perhaps based on Theophrastus, but Aristotle would say that the barbarian King also governed according to law): Plut. Reg. et Imp. Apophth. Antig. 8. 182 C: Eurip. Hel. 246 Bothe, 276 Dind.,

τὰ βαρβάρων γὰρ δοῦλα πάντα πλην ένός.

19. δια γαρ κ.τ.λ. This is added to explain how it happens that the law in these countries authorizes a despotic rule and that these Kingships are hereditary. As to the slavishness of barbarians, cp. Trag. Gr. Fragm. Adespota 291 Nauck,

ιω τυραννί βαρβάρων ανδρών φίλη,

- and Isocr. Philip. § 107. Aristotle advised Alexander in ruling his empire τοις μεν Ελλησιν ήγεμονικώς, τοις δε βαρβάροις δεσποτικώς χρήσθαι (Aristot. Fragm. 81. 1489 b 27 sqq.: see note on 1324 b 36). That Asiatics were especially slavish, we see from 4 (7). 7. 1327 b 27 sqq. and Plut. De Vitios. Pud. c. 10, πάντες οἱ τὴν Ασίαν κατοικοῦντες ἐνὶ δουλεύουσιν ἀνθρώπω διὰ τὸ μὴ δύνασθαι μίαν εἰπεῖν τὴν Οὐ συλλαβήν.
- 22. την δεσποτικήν ἀρχήν, not, as in c. 6. 1278 b 30 sqq., in the sense of 'rule exercised for the advantage of the master' (for then this form of Kingship would not be a normal constitution, as it is), but 'despotic rule,' as in 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 21 (cp. 7 (5). 6. 1306 b 3).
- 23. τυραννικαὶ μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ., 'thus while they are of a tyrannical type for the above-mentioned reason, they are safe' (and therefore unlike tyrannies), 'because they are hereditary and in accordance with law.' Does 'for the above-mentioned reason' mean because the subjects are slavish, or because the power of the King is as great as that of a tyrant? Bernays and Welldon take the former view, but, looking to 1285 b 2 sq. and 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 15 sqq., I lean rather to the latter. It is easy to understand why conformity to law confers safety, but why are Kingships the safer for being hereditary? Probably because men more willingly submit to rule when it has come down to the ruler from his ancestors and has become traditional. Thus ἐκόντων takes the place of πάτριαι in 27.
 - 24. καὶ ἡ φυλακὴ δὲ κ.τ.λ. The bodyguard of a barbarian King is composed of natives of the State for the same reason for which his tenure of power is safe, i. e. because it is hereditary and in accordance with law, and therefore willingly submitted to. We see that Kings no less than Tyrants and Aesymnetes (c. 15. 1286 b 37 sqq.) had a bodyguard—usually at any rate, though perhaps not invariably (c. 15. 1286 b 6 sqq.)—but the King's bodyguard was not intended, like the Tyrant's, to secure his throne, but merely to enforce obedience on any of his subjects who might be for the moment recalcitrant (c. 15. 1286 b 27 sqq.).
 - 25. οἱ γὰρ πολίται κ.τ.λ. Cp. 7 (5). 10. 1311 a 7 and Isocr. Hel. § 37. The Lacedaemonian Kings were guarded by citizens (Isocr. Epist. 2, 6).
 - 26. τοὺς δὲ τυράννους ξενικόν. According to Dionysius of Halicarnassus (Ant. Rom. 7. 8), Aristodemus of Cumae had

three bodyguards, one of the lowest of the citizens, another of manumitted slaves, and a third of hired barbarians.

30. ἔτερον δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and another which existed among the ancient Greeks, [the monarchy of those] who are called Aesymnetes.' The eldos μοναρχίαs is loosely explained by the name given to those who held it: compare 2. 11. 1273 a 30, τὰς με γίστας (ἀρχάς), τούς τε βασιλείς καὶ τοὺς στρατηγούς, and 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 10. Pittacus and, it would seem, Peisistratus (7 (5). 5. 1305 a 7 sqq.) are counted by Aristotle among the ancient Greeks, but not of course Dionysius the Elder (3. 15. 1286 b 37 sqq.). 'We find the word αλσυμνητήρ correctly explained in Etym. Magn. αlσυμνῶν δ ἐστι τὸ αlσίων μνήμην ποιείσθαι' (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 280. 1). The title αἰσυμνήτης was not confined to the extraordinary magistrates to whom Aristotle here refers. At Cyme (we are not told which Cyme) the magistrates generally were called by this name (Aristot. Fragm. 481, 1556 b 44 sqq.); in Hom. Odyss. 8. 258 the alσυμυήται are umpires in contests for prizes; and in Megara and her colony Chalcedon and also in Chersonesus, a colony of the Pontic Heracleia, which was itself a Megarian colony, the functions of the αλσιμνάται or αλσιμνώντες seem to have been those of the mouravers at Athens (see Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 72. 3, 188. 1, 194. 5, 280. 1). We are here concerned, however, only with the extraordinary Aesymnete. The nature of his office may be gathered from Nic. Damasc. Fragm. 54 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 3. 389), which refers to Miletus, Επιμενης μετά ταῦτα αίσυμνήτης ύπὸ τοῦ δήμου χειροτονείται λαβών έξουσίαν κτείνειν ους βούλεται καὶ ός των μέν παίδων 'Αμφιτρήτος οὐδενός οἶός τ' ήν έγκρατής γενέσθαι' ύπεξηλθον γάρ παραχρήμα δείσαντες τὰ δὲ ὄντα αὐτοῖς ἐδήμευσε, καὶ ἀργύριον έκήρυξεν, εί τις αὐτοὺς κτείνειεν των δε κοινωνων τοῦ φόνου τρείς ἀπέκτεινε, τοις δε άλλοις φυγήν προσείπεν οι δε φχοντο. Οι μεν δή Νηλείδαι κατελύθησαν ωδε. This is the earliest extraordinary Aesymnete we hear of, unless the Aesymneteship of Tynnondas in Euboea (Plut. Solon, c. 14) was still earlier. The μόναρχος έξουσία which the Athenian Aristarchus held at Ephesus at the time of the overthrow of the Medes by Cyrus (B.C. 559) may possibly, as Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 141, points out, have been that of an Aesymnete, but this is not certain. The Aesymnete had larger powers than any Greek King-larger than even the Greek King of heroic times, for the administration of the State lay wholly in his hands. He is, in fact, commonly described as a tyrant (31: cp. c. 15. 1286 b 38: Plut. Solon, c. 14: Theophrast. ap. Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 5. 73),

though Pittacus is not unnaturally spoken of as a king in the popular ditty (Bergk, Poet. Lyr. Gr., Carm. Popul. 43),

άλει μύλα άλει· καὶ γὰρ Πιττακὸς ἀλεῖ, μεγάλας Μιτυλάνας βασιλεύων.

Thus tyrants in early days were called Aesymnetes (Aristot. Fragm. 481. 1557 a 5 sqq.). The Aesymnete ruled κατά την αύτοῦ γνώμην (6 (4). 10. 1295 a 16), though his office is implied in 1285 a 32 to be κατὰ νόμον: the law, in fact, empowered him to rule κατὰ τὴν αὐτοῦ γνώμην. Like the tyrant, he had a bodyguard, though his bodyguard would be smaller than the tyrant's (c. 15. 1286 b 35 sqq.) and composed of citizens, like the bodyguard of the king, not of mercenaries, like that of the tyrant. Aristotle evidently conceives a resemblance to exist between the Aesymneteship and the barbarian Kingship (32), and it is possible that the Greeks borrowed this great office from the barbarians, for we are told in 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 11 that elective αὐτοκράτορες μόναρχοι existed among some of the barbarians. Dionysius of Halicarnassus finds its equivalent in the Roman Dictatorship (Ant. Rom. 5. 73, εστι γάρ αίρετή τυραννὶς ή δικτατορία δοκούσι δέ μοι καὶ τούτο παρ' Έλλήνων οἱ Ῥωμαῖοι τὸ πολίτευμα λαβείν οι γάρ Αλσυμνήται καλούμενοι παρ' Ελλησι τὸ άρχαιον, ώς έν τοις περί βασιλείας Ιστορεί Θεόφραστος, αίρετοί τινες ήσαν τύραννοι ήροῦντο δὲ αὐτοὺς αἰ πόλεις οῦτ' εἰς ἀδριστον χρόνον οῦτε συνεχῶς, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τοὺς καιρούς, όπότε δόξειε συμφέρειν καὶ εἰς όπόσον χρόνον ωσπερ καὶ Μιτυληναῖοί ποθ' είλουτο Πιττακόν πρός τους φυγάδας τους περί 'Αλκαίου του ποιητήν, where Theophrastus evidently has this passage of the Politics before him, though he says nothing of the Aesymnetes mentioned by Aristotle who held office for life). The Aesymneteship resembled the Roman Dictatorship in being called into play 'in asperioribus bellis aut in civili motu difficiliore' (Speech of the Emperor Claudius in the Lyons Tables, 1. 28: Mommsen, Röm. Staatsrecht, 2. 1. 140), but there were some important differences between the two The Aesymnete, for instance, was elected by the people, whereas the dictator was named by one of the consuls. dictator held office for not more than six months; Pittacus, on the contrary, remained Assymnete for ten years (Diog. Laert. 1. 75), and Aristotle knew of Aesymnetes who held office for The dictator was always created to deal with some specified business; this was frequently, but not always, it would seem, the case with the Aesymnete. The Aesymnete was master of

the State in civil no less than in military affairs; the civil authority of the dictator was less extensive (Mommsen, Röm. Staatsrecht, 2. I. 141 sqq.). It is not surprising that the Aesymneteship soon fell into disuse. It might not have done so if its power had been less, or if its tenure had been limited, like that of the Roman dictatorship, to six months. Pittacus surrendered it after holding it for ten years and retired into private life, but there were not many Greeks of his stamp, and there must always have been a risk of Aesymnetes declining to retire. Hence the Greek States allowed the office to disappear, and made shift with organization αὐτοκράτορες in its place. Indeed, the creation even of a sole στρατηγός αὐτοκράτωρ was sometimes attended with peril to the State, for the risk of the establishment of a tyranny was always greater in Greece than at Rome. Still Greece probably lost something by allowing the Aesymneteship to fall into disuse. It was an office which in the hands of good men was a means of suppressing tyranny.

- 32. της βαρβαρικής, sc. μοναρχίας.
- 34. μέχρι τινῶν ὡρισμένων χρόνων ἡ πράξεων, 'till the expiration of some definite time or the performance of some definite actions.'
- 36. τοὺς φυγάδας ὧν προειστήκεσαν κ.τ.λ. Compare the very similar expression in ' $A\theta$. Πολ. c. 19, οἱ φυγάδες, ὧν οἱ 'Aλκμεωνίδαι προειστήκεσαν.

'Aντιμενίδης καὶ 'Αλκαῖος ὁ ποιητής. In a passage of Diogenes Laertius based on Aristotle (2. 46: Aristot. Fragm. 65. 1486 b 34) we find the form 'Αντιμενίδας, which is the correct Lesbian form. He was a brother of Alcaeus, and another brother was named Κίκις (Alcaeus, Fragm. 137), κίκις meaning 'strength,' so that the names of all the three brothers were indicative of strength and prowess. See note on 1311 b 29.

37. δηλοί δ' 'Αλκαίος κ.τ.λ. Aristotle evidently anticipates that his statement that Pittacus was elected tyrant by the Mytileneans will hardly be credited by his own contemporaries—an elective tyranny would seem to them to be a contradiction in terms—and he supports it with the strongest testimony he can find, that of the foe whom Pittacus was elected to put down. The fact would hardly have been forgotten if the songs of Alcaeus had not, like those of Simonides (Aristoph. Nub. 1353 sqq.), passed somewhat out of fashion. Alcaeus, however, can only have known of Pittacus' election by hearsay, for he was an exile when it took place. His scolion, or convivial song, was probably composed in the camp of

the exiles leagued against Mytilene, but it would not be long in finding its way into the city. It would be sung with most zest by others than the Mytileneans, for it satirized them as ἐθελόδουλοι, a grave reproach to Greeks (cp. Hdt. 3. 143 and 1.62 sq., and Theogn. 847-850).

39. τον κακοπάτριδα Πιττακόν κ.τ.λ. Alcaeus, Fragm. 37 A Bergk. There is a reference to this fragment in Eth. Nic. 9. 6. 1167 a 30 sqq. 'Respicit Plutarchus, Erot. c. 18, κοινη τὸν Ερωτα συνεγγράφουσιν είς θεούς ποιητών οι κράτιστοι και νομοθετών και φιλοσόφων άθρόαι φωναί μέγα επαινέοντες, ώσπερ εφη τον Πιττακον ο 'Αλκαίος αίρεισθαι τοὺς Μιτυληναίους τύραννον, ubi cum Reiske ἀθρόα φωνά coniecisset, illud ipsum Schneidewin Alcaeo tribuit' (Bergk). The epithet κακόπατρις, 'born of a mean father,' is no doubt applied to Pittacus because his father was a Thracian and perhaps a slave. As to his Thracian extraction cp. Duris, ap. Diog. Laert. 1. 74: we see from Thuc. 4. 107 that Pittacus was a Thracian name. Τὸν κακοπάτριδα closes a line, and then follow two complete lines. In the second of these, 'Εστάσαντο κ.τ.λ., the second syllable is long, but this syllable may be either long or short in this metre, and thus we are not obliged to regard the a of Hittakos as long. Ahrens (De Graec. Ling. Dial. 1. 246) accentuates Πίττακον: he remarks (1. 10), 'Grammatici uno ore testantur, Aeoles accentum in ultima acutum fugientes retraxisse in priores syllabas, exceptis tantummodo, ut accuratiores monent, praepositionibus et coniunctionibus.

In place of πόλεως and ἐπαινέοντες Alcaeus probably wrote πόλιος (Ahrens, 1. 116) and επαίνεντες (Ahrens, 1. 142), but it is doubtful whether Aristotle did so in quoting his lines. Not much dependence can be placed on our MSS. in this matter, but it deserves notice that even when in citations from non-Attic writers they in the main preserve the dialect, as they do here—and this is often not the case (see for instance the quotation from Heraclitus in 7 (5). 11. 1315 a 30 sq.)—they allow Attic forms to slip in: thus we have ἐσθλῶν (not ἔσλων) in the quotation from Sappho in Rhet. 1. 9. 1367 a 8 sqg., and Simonides may have written apara and καλέοισω in the passage (Fragm. 12) quoted from him in Hist. An. 5. 8. 542 b 7 sqq. See also critical note on 1340 b 26. Έπαίverres is not only the correct Lesbian form, but it is required by the metre, unless the third and fourth syllables of emaineoures can be regarded as coalescing. It may possibly be a technical word for the expression of assent to the election of a magistrate (cp. Alcaeus, Fragm. 128, Hesych. Ἐπαίνους τὰς κρίσεις καὶ τὰς συμβουλίας καὶ τὰς ἀρχαιρεσίας Σοφοκλῆς Θυέστη Σικυωνίω καὶ ᾿Αλκαῖος (MSS. ἀλκέοι) ταῖς ἐπαινήτεσιν), but see Bergk on this fragment.

αχόλω, 'meek,' 'lacking gall.' Compare [Demosth] c. Aristog. 1. 27, καὶ οὐδεὶς ὑμῶν χολὴν οὐδεὶ ὀργὴν ἔχων φανήσεται ἐφ' οἶς ὁ βδελυρὸς καὶ ἀναιδὴς ἄνθρωπος ούτοσὶ βιάζεται τοὺς νόμους; and a passage quoted from Plutarch by Eusebius, Praep. Evang. 3. 1. 3 (referred to by Liddell and Scott), ὡς δέον ἄθυμον καὶ ἄχολον καὶ καθαρεύουσαν ὀργῆς καὶ πικρίας ἀπάσης τὴν γυναικὸς καὶ ἀνδρὸς εἶναι συμβίωσιν. Compare also Aristoph. Lysistr. 463,

πότερον επί δούλας τινάς

ήκειν ενόμισας, ή γυναιξίν οὐκ οἶει χολήν ενείναι;

where the proverb ἔνεστι καὶ μύρμηκι χολή (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2.111) is alluded to. Hamlet's 'I lack gall To make oppression bitter' is familiar.

- 2. αὖται μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Αὖται, 'these monarchies' (cp. αὖται, 1285 b. 1285 a 18 and 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 14). Εἰσί perhaps refers to the barbarian Kingship (cp. 17, εἰσί), and ἢσαν to the Acsymneteship (cp. 30, ἢν). If so, the barbarian Kingship is here implied to have been elective, which we have not been told before, though we learn the fact in 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 11 sq.
- 4 τέταρτον δ' είδος κ.τ λ. Aristotle does not tell us whether he includes only Greek Kingships in this class, but probably this is his meaning, for he makes ή βαρβαρική βασιλεία a separate kind Κατὰ νόμον should be taken with γιγνόμεναι ('which arose in accordance with law in the heroic times, voluntary and hereditary in character'); these Kingships are said to arise in accordance with law, because they do not, like tyranny, owe their origin to the arbitrary action of an individual (compare the contrast of κατὰ τὴν αύτοῦ βούλησιν and κατὰ νόμον in c. 16. 1287 a 1 sqq and c. 15. 1286 b 31 sqq.); they owe it, in fact, as is explained in the next sentence, to their subjects' gratitude for benefits conferred. Cp. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 7, ὑπάρχει δ' ἡ γένεσις εὐθὺς ἐξ ἐναντίων ἐκατέρα τῶν μοναρχιών κ.τ.λ. Τους ήρωϊκους χρόνους, 'the times of the heroes,' the times of Heracles (Probl. 30. 1. 953 a 13 sq.) and Priam (Eth. Nic. 1. 10. 1100 a 7 sq.). Thus Isocrates (Evag. § 65) calls the Trojan War 'the war of the heroes.' The 'heroic times' seem to come to an end before, or perhaps with, the Dorian invasion of the Peloponnese (Paus. 7. 17. 1, "Αργος μέν ές πλείστον

άφικομένην δυνάμεως πόλιν έπὶ τῶν καλουμένων ἡρώων όμοῦ τῆ μεταβολῆ τῆ ἐς Δωριέας ἐπέλιπε τὸ ἐκ τῆς τύχης εὐμενές). As to 'the heroes,' cp. Probl. 19. 48. 922 b 17, ἐκεῖνοι μὲν γὰρ ἡρώων μιμηταί' οἱ δὲ ἡγεμόνες τῶν ἀρχαίων μόνοι ἦσαν ῆρωες, οἱ δὲ λαοὶ ἄνθρωποι.

6. διὰ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Γάρ justifies ἐκούσιαί τε καὶ πάτριαι γιγνόμεναι κατὰ νόμον by introducing an explanation in detail of the way in which this came about.

τούς πρώτους, 'the first kings of each dynasty,' 'the founders of dynasties.'

τοῦ πλήθους εὖεργέτας. The founders of the heroic Kingships won their thrones, according to Aristotle, by services to the people, just as it was the revolt of the people that overthrew Kingships (15). Thurot (Études sur Aristote, p. 84) has already pointed out that this account is hardly consistent with 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 9 sq., where Kingship is said to have come into being for the protection of the ἐπιεικέις from the demos. However, the origin of Kingship generally (including the Persian Kingship, for Cyrus is referred to) is traced in a very similar way in 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 31 sqq. Aristotle refers the origin of Kingship to the will of the people, but the Kings themselves would probably claim that they owed their thrones to Zeus, from whom they sprang (see Schömann, Gr. Alt. 1. 23).

7. κατὰ τέχνας, 'in connexion with arts.' Bernays translates 'by the invention of arts,' and no doubt services of this nature are especially present to Aristotle's mind, but the phrase is wide enough to include cases like that of Melampus, who was made joint-king of Argos with his brother and the previous King Anaxagoras for healing the Argive women of their madness (Paus. 2. 18. 4). As to Kings who won their thrones by discoveries in connexion with the arts, we may compare the words of Atreus in Eurip. Fragm. 853,

δείξας γὰρ ἄστρων τὴν ἐναυτίαν όδὸν δήμους τ' ἔσωσα καὶ τύραννος ἰζόμην,

where Nauck refers to Strabo, p. 23 (Polyb. 34. 1. 4 sqq.), καὶ Πολύβιος δ' ὀρθῶς ὑπονοεῖ τὰ περὶ τῆς πλάνης: τὸν γὰρ Αἴολον τόν προσημαίνοντα τοὺς ἔκπλους ἐν τοῖς κατὰ τὸν πορθμὸν τόποις ἀμφιδρόμοις οὖσι καὶ δυσέκπλοις διὰ τὰς παλιρροίας ταμίαν τε εἰρῆσθαι τῶν ἀνέμων καὶ βασιλέα νενομίσθαι ψησί, καθάπερ Δαναὸν μὲν τὰ ὑδρεῖα τὰ ἐν Ἄργει παραδείξαντα, ᾿Ατρέα δὲ τοῦ ἡλίου τὸν ὑπεναντίον τῷ οὐρανῷ δρόμον, μάντεις τε καὶ ἰεροσκοπουμένους ἀποδείκνυσθαι βασιλέας, τούς θ' ἰερέας τῶν Αἰγυπτίων

καὶ Χαλδαίους καὶ Μάγους σοφία τινὶ διαφέροντας τῶν ἄλλων ἡγεμονίας καὶ τιμῆς τυγχάνειν παρὰ τοῖς πρὸ ἡμῶν. Camerarius (Interp. p. 137) compares Diod. 1. 43. 6.

- ħ πόλεμον. Aristotle no doubt remembers the way in which Bellerophon came to be King of the Lycians (Hom. II. 6. 189 sqq.).
- ή διὰ τὸ συναγαγεῖν, sc. τὸ πλῆθος. Συνάγειν is used here in the sense of 'forming into a πόλις' (cp. c. 6. 1278 b 21 sq., Diod. 3. 56. 3. μυθολογοῦσι δὲ πρῶτον παρ' αὐτοῖς Οὐρανὸν βασιλεῦσαι καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους σποράδην οἰκοῦντας συναγαγεῖν εἰς πόλεως περίβολον, Paus. 2. 30. 9, and Isocr. Hel. § 35), so that it answers to κτίσαντες in 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 38. In Plato, Laws 681 C we have τοῖς ἡγεμόσι καὶ ἀγαγοῦσι τοὺς δήμους, οἶον βασιλεῦσι. Cp. also Conon ap. Phot. Biblioth. Cod. 186. p. 131a 23 Bekk., παραλαβῶν τὴν βασιλείαν (Αἰγιαλός), ἐπεὶ ὁ πατὴρ ἐτελεύτησεν, ῆθροισέ τε τὸν λαὸν σποράδην οἰκοῦντα καὶ πόλιν ἔκτισεν ἐπὶ τῷ ποταμῷ μεγάλην καὶ εὐδαίμονα, Καῦνον ἀπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐπονομάσας.
- † πορίσαι χώραν. So the Heracleidae, being heirs to Argos, Lacedaemon, and Messene, made over their territories to their comrades in the invasion of Peloponnesus and received Kingships in return for them (Isocr. Archid. § 17 sqq. and especially § 20). Cp. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 38, † κτίσαντες † κτησάμενοι χώραν, ωσπερ οί Λακεδαιμονίων βασιλεῖς καὶ Μακεδόνων καὶ Μολοττών, and Polyb. 6. 7. 4, χώραν κατακτώμενοι (sc. οἱ βασιλεῖς).
- 8. καὶ τοῖς παραλαμβάνουσι πάτριοι, 'and hereditary for those who succeeded to them.' So Bern., 'und für die folgenden Geschlechter ward diess dann ein angestammtes Verhaltniss.'
- 9. κύριοι δ' ήσαν κ.τ λ Aristotle says nothing of the share of the Kings in deliberative authority as conveners of the γερουσία (Hom. II. 9. 68 sqq.). For της κατὰ πόλεμον ήγεμονίας cp. 2. 10. 1272 a 9.
- 10. τῶν θυσιῶν, ὅσαι μὴ ἱερατικαί. The sacrificial functions of the Kings passed to the βασιλεῖε or ἄρχοντεε or πρυτάνειε, as to whom see 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 26 sqq.. and note on that passage. The Egyptian King was a priest according to Plato, Polit. 290 D sq.; not so the Greek King of heroic times. The sacrificial and judicial prerogatives of early Greek Kings were no doubt sources of profit to them, and their military position would bring them plunder.

καὶ πρὸς τούτοις τὰς δίκας ἔκρινον. Did the King try all the actions that were brought? If so, there cannot have been many of them, especially as the King would be from time to time absent

on campaigns. Gilbert (Beiträge zur Entwickelungsgeschichte des griech. Gerichtsversahrens und des griech. Rechtes, p. 445) thinks that in the earliest days of Greece the whole people sat in judgement on offences affecting the collective interests. He infers this from the practice in historical times of the Macedonians (see note on 1285 a 16), the Epirots (Polyb. 32. 21 Hultsch), and the Acarnamans (Liv. 33. 16). But he holds that the right of trying these offences passed to the King in those States of Eastern Greece in which, as at Mycenae, a powerful Kingship came into existence, though the King may probably have exercised it with the advice of his yépoptes (p. 446).

11. τοῦτο δ' ἐποίουν οἱ μὲν οὐκ ὀμνύοντες, οἱ δ' δμνύοντες. In times later than the heroic Greek judges and dicasts probably always adjudicated on oath (cp. Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 7. 47, απασι δέ προσετάττετο παριούσι καθάπερ εν δικαστηρίω μεθ' δρκου την ψηφον ἐπιφέρειν): the oath of the Athenian dicast is well known (see vol. i. p. 273, note 1, and below on 1287 a 25). Hence Aristotle's mention of the fact that some Greek Kings in the heroic times Those Kings who adjudicated on oath adjudicated unsworn. would no doubt swear to judge justly, and possibly to judge according to the laws. We must not assume that the Kings who adjudicated unsworn did not take an oath from time to time to rule according to the laws. The Lacedaemonian Kings swore to the Ephors every month that they would so rule (Xen. Rep. Lac. 15. 7), but we do not hear of their adjudicating on oath, though they may have done so. Plutarch in Quaest. Rom. 44 speculates why the priest of Jupiter at Rome was not allowed to swear-πότερον ότι βάσανός τις έλευθέρων ο όρκος έστί, δεί δε άβασάνιστον είναι καὶ τὸ σῶμα καὶ τὴν ψυχὴν τοῦ ἱερέως; ἢ ὅτι περὶ μικρῶν ἀπιστείσθαι τὸν τὰ θεῖα καὶ μέγιστα πεπιστευμένον οὐκ εἰκός ἐστιν; ἡ ὅτι πᾶς ὅρκος εἰς κατάραν τελευτά της επιορκίας, κατάρα δε δύσφημον και σκυθρωπόν; . . . ή κοινός ό της επιορκίας κίνδυνος, αν ανήρ ασεβής και επίορκος εύχων κατάρχηται καὶ ἱερῶν ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως; That the oath was a check on the King we see from Soph. Fragm. 428,

> ορκου δὲ προστεθέντος ἐπιμελεστέρα ψυχὴ κατέστη δισσὰ γὰρ φυλάσσεται, φίλων τε μέμψιν κεὶς θεοὺς ἀμαρτάνειν.

The Athenians out of respect for Xenocrates would not allow him to give his testimony on oath (Cic. ad Att. 1. 16. 4). We have δμεύουτες here, as we have δμεύουτε in 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 9 and in

'Aθ. Πολ. c. 3. l. 11. See Liddell and Scott as to the use of δμνίω, and Meisterhans, Gramm. d. att. Inschr., ed. 2, p. 153 See also note on 1324 b 20.

12. δ δ' όρκος ην τοῦ σκήπτρου ἐπανάτασις. The article is absent before imarians, as is often the case when the genitive comes first: cp Thuc. 4. 12. 2, των τε γωρίων γαλεπότητι, and 3 58. 4, ές πατέρων τῶν ὑμετέρων θήκας (Richards). Cp. also 3. 4. 1277 a 11 sq. b 19. 4 (7). 11. 1330 b 29, των άμπελων συστάδας, and see critical note on 1331 b 5. Ἐπανάτασις, not simply ἀνάτασις, because the sceptre is lifted up in a particular direction. For the fact see Hom. Il. 7. 412: 10. 321. Compare the oath of Abraham (Gen. xiv. 22, And Abram said to the king of Sodom, I have lift up mine hand unto the Lord, the most high God, the possessor of heaven and earth, that I will not take from (thee?) a thread even to a shoelatchet, and that I will not take anything that is thine). The lifting-up of the sceptre perhaps signified that the King staked his sceptre on the honesty of the judgement. No words needed to be uttered, no gods to be named; in both these respects the oath referred to differed from ordinary oaths, in which it was common to name three gods (C. F. Hermann, Gr. Ant. 2. § 21. 9). Alexis, Fragm. Onrevoures (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 420),

ορκος βέβαιός έστιν αν νεύσω μόνον,

and Cratin. Χείρωνες, Fragm. 11 (Meineke, 2.155),

οίς ην μέγιστος δρκος

απαντι λόγφ κύων, ξπειτα χήν θεούς δ' εσίγων, where see Meincke's note.

13. of μèν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Of ἀρχαῖοι χρόνοι include οἱ ἡρωὶκοὶ χρόνοι, in which these Kingships came into being, but also far later times (see above on 1285 a 30). For ἄρχειν with the accusative of the matters over which rule is exercised, cp. c. 16. 1287 a 9, where II have ἄρχει πάντα. If we read with all the MSS. (except Ms, which omits the first τά, and P¹, which adds κατά before ἔνδημα) καὶ τὰ κατὰ πόλιν καὶ τὰ ἔνδημα καὶ τὰ ὑπερόρια (St. Hilaire and Sus would omit the first καί), we shall probably be right in translating, with Bernays, 'both matters in the city and matters in the territory and matters beyond the frontier,' though τὰ ἔνδημα usually means 'home-affairs,' and it would be possible to take it in this sense here, translating 'both matters in the city and home-affairs generally and matters beyond the frontier.' Those who strike out καί before τὰ κατὰ πόλιν translate 'the affairs of the State, both home-affairs and affairs beyond

the frontier.' Τὰ κατὰ πόλω might probably mean 'the affairs of the State' (cp. Plato, Polit. 287 B, D, 295 E, 305 E, and see Holden on Xen. Oecon. 11. 14), but τὰ κατὰ τὴν πόλω (Isocr. De Pace, § 49) or τὰ ἐν τῆ πόλω (Eth. Nic. 6. 13. 1145 a 11) is more commonly used in this sense, τὰ κατὰ πόλω meaning rather 'matters in the city' in contradistinction to 'matters in the country': compare for the contrast of κατὰ πόλω and κατ' ἀγρόν Xen. Oecon. 11. 14 and Cyneg. 13. 15, Plato, Theaet. 142 A and Rep. 475 D, and Menand. 'Υδρία Fragm. 1 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 207). If we are right then in taking τὰ κατὰ πόλω in the sense of 'matters in the city,' the three-fold division in the passage before us will answer to that in Plato, Phaedrus 230 C, οὖτως ἐκ τοῦ ἄστεος οὖτ' εἰς τὴν ὑπερορίαν ἀποδημεῖς, οὖτ' ἔξω τείχους ἔμοιγε δοκεῖς τὸ παράπαν ἐξεέναι.

14. συνεχῶς ἡρχον, unlike the later βασιλεῖς (8 (6). 8. 1322 b 26 sqq.), who were annual officers.

υστερον δε κ.τ.λ. As to the circumstances attending the fall of Kingship compare 7 (5). 10. 1312 b 38 sqq. and Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 5. 74, where Theophrastus is probably followed, as in the passage immediately preceding. Both Dionysius and Polybius (6. 7. 6–9) speak as if the Kingship of heroic Greece always passed into a tyranny before it fell (cp. Eth. Nic. 8. 12. 1160 b 10 sqq.), but we gather from the passage before us and from 7 (5). 10. 1312 b 40 sq. that this was not always the case.

15. τὰ μὲν αὐτῶν παριέντων τῶν βασιλέων. Aristotle probably refers in the first place to Theseus (Plut. Thes. cc. 24, 25), but also to Theopompus the Lacedaemonian King (7 (5). 11. 1313 a 26 sqq.) and to the Kings of the Molossians (1313 a 23 sqq.).

τὰ δὲ τῶν ὅχλων παραιρουμένων. The diminution of the powers of the Kingship is commonly attributed by the authorities to οἱ πολλοί οτ ὁ δῆμος. Cp. Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 5. 74, ἀρξαμένων δέ τινων ἐν ταῖς ἐξουσίαις πλημμελεῖν, καὶ νόμοις μὲν ὀλίγα χρωμένων, ταῖς δ' ἐαυτῶν γνώμαις τὰ πολλὰ διοικούντων, δυσχεράναντες ὅλον τὸ πρᾶγμα οἱ πολλοὶ κατέλυσαν μὲν τὰ βασιλικὰ πολιτεύματα, νόμους δὲ καταστησάμενοι καὶ ἀρχὰς ἀποδείξαντες, ταύταις ἐχρῶντο τῶν πόλεων ψυλακαῖς. Pausanias speaks of ὁ δῆμος in 4. 5. 10 and 2. 19. 2. Polybius also assigns the chief part in the overthrow of monarchy to the πλῆθος (6. 8. 1), and so does Lucretius (5. 1136 sqq.). Their view is confirmed by the fact that in the Achaean cities Kingship was succeeded by democracy (Polyb. 2. 41. 4 sq.). Aristotle speaks of Kingship as designed to protect the ἐπιεικεῖς from the δῆμος (7 (5). 10. 1310 b 9), and it is

natural that he should regard the Many as the agents in its overthrow. If we ask how it happened that, though Kingship was overthrown by the Many, it was nevertheless usually succeeded by the supremacy of the Few, Polybius has an answer ready; the Many effected the overthrow of Monarchy by means of προστάται, and they allowed these προστάται to rule over them (Polyb. 6. 8. 1 sq.).

- 16. αὶ πάτριοι θυσίαι are so termed in contradistinction to αὶ ἐπίθετοι (᾿Αθ. Πολ. c. 3: Isocr. Areopag. § 29). The Kingship was reduced to priestly functions at Cyrene (Hdt. 4. 161) and Ephesus, where we read of the descendants of Androclus in Strabo, p. 633, καὶ ἔτι νῦν οἱ ἐκ τοῦ γένους ὀνομάζονται βασιλεῖς ἔχουτές τινας τιμάς, προεδρίαν τε ἐν ἀγῶσι καὶ πορφύραν ἐπίσημον τοῦ βασιλικοῦ γένους, σκίπωνα ἀντὶ σκήπτρου, καὶ τὰ ἱερὰ τῆς Ἐλευσινίας Δήμητρος.
- 20. μèν οὖν is taken up by μèν οὖν, 28, and then answered by $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \sigma \nu$ δὲ κ.τ.λ., 29.
- 22. ἐπί τισι δ' ὡρισμένοις, ' but held on certain fixed conditions.' Though the submission rendered to the heroic Kingship by its subjects was a willing submission, it was not unconditional. Aristotle conceives the heroic Kingship to have been granted to the Kings by the people on the condition that they should be generals and judges and supreme over matters relating to the gods. This form of νομική βασιλεία resembles νομική φιλία (Eth. Nic. 8. 15. 1162 b 25, ἔστι δὴ νομική μὲν (φιλία) ἡ ἐπὶ ῥητοῖς κ.τ.λ.).
- 24. ἐκ γένους, literally 'resulting from family,' 'by right of family.' Έκ here signifies the 'origo et causa' of the ἀρχή (Bon. Ind. 225 b 15). Cp. [Plato,] Menex. 238 D, οὖτοι δὲ (i. e. βασιλεῖε) τοτὲ μὲν ἐκ γένους τοτὲ δὲ αἰρετοί. Elsewhere we have κατὰ γένος.
- 26. For τετάρτη τούτων, 'fourth of these which I am enumerating,' cp. 2. 11. 1272 b 28, and see Vahlen on Poet. 3. 1448 a 19.
- 27. αὖτη δ' ἐστὶν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle forgets that he has included under the Lacedaemonian type of Kingship not only hereditary but also elective Kingships (1285 a 15: cp. also c. 15. 1285 b 39). His recapitulations are not always exact: see vol. i. Appendix B, and above on 1258 a 17 and 1278 a 34.
- 29. πέμπτον δ' είδος κ.τ.λ. Πάντων is here neuter (though in c. 16. 1287 a 11 we have τὸ κύριον ἔνα πάντων είναι τῶν πολιτῶν): cp. Magn. Mor. 1. 35. 1198 b 13, οδτος γὰρ πάντων κύριος καὶ πάντα διοικεῖ, and Demosth. Ol. 1. 4, τὸ γὰρ είναι πάντων ἐκεῖνον ἔνα ὅντα κύριον καὶ ἡητῶν καὶ ἀπορρήτων. It is characteristic of Monarchy to be supreme over

everything (Rhet. 1. 8. 1365 b 37 sq.), though all Kings were not so (1285 a 4). Compare with Aristotle's language here the address of the Chorus to the King of the Argives in Aesch. Suppl. 370 sqq. Sus. appears to take πάντων with τῶν κοινῶν, reading ὧνπερ in place of ὧσπερ with Buecheler, but Bernays seems right in following Bekker, who places a comma after ὧν and takes ὧσπερ ἕκαστον ἔθνος καὶ πόλις ἐκάστη τῶν κοινῶν together.

- 80. τῶν κοινῶν, 'public matters,' as in c. 5. 1278 b 4 (not 'public property,' as Bern.).
- 81. τεταγμένη κατά τὴν οἰκονομικήν, sc. ἀρχήν, 'answering to household rule.' Supply βασιλεία from είδος βασιλείας (cp. 34). Bonitz (Ind. 748 b 18 sqq.) explains τάττειν here as used 'de notionum ordine logico,' and refers among other passages to 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 32, ή βασιλεία τέτακται κατά τὴν ἀριστοκρατίαν, Eth. Nic. 5. 5. 1130 b 18, ή κατά τὴν ὅλην ἀρετὴν τεταγμένη δικαιοσύνη, and Top. 5. 7. 137 a 30 sqq., where τὸ κατά τὸ εἶναι λεγόμενον is used synonymously with τὸ κατά τὸ εἶναι τεταγμένον.

ἄσπερ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Supply ἀρχή with ἡ οἰκονομική. In saying that the rule over a household is a kind of Kingship (see above on 1278 b 37), Aristotle is thinking of the relation of the father to his children, not of that of the husband to his wife or of the master to his slaves.

- 32. οὖτως ἡ βασιλεία κ.τ.λ. Sus. would read παμβασιλεία in place of βασιλεία, but Aristotle speaks of the fifth kind of Kingship simply as Kingship (cp. τὴν βασιλείαν, c. 16. 1287 b 35), because it is Kingship κατ' ἐξοχήν. Πόλεως καὶ ἔθνους ἐνὸς ἡ πλειόνων is of course dependent on οἰκονομία, not on βασιλεία.
- C. 15. 33 sqq. Aristotle seems to take it for granted that if he discovers whether the two extreme forms are expedient or not, he will have solved the question of the expediency of the intermediate forms. In just the same way Hippocrates in his treatise De Aere, Aquis, Locis sketches the extreme variations of the human race under the influence of climate and region, closing the treatise with the words, at μὲν ἐναντιώταται ψύσιές τε καὶ ἰδέαι ἔχουσιν οὖτως ἀπὸ δὲ τουτέων τεκμαιρόμενος τὰ λοιπὰ ἐνθυμέεσθαι, καὶ οὖχ άμαρτήση. Aristotle may also have felt that a discussion of the expediency of the heroic Kingship and the Aesymneteship would have only an historical, and a discussion of the expediency of the barbarian Kingship only a scientific, interest for Greeks.
 - 35. των αλλων αι πολλαί. Aristotle probably refers to the heroic

Kingship and possibly also to the barbarian Kingship, for the power of the Aesymnete seems to have been quite unbounded.

36. ελαττόνων μεν γάρ κ.τ.λ. For the late appearance of είσί in this sentence cp. 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 35 sqq. and see note on 1332 b 42.

τῆς παμβασιλείας, literally 'Kingship over everything' (cp. παμμήτωρ = πάντων μήτηρ), as we see from c. 16. 1287 a 8 sqq. It would seem from the expression τῆς παμβασιλείας καλουμένης, 1287 a 8, and from the absence of any remark in the passage before us, that the word was not coined by Aristotle, as Schneider thinks, but was a recognized Greek word. Παμβασιλεύς does not occur in Aristotle's writings, though it occurs in Σοφία Σειράχ 50. 15 and in C. I. G. 4725. 6 (Liddell and Scott).

- 39. ἡ κατὰ γένος ἡ κατὰ μέρος. See above on 1285 a 15, where we have τούτων δ' al μὲν κατὰ γένος εἰσίν, ai δ' alpεταί. Tenure 'by family' is opposed to tenure 'by turns,' because tenure 'by turns' makes the office accessible to all, not indeed simultaneously but successively. Tenure 'by turns' is a wider term than tenure 'by election,' because, when tenure 'by turns' is the rule, the dignity may pass by election or it may not.
- 2. τὸ μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ., 'now to inquire as to the kind of Generalship 1286 a. we have mentioned is to enter on an inquiry belonging in species rather to inquiries respecting laws than to inquiries respecting constitutions.' The inquiry started by Aristotle in c. 14. 1284 b 35 sqq. is an inquiry respecting Kingship as a constitution (cp. 1284 b 39, ἡ οδ, ἀλλ' ἄλλη τις πολιτεία μᾶλλον). So too in 3. 1. 1274 b 32 it is taken for granted that the subject for consideration is the constitution: cp. 6 (4). 8. 1293 b 29, ἡμῖν δὲ τὴν μέθοδον εἶναι περὶ πολιτείας. For ἔχει εἶδος Bonitz (Ind. 218 b 17) compares Rhet. 2. 22. 1395 b 20, περὶ δ' ἐνθυμημάτων καθόλου τε εἶπωμεν, τίνα τρόπον δεῖ ζητεῖν, καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα τοὺς τόπους ἄλλο γὰρ εἶδος ἐκατέρου τούτων ἐστίν. Notwithstanding what he says here, Aristotle describes in 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 17 sqq. an attempt to abolish the Lacedaemonian Kingship as an attempt to alter 'a part of a constitution.'
- 4. dr dπάσαις γάρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 16. 1287 a 4 sqq. and see note on that passage.

For τοῦτο referring to τῆς τοιαύτης στρατηγίας, see notes on 1263 a 1 and 1291 a 16, and cp. 6 (4). 2. 1289 b 25, where ταῦτα refers to φθοραί and σωτηρίαι.

- 5. ωστ' ἀφείσθω τὴν πρώτην. Aristotle evidently intended to treat of laws some time or other: cp. 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 11 sqq.
- 7. doxn δ' ἐστὶ κ.τ.λ. This is the initial inquiry; it is followed by the further inquiries, τίσι συμφέρει καὶ πῶs (c. 17. 1288 a 30 sq.). The question whether the rule of men or of law is best was as old as the time of Pittacus, if we may trust Diod. 9. 27. 4 and Diog. Laert. 1. 77 (see above on 1281 a 34), and of Solon, to judge by Plut. Solon, c. 14, πολλοί δέ και των δια μέσου πολιτων την ύπο λόγου καὶ νόμου μεταβολήν δρωντες έργώδη καὶ χαλεπήν οὖσαν, οὖκ ἔφευγον ἕνα τὸν δικαιότατον και Φρονιμώτατον επιστήσαι τοις πράγμασιν. Athenian public opinion had long decided the question in favour of law; it identified Democracy with the rule of law and Monarchy and Oligarchy with the rule of persons (vol. i. p. 494, note), and it is in this spirit that Theseus speaks as the representative of Democracy in Eurip. Suppl. 415 sqq. Bothe (429 sqq. Dind.): cp. also Hyperid. Or. Fun. col. 9. 23, ο [υ] γάρ ἀνδρὸς ἀπειλήν, ἀλλὰ νόμου φωνήν κυριεύειν δεῖ τῶν εὐδαιμόνων. The teaching of Socrates, however, gave new life to the discussion. No one rendered a more willing obedience to the laws than he, yet his view that he who knows is the true ruler, and that a parallel exists between the ruler of a State and the master of an art, furnished Monarchy, or at any rate Monarchy in the hands of a scientific ruler, with a fresh ground of claim. master of an art would be prepared to fetter his practice of his art by written rule? It does not appear that Socrates himself ever raised this question, but his views undoubtedly suggested those to which Plato gives expression in Polit, 204 A sqq. and Laws 874 E sqq. See as to Plato's views vol. i. p. 270 sqq. Aristotle in his first inquiry on the subject, contained in c. 15, is led, after a brief discussion (1286 a 9-21) of the question whether the best man or the best laws should rule, to suggest a compromise—let the best man promulgate laws and let laws rule except where they deviate from what is right, or in other words let the best man rule in subjection to law, except where right requires that he shall overrule But at the beginning of c. 16 Aristotle discovers that a ruler in this position would not be an Absolute King, whereas it is the claims of Absolute Kingship that he has promised to examine. Hence the compromise has to be abandoned and a fresh inquiry into the subject undertaken in c. 16 with the result that law should rule in some cases and the One Best Man in others.
 - 9. δοκοῦσι δὴ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Polit. 294 A sqq. Aristotle

agrees that the law from its inevitable generality is unable to regulate some things and fails to regulate others well (1286 a 24), even when the utmost possible degree of ἀκρίβεια is imparted to it. Cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 14. 1137 b 13-32: Pol. 2. 8. 1269 a 9 sqq.: 3. 11. 1282 b 1-6: 3. 15. 1286 a 36 sq.: Rhet. 1. 13. 1374 a 18 sqq. Law is said in c. 16. 1287 b 22 to be unable to regulate things about which men deliberate. For πρὸς τὰ προσπίπτοντα ἐπιτάττειν cp. Xen. Cyrop. 8. 5. 16, πρὸς τὸ συμπῖπτον ἀεὶ διατάττων ἐπορεύετο.

12. For apxel, used of the master of an art, cp. c. 6. 1279 a 4 sq.

καὶ ἐν Αἰγύπτω κ.τ.λ., 'and in Egypt it is permissible for the physicians to change' (i.e. to depart from) 'the rules of treatment prescribed by law after four days' treatment, while if a physician does this before, he does it at his peril.' Bonitz (Ind. 391 a 7) is probably right in supplying τους γεγραμμένους νόμους with κινείν, unless indeed we should rather supply τὰ γράμματα from 12. μετά την τετρήμερον Prof. Postgate (Notes, p. 7) supplies μελέτην: perhaps, however, θεραπείαν is the word which is suppressed (cp. c. 16. 1287 a 40, τὴν ἐκ τῶν γραμμάτων θεραπείαν). For ἐπὶ τῷ αὐτοῦ (not αὐτῶν) κινδύνω, cp. c. 16. 1287 b 30, τοὺς γὰρ τῆ ἀρχῆ καὶ αὐτοῦ φίλους ποιούνται (οί μόναρχοι) συνάρχους, and Eth. Nic. 3. 1. 1110 a 9, άπλως μέν γάρ οὐδεὶς ἀποβάλλεται έκων, ἐπὶ σωτηρία δ' αὐτοῦ καὶ των λοιπων απαντες οι νουν έχοντες. As to the fact Camerarius (Interp. p. 136) refers to Diod. 1. 82. 3, κατά δὲ τὰς στρατείας καὶ τὰς τῆς χώρας έκδημίας θεραπεύονται πάντες οὐδένα μισθον ίδια διδόντες οί γάρ ιατροί τας μέν τροφάς έκ του κοινού λαμβάνουσι, τας δε θεραπείας προσάγουσι κατά νόμον εγγραφον, ύπο πολλών και δεδοξασμένων ιατρών αρχαίων συγγεγραμμένον καν τοις έκ της Ιερας βίβλου νόμοις αναγινωσκομένοις άκολουθήσαντες άδυνατήσωσι σώσαι τον κάμνοντα, άθφοι παντός έγκλήματος απολύονται, εάν δε παρά τὰ γεγραμμένα ποιήσωσι, θανάτου κρίσιν ύπομένουσιν, ήγουμένου τοῦ νομοθέτου τῆς ἐκ πολλών χρόνων παρατετηρημένης θεραπείας και συντεταγμένης ύπο των αρίστων τεχνιτών ολίγους αν γενέσθαι συνετωτέρους. The authority followed by Diodorus does not seem to have been aware that the physicians in Egypt were free after four days to depart from the treatment prescribed by law, if desirable. The reason why they were allowed to do so may have been that a crisis in the disease was thought to occur on the fourth day: cp. Hist. An. 5. 20. 553 a 9, al δε μεταβολαί γίνονται τοις πλείστοις κατά τριήμερον ή τετραήμερον, ώσπερ καὶ αὶ τῶν νόσων συμβαίνουσι κρίσεις. See also Hippocr. De Morb. 4. vol. ii. p. 347 sqq. Kühn, where the

writer explains that the crisis in fevers occurs on days uneven in number, the third, fifth, seventh, or ninth, and adds, μεθίει δὲ τὸ πῦρ ἐν τῆσι περισσῆσι διὰ τόδε, ὅτι ἐν τῆσιν ἀρτίοισι τῶν ἡμερέων ἔλκει τὸ σῶμα ἀπὸ τῆς κοιλίης, ἐν δὲ τῆσι περισσῆσιν ἀφίει, but this teaching hardly agrees with that of the passage just quoted from the History of Animals. Or the view may have been that the full effect of the drugs administered would not be experienced by the patient till the third day: see Hippocr. De Morb. 4. vol. ii. p. 341 Kühn.

16. διὰ τὴν αὐτὴν αἰτίαν, for the same reason for which ἡ κατὰ γράμματα καὶ νόμους θεραπεία is not the best—the reason being that the γράμματα καὶ νόμοι may be unsuitable in the given case.

άλλα μην κ.τ.λ. This is the rejoinder of an advocate of law. 'But yet sif it is made an objection to law that it embodies an universal principle, that universal principle too [no less than other things] must be possessed by the rulers, [so that their sway is open to the same objection, and that from which the affective element is wholly absent is better than that in which it is innate. affective element finds no place in the law, whereas every human soul must have it. [Hence the law is a better ruling authority than a man.]' Κάκεῖνον τὸν λόγον τὸν καθόλου takes up 10, τὸ καθόλου μόνον λέγειν. That without ό καθόλου λύγος a ruler cannot rule aright, we see from 1. 13. 1260 a 17, διὸ τὸν μὲν ἄρχοντα τελέαν ἔχειν δεί την ηθικήν άρετήν (τὸ γὰρ ἔργον ἐστὶν ἀπλῶς τοῦ ἀρχιτέκτονος, ὁ δὲ λόγος ἀρχιτέκτων), and Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1180 b 20-28: cp. Plut. Ad Princ. Inerud. c. 3, τίς οὖν ἄρξει τοῦ ἄρχοντος; ὁ νόμος, ὁ πάντων βασιλεύς θνητών τε καὶ άθανάτων, ως φησι Πίνδαρος, οὐκ ἐν βιβλίοις έξω γεγραμμένος, οὐδέ τισι ξύλοις, ἀλλ' ἔμψυχος ὧν έαυτῷ λόγος, ἀεὶ συνοικῶν καὶ παραφυλάττων καὶ μηδέποτε τὴν ψυχὴν ἐῶν ἔρημον ἡγεμονίας.

- 17. κρείττον δὲ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 b 26 sqq. and Plato, Laws 713 E sqq. As to τὸ παθητικόν see above on 1254 b 8, and compare c. 16. 1287 a 28 sqq., where τὸ παθητικόν is represented by ἐπιθυμία. For ῷ συμφυές cp. Plato, Timaeus 70 E, καὶ κατέδησαν δὴ τὸ τοιοῦτον (i.e. τὸ ἐπιθυμητικόν) ἐνταῦθα ὡς θρέμμα ἄγριον, τρέφειν δὲ ξυνημμένον ἀναγκαῖον, εἴπερ τι μέλλοι τὸ θνητὸν ἔσεσθαι γένος.
- 19. ψυχὴν ἀνθρωπίνην. Cp. c. 10. 1281 a 34 sqq., and Xen. Cyrop. 1. 3. 18, καὶ ὁ σὸς πατὴρ πρῶτος τὰ τεταγμένα μὲν ποιεῖ τῷ πόλει, τὰ τεταγμένα δὲ λαμβάνει, μέτρον δὲ αὐτῷ οὐχ ἡ ψυχὴ ἀλλὰ ὁ νόμος ἐστίν. ᾿Ανθρωπίνην is probably added because the proposal was to put a man in the place of the law.
 - 20. derì τούτου, perhaps rather 'in return for this' than 'in

compensation for this ' ('pro eo quod affectibus non caret,' Bon. Ind. 63 a 57). The presence of an affective element in the individual human being is the price he pays for his deliberating better about particulars. Cp. Hdt. 3. 59, παρὰ δὲ Ἑρμιονέων νῆσον durì χρημάτων παρέλαβον.

- 21. δτι μέν τοίνυν κ.τ.λ. Αὐτόν, i.e. τὸν ἄριστον ἄνδρα. Aristotle here follows in the track of Plato, Polit. 295 D-E, 300 C. He draws the provisional conclusion that it will be best to have a Lawgiver-King content in general to leave supremacy to the law which he has made, but ready to overrule it when it is well that he should do so. (Compare c. 11. 1282 b 1 sqq., where a similar arrangement is suggested.) Plutarch describes in Ages. c. 30, how Agesilaus after Leuctra, seeing how numerous those were who had lost courage in the battle (of rpicarres), advised that the laws which imposed a severe form of ariuia in such cases should 'sleep for a while.' Aristotle, however, goes much further than this, and asks that his Lawgiver-King shall overrule the law not only in critical times, but whenever it deviates from the right. We may compare the powers of overruling law possessed by the Roman Senate, and afterwards by the Emperor, even before the Principate became an Absolute Monarchy (Mommsen, Röm. Staatsrecht, 2. 823 sqq., ed. 1), and also the dispensing power of the Popes and the English Kings (Macaulay, Hist. of England, c. 6). Cowell in the earlier editions of his 'Interpreter, or Law Dictionary,' writing in the reign of James I, who found it necessary to suppress the work by proclamation, said under the title 'King,' 'And though at his coronation he take an oath not to alter the laws of the land, yet, this oath notwithstanding, he may alter or suspend any particular law that seemeth hurtful to the public estate' (Hallam, Const. Hist. of England, c. 6). It must be borne in mind that the King whom Aristotle would invest with powers of this nature is ex hypothesi an avip apioros.
- **24.** δσα δὲ κ.τ.λ. The antecedent to δσα is τούτων οι ταῦτα (after ἄρχειν). Κρίνειν, 'to decide,' as in 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 29.
- 26. καὶ γὰρ νῦν κ.τ.λ. Συνιόντες takes up πάντας (cp. c. 11. 1281 b 34, πάντες . . . συνελθόντες). Κρίνουσιν, 'come to decisions.' Both judicial and deliberative decisions are probably referred to, whereas in c. 11. 1281 b 31, 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 5, and 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 4 κρίνειν refers only to the former. The point of the addition, αὐται δ' αὶ κρίσεις εἰσὶ πᾶσαι περὶ τῶν καθ ἔκαστον, will become clear if we supply

after τῶν καθ ἔκαστον the words 'which are just the things that the law cannot deal with.'

- 28. μèν οὖν, 'true.' This passage seems to be based on c. 11. 1281 a 42 sqq.
- 29. ἀλλ' ἐστὶν ἡ πόλις ἐκ πολλῶν, 'but the State is made up of many individuals, [and therefore is better than any single individual].' Cp. c. 11. 1282 a 38 sq.
- 30. μιᾶς καὶ ἀπλῆς. An έστίασις συμφορητός is really a number of έστιάσεις, and it is compound, not ἀπλῆ.

διὰ τοῦτο. For the asyndeton cp. 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 33, διὰ τοῦτο καλῶς ηὕξατο Φωκυλίδης: 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 11, αὕτη ἡ πολιτεία διαφέρει τε ἀμφοῖν κ.τ.λ.: 7 (5). 11. 1314 a 12, ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα τυραννικὰ μὲν καὶ σωτήρια τῆς ἀρχῆς: Rhet. 2. 6. 1384 a 36, διὰ τοῦτο τοὺς ἀεὶ παρεσομένους μᾶλλον αἰσχύνονται: Magn. Mor. 2. 11. 1209 a 24, ταῦτ' σὺχ δμοίως λέγονται.

καὶ κρίνει ἄμεινον, 'also decides better' [besides being better].

- 31. ἔτι μαλλον . . . 33. αδιαφθορώτερον. A numerous body not only arrives at better decisions than a single individual or a few, but is also less likely to be led astray from the just conclusions at which it arrives. For the structure of the sentence see above Μᾶλλον ἀδιάφθορον, 'less easily seduced': on 1253 b 35-37. cp. Plato, Laws 768 B, δικαστάς έκ τοῦ παραχρημα άδιαφθόρους ταίς δεήσεσι δικάζειν, where the word is explained in Bekk. Anecd. 1. p. 343 by τὸ μὴ παρακεκινημένον τῆς δρθῆς γνώμης (see Stallbaum on the passage). For the thought, cp. 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 41, καὶ τοῦτο δοκοῦσι ποιείν ὀρθῶς εὐδιαφθορώτεροι γὰρ (οί) ὀλίγοι τῶν πολλῶν εἰσὶν κ[aì] κέρδει κ[aì] χάρισιν, and Bryce, American Commonwealth, 2. 78, 'The legislator can be "got at," the people cannot . . . The legislator may be subjected by the advocates of women's suffrage or liquor-prohibition to a pressure irresistible by ordinary mortals, but the citizens are too numerous to be all wheedled or threatened.' Yet the Constitution of the United States looks, and not in vain, to the President to act as a check on the tendency of Congress 'to yield to pressure from a section of its constituents or to temptations of a private nature' (Bryce, 1. 75 sq.).
- 33. $\tau \circ \tilde{v}$ δ' ἐνὸς κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has just been pleading that the decisions of a multitude are less easily seduced by the wrongful influence of others than those of one man, and now he goes on to plead that they are less easily warped by internal passion. Sus. reads $\gamma \acute{a} \rho ~e~conj$. in place of δ', which is the reading of $\Gamma \Pi$, but not,

I think, rightly. When a whole people did come to be mastered by anger, to appease it was impossible; the only thing possible was to let its anger have full course in the hope that it would exhaust itself after a time (Eurip. Orest. 678 sqq. Bothe, 696 sqq. Dindorf).

- 36. ἔστω δὲ τὸ πλῆθος οἱ ἐλεύθεροι κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Laws 701 A, εἰ γὰρ δὴ καὶ δημοκρατία ἐν αὐτῆ τις μόνον ἐγένετο ἐλευθέρων ἀνδρῶν, οὐδὲν ἄν πάνυ γε δεινὸν ἢν τὸ γεγονός, c. 11. 1281 b 15 sqq., 23 sq., and c. 15. 1286 b 31 sq. Aristotle evidently connects the overriding of law with the rule of a πλῆθος of the kind which bears sway in extreme democracies (6 (4). 4. 1292 a 15, 23 sqq.: 6 (4). 6. 1293 a 1 sqq.), a πλῆθος including other elements than οἱ ἐλεύθεροι (6 (4). 6. 1292 b 38 sqq.).
- 38. εἰ δὲ δὴ κ.τ.λ. Τοῦτο, 'this abstinence from overriding of the law.' A high degree of virtue is not attainable by the Many (c. 7. 1279 a 39 sqq.: 4 (7). 11. 1330 b 39). 'Αλλ' εἰ πλείους κ.τ.λ., 'still if there were a plurality of persons good both as men and as citizens.' This is the characteristic of true ἀριστοκρατία (6 (4). 7. 1293 b 5 sq.). For ἀλλά cp. c. 5. 1278 a 9.
- 1. ἀλλ' οἱ μἐν κ.τ.λ. As Giph. points out (p. 395), this view is 1286 b. implied in the argument of Darius in favour of Monarchy (Hdt. 3. 82): compare also the answer of Alexander to the proposal of Darius to share the Persian Kingship with him (Diod. 17. 54. 5). In the quaint story preserved in Stob. Floril. 10. 50 Aristotle hints that even in an individual the right side may fall out with the left. And if the One Man does escape internal discord, his rule may nevertheless be productive of στάσις, for others will be apt to fall out with him (Xen. Anab. 6. 1. 29).
- 2. άλλά κ.τ.λ. Good men do not fall out among themselves (Eth. Nic. 8. 4. 1156 b 11 sq.). Σπουδαΐοι τὴν ψυχήν, cp. Thuc. 2. 40. 5.
- 3. εὶ δὴ κ.τ.λ. This is suggested by Plato, Rep. 445 D, ἐγγενομένου μὲν γὰρ ἀνδρὸς ἐνὸς ἐν τοῖς ἄρχουσι διαφέροντος βασιλεία ἄν κληθείη, πλειόνων δὲ ἀριστοκρατία. (Contrast the account given of ἀριστοκρατία in Plato, Polit. 301 A.) Aristotle is speaking aporetically in the passage before us. It is not his deliberate view that Kingship differs from Aristocracy in being the rule of one good man, while Aristocracy is the rule of several. The true King is one who surpasses in virtue and political capacity all the rest of the citizens put together. No such superiority is possessed by the individual rulers of an Aristocracy.

- 6. καὶ μετὰ δυνάμεως κ.τ.λ., 'both when the Kingly office is accompanied with a bodyguard and when it is not.' It was a drawback to Kingship that it usually involved a bodyguard, and Aristotle says that Aristocracy would be better than Kingship, even if the King had no bodyguard. That Kingship is an $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$, we see from 7 (5). 10. 1313 a 8.
- 7. καὶ διὰ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ., 'and it was perhaps only owing to this that,'etc. 'Only' is often left unexpressed by Aristotle: see above on 1282 a 36 and b 4. The account of the succession of constitutions given in the passage which commences here is aporetic only, and is not in agreement with Aristotle's deliberate opinion on the subject. A quite different account is given in 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 16 sqq., where constitutional changes are connected with changes in the art of war; indeed, in the criticism of Plato which is 'tacked on' (see vol.i. p. 519, note) at the close of the Book on Revolutions (7 (5). 12. 1316 a 1 sqq.) Aristotle seems to deny that there is any regular succession of constitutions (1316 a 20 sqq.). The object of the review here given of the succession of constitutions appears to be to show that the days of Kingship were long past, and that it was in place only when States were small and a few much surpassed the rest in virtue. When States became larger, its place was naturally taken, first by an equal constitution, and then by degenerate forms of this ending in democracy, and when they became larger still, democracy came to be the only constitution which could easily be introduced.
 - 8. For omárior with the infinitive see Liddell and Scott.
- 9. ἄλλως τε καὶ τότε μικρὸς οἰκοῦντας πόλεις. Πόλεις here seems to mean 'States,' not 'cities': see notes on 20 and 1310 b 17. It is implied in the latter passage that States were small when Kingship prevailed.
- 10. ἔτι δὶ κ.τ.λ., 'besides, men instituted their Kings in consequence of benefit conferred, and benefits are the work of good men, [and good men were then rare].' 'Από in ἀπ' εὐεργεσίας marks the 'origo et causa' (Bon. Ind. 77 b 51 sqq.). For the fact, cp. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 10 sqq. That benefits are the work of good men is implied in Xen. Cyrop. 3. 3. 4, δ δ' 'Αρμένιος συμπρούπεμπε (τὸν Κῦρον) καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι πάντες ἄνθρωποι, ἀνακαλοῦντες τὸν εὐεργέτην, τὸν ἄνδρα τὸν ἀγαθόν. In an inscription found at Lycosura and published by Cavvadias in his 'Lycosura' we read ὅπως ἡι πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις γνωστὰ ἄ τε τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν εὐεργεσία ἄ τε τᾶς πόλιος εἰς τοὺς ἀξίους εὐχαριστία.

Complimentary decrees declaring individuals everyérai often speak of them as ardres àyaboi (see e.g. Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 92 and No. 138, line 40).

12. οὐκέτι ὑπέμενον κ.τ.λ., 'they no longer endured [to be ruled by Kings], but sought for something shared in common by all, and established a constitution.' Cp. Plato, Polit. 301 C (quoted on 1287 2 22), Isocr. Hel. § 35, μετά δε ταῦτα (Θησεύς) κοινήν την πατρίδα καταστήσας και τας ψυχάς των συμπολιτευομένων έλευθερώσας έξ ίσου την αμιλλαν αύτοις περί της άρετης εποίησε κ.τ.λ., and Paus. 9. 5. 16, τὸ δὲ έντεῦθεν διὰ πλειόνων πολιτεύεσθαι μηδε ἀπ' ἀνδρὸς ένδς ήρτησθαι τὰ πάντα αμεινον εφαίνετο τοις Θηβαίοις. We should infer from the passage before us that the constitution established after the fall of Kingship was one which gave supreme power to 'many' (cp. 12, πολλούς όμοίους πρός άρετήν), but we are told in 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 16 sqq. that it was an oligarchy of knights. For κοινόν τι, cp. c. 3. 1276 b 1, είπερ γάρ έστι κοινωνία τις ή πόλις, έστι δε κοινωνία πολιτών πολιτείας: Plut. Aristid. c. 22, γράφει ψήφισμα (Αριστείδης) κοινήν είναι την πολιτείαν καί τους άρχοντας εξ' Αθηναίων πάντων αιρείσθαι: and (with Bon. Ind. 399 a 60) Pol. 6 (4). 11. 1296 a 29 sqq. In the passage before us. as often elsewhere when the object is easily supplied, 'accusativus eius rei, quam quis ὑπομένει, omittitur' (see Bon. Ind. 800 b 61, where Hist. An. 9. 12. 615 b 18 is referred to among other passages). indeed quite in Aristotle's way to suppress the accusative governed by a verb: see below on 18, and see note on 1273 b 18. Here, as in the Seventh (Fifth) Book, Monarchies, or at any rate Kingships, are marked off from Constitutions (see vol. i. p. 521 and vol. ii. p. xxvii).

14. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Rep. 550 D sqq., which is corrected in 7 (5). 12. 1316 a 39 sqq. The meaning of ἔντιμον γὰρ ἐποίησαν τὸν πλοῦτον will be clearer if we translate 'for they made wealth [and not virtue] the honoured thing.' In an aristocracy virtue should be honoured above wealth, if it is to be durable (2. 11. 1273 a 37-b 1). That τὸ ἔντιμον ποιεῖν τὸν πλοῦτον is a sign of oligarchy, we see from Eurip. Fragm. 628,

δήμφ δε μήτε πῶν ἀναρτήσης κράτος μήτ αι κακώσης, πλοῦτον ἔντιμον τιθείς.

Cp. also Plato, Rep. 564 D.

16. εκ δε τούτων κ.τ.λ. Plato in the Republic (555 B sqq.) had made oligarchy pass into democracy and democracy into tyranny, but Aristotle here makes oligarchy pass into tyranny and tyranny

into democracy, ingeniously suggesting that tyranny is an intensification of oligarchy, both these constitutions resting on a sordid love of gain (cp. 7 (5). 10. 1311 a 8 sqq.), but differing in this that tyranny claims for one man what oligarchy claims for a few (cp. 8 (6). 3. 1318 a 22 sqq.). Oligarchy did often pass into tyranny (7 (5). 12. 1316 a 34 sqq.), and tyranny into democracy (1316 a 32), but in 7 (5). 12. 1316 a 20-39 Aristotle appears to reject anything like a fixed succession of constitutions. We are also there told that constitutions less often change into cognate forms than into opposite forms (1316 a 18 sqq.), so that we do not expect oligarchy often to pass into the cognate form, tyranny.

- 17. τῶν τυραννίδων. The article is added because τυραννίδας precedes in 16. For other instances of the same thing see 4 (7).

 14. 1332 b 12–15 (ἀρχόντων καὶ ἀρχομένων followed by τοὺς ἄρχοντας καὶ τοὺς ἀρχομένους), 5 (8). 7. 1341 b 38 sq., 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 36, 6 (4). 11. 1295 a 37, 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 33, 7 (5). 8. 1308 b 22 sq., etc.
- 18. αἰεὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. For the omission of the object of ἄγουτες see above on 12: τὴν πολιτείαν is probably to be supplied, cp. 7 (5). 6. 1305 b 36, ἔτι δ' ὅταν ἔνιοι εἰς ἐλάττους ἔλκωσι τὴν ὁλιγαρχίαν, and 6 (4). 11. 1296 a 25, οἱ τὸ μέσον ἐκβαίνοντες καθ' αὐτοὺς ἄγουσι τὴν πολιτείαν. For the risks attaching to the exclusion of a large number of citizens from office see above on 1281 b 28. For ἰσχυρότερον τὸ πλῆθος κατέστησαν, cp. 8 (6). 4. 1319 b 7, τὸν δῆμον ποιεῖν ἰσχυρόν.
- 20. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'but now that States have come to be even larger [than they were when it first happened that many were alike in virtue], perhaps it is no longer even easily possible, [much less suitable to the circumstances,] for any other constitution than democracy to come into existence.' Ἐπεὶ δὲ καὶ μείζους εἶναι συμβέβηκε τὰς πόλεις answers to II, ἐπεὶ δὲ συνέβαινε γίγνεσθαι πολλούς ὁμοίους πρὸς ἀρετήν. I translate τὰς πόλεις 'States' (with Sus. and Welldon), not 'cities' (as Bernays), because the words must apparently bear the same meaning as in 10, where I render πόλεις 'States' Cp. 6 (4). 6. 1293 a 1 sqq., 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 22, and 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 17 sq. Compare also Isocr. Areopag. § 62, where the word πόλεων seems to mean 'States,' not 'cities.' In [Demosth.] c. Neaer. c. 75 it is not clear whether ἡ πόλις means 'the State' or 'the city.' In 7 (5). 5. 1305 a 18 sqq. τὰς πόλεις evidently means 'the cities.' For οὐδὲ ῥάδιον, cp. c. 16. 1287 a 10, οὐδὲ κατὰ φύσιν, 1287 b 8, οὐδὲ ῥάδιον, and c. 2. 1275 b 32, οὐδὲ δυνατόν.

- 23. πότερον καὶ τὸ γένος δεῖ βασιλεύειν; Bonitz (Ind. 150 b 4) explains τὸ γένος here by τὰ τέκνα, but perhaps it means the descendants generally (cp. Thuc. 1. 126. 12, 13).
 - 25. κύριος ων, 'although he has the power to do so.'
- 26. ἀλλ' οὐκέτι κ.τ.λ., 'but here we reach a statement which it is no longer easy to believe,' 'here we pass the point at which belief is easy.' For οὐκέτι cp. 4 (7). 3. 1325 b 3 sqq. Aristotle's friend Antipater, however, refrained on his deathbed from passing on his regency to his son Cassander and appointed Polysperchon, who was not related to him, regent instead (Diod. 18, 48, 4: Thirlwall. Hist. of Greece, 7. 238). Marcus Aurelius, on the other hand, shrank from excluding his son Commodus from the succession, 'and his weakness must reflect strongly on his memory. He may have judged, indeed, that the danger to the State from a bad prince was less than the danger from a disputed succession, especially in the face of the disasters accumulating around it' (Merivale, Hist, of the Romans under the Empire, 8, 348). Giphanius (p. 397) thinks that Aristotle is led by the difficulties which he raises in the passage before us to reject hereditary Monarchy altogether, but this is not the case, for he believes in the existence of families in which surpassing virtue is hereditary, and in their case he approves of hereditary Monarchy (c. 17. 1288 a 15 sqq.).
- 27. ἔχει δ' ἀπορίαν κ.τ.λ., 'there is matter for debate, again, in the question with respect to the bodyguard also [as well as in that with respect to the children], whether,' etc. "Εχει is here used impersonally: see Bon. Ind. 305 b 31 sqq., where Phys. 1. 2. 185 b 11, ἔχει δ' ἀπορίαν περὶ τοῦ μέρους καὶ τοῦ ὅλου... πότερον ἐν ἢ πλείω τὸ μέρος καὶ τὸ ὅλον, is referred to. The Lacedaemonian Kings had a bodyguard (Isocr. Epist. 2. § 6), and in Hom. Il. 1. 324 Agamemnon says of Achilles,

ελ δέ κε μη δώησιν, έγω δέ κεν αὐτὸς έλωμαι έλθων ξύν πλεόνεσσι: τό οί καὶ ρίγιον έσται.

31. μηδὲν πράττων κ.τ.λ. Cp. 1286 a 36 sq. and Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 5. 74, νόμοις μὲν ὀλίγα χρωμένων, ταῖς δ' ἐαυτῶν γνώμαις τὰ πολλὰ διοικούντων.

34. µèv oûv is answered by ôé, c. 16. 1287 a 1.

τον βασιλέα τον τοιούτον, i.e. τον κατά νόμον βασιλέα.

35. δεῖ γὰρ αὐτὸν μἐν ἔχειν ἰσχὸν κ.τ.λ. Μέν really belongs to ἐσχύν, but ' interdum non ei additur vocabulo in quo vis oppositionis

cernitur' (Bon. Ind. 454 a 20, where 6 (4). 5. 1292 b 12 sqq. is referred to: cp. also 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 32 sqq.).

36. ὧστε κ.τ.λ. For the suppression of εἶναι, see Vahlen on Poet. 24. 1459 b 7, where reference is made to Poet. 15. 1454 a 34, ζητεῖν ἢ τὸ ἀναγκαῖον ἢ τὸ εἰκός, ὧστε τὸν τοιοῦτον τὰ τοιαῦτα λέγειν ἢ πράττειν ἢ ἀναγκαῖον ἢ εἰκός (sc. εἶναι). See also notes on 1277 a 38 and 1327 a 34.

έκάστου καὶ ένὸς καὶ συμπλειόνων. Cp. Plato, Laws 932 C, εἰς δικαστήριον εἰσαγόντων αὐτοὺς εἰς ἔνα καὶ ἔκαστον τῶν πολιτῶν, οἶτινες ἀν ὧσι πρεσβύτατοι ἀπάντων, where ἔνα καὶ ἔκαστον seems to mean much the same thing as ἔνα ἔκαστον. No other instance of the occurrence of the word συμπλείονες in Aristotle's writings is given in the Index Aristotelicus, and it is an extremely rare word. Σύμπολλοι occurs in Plato, Polit. 261 E and elsewhere.

37. τοῦ πλήθους, 'the whole body of citizens': cp. 4 (7). 6. 1327 b 18, περὶ δὲ τοῦ πολιτικοῦ πλήθους.

καθάπερ κ.τ.λ., 'after the fashion in which the ancients granted bodyguards, whenever they set up one whom they called Aesymnete or tyrant of the State.' Bonitz (Ind. 779 b 52) is probably right in making τύραννον as well as αἰσνιμνήτην in the accusative after ἐκάλουν and not taking τύραννον with καθισταῖεν. As to οἱ ἀρχαῖοι see above on 1285 a 30. For ὅτε καθισταῖεν, 'whenever they set up,' cp. 7 (5). 5. 1305 a 7, 21. The contrast with ὅτ' ἢτει illustrates Eucken's remark (De Partic. Usu, p. 67), 'ὅτε utrum cum indicativo an cum optativo ponatur, ab Aristotele accurate distinguitur.'

39. δτ' ήτει τούς φύλακας. Cp. Diod. 13. 95. 3 sqq.

C. 16. 1. τοῦ βασιλέως τοῦ κατὰ τὴν αὐτοῦ βούλησιν πάντα πράττοντος. 1287 a. Aristotle is thinking of a King like the King of the Persians (Hdt. 3. 31, ἄλλον μέντοι ἐξευρηκέναι νόμον, τῷ βασιλεύοντι Περσέων ἐξεῦναι ποιέειν τὸ ἄν βούληται).

4. καθάπερ εἴπομεν, in c. 15. 1286 a 2 sqq.

dν πάσαις γὰρ κ.τ.λ. The example of the Lacedaemonian ἀριστοκρατία shows that a perpetual, and indeed an hereditary, generalship might exist in an ἀριστοκρατία. Perpetual magistracies were also not unknown in democracies, though the tendency there was to clip their wings (8 (6). 2. 1317 b 41 sqq.). As to Thessaly, cp. Diod. 15. 60. 2, διόπερ οι Θετταλοὶ προστησάμενοι τῶν ὅλων ἡγεμόνα Ἰιάσονα τούτφ τὰ κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον ἐπέτρεψαν. We are reminded of the Stadtholders of Holland, as to whom see Lord Macaulay, Hist. of England, c. 2. 'The Stadtholder,' he says, 'commanded the forces of the common-

wealth, disposed of all military commands, had a large share of the civil patronage, and was surrounded by pomp almost regal.'

- 8. καὶ πολλοὶ ποιούσιν ένα κύριον τῆς διοικήσεως, 'and many make one man supreme over the internal administration of the State'the opposite province to that of a perpetual general—and thus virtually constitute a Kingship according to law of a different kind. Διοίκησις is here opposed to στρατηγία, as Sus. (Index s.v.) has already pointed out: cp. Isocr. Panath. § 128, καὶ κατὰ πόλεμον καὶ περί διοίκησιν της πόλεως, and 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 43 init., where al περί την έγκύκλιον διοίκησιν άρχαί are distinguished from αί πρός τον πόλεμον. Cp. also Deinarch. c. Demosth. c. 97, του μέν έν ταις πολεμικαις πράξεσιν ἄπιστον γεγενημένον, έν δε ταις κατά την πόλιν οικονομίαις äχρηστον. As to Epidamnus, cp. 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 25. Epidamnus and Opus were both of them oligarchical States (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 39 sqq., 236). Some oligarchies went further and placed the greatest offices—both military and civil, it would seem in the hands of one man (7 (5). 10. 1310 b 22). Pharsalus was probably an oligarchy when it placed the administration in the hands of Polydamas (Xen. Hell. 6. 1. 2, ouros de kai en th aban Θετταλία μάλα εὐδοκίμει, καὶ ἐν αὐτῆ δὲ τῆ πόλει οὕτως ἐδόκει καλός τε κάγαθὸς είναι ώστε και στασιάσαντες οι Φαρσάλιοι παρακατέθεντο αὐτῷ την ακρόπολιν, και τας προσόδους επέτρεψαν λαμβάνοντι, όσα εγέγραπτο έν τοις νόμοις, είς τε τὰ lepà αναλίσκειν και els την άλλην διοίκησιν). But the same tendency is traceable even in democracies. For instance, we find a great authority wielded at Athens by δ ἐπὶ τῆ διοικήσει (Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 248). This important office, however, to judge by the silence of the 'A θ_{η} ναίων Πολιτεία, did not exist at the time when this treatise was written (Gilbert, ibid.), and very possibly did not come into existence till after Aristotle's death. A multiplicity of magistrates (ἡ πολυαρχία), with the attendant 'circumlocution' and rivalries, often did harm to Greek States, as we can judge from Xen. Anab. 6. 1. 18 and Plut. Camill. c. 18, and they often gained by placing power in the hands of one man, thus anticipating on a small scale the experience of the Romans in relation to the Empire.
- 7. καὶ περὶ Ἐπίδαμνον, 'at Epidamnus for instance' (see above on 1266 b 22, καὶ περὶ Λευκάδα).

καὶ περὶ 'Οποῦντα δὰ κατά τι μέρος ἔλαττον, 'and indeed at Opus to a certain smaller extent': cp. Plato, Laws 757 D, εἰ μέλλει στάσεων έαυτἢ μὴ προσκοινωνήσειν κατά τι μέρος, and Tim. 86 D, τὸ δὲ

αληθές, ή περὶ τὰ ἀφροδίσια ἀκολασία κατὰ τὸ πολύ μέρος διὰ τὴν ἐνὸς γένους ἔξιν ὑπὸ μανότητος ὀστῶν ἐν σώματι ρυώδη καὶ ὑγραίνουσαν νόσος ψυχῆς γέγονε: Diog. ap. Stob. Floril. 9. 49, οὐ γὰρ πειράσεται αὐτὸν ἀδικεῖν οὐδὲ καθ ἐν μέρος. Gilbert (Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 41. 1) thinks that the office at Opus referred to is that of the ἀρχός mentioned in an inscription (Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 63, p. 118), but this is uncertain. The office of κοσμόπολις, to which Sus.², Note 671 (Sus.⁴, 1. p. 439), takes Aristotle to refer, existed at the Epizephyrian Locri (Polyb. 12. 16. 6, 9), but we do not know that it existed among the Opuntian Locrians.

- 8. περὶ δὲ τῆς παμβασιλείας καλουμένης κ.τ.λ. Susemihl places the mark of a lacuna after ὁ βασιλεύς, 10, but not, it would seem, rightly, for a sentence constructed in a very similar way occurs in 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 40, περὶ δὲ τοῦ κοινωνεῖν τῆς μουσικῆς, οὐ διὰ ταύτην μόνην, ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὸ χρήσιμον εἶναι πρὸς τὰς ἀναπαύσεις, ὡς ἔοικεν—οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ ζητητέον μή ποτε τοῦτο μὲν συμβέβηκε κ.τ.λ. We should probably supply ποιητέον τὴν σκέψιν after βασιλεύς, 10, from 2.
 - 9. ἄρχει πάντα, cp. c. 14. 1285 b 13 sq.
- 10. ἐαυτοῦ. In 1287 a 1 all MSS. have αὐτοῦ (except those which have wrongly αὐτοῦ), and this form 'longe frequentius apud Aristotelem exhibetur' (Bon. Ind. 211 b 45). In 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 17 all MSS. have κατὰ τὴν αὐτῶν γνώμην.

οὐδὲ κατὰ φύσιν, 'not even natural,' much less expedient, and the question raised at the commencement of c. 14 was whether Kingship is expedient. Cp. Eurip. Fragm. 172 (from the 'Αντιγόνη),

οῦτ' εἰκὸς ἄρχειν οῦτ' ἐχρῆν ἄνευ νόμου (εἶναι νόμον libri) τύραννον εἶναι' μωρία δὲ καὶ θέλειν,

δς τῶν ὁμοίων βούλεται κρατεῖν μόνος.

- 11. For eva mártur see note on 1281 a 13.
- 12. τοις γάρ όμοιοις κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 12. 1282 b 26, τοις γάρ διαφέρουσιν ἔτερον είναι τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ κατ' ἀξίαν, and 4 (7). 14. 1332 b 27.
- 14. ἄστ' εἴπερ . . . 16. τοὺς ἴσους. For the structure of this sentence see above on 1253 b 35-37. Goettling and Sus. add καί ε conj. before οὕτως, but without necessity. The pleonastic addition of τοίνυν in the apodosis, 16 (Π¹ omit it, but in all probability wrongly), is quite Aristotelian (see περὶ ὕπνου καὶ ἐγρηγόρσεως 2. 455 a 12-26 and Bonitz, Aristotel. Studien, 2. 72 sq.), no less than the similar use of οὖν in the apodosis, as to which see Bon. Ind. 540 b 15 sqq. and Bonitz, Aristot. Stud. 2. 59 sqq. ἔΕχειν is to be supplied with τὸ ἄνισον τοὺς ἵσους in 16.

- τροφήν ή ἐσθῆτα. As to τροφήν, Mr. Broughton has already referred to Eth. Nic. 2. 5. 1106 a 36 sqq. (cp. also Plato, Laws 691 C). As to ἐσθῆτα, a big man in a small garment would suffer physically from cold, and a small man in a large garment from heat.
- 16. διόπερ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 2. 2. 1261 a 32 sqq. The subject of ἄρχειν is τοὺς ἴσους supplied from the preceding sentence.
- 18. ἡ γὰρ τάξις νόμος. Τάξις and νόμος are conjoined in Plato, Phileb. 26 B and Laws 673 E. Cp. 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 29.
- τον ἄρα νόμον κ.τ.λ. 'Inter articulum et nomen ἄρα collocatum legitur in De Caelo 4. 4. 311 b 27, τὸ ἄρα πῦρ οὐδὲν ἔχει βάρος' (Bon. Ind. s.v.). Μᾶλλον is occasionally used by Aristotle not only in the same clause with a comparative (as in Plato, Polit. 259 C sub fin.), but also, it would seem, in close connexion with it (e.g. in Hist. An. 9. 1. 608 b 5, μᾶλλον φανερώτερα: see other instances given in Bon. Ind. 402 b 53 sqq.), and it may be so used here (cp. Top. 3. 1. 116 b 23, καὶ ὅλως τὸ πρὸς τὸ τοῦ βίου τέλος αἰρετώτερον μᾶλλον ἡ τὸ πρὸς ἄλλο τι, οἶον τὸ πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν συντεῖνον ἡ τὸ πρὸς φρόνησων). But as Bonitz says of the passages in which μᾶλλον is used with a comparative, 'saepe dubites utrum μᾶλλον "magis" an "potius" significet,' and μᾶλλον ἡ may mean 'potius quam' in the passage before us.
- 20. κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν δễ κ.τ.λ., 'and in accordance with this same contention, even if it should be better that certain individuals should rule [and not the law alone], it will be right to make these individuals guardians of the laws and ministers to the laws, [for otherwise the law will not rule].' Magistrates who are only guardians of the laws are contrasted with Kings by Plato in Polit. 305 C, καὶ τὴν τῶν δικαστῶν ἄρα ρώμην ἀνευρίσκομεν οὐ βασιλικὴν οὖσαν, ἀλλὰ νόμων φύλακα καὶ ὑπηρέτιν ἐκείνης: compare what Plutarch says of Theseus in Thes. c. 24, τοῖς δὲ δυνατοῖς ἀβασίλευτον πολιτείαν προτείνων καὶ δημοκρατίαν αὐτῷ μόνον ἄρχοντι πολέμου καὶ νόμων φύλακι χρησομένην. Cp. also Plato, Laws 715 C-D. The archons at Athens swore συμφυλάξειν τοὺς νόμους (Pollux, 8. 86).
- 22. ἀναγκαῖον γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Magistrates are necessary, because there are things which the law cannot regulate (1287 b 19-25). With ἔνα τοῦτον (cp. c. 17. 1288 a 19) supply ἄρχειν, and cp. Plato, Polit. 301 C, οῦτω δὴ τύραννός τε γέγονε, φαμέν, καὶ βασιλεὺς καὶ δλιγαρχία καὶ ἀριστοκρατία καὶ δημοκρατία, δυσχερανάντων τῶν ἀνθρώπων τὸν ἕνα ἐκεῖνον μόναρχον.

- 28. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. See on this passage vol. i. p. 273, note 2, where the view which Bernays takes of it has been explained. His rendering is, '[hier wendet vielleicht Jemand ein: gegen die Lückenhaftigkeit des Gesetzes helfen Beamte nicht, denn] wo das Gesetz ausser Stande scheint, etwas Bestimmtes zu verordnen. wird auch wohl kein Mensch im Stande sein, sich ein festes Urtheil zu bilden.' I still prefer the explanation which has been given in vol. i. p. 273. I take ἀλλὰ μὴν . . . γε to introduce not an objection proceeding from an advocate of the claims of the One Best Man—objections are commonly introduced by ἀλλά, as in c. 15. 1286 b 24, 26—but a still more cogent argument in favour of the claims of Law than those which have hitherto been urged. ('Aλλά μην ... γε introduces a similar transition from a statement advanced with less emphasis to a statement advanced with more in 2. 9. 1271 a 18-22, 3. 13. 1284 b 30, and 3. 16. 1287 a 41.) Aristotle has been reminded by what he has just said, avaykaîov yap elval rivas doxás (22), that there are things which the law cannot regulate, so that as to them the law cannot rule, as he has said in 18 sqq, that it ought to do, and now he adds that with respect to these things the law is no worse off than a human being would be. They are as much beyond the cognizance of a human being as they are beyond definition by the law. But the law does all that can be done in relation to them, for it educates the magistrates to supply its own defect of particularity, and it also allows of its own amendment.
 - 25. ἀλλ' ἐπίτηδες κ.τ.λ. Cp. 1287 b 25, κρίνει γὰρ ἔκαστος ἄρχων πεπαιδευμένος ὑπὸ τοῦ νόμου καλῶς. It has already been pointed out (vol. i. p. 273, note 1), that Aristotle here has before him the oath taken by the Athenian juror. See Demosth. in Lept. c. 118. A similar oath is prescribed to be taken by jurors in an inscription from Eresus in Lesbos (Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 125, p. 211). The expression κατὰ γνώμαν τὰν δικαιστάταν occurs also in an inscription from Calymna and in the oath of the Delphian Amphictyons (Dareste, Inscriptions Juridiques Grecques, 1. 170). Its meaning may be gathered from Demosth. in Eubulid. c. 63, ἔκ τε γὰρ τοῦ ἄρκου ἐξήλειψαν τὸ ψηφιεῖσθαι γνώμη τῆ δικαιστάτη καὶ οὕτε χάριτος ἔνεκ' οῦτ' ἔχθρας. The term τοὺς ἄρχοντας, however, includes not only jurors (cp. 1287 b 15 sq.) but office-holders generally, as may be inferred from the words κρίνειν καὶ διοικεῖν. For ἐφίστησι κρίνειν καὶ διοικεῖν τοὺς ἄρχοντας Schneider compares Isocr. Areopag.

§ 37, &στε την έξ 'Αρείου πάγου βουλην ἐπέστησαν ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τῆς εὐκοσμίας. Τὰ λοιπά, 'whatever it cannot regulate in detail.'

27. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle perhaps remembers Plato, Laws 772 B, ζώντος μὲν τοῦ τάξαντος νομοθέτου κοινῆ, τέλος δὲ σχάντος αὐτὰς ἐκάστας τὰς ἀρχὰς εἰς τοὺς νομοφύλακας εἰσφερούσας τὸ παραλειπόμενον τῆς αὐτῶν ἀρχῆς ἐπανορθοῦσθαι, μέχρι περ ἀν τέλος ἔχειν ἔκαστον δόξη τοῦ καλῶς ἐξειργάσθαι. Contrast Plato's language in Polit. 294 B sq.

28. δ μὲν οδν κ.τ.λ. I take οδν here to contain an inference, as in 1. 1. 1252 a 7 (see note), and translate 'therefore.' Attention has been drawn in what precedes to the reasonableness of law. The contrast of θεός and θηρίον and of both with ἄνθρωπος is familiar to us from 1. 2. 1253 a 27 sqq. The rule of law had been represented by Plato (Laws 713 C-714 A) as an approach to the rule of the δαίμονες of Cronus, νόμος being explained as νοῦ διανομή (cp. Laws 674 B). Reason is, in fact, often identified with God, e.g. in Eurip. Fragm. 1007,

δ νοῦς γὰρ ήμῶν ἐστιν ἐν ἐκάστφ θεός:

cp. Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 281, $p\hat{q}$ $\pi \epsilon i\theta o v$: $\delta \mu o i a \tau \hat{\eta}$, $\pi \epsilon i\theta o v$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{q}$. Aristotle conceives a human being as an union of a god in the shape of reason (cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 b 26 sqq.) with a brute, much as Plato in Rep. 588 C sqq. conceives the human soul as three shapes under the external aspect of a man, the shape of a many-headed animal, the shape of a lion, and the shape of a man, representing respectively desire, $\theta \nu \mu d s$, and reason. That a brute is present in every human being was suggested by such phrases as those used by the Chorus of Women in the Lysistrata of Aristophanes (683 sq. Didot),

εί νη τω θεώ με ζωπυρήσεις, λύσω την έμαυτης δυ έγω δή,

where a proverb is alluded to (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 318).

81. καὶ ὁ θυμὸς κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably remembers Hom. Il. 9. 553.

άλλ' ὅτε δη Μελέαγρον ἔδυ χόλος, ὅστε καὶ ἄλλων οἰδάνει ἐν στήθεσσι νόον πύκα περ φρονεόντων,

and Pindar, Olymp. 7. 27-31. The remark would gain in interest if it was suggested by the complicity of Dion in the murder of Heracleides at Syracuse (Plut. Dion, cc. 47, 53) or by Alexander's murder of Cleitus in B. C. 328, but it would be rash to assume this.

- For διαστρέφει cp. Polyb. 8. 24. 3, Καύαρος ὁ Γαλάτης, ὧν τἄλλα ἀνὴρ ἀγαθός, ὑπὸ Σωστράτου τοῦ κόλακος διεστρέφετο.
- 32. διόπερ ἄνευ ὀρέξεως νοῦς ὁ νόμος ἐστίν, 'hence' (i.e. because Law is God and Reason unmixed with anything else) 'Law is Reason without appetite,' and Reason without appetite is better than Reason with appetite (c. 15. 1286 a 17 sq.). Cp. De An. 3. 10. 433 a 26, νοῦς μὲν οὖν πᾶς ὀρθός ὅρεξις δὲ καὶ φαντασία καὶ ὀρθή καὶ οὖκ ὀρθή. Anaxagoras had said that it is by virtue of being ἀμιγής and pure that νοῦς subdues everything (Fragm. 6 in Mullach, Fragm. Philos. Gr. 1. 249: Aristot. Phys. 8. 5. 256 b 24 sqq.: De An. 3. 4. 429 a 18 sqq.).
- 33. τὸ δὲ τῶν τεχνῶν κ.τ.λ. This corrects the argument used in c. 15. 1286 a 11 sqq. Τοτι κ.τ.λ. gives, in explanation of τὸ τῶν τεχνῶν παράδειγμα, the point which the parallel of the arts is adduced to prove.
- **34.** For καὶ αἰρετώτερον cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 9. 1179 a 6, οἱ γὰρ ἐδιῶται τῶν δυναστῶν οὐχ ἦττον δοκοῦσι τὰ ἐπιεικῆ πράττειν, ἀλλὰ καὶ μᾶλλον, Pol. 2. 4. 1262 a 30, and 5 (8). 7. 1341 b 37. See critical note.
- 35. οἱ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for [it is better to be treated by physicians rather than by written rule only because] physicians do not do anything contrary to right reason for the sake of friendship.' I follow Bernays in thus completing the reasoning. For παρὰ τὸν λόγον cp. Eth. Nic. 7. 11. 1151 b 34, ὅ τε γὰρ ἐγκρατὴς οἶος μηδὲν παρὰ τὸν λόγον διὰ τὰς σωματικὰς ἡδονὰς ποιεῖν καὶ ὁ σώφρων κ.τ.λ., and 4. 11. 1125 b 33 sqq.
- 37. οἱ δ' ἐν ταῖς πολιτικαῖς ἀρχαῖς κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plut. Aristid. c. 4, where Plutarch says of Aristides, οὐ μόνον δὲ πρὸς εὔνοιαν καὶ χάριν ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς ὀργὴν καὶ πρὸς ἔχθραν ἰσχυρότατος ἢν ὑπὲρ τῶν δικαίων ἀντιστῆναι. As to ἐπήρεια see note on 1311 a 37.
- 38. ἐπεὶ κ.τ.λ. This passage may be rendered in two different ways. 1. With Liddell and Scott (who compare Strabo, p. 259, πρῶτοι δὲ νόμοις ἐγγράπτοις χρήσασθαι πεπιστευμένοι εἰσί) and others, we may take διαφθείρειν as in the infinitive after πιστευθέντας τοῖς ἐχθροῖς, and translate 'since when [the case is otherwise and] patients suspect physicians of being commissioned by their enemies to destroy them for the sake of gain.' 2. We may (with Bernays) take διαφθείρειν as in the infinitive after ὑποπτεύωσι τοὺς ἰστρούς. I incline to prefer the latter rendering, especially as διὰ κέρδος comes in a little awkwardly, if we adopt the former. Aristotle has

before him here Plato, Polit. 298 A, καὶ δὴ καὶ τελευτῶντες ἡ παρὰ ξυγγενῶν ἡ παρά τινων ἐχθρῶν τοῦ κάμνοντος χρήματα μισθὸν λαμβάνοντες (οἱ ἰατροὶ) ἀποκτιννύασιν: indeed, he only repeats what Plato himself in effect says in Polit. 300 A. If it was not clear that he has this passage of Plato before him, we might be tempted to imagine that he alludes to a well-known incident in Alexander's career, the relation of which in Plutarch's Life of Alexander (c. 19) begins thus, ἐν τούτῳ δὲ Παρμενίων ἔπεμψεν ἐπιστολὴν ἀπὸ στρατοπέδου, διακελευόμενος αὐτῷ (i. e. ᾿Αλεξάνδρῳ) ψυλάξασθαι τὸν Φίλιππον (his physician Philip the Acarnanian) ὡς ὑπὸ Δαρείου πεπεισμένον (cp. πιστευθίντας τοῖς ἐχθροῖς) ἐπὶ δωρεαῖς μεγάλαις (cp. διὰ κέρδος) καὶ γάμῳ θυγατρὸς ἀνελεῖν ᾿Αλέξανδρον: compare Arrian, Anab. 2. 4. 9, ἐν τούτῳ δὲ ᾿Αλεξάνδρφ δοθῆναι ἐπιστολὴν παρὰ Παρμενίωνος ψυλάξασθαι Φίλιππον ἀκούειν γὰρ διεφθάρθαι ὑπὸ Δαρείου χρήμασιν ὥστε φαρμάκῳ ἀποκτεῖναι ᾿Αλέξανδρον. This happened in B. C. 333.

40. την ἐκ τῶν γραμμάτων θεραπείαν, 'the treatment prescribed by the writings,' like τὸν ἐκ τῶν νόμων χρόνον in Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 28.

41. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. 'Αλλὰ μὴν... γε, 'but certainly,' as elsewhere. ' Ἰστρὸν εἰσάγειν τινί, to call in a physician for another, Xen. Mem. 2. 4. 3, Demosth. c. Everg. et Mnesib. c. 67, but in Med. of the physician himself when ill' (Liddell and Scott, who refer to the passage. before us). 'Εφ' ἐσυτούς, ' to take charge of themselves' (see note on 1273 b 19, ἐπὶ τὰς πόλεις). Not only do patients prefer a written scheme of treatment to treatment by physicians whom they regard as corrupted by their foes, but physicians themselves show distrust even of medical advice which is simply wanting in dispassionateness, for, when they are sick, they do not treat themselves, but call in other physicians. They do so because they feel that they are themselves at such a time under the influence of emotion, and that they need the guidance of a neutral dispassionate authority.

3. διὰ τὸ κρίνειν περί τε οἰκείων καὶ ἐν πάθει ἄντες. Cp. Thuc. 1. 1287 b. 63, παρῆλθε παρὰ τὴν χηλὴν διὰ τῆς θαλάσσης βαλλόμενός τε καὶ χαλεπῶς, and see Mr. W. H. Forbes, Thucydides Book i. p. 151. For ἐν πάθει ὅντες cp. Eth. Nic. 7. 8. 1150 a 27 sqq. and 7. 5. 1147 b 9 sqq. Aristotle seems to think that not only sick physicians, but also gymnastic trainers, when engaged in gymnastic exercises, would be ἐν πάθει.

ώστε δήλον κ.τ.λ. Supply οί τον νόμον ζητούντες as the nom. to

ζητοῦσιν. In 1287 b 23 we have to supply in a similar way 'the advocates of the supremacy of law.' 'And so it is clear that [those who seek for written law] in seeking for that which is just seek for that which is neutral, for the law is that which is neutral.' This is made clear by the practice of physicians to which reference has just been made. So that the parallel of the arts, far from telling against the use of written law in the State, as some claimed that it does, in reality furnishes an argument in favour of its use. That the way to the just lies through the neutral, we see from Eth. Nic. 5. 7. 1132 a 19 (already compared by Eaton), διδ καὶ ὅταν ἀμφισβητῶσιν, ἐπὶ τὸν δικαστὴν καταφεύγουσιν τὸ δ' ἐπὶ τὸν δικαστὴν lέναι ἐστὶν ἐπὶ τὸ δίκαιον ὁ γὰρ δικαστὴν βούλεται εἶναι οἶον δίκαιον ἔμψυχον καὶ ζητοῦσι δικαστὴν μέσον, καὶ καλοῦσιν ἕνιοι μεσιδίουs, ὡς ἐὰν τοῦ μέσον τύχωσι, τοῦ δικαίου τευξόμενοι. Sus., following Thurot, reads ὁ δὲ νόμος in place of ὁ γὰρ νόμος without MS. authority and not, I think, rightly.

5. ἔτι κυριώτεροι κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has just been asserting the value of written law (cp. 1287 a 34, κατά γράμματα, and 40, την έκ των γραμμάτων θεραπείαν), and now he says that the case is even stronger in favour of unwritten law. For the distinction between of kara γράμματα νόμοι, 'laws resting on writings,' and οί κατά τὰ ἔθη, 'laws resting on (unwritten) customs, cp. Diog. Laert. 3. 86 (a passage professing to record Plato's views), νόμου διαιρέσεις δύο δ μέν γὰρ αὐτοῦ γεγραμμένος, ὁ δὲ ἄγραφος το μεν εν ταις πόλεσι πολιτευόμεθα, γεγραμμένος έστίν, δ δε κατά έθη γενόμενος, ούτος άγραφος καλείται, and Plato, Polit. 299 A, κατηγορείν δὲ τὸν βουλόμενον, ώς οὐ κατὰ τὰ γράμματα του ενιαυτου εκυβερνησε τας ναθς, ούδε κατά τα παλαιά των προγόνων τθη. For ol κατά τὰ τθη νόμοι, which are here implied to be unwritten (as 707 are in Plato, Polit. 295 A, 299 A, and Laws 841 B), cp. 8 (6). 5. 1319 b 40 sq. On ἄγραφοι νόμοι see Cope, Introduction to Aristotle's Rhetoric, pp. 239-244, where he concludes (p. 244) that customs are 'what we are to understand principally by the would αγραφοι in the Politics,' so that the term is used in the Politics in a wider sense than it is when it refers, as it sometimes does (see Cope), to 'the great fundamental conceptions and duties of morality,' such as 'the worship of God, duty to parents, gratitude, the requital of benefits,' and the like. For the fact that more important matters are dealt with by unwritten than by written laws, cp. Plut. Apophth. Lac. Zeuxidam. 1, 221 B, Ζευξίδαμος, πυθομένου τινός διά τί τους περί ανδρείας νόμους αγράφους τηρούσι, και τοις νέοις απογραψάμενοι οὐ διδόασιν αναγινώσκειν, "Οτι, έφη, συνεθίζεσθαι [δεί] ταις

ἀνδραγαθίαις κρεῖττον ἡ ταῖς γραφαῖς προσέχειν. As to dσφαλέστερος see vol. i. p. 270, note. We have of κατὰ τὰ ἔθη in 6 and τῶν κατὰ τὸ ἔθος in 7. Compare the change from ἔθος in 6 (4). 5. 1292 b 14 to ἔθεσιν in 1292 b 16.

- 8. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ., 'then again, it is not even easy, [much less well,] for the one man to keep an eye on many things.' Eurip. Phoeniss. 692 Bothe (745 Dindorf), εἶs ἀνὴρ οὐ πάνθ ὁρᾳ, had passed into a proverb (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2. 378). Cp. also Xen. Oecon. 4. 6, καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἀμφὶ τὴν ἐαυτοῦ οἴκησιν (sc. τῶν μισθοφόρων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων οἶς ὑπλίσθαι προστέτακται) αὐτὸς (i.e. ὁ βασιλεύς) ἐψορᾳ, τοὺς δὲ πρόσω ἀποικοῦντας πιστοὺς πέμπει ἐπισκοπεῖν, and Cyrop. 8. 2. 11, εἶ δέ τις οἴεται ἔνα αἰρετὸν εἶναι ὀφθαλμὸν βασιλεῖ, οὐκ ὀρθῶς οἴεται ὀλίγα γὰρ εἶς γ' ἄν ἴδοι καὶ εἶς ἀκούσειε. Were the Lacedaemonian ephors at their origin designed to be the 'eyes' of the Kings? The word ἔφοροι is used in the sense of 'spies' by Megasthenes ap. Strab. p. 707 (see note on 1313 b 12).
 - 10. τοῦτο, i. e. τὸ πλείονας είναι ἄρχοντας.
 - 11. πρότερον, in c. 15. 1286 b 3 sqq.
- 12. εἴπερ κ.τ.λ. In τοῦ δὲ ἐνὸς κ.τ.λ. the apodosis is introduced by δέ. For the use of δέ in the apodosis after a conditional sentence introduced by εἰ or ἐάν, see above on 1278 a 32.
 - 13. τὸ "σύν τε δύ ἐρχομένω," Hom. II. 10. 224, σύν τε δύ ἐρχομένω, καί τε πρὸ ὁ τοῦ ἐνόησεν, ὅππως κέρδος ἔŋ μοῦνος δ' εἴπερ τε νοήση, ἀλλά τέ οἱ βράσσων τε νόος, λεπτὴ δέ τε μῆτις,

and 13. 235 sqq. Cp. Trag. Gr. Fragm. Adespota 450, ναῦν τοι μί' ἄγκυρ' οὐδαμῶς σώζειν φιλεῖ, ώς τρεῖς ἀφέντι' προστάτης θ' άπλοῦς πόλει σφαλερός, ὑπὼν δὲ κἄλλος οὐ κακὸν πέλει,

and Archil. Fragm. 144 (ap. Aristid. 2. 137), καὶ ὁ μέν γε κατ' ἰσχὺν προφέρων, εἰ καὶ ἐνὸς εἴη κρείττων, ὑπὸ δυοῖν γ' ἄν αὐτὸν κατείργεσθαί φησι καὶ ᾿Αρχίλοχος καὶ ἡ παροιμία, where the Scholiast (quoted by Bergk) adds, ἡ μὲν παροιμία φησίν οὐδὲ Ἡρακλῆς πρὸς δύο τὸ δὲ ᾿Αρχιλόχου ῥητὸν οἴον μέν ἐστιν, οὐκ ἴσμεν, ἴσως δὲ ἄν εἵη τοιοῦτον.

- 14. καὶ ἡ εὐχὴ κ.τ.λ. Hom. Il. 2. 372, where Agamemnon is speaking of Nestor (Sus.², Note 651).
- 15. εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ νῦν κ.τ.λ. This takes up 1287 b 8, δεήσει ἄρα...

 11, τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον, in which words the suggestion is made that the powers which it is proposed to entrust to the One Man should rather be given to a plurality of magistrates. "Ωσπερ ὁ δικαστής, for

it was well known from the terms of the dicast's oath (see above on 1287 a 25) that he had to regulate matters as to which the law was silent. Aristotle has already implied in 1287 a 25 sqq. that the magistrates have to do so too in relation to some matters. Cp. 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 32 sqq.

- 18. ἄρξειε καὶ κρίνειεν. Cp. 1287 a 26, κρίνειν καὶ διοικείν.
- 20. διαπορείν καὶ ζητείν. Διαπορείν here = ἀπορείν according to Bon. Ind. 187 b 1 sqq., where Eth. Eud. 1. 5. 1216 a 11, διαπορούντα τοιαύτ' ἄττα καὶ διερωτώντα τίνος ἔνεκα κ.τ.λ., is placed next to the passage before us.
- 28. οὐ τοίνυν κ.τ.λ., 'nay, [the advocates of the supremacy of law] do not make this counter-assertion that' etc. Οὐ τοίνυν is used in self-correction: see above on 1267 a 5 and compare in addition to the passages there referred to Plato, Rep. 603 B, and Strato, Fragm. Φοινικίδης, 31 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 546),

"οὕτω λαλείν εἴωθε." μὴ τοίνυν λαλει οὕτως παρ' ἐμοί γ' ὧν.

- 24. ἀλλ' ὅτι οὐχ ἔνα μόνον, SC. εἶναι δεῖ τὸν κρινοῦντα περὶ τῶν τοιούτων.
- 26. ἄτοπόν τ' ἴσως κ.τ.λ. For the thought compare Xen. Cyrop. 8. 2. 10–12, a passage which seems to be present to Aristotle's memory here. "Ιδοι evidently suits ὅμμασι only, not ἀκοαῖς or what follows, but Aristotle 'often expects us to supply a word from a previous clause which is not altogether suitable': see above on 1257 a 21. For ἀκοαῖς in the sense of 'organs of hearing' see Bon. Ind. s. v.
- 27. δυοῦν is apparently the reading of all extant MSS. (one cannot tell from 'duobus' what reading Vet. Int. found in his text), but the Index Aristotelicus gives no other instance of its occurrence in Aristotle's writings as the dative of δύο—it is common enough in them as the genitive, but δυσί or δύο are the forms of the dative mostly used by Aristotle—and here it strikes us as all the more strange because it is followed by δυσίν and δυσί. According to Meisterhans, Grammatik der att. Inschriften, p. 124 (ed. 2), δυοῦν is used as the genitive and dative in Attic Inscriptions down to B. C. 329, δυεῦν from B. C. 329 to B. C. 229, and δύο as the genitive, δυσί as the dative, in Roman times. Thus, if the MSS. are to be trusted, Aristotle often départs in this matter from the usage of the Attic Inscriptions of his time.

29. ¿πεὶ καὶ νῦν κ.τ.λ. Πολλούς is emphatic (see note on 1275 a 32): cp. Xen. Cyrop. 8. 2. 11, έκ τούτου δή καὶ πολλοὶ ἐνομίσθησαν Βασιλέως οφθαλμοί και πολλά ωτα εί δέ τις οιεται ένα αίρετον είναι όφθαλμόν βασιλεί, οὐκ ὀρθώς οἴεται· ὀλίγα γὰρ εἶς γ' ἄν ἴδοι καὶ εἶς ακούσειε κ.τ.λ., where Xenophon probably intends to correct Hdt. 1. 114. δ δε αυτέων διέταξε τους μεν οικίας οικοδομέτιν, τους δε δορυφόρους είναι, τὸν δέ κού τινα αὐτέων ὀφθαλμὸν βασιλέος είναι τῷ δέ τινι τὰς αγγελίας εσφέρειν εδίδου γέρας, ως εκάστω εργον προστάσσων. messengers mentioned by Herodotus would be included among the 'King's feet' referred to by Aristotle here. The 'many ears and eyes' of a King became proverbial: cp. Lucian, Adv. Indoct. c. 23. οὐκ οἶσθα ὡς ὧτα καὶ ὀφθαλμοὶ πολλοὶ βασιλέως; The important fact that Cyrus had fallen in the battle of Cunaxa was discovered and reported to Artaxerxes by an 'eye of the King,' Artasuras (Plut. Artox. c. 12). Institutions as unlike as the ωτακουσταί of Hiero I of Syracuse (7 (5). 11. 1313 b 13 sqq.: cp. Plut. De Curiositate, c. 16) and the 'younger members' of the Nocturnal Council of Plato's Laws (964 E: see vol. i. p. 448 sq.) were probably suggested by this Persian institution. According to Megasthenes (ap. Strab. p. 707) a similar institution existed in India: see his account of the Epopoi.

30. τους γάρ τἢ ἀρχῆ καὶ αὐτοῦ φίλους ποιοῦνται συνάρχους. Aristotle probably remembers the words of Achilles to his friend Phoenix in Il. 9. 616,

ίσον έμοι βασίλευε και ήμισυ μείρεο τιμής.

Cp. also Plut. De Fraterno Amore, c. 18 sub fin., καὶ τὸ Δαρείου γένος έβασίλευσεν, ανδρός οὐ μόνον αδελφοῖς, άλλα και φίλοις επισταμένου κοινωνείν πραγμάτων και δυνάμεως, and Thuc. 2. 97. 3, where we read of ol παραδυναστεύοντές τε και γενναίοι 'Οδρυσών (i. c. associates of the King of the Odrysae in his rule). Monarchs expect of those whom they make partners in rule not only friendliness to their rule but also friendliness to themselves. The two things are not the same. Alexander, we remember, called Craterus φιλοβασιλεύε and Hephaestion φιλαλέξανδρος (Plut. Alex. c. 47: Diod. 17. 114): cp. Plut. Brut. c. 8, λέγεται δέ Βρουτος μέν την άρχην βαρύνεσθαι, Κάσσιος δε τον άρχοντα μισείν, where Julius Caesar is of course referred to. Της ἀρχης φίλοι should probably be read (with Casaubon and Richards: see critical note) in place of τη ἀρχη φίλος though this expression is used in an unfavourable sense in Lucian, Catapl. c. 11, αγνοείς δτι πάντες οί καὶ προσκυνοῦντες καὶ των λεγομένων

καὶ πραττομένων εκαστα επαινούντες ή φόβω ή ελπίσιν έδρων της άρχης όντες φίλοι καὶ πρός τον καιρον ἀποβλέποντες; In place of αὐτοῦ (MSS. wrongly airoù) Sus. would read airois, which is found in the version of the passage given by the Aldine edition of the Scholia on Aristophanes (Acharn. 92: Duebner excludes this quotation from the Politics from his text of the Scholia—see Dindorf's Preface, pp. iv-v Duebner, as to the Aldine edition), but not, I think, rightly: see above on 1286 a 12. The title 'friend of the King' probably came originally from Egypt, where we trace it as early as the Twelfth Dynasty (see Maspero, Histoire Ancienne des Peuples de l'Orient, p. 104, ed. 1), and even the Sixth (Erman, Life in Ancient Egypt. Eng. Trans., p. 72). The Macedonian Kings made those whom they raised to the dignity of 'friends' so far partners in rule that they consulted them on the most important matters and employed them on the most important commissions (see Spitta, De Amicorum, qui vocantur, in Macedonum Regno Condicione, p. 38, who refers among other passages to Diod. 17. 54, and Arrian, Anab. 1. 25. 4). Ποιούνται here takes the place of ποιούσιν, 29, just as in c. 5. 1278 a 34 ποιούσιν takes the place of ποιούνται, 1278 a 30.

- 81. μη φίλοι μὲν οὖν ὄντες κ.τ.λ., '[friends indeed they must of necessity be, for] if they are not friends,' etc.
- 88. δ γε φίλος ΐσος καὶ δμοιος. Cp. Plato, Laws 837 A, φίλον μέν που καλοῦμεν ὅμοιον ὁμοίον ἀρετὴν καὶ ἴσον ἴσφ.
 - 34. οἴεται δεῖν ἄρχειν, sc. ὁ βασιλεύς.
- 35. οἱ διαμφισβητοῦντες πρὸς τὴν βασιλείαν. Cp. 4 (7). 1. 1323 a 24, where see note.
- C. 17. 36. ἐπὶ μέν τινων, 'in the case of some persons.' I follow Bernays, from whom Sus. differs (Sus., 1. p. 443: Qu. Crit. p. 396 sqq.), in taking τινων to be masculine: cp. c. 14. 1284 b 40, η τισὶ μὲν συμφέρει τισὶ δ' οὐ συμφέρει, and c. 17. 1288 a 31, καὶ τίσι.
 - 37. ἔστι γάρ τι φύσει δεσποστὸν κ.τ.λ., 'for there is that which is marked out by nature to be ruled by a master, and another to be ruled by a King, and another marked out for free government, and it is expedient and just that each should be thus ruled.' For καὶ δίκαιον καὶ συμφέρον, cp. 41, ἀλλ' ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων γε φανερὸν ὡς ἐν μὲν τοῖς ὁμοίοις καὶ ἴσοις οῦτε συμφέρον ἐστὶν οῦτε δίκαιον ἕνα κύριον εἶναι πάντων, 1. 6. 1255 b 6 sqq., and 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 16 sq. I prefer the rendering which I have given of καὶ δίκαιον καὶ συμφέρον to that of Sepulveda, 'et horum imperiorum cuiusque aliud est ius et alia commoditas,' though Bernays translates the passage in a somewhat

similar way. Richards would add τοῦτο after δίκαιον, 39. For ἔστι γάρ τι φύσει δεσποστόν, cp. 1. 6. 1255 b 6 sqq. and 4 (7). 2. 1324 b 36 sqq. Πολιτικόν in 38, καὶ ἄλλο πολιτικόν, appears to be used in reference to the kind of free government which obtains in a Polity, for Aristotle is evidently speaking of normal constitutions only, and he can hardly refer in πολιτικόν to Aristocracy. Of course, if we regard 1288 a 6-15 as authentic and as placed where it stands by Aristotle, we have an additional reason for taking πολιτικόν to refer to the Polity, for it clearly refers to the Polity in 1288 a 7, 12.

- 40. οὐδὲ τῶν ἄλλων πολιτειῶν, 'nor any of the other constitutions.' For the genitive, cp. 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 30, and Diod. 5. 21. 2, οὕτε γὰρ Διόνυσον οὕθ' Ἡρακλέα παρειλήφαμεν οὕτε τῶν ἄλλων ἡρώων ἢ δυναστῶν ἐστρατευμένον ἐπ' αὐτήν.
- 41. ἀλλ' ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων γε κ.τ.λ. See as to this passage vol. i. p. 274-5. In 1288 a 1 we have a μέν solitarium (see above on 1262 a 6).
- 2. πάντων is here masculine (cp. c. 16. 1287 a 11, τδ κύριον ενα 1288 a. πάντων είναι τῶν πολιτῶν). This is clear from 3, οῦτε ἀγαθὸν ἀγαθῶν κ.τ.λ.
- 3. ἀλλ' αὐτὸν ὡς ὅντα νόμον. Supply κύριον ὅντα after αὐτόν. As to the chiasmus in οὕτε ἀγαθὸν ἀγαθῶν οὕτε μὴ ἀγαθῶν μὴ ἀγαθῶν, see note on 1277 a 31.
- 4. οδδ' ἄν κατ' ἀρετὴν ἀμείνων ἢ may be added to correct a dictum of Plato to Dionysius the Elder recorded in Diog. Laert. 3. 18, δ δὶ διαλεγόμενος περὶ τυραννίδος καὶ φάσκων ὡς οὐκ ἔστι τοῦτο κρεῖττον δ συμφέρει αὐτῷ μόνον, εἰ μὴ καὶ ἀρετῆ διαφέροι, προσέκρουσεν αὐτῷ. Cp. also Xen. Cyrop. 8. 1. 37.
 - 6. тротерог, in с. 13. 1284 а 3 sqq.

πρώτον δέ...15. ἀρχάς. Susemihl brackets this paragraph as an interpolation, and it looks at any rate like a subsequently added passage. It may well be from the pen of Aristotle—its contents do not seem to be seriously at variance with his teaching elsewhere (see vol. i. Appendix D)—but it is doubtful whether it was placed where it stands by his hand or by that of another. A similar doubt arises as to other passages in the Politics (see for instance vol. i. p. 569 and p. 519, note). The position of this paragraph in relation to its context is certainly remarkable. Aristotle is discussing Kingship, and in particular is about to describe what degree of superiority over those he rules an Absolute King should possess: why should he pause at this point to explain

who are fit subjects for Kingship, Aristocracy, and Polity, when he is concerned for the moment only with Kingship? And why is it necessary to enter into this question as to Aristocracy and Polity first (πρῶτον, 1288 a 6), before stating what degree of superiority over those he rules an Absolute King should possess? again, though the recapitulation in 1288 a 30 sqq. makes it clear that in what has preceded it has been explained for whom Kingship is an expedient institution, this may refer only to what has been said in 1288 a 15-19. On the other hand, it may be urged in defence of the paragraph that it is after a long argument in favour of Aristocracy (in the sense of the rule of a plurality of good men) that Aristotle interposes his closing remark in 1287 b 36 sq., 'but perhaps these things are so in the case of certain persons and not in the case of others,' and that therefore he may naturally wish to explain before he goes further who are the persons in whose case the arguments in favour of Aristocracy hold good, no less than who are the persons in whose case the arguments in favour of Kingship hold good. Nor is it altogether surprising that he should add a similar explanation as to Polity, for he has implied in 1287 b 37 sqq. that there are those who are marked out by nature for each of the normal Still it must be admitted that the paragraph has constitutions. an intrusive look where it stands.

- 8. τὸ τοιοῦτον here refers not to anything preceding, but to what follows. See for other instances of the same thing note on 1337 b 6. As to φέρειν, see vol. i. p. 290, note 1. The case is omitted in which Kingship falls to a single individual, not a γένος.
- 9. προς ἡγεμονίαν πολιτικήν. Πολιτικήν is added to show that a mere fitness for ἡγεμονία πολεμική is not enough. Cp. πολιτικήν ἀρχήν in 12 and πλῆθος πολεμικόν in 13. The King is to be capable of πολιτική ἡγεμονία, the rulers in an aristocracy of πολιτική ἀρχή. The word ἡγεμονία belongs especially to Kingship: cp. Rhet. ad Alex. 1. 1420 a 21, where οἱ ὑπὸ τὴν τῆς βασιλείας ἡγεμονίαν τεταγμένοι are contrasted with οἱ ἐν δημοκρατία πολιτευόμενοι, and Plut. adv. Colot. c. 31, οὺχ οἱ τὸν τῆς ἀταραξίας στέφανον ἀσύμβλητον εἶναι ταῖς μεγάλαις ἡγεμονίαις λέγοντες; οὺχ οἱ τὸ βασιλεύειν ἀμαρτίαν καὶ διάπτωσιν ἀποφαίνοντες; Cp. also Plato, Laws 711 C, τῆ τῶν δυναστευόντων ἡγεμονία.

άριστοκρατικόν δέ . . . 15. τάς άρχάς. See vol. i. Appendix D.

- 11. κατ ἀρετήν ήγεμονικῶν, and not κατὰ πλοῦτον καὶ δύναμιν, as in oligarchies (Eth. Nic. 8. 12. 1161 a 2 sq.).
- 12. πολιτικήν άρχήν, the rule which is exercised over persons δμοιοι τῷ γένει καὶ ἐλεύθεροι (c. 4. 1277 b 7 sqq.).

πολιτικόν δὲ πληθος κ.τ.λ. The law in a polity gives office to the well-to-do, just as in an aristocracy office falls to the γνώριμοι (7 (5). 8. 1309 a 2). Does κατ' ἀξίαν imply that office will be elective in a polity? If so, cp. 6 (4). 9. 1294 b 10 sqq. and contrast 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 8-11 and 6 (4). 15. 1300 a 34 sqq., passages which, however defective the text of the latter may be, seem to show that magistrates might be appointed by lot in a polity (see vol. i. pp. 509, 573).

- 15. As to τῶν ἄλλων see critical note.
- 18. καὶ κύριον πάντων is added because not all Kings are κύριοι πάντων (c. 14. 1285 a 4).
 - 19. πρότερον, in c. 13. 1284 b 25 sqq.
- 20. τὸ δίκαιον, i.e. τὸ καθ' ὑπεροχὴν δίκαιον, cp. c. 13. 1283 b 17, κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ δίκαιον.
- 22. πάντη γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for they entirely claim on the basis of superiority, though not the same superiority.' Aristotle's account in Eth. Nic. 5. 6. 1131 a 25 sqq. of the 'superiority' on which the partisans of democracy base their claims does not agree with the account given in Pol. 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 3 sqq., for in the former passage (cp. Pol. 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 28 sqq) they are said to base their claims on ἀξία—the ἀξία, in fact, which ἐλευθερία confers—and in the latter not on ἀξία but on number. Still, whichever of the two passages we follow, they base their claims on a 'superiority.'
- 24. dhhd και κατά το πρότερον λεχθέν, i.e. because no other course is becoming or in accordance with nature: cp. c. 13. 1284 b 28 sqq.
 - 28. τοῦτο, i.e. to constitute the whole of which the rest are parts.
- 31. πῶς, 'under what conditions' (so Bern.). Cp. c. 3. 1276 a 17, ἔοικε δ' οἰκεῖος ὁ λόγος εἶναι τῆς ἀπορίας ταύτης, πῶς ποτὲ χρὴ λέγειν τὴν πόλιν εἶναι τὴν αὐτὴν ἡ μὴ τὴν αὐτὴν ἀλλ' ἐτέραν.
- 32. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. At this point a transition is made from the C.18 question what are the different forms of Kingship and for whom Kingship is advantageous to the question how a Kingship or an Aristocracy (for the two forms turn out in 34 sqq. to be nearly related, cp. 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 31 sqq. and 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 2 sq., 31 sq.) is to be brought into being. For a similar transition cp. 6 (4).

8. 1294 a 25 sqq. The reasoning of the paragraph which commences at incl di is—the best State is an aristocratical or Kingly State, but the virtue of a citizen of the best State is the same as the virtue of a good man; hence the virtue of a citizen of an aristocratical State or of a man of Kingly mould is identical with the virtue of a good man; hence to institute an aristocratical or Kingly State it is necessary to have recourse to the education and customs which produce good men. This preface prepares us to find in the Fourth and Fifth (old Seventh and Eighth) Books what we do find there—inquiries directed to the discovery of the education and customs which produce good men. As to the transition from the Third to the Fourth Book see vol. i. p. 292 sqq.

- 34. The use of the word olkoropoupérnr indicates the completeness of the control exercised: cp. c. 14. 1285 b 31 sqq.
 - 37. ἐν δὲ κ.τ.λ. See vol. i. Appendix B.
- 39. τον αὐτον τρόπον καὶ διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν. Cp. 4 (7). 8. 1328 a 41, ἄλλον τρόπον καὶ διὰ ἄλλων, and 4 (7). 15. 1334 b 5, πῶς δὲ καὶ διὰ τίνων ἔσται κ.τ.λ.
- 41. ωστ' ἔσταν κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle corrects the view expressed by Plato in his Politicus that the essence of the βασιλικός and the πολιτικός is to possess a certain science. Just as he had said in 1. 7. 1255 b 20 that ὁ δεσπότης οὐ λέγεται κατὰ ἐπιστήμην, ἀλλὰ τῷ τοιόσδε είναι, so he now implies the same thing as to the βασιλικός and the modifier's. The education which is to produce them is not the communication of a science; it is the communication of an έξις. Contrast Plato, Polit. 292 Β, την βασιλικήν άρχην των έπιστημών είναι τινα εφαμεν, οίμαι, and 292 E; also 259 B. Aristotle, however, allows in 4 (7). 3. 1325 b 10 sqq. (cp. 3. 13. 1284 a 5 sqq. and 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 33 sqq.) that the ruler should possess not only virtue but also political aptitude. In 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 8 certain πολεμικαί και πολιτικαι ασκήσεις are referred to which find a place apparently in Aristotle's scheme of education, though their exact nature is left obscure, and these πολιτικαὶ ἀσκήσεις may perhaps be one means by which he would seek to develope this political aptitude, but he probably thought that the art of ruling was mainly acquired in the course of being ruled (3. 4. 1277 b 8 sqq.).
- 1288 b. 1. καὶ παιδεία καὶ ἔθη. Cp. 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 16, εἰδισμένοι καὶ πεπαιδευμένοι, and Menex. 241 C, μαθόντας καὶ ἐδισθέντας μὴ φοβείσθαι τοὺς βαρβάρους. In 4 (7). 13. 1332 b 10, on the other hand, it is implied that παιδεία comprises an element of habituation.

- 2. In place of πολιτικόν we expect ἀριστοκρατικόν, but the rulers in the best State have already been spoken of as πολιτικοί in c. 5. 1278 b 3.
- 4. τίνα πέφυκε γίνεσθαι τρόπον καὶ καθίστασθαι πῶς. The same two questions are raised as to the Polity in 6 (4). 9. 1294 a 30 sqq.

BOOK IV (VII).

- 14. Compare the very similar sentence in De An. 2. 4. 415 2 14, C. 1. αναγκαίον δε τον μελλοντα περί τούτων σκέψιν ποιείσθαι λαβείν εκαστον 1323 α. αὐτῶν τί ἐστιν. For μέλλω with the aor. infin. Bonitz (Ind. s.v.) compares Eth. Nic. 2. 3. 1105 b 11, έκ δέ τοῦ μὴ πράττειν ταῦτα οὐδεὶς αν οὐδε μελλήσειε γενέσθαι ἀγαθός. Phrynichus condemns as un-Attic the use of meddew with the aor. infin., but that it is so used by Attic writers is undoubted: see Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, § 74. Schanz remarks in his Prolegomena to Plato's Symposium, § 5, 'aoristi infinitivi cum μέλλειν a Platone copulati exempla apud Platonem exstant, quae haud facile quispiam in dubitationem vocare possit.' He refers among other passages to Protag. 312 B, μέλλεις παρασχείν, and Gorg. 512 Ε, δυ μέλλοι χρόνου βιώναι, and 525 A. It is natural that Aristotle should find the clue to the best constitution in the inquiry what is the most desirable life, for we read in 6 (4). 11. 1295 a 40 that 'the constitution is the mode of life chosen by the State.' See vol. i. p. 209 sqq. An instructive commentary on the first chapter will be found in the second of Vahlen's Aristotelische Aufsätze, Über ein Capitel aus Aristoteles' Politik, from which I shall frequently have occasion to make quotations.
- 18. For πρωτον in the sense of πρότερον Vahlen (Aristot. Aufs. 2. 5, note) compares 3. 4. 1276 b 19.
- 17. ἄριστα γὰρ πράττειν κ.τ.λ., 'for [the best constitution and the most desirable life go together, inasmuch as] it is fitting that those who live under the best constitution their circumstances enable them to attain should fare best, unless something contrary to expectation happens.' It has already been pointed out (vol. i. p. 294, note 2) that the reasoning latent in the Greek cannot be fully expressed in English. For the thought cp. Plato, Laws 828 D, is τοθ ἡμῖν ἡ πόλις οἶαν οὖκ ἄν τις ἐτέραν εὖροι τῶν νῦν περὶ χρόνου σχολῆς καὶ τῶν ἀναγκαίων ἐξουσίας, δεῖ δὲ αὐτήν, καθάπερ ἔνα ἄνθρωπον, ζῆν εὖ. We hardly expect Aristotle to add the

qualification 'their circumstances enable them to attain' (ἐκ τῶν υπαρχόντων αὐτοῖε), for those who fare best must be those who live under the absolutely best constitution, which is contrasted in 6 (4).

1. 1288 b 25 sq., 32 with the best attainable under given circumstances (ἡ ἐκ τῶν ὑποκειμένων ἀρίστη, ἡ ἐνδεχομένη ἐκ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων).

20. πᾶσιν ώς εἶπεῖν, 'all individuals, so to say,' for πᾶσιν corresponds to ἐκάστφ, cp. 1323 b 21. Aristotle first discusses the question what is the most desirable life for the individual (1323 a 21-b 29), and then the same question as to the State.

21. χωρίς, i.e. έκάστφ, 'for the individual': cp. 1323 b 40, καὶ χωρίς έκάστφ καὶ κοινῆ ταῖς πόλεσιν, 3. 6. 1278 b 24, and Soph. Fragm. 521,

νῦν δ' οὐδέν εἰμι χωρίς· ἀλλὰ πολλάκις ἔβλεψα ταύτη τὴν γυναικείαν φύσιν, ὡς οὐδέν ἐσμεν,

where, as Gomperz remarks (Die Bruchstücke der griech. Tragiker, p. 33), $\chi \omega \rho i s$ (= $l \delta i q$, 'privatim,' 'seorsum') serves to distinguish the individual lot of the speaker from the general lot of women.

νομίσαντας οὖν . . . 23. αὐτοῖς, 'holding then that many of the things said in the non-scientific inquiries also respecting the best life are adequately said, we must now too make use of them.' On the question what 'non-scientific inquiries' are here referred to, something has been said in vol. i. p. 299, note 1. The expression έξωτερικοὶ λόγοι, when used by Aristotle, does not necessarily refer to non-scientific inquiries of his own, still less to writings of his own, but it probably refers to writings of his own in the passage before us, for, besides that, as Zeller remarks (Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 119. 2: Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 115, note 4), the contents of the passage are quite Aristotelian in spirit, it seems to be implied in the words καὶ νῦν, ' now too,' that Aristotle has himself said these things before (cp. Meteor. 1. 3. 339 b 36, εξρηται μέν ουν και πρότερον έν τοις περί τον ανω τόπον θεωρήμασι, λέγωμεν δὲ τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον καὶ νῦν, and 341 a 12 sqq.). Whether, as Bernays held (Dialoge des Aristoteles, p. 69 sqq.), a Dialogue of Aristotle is here 'used,' is uncertain, for the non-scientific writings of Aristotle were not all of them Dialogues (Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 123: Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 119 sq.). What is the exact meaning of χρηστέου? The word recurs in Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1102 a 26, λέγεται δε περὶ αὐτῆς (i.e. της ψυχης) και το τοις εξωτερικοίς λόγοις αρκούντως ένια, και χρηστέον αὐτοῖε, where χρηστέον seems to introduce merely a statement of results, but it does not follow that it always means no more than

this. Bernays thought that we have in 1323 a 24 sqq. a verbatim extract from the non-scientific composition made use of. Against this Vahlen argues in the second of his Aristotelische Aufsätze. Zeller holds (Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 119. 2: Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 115. note 4) that the contents of the non-scientific composition are reproduced, not indeed verbatim, but pretty closely ('ziemlich eng anzuschliessen scheint'), and we are certainly conscious (with Bernays) of a freer flow of periods in the first chapter than we often meet with in Aristotle's writings, though Vahlen has shown that many of the expressions used occur elsewhere in them. Bernavs takes the use of the ¿ξωτερικοὶ λόγοι to extend to the end of the first chapter, and it would seem from the words meel the aplothe Cons in 23 that all that is said on this subject is based on them, so that they will have been used at any rate down to σώφρων, 1323 b 36. If we ask why Aristotle has recourse on this subject to the έξωτερικοί λόγοι, and not, as in c. 13. 1332 a 7 sqq. and 21 sqq., to the Nicomachean Ethics—Zeller finds teaching to the same effect in Eth. Nic. 1. 6 sqq., 10. 6 sqq.—the answer probably is that he prefers, when he can, to refer to the more popularly written and more generally accessible class of compositions. Zeller (Hermes, 15. 553 sqq.: see vol. ii. p. x, note 1) thinks that the passage 1323 a 21 sqq., in addition to Eth. Nic. 1. 8. 1098 b 9 sqq., was before the writer of Eth. Eud. 2. 1. 1218 b 32, πάντα δή τάγαθά ή έκτὸς ή έν ψυχή, καὶ τούτων αἰρετώτερα τὰ έν τῆ ψυχή, καθάπερ διαιρούμεθα καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἐξωτερικοῖς λόγοις Φρόνησις γὰρ καὶ ἀρετή καὶ ήδονή ἐν ψυχῆ, ων ένια ή πάντα τέλος είναι δοκεί πάσιν, but perhaps the passage in the έξωτερικοί λόγοι was itself before him. For the agrist νομίσαντας, cp. c. 7. 1328 a 3 and 5 (8). 7. 1341 b 27, and see above on 1271 b 4. As to the case of voulouras see note on 1275 a 16.

24. ὡς ἀληθῶς γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for in truth against one division [of goods] at any rate no one would contend,' etc. Γάρ introduces a justification of the use of the ἐξωτερικοὶ λόγοι on the ground of the absence of dissent. For ἀμφισβητεῖν πρός, cp. 3. 16. 1287 b 35: Isaeus 11. 9, ἀμφισβητεῖν πρὸς τὰς ἐκείνου διαθήκας: Demosth. in Phorm. c. 33, ἀμφισβητεῖν πρὸς τὰ λεγόμενα. But how would an assertion that the happy need not possess all three kinds of goods run counter to the division of goods into these three kinds? Apparently in this way. The division implies that all the three kinds of goods are goods, and it is taken for granted that those who are to

310

be happy should possess all goods (4 (7), 15, 1334 a 28 sqq.). There were other divisions of goods—among them a division into έπαινετά, τίμια, and δυνάμεις (Eth. Nic. 1. 12). As to the division into external goods, goods of the body, and goods of the soul, see vol. i. p. 200, note 1. That wealth should be accompanied by virtue had often been said by the poets (Sappho, Fragm. 81: Pindar, Olymp. 2. 53 sqq. and Pyth. 5. 1 sqq.: Eurip. Fragm. 163: compare Lysander's remark to the younger Cyrus in Cic. De Senect. 17. 50, recte vero te, Cyre, beatum ferunt, quoniam virtuti tuae fortuna coniuncta est), and Simonides (Fragm. 70) had said that health should accompany wisdom. Cp. also Rhet. 1. 5. 1360 b 14 sqq. and Eth. Nic. 7. 14. 1153 b 17 sqq. When Aristotle sought to show in the inquiry which commences here that the chief ingredient in εὐδαιμονία is virtue, his work was half done for him by the ordinary use of the Greek language. To the Greeks ό εὐδαίμων was ό εὖ πράττων (1323 b 29 sqq.), and ό εὖ πράττων was δ τὰ καλὰ πράττων, and τὰ καλὰ πράττειν implied virtue. Our word 'happiness' has no such link with virtue.

- 27. οὐδεὶς γὰρ κ.τ.λ. The word μακάριος is used throughout the first chapter as interchangeable with εὐδαίμων, but it is a slightly stronger word, as we see from Eth. Nic. 1. 11. 1101 a 6-8. Aristotle offers no proof that the happy should possess external goods and the goods of the body, no doubt because he considers it unnecessary to do so; the only question likely to be raised is whether they need possess the goods of the soul. Compare Plato, Phileb. 21, which is evidently present to his memory. For φαίη μακάριον without εἶναι cp. 2. 3. 1261 b 22.
- 29. δεδιότα μὲν τὰς παραπετομένας μυΐας. We read in a fragment of Plutarch (Libr. Perdit. Fragm. 7. 10), φαρμακοπώλην δέ τινα εἰδέναι ὑπὸ μὲν δρακόντων καὶ ἀσπίδων μηδὲν πάσχειν, μύωπα δὲ φεύγειν μέχρι βοῆς καὶ ἐκστάσεως, but Aristotle is thinking not of gadflies, but of harmless flies. As to cowardice of this kind see Eth. Nic. 7. 6. 1149 a 4 sqq
- 30. ἀπεχόμενον δὲ κ.τ.λ. Τῶν ἐσχάτων is in the gen. after μηδενός. Το act thus is to be like a wild beast: cp. Plato, Laws 831 D, μηδὲν δυσχεραίνοντα, ἐὰν μόνον ἔχη δύναμιν καθάπερ θηρίω τοῦ φαγεῖν παντοδαπὰ καὶ πιεῖν, ὡσαύτως καὶ ἀφροδισίων πᾶσαν πάντως παρασχεῖν πλησμονήν, which is imitated in Epist. 7. 335 A sq. For ἐπιθυμήση τοῦ φαγεῖν ἡ πιεῖν Vahlen compares Xen. Mem. 3. 6. 16, τοῦ εὐδοξεῖν ἐπιθυμών, and 1. 7. 3, and Xen. Oecon. 14. 9.

- 32. όμοίως δὲ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 2. 1174 a 1, οὐδείς τ' ἀν ελοιτο ζῆν παιδίου διάνοιαν ἔχων διὰ βίου, ἡδόμενος ἐφ' οἶς τὰ παιδία ὡς οἰόν τε μάλιστα. For τὰ περὶ τὴν διάνοιαν οῦτως ἄφρονα καὶ διεψευσμένον Vahlen compares Phylarch. ap. Athen. Deipn. 536 e, οῦτως εξαπατηθῆναι τὴν διάνοιαν . . ιῶστε τὸν πάντα χρόνον ὑπολαβεῖν βιώσεσθαι καὶ λέγειν ὅτι μόνος εὕροι τὴν ἀθανασίαν. For τι παιδίον, cp. 1323 b 8, δργανόν τι, and see Bon. Ind. 763 a 16 sqq., where Meteor. 3. 3. 372 b 13, καὶ διότι περὶ τὸν ῆλιον ἡ τὴν σελήνην, όμοίως δὲ καὶ περί τι τῶν ἄλλων ἄστρων, is referred to.
- 34. ἀλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν κ.τ.λ., 'but these things almost all men would admit when said; they differ, however, in respect of the quantity they desire of each good and in respect of their relative superiority.' As to λεγόμενα Vahlen remarks that it is to be taken in close connexion with συγχωρήσειαν, and compares among other passages Eth. Nic. 6. 1. 1138 b 32, διὸ δεῖ καὶ περὶ τὰς τῆς ψυχῆς έξεις μή μόνον άληθες είναι τουτ' είρημένον: Plato, Rep. 436 E, ούδεν δρα ήμας των τοιούτων λεγήμενον έκπλήξει: Hdt. 2. 146, τούτων ων αμφοτέρων πάρεστι χρασθαι τοισί τις πείσεται λεγομένοισι μαλλον, απεί 4. 11, έστι δὲ καὶ άλλος λόγος έχων δδε, τῷ μάλιστα λεγομένω αὐτὸς πρόσκειμαι. For ωσπερ πάντες, where ωσπερ = 'fere,' Vahlen compares Rhet. 1. 6. 1363 a 11, ωσπερ γάρ πάντες ήδη όμολογουσιν, εί και οι κακώς πεπονθότες. perhaps not a quite conclusive parallel. Closer ones may be found in Plato: see Ast, Lex. Platon. s.v., who refers in illustration of the use of ωσπερ in the sense of 'fere' to Protag. 346 A, ωσπερ ασμένους, among other passages. For ταις ύπεροχαις cp. 1323 b 14, κατά την ύπεροχήν. A different interpretation of ταις ύπεροχαις from that given above is, however, possible; it might mean 'in respect of the excess they desire of this or that good' (cp. την ὑπερβολήν, 38, and 1323 b 8), but 'superiority,' not 'excess,' is probably the Υπεροχαίε appears to be in the plural because three different sorts of goods are measured against each other, so that whichever sort is preferred will conceivably possess one degree of superiority over the second and another over the third.
- 36. τῆς μὲν γὰρ ἀρετῆς κ.τ.λ. 'Οποσονοῦν is severed from τῆς ἀρετῆς by the whole length of the sentence for the sake of emphasis: see above on 1281 a 14. Aristotle here remembers Plato, Apol. Socr. 29 D, & ἄριστε ἀνδρῶν, 'Αθηναῖος ῶν, πόλεως τῆς μεγίστης καὶ εὐδοκιμωτάτης εἰς σοφίαν καὶ ἰσχύν, χρημάτων μὲν οὐκ αἰσχύνει ἐπιμελούμενος, ὅπως σοι ἔσται ὡς πλεῖστα, καὶ δόξης καὶ τιμῆς, φρονήσεως δὲ καὶ ἀληθείας καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς, ὅπως ὡς βελτίστη ἔσται, οὐκ

έπιμελεί ούδε φροντίζεις; and 29 E, δνειδιώ ότι τὰ πλείστου ἄξια περι έλαχίστου ποιείται, τὰ δε φαυλότερα περὶ πλείονος.

- 87. For πλούτου καὶ χρημάτων Vahlen compares 1. 9. 1257 b 7, ποιητική γὰρ εἶναι τοῦ πλούτου καὶ χρημάτων. Πλοῦτος is explained in 1. 13. 1259 b 20 as ή τῆς κτήσεως ἀρετή. Cp. also c. 5. 1326 b 33, περὶ κτήσεως καὶ τῆς περὶ τὴν οὐσίαν εὐπορίας.
- 38. ἡμεῖς δὲ αὐτοῖς ἐροῦμεν. See vol. i. p. 295, note 2. Vahlen compares the use of ἡμεῖς δ' ἐρωτήσομεν in De An. 1. 3. 406 b 22, also Metaph. Γ. 5. 1010 a 15, ἡμεῖς δὲ καὶ πρὸς τοῦτον τὸν λόγον ἐροῦμεν, and Pol. 4 (7). 3. 1325 a 16 sqq. As to ἡμεῖς see above on 1270 a 9.
- 39. ὅτι ῥάδιον μὲν κ.τ.λ. Μέν is taken up by οὐ μὴν ἀλλά, 1323 b 6 (see note on 1284 b 4). Περὶ τούτων, i.e. whether it is right to be content with a small amount of virtue and to seek an unlimited amount of external goods. In place of διαλαμβάνειν Lambinus followed by Bekk.² reads λαμβάνειν (Sus. and Bonitz, Ind. s.v., also bracket the διά), and it is true that in 2. 3. 1262 a 17 we have ἀναγκαῖον λαμβάνειν περὶ ἀλλήλων τὰς πίστεις, and that no parallel has hitherto been adduced for the expression διαλαμβάνειν τὴν πίστιν, but it is not perhaps absolutely certain that διαλαμβάνειν τὴν πίστιν, but it is not perhaps absolutely certain that διαλαμβάνειν is wrong. Many verbs compounded with διά are occasionally used in a sense but little removed from that of the simple verb, e.g. διαναγκάζειν, διαπορεῖν, διαλανθάνειν, διαφυλάττειν, etc.
- 40. δρώντας δτι κ.τ.λ. So that those who possess the virtues have the means of acquiring external goods in addition, whereas those who possess external goods have not necessarily the means of acquiring the virtues, whence it follows that the virtues are to be sought in preference to external goods. A little later, however, Aristotle says that external goods are the gift of fortune (1323 b 27 sq.). He continues here to make use of the Apology of Plato, 30 Α, οιδέν γάρ ἄλλο πράττων έγω περιέρχομαι ή πείθων ύμων και νεωτέρους και πρεσβυτέρους μήτε σωμάτων επιμελείσθαι μήτε χρημάτων πρότερον μηδέ ούτω σφόδρα, ώς της ψυχής, όπως ώς αρίστη έσται, λέγων ότι ουκ έκ χρημάτων άρετη γίγνεται, άλλ' έξ άρετης χρήματα και τάλλα άγαθά τοίς ανθρώποις άπαντα καὶ ίδια καὶ δημοσία. Compare the definition of εὐδαιμονία in Rhet. 1. 5. 1360 b 16 as εὐθηνία κτημάτων καὶ σωμάτων μετά δυνάμεως φυλακτικής τε καί πρακτικής τούτων: Isocr. De Pace, § 32, τοις γάρ άγαθοις οις έχομεν έν τη ψυχή, τούτοις κτώμεθα και τάς άλλας ωφελείας ων δεόμενοι τυγχάνομεν. ώσθ' οί της αύχων διανοίας αμελούντες λελήθασι σφας αυτούς αμα του τε φρονείν αμεινον και του

πράττειν βέλτιον τῶν ἄλλων ὀλιγωροῦντες: Xenocr. Fragm. 63 (Mullach, Fragm. Philos. Gr. 3. 127), πενοκράτης δὲ ὁ Χαλκηδόνιος τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν ἀποδίδωσι κτῆσιν τῆς οἰκείας ἀρετῆς καὶ τῆς ὑπηρετικῆς αὐτῆ δυκάμεως εἶτα ὡς μὲν ἐν ῷ γίνεται, φαίνεται λέγων τὴν ψυχήν ὡς δὲ ὑψ ὧν, τὰς ἀρετάς ὡς δὲ ἐξ ὧν, ὡς μερῶν, τὰς καλὰς πράξεις καὶ τὰς σπουδαίας ἔξεις τε καὶ διαθέσεις καὶ κινήσεις καὶ σχέσεις ὡς τούτων οὐκ ἄνευ τὰ σωματικὰ καὶ τὰ ἐκτός (cp. Plato, Rep. 403 D): Democrit. Fragm. Mor. 58 (Mullach, Fragm. Philos. Gr. 1. 344), δόξα καὶ πλοῦτος ἄνευ ξυνέσιος οὐκ ἀσφαλέα κτήματα.

- 1. τῷ χαίρειν = ἡδονῆ, as in De Gen. An. 1. 18. 724 a 1 (referred 1323 b. to in Bon. Ind. s. v. χαίρειν). Protarchus in Plato, Phileb. 21 A sqq. finds the Good to be τὸ χαίρειν or pleasure. The word μακάριος was supposed to be derived from χαίρειν (Eth. Nic. 7. 12. 1152 b 6 sq., quoted by Vahlen). Tyrants were thought to be εὐδαίμονες καὶ μακάριοι if they were seen to be in the daily enjoyment of bodily pleasures (7 (5). 11. 1314 b 28 sqq.).
- 2. εῖτ' ἐν ἀμφοῖν. Cp. Eth. Eud. 2. 1. 1218 b 34, φρόνησιε γὰρ καὶ ἀρετὴ καὶ ἡδονὴ ἐν ψυχῆ, ὧν ἔνια ἡ πάντα τέλος εἶναι δοκεῖ πᾶσιν. Εὐδαιμονία is said to be a combination of τὸ καλόν and pleasure in 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 19: see vol. i. p. 296, note 1.

οτι μάλλον ὑπάρχει κ.τ.λ. Compare the remark of Solon quoted in Eth. Nic. 10. 9. 1179 a 9, καὶ Σόλων δὲ τοὺς εὐδαίμονας ἴσως ἀπεφαίνετο καλῶς, εἰπὼν μετρίως τοῖς ἐκτὸς κεχορηγημένους, πεπραγότας δὲ τὰ κάλλισθ', ὡς ῷετο, καὶ βεβιωκότας σωφρόνως ἐνδέχεται γὰρ μέτρια κεκτημένους πράττειν ὁ δεῖ, and Plato, Euthyd. 281 Β, δρά γε ὀνίναιτ' ἀν ἀνθρωπος πολλὰ κεκτημένος καὶ πολλὰ πράττων νοῦν μὴ ἔχων; ἡ μᾶλλον ὀλίγα νοῦν ἔχων; (cp. Laws 660 E). Compare also the remark of Bias to Croesus, made in support of Solon (Diod. 9. 27. 3), τὰ γὰρ ἐν σοὶ βούλεται (ὁ Σόλων) θεωρήσας ἀγαθὰ διαγνῶναι, νυνὶ δὲ τὰ παρὰ σοὶ μόνον ἐόρακεν εἶναι δὲ δι' ἐκεῖνα μᾶλλον ἡ ταῦτα τοὺς ἀνθρώπους εὐδαίμονας, and two lines variously ascribed to Antiphanes (Inc. Fab. Fragm. 63: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 154), Alexis (Inc. Fab. Fragm. 41: Meineke, 3. 521), and Menander (Inc. Fab. Fragm. 175: Meineke, 4. 273).

ψυχὴν ἔχειν δει πλουσίαν τὰ δὲ χρήματα ταῦτ' ἐστὶν δψις, παραπέτασμα τοῦ βίου.

4. την έξω κτησιν των άγαθων, 'the external acquisition of goods.' Vahlen (Aristot. Aufs. 2. 16, note 1) compares Eth. Nic. 1. 9. 1098 b 26, την έκτος εὐετηρίαν. Compare also Plato, Rep. 443 C, τὸ δέ γε ἀληθές, τοιοῦτο μέν τι ην, ὡς ἔοικεν, ἡ δικαιοσύνη, ἀλλ' οὐ περὶ την

ἔξω πράξιν τῶν αὐτοῦ, ἀλλὰ περὶ τὴν ἐντὸς ὡς ἀληθῶς, περὶ ἐαυτὸν καὶ τὰ ἐαυτοῦ, μὴ ἐάσαντα τάλλότρια πράττειν ἔκαστον ἐν αὐτῷ μηδὲ πολυπραγμονεῖν πρὸς ἄλληλα τὰ ἐν τῆ ψυχῆ γένη, and Aristot. De Gen. An. 3. 3. 754 a 33, τὸ τῶν βατράχων φὸν μόνον στερεόν ἐστι καὶ στιφρὸν πρὸς τὴν ἔξω σωτηρίαν.

5. πλείω τῶν χρησίμων, cp. Pol. 1. 9. 1257 a 16, τῷ τὰ μὲν πλείω τὰ δ' ελάττω τῶν ἰκανῶν ἔχειν τοὺς ἀνθρώπους (Vahlen), and Isocr. De Pace, § 90, πλείω τῶν ἱκανῶν.

τούτοις, i. e. τοῖς περὶ τὸ ἦθος καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν ἀγαθοῖς, or (as in 10) τοῖς περὶ ψυχὴν ἀγαθοῖς.

- 6. οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle proves that it is not well to be content with a small amount of virtue and to seek an unlimited amount of external goods by showing (1) that the goods of the soul are not, like external goods and δργανα generally, harmful or useless when in excess, but on the contrary increase in utility with every increase in their amount; (2) that the best state of the soul is as much more precious (τιμιώτερον) than the best state of property and of the body as the soul is more precious than property and the body; (3) that external and bodily goods are desirable for the sake of the soul, not the soul for the sake of external and bodily goods. On all these three grounds it is clear that the goods of the soul are to be sought to a far greater extent than the goods of the body and external goods.
- 7. ωσπερ δργανόν τι, cp. 3. 16. 1287 b 16, ωσπερ ό δικαστής. That instruments have a limit, we see from 1. 8. 1256 b 35 sqq. and 4 (7). 4. 1326 a 35 sqq.
- 8. πῶν δὲ τὸ χρήσιμον κ.τ.λ., 'and everything that is useful' (not merely external goods but bodily goods also, vol. i. p. 299, note 2, and εὐτυχία as a whole, Eth. Nic. 7. 14. 1153 b 21 sqq.) 'belongs to the class of things whose,' etc. Supply τούτων with ἐστιν (with Giph.). Τὰ χρήσιμα (οτ ἀφέλιμα) are goods that are desirable for the sake of other goods (Eth. Nic. 1. 4. 1096 b 13 sqq.: 1. 3. 1096 a 7, χρήσιμον γὰρ καὶ ἄλλου χάριν: 8. 2. 1155 b 19). Cp. Pol. 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 3 sqq. and De Part. An. 2. 5. 651 a 36 sqq.
- 9. ἀναγκαῖον. 'In the first chapter of the Fourth Book of the Politics, which Bernays is probably right in believing to be taken over (herübergenommen) from an ethical dialogue, ἀνάγκη and ἀναγκαῖον are interchanged in such a way that the latter stands where the use of ἀνάγκη owing to its being followed by a vowel would have produced an hiatus' (Kaibel, Stil und Text der Πολιτεία

'Aθηναίων des Aristoteles, p. 10). Kaibel has apparently overlooked the fact that the same rule is followed throughout the Politics: see for instance 3. 11. 1282 b 8-13 and 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 39-b 1 I have noticed only one passage in the Politics in which ἀνάγκη stands before a vowel, 2. 4. 1262 b 14, ἐνταῦθα μὲν οὖν ἀνάγκη ἀμφοτέρους ἐφθάρθαι ἡ τὸν ἕνα, and the reason why it is used there probably is that ἀναγκαῖον occurs in the next line, unless indeed τούς should be added before ἀμφοτέρους. On the other hand there are several passages in which ἀναγκαῖον stands before a consonant.

For αὐτῶν used pleonastically in addition to the relative, cp. Plato, Rep. 395 D, and Stallbaum's notes on this passage and on Gorg. 452 D. The same usage occurs in English, e.g. in 'Who is the poet but lately arrived in Elysium whom I saw Spenser lead in and present him to Virgil?' (Lyttelton's Dialogues of the Dead).

10. τῶν δὲ κ.τ.λ. With εἶναι supply ἀναγκαῖον from 9. Δέ answers to μέν in 7, τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἐκτός, and we expect in 11 not χρήσιμον εἶναι, but χρήσιμόν ἐστιν. See however Vahlen, Aristot. Außs. 2. 24 sqq., who adduces other passages (Pol. 7 (5). 9. 1310a 2 sqq.: 7 (5). 10. 1310b 9 sqq.: De An. 2. 5. 417a 22 sqq.) in which the second limb of an antithesis suffers a similar change, and is caught into the structure of an intervening sentence.

11. εἰ δεῖ κ.τ.λ. Menand. Monost. 579 is in the same spirit:
νοῦς ἐστι πάντων ἡγεμών τῶν χρησίμων.

13. δλως τε κ.τ.λ., 'and broadly it is manifest that we shall say that the best state of every individual thing, if we match one against another, corresponds in respect of superiority to the distance between the things of which we say that these very states are states,' i.e. if we match two things one against the other, the superiority of the best state of the one thing over the best state of the other corresponds to the distance between the one thing and the other. "Olus marks a transition from statements as to this or that class of goods to a broad universal proposition as to έκαστου πράγμα: see above on 1262 b 3. For έκάστου πράγματος πρός άλληλα Vahlen compares among other passages Poet. 23. 1459 a 24, ων εκαστον ως ετυχεν έχει πρός άλληλα. "Ηνπερ είληφε διάστασιν = τῆ διαστάσει ήνπερ είληφε, and τη διαστάσει is dependent on ακολουθείν In Pol. 4 (7). 14. 1332 b 15 sq. and Eth. Nic. 2. 1. 1103 b 23 we find ἀκολουθείν followed by κατά, and many have connected it here with κατά την ύπεροχήν, but Vahlen (whose interpretation I have

followed) is probably right in connecting ἀκολουθεῖν with τῆ διαστάσει (understood), and not with κατὰ τὴν ὑπεροχήν. That εῖληφ: διάστασιν is a perfectly possible phrase (no less than εῖληχε διάστασιν, the reading of Π¹) is shown by Vahlen (Aristot. Aufs. 2. 30), who compares among many other passages Plato, Tim. 65 A, ὅσα δὲ κατὰ σμικρὸν τὰς ἀποχωρήσεις ἐαυτῶν καὶ κενώσεις εῖληφε, τὰς δὲ πληρώσεις ἱθρόας καὶ κατὰ μεγάλα.

16. ἄστ' εἴπερ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Laws 697 B, 727 D sq., 731 C, Symp. 210 B, and Protag. 313 A. When Alcestis says in Eurip. Alcest. 292 Bothe, 301 Dindorf,

ψυχής γάρ οὐδέν έστι τιμιώτερον,

she means by ψυχή 'life.'

17. καὶ ἀπλῶς καὶ ἡμῖν. Cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 2. 1129 b 5 and Rhet. 3. 19. 1419 b 16 sq.

καὶ τὴν διάθεσιν τὴν ἀρίστην ἐκάστου. Πλοῦτος is said to be the ἀρετή ΟΓ κτῆσις in 1.13.1259 b 20.

22. ἀρετῆς καὶ φρονήσεως, 'moral and intellectual virtue': cp. 1323 a 27-34 and 1323 b 2, τὸ ἦθος καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν.

καὶ τοῦ πράττειν κατὰ ταύτας. An important addition. Hitherto we have been told only this, that those who are to be happy must possess as much virtue as possible, but now we are told that action in accordance with the virtues is also essential to happiness, and this is not lost sight of in the sequel (cp. 31-36, 41 sqq.).

- 23. μάρτυρι τῷ θεῷ χρωμένοις, 'appealing to the happiness of the Deity in proof of this.' Vict. 'quod inquit posse nos hoc videre utentes Deo teste, non intellexit debere nos adhibere ipsum testem et quasi invocare ut hoc confirmet, sed uti illo tanquam signo quodam certo et exemplo huius rei claro atque illustri.' Μάρτυρι χρῆσθαί τινι is commonly used in the sense of 'producing some one as a witness,' as in Rhet. 1. 15. 1375 b 30, 'λθηναῖοι 'Ομήρφ μάρτυρι ἐχρῆσαντο περὶ Σαλαμῖνος, but here, as Vahlen points out, μάρτυς has the same meaning as in Plato, Phileb. 67 B, τοὺς θηρίων ἔρωτας οἴονται κυρίους εἶναι μάρτυρας μᾶλλον ἡ κ.τ.λ. or as 'testes' in Cic. De Fin. 2. 33. 109. Cp. also Metaph. Λ. 1. 1069 a 25.
- 24. δς εὐδαίμων μέν ἐστι καὶ μακάριος κ.τ.λ. As to the source and nature of the happiness of God, cp. Eth. Eud. 7. 12. 1245 b 18. αἴτιον δ' ὅτι ἡμῖν μὲν τὸ εὖ καθ' ἔτερον, ἐκείνω δὲ (i. e. τῷ θεῷ) αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ τὸ εὖ ἐστίν, and Plut. De Is. et Osir. c. 1, οὐ γὰρ ἀργύρω καὶ χρυσῷ μακάριον τὸ θεῖον, οὐδὲ βρονταῖς καὶ κεραυνοῖς ἰσχυρόν, ἀλλ' ἐπιστήμη καὶ φρονήσει. As to the phrase εὐδαίμων καὶ μακάριος see note on 1314 b

- 28. 'In the earlier days of Greece εὐδαίμων would hardly be used of a deity, as we can easily understand if we look to the original meaning of the word; later also it appears to have gone out of use again to some extent as an epithet of the gods. On the other hand we often find it thus used by Plato and other writers of his time (see Ast, Lexicon Platonicum). I have found it elsewhere in Aristoph. Aves 1741, τῆς τ' εὐδαίμονος "Ηρας, in Aristot. Eth. Nic. 10. 8. 1178 b 8, τοὺς θεοὺς γὰρ μάλιστα ὑπειλήφαμεν μακαρίους καὶ εὐδαίμονας εἶναι, and' in the passage of the Politics before us (Heinze, Der Eudämonismus in der griech. Philosophie, 1. 663).
- 26. enel Kal K.T.A., 'since it is just on account of this' (i.e. because happiness does not spring from external goods) 'that prosperity also differs from happiness [no less than external goods differ from goods of the soul] for the spontaneous and fortune are the cause of goods external to the soul [the abundance of which constitutes prosperity], whereas no one is just or temperate [or consequently happy] from fortune or owing to fortune.' 'Enci introduces a justification of the statement that the happiness of the individual is proportionate to his virtue and moral prudence and to the degree in which he acts in accordance with them: if this were not so and his happiness sprang from external goods, it would not differ from prosperity. That prosperity consists in an abundance of external goods, we see from Rhet. 1. 5. 1361 b 39, εὐτυχία δ' έστίν, ων ή τύχη άγαθων αίτία, ταθτα γίγνεσθαι καὶ υπάρχειν ή πάντα ή τὰ πλεῖστα ή τὰ μέγιστα. That it was identified by many with happiness we see from Eth. Nic. 1. 9. 1099 b 7 sq. and 7. 14. 1153 b 21 sqq.
 - 28. As to ταὐτόματον καὶ ή τύχη see vol. i. p. 21 sqq. δίκαιος δ' οὐδεὶς κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Protag. 323 D sq.
- 29. ἀπὸ τύχης οὐδὲ διὰ τὴν τύχην. This expression is used for the sake of emphasis: cp. Plut. De Fortuna, c. 1, πύτερον οὐδὲ δικαιοσύνη τὰ θνητῶν πράγματα, οὐδὲ ἰσύτης, οὐδὲ σωφροσύνη, οὐδὲ κοσμιότης, ἀλλ' ἐκ τύχης μὲν καὶ διὰ τύχην ᾿Αριστείδης ἐνεκαρτέρησε τῆ πενία . . . ἐκ τύχης δὲ καὶ διὰ τύχην Φιλοκράτης λαβῶν χρυσίον παρὰ Φιλίππου πόρνας καὶ ἰχθῦς ἡγόραζε;

έχόμενον δ' ἐστὶ κ.τ.λ., 'and next in order, and calling for the same arguments to establish it, comes the truth that the best State also is happy and does well.' But it cannot do well—Aristotle in effect proceeds—unless it does noble things, and it cannot do noble things without moral and intellectual virtue, and the courage,

justice, and wisdom of a State are identical in nature with the same virtues in an individual, so that the happiness of a State, like that of an individual, cannot exist apart from the moral and intellectual virtues and action in accordance with them; its happiness is inseparable from the very same virtues with which happiness is associated in the individual. Bernays and Susemihl, who substitute γάρ in 31 for the δέ of the MSS., regard the passage ἀδύνατον, 31-σώφρων, 36, as containing the proof of the preceding sentence ἐχόμενον, 29-καλῶς, 31, but Vahlen has already pointed out (Aristot. Aufs. 2. 45 sq.) that the former passage is rather a deduction from

latter. The arguments used in the passage 31-36 are not the same as those used in 1323 a 38-b 29, nor do they prove that the best State is happy; what is proved in 31-36 is that the happy State will possess the same courage, justice, temperance, and wisdom which are possessed by the virtuous individual. One can conceive that the State might be happy without possessing the virtues of the virtuous individual; the object of 31-36 is to show that this is not the case, and that any happy State must possess these virtues, and thus to supplement and complete 29-31. It follows that the best State will possess them. In saying that the courage, justice, temperance, and wisdom of a State are identical with the courage, justice, temperance, and wisdom of an individual Aristotle follows in the track of Plato, Rep. 435 B sq. and 441 C sq. With ἀδύνατον δὲ καλῶς πράττειν κ.τ.λ. compare the reasoning in Plato, Gorg. 507 C.

34. ἔχει, not ἔχουσι, cp. 8 (6). 1. 1316 b 34 sq. and Plato, Phileb. 64 E, μετριότης γάρ καὶ ξυμμετρία κάλλος δήπου καὶ ἀρετή παυταχοῦ ξυμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι.

85. μορφήν. Bonitz (Ind. s.v.) remarks of this passage, 'μορφή idem fere quod δύναμις significat.'

The suppressed antecedent of ων must be τη ἀνδρία καὶ δικαιοσύνη καὶ φρονήσει: we expect therefore ἀνδρεῖος καὶ δίκαιος καὶ φρόνιμος in place of δίκαιος καὶ φρόνιμος καὶ σώφρων, but Aristotle is not careful of exact correspondence in enumerations of this kind, as Vahlen shows by comparing Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1103 a 4-8, Pol. 1. 13. 1259 b 39-1260 a 2, Pol. 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 6-9, and Pol. 3. 12. 1282 b 36-1283 a 3, where we expect τοῦ κάλλους in place of τοῦ πλούτου in 1283 a 2. The same thing is observable in Plato: see Stallbaum on Plato, Rep. 490 C.

87. μέν is probably answered not by δέ in νῦν δὲ ὑποκείσθω, 40,

but by δέ in πότερον δέ κ.τ.λ., 1324 a 5 (see Vahlen, Aristot. Aufs. 2. 25).

weφροιμισσμένα. Not only what has been said on this subject, but the whole contents of the first three chapters are introductory, because they merely prepare the way for the inquiry with which Aristotle is mainly concerned, the inquiry as to the best constitution (cp. c. 2. 1324 a 19 sqq., c. 4. 1325 b 33 sqq.).

- 38. θιγγάνειν 'is rare in the best Prose (ἄπτομαι being the common verb), but is used by Xenophon, Cyrop. 1. 3. 5, 5. 1. 16, 6. 4. 9, and by Aristotle' (Liddell and Scott s. v.). See also Rutherford, New Phrynichus, pp. 169, 391. 'Επεξελθεῖν (aor. infin.) is used though θιγγάνειν (pres. infin.) has preceded, probably because ἐπεξέρχεσθαι is not used in this sense.
- 39. ἐτέρας σχολῆς, i.e. ἐτέρας μεθόδου (Sus. Ind. s. v. σχολή), cp. 1324 a 2, ἐπὶ τῆς νῦν μεθόδου, and 21, ἡμεῖς δὲ ταύτην προηρήμεθα νῦν τὴν σκέψιν. Compare also Plut. Pericl. c. 39, ἀλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν ἴσως ἐτέρας δόξει πραγματείας εἶναι. Νῦν δέ, 40, is in opposition to ἐτέρας σχολῆς.
- 40. $\mu\ell\nu$ should rather follow $i\pi\sigma\kappa\epsilon i\sigma\theta\omega$, but ' $\mu\ell\nu$ interdum non ei additur vocabulo in quo vis oppositionis cernitur' (Bon. Ind. s. v.).
- 41. κεχορηγημένης κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 9. 1099 a 32 sq. and 10. 9. 1179 a 4 sqq. Xenocrates, on the other hand, had identified the good and the happy life, and his view is consequently corrected here (Top. 7. 1. 152 a 7, καθάπερ Ξενοκράτης τὸν εὐδαίμονα βίον καὶ τὸν οπουδαίον ἀποδείκνυσι τὸν αὐτόν, ἐπειδὴ πάντων τῶν βίων αΙρετώτατος ὁ σπουδαίος καὶ ὁ εὐδαίμων ἐν γὰρ τὸ αἰρετώτατον καὶ μέγιστον), and also that of the Cynics, for they held that virtue needed only the addition of the strength which Socrates possessed to be sufficient for happiness (Diog. Laert. 6. 11, αὐτάρκη γὰρ τὴν ἀρετὴν πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν, μηδενὸς προσδεομένην ὅτι μὴ Σωκρατικῆς Ισχύος).
- 1. The suppressed subject of μετέχειν probably is, not τὸν βίον, but 1824 a. ἔκαστον καὶ τὰς πόλεις.
- 2. ἐπὶ τῆς νῦν μεθόδου, 'for the time of the present inquiry': cp. Eth. Nic. 9. 4. 1166 a 34, ἀφείσθω ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος, and Isocr. Paneg. § 167, ἐπὶ τῆς νῦν ἡλικίας, and see Bon. Ind. 268 a 5–13.
- 5. Πότερον δὲ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has just said that the courage, C. 2. justice, etc., of a State are the same as the corresponding virtues in an individual, and now he asks whether this is also true of happiness. The question marked out for inquiry in 1323 a 20 sq., πότερον δ αίρετώτατος βίος κουή καὶ χωρὶς δ αύτὸς ἡ ἔτερος, has been so

far answered that we have been told that the most desirable life both for the State and for the individual is μετ' ἀρετῆς, but we have not yet learnt whether the happiness of the State resembles the happiness of the individual (1323 b 21 sqq.) in springing from virtue and being proportionate to it, and, till we know this, we cannot affirm that the happiness of the State and that of the individual are the same. We are now told that this is the case (1324 a 13, καὶ πόλιν εὐδαιμονεστέραν φήσει τὴν σπουδαιστέραν). Plutarch claims that Lycurgus was already aware of this (Lycurg. c. 31, ὥσπερ ἐνὸς ἀνδρὸς βίφ καὶ πόλεως ὅλης νομίζων εὐδαιμονίαν ἀπ' ἀρετῆς ἐγγίνεσθαι καὶ όμονοίας τῆς πρὸς αὐτήν, and Ages. c. 33). He often seems tacitly to defend Lycurgus against Aristotle's criticisms (see notes on 1270 a 4, 19, and 1324 b 7).

- 12. ἀποδέχεται, 'accipit cum assensu, probat' (Bon. Ind. s. v.). Cp. 2. 6. 1265 a 25.
- 14. ἐν μὲν κ.τ.λ. For συμπολιτεύεσθαι καὶ κοινωνεῖν πόλεως see note on 1293 a 4, κοινωνοῦσι καὶ πολιτεύονται. As to the question here raised and the parties to the discussion, see vol. i. p. 305 sqq. In using the words ὁ ξενικὸς καὶ τῆς πολιτικῆς κοινωνίας ἀπολελυμένος Aristotle probably has before him not only the language of Aristippus in Xen. Mem. 2. 1. 13, ἀλλὶ ἐγώ τοι ... οὐδὶ εἰς πολιτείαν ἐμαυτὸν κατακλῆω, ἀλλὰ ξένος πανταχοῦ εἰμι, but also the example of Anaxagoras, who lived many years at Athens, though a Clazomenian, and when he was forced to leave it, did not return to his native city, but preferred to live a stranger's life at Lampsacus and died there (Rhet. 2. 23. 1398 b 15).
- 17. For διάθεσιν πόλεως cp. Plato, Rep. 579 \mathbf{E} , εἴπερ τ $\hat{\eta}$ τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς πόλεως διαθέσει $\hat{\eta}$ ς ἄρχει ἔοικεν.
- 18. κοινωνείν πόλεως = συμπολιτεύεσθαι, to take an active share in a State (cp. 15).
- 19. εΐτε καὶ τισὶ μέν μη τοῖς δὲ πλείστοις. For καί, cp. c. 9. 1329 2 7, τρόπον μέν τινα τοῖς αὐτοῖς, τρόπον δέ τινα καὶ ἐτέροις.

thei δè κ.τ.λ. The best MSS. have ἐκεῖνο μὲν γάρ in 22, though P^{4.6} L⁸ omit γάρ and Vet. Int. has no equivalent for it (Vet. Int. occasionally fails to render γάρ: see vol. ii. p. lxiii). Γάρ should probably be retained in the text, and if we retain it, we must place the apodosis at ὅτι μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ., 23. After a string of protases introduced by ἐπεί, the apodosis is often introduced by οὖν in Aristotle's writings (see Bonitz, Aristot. Studien, 2. 59 sqq.). In c. 13. 1331 b 26 sqq. and in De An. 1. 4. 408 a 5 sqq. the

apodosis is introduced by were over after a protasis introduced by inei or el.

τῆς πολιτικής διανοίας καὶ θεωρίας. Cp. c. 3. 1325 b 20, θεωρίας καὶ διανοήτεις. Bonitz (Ind. 186 a 28) explains διάνοια here as 'cogitandi actio' (i.e. 'thought'), referring to Metaph. E. 1. 1025 b 6, πᾶσα ἐπιστήμη διανοητική ἢ μετέχουσά τι διανοίας, Eth. Nic. 9. 9. 1170 b 11 sq., and De Interp. 14. 23 a 32 sq.

28. ταύτην καθ' ην τάξιν, i.e. ταύτην την τάξιν καθ' ην. Vahlen (Aristot. Aufs. 2. 33) compares 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 19, ἐνδέχεται δὲ τὸ μὲν ποιὸν ὑπάρχειν ἐτέρφ μέρει τῆς πόλεως, ἐξ ὧν συνέστηκε μερῶν ἡ πόλις, ἄλλφ δὲ μέρει τὸ ποσόν: 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 28: 6 (4). 5. 1292 b 8. Cp. also De Gen. An. 4. 4. 772 a 2.

24. καν δστισούν, whether πρακτικός ΟΓ θεωρητικός.

25. ἀμφισβητείται δὲ παρ' αὐτῶν τῶν ὁμολογούντων κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 16. 1335 a 39, τὰ παρὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν λεγόμενα (where see note), and Xen. Cyrop. 1. 6. 2, τὰ παρὰ τῶν θεῶν συμβουλευόμενα. For this use of παρά see Kühner, Ausfuhrl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 440 a. 1.

27. δ πάντων των έκτος απολελυμένος, οδον θεωρητικός τις, δν μόνον τινές φασιν είναι φιλόσοφον. Aristotle was on the point of saying ό φιλόσοφος, as in 32, but he substitutes this long phrase, because he declines to identify this kind of life exclusively with the philosophic life. He selects three strong assertions for review, each containing the word μόνον, and this is the first of them. The other two are μόνον γάρ ανδρός του πρακτικου είναι βίου και πολιτικόν, 39, and οί δε του δεσποτικόυ και τυραννικόν τρόπου της πολιτείας είναι μόνου εὐδαίμονά φασω, 1324 b 2. As to the passage before us cp. c. 3. 1325 a 18, οί μεν γάρ αποδοκιμάζουσε τὰς πολιτικάς άρχας, νομίζοντες τόν τε τοῦ έλευθέρου βίον ετερόν τινα είναι τοῦ πολιτικοῦ καὶ πάντων αιρετώτατον, οί δε τουτον άριστον. Πάντων των έκτος απολελυμένος, however, means something more than a mere rejection of political office; Aristotle probably has Anaxagoras in his mind, his refusal to be cumbered even with property, his passion for θεωρία and ελευθερία: compare the account of Anaxagoras in Plut. Pericl. c. 16, where ανόργανον καλ απροσδεή της έκτος ύλης έπὶ τοις καλοις κινεί την διάνοιαν may be compared with πάντων των έκτὸς ἀπολελυμένος, in Himer. ap. Phot. Biblioth. 1088 R (quoted by Menage on Diog. Laert. 2. 6), where πάσης έαυτους της εξωθεν ασχολίας ελευθερώσαντες may be compared with the same phrase, and in Diog. Laert. 2. 6, obros (i.e. 'Avafayópas) elyeveia καὶ πλούτφ διαφέρων ην, άλλά καὶ μεγαλοφροσύνη, ός γε τὰ πατρφα παρεχώρησε τοις οἰκείοις, αἰτιαθεὶς γὰρ ὑπ' αὐτῶν ὡς ἀμελῶν, "Τί οὖν," ἔφη,

"οὐγ ὑμεῖς ἐπιμελεῖσθε;" καὶ τέλος ἀπέστη καὶ περὶ τὴν τῶν Φυσικῶν θεωρίαν ήν, οὐ φροντίζων τῶν πολιτικῶν. See also Clemens, Strom. 2. 416 D (quoted by Zeller, Gr. Ph. 1. 912. 5), 'Αναξαγόραν ... την θεωρίαν φάναι τοῦ βίου τέλος είναι καὶ τὴν ἀπὸ ταύτης έλευθερίαν: Eth. Nic. 10. 0. 1179 a 13 sqq.: Eth. Eud. 1. 4. 1215 b 6 sqq. and 1. 5. 1216 a 10 sqq. Aristotle, however, may also be thinking of Empedocles (cp. Diog. Laert. 8. 63, quoted below on 1325 a 19). Ον μόνον τινές φασιν είναι φιλόσοφον perhaps contains a reminiscence of Plato. Theaet. 175 D, οὖτος δή έκατέρου τρόπος, & Θεόδωρε, ὁ μὲν τῷ ὅντι ἐν έλευθερία τε καὶ σχολή τεθραμμένου, δυ δή φιλόσοφου καλείς, and Rep. 476 Α, και χωρίς αὖ περί ὧν ὁ λόγος, οὖς μόνους ἄν τις ὀρθῶς προσείποι φιλοσόφους: cp. Plut. De Facie in Orbe Lunae, c. 30, al σώφρονες (ψυχαί) μετά σχολης άπράγμονα και φιλόσοφον στέρξασαι βίον. Aristotle hints in these words that it is possible to lead a philosophic life without withdrawing altogether from politics; he probably remembers that Socrates, Archytas, and Epaminondas had done so. Cp. Plut. An Seni sit gerenda Respublica, c. 26, much of which chapter is thought, by Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 892. I (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. ii. p. 441, note 1), to be based, in substance at any rate, on Dicaearchus, Σωκράτης γοῦν οὔτε βάθρα θεὶς οὕτ' εἰς θρόνον καθίσας οΰτε ώραν διατριβής ή περιπάτου τοῖς γνωρίμοις τεταγμένην φυλάττων, άλλα και παίζων, ότε τύχοι, και συμπίνων και συστρατευόμενος ενίοις, και συναγοράζων, τέλος δε και συνδεδεμένος και πίνων το φάρμακον έφιλοσόφει, πρώτος ἀποδείξας τὸν βίον ἄπαντι χρόνφ καὶ μέρει καὶ πάθεσι καὶ πράγμασιν άπλῶς ἄπασι φιλοσοφίαν δεχόμενον, and Cic. de Orat. 3. 34. 137, septem fuisse dicuntur uno tempore, qui sapientes et haberentur et vocarentur. Hi omnes praeter Milesium Thalen civitatibus suis praesuerunt. Plato, again, though he speaks of the true philosopher as scorning political office (Rep. 521 A sq.), will not hear of his philosophic class refusing political office, at any rate in his ideal State. Cp. also Gorg. 500 D. Chrysippus was so far from thinking the σχολαστικός βίος the only one fit for a philosopher that he classed it as an idorunds Bios (Plut. De Stoicor. Repugnantiis, c. 2).

29. σχεδόν γάρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 1. 7. 1255 b 36, αὐτοὶ δὲ πολιτεύονται ἡ φιλοσοφοῦσιν. For οἱ φιλοτιμότατοι πρὸς ἀρετήν, cp. (with Eaton) 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 29, μεγαλοψυχότεροι πρὸς τὴν ἀρετήν. Φαίνονται προαιρούμενοι, 'evidently choose.'

31. καὶ τῶν προτέρων (e.g. Anaxagoras and, as we shall see, Gorgias) καὶ τῶν νῦν (e.g. Isocrates and Epaminondas).

πρότεροι does not, I think, occur elsewhere in the Politics (οι πρότερον is the usual phrase), but it occurs in Plato, Phaedr. 274 B and Menex. 241 D.

λέγω δὲ δύο κ.τ.λ. See critical note.

- 32. διαφέρει δὲ οὐ μικρὸν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably has before him the words of Socrates in Plato, Gorg. 500 C, ὁρᾶς γὰρ ὅτι περὶ τούτου εἰσὶν ἡμῶν οἱ λόγοι, οὕ τί ἄν μᾶλλον σπουδάσειέ τις καὶ σμικρὸν νοῦν ἔχων ἄνθρωπος, ἡ τοῦτρ, ὅντινα χρὴ τρόπον ζὴν, πότερον ἐπὶ ὁν σὺ παρακαλεῖς ἐμέ (the political life) . . . ἡ ἐπὶ τόνδε τὸν βίον τὸν ἐν φιλοσοφία.
- 33. ανάγκη γάρ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle perhaps remembers Plato, Rep. 540 A, αναγκαστέον . . . ιδόντας τὸ αναθόν αὐτό, παραδείγματι χρωμένους εκείνω, καὶ πόλιν καὶ ιδιώτας καὶ έαυτοὺς κοσμεῖν τὸν ἐπίλοιπον βίον ἐν μέρει ἐκάστους κ.τ.λ. (cp. Laws 702 A sub fin.).
- 35. νομίζουσι δ' οἱ μὲν κ.τ.λ. It is possible that Anaxagoras had expressed himself to this effect: he seems at any rate to have implied in his account of the happy man that he was not a δυνάστης (Eth. Nic. 10. 9. 1179 a 13 sqq.). Isocrates had said in his Letter to the Sons of Jason (§ 11), έμοι γάρ αίρετώτερος ὁ βίος είναι δοκεί καὶ βελτίων ο των ίδιωτευόντων ή ο των τυραννούντων, και τάς τιμάς ήδίους ήγουμαι τὰς ἐν ταις πολιτείαις ή τὰς ἐν ταις μοναρχίαις: compare the spurious Fourth Philippic ascribed to Demosthenes, c. 70. As Eaton points out, Plato had already made Thrasymachus in Rep. 344 A sqq. speak of repairls as ή τελεωτάτη άδικία: cp. also Gorg. 478 E, Polyb. 2. 59. 6, αὐτὸ γὰρ τοθνομα (of tyrant) περιέχει τὴν ἀσεβεστάτην εμφασιν, και πάσας περιείληφε τας εν ανθρώποις άδικίας και παρανομίας, and Paus. 8. 27. 11, τούτφ τφ 'Αριστοδήμφ καὶ τυραννούντι έξεγένετο δμως έπικληθήναι Χρηστφ, and 8. 36. 5. In μετ' άδικίας τινός είναι της μεγίστης ('accompanied with injustice of the very greatest kind') rurós lends emphasis: cp. Xen. Mem. 1. 3. 12, & 'Hpákheis, ws δεινήν τινα λέγεις δύναμιν τοῦ φιλήματος είναι ('how very terrible'), and Soph. O. C. 560, and see Liddell and Scott, s.v. 715 A. ii. 8.
- 37. πολιτικώς δέ, i.e. if rule is exercised as it should be exercised over men free and equal (cp. 3. 4. 1277 b 7 sqq.: 1. 7. 1255 b 20).
- 38. ἐμπόδιον ἔχειν τῆ περὶ αὐτὸν εὐημερία, and so not to be productive of τὸ ἄριστα πράττειν καὶ τὸ ζῆν μακαρίως (24). As to ἐμπόδιον ἔχειν see above on 1266 a 27. Τῆ περὶ αὐτὸν εὐημερία, in contrast to ἡ ἐκτὸς εὐημερία (health, food, etc.), Eth. Nic. 10. 9. 1178 b 33 sqq.

- τούτων δε κ.τ.λ. As Bonitz points out (Ind. 247 b 23), εξ εναντίας takes a dative in Pol. 7 (5). 11. 1314 a 31.
- 39. μόνον γάρ κ.τ.λ. This was the view of Gorgias as expressed by Meno in Plato, Meno 71 E, αύτη έστιν ανδρός αρετή, ικανόν είναι τὰ τῆς πόλεως πράττειν (and perhaps also of Prodicus and Protagoras. Rep. 600 C sq.): compare what Socrates says to Callicles, the friend of Gorgias, in Gorg. 500 C, δυτινα χρή τρόπου ζην, πότερου έπλ δυ σὺ παρακαλεῖς ἐμέ, τὰ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς δὴ ταῦτα πράττοντα, λέγοντά τε ἐν τῶ δήμω και ρητορικήν ασκούντα και πολιτευόμενον τούτον τον τρόπον, δυ ύμεις νῦν πολιτεύεσθε, ή ἐπὶ τόνδε τὸν βίον τὸν ἐν φιλοσοφία, and what Callicles himself says in Gorg. 485 D of one who continues to study philosophy after he has ceased to be young, υπάρχει τούτω τώ ανθρώπω, καν πάνυ ευφυής ή, ανώνδρω γενέσθαι φεύγοντι τα μέσα τής πόλεως και τας αγοράς, έν αις έφη ό ποιητής τους ανδρας αριπρεπείς γίγνεσθαι κ.τ.λ., and Hipp. Maj. 281 B sq. Gorgias formed himself (Hipp. Maj. 282 B) and his disciples (Xen. Anab. 2. 6. 16) on this model; his ideal of human life stood in the strongest possible contrast to that of Anaxagoras. Nowhere can it have found more sympathy than at Athens (cp. Thuc. 2. 40). The added remark (c. 3. 1325 a 21 sqq.), αδύνατον γάρ τον μηδέν πράττοντα πράττειν εθ, την δ εύπραγίαν και την εὐδαιμονίαν είναι ταὐτόν, may also be due to Gorgias. In 2. 2. 1261 b 1 (cp. 3. 6. 1279 a 8 sqq.) Aristotle leaves the question open whether ruling is a good thing or not.
- 40. ἐψό ἐκάστης γὰρ κ.τ.λ. This was not the general view: cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 9. 1179 a 6, τοῦτο δ΄ ἄστιν ἰδεῖν ἐναργῶς οἱ γὰρ ἰδιῶται τῶν δυναστῶν οὐχ ἡττον δοκοῦσι τὰ ἐπιεικῆ πράττειν, ἀλλὰ καὶ μᾶλλον. Aristotle, however, seems to have said, if the προτρεπτικός ascribed to him was really his, that Kings were favourably circumstanced for philosophizing (Fragm. 47. 1483 a 41 sqq.): compare the view of Marcus Aurelius (Comm. 11. 7), and contrast Plut. Ad Princ. Inerud. c. 5, where Plutarch suspects that Alexander was half inclined to regard his own splendour and power ὡς κώλυσιν ἀρετῆς καὶ ἀσχολίαν. For ἐπί with the gen. in the sense of 'in respect of,' cp. 3. 9. 1280 a 17, and Plato, Rep. 460 A, ὥστε τὸν ψαῦλον ἐκεῖνον αἰτιᾶσθαι ἐψ' ἐκάστης συνέρξεως τύχην.
- 1824 b. 2. of δε κ.τ.λ. By 'the despotic and tyrannical form of the constitution' Aristotle means a form of constitution devised πρὸς τὸ κρατεῖν καὶ δεσπόζειν τῶν πέλας. No notice is taken of those who praised the life of the tyrant, probably because no one would claim

that the tyrant was alone happy. For τρόπον τῆς πολιτείας, cp. 2. 5. 1264 a 11, ὁ τρόπος τῆς όλης πολιτείας, and 2. 7. 1267 a 17, ὁ τρόπος τῆς Φαλέου πολιτείας. Thibron and other writers on the Lacedaemonian constitution are probably referred to (see c. 14. 1333 b 18 sqq.), for they seem to have called the Lacedaemonian State happy because it ruled over many, and to have ascribed its happiness to the framer of its constitution (1333 b 22 sq., 29 sqq.). Aristotle may have thought that Alexander needed warning on the subject (cp. Plut. De Tranq. An. c. 13: Aristot. Fragm. 614. 1581 b 18 sqq.).

- 3. παρ' ἐνίοις δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and in some States this is the distinctive aim both of the constitution and of the laws, that the members of the State may exercise a despotic rule over others.' In the Lacedae-monian and Cretan States, for instance, both constitution and laws were framed with this end in view (c. 14. 1333 b 5 sqq.). For öρος τῆς πολιτείας cp. 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 10 sq. Compare also the use of ὑπόθεσις in 7 (5). 11. 1314 a 27 sqq.
- 5. διδ καὶ τῶν πλείστων νομίμων χύδην ὡς εἰπεῖν κειμένων παρὰ τοῖς πλείστοις. Καί = 'though': cp. Xen. Hell. 3. 5. 2, 'Αθηναῖοι δὲ καὶ οὐ μεταλαβόντες τούτου τοῦ χρυσίου ὅμως πρόθυμοι ἦσαν εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, and see Liddell and Scott s.v. καί Β. ii. 4.

νομίμων = νόμων: cp. 2. 8. 1268 b 42 and 1269 a 1, and see Bon. Ind. s. v. νόμιμος.

- 6. εἶ πού τι πρὸς ἔν οἱ νόμοι βλέπουσι, cp. Plato, Laws 963 Λ, πρὸς γὰρ ἔν ἔφαμεν δεῖν ἀεὶ πάνθ ἡμῖν τὰ τῶν νόμων βλέποντ' εἶναι, τοῦτο δ' ἀρετήν που ξυνεχωροῦμεν πάνυ ὀρθῶς λέγεσθαι.
- 7. ὧσπερ κ.τ.λ. The same thing is said in 4 (7). 14. 1333 b 5 sqq. and of Lacedaemon in 2.9. 1271 b 2 sqq.: cp. also 1325 a 3, and Plato, Laws 626 A, καὶ σχεδὸν ἀνευρήσειε οὖτω σκοπῶν τὸν Κρητῶν νομοθέτην, ὡς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον ἄπαντα δημοσία καὶ ἰδία τὰ νόμιμα ἡμῖν ἀποβλέπων συνετάξατο. We should hardly have guessed that this was so from the part of the Code of Gortyna which has come down to us, but the account of Cretan institutions given in Strab. p. 480 on the authority of Ephorus so far bears out the testimony of Plato and Aristotle, that it represents the development of courage in the young citizens to have been one main aim of the constitution. Plutarch probably has similar charges before him when in Lycurg. c. 31 and Ages. c. 33 he tries to make out that the aim of Lycurgus was very much that which Plato and Aristotle said that it ought to have been (see above on 1324 a 5). For ἡ τε παιδεία καὶ τὸ τῶν νόμων πλῆθος, cp. c. 14. 1333 b 9, τοὺς νόμους καὶ τὴν παιδείαν. For τὸ

τῶν νόμων πληθος, 'most of the laws,' Bonitz (Ind. 603 b 17 sqq.) compares 6 (4). 4. 1290 a 31, 32 and 2. 5. 1264 a 13.

- 9. ਵੱਸ 82 κ.τ.λ., 'and further in all the non-Greek nations that are capable of winning at the expense of others warlike prowess is honoured.' Aristotle has before him Hdt. 2. 167, δρέων καὶ Θρήϊκας καὶ Σκύθας καὶ Πέρσας καὶ Λυδούς (he substitutes the Celts for the Lydians) καὶ σχεδον πάντας τους βαρβάρους αποτιμοτέρους των αλλων ήγημένους πολιητέων τους τας τέχνας μανθάνοντας και τους έκγόνους τούτων τους δε απαλλαγμένους των χειρωναξιέων γενναίους νομιζομένους είναι, και μάλιστα τους ές του πολεμου ανειμένους μεμαθήκασι δ ων τουτο πάντες οί Ελληνες, καὶ μάλιστα Λακεδαιμόνιοι, where there is a transition from the barbarians to the Lacedaemonians, just as in the passage before us there is a transition from the Lacedaemonians to the barbarians. He probably also has before him Plato, Laws 637 D. πότερον, ωσπερ Σκύθαι χρωνται καὶ Πέρσαι, χρηστέον, καὶ ετι Καρχηδόνιοι καὶ Κελτοὶ καὶ "Ιβηρες καὶ Θράκες, πολεμικὰ ξύμπαντα όντα ταῦτα γένη, η καθάπερ ὑμεῖς (i. e. the Lacedaemonians and Cretans), and perhaps Xen. Mem. 2. 1. 10. Cp. also Isocr. Paneg. § 67, ἔστι γάρ ἀρχικώτατα μέν των γενων καί μεγίστας δυναστείας έχοντα Σκύθαι καί Θράκες καί Πέρσαι. The phrase τοις δυναμένοις πλεονεκτείν recurs in 8 (6), 3. 1318b 4, where of κρατούντες takes its place in the next line: cp. Demosth. De Chers. c. 42, έστε γάρ ύμεις οὐκ αὐτοὶ πλεονεκτήσαι καὶ κατασχείν ἀρχήν εὖ πεφυκότες. No doubt among the barbarians of Europe in Aristotle's day, as among those of Africa in our own, there were raiding and raided races. 'Η τοιαύτη δύναμις, i. e. ή πολεμική δύναμις, referring to πρός τους πολέμους, 8 (cp. την αρετήν ταύτην, 13). Thus πολέμιον is emphatic in 16 and 18, and των πολεμίων in 20. For the perfect τετίμηται see above on 1280 a 16, διήρηται, and see Holden's note on Xen. Oecon. 9. 4, αναπέπταται.
- 12. καὶ νόμοι τινές είσι, 'laws also' providing for the giving of honours, as well as honours. The honours might be given apart from any provisions of law.
- 18. καθάπερ ἐν Καρχηδόνι κ.τ.λ., 'as for instance at Carthage men receive, we are told, their decoration composed of armlets with as many armlets as they have served campaigns.' Coray would read ἐκ τοσούτων κρίκων, but Vahlen (Aristot. Aufs. 2. 34) rightly disapproves of this attempt to secure a more exact correspondence between antecedent and relative. I translate κρίκων 'armlets,' not 'rings,' for the κρίκος which Demosthenes wore was not a ring but an armlet (Plut. Demosth. c. 30, 'Ερατοσθένης δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς ἐν κρίκων

φυας κοιγώ το φαρίτακος φηγαρασείς, του δε κυίκος είναι τούτος απτή φορώτα περιβραγιόπον: cp. Dec. Orat. Vit. Demosth. 847 B), and those worn by Carthaginian soldiers may well have been so too. Compare the armillae given to Roman soldiers for brilliant feats of arms (Liv. 10. 44: Guhl and Koner, Life of the Greeks and Romans, Eng. Trans. p. 586). We hear very little of similar rewards for gallant service in Greece. It was with land that Pittacus was rewarded for slaving his antagonist Phrynon (Plut. De Herod. Malign. c. 15). It was not by rewards given to the brave but by the education of vouth that the Lacedaemonians and Cretans developed military prowess in their citizens. The Carthaginian custom may have been derived from the Libyans around them (cp. Hdt. 4. 176), or possibly from Egypt (Sext. Empir. Pyrrh. Hypotyp. 3. 201, p. 168. 25 sag. Bekker). It seems likely from the passage before us that the decoration of kpikos was confined to citizens of Carthage and was intended to induce them to serve in war and not to leave military service to mercenaries.

15. ἢν δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and there was at one time a law in Macedon also that he who had slain no foeman should be girded with his halter (instead of a belt).' A man girded with a halter would cut a sorry figure beside one girded with a handsome belt adorned with metal, and possibly golden, buckles (Guhl and Koner, Eng. Trans. p. 235). Among the Cappadocians to wear a belt meant to be an officer (Anth. Pal. 11. 238: Liddell and Scott s. v. (wm). Compare the Macedonian custom mentioned by Hegesander, quoted in Athen. Deipn. 18 a, 'Ηγήσανδρος δέ φησιν οὐδὲ ἔθος είναι ἐν Μακεδονία κατακλίνεσθαί τινα έν δείπνω, εί μή τις έξω λίνων δυ κευτήσειευ εως δε τότε καθήμενοι εδείπνουν, where we read that Cassander, though a brave man and a skilful hunter, had not been able to fulfil this requirement at the age of thirty-five and still sat at dinner. A closer parallel may be found in the iron ring worn by many of the Chatti till they had slain an enemy in war (Tac. Germ. c. 31, et aliis Germanorum populis usurpatum raro et privata cuiusque audentia apud Chattos in consensum vertit, ut primum adoleverint, crinem barbamque submittere, nec, nisi hoste caeso, exuere votivum obligatumque virtuti oris habitum . . . Fortissimus quisque ferreum insuper anulum (ignominiosum id genti) velut vinculum gestat, donec se caede hostis absolvat. Plurimis Chattorum hic placet habitus). Similar customs are traceable among the Sauromatae (Nic. Damasc. Fragm. 122: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 3. 466). 'It is a rule

among all the tribes' [of the head-hunting Malays of Borneo] 'that no youth can regularly wear a mandau (sword) or be married or associate with the opposite sex, till he has been on one or more head-hunting expeditions. A mandau is presented to him probably at his birth or when he receives a name, but not till he has washed it in the blood of an enemy can he presume to carry it as part of his every-day equipment' (Bock, Head-hunters of Borneo, p. 216). Under Cetewayo, a Zulu who had not 'washed his spear,' that is, who had not killed an enemy, could not marry. We light on a more genial form of the same custom in the island of Skye. 'In Dunvegan Castle is kept an ox's horn, hollowed so as to hold perhaps two quarts' (of what liquid?) 'which the heir of Macleod was expected to swallow at one draught as a test of his manhood, before he was permitted to bear arms or could claim a seat among the men' (Dr. Johnson's Journey to the Western Islands of Scotland. Works, 8. 289).

17. ἐν δὲ Σκύθαις κ.τ.λ. Now we pass from laws to customs (cp. 22). The result is attained by law at Carthage and in Macedon, by custom among the Scythians and Iberians. Eaton compares Hdt. 4. 66, where however there is no mention of the σκύφος περιφερόμενος, so that it is doubtful whether Aristotle derives his statement from this source. See however above on 1262 a 19, 1276 a 28, and 1284 a 26. The σκύφος was used by herdsmen and country people (Athen. Deipn. 498 f) and is quite in place among the Scythians: some, in fact, imagined an etymological connexion between σκύφος and Εκύθης (Athen. Deipn. 499 f). For πίνειν σκύφον Eaton compares Hom. Il. 8. 232,

πίνοντες κρητήρας επιστεφέας οίνοιο.

18. ἐν δὲ τοῖς "Ιβηρσι κ.τ.λ. Why have we ἐν δὲ Σκύθαις in 17, and ἐν δὲ τοῖς "Ιβηρσιν here? Cp. 7 (5). 6. 1306 a 21, where see note. A similar custom existed among the Sindi, a Scythian race dwelling at the foot of the Caucasus on the East coast of the Euxine (Nic. Damasc. Fragm. 121: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 3. 460, Σίνδοι τοσούτους ἰχθῦς ἐπὶ τοὺς τάφους ἐπιβάλλουσιν, ὅσους (ἄν) πολεμίους ὁ θαπτόμενος ἀπεκτονὼς ἢ). For καταπηγνύουσι περὶ τὸν τάφον, cp. Thuc. 5. 11. 1, περιέρξαντες αὐτοῦ τὸ μνημεῖον (with Arnold's note). The word ὀβελίσκος is usually explained here as meaning 'an obelisk,' but this use of it is rare, and if we explain it thus, we must suppose that a long pointed stone is referred to, for soldiers after a battle would not find it easy to set up real obelisks at the grave

of a comrade. It is possible that the word should be translated here 'a small spit.' This is its usual meaning, and every soldier had a small spit ready to hand (Plut. Reg. et Imperat. Apophth. Scipio Minor 16, 201 B). Spits might be thought likely to be useful to the dead man, like the fish thrown on the grave by the Sindi. I am glad to see since the foregoing words were written, that Dr. Jackson also translates obedlowous 'spits' (see his note in Sus.4). Professor Ridgeway (Academy, Aug. 29, 1885), rendering the word 'obelisk,' makes the interesting suggestion that the passage before us throws light on the original purpose of stone circles. I read in the Athenaeum for Dec. 14, 1895, that 'at Monte Pitti in the province of Pisa, near some remains of ancient walls. an Etruscan necropolis has been discovered, the tombs of which are enclosed in circles of rude stones.' See also Dr. Thurnam, Ancient British Barrows in Archaeologia 42, p. 211, quoted by Prof. Boyd Dawkins, Early Man in Britain, p. 285, and the remarks of Mr. Arthur Evans quoted by Prof. Windle, Life in Early Britain, p. 54 sq. We expect διεφθαρκώς η, not διαφθείρη, for the destruction of the foe must necessarily precede the fixing of the obedianos, but compare the use of the present participle in 2. 8. 1268 a 8, sai rois παισί των έν τω πολέμω τελευτώντων έκ δημοσίου γίνεσθαι την τροφήν. The present is probably used in these passages because a thing occurring frequently is referred to. That the Iberians were a warlike race, had been remarked by Plato, Laws 637 D, and by Thucydides (6. 90, "Ιβηρας καὶ άλλους των έκει δμολογουμένως νῦν βαρβάρων μαχιμωτάτους): compare the story told in Diod. 14. 75. 8 of their gallant behaviour when deserted by the Carthaginians. Aristotle mentions the fact, partly in confirmation of the statement in 10 that it is among warlike races that these customs prevail, partly because the Iberians were little known to the Greeks, though they had been mentioned by Hecataeus, Herodotus, and Thucydides. They must have become better known in Greece after their employment as mercenaries by Dionysius the Elder, and still more after the arrival in Greece of a contingent of Celtic and Iberian mercenaries sent by him in B.C. 369 to aid the Lacedaemonians against the Thebans (Xen. Hell. 7. 1. 20). It is possible indeed that an Iberian belonging to this contingent may have been buried in Greece in the manner here described by Aristotle.

20. καταπηγεύουσι. The forms πηγεύω, καταπηγεύω are 'rare in classical authors' (Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective,

- 8. v. πήγνυμι). We find ἀπολλύουσι in 6 (4). 12. 1297 a 12 (in 7 (5). 10. 1312 b 23 some MSS. have ἀπολλύασι and others ἀπολλύουσι). As to δεικνύουσι see Bon. Ind. 167 b 50 sqq., and cp. 2. 12. 1274 a 36. As to ὀμνύουσι see note on 1285 b 11.
- 21. καὶ ἔτερα δὴ κ.τ.λ. For καὶ . . . δή, see above on 1253 a 18. Νόμοις κατειλημμένα, 'ratified by laws,' cp. Plato, Laws 823 A, τὰ ταῖς ζημίαις ὑπὸ νόμων κατειλημμένα, and Plut. Lycurg. c. 29, κατειλημμένων δὲ τοῖς ἐθισμοῖς ἤδη τῶν κυριωτάτων ὑπ' αὐτοῦ, and see Prof. J. C. Wilson on Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1179 b 16 sqq. in Academy, Feb. 18, 1888.
- 24. The suppressed nominative to ἄρχη is probably not δ πολιτικός, but τίς: see as to this use of the third person singular Bon. Ind. 589 b 47 sqq.
- 26. πῶς γὰρ ἄν κ.τ.λ. ^{*}Η νομοθετικόν is added to strengthen the argument, for it is still more difficult to suppose that what is not νόμιμον is νομοθετικόν than that it is πολιτικόν. Cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 3. 1129 b 12, τά τε γὰρ ὡρισμένα ὑπὸ τῆς νομοθετικῆς νόμιμά ἐστι, καὶ ἔκαστον τούτων δίκαιον εἶναι φαμέν, and Eurip. Ion 404 Bothe (442 Dind.),

πως οὖν δίκαιον τοὺς νόμους ὑμας βροτοῖς γράψαντας αὐτοὺς ἀνομίαν ὀφλισκάνειν;

- 27. οδ νόμιμον δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and it is not lawful to rule not only justly but unjustly, and it is possible to conquer [and so to acquire rule] unjustly as well as justly,' so that it is possible to rule over unwilling subjects unjustly. This is added because some held that Might is Right (1. 6. 1255 a 18). Conquering (τὸ κρατεῖν) is the first step to ruling over others (c. 14. 1333 b 30). Οὲ νόμιμον δέ, as always, like οὰ καλῶς δ'ἔχει (c. 7. 1328 a 8), οὰ βάδιον δέ (c. 10. 1330 a 6), οὰκ ἔστι δέ (6 (4). 1. 1289 a 9), οὰκ ἐμπίπτει δέ (6 (4). 16. 1300 b 34), etc.
- 29. τοῦτο, i.e. that it is the function of the master of the art to rule over unwilling, no less than willing, subjects.
- 30. τὸ ἡ πείσαι ἡ βιάσασθαι, which are the characteristics of rule over the unwilling, for they are the characteristics of despotic rule: cp. Isocr. Nicocl. § 22, τὰς ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ πλεονεξίας ὑπάσας (αὶ μοναρχίαι) περιειλήφασιν καὶ γὰρ παρασκευάσασθαι δυνάμεις καὶ χρήσασθαι ταύταις, δστε καὶ λαθεῖν καὶ ὀφθῆναι, καὶ τοὺς μὲν πεῖσαι, τοὺς δὲ βιάσασθαι . . μᾶλλον αὶ τυραννίδες τῶν ἄλλων πολιτειῶν οἶαί τ' εἰσίν. Plato contemplates the use of persuasion and force by the physician in Laws 720 D and Polit. 296 B (cp. Gorg. 456 B), but perhaps

of a comrade. It is possible that the word should be translated here 'a small spit.' This is its usual meaning, and every soldier had a small spit ready to hand (Plut. Reg. et Imperat. Apophth. Scipio Minor 16, 201 B). Spits might be thought likely to be useful to the dead man, like the fish thrown on the grave by the Sindi. I am glad to see since the foregoing words were written, that Dr. Jackson also translates obedlowous 'spits' (see his note in Sus.4). Professor Ridgeway (Academy, Aug. 29, 1885), rendering the word 'obelisk,' makes the interesting suggestion that the passage before us throws light on the original purpose of stone circles. I read in the Athenaeum for Dec. 14, 1895, that 'at Monte Pitti in the province of Pisa, near some remains of ancient walls. an Etruscan necropolis has been discovered, the tombs of which are enclosed in circles of rude stones.' See also Dr. Thurnam, Ancient British Barrows in Archaeologia 42, p. 211, quoted by Prof. Boyd Dawkins, Early Man in Britain, p. 285, and the remarks of Mr. Arthur Evans quoted by Prof. Windle, Life in Early Britain, p. 54 sq. We expect διεφθαρκώς η, not διαφθείρη, for the destruction of the foe must necessarily precede the fixing of the obedianos, but compare the use of the present participle in 2. 8. 1268 a 8, sai rois παισί των έν τω πολέμω τελευτώντων έκ δημοσίου γίνεσθαι την τροφήν. The present is probably used in these passages because a thing occurring frequently is referred to. That the Iberians were a warlike race, had been remarked by Plato, Laws 637 D, and by Thucydides (6. 90, "Ιβηρας καὶ άλλους των έκει δμολογουμένως νῦν βαρβάρων μαχιμωτάτους): compare the story told in Diod. 14. 75. 8 of their gallant behaviour when deserted by the Carthaginians. Aristotle mentions the fact, partly in confirmation of the statement in 10 that it is among warlike races that these customs prevail, partly because the Iberians were little known to the Greeks, though they had been mentioned by Hecataeus, Herodotus, and Thucydides. They must have become better known in Greece after their employment as mercenaries by Dionysius the Elder, and still more after the arrival in Greece of a contingent of Celtic and Iberian mercenaries sent by him in B.C. 369 to aid the Lacedaemonians against the Thebans (Xen. Hell. 7. 1. 20). It is possible indeed that an Iberian belonging to this contingent may have been buried in Greece in the manner here described by Aristotle.

20. καταπηγεύουσι. The forms πηγεύω, καταπηγεύω are 'rare in classical authors' (Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective,

δήλον ἄρα ὅτι κ.τ.λ., 'it is evident, then, that while all cares and studies with a view to war are to be considered noble, they are not to be so considered as being the highest end of all, but as existing for the sake of that end.' That they are not the highest end of all, or in other words that they do not constitute happiness. is evident because happiness is attainable by States in which they are not practised, and also because they are not the force Too πολιτικοῦ (1324 b 22 sqq.), whose end is to produce happiness. There were probably those who regarded 'cares and studies with a view to war' as the highest end of all; thus an admirer of the Lacedaemonians claims in Isocr. Panath. § 202 that gratitude is due to them, ότι τὰ κάλλιστα τῶν ἐπιτηδευμάτων εὐρόντες αὐτοί τε χρώνται και τοις άλλοις κατέδειξαν, and explains in § 217 that he refers to τὰ γυμνάσια τάκει καθεστώτα και τὴν ἄσκησιν τῆς ἀνδρίας και τὴν δμόνοιαν καὶ συνόλως την περί τὸν πόλεμον ἐπιμέλειαν. As to δηλον ἄρα öτι see critical note.

- 7. τοῦ δὲ νομοθέτου κ.τ.λ., 'and the good lawgiver's business is to see with respect to a State and a race of men and every other association how they are to share [not in conquest, but] in good life and the measure of happiness attainable by them.' Cp. Plato, Laws 631 B, where happiness is implied to be the end of laws, and Rep. 521 A, οι τῷ ὅντι πλούσιοι, οὐ χρυσίου, ἀλλ' οῦ δεῖ τὸν εὐδαίμονα πλουτεῖν, ζωῆς ἀγαθῆς τε καὶ ἔμφρονος. Γένος = ἔθνος, as in 2. 9. 1269 b 25 sq. (cp. Plato, Gorg. 483 D, τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἐν ὅλαις ταῖς πόλεσι καὶ τοῖς γένεσιν). Aristotle keeps both πόλεις and ἔθνη in view here, because he has had both in view in his criticisms (1324 b 3 sqq.). It should be noticed that he regards the ἔθνος as a kind of κοινωνία. Ζωῆς ἀγαθῆς is emphasized by its position in the sentence: cp. Plato, Rep. 413 C, τοῦτο ὡς ποιητέον.
- 10. διοίσει μέντοι κ.τ.λ., i.e. though this will always be the end at which he aims, the laws by which he seeks to attain it will differ according as his State has neighbours or not. If it has no neighbours, his laws will be less directed to the encouragement of military prowess than in the contrary case. For τῶν ταττομένων ἔνια νομίμων cp. Plato, Laws 743 Ε, τῶν προσταττομένων αὐτόθι νόμων.
- 11. καὶ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ., 'and it is the province of the legislative art, if the State has neighbours, to consider this, [not how to subjugate them all indiscriminately, but] what sort of studies should be practised in relation to each sort of neighbour, or how the State is to adopt the measures which are appropriate in relation to each of

its neighbours.' Της νομοθετικής takes up τοῦ νομοθέτου τοῦ σπουδαίου. Ποδε ποίους and προς έκάστους are emphatic. The lawgiver should not lay down one indiscriminating rule, as the Lacedaemonian lawoiver had done, but rather a rule varying according to the character of the neighbours with whom the State has to deal. Hola means πολεμικά ή εξοηνικά. We may infer from c. 14. 1333 b 38 sqq. that the lawgiver will teach his State to practise military studies in relation to aggressive neighbours or neighbours who deserve to be enslaved, but not in relation to others. Πῶς τοῖς καθήκουσι πρὸς ἐκάστους νοηστέον is a wider inquiry than ποία πρός ποίους ἀσκητέον, and includes the whole subject of the action of the State in relation to its neighbours both in peace and in war, which of them should be ruled ήγεμονικώς and which δεσποτικώς, how war should be waged with them, if they are Greeks (cp. Plato, Rep. 471 A sqq.), and other questions of the same kind. Aristotle evidently does not agree with Isocrates when he says that laws affect only the internal organization of States, and not their mutual relations (De Antid. § 79: see vol. i. p. 552).

14. Йотеров, in с. 13. 1331 b 26 sqq. and с. 14. 1333 a 11 sqq.

19. τὰς πολιτικὰς ἀρχάς, so termed, as we see from 3. 6. 1279 a 8 C. 3 (where see note), in contrast to the ἀρχαί of the head of a household, a ship-captain, or a training-master, and the like, and also to priesthoods (6 (4). 15. 1299 a 18).

τε should follow not τόν, but ἔτερον: for other instances of its displacement see Bon. Ind. 749 b 44 sqq. and above on 1259 a 13.

τοῦ ἐλευθέρου, cp. Diog. Laert. 8. 63, φησὶ δ' αὐτὸν (i.e. Empedocles) καὶ ᾿Αριστοτέλης ἐλεύθερον γεγονέναι καὶ πάσης ἀρχῆς ἀλλότριον, εἴ γε τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτῷ διδομένην παρητήσατο, καθάπερ Ξάνθος ἐν τοῖς περὶ αὐτοῦ λέγει, τὴν λιτότητα δηλονότι πλέον ἀγαπήσας: Clemens, Strom. 2. 416 D (quoted above on 1324 a 27), ᾿Αναξαγόραν . . . τὴν θεωρίαν φάναι τοῦ βίου τέλος εἰναι καὶ τὴν ἀπὸ ταύτης ἐλευθερίαν: Plut. De Exil. C. 12, οὕτω τῆς φυγῆς πρὸς ἐν μέρος τὸ ἄδοξον ἐντεινόμενοι παρορῶμεν τὴν ἀπραγμοσύνην καὶ τὴν σχολὴν καὶ τὴν ἐλευθερίαν.

20. With τοῦ πολιτικοῦ supply βίου, as with τοῦ δεσποτικοῦ in 24.

21. οί δε τούτον άριστον, SC. είναι νομίζουσιν.

argument. Aristotle is of the same opinion: cp. 1325 b 14 sqq. and Eth. Nic. 1. 3. 1095 b 32 sqq.

22. την δ' εὐπραγίαν κ.τ.λ., so that one who does nothing cannot be happy. Cp. Phys. 2. 6. 197 b 5, $\dot{\eta}$ δ' εὐδαιμονία πράξίε τις

- εὐπραξία γάρ, and Rhet. 1. 5. 1360 b 14, where εὐδαιμονία is said to be often defined as εὐπραξία μετ' ἀρετῆς.
- 24. οἱ μὲν ὅτι κ.τ.λ. Τοῦ ἐλευθέρου = the man who avoids political offices. Cp. Isoçr. Epist. 6. § 11, ἐμοὶ γὰρ αἰρετώτερος ὁ βίος εἶναι δοκεῖ καὶ βελτίων ὁ τῶν ἰδιωτευόντων ἡ ὁ τῶν τυραννευόντων, a proposition in favour of which Xenophon often makes Hiero argue (e.g. in Hiero 1. 2, 7 sqq.).
- 25. οδδέν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. 'Η ἐπίταξις ἡ περὶ τῶν ἀναγκαίων is implied to be the function of δ δεσποτικός (cp. 1. 7. 1255 b 33 sqq.: 3. 4. 1277 a 33 sqq.).
- 27. το μέντοι νομίζειν κ.τ.λ. It is apparently implied that those who rejected political office regarded all rule as despotic, yet in c. 2. 1324 a 35 sqq. they are said to have distinguished between despotic and constitutional rule.
 - 28. οὐ γὰρ ἔλαττον κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 14. 1333 b 27 sq.
- 30. ἐν τοῖς πρώτοις λόγοις, in 1. 7. 1255 b 16 sqq. This reference to the πρῶτοι λόγοι might well be taken to show that the Fourth and Fifth (old Seventh and Eighth) Books do not fall within them, were it not that a similar reference occurs in 3. 6. 1278 b 17 sq., a chapter which certainly seems to form part of the πρῶτοι λόγοι (see vol. ii. p. xxi).
- 32. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and further the actions of the just and temperate have in them the perfect realization of many things that are noble': cp. Plato, Tim. 90 D, δμοιώσαντα δὲ τέλος ἔχειν τοῦ προτεθέντος ἀνθρώποις ὑπὸ θεῶν ἀρίστου βίου, and Epinomis 985 A, θεὸν μὲν γὰρ δὴ τὸν τέλος ἔχοντα τῆς θείας μοίρας ἔξω τούτων εἶναι, λύπης τε καὶ ἡδουῆς. Πολλῶν καὶ καλῶν τέλος ἔχουσιν stands in contrast to οὐδενὸς μετέχει τῶν καλῶν (26).
- 34. καίτοι τάχ' &ν κ.τ.λ. The tyrant Jason is here alluded to (see vol. i. p. 237, note 1). A saying of his is mentioned in Rhet.

 1. 12. 1373 a 25 (cp. Plut. Praec. Reip. Gerend. c. 24 and De Sanitate Tuenda, c. 22), δεῖν ἀδικεῖν ἔνια, ὅπως δύνηται καὶ δίκαια πολλὰ ποιεῖν, which no doubt referred to the acquisition of a tyranny. Jason was a great admirer of Gorgias (Paus. 6. 17. 9), and it is possible that Gorgias' praises of the practical and political life may have influenced him. Be that as it may, Aristotle evidently fears that his own identification of εὐδαιμονία with τὸ εὖ πράττειν (for this is what he means by τούτων οὕτω διωρισμένων, cp. 1325 b 14 sq.) may lead some one to the same conclusion as Jason, but he hastens to explain that absolute power does not bring with it τὸ εὖ πράττειν, except in the hands of one who is as superior to those he rules as

a master is to his slaves (1325 b 3 sqq.). Aristotle does not dispute that political power makes it easier to do noble things (cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 9. 1099 a 32 sqq.), but then political power must not be out of proportion to the worth of its possessor.

36. πλείστων καὶ καλλίστων...πράξεων. This takes up πολλών καὶ καλών, 32.

Δοτε οὐ δεῖ κ.τ.λ. Susemihl reads e conj. δεῖν for δεῖ, but cp. 2.

12. 1274 a 5, where the indirecta oratio is similarly abandoned, though Aristotle is describing the views of others. In the Phoenissae of Euripides Eteocles, who has deprived his brother Polyneices of his share of the Kingship, or Tyranny, of Thebes, refuses to give up the Tyranny to him, and Aristotle no doubt has his famous speech in his memory. He says (470 sqq. Bothe, 504 sqq. Dindorf),

άστρων ἃν ἔλθοιμ' ἡλίου πρὸς ἀντολὰς καὶ γῆς ἔνερθε, δυνατὸς ὧν δρᾶσαι τάδε, τὴν θεῶν μεγίστην ὥστ' ἔχειν τυραννίδα. τοῦτ' οὖν τὸ χρηστόν, μῆτερ, οὐχὶ βούλομαι ἄλλῳ παρεῖναι μᾶλλον ἡ σώζειν ἐμοί.

- 38. καὶ μήτε πατέρα παίδων κ.τ.λ. This reads as if Aristotle were quoting from some solemn covenant for the establishment of a tyranny: compare the oath taken in support of Drusus in Diod. 37. II, τὸν αὐτὸν φίλον καὶ πολέμιον ἡγήσεσθαι Δρούσφ, καὶ μήτε βίου μήτε τέκνων καὶ γονέων μηδεμιᾶς φείσεσθαι ψυχῆς, ἐὰν (μὴ) συμφέρη Δρούσφ τε καὶ τοῖς τὸν αὐτὸν ὅρκον ὁμόσασιν. Polybius (7. 8. 9) dwells with emphasis, and perhaps with a little surprise, on the loyalty of Gelon to his father Hiero II, tyrant of Syracuse.
- 39. δλως, 'broadly,' not only fathers and children (who are one kind of friends), but friends of all sorts.

As to unologifeer see critical note.

πρός τοῦτο, 'in comparison with this': cp. Eth. Nic. 2. 8. 1108 b 16, al μέσαι έξεις πρός μέν τὰς έλλείψεις ὑπερβάλλουσι, πρός δὲ τὰς ὑπερβολὰς έλλείπουσιν.

- 1. τὸ τῶν ὅντων αἰρετώτατον, i.e. τὸ εὖ πράττειν. Isocrates had 1825 b. called τυραννίς in Evag. § 40 τὸ κάλλιστον τῶν ὅντων and τῶν θείων ἀγαθῶν καὶ τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων μέγιστον καὶ σεμνότατον καὶ περιμαχητότατον.
- 2. ὑποτίθενται τοῦτο ψεῦδος, 'in assuming this as the foundation of their argument they assume what is false.'
 - 8. où yàp ἔτι κ.τ.λ. The reply of the Chorus to the speech of

Eteocles referred to above on 1325 a 36 (Eurip. Phoeniss. 492 sq. Bothe: 526 sq. Dind.) is perhaps present to Aristotle's memory:

οὐκ εὖ λέγειν χρη μη 'πὶ τοῖς ἔργοις καλοῖς' οὐ γὰρ καλὸν τοῦτ', ἀλλὰ τῆ δίκη πικρόν.

Compare also the remark of Plato to Dionysius the Elder quoted above on 1288 a 4, Hdt. 3. 142, and Eurip. Fragm. 172.

- 7. τοις γάρ όμοιοις κ.τ.λ. Cp. 3. 16. 1287 a 10 sqq. Τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ δίκαιον is an expression which frequently recurs: cp. Plato, Gorg. 484 A, ὡς τὸ ἴσον χρὴ ἔχειν, καὶ τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ δίκαιον, and Laws 854 C, ὡς δεῖ τὰ καλὰ καὶ τὰ δίκαια πάντα ἄνδρα τιμῶν.
- 10. διὰ κὰν ἄλλος τις κ.τ.λ. This takes up and corrects 1325 a 36 sqq. Aristotle perhaps remembers the saying of Aristides when he surrendered his day of command at Marathon to Miltiades (Plut. Aristid. c. 5, καὶ παρ' ἡμέραν ἐκάστου στρατηγοῦ τὸ κράτος ἔχοντος, ὡς περιῆλθεν εἰς αὐτὸν ἡ ἀρχή, παρέδωκε Μιλτιάδη διδάσκων τοὺς συνάρχοντας ὅτι τὸ πείθεσθαι καὶ ἀκολουθεῖν τοῖς εὖ φρονοῦσιν οὐκ αἰσχρὸν ἀλλὰ σεμνόν ἐστι καὶ σωτήριον). Aristides behaved in just the same way to Themistocles also, serving under him willingly and accepting the second place in the State (Plut. Aristid. c. 8: Aristid. et Cat. inter se comp. c. 5).
- κατ' ἀρετὴν καὶ κατὰ δύναμιν τὴν πρακτικὴν τῶν ἀρίστων, 'in virtue and in the power which is capable of effecting the best things': cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 10. 1099 b 31, πρακτικοὺς τῶν καλῶν. Some take τῶν ἀρίστων after κρείττων and as masc., 'superior to the best men,' but not, I think, rightly. For ἀρετὴ καὶ δύναμις see above on 1284 a 6. The repetition of κατά may be for the sake of emphasis (κατά is not repeated in 3. 13. 1284 a 9, ἄνισοι τοσοῦτον κατ' ἀρετὴν ὅντες καὶ τὴν πολιτικὴν δύναμιν). That Aristotle attaches importance to the presence of both qualifications is evident from 12 sqq. Compare the effect of the repetition of prepositions in 3. 11. 1281 b 15, περὶ πάντα δῆμον καὶ περὶ πᾶν πλῆθος, and in 7 (5). 6. 1305 b 4, οἶον ἐν Μασσαλία καὶ ἐν Ἦστρω καὶ ἐν Ἡρακλεία καὶ ἐν ἄλλαις πόλεσι συμβέβηκεν.
- 11. For the repetition of τούτω see notes on 1317 b 5 and 1284 b 28.
- 12. δεί δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'but [if a man is to be followed and obeyed as a sovereign,] he should possess,' etc. So in 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 33 sqq. Aristotle requires of those who are to hold the more important magistracies that they shall possess not only virtue, but δύναμις μεγίστη τῶν ἔργων τῆς ἀρχῆς and attachment to the

existing constitution. Compare Callicles' description of of applications in Plato, Gorg. 491 A sq.

- 15. εὐπραγίαν. Aristotle uses both εὐπραγία and εὐπραξία: 'in Attic prose εὐπραγία was preferred' (Liddell and Scott).
- 16. δλλά κ.τ.λ. Two separate assertions are here made— (1) that activity is not necessarily in relation to others, and (2) that it is not necessarily a means to something else, but that thoughts which are an end in themselves may be of an active type. The first of these two assertions does not seem to be quite in harmony with the spirit at any rate of Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 a 30 sqq., but still we gather from Eth. Nic. 5. 3. 1129 b 31 sqq. that there is an use of virtue not προς έτερον but καθ αυτόν (τελεία δ' έστίν (SC. ή όλη δικαιοσύνη), ότι ό έχων αυτήν και πρός έτερον δύναται τη άρετη χρησθαι, άλλ' οὐ μόνον καθ' αὐτόν). The second of them clearly conflicts with De An. 1. 3. 407 a 23, των μέν γάρ πρακτικών νοήσεων έστι πέρατα (πάσαι γάρ έτέρου χάριν), αί δε θεωρητικά τοις λόγοις όμοίως δρίζονται. But Zeller has already remarked (Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 368. 1: Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 400, note 1) that Aristotle sometimes uses the word πράξιε in the Nicomachean Ethics in a wider sense than usual, and includes even pure thought under it-e.g. in Eth. Nic. 7. 15. 1154 b 24, έπει εί του ή φύσις άπλη είη, αει ή αυτή πράξις ήδίστη έσται, where he must refer in ή αὐτή πράξις to contemplative activity.
- 17. καθάπερ οἴονταί τινες. Aristotle probably refers to the persons whose opinion is stated in 1325 a 21 sqq., for they appear to have held that a man who lives a contemplative life does nothing and is not πρακτικός. Epaminondas was regarded at the outset of his career as ἀπράγμων because he was a philosopher, and was consequently despised (Plut. Pelop. c. 5).
- 18. For the severance of ἐκ τοῦ πράττειν from τῶν ἀποβαινόντων χάριν, on which it depends, compare c. 4. 1326 b 8, δ πρῶτον πλῆθος αὕταρκες πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν ἐστὶ κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν, where κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν is similarly severed from πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν. As here πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν, so in the passage before us τῶν ἀποβαινόντων χάριν is emphasized by its position in the sentence. Cp. also Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 179, τὰ ἐξενεγχθέντα ἐκ τῆς χώρας ἱερὰ ἀγάλματα ὑπὸ τῶν Περσῶν.
- 20. θεωρίας καὶ διανοήσεις, cp. c. 2. 1324 a 19, τῆς πολιτικῆς διανοίας καὶ θεωρίας.
 - 21. ἡ γὰρ εὖπραξία κ.τ.λ., ' for doing well is the end, and there-

fore there is a kind of action which is the end, [so that there is nothing strange in thoughts which are an end in themselves being active,] and we predicate action also [as well as thought] in the truest and fullest sense, even in the case of actions done in relation to others, of those who as master-agents direct action by their thoughts. [and whose thoughts are therefore more an end in themselves than those of the journeymen they direct].' Two efwrepiron πράξεων is in the gen. after αρχιτέκτονας. For και πράττειν (i.e. πράττειν as well as διανοείσθαι), see above on 1255 a 14, καὶ βιάζεσθαι, That the thoughts of a master-agent are more an end in themselves than those of a subordinate, we see from Eth. Nic. 1. 1. 1094 a 14, έν άπάσαις δὲ (ταῖς τέχναις) τὰ τῶν ἀρχιτεκτυνικῶν τέλη πάντων ἐστὶν αίρετώτερα των υπ' αυτά: τούτων γάρ χάριν κάκεινα διώκεται. In 21-23 Aristotle corrects Plato, who in Polit. 259 C, E had identified πρακτικοί with χειροτεχνικοί, and had said that the ἀρχιτέκτων is γνωστικός, not πρακτικός (ΣΕ, της δη γνωστικής μάλλον ή της χειροτέχνικής και όλως πρακτικής βούλει τον βασιλέα φωμεν οικειότερον είναι; and **ΣΕ. καὶ γὰρ ἀρχιτέκτων γε πᾶς οὐκ αὐτὸς ἐργατικός, ἀλλὰ ἐργατῶν ἄρχων.** ΝΕ. ΣΩ, ναί, ΖΕ. παρεχόμενός γέ που γνῶσιν, ἀλλ' οὐ χειρουργίαν. ΝΕ. ΣΩ. ούτω. ΕΕ. δικαίως δη μετέχειν αν λέγοιτο της γνωστικής έπιστήμης). In Eth. Nic. 6. 8. 1141 b 24 sqq., however, the word πρακτικός is used in the narrower sense in which Plato had used it in the Politicus, for here ή αρχιτεκτονική φρόνησις is marked off from another kind which is said to be πρακτική (cp. Eth. Eud. 1. 6. 1217 2 6, των μήτ' εχόντων μήτε δυναμένων διάνοιαν αρχιτεκτονικήν ή πρακτικήν). For ή γὰρ εὐπραξία τέλος, ώστε καὶ πράξις τις, cp. Phys. 2. 6. 197 b 5, ή δ' εὐδαιμονία πράξίς τις εὐπραξία γάρ, and De An. 3. 2. 426 a 27, εί δ' ή συμφωνία φωνή τίς έστιν.

23. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle, after proving the second of the two assertions contained in 16 sqq. (οὐδὲ τὰς διανοίας κ.τ.λ.), takes up and proves the first of them (τὸν πρακτικὸν οὖκ ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι πρὸς ἐτέρους). States situated by themselves have already been said not to be necessarily unhappy (c. 2. 1324 b 41 sqq.), and now they are shown not to be necessarily inactive.

25. οὖτω, i. e. καθ' αὐτάς and not πρὸς ἐτέρους.

ἀνδάχεται γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for this also [as well as other things] can take place by sections [and not alone between whole States].'
Τοῦτο = τὸ πράττειν. For καὶ τοῦτο cp. c. 4. 1326 a 13, καὶ πόλεως.
For κατὰ μέρη cp. 8 (6). 8. 1322 a 27 sqq. Among the 'other things' referred to rule would be included, for it was a familiar fact

existing constitution. Compare Callicles' description of of applications in Plato, Gorg. 491 A sq.

- 15. εὐπραγίαν. Aristotle uses both εὐπραγία and εὐπραξία: 'in Attic prose εὐπραγία was preferred' (Liddell and Scott).
- 16. δλλά κ.τ.λ. Two separate assertions are here made— (1) that activity is not necessarily in relation to others, and (2) that it is not necessarily a means to something else, but that thoughts which are an end in themselves may be of an active type. The first of these two assertions does not seem to be quite in harmony with the spirit at any rate of Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 a 30 sqq., but still we gather from Eth. Nic. 5. 3. 1129 b 31 sqq. that there is an use of virtue not προς έτερον but καθ αυτόν (τελεία δ' έστίν (SC. ή όλη δικαιοσύνη), ότι ό έχων αυτήν και πρός έτερον δύναται τη άρετη χρησθαι, άλλ' οὐ μόνον καθ' αὐτόν). The second of them clearly conflicts with De An. 1. 3. 407 a 23, των μέν γάρ πρακτικών νοήσεων έστι πέρατα (πάσαι γάρ έτέρου χάριν), αί δε θεωρητικά τοις λόγοις όμοίως δρίζονται. But Zeller has already remarked (Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 368. 1: Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 400, note 1) that Aristotle sometimes uses the word πράξιε in the Nicomachean Ethics in a wider sense than usual, and includes even pure thought under it-e.g. in Eth. Nic. 7. 15. 1154 b 24, έπει εί του ή φύσις άπλη είη, αει ή αυτή πράξις ήδίστη έσται, where he must refer in ή αὐτή πράξις to contemplative activity.
- 17. καθάπερ οἴονταί τινες. Aristotle probably refers to the persons whose opinion is stated in 1325 a 21 sqq., for they appear to have held that a man who lives a contemplative life does nothing and is not πρακτικός. Epaminondas was regarded at the outset of his career as ἀπράγμων because he was a philosopher, and was consequently despised (Plut. Pelop. c. 5).
- 18. For the severance of ἐκ τοῦ πράττειν from τῶν ἀποβαινόντων χάριν, on which it depends, compare c. 4. 1326 b 8, δ πρῶτον πλῆθος αὕταρκες πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν ἐστὶ κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν, where κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν is similarly severed from πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν. As here πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν, so in the passage before us τῶν ἀποβαινόντων χάριν is emphasized by its position in the sentence. Cp. also Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 179, τὰ ἐξενεγχθέντα ἐκ τῆς χώρας ἱερὰ ἀγάλματα ὑπὸ τῶν Περσῶν.
- 20. θεωρίας καὶ διανοήσεις, cp. c. 2. 1324 a 19, τῆς πολιτικῆς διανοίας καὶ θεωρίας.
 - 21. ἡ γὰρ εὖπραξία κ.τ.λ., ' for doing well is the end, and there-

and therefore nothing on which it can act. Plutarch maintains the opposite view, arguing for a plurality of worlds, in De Defect. Orac. c. 24, εἰσὶν οὖν ἐκτὸς ἔτεροι θεοὶ καὶ κόσμοι, πρὸς οὖς χρῆται (ὁ θεὸς) ταῖς κοινωνικαῖς ἀρεταῖς, οὐδὲ γὰρ πρὸς αὐτὸν οὐδὲ μέρος αὐτοῦ χρῆσίς ἐστι δικαιοσύνης ἡ χάριτος ἡ χρηστότητος, ἀλλὰ πρὸς ἄλλους. For ὁ θεὸς καὶ πῶς ὁ κόσμος cp. Plato, Laws 821 A, τὸν μέγιστον θεὸν καὶ ὅλον τὸν κόσμον. For the contrast here of οἰκεῖος and ἐξωτερικός cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 3. 1129 b 33, where ἐν τοῖς οἰκείοις stands in opposition to ἐν τοῖς πρὸς ἔτερον: cp. also Plato, Rep. 521 A, οἰκεῖος ὧν καὶ ἔνδον ὁ τοιοῦτος πόλεμος.

- 32. τοις ἀνθρώποις, = τοις πολίταις, cp. c. 4. 1326 a 6, where τῶν ἀνθρώπων corresponds to πολίτῶν, c. 4. 1325 b 40, and also Isocr. Archid. § 81, οὐ τῷ μεγέθει τῆς πόλεως οὐδὲ τῷ πλήθει τῶν ἀνθρώπων, and Thuc. 6. 18. 7.
- 88. περὶ αὐτῶν, cp. Eth. Nic. 6. 4. 1140 a 2, πιστεύομεν δὲ περὶ αὐτῶν καὶ τοῖς ἐξωτερικοῖς λόγοις.
- 84. καὶ περὶ τὰς ἄλλας πολιτείας κ.τ.λ. This would seem to refer to the contents of the Second Book: cp. 2. 1. 1260 b 29, δεῖ καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἐπισκέψασθαι πολιτείας.
- 87. οὐ γὰρ οἶόν τε κ.τ.λ. Cp. 6 (4). 1. 1288 b 39, νῦν δ' οἱ μὲν τὴν ἀκροτάτην καὶ δεομένην πολλῆς χορηγίας ζητοῦσι μόνον.
- 38. διὸ δεῖ κ.τ.λ. See above on 1265 a 17, where the same remark occurs. We read in Plato, Rep. 456 C, οὖκ ἄρα ἀδύνατά γε οὖδὲ εὐχαῖς ὅμοια ἐνομοθετοῦμεν, and in Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 68, εἰ γὰρ αὖ καλῶς μὲν ἔχοι, μὴ δυνατὸν δέ τι φράζοι, εὐχῆς, οὐ νόμον, διαπράττοιτ' διν ἔργον, and these passages agree with that before us in implying that men often pray for impossibilities: yet εὕχον δυνατά is one of the Precepts ascribed to the Seven Wise Men in the Collection of Sosiades (Stob. Floril. 3. 80: Mullach, Fragm. Philos. Gr. 1. 217). Προϋποτεθεῖσθαι is middle; the perfects τέθειμαι, ἐντέθειμαι are used more commonly in a middle than in a passive sense (see Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective, pp. 635, 636). The reason of this is, as Richards points out, that κεῖσθαι is used in their place to express the passive.
- 39. λέγω δὲ οἶον περί τε πλήθους πολιτῶν καὶ χώρας, sc. δεί πολλὰ προϋποτεθεῖσθαι, εἶναι μέντοι μηδέν τούτων ἀδύνατον. Plato, who begins the construction of his State in the Laws (737 C sqq.) with arrangements respecting these matters, had in Aristotle's opinion (2. 6. 1265 a 10 sqq.) fixed the number of the citizens at an impossibly high figure.

existing constitution. Compare Callicles' description of of applications in Plato, Gorg. 491 A sq.

- 15. εὐπραγίαν. Aristotle uses both εὐπραγία and εὐπραξία: 'in Attic prose εὐπραγία was preferred' (Liddell and Scott).
- 16. δλλά κ.τ.λ. Two separate assertions are here made— (1) that activity is not necessarily in relation to others, and (2) that it is not necessarily a means to something else, but that thoughts which are an end in themselves may be of an active type. The first of these two assertions does not seem to be quite in harmony with the spirit at any rate of Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 a 30 sqq., but still we gather from Eth. Nic. 5. 3. 1129 b 31 sqq. that there is an use of virtue not προς έτερον but καθ αυτόν (τελεία δ' έστίν (SC. ή όλη δικαιοσύνη), ότι ό έχων αυτήν και πρός έτερον δύναται τη άρετη χρησθαι, άλλ' οὐ μόνον καθ' αὐτόν). The second of them clearly conflicts with De An. 1. 3. 407 a 23, των μέν γάρ πρακτικών νοήσεων έστι πέρατα (πάσαι γάρ έτέρου χάριν), αί δε θεωρητικά τοις λόγοις όμοίως δρίζονται. But Zeller has already remarked (Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 368. 1: Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 400, note 1) that Aristotle sometimes uses the word πράξιε in the Nicomachean Ethics in a wider sense than usual, and includes even pure thought under it-e.g. in Eth. Nic. 7. 15. 1154 b 24, έπει εί του ή φύσις άπλη είη, αει ή αυτή πράξις ήδίστη έσται, where he must refer in ή αὐτή πράξις to contemplative activity.
- 17. καθάπερ οἴονταί τινες. Aristotle probably refers to the persons whose opinion is stated in 1325 a 21 sqq., for they appear to have held that a man who lives a contemplative life does nothing and is not πρακτικός. Epaminondas was regarded at the outset of his career as ἀπράγμων because he was a philosopher, and was consequently despised (Plut. Pelop. c. 5).
- 18. For the severance of ἐκ τοῦ πράττειν from τῶν ἀποβαινόντων χάριν, on which it depends, compare c. 4. 1326 b 8, δ πρῶτον πλῆθος αδταρκες πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν ἐστὶ κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν, where κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν is similarly severed from πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν. As here πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν, so in the passage before us τῶν ἀποβαινόντων χάριν is emphasized by its position in the sentence. Cp. also Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, No. 179, τὰ ἐξενεγχθέντα ἐκ τῆς χώρας ἱερὰ ἀγάλματα ὑπὸ τῶν Περσῶν.
- 20. θεωρίας καὶ διανοήσεις, cp. c. 2. 1324 a 19, τῆς πολιτικῆς διανοίας καὶ θεωρίας.
 - 21. ἡ γὰρ εὖπραξία κ.τ.λ., ' for doing well is the end, and there-

- 8. οἴονται μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has before him Plato, Laws 742 D, ἔστι δὴ τοῦ νοῦν ἔχοντος πολιτικοῦ βούλησις, φαμέν, οὐχ ἥνπερ ἀν οἱ πολλοὶ φαῖεν, δεῖν βούλεσθαι τὸν ἀγαθὸν νομοθέτην ὡς μεγίστην τε εἶναι τὴν πόλιν, ἢ νοῶν εὖ νομοθετοῖ, καὶ ὅ τι μάλιστα πλουσίαν: cp. also Rep. 460 A, where there may be an allusion to the name of Megalopolis founded in B. c. 369 shortly before the time when many think that the Republic saw the light. See also Rep. 423 A sq. (referred to by Eaton) and Laws 737 D.
- 11. τῶν ἐνοικούντων, 'of the inhabitants,' not merely of the citizens, so that according to these authorities a city would be μεγάλη which included a large number of slaves, metoeci, and aliens.
- 12. δεὶ δὲ μᾶλλον κ.τ.λ. Cp. De Caelo, 1. 5. 271 b 11, τούτου δ' αἴτιον ὅτι ἡ ἀρχὴ δυνάμει μείζων ἡ μεγέθει, and Xen. Anab. 7. 7. 36, οὐ γὰρ ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ ὁρίζων τὸ πολὺ καὶ τὸ ὀλίγον, ἀλλ' ἡ δύναμις τοῦ τε ἀποδιδόντος καὶ τοῦ λαμβάνοντος. Eucken (De Partic. Usu, p. 32) remarks that δέ in εἰς δὲ δύναμιν is used in the same sense as ἀλλά, and compares Metaph. K. 3. 1061 a 23, μὴ τοῦ ὅλου λόγου, τοῦ τελευταίου δὲ εἴδους, and De An. 1. 5. 409 b 28.
- 13. καὶ πόλεως, 'of a State also [as well as of other things]': cp.c. 3. 1325 b 25, καὶ τοῦτο.
- 18. οὐ κατὰ κ.τ.λ., 'it is not in respect of any and every multitude that we must do so.' For κατὰ τὸ τυχὸν πλῆθος cp. 7 (5). 3. 1303 a 26 and 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 11 sqq. It is evident from what follows that Aristotle counts βάναυσω here among δοῦλοι, just as he does in 3. 4. 1277 a 37 sqq. Camerarius (Interp. p. 279) has already referred to the story told of Agesilaus by Plutarch in Ages. c. 26. The allies of the Lacedaemonians had contrasted the large number of troops which they contributed to the army of Agesilaus with the small number of the Spartans, and Agesilaus by way of reply ordered all the potters, smiths, carpenters, masons, and other βάναυσοι τεχνῖται in his army to stand up successively. Nearly every man in the contingent of the allies stood up, but not a single Spartan, and Agesilaus remarked, with a smile, ὁρᾶτε, & ἄνδρες, ὅσφ πλείονας ὑμῶν στρατιώτας ἐκπέμπομεν ἡμεῖς. Aristotle may remember this story.

drayκαῖον γὰρ κ.τ.λ. This would be especially the case in a State like that which Aristotle is constructing—a State in which the citizens are not allowed to practise handicrafts or to till the soil. It would also be the case in a State like that of Plato's Laws (848 A). But even in actual Greek States ξένοι were numerous.

Many handicraftsmen were first (3. 5. 1278 a 7). Zirst were especially numerous in seaports (4 (7). 6. 1327 a 11 sqq.), but even at Sparta there were first (Plut. Agis, cc. 8, 10), not merely slaves. The rapid development of the arts and of commerce in ancient Greece was largely due to the ease with which its chief cities drew metoeci and other aliens from the Greek colonies in Asia and elsewhere and from Asia generally (see as to Sidonian residents in Athens Hicks, Greek Historical Inscriptions, p. 157). Many of these metoeci were skilled craftsmen. Asia and probably Egypt were to some of the arts of ancient Greece what Italy was to those of mediaeval Europe. Italy, indeed, did not supply metoeci to the ruder nations to the same extent. Even at the present day 'in Roumania commerce and industry are in the hands of foreigners, principally Jews, the upper classes being landed proprietors' (Times, March 18, 1897).

- 19. δούλων ἀριθμὸν πολλῶν. Here, as in 2. 7. 1266 b 10 sqq., ἀριθμός takes the place of πλῆθος. So in Phys. 6. 7. 237 b 33 τῷ πλήθει τῶν μορίων = τῷ ἀριθμῷ τῶν μορίων (Bon. Ind. 603 a 41).
- 20. ἀλλ' ὅσοι κ.τ.λ. Καὶ ἐξ ὧν συνίσταται πόλις οἰκείων μορίων is added to explain in what sense ὅσοι πόλεώς εἰσι μέρος is used. It is implied in what follows that hoplites are οἰκεῖα μόρια τῆς πόλεως (cp. c. g. 1329 a 37, μέρη δὲ τῆς πόλεως τό τε ὁπλιτικὸν καὶ βουλευτικόν, and 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 24 sqq.), but not βάναυσοι, who are here contrasted with hoplites, though it is clear (see above on 18) that they often served as hoplites.
- 23. ἐξέρχονται. Aristotle probably remembers the description of the Egyptian Thebes in Hom. II. 9. 383,

αΐ θ' έκατόμπυλοί είσι, διηκόσιοι δ' ἀν' έκάστας ἀνέρες έξοιχνεῦσι σὺν ἵπποισιν καὶ ὅχεσφιν,

and perhaps also ἐκπέμπομεν in the story of Agesilaus related above on 18.

- 24. οδ γάρ ταὐτὸν μεγάλη τε πόλις καὶ πολυάνθρωπος. For the use of τε, compare c. 10. 1329 b 1, καὶ τό τε μάχιμον ἔτερον εἶναι καὶ τὸ γεωργοῦν.
- 25. ἀλλὰ μὴν... γε, 'but certainly,' as elsewhere. Καὶ τοῦτο, 'this also,' i. e. that not only is a populous State not necessarily a large one, but that a very populous State cannot easily be a well-ordered one. And a State which is not well-ordered is only a State in name (3.9. 1280 b 5-8).

- 27. τῶν γοῦν δοκουσῶν κ.τ.λ. 'Ανειμένην πρὸς τὸ πλῆθος, 'set free in the direction of number': cp. Plut. Lycurg. c. 10, διαφθείροντας άμα τοῖς ήθεσε τὰ σώματα πρὸς πᾶσαν ἐπιθυμίαν ἀνειμένα καὶ πλησμονήν. Carthage must have been an exception, unless we suppose its citizen-body to have been smaller in Aristotle's day than it afterwards became (see vol. ii. Appendix B). As to the Lacedaemonian State, cp. Isocr. Archid. § 81, where Archidamus is made to say, τῶν Ἑλλήνων διενηνόχαμεν οὐ τῷ μεγέθει τῆς πόλεως οὐδὲ τῷ πλήθει τῶν ἀνθρώπων, ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ., and as to Athens, which was in the contrary plight, De Antid. § 172, διὰ γὰρ τὸ μέγεθος καὶ τὸ πλῆθος τῶν ἐνοικούντων οὐκ εὐσύνοπτός ἐστιν οὐδ' ἀκριβὴς κ.τ.λ.
- 29. δ τε γὰρ νόμος τάξις τίς ἐστι. 'Id etiam conversum posuit libro tertio' (3. 16. 1287 a 18), 'ή γὰρ τάξις νόμος' (Camerarius, Interp. p. 280).
- 32. θείας γὰρ δη κ.τ.λ., 'for surely this' (i. e. the ordering of a great number of things) 'is [beyond the power of man and] is the function of divine power, which is such as to hold together even the vast universe in which we live; the beautiful, in fact, [which is closely allied with order,] is commonly found in connexion with a given number and magnitude.' For θεία δύναμις Bonitz (Ind. 324 a 1) compares the spurious De Mundo, 6. 397 b 19. Aristotle clearly has before him Xen. Cyrop. 8. 7. 22, άλλα θεούς γε τους del οντας καὶ πάντ' εφορώντας και πάντα δυναμένους, οι και τήνδε την τών όλων τάξιν συνέχουσιν άτριβη και άγήρατον και άναμάρτητον και ύπο κάλλους και μεγέθους άδιήγητου, τούτους φοβούμενοι μήποτε ασεβές μηδέν μηδέ ανόσιον μήτε ποιήσητε μήτε βουλεύσητε: cp. also Plut. Dion, c. 10, πρὸς τὸ θειότατον αφομοιωθείς παράδειγμα των δυτων και κάλλιστον, δε το παν ήγουμένο πειθόμενον εξ ακοσμίας κόσμος έστί, and for τόδε το παν, Plato, Polit. 269 C, τὸ γὰρ πῶν τόδε τοτὲ μὲν αὐτὸς ὁ θεὸς ξυμποδηγεῖ πορευόμενον καὶ συγκυκλεῖ, τοτὲ δ' ἀνῆκεν, and Gorg. 508 A, καὶ τὸ όλον τοῦτο διά ταθτα κόσμον καλοθσιν. Συνέχειν is used as equivalent to ένοποιείν in De An. 1. 5. 410 b 10, απορήσειε δ' αν τις καὶ τί ποτ' έστὶ τὸ ένοποιουν αυτά (i.e. τὰ στοιχεία). ύλη γὰρ ἔοικε τά γε στοιχεία κυριώτατον γὰρ έκεινο το συνέχον ο τί ποτ' έστίν, and to μίαν ποιείν in De An. 1. 5. 411 b 6 sqq.: cp. Polyb. 11. 19. 3. Aristotle probably regards God as holding the universe together passively by being the common object towards which everything strives: see above on 1325 b 28. That the beautiful is closely allied with order we see from Metaph. M. 3. 1078 a 36, τοῦ δὲ καλοῦ μέγιστα εΐδη τάξις καὶ συμμετρία και το ώρισμένον: cp. Plato, Phileb. 64 E, μετριύτης γάρ και

ξυμμετρία κάλλος δήπου καὶ ἀρετὴ πανταχοῦ ξυμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι (both passages already quoted by Eaton). Vict. compares with the passage before us Poet. 7. 1450 b 34, ἔτι δ' ἐπεὶ τὸ καλὸν καὶ ζῷαν καὶ ἄπαν πρᾶγμα δ συνέστηκεν ἔκ τινων οὐ μόνον ταῦτα τεταγμένα δεῖ ἔχειν, ἀλλὰ καὶ μέγεθος ὑπάρχειν μὴ τὸ τυχόν τὸ γὰρ καλὸν ἐν μεγέθει καὶ τάξει ἐστί, and Eth. Nic. 4. 7. 1123 b 6, ἐν μεγέθει γὰρ ἡ μεγαλοψυχία, δοπερ καὶ τὸ κάλλος ἐν μεγάλω σώματι. οἱ μικροὶ δ' ἀστεῖοι καὶ σύμμετροι, καλοὶ δ' οῦ.

84. διδ καὶ πόλις κ.τ.λ., 'hence a State also,' as well as other things. For the 'nominativus pendens' πόλις, see Bon. Ind. s. v. Anacoluthia, where 7 (5). 6. 1306 b 9 sqq. is referred to among other passages, and see Stallbaum on Plato, Cratylus 403 A, δ δὶ 'Λιδης, οἱ πολλοὶ μέν μοι δοκοῦσιν ὑπολαμβάνειν τὸ ἀειδὶς προσειρῆσθαι τῷ ὀνόματι τούτῳ, and Riddell, Apology of Plato (Digest of Idioms, § 271 b), who quotes among other instances Theaet. 173 D and Rep. 565 D-E. Cp. also De Gen. An. 4. 1. 765 b 31 sqq. and De Part. An. 3. 8. 671 a 12 sqq., and see Vahlen on Poet. 4. 1449 a 19. Bekker and Sus. have πόλιν in place of πόλις, but all MSS. except Γ M[®] have πόλις, and there can be little doubt that πόλις is right, for this 'nominativus pendens' is a not uncommon form of anacoluthon.

ης κ.τ.λ., i. e. ης όρος μετὰ μεγέθους ὁ λεχθεὶς δρος ὑπάρχει. Cp. 1326 b 23, οὖτός ἐστι πόλεως όρος ἄριστος. So in γ (5). 10. 1313 a 15, ἀλλ' ὁ τύραννος καὶ μη βουλομένων the words τύραννός ἐστι are to be supplied. See also note on 1279 b 38. Ο λεχθεὶς δρος is the standard of not being too large to be well-ordered.

35. ἀλλ' ἔστι τι κ.τ.λ., 'but in fact [apart from questions of beauty and good order] there is a due measure of size for a State also, as well as for everything else.' Not only will too large a State fail of being well-ordered and beautiful, but it will fail also to be able to discharge the function of a State and to realize self-completeness in respect of good life, and the same thing may be said of too small a State likewise. Cp. Eth. Nic. 9. 10. 1170 b 29, τοὺς δὲ σπουδαίους πότερον πλείστους κατ' ἀριθμόν, ἢ ἔστι τι μίτρον καὶ φιλικοῦ πλήθους, ὥσπερ πόλεως; οῦτε γὰρ ἐκ δέκα ἀνθρώπων γένοιτ' ἀν πόλις οῦτ' ἐκ δέκα μυριάδων ἔτι πόλις ἀστίν: De Gen. An. 2. 6. 745 a 5, ἔστι γάρ τι πᾶσι τοῖς ζώοις πέρας τοῦ μεγέθους, διὸ καὶ τῆς τῶν ὀστῶν αὐξήσεως: De An. 2. 4. 416 a 16, τῶν δὲ φύσει συνισταμένων πάντων ἀστὶ πέρας καὶ λόγος μεγέθους τε καὶ αὐξήσεως: De An. Motione, 3. 699 a 34, ἔστι γάρ τι πλῆθος ἰσχύος καὶ δυνάμεως καθ ἢν μένει τὸ μένον,

ώσπερ καὶ καθ' ἢν κινεῖ τὸ κινοῦν: Plut. Sympos. 5. 5. 1, καὶ γὰρ συμποσίου μέγεθος ἱκανόν ἐστιν, ἄχρι οῦ συμπόσιον ἐθέλει μένειν ἐὰν δὲ ὑπερβάλη διὰ πλῆθος, ὡς μηκέτι προσήγορον ἐαυτῷ μηδὲ συμπαθὲς εἶναι ταῖς φιλοφροσύναις μηδὲ γνώριμον, οὐδὲ συμπόσιόν ἐστι. Compare also Pol. 7 (5). 9. 1309 b 21–35. As to ὅργανα, see 1. 8. 1256 b 35 sq. We note that a State is distinguished by Aristotle from an ὅργανον. It is not a mere ὅργανον πρὸς ἀγαθὴν ζωήν for the individual, but a moral being like the individual, only nobler and greater than he (Eth. Nic. 1. 1094 b 7 sqq.).

- 39. ἐστερημένον ἔσται τῆς φύσεως. Compare such expressions as ἐξίστασθαι τῆς φύσεως (Meteor. 4. 11. 389 b 10, φθειρόμενα καὶ ἐξιστάμενα τῆς φύσεως) οτ χωρίζεσθαι τῆς φύσεως (Meteor. 4. 1. 379 a 14)? which stand in contrast to ἔχειν τὴν φύσιν (Poet. 4. 1449 a 15, ἔσχεν ἡ τραγωδία τὴν αὐτῆς φύσιν).
- 41. δυοίν σταδίοιν, cp. Poet. 7. 1451 a 2, οίον εἰ μυρίων σταδίων εἴη ζφον.
- els δέ τι μέγεθος έλθόν, 'but when it has assumed a measured size.' Cp. Meteor. 1. 14. 352 a 6, ξηραινόμενοι γὰρ οἱ τόποι ἔρχονται els τὸ καλῶς ἔχειν.
- . 2. ἡ μὲν ἐξ δλίγων λίαν οὐκ αὐτάρκης. Cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 11 sqq., where the view expressed by the Platonic Socrates in Rep. 369 D, εἴη δ' ἀν ῆ γε ἀναγκαιστάτη πόλις ἐκ τεττάρων ἡ πέντε ἀνδρῶν, is corrected.
 - 3. ή δὲ ἐκ πολλῶν ἄγαν κ.τ.λ. Α πόλις consisting of too large a number of citizens is not a πόλις because a πόλις is a κοινωνία πολιτών πολιτείας (3. 3. 1276 b 1), and a constitution cannot easily exist in a very large πόλις, for magistrates cannot easily exist in it, and a constitution implies the existence of magistracies (6 (4). 1. 1289 2 15, πολιτεία μέν γάρ έστι τάξις ταις πόλεσιν ή περί τας άρχας, τίνα τρόπον νενέμηνται). It seems to be implied that the constitution is the source of 'completeness in respect of good life.' Aristotle's argument here throws some light on his reference to Babylon in 3. 3. 1276 a 27 sqq., where it is implied that Babylon covered too large a space to be one city. He would probably also say that its inhabitants were too numerous to possess a constitution or to be marshalled by a general. When he says that an topos possesses only 'completeness in respect of necessaries,' is he speaking of the composed of moders (see note on 1261 a 27), as well as of then composed of villages? As to Aristotle's account of the three something has already been said in vol. i. p. 39. We should have been glad if he had told us more on the subject (see note on 1274 b 34 sqq.).

The έθνος appears to be a κοινωνία (cp. 4 (7). 2. 1325 a 8, πόλιν και γένος ἀνθρώπων και πάσαν ἄλλην κοινωνίαν): it has νόμιμα or νόμοι (4 (7). 2. 1324 b 9 sqq.); it is bound together not only by internal trade and by united action against external foes, but also by intermarriage and common sacrifices; it is often ruled by a King, and may even have an Absolute King at its head (3. 14. 1285 b 31 sq.), but it is too large to have a constitution—we must suppose that the word 'constitution' is here used in a sense exclusive of Kingship—apparently because it is too large to be controlled by common magistrates (cp. 3. 9. 1280 a 40). We may conjecture that in Aristotle's view the members of an έθνος have not that desire to promote the virtue of their fellows which is to him one distinguishing mark of a citizen (3. 9. 1280 b 1 sqq.). An έθνος is, in fact, rather a συμμαχία and something more than a πολιτική κοινωνία.

- 7. διδ κ.τ.λ. Here again, as in 2, the Platonic Socrates is corrected (see above on 2). Cp. c. 8. 1328 b 16 sq. and 2. 2. 1261 b 12. Take πρός τὸ εὖ ζῆν κατὰ τὴν πολιτικὴν κοινωνίαν together (see note on 1325 b 18). Κατά seems to mean 'in connexion with': cp. c. 2. 1324 a 15, ὁ διὰ τοῦ συμπολιτεύευθαι καὶ κοινωνεῖν πόλεως (βίος) ἡ μᾶλλον ὁ ξενικὸς καὶ τῆς πολιτικῆς κοινωνίας ἀπολελυμένος. For τοσούτου followed by ὅ, see above on 1267 a 24.
- 9. ἐνδέχεται δὲ κ.τ.λ. Μείζω is bracketed by Schneider, Bekker³, and Susemihl, but it appears to be correct: cp. De Gen. An. 4. 4. 771 b 33, ἀλλ', ὥσπερ καὶ τελεουμένων τῶν ζώων ἔστιν ἐκάστου τι μέγεθος καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ μεῖζον καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ ἔλαττον, ὧν οῦτ' ἄν μεῖζον γένοιτο οῦτ' ἔλαττον, ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ μεταξὺ διαστήματι τοῦ μεγέθους λαμβάνουσι πρὸς ἄλληλα τὴν ὑπεροχὴν καὶ τὴν ἔλλειψιν, καὶ γίνεται μείζων ὁ δ' ἐλάττων ἄνθρωπος καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ζώων ότωῦν, οὕτω καὶ κ.τ.λ. A man must be of a certain minimum size, if he is to be a man at all; if he exceeds that minimum and does not exceed the maximum, he is a larger man; if he exceeds the maximum, he ceases to be a man. The same thing, we are told in the passage before us, holds of the πόλις.
 - 11. бонер ейномен, in 1326 a 34 sqq.
- 14. ἄρχοντος δ' ἐπίταξις καὶ κρίσις ἔργον. Κρίσις here refers especially to judicial decisions (cp. τὸ κρίνειν περὶ τῶν δικαίων in the next sentence). In the similar passage, 6 (4). 15. 1299 a 25 sqq., on the other hand (μάλιστα δ' ὡς ἀπλῶς εἰπιῖν ἀρχὰς λεκτέον ταύτας, ὅσαις ἀποδέδοται βουλεύσασθαί τε περὶ τινῶν καὶ κρίναι καὶ ἐπιτάξαι, καὶ μάλιστα τοῦτο΄ τὸ γὰρ ἐπιτάττειν ἀρχικώτερόν ἐστιν), κρίναι does not refer to judicial decisions, for here Aristotle is speaking of ἀρχαί in

348 NOTES.

a sense exclusive of τὸ δικαστικόν, of which he treats in another chapter of the Sixth (old Fourth) Book (c. 16).

πρός δε κ.τ.λ. Το κρίνειν περί των δικαίων seems to be given as an instance of al των αρχόντων πράξεις, and το τας αρχάς διανέμειν κατ' délar as an instance of ai των ἀρχομένων. Aristotle here follows in the track of Plato, Laws 738 D, όπως αν... φιλοφρονώνται τε αλλήλους μετά θυσιών και οικειώνται και γνωρίζωσιν, οδ μείζον ουδέν πόλει αγαθόν ή γνωρίμους αὐτοὺς αὐτοῖς εἶναι: ὅπου γὰρ μὴ φῶς ἀλλήλοις ἐστὶν ἀλλήλων ἐν τοις τρόποις, άλλα σκότος, ουτ' αν τιμής της άξιας ουτ' άρχων ουτε δίκης ποτέ τις αν της προσηκούσης δρθώς τυγχάνοι: cp. also Laws 751 D and 766 E. Dr. Johnson says of the lairds' courts in the Highlands of Scotland (Journey to the Western Islands of Scotland, Works, 8. 320)—'When the chiefs were men of knowledge and virtue, the convenience of a domestic judicature was great. No long journeys were necessary, no artificial delays could be practised; the character, the alliances, and interests of the litigants were known to the court, and all false pretences were easily detected.' See also vol. i. p. 314, note 3. In ως όπου τοῦτο μὴ συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably refers to Athens, for though in addressing an Athenian audience Hyperides says (Pro Lycophr. col. xii. 5, ed. Blass), λαθείν γάρ το πλήθος το υμέτερον ουκ ένι ουτε πονηρον όντα ουδένα των εν τη[ι] πόλει οδτε επ[ι]εική, Isocrates describes Athens in De Antid. § 172 as too large to be εὐσύνοπτος (cp. Thuc. 8. 66. 3, already referred to by Eaton). Still even at Athens owing to the publicity of men's life in ancient Greece fellow-citizens knew each other far better than they do in a modern city of the same size: see Haussoullier, Vie Municipale en Attique, p. 179 sq., who however goes too far when he says, 'tout se savait, tous se connaissaient à Athènes.' is, indeed, difficult to understand how even in a citizen-body of (say) 5,000, with all the help derivable from frequent festivals, every citizen could be known, either personally or by repute, to his fellows. Yet Aristotle seems to imply that the citizens of a State ought to be acquainted with the character of every member of their body, if not with his person. The experience of the United States full? confirms the view of Plato and Aristotle that where fellow-citizens are not well acquainted with each other's characters, offices will not be well filled. 'In moderately-sized communities men's characters. are known, and the presence of a bad man in office brings on his fellow-citizens evils which they are not too numerous to feel individually.... In large cities the results are different because the

circumstances are different' (Bryce, American Commonwealth, Part 3, c. 62). 'City governments begin to be bad when the population begins to exceed 100,000 and includes a large proportion of recent immigrants. They are generally pure in smaller places, that is to say, they are as pure as those of an average English, French, or German city' (ibid. Part 3, c. 67: vol. ii. p. 521, ed. 1. See also c. 61, vol. ii. p. 435). Aristotle would, of course, think a citizen-body even of 100,000 ten times more numerous than it ought to be. As to London, compare a remark made by a member of the County Council of London at its first meeting. 'Provincial corporations could easily proceed to elect aldermen, because in the provincial towns persons knew all about each other, but it was quite different in the metropolis' (Times, Feb. 1, 1889).

- 20. ἔτι δὲ ξένοις καὶ μετοίκοις κ.τ.λ. This frequently happened at Athens. The register of citizens was kept by the deme: 'l'assemblée du dème est peu fréquentée; c'est un petit nombre de voix qu'il faut acheter, et quelques drachmes suffisent : ici cinq drachmes par tête, ailleurs peut-être moins encore.' See Haussoullier, Vie Municipale en Attique, p. 32 sqq., who refers to Demosth. in Eubulid. c. 59, οὐτοι γάρ, & ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναίοι, βουλομένους τινας ανθρώπους ξένους πολίτας γενέσθαι, 'Αναξιμένην και Νικόστρατον, κοινή διανειμάμενοι πέντε δραχμάς έκαστος προσεδέξαντο. Those whose names got upon the register in this or in other unlawful ways were called mapigypanros. The larger the State was, the less check there would be on the registering authority. The Attic demes of Halimus, Sunium, and Potami, all of them on the coast, were especially credited with a readiness to admit aliens to the register (Hug, Studien aus dem classischen Alterthum, p. 32). It will be noticed that Aristotle speaks only of aliens and metoeci, not of slaves.
 - 22. δήλον τοίνυν κ.τ.λ. See vol. i. p. 314.
- 24. εὖσύνοπτος must apparently mean 'easily within the view of the magistrates and the citizens' (cp. 14 sqq.).
- 26. Παραπλησίως δὲ κ.τ.λ. 'Similarly,' because Aristotle has just C. 5. said that the larger the State is, the better, if only it is εὐσύνοπτος, and now he goes on to say that the most self-complete territory is the best, and one which is large enough to support its citizens in a life, not temperate only, but temperate and liberal, though it must be εὐσύνοπτος (1327 a 1). Αὐταρκεστάτην, 27, takes up

NOTES.

αὐτάρκειαν, 24. For τὰ περὶ τῆς χώρας, cp. 3. 15. 1286 b 23, τὰ περὶ τῶν τέκνων. We more often have the acc. after περί in phrases of this kind in the Politics.

περὶ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. For περί in the sense of 'quod attinet ad,' see Vahlen on Poet. 23. 1459 a 16, who quotes Rhet. 1. 15. 1375 b 25, καὶ περὶ μὲν τῶν νόμων οὕτω διωρίσθω περὶ δὲ μαρτύρων, μάρτυρές εἰσι διττοί, and Phys. 7. 4. 249 a 29. With ποίαν τινά supply δεῖ εἶναι τὴν χώραν. In his preference for a territory as self-complete as possible Aristotle follows Plato, Laws 704 C, Aθ. τί δὲ περὶ αὐτὴν ἡ χώρα; πότερα πάμφορος ἡ καὶ τινῶν ἐπιδεής; ΚΛ. σχεδὸν οὐδενὸς ἐπιδεής, and Critias 110 E, and also Solon (Hdt. 1. 32, ὥσπερ χώρη οὐδεμίη καταρκέει πάντα ἐωυτῆ παρέχουσα, ἀλλὰ ἄλλο μὲν ἔχει, ἐτέρου δὲ ἐπιδέεται ἡ δὲ ἄν τὰ πλεῖστα ἔχη, ἀρίστη αὔτη). Hesiod, indeed, had already said of the just (Op. et Dies, 236),

θάλλουσιν 'δ' ἀγαθοῖσι διαμπερές: οὐδ' ἐπὶ νηῶν ν'σσονται, καρπὸν δὲ φέρει ζείδωρος ἄρουρα, with which Virgil's lines (Ecl. 4. 38 sq.) may be compared, Cedet et ipse mari vector, nec nautica pinus

Mutabit merces: omnis feret omnia tellus.

Thessaly was famed for the variety of its produce; it was rich in corn and wine and timber, and in pasture for horses cattle and sheep (Bursian, Geographie von Griechenland, 1. 47); Cyprus was rich in corn, wine, oil, timber, and copper (Strabo, p. 684). We read of the χώρα πάμφορος of the Tyrrhenians in Diod. 5. 40. 3. and of the νησος εὐδαίμων καὶ πάμφορος of Sardinia in [Aristot.] De Mir. Auscult. 100. 838 b 22. Isocrates speaks of Egypt in Busir. § 12 as πλείστα και παντοδαπά φέρειν δυναμένην. Messenia (Eurip. Fragm. 1068) and Laconia (E. Curtius, Peloponnesos, 2. 218) were remarkable for the variety of their produce. All these were fertile regions, but there were countries which were at once πάμφοροι and rugged, and it is a territory of this kind that Plato prefers (Laws 704 D). Aristotle would hardly follow him in this. would hardly be satisfied with a territory like the Attic, which comprised much poor land (Plut. Solon, c. 22), though it is called παμφορωτάτη by Xenophon (De Vect. 1. 3: cp. Plato, Critias 110 E, and Antiphanes, Fragm. 'Ομώνυμοι: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 98). The main reason which leads both Plato and Aristotle to prefer a πάμφορος territory is that in a State possessing such a territory importation and exportation are reduced to a minimum, and consequently also the commercial class. States with a barren territory

have often in the history of the world been forced to become commercial in order to exist—e.g. Aegina (above on 1258 a 34), Phocaea (Justin, 43. 3. 5), Elea (Strabo, p. 252). As to Chios see note on 1291 b 20. Much of the territory of Corinth was infertile, and this helped to make her a commercial State (Curius, Peloponnesos, 2.516). 'It was on a bare rock surrounded by deep sea that the streets of Tyre were piled up to a dizzy height' (Macaulay, Hist. of England, c. 24). As to Venice see H. F. Brown, Venice, p. 251. 'Nuremberga, cuius agro nihil magis sterile fieri potest, omnium tamen Germaniae urbium populosissima et opificum multitudine florentissima putatur' (Bodinus, De Republica, p. 518). The word παντοφόρος appears to be extremely rare: πάμφορος is common enough.

30. πλήθει δὲ καὶ μεγέθει κ.τ.λ. With τοσαύτην we should probably supply, not πῶς τις ἄν ἐπαινέσειε, but δεῖ εἶναι as with περὶ μὲν γὰρ τοῦ ποίαν τινά, 26 (cp. c. 11. 1330 a 41 sqq.). See 2. 6. 1265 a 28-38, where the same standard is adopted, in correction of Plato, Laws 737 D, γῆς μὲν ὁπόση πόσους σώφρονας ὅντας ἰκανὴ τρέφειν. Yet Plato's language in Critias 112 C, τὸ μέσον ὑπερηφανίας καὶ ἀνελευθερίας μεταδιώκοντες κοσμίας ώκοδομοῦντο οἰκήσεις, is quite in the spirit of that of Aristotle here. Aristotle does not wish the citizens of his 'best State' to live either like the people of Myconus, who were charged with stinginess (Cratin. Inc. Fab. Fragm. 6: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 175), or like the Boeotians, of whom the comic poet Eubulus said (Meineke, 3. 222),

κτίζε Βοιωτών πόλιν ανδρών αρίστων εσθίειν δι' ήμερας.

See also vol. i. p. 316, note 1. For the use here of πλήθει καὶ μεγέθει, cp. c. 6. 1327 b 3, περὶ δὲ πλήθους ήδη καὶ μεγέθους τῆς δυνάμεως ταύτης. We have μείζων καὶ πλείων used in a somewhat similar way in Meteor. 2. 5. 363 a 15, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὸ τὸν τόπον εἶναι πολύ πλείω ἐκεῖνον καὶ ἀναπεπταμένον μείζων καὶ πλείων καὶ μᾶλλον ἀλεεινὸς ἄνεμος ὁ νότος ἐστὶ τοῦ βορέου, and in Aen. Poliorc. c. 8, προσδεχόμενον πλείω καὶ μείζω δύναμιν πολεμίων. Σχολάζοντας, 31, 'though at leisure.'

83. δστερον. This is one of the promises of future investigations which are not fulfilled in the Politics as we have it (see vol. ii. p. xxvii sqq.).

περὶ κτήσεως καὶ τῆς περὶ τὴν οὐσίαν εὐπορίας. Καί is explanatory, καὶ τῆς περὶ τὴν οὐσίαν εὐπορίας being added to make it clear in what sense κτῆσις will be dealt with. Κτῆσις has been dealt with from

another point of view in 1. 8. 1256 a 1 sqq. Compare what Plato says on the subject in Rep. 591 D sq. For της περὶ την οὐσίαν εὐπορίας cp. 6 (4). 6. 1293 a 3, προσόδων εὐπορίας, and Isocr. Panath. § 7, της περὶ τὸν βίον εὐπορίας. See also below on 1327 a 8, της περὶ ξύλα ὕλης.

- 35. πως δεί καὶ τίνα τρόπον έχειν πρός την χρησιν αυτήν, 'how and in what way it should stand to the use made of it': cp. Diog. Laert. 3. 99, εὐπορία δ' έστὶν ὅταν τις πρὸς τὰς ἐν τῷ βίφ χρήσεις οὕτως ἔχη ὥστε καὶ φίλους εὖ ποιῆσαι καὶ φιλοτίμως καὶ εὐπόρως ἀπολειτουρχῆσαι, and Xen. Hiero, 4.8, οὐ γὰρ τῷ ἀριθμῷ οὕτε τὰ πολλὰ κρίνεται οὕτε τὰ ίκανά, ἄλλὰ πρός τὰς χρήσεις. ώστε τὰ μὲν ὑπερβάλλοντα τὰ ίκανὰ πολλά ἐστι, τὰ δὲ τῶν Ικανῶν ελλείποντα δλίγα. For πῶς καὶ τίνα τρόπον, cp. Phys. 8. 5. 257 2 31, ώστε τοῦτο σκεπτέον λαβοῦσιν ἄλλην ἀρχήν, εἶ τι κινεῖ αὐτό αὐτό. πῶς κινεῖ καὶ τίνα τρόπον: Hippocr. De Capitis Vulneribus, vol. iii. p. 356 Kühn, χρή δε και έρωταν τον τετρωμένον δπως έπαθε και τίνα τρύπον: Plato, Laws 964 D, πως οὖν δή καὶ τίνα τρόπον, & ξένε, απεικάζοντες αὐτὸ τοιούτω τινὶ λέγομεν; and Polyb. 1. 32. 2, πως καὶ τίνι τρόπφ γέγονεν, and 2. 31. 7, ούτω καὶ τούτω τῷ τρόπω: see also Stallbaum's note on Plato, Laws 681 D, οὖτω τε καὶ ταύτη. Αὐτήν is added to την χρησιν to contrast 'the use itself' with τὰ πρὸς την χρήσιν: cp. c. 13. 1331 b 24, περί δέ της πολιτείας αὐτης, where 'the constitution itself' is tacitly contrasted with matters preliminary to the constitution and not falling within it. Vet. Int. wrongly refers αὐτήν to τῆς περὶ τὴν οὐσίαν εὐπορίας, translating 'qualiter oportet habere et quo modo ipsam ad usum.'
- 36. πολλαὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for there are many disputes on the subject of this inquiry, arising because of those who,' etc. For ἔλκειν ἐφ' ἐκατέραν τὴν ὑπερβολήν, cp. 7 (5). 9. 1309 b 22, ἔλκουσιν εἰς τὴν ὑπερβολήν, and Plato, Laws 890 A, ἐλκόντων πρὸς τὸν κατὰ φύσιν ὀρθὸν βίον. Should τὴν χρῆσιν be supplied with ἔλκοντας? On the side of γλισχρότης were the Pythagoreans (see a fragment of Aristophon in Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 362, and fragments of Alexis, ibid. 3. 474, 483), the Cynics (Diog. Laert. 6. 8 sub fin.: 6.25: Diog. Cynicus, Fragm. 273 Mullach), and writers like Ephorus (see above on 1265 a 30), to say nothing of the lawgivers of Sparta (Xen. Rep. Lac. 2. 5 sq.: Plut. Lycurg. c. 17) and Crete (2. 10. 1272 a 22); while on the side of τρυφή were Aristippus (Diog. Laert. 2. 68, 69, 84) and others (vol. i. p. 301, and p. 302, note 1: also p. 199, note 1).
 - 39. τὸ δ' «ἴδος τῆς χώρας κ.τ.λ. Wyse, followed by Sus.4, is

probably right in placing δεῖ δ' ἔνια—ἐμπείροιε in a parenthesis and making ὅτι κ.τ.λ. dependent on εἰπεῖν. Τὸ εἶδοε τῆε χώραε, 'the character of the territory,' i.e. its geographical character, as distinguished from the character of the soil, which has been dealt with in 1326 b 26–30. Cp. Plato, Laws 625 C, τὴν γὰρ τῆε χώραε πάσηε Κρήτηε φύσιν ὁρᾶτε, ὡς οὐκ ἔστι, καθάπερ ἡ τῶν Θετταλῶν, πεδιάς, and 834 C.

δεῖ δ' ἔνια κ.τ.λ., 'and we must be guided in respect of some matters by the opinion also of those who are experienced in questions of generalship [as well as that of those who look especially to the supply of commodities, the subject which has just been before us].' Aristotle may probably have before him the work of Aeneas Tacticus entitled Στρατηγικά βιβλία οτ περὶ τῶν στρατηγικῶν ὑπομνήματα, of which we possess a part in the Commentarius Poliorceticus of Aeneas (see below on 1331 a 16): cp. c. 8, μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα εἰς τὴν χώραν προσδεχόμενον πλείω καὶ μείζω δύναμιν πολεμίων πρῶτον μὲν τὴν χώραν δυσείσβολον τοῖς πολεμίοις καὶ δυσστρατοπέδευτον καὶ δυσπόρευτον κατασκευάζειν καὶ τοὺς ποταμοὺς δυσδιαβάτους καὶ δύσπλους, and c. 16. 16 sqq. Aristotle learns something from generals in 8 (6). 7. 1321 a 16 sqq. as well as here.

40. ότι χρή μέν τοις πολεμίοις είναι δυσέμβολον, αὐτοίς δ' εὐέξοδον. Compare what is said of the city in c. 11. 1330 b 2 sqq. and 1331 a 3 sqq. Egypt (see vol. i. p. 316, note 2, and Strabo, p. 819) and Persis (Plut. Alex. c. 37) were difficult of access to foes, and Socrates claimed (vol. i. p. 316, note 2) that Attica was so, but Laconia is probably especially present to Aristotle's mind. for it was δυσεμβολωτάτη (Xen. Hell. 6. 5. 24: Eurip. Fragm. 1068: see Curtius, Peloponnesos, 2. 217, 311). Boeotia, on the contrary, lay comparatively open to the foe (Plut. Reg. et Imp. Apophth. Epaminond. 18. 193 Ε, καὶ τὴν χώραν ὑπτίαν οὖσαν καὶ ἀναπεπταμένην πολέμου δρχήστραν προσηγόρευεν, ώς μή δυναμένους κρατεΐν αὐτῆς, αν μή τήν χείρα διὰ πόρπακος έχωσι), and of Elis we read in Curtius, Peloponnesos, 2. 6, 'No region of the Peloponnese is less protected against attacks from without. A broad river-valley leads, like an open entrance-road, from the interior into the midst of Elis; the mountains at the back of the territory afford little protection, because they are only the lower ranges of higher mountains. The level coast-line offers the easiest of approaches from the North and the South; the plains and the villages are exposed on all sides to landings from a hostile fleet.' That a country might be at once

hard of entrance to foes and easy of exit for friends we see from Plato, Laws 761 A, δύσβατα δὲ δὴ πάντα ποιεῖν τοῖε ἐχθροῖε, τοῖε δὲ φίλοιε ὅ τι μάλιστα εὕβατα, ἀνθρώποιε τε καὶ ὑποζυγίοιε καὶ βοσκήμασιν, ὁδῶν τε ἐπιμελουμένουε κ.τ.λ. Another characteristic which the territory should possess, but which is not noticed here, is that it should be such as to favour the unity of the State (7 (5). 3. 1303 b 7 sqq.). Χρὴ τοῖε μέν, not χρὴ μὲν τοῖε, is the logical order, but 'μέν interdum non ei additur vocabulo, in quo vis oppositionis cernitur' (Bon. Ind. 454 a 20): see above on 1268 b 12.

1327 2. 2. ούτω καὶ τὴν χώραν, SC. εὐσύνοπτον είναι δεί.

3. της δε πόλεως την θέσιν κ.τ.λ. The recommendation that the territory should be well within reach for purposes of protection leads on naturally to the question as to the site of the city. question is here dealt with only so far as the position of the city with reference to the territory and the sea is concerned; other matters come up for consideration in c. 11. 1330 a 34 sqq. The city should be placed where it will be readily able to protect the territory and to receive supplies of commodities. For both purposes it must be near the sea, yet it must also be well situated with respect to the territory. Whether Aristotle's opinion was known to Alexander when he founded Alexandria in B. C. 332, it is impossible to say, but at any rate the site of this city in many respects fulfilled Aristotle's requirements. Cp. Strabo, p. 798, ris δ' εὐκαιρίας της κατά την πόλιν το μέγιστον έστιν ὅτι της Αἰγύπτου πάσης μόνος έστιν ούτος ο τόπος πρός αμφω πεφυκώς εύ, τά τε έκ θαλάττης δια το εὐλίμενου, καὶ τὰ ἐκ τῆς χώρας ὅτι πάντα εὐμαρῶς ὁ ποταμὸς πορθμεύει συνάγει τε els τοιούτων χωρίον οπερ μέγιστον εμπόριον της οἰκουμένης έστί. (No doubt Aristotle would not rejoice in the greatness of Alexandria as an emporium or in its close contiguity to the sea.) Some cities had the fault of being at a great distance from their territory (8 (6). 4. 1319 a 32 sqq.). In not a few cases part of the territory was cut off from the city by mountains (this was the case with the Thyrean territory of Argos and the Lepreate territory of Elis), or by an arm of the sea (as when island cities held territory on the adjacent mainland). On the other hand, there were cities like Sparta, which were too far from the sea. Sparta is probably especially present to Aristotle's mind in 1327 a 3-27. It was evidently in his opinion not situated so well either for the protection of its territory or for the transmission of produce from its territory, as it would have been if it had been nearer to the sea.

Nor was it (18 sqq.) easily within reach of rescue by sea or capable of striking a blow by sea, nor could it well receive by sea commodities lacking to it or send away by sea its surplus produce. The cities of Elis and Messene were also too far from the sea. Cp. Polyb. 2. 5, ταύτας γάρ ἀεὶ τὰς χώρας (i.e. τὴν Ἡλείαν καὶ τὴν Μεσσηνίαν) Ίλλυριοί πορθούντες διετέλουν διά γάρ το μήκος τής παραλίας καὶ διὰ τὸ μεσογαίους είναι τὰς δυναντευούσας έν αὐταῖς πόλεις μακραὶ καὶ Βραδείαι λίαν εγίνοντο τοις προειρημένοις αλ παραβοήθειαι πρός τάς αποβάσεις των Ιλλυριών, όθεν άδεως επέτρεχον και κατέσυρον αεί ταύτας τας χώρας. In Aristotle's view the ideal site for a city was one which placed it in easy communication with both land and sea. Rome. according to Cicero (De Rep. 2. 5. 10), was marked out for empire by a position of this kind, and much the same thing may be said of London. We learn, indeed, from c. 11. 1330 a 34 sqq. that a city should be in easy communication, not only with its own territory and with the sea, but also with the mainland on the verge of which it lies. Such was the situation of Athens: cp. Xen. De Vect. 1. 7, καὶ μὴν οὐ περίρρυτός γε οὖσα (ή ᾿Αττικὴ) ὅμως ὥσπερ νῆσος πασιν ανέμοις προσάγεται τε ων δείται και αποπέμπεται & βούλεται αμφιθάλαττος γάρ έστι, και κατά γην δε πολλά δέχεται έμπόρια. ήπειρος γάρ έστιν. Aristotle evidently prefers the site of Athens to that of Sparta, and indeed to those of most other Greek cities. It is easy to see from 1327 a 3-27 that Greek cities at a distance from the sea, and especially those whose communications with the coast were difficult -such cities, for instance, as Tegea and Mantineia-were neither very secure from foes nor very well supplied with commodities, and that cities immediately on the coast—and most Greek colonies were thus situated—tended to become denationalized and disorderly owing to the multitude of aliens which flocked to them, and also to suffer an increase in the number of their citizens not conducive to efficient government. In this matter as in others Aristotle favours a mean; the city should be neither on the sea nor too far from it.

- 4. πρός τε την θάλατταν κ.τ.λ. For the order of the words cp. c. 11. 1331 a 12 sqq. and c. 16. 1335 b 5 sqq. 'Te... τε, ut apud omnes prosarios, apud Aristotelem quoque raro in usum venit' (Eucken, De Partic. Usv., p. 16, who gives as another instance in the Politics c. 10. 1329 b 2 sq.).
- 5. «ໂς μὰν ὁ λεχθεὶς δρος. We expect μὲν σὖν in place of μέν, but cp. Xen. Cyrop. 8. 1. 19, «ἷς μὲν τρόπος διδασκαλίας ἦν αὐτῷ οὖτος τοῦ

356 NOTES.

παρείναι άλλος δε κ.τ.λ., and Thuc. 2. 97. 2, τὰ μεν πρὸς θάλασσαν τοσαύτη ην.

- 7. δ δὲ λοιπὸς κ.τ.λ., i.e. δ δὲ λοιπὸς δρος ἐστὶ τὴν πόλιν εἶναι εὐπαρακόμιστον κ.τ.λ. 1 follow the punctuation of Jackson, Welldon, and Sus. The fact that Athens lay near the coast must have greatly facilitated and cheapened the transport to it of the produce of its territory, and especially the transport of heavy commodities like timber, stone, marble, and metals.
- 8. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and further, of material for timber and for any other industry of the kind that the territory may possess.' Aristotle passes from τῶν γινομένων καρπῶν, 7, to commodities like wood, stone, and metals which are ἄκαρπα μὲν χρήσιμα δέ (1. 11. 1258 b 27 sqq.: cp. Xen. De Vect. 1. 5, ἔστι δὲ καὶ γῆ ἡ σπειρομένη μὲν οὐ φέρει καρπόν, ὀρυττομένη δὲ πολλαπλασίους τρέφει ἡ εἰ σῖτον ἔφερε). Attica was rich in marble and silver (ibid. 1. 4 sq.). For ἐργασίαν, cp. Thuc. 4. 105, κτῆσίν τε ἔχειν τῶν χρυσείων μετάλλων ἐργασίας ἐν τῆ περὶ ταῦτα Θράκη. For τῆς περὶ ξύλα ὕλης, cp. 1326 b 34, τῆς περὶ τὴν οὐσίαν εὐπορίας, and Top. 2. 7. 113 a 31, διὰ γὰρ τῆς περὶ τὴν ὅψιν αἰσθήσεως τὴν ἐν ἐκάστφ μορφὴν γνωρίζομεν, and see Bon. Ind. 579 b 43 sqq.
- 11. Περί δε της πρός την θάλατταν κοινωνίας κ.τ.λ. The persons referred to here held that nearness to the sea was prejudicial to orderly government in two ways-(r) it involved the residence in the city of aliens bred up under other laws and likely to exercise an unfavourable moral influence on the citizens; (2) it involved the existence of a very numerous citizen-body, inasmuch as the numbers of the citizen-body would be swollen by a body of merchants, who, it is taken for granted, would be citizens. (That the word πολυανθρωπία refers to the number of the citizens appears from 1327 b 7-9, where it is explained that in Aristotle's 'best State' there will be no πολυανθρωπία in connexion with the oarsmen of the fleet, οὐδὲν γὰρ αὐτοὺς μέρος είναι δεῖ τῆς πόλεως.) Aristotle contends, on the contrary, that nearness to the sea does not necessarily involve either of these results. The city might be placed at a little distance from the sea and might possess a port on the coast, whose inhabitants might be restrained from free communication with the inhabitants of the city; and this port should be a small port, intended for the supply of the needs of the members of the State, not of those of the world in general. Who were the critics whose views are here controverted? Possibly Plato is referred to, but this is not certain, for though he objects

to nearness to the sea in Laws 704 D sqq. (see Stallbaum on this passage, who refers to Cic. De Rep. 2.3.5 sqq., and cp. Laws 949 F. sa., 052 D sqq.) on account of the risk of evil influences from aliens, he does not object to it on the ground of its involving πολυανθρωπία. In all probability the views here controverted were those of persons oligarchically inclined. That πολυανθρωπία is unfavourable to good government we have already seen (c. 4. 1326 a 25 sqq.). Many Greek cities were much exposed to the influence of aliens. Byzantium was overrun with them (Aelian, Var. Hist. 3, 14: cp. Athen. Deipn. 526 e), and at Agrigentum in its palmy days, if we may trust Diodorus (13. 84. 4), there were 180,000 aliens, while the citizens numbered somewhat more than 20,000. (Vie Municipale en Attique, p. 189) shows how foreign worships were instituted at the Peiraeus by aliens for their own behoof, and some of these probably spread to the citizens. We know that the seaports of Dundee and Leith were the channels through which the Reformation found its way into Scotland. "The knowledge of God," says Knox, "did considerably increase within the realm, and this was chiefly effected by merchants and mariners belonging to Dundee and Leith," who imported the reformed doctrines from abroad' (Academy, Feb. 11, 1893). That contact with aliens might have ill results, we see from Cic. De Leg. Agrar. 2. 35. 95, Carthaginienses fraudulenti et mendaces non genere, sed natura loci, quod propter portus suos multis et variis mercatorum et advenarum sermonibus ad studium fallendi studio quaestus vocabantur. Contact with aliens even of a satisfactory type might well affect the fidelity of the citizens of a Greek State to its traditions, and many of the aliens who crowded to Greek seaports were Asiatics of a type the reverse of satisfactory. The people of Epidamnus found that those of their citizens who had much communication with their Illyrian neighbours became demoralized (Plut. Quaest, Graec. 29, γιγνομένους πονηρούς). We read of the Spartan Callicratidas in Diod. 13. 76. 2, ούτος δε νέος μεν ήν παντελώς, ακακος δε και την ψυχην άπλους, ούπω των ξενικών ήθων πεπειραμένος, δικαιότατος δε Σπαρτιατών. Machiavelli in his Discourses on the First Decad of Livy (1. 55) ascribes the integrity and piety of the Germans of his day in part to the fact that 'they have never had much commerce with their neighbours, being seldom visited by them and seldom going abroad themselves, but live contented with the food and clothing that are the product of their own country, thereby preventing

358 NOTES.

all opportunities of evil conversation that might corrupt their manners.' It should be noticed that the argument here reproduced by Aristotle assumes that aliens did not take up their abode in inland cities; this does not, however, seem to have been invariably the case, for we hear of metoeci at Thebes (Diod. 17. 11: Lys. Or. 23. 15) and under the name of πάροικοι at Thespiae (Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 294. 1). Indeed, Aristotle himself speaks in c. 4. 1326 a 18 sqq. as if a body of aliens and metoeci was a necessary appendage to every Greek State.

- 15. γίνεσθαι μεν γάρ κ.τ.λ., sc. την πολυανθρωπίαν. With διαπέμπουτας και δεχομένους έμπόρων πληθος Bonitz (Ind. s.v. διαπέμπειν) compares De Part. An. 4. 5. 681 a 28, έχει δε τοῦτο τὸ ζφον δύο πόρους και μίαν διαίρεσιν, ή τε δέχεται την ύγρότητα την εις τροφήν, και ή πάλιν διαπέμπει την ὑπολειπομένην ἰκμάδα.
 - 18. μεν οδν appears to be answered by δε, 32. ταῦτα, i.e. τὸ ἐπιξενοῦσθαί τινας and ἡ πολυανθρωπία.
- 19. πρὸς εὐπορίαν τῶν ἀναγκαίων. States in command of the sea were for one thing less exposed to famine than States which were not ([Xen.] De Rep. Ath. 2. 6). See also Plato, Laws 705 A (quoted in the next note). We can imagine with what anxiety cities at a distance from the sea, for instance those of Arcadia, watched the prospects of the corn-harvest. The worship of Demeter and of Zeus, the giver of rain, would be especially congenial to such localities. They no doubt kept a vigilant eye on their rivers and the catabothra through which they in some cases flowed off to see that no flooding occurred and that they were not interfered with by rival neighbouring States. Greek colonies, on the other hand, were mostly at no great distance from the sea, and their command of necessaries must consequently have been much superior to that of many districts of Greece proper.

μετέχειν τὴν πόλιν καὶ τὴν χώραν τῆς θαλάττης, cp. 25, ἀμφοτέρων (i.e. γῆς καὶ θαλάττης) μετέχουσιν. Susemihl, following Bojesen, reads μετέχειν τὴν πόλιν καὶ τῆς χώρας καὶ τῆς θαλάττης, referring to c. 5. 1327 a 3 sqq. and to c. 11. 1330 a 34 sqq., but compare on the other hand 32, ἐπεὶ δὲ καὶ νῦν ὁρῶμεν πολλαῖς ὑπάρχοντα καὶ χώραις καὶ πόλεσιν ἐπίνεια καὶ λιμένας κ.τ.λ., and Plato, Laws 705 A (a passage perhaps present to Aristotle's mind here), πρόσοικος γὰρ θάλαττα χώρα τὸ μὲν παρ' ἐκάστην ἡμέραν ἡδύ, μάλα γε μὴν ὅντως άλμυρὸν καὶ πικρὸν γειτόνημα. The territory no less than the city may be said μετέχειν τῆς θαλάττης, for it draws part of its supplies from the sea and sends part of its

surplus produce away by sea, besides being more easily protected against foes. Aristotle had advised in c. 5. 1327 a 3 sqq. that the city should be well placed in relation both to its territory and to the sea. No one had disputed that it should be well placed in relation to its territory, but a doubt had been raised whether it ought to stand in any relation whatever to the sea. Hence what is uppermost in Aristotle's mind is to show that it should not be far from the sea. That it will be well placed in relation to its territory, he takes for granted.

21. καὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. For evidence of this fact, see vol. i. p. 317. Compare also Thuc. 5. 82. 5 sq. The successful resistance of the seaport Stralsund to the besieging army of Wallenstein illustrates Aristotle's remark. 'The problem of overcoming the resistance of a fortress open to unlimited succours by sea is one of the most difficult in the whole art of war' (S. R. Gardiner, Thirty Years' War, p. 107). The Duke of Wellington preferred Calcutta to Agra as the seat of British Government in India (Lord Stanhope's Conversations with the Duke of Wellington, p. 306). For πρὸς τὸ βῷον φέρειν τοὺς πολέμους (for so we should probably read with Sylburg in place of τοὺς πολέμους, which is the reading of ΓΠ), cp. 2. 7. 1267 a 27, πόλεμον ὑπενεγκεῖν, and 2. 9. 1270 a 33, μίαν γὰρ πληγὴν οὺχ ὑπήνεγκεν ἡ πόλις.

23. καὶ πρὸς τὸ βλάψαι κ.τ.λ., 'and with a view to injuring assailants, if it should not be possible to be easily succourable] both by land and by sea, the State will be more in a position to be so by one or the other, if it shares in both.' I do not think with Susemihl (Bericht über Aristoteles, etc., in the Jahresbericht für Altertumswissenschaft, lxxix. 1894, p. 273) that Argyriades is right in bracketing πρός before τὸ βλάψαι. The suppressed nom. to δυνατόν (έστιν) is not το βλάψαι, but το εὐβαηθήτους είναι. Greece defended itself in both ways against Xerxes, and Syracuse against Athens. Agrigentum, on the other hand, had no fleet when it was besieged by the Carthaginians (Diod. 13. 85 sqq.: Holm, Griech. Gesch, 2, 592). Athens would have had little prospect of success in the Peloponnesian War if she had only been able to strike at her assailants by land. The Lacedaemonian State suffered from not being able to attack its Theban invaders by sea. Compare a saying of Epaminondas (Aristid. Leuctr. 1. p. 421, 18, quoted by Schafer, Demosth. 1. 104. 4), λέγειν γάρ ἔφη πρός αὐτοὺς Ἐπαμεινώνδαν ώς σύδεν όφελος των έν τῆ γῆ πλεονεκτημάτων, εὶ μὴ καὶ τὴν θάλατταν δι' αύτων ἔξουσιν.

- 25. δσα τ' ἀν κ.τ.λ. It seems to be implied that the import and export of commodities was only possible by sea: Athens, however, imported many commodities by land (Xen. De Vect. 1. 7, καὶ κατὰ γῆν δὲ πολλὰ (ἡ ᾿Αττικὴ) δέχεται ἐμπόρια), and that there was traffic by land in Greece we see from Plato, Polit. 289 E, οἱ δὲ πόλιν ἐκ πόλεως ἀλλάττοντες κατὰ θάλατταν καὶ πεξῆ. But the land-trade of Greece was not comparable in extent to its trade by sea (see Büchsenschütz, Besitz und Erwerb, p. 444 sqq.).
- 27. αὐτῆ γὰρ ἐμπορικήν κ.τ.λ. takes up and justifies ὅσα τ' ἀν μὴ τυγχάνη παρ' αὐτοῖς ὅντα κ.τ.λ. A State may do things for itself which it would demean itself by doing for others (cp. 5 (8). 2. 1337 b 19 sqq. and 3. 4. 1277 b 5 sq.).
- 29. οἱ δὲ παρέχοντες κ.τ.λ. This remark is directed against Athens. Isocrates had claimed that in instituting a great mart at the Peiraeus, Athens had done Greece a service (Paneg. § 42); Aristotle, however, asserts that Athens had had the increase of her revenue in view. No doubt she derived a large revenue from the Peiraeus (see Xen. De Vect. 3. 12 sq., 4. 40, and [Aristot.] Oecon. 2. 1346 a 5 sqq.), but the existence of a great emporium there also added largely to her influence; we see how bitterly the Megarians felt their exclusion from it (Thuc. 1. 67, 139). Among the chief sources of revenue at Athens were the fiftieth on exports and imports, the duty on sales, and the impost paid by metoeci (Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 350 sqq.); the existence of a great emporium at the Peiraeus would largely increase the receipts from these and other sources. The revenue of States which did not possess an emporium must have been much smaller than the revenue of those which did. The revenue of inland States especially must have been limited, and few inland democracies can have been able to provide pay for attendance at meetings of the assembly and dicasteries.
- 30. τοιαύτης μετέχειν πλεονεξίας, 'to share in greed of this kind,' i. e. greed for revenue, for πλεονεξία probably does not mean 'gain' here, though it often bears this meaning. Cp. Plato, Tim. 27 C, πάντες δσοι καὶ κατὰ βραχὺ σωφροσύνης μετέχουσιν.
- 82. πολλαίς . . . καὶ χώραις καὶ πόλεσιν, e. g. the territories and cities of Athens, Corinth. Megara, etc.
- 88. ἐπίνεια καὶ λιμένας. Ἐπίνεια are port-towns: cp. Suidas s.v., πολισμα παραθαλάσσιον, ἔνθα τὰ νεώρια τῶν πόλεών εἰσιν, ὥσπερ Πειραιεὺς τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ Νίσαια τῆς Μεγαρίδος δύνασαι δὲ ἐπὶ παυτὸς

έμπορίου καὶ παραθαλασσίου χρήσασθαι τῷ ὀνόματι τούτῳ, δ νῦν οἰ πολλοὶ κατάβολον καλοῦσιν.

εὐφυῶς κείμενα. Cp. Diod. 13. 85. 4, λόφον . . . κατά της πόλεως εὐφυῶς κείμενον.

- 34. Δστε μήτε το αὐτο νέμειν ἄστυ μήτε πόρρω λίαν, sc. εἶναι, so as neither to occupy the same city [as the buildings of the city] nor to be very far away.' The subject of νέμειν appears to be ἐπίνεια καὶ λιμένας understood, unless we supply τοὺς ἐνοικοῦντας. "Λοτυ is used of the central city of the State lying round its central acropolis, in contradistinction to other cities comprised within the territory. For the omission of εἶναι, see above on 1277 a 38 and 1286 b 36. Cyllene, the port of Elis, and Gytheium, the port of Sparta, would be thought by Aristotle to be too far from Elis and Sparta.
- 35. ἀλλὰ κρατεῖσθαι κ.τ.λ., 'but to be held in subjection by walls and other similar defences' (such as trenches, cp. Xen. Anab. 2. 4. 22).
- 36. διὰ τῆς κοινωνίας αὐτῶν, 'through participation in them,' i. e. in ports and harbours.
- 37. el δέ τι βλαβερόν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has before him Plato, Laws 952 D sqq. Compare the plan adopted by the people of Epidamnus of appointing a πωλητής, through whom alone all purchases from, and sales to, their Illyrian neighbours were to be made (Plut. Quaest. Gr. 29).
- 41. βέλτιστον, thus used, is less common in the Politics than βέλτιον, but we have it in Plato, Gorg. 500 C.
- οδ γὰρ μόνον κ.τ.λ. We read of Dionysius the Elder in Diod. 14. 107. 4 that he required Rhegium to surrender to him its fleet of seventy triremes, διελάμβανε γὰρ τῆς κατὰ θάλατταν βοηθείας ἀποκλεισθείσης ραθίως ἐκπολιορκήσειν τὴν πόλιν. For αὐτοῖς φοβεροὺς εἶναι, cp. c. 8. 1328 b 7–10 and 3. 15. 1286 b 27–31. Sections of the citizenbody were often the originators of στάσις (7 (5). 3–4) and might need to be controlled.
- 3. περὶ δὲ πλήθους ήδη καὶ μεγέθους κ.τ.λ., 'but when we come 1327 b. to the amount and magnitude of this force, with respect to that,' etc. For πλήθους καὶ μεγέθους, see above on 1326 b 30.
- 4. el μλν γλρ κ.τ.λ. That a Greek State could not live a life of hegemony without possessing a fleet, is virtually implied by the view, which dates back as far as the days of the Persian Wars, that a hegemony confined to the land is a 'lame hegemony' (Diod. 11.

- 50). Epaminondas held this view (see above on 1327 a 23, and also Grote, Hist. of Greece, 10.416-419, and Schäfer, Demosthenes, 1. 104 sq.).
- 5. πολιτικόν, 'spent in relations with other States,' not a solitary life, like that of the States referred to in c. 3. 1325 b 23 sqq. Cp. 2. 6. 1265 a 22. Πολιτικόν is a broader term than ἡγεμονικόν, for a State may have political relations with others without standing to them in a relation of hegemony.
- 7. την πολυανθρωπίαν την γιγνομένην περί τον ναυτικον όχλον, 'the excessive number of citizens which arises in connexion with the mass of trireme-oarsmen.' So Sus. 'jene Pöbelmenge die aus dem Schiffsvolk entspringt.' For γιγνομένην περί τον ναυτικον όχλον, cp. 3. 13. 1284 b 20, ὅπερ οὐκ ἐγίγνετο περί τὰς πόλεις. Γίγνεσθαι περί with the acc. means 'to happen to' in Plato, Protag. 309 B, and in Polyb. 1. 16. 7, 1. 22. 1, and 5. 110. 7, but I do not think that this is what it means here. As to πολυανθρωπία, see above on 1327 a 11. The Athenian demos was largely composed of triremeoarsmen (6 (4). 4. 1291 b 23).
- 11. πλήθους δὲ κ.τ.λ. The connexion of this sentence with that which precedes it would have been clearer if it had run, 'but the oarsmen need not be citizens, for, as a mass of perioeci and cultivators of the territory will be forthcoming, there will necessarily be no lack of sailors also.' Would Aristotle's serfs, who are not to be θομοειδείς, make good sailors? His plan of employing serfs as oarsmen had been anticipated not only at Heracleia on the Euxine, but also by Jason of Pherae (Xen. Hell. 6. 1. 11, ἀνδρῶν γε μὴν ταύτας (sc. τὰς ναῦς) πληροῦν πότερον 'Αθηναίους η ήμας εἰκὸς μαλλον δύνασθαι, τοσούτους καὶ τωούτους έχουτας πενέστας;). 'Quod idem nostra quoque aetate Veneti factitant, qui ad instruendas classes in agris delectum habere et valentiores agricolas triremibus adscribere consuerunt' (Giph. p. 945). Even at Athens, where a large section of the demos was composed of trireme-oarsmen (see above on 7), metoeci and aliens, and occasionally slaves, were also thus employed (Thuc. 1. 143, 3. 16, 8. 73: Isocr. De Pace, §§ 48, 79). The oarsmen of the Lacedaemonian fleet were Helots or hired men (Xen. Hell. 7. 1. 12).
- 19. δρώμεν δὲ κ.τ.λ. takes up 1327 a 32 sqq. Kal τοῦτο, 'this also,' i. e. τὸ ἀφθονίαν είναι ναυτών, as well as favourably situated ports and harbours. As to the fleet of the Pontic Heracleia, see Grote, Hist. of Greece, 12. 623. 3. Heracleia waged a vigorous

naval war with Leucon, prince of the Cimmerian Bosporus, who reigned from about B.C. 392 to 352. In later days (B.C. 280) her ships of war with five and six banks of oars and her one great δετήρης helped Ptolemy Ceraunus to defeat the fleet of Antigonus Gonatas (Memnon, ap. Phot. Biblioth. p. 226 b 19 sqq. Bekker: Droysen, Gesch. des Hellenismus 2. 2. 332).

- 14. 'Ηρακλεωτῶν. P^{4.5.} Sb Vb have 'Ηρακλεωτῶν, but the forms 'Ηρακλεώτης, 'Ηρακλεωτικός appear elsewhere in Aristotle's writings without any recorded variant. 'Ηρακλειώτης is the earlier form (see Meisterhans, Grammatik der att. Inschr., p. 34, ed. 2) and appears in an Attic inscription prior to B.C. 403, but Aristotle probably used the form 'Ηρακλεώτης, which is found in an Attic inscription of B.C. 298.
 - 15. τῷ μεγέθει, i.e. in respect of the number of its citizens.
- 16. λιμένων is placed next to χώρας, because the harbours were to be outside the city, not, as was often the case, within it.

πόλεων, i.e. the ποτυ and its επίνειον or port.

- 17. ἔστω διωρισμένα. Cp. 1. 11. 1258 b 39, ἐπεὶ δ' ἐστὶν ἐνίοις γεγραμμένα περὶ τούτων.
 - 19. πρότερον, in c. 4. 1326 b 22 sqq.
- 21. βλέψας κ.τ.λ. A similar contrast is drawn between al ἐπιφα- C. 7. νέσταται πόλεις and ἡ ὅλη οἰκουμένη in Polyb. 1. 4. 6. The change in the preposition (ἐπί—πρός) finds many parallels in Aristotle's way of writing. Cp. c. 11. 1330 b 16, χωρὶς τά τε εἰς τροφὴν ῦδατα καὶ τὰ πρὸς τὴν ἄλλην χρείαν: 8 (6). 5. 1320 a 38, ὅσον εἰς γηδίου κτῆσιν, εἰ δὶ μή, πρὸς ἀφορμὴν ἐμπορίας καὶ γεωργίας: 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 17, οὕτε γὰρ ἐν τοῖς ἄλλοις ζώρις οὕτ' ἐπὶ τῶν ἐθνῶν. See also 2. 8. 1267 b 27, 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 33 sq., 5 (8). 7. 1341 b 38-41, and 6 (4). 15. 1299 b 16 sq.; and Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 450.
- 22. ὡς διείληπται τοῖς ἔθνεσιν, literally 'how it is divided into sections by nations': cp. c. 12. 1331 a 20, and Plato, Laws 886 A, τὰ τῶν ὡρῶν διακεκοσμημένα καλῶς οὕτως, ἐνιαυτοῖς τε καὶ μησὶ διειλημμένα, and Phaedo 110 B, ἡ γῆ αὕτη . . . ποικίλη, χρώμασι διειλημμένη.
- 23. τὰ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ λ., 'for the nations inhabiting cold countries, and in particular those in Europe,' etc. Καί introduces an explanation and limitation of τὰ ἐν τοῖε ψυχροῖε τόποιε ἴθνη, as in 1. 9. 1257 b 9, τὴν χρηματιστικὴν καὶ τὴν καπηλικήν. Aristotle here follows in the track of Plato, Rep. 435 E, and of Hippocrates, De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 547 sqq. Kühn, and esp. p. 553 (as Giph. points out, p. 948: see also Eaton): cp. Androt. Fragm. 36 (Müller, Fr. Hist.

364 NOTES.

Gr. 1. 375). Aristotle probably held that a connexion exists between coldness of climate and abundance of Bupos. He may have traced the connexion thus. Cold hardens the animal frame and makes it dry and earthy, not watery (De Gen. An. 5. 3. 783 a 15 sqq.). and animals in whose blood the earthy element predominates are spirited in character (De Part. An. 2. 4. 650 b 33 sqq.), whereas those whose blood is of a more watery nature have a more subtle intelligence, and, if this wateriness is extreme, are cowardly (650 b 18 sqq.). See vol. i. p. 319, note 1, and De Part. An. 2. 2. 648 a 2-11. A different explanation may be deduced from passages in the Problems ascribed to Aristotle, which are not, however, one of his authentic works (Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 100: Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 96). Oupós is connected with internal warmth (De Part. An. 2. 4. 650 b 35, θερμότητος γάρ ποιητικόν δ θυμός: cp. Probl. 10. 60. 898 a 5, ή ὅτι δ θυμός μετὰ θερμότητος; ό γὰρ φόβος κατάψυξις σσων οὖν (SC. ζώων) τὸ αἶμα ενθερμόν έστι, καὶ ἀνδρεῖα καὶ θυμοειδή), and a cold climate, according to Probl. 14. 16. 910 a 38 sqq., makes the flesh close and solid, and so prevents the escape of the internal heat. As to the 'spirit' of the barbarians to whom Aristotle refers, cp. Eth. Eud. 3. 1. 1229 b 28, διά θυμόν, οίον οι Κελτοί πρός τὰ κύματα ὅπλα ἀπαντῶσι λαβόντες, καὶ δλως ή βαρβαρική ανδρία μετά θυμοῦ έστίν: Polyb. 2. 35. 3, διά τό μή το πλείον άλλα συλλήβδην άπαν το γινόμενον ύπο των Γαλατών θυμώ μαλλον ή λογισμώ Βραβεύεσθαι: Seneca, De Ira, 1. 11, quid Cimbrorum Teutonorumque tot millia superfusa Alpibus ita sustulit ut tantae cladis notitiam ad suos non nuntius sed fama pertulerit, nisi quod erat illis ira pro virtute, and 2. 15 (referred to by Giph. p. 948), 'ut scias,' inquit, 'iram habere in se generosi aliquid, liberas videbis gentes quae iracundissimae sunt, ut Germanos et Scythas'... Deinde omnes istae feritate liberae gentes, leonum luporumque ritu, ut servire non possunt, ita nec imperare. Non enim humani vim ingenii, sed feri et intractabilis habent: nemo autem regere potest, nisi qui et regi. Fere itaque imperia penes eos fuere populos qui mitiore caelo utuntur. As to the meaning of Εὐρώπη here, see vol. i. p. 318, note 3. In Hom. Hymn, in Apoll. 250 sq., 290 sq. Εὐρώπη is distinguished from the Peloponnese and the islands, but not from Hellas.

25. διόπερ κ.τ.λ., 'hence they continue comparatively free, but devoid of constitutional organization and unable to rule their neighbours.' They are free in comparison with Asiatics (3. 14.

1285 a 21). Aristotle can hardly include the Macedonians among the 'nations of Europe,' for they were not unable to rule over others, but does he regard them as Greeks? He is probably thinking of the Scythians, Thracians, and Illyrians among other European races: cp. Xen. Cyrop. I. I. 4, καὶ γάρ τοι τοσοῦτον διήνεγκε (Κῦρος) τῶν ἄλλων βασιλέων . . . ὧσθ ὁ μὲν Σκύθης, καίπερ παμπόλλων δυτων Σκυθῶν, ἄλλου μὲν οὐδενὸς δύναιτ' ἀν ἔθνους ἐπάρξαι, ἀγαπψή δ' ἀν εἰ τοῦ ἐαυτοῦ ἔθνους ἄρχων διαγένοιτο, καὶ ὁ Θρᾶξ Θρακῶν καὶ ὁ Ἰλλυριὸς Ἰλλυριῶν, καὶ τἄλλα δὲ ὡσαύτως ἔθνη ἀκούομεν τὰ γοῦν ἐν τῆ Εὐρώπη ἔτι καὶ νῦν αὐτόνομα εἶναι καὶ λελύσθαι ἀπ' ἀλλήλων. Contrast Isocr. Paneg. § 67; where the Scythians and Thracians, as well as the Persians, are described as ἀρχικώτατα τῶν γενῶν καὶ μεγίστας δυναστείας ἔχοντα.

- 27. τὰ δὲ περὶ τὴν 'Ασίαν κ.τ.λ. For ἄθυμα, cp. Hippocr. De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 553 Kühn, περί δὲ τῆς ἀθυμίης τῶν ἀνθρώπων και της ανανδρείης, ότι απολεμώτεροι είσιν των Ευρωπαίων οι 'Ασιηνοί και ήμερώτεροι τὰ ήθεα κ.τ.λ. Hippocrates, however, in the same treatise (p. 554 Kühn) says justly enough, εύρήσεις δέ καὶ τοὺς 'Ασιηνοὺς διαφέροντας αὐτοὺς έωυτέων, τοὺς μέν βελτίονας τοὺς δὲ φαυλοτέρους έδντας. Would Aristotle say of the Persians (cp. c. 2. 1324 b 11) what he says of the Asiatics here? Modern observers take much the same view of Asiatic character. 'The Asiatic is as clever as the European with his hands and wits, though he lacks initiative and the power of government' (Speech of Sir H. H. Johnston, Times, Nov. 7, 1894). Aristotle's account of the Asiatics was hardly flattering to Alexander as the conqueror of Asia, nor did it lend support to his scheme of fusing Greeks and Asiatics. See on the subject vol. i. p. 319, note 3. Aristotle traces similar contrasts between animals to those which he here traces between the nations of Europe and Asia (Hist. An. 1. 1. 488 b 12, διαφέρουσι δέ (τὰ ζφα) καὶ ταῖς τοιαῖσἢε διαφοραῖς κατὰ τὸ ἦθος τὰ μέν γάρ έστι πρᾶα καὶ δύσθυμα καὶ οὐκ ἐνστατικά, οἷον βοῦς, τὰ δὲ θυμώδη καὶ ἐνστατικά καὶ ἀμαθή, οἷον ὖς άγριος, τὰ δὲ φρόνιμα καὶ δειλά, οδον ἔλαφος, δασύπους κ.τ.λ.).
- 28. διόπερ ἀρχόμενα καὶ δουλεύοντα διατελεῖ, i.e. enslaved to their rulers. Kingship prevailed over most of Asia (Hippocr. De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 553 Kühn), and in many places of a despotic type (3. 14. 1285 a 16 sqq.).
- 29. το δε των Έλληνων γένος κ.τ.λ. Contrast Isocrates' account of the difference between Greeks and barbarians in De Antid. § 293, καὶ γὰρ αὐτοὶ προέχετε καὶ διαφέρετε των ἄλλων οὐ ταῖε περὶ τὸν πόλεμον ἐπιμελείαιε, οὐδ' ὅτι κάλλιστα πολιτεύεσθε καὶ μάλιστα φυλάττετε

τοὺς νόμους οὖς ὑμῶν οἱ πρόγονοι κατέλιπον, ἀλλὰ τούτοις οἶσπερ ἡ φύσις ἡ τῶν ἀνθρώπων τῶν ἄλλων ζώων διήνεγκε καὶ τὸ γένος τὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων τῶν βαρβάρων, τῷ καὶ πρὸς τὴν φρόνησιν καὶ πρὸς τοὺς λόγους ἄμεινον πεπαιδεῦσθαι τῶν ἄλλων.

ῶσπερ μεσεύει κατὰ τοὺς τόπους. The ὀμφαλός at Delphi was regarded as the centre both of Greece and of the habitable earth, (Strabo, p. 419, τῆς γὰρ Ἑλλάδος ἐν μέσφ πώς ἐστι τῆς συμπάσης, τῆς τε ἐντὸς Ἰσθμοῦ καὶ τῆς ἐκτός, ἐνομίσθη δὲ καὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης, καὶ ἐκάλεσαν τῆς γῆς ὀμφαλὸν κ.τ.λ.). Xenophon claims the same position for Athèns (De Vect. 1.6), and Strabo for Italy (p. 286). Cp. also [Plato,] Epinomis 987 D, τόδε γε μὴν διανοηθῆναι χρὴ πάντ᾽ ἄνδρα Ἑλληνα, ὡς τόπον ἔχομεν τὸν τῶν Ἑλλήνων πρὸς ἀρετὴν ἐν τοῖς σχεδὸν ἄριστον. τὸ δ᾽ ἐπαινετὸν αὐτοῦ χρὴ λέγειν, ὅτι μέσος ἃν εἴη χειμώνων τε καὶ τῆς θερινῆς φύσεως.

- 32. καὶ δυνάμενον ἄρχειν πάντων, μιᾶς τυγχάνον πολιτείας. See vol. i. p. 321, note 1, and compare also the exclamation of Agesilaus in Plut. Ages. c. 16, and Isocr. Paneg. § 131. For μιᾶς τυγχάνον πολιτείας, cp. 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 16 sqq.: Plut. Pelop. c. 24, πᾶσαν ᾿Αρκαδίαν εἰς μίαν δύναμιν συνέστησαν, and Diod. 15. 59, ἔπεισε τοὺς ᾿Αρκάδας εἰς μίαν συντέλειαν ταχθῆναι: Demosth. De Fals. Leg. c. 263, οὔπω Χαλκιδέων πάντων εἰς ἐν συνφκισμένων. What kind of 'unity of constitution' Aristotle has in his mind is not clear; he may be thinking of the establishment of a common council of Greece by Philip of Macedon after the battle of Chaeroneia (vol. i. p. 321, note 1), or of an union of the free States of Greece, not under the headship of Macedon, but under some Federal bond. The latter kind of union would be more truly an union of Greeks than an union under the headship of Macedon, and it is of an union of Greeks that Aristotle speaks.
- 84. τὰ μὰν γὰρ ἔχει τὴν φύσιν μονόκωλον. Among Hellenic races possessed only of θυμώς Aristotle probably counted the Arcadian (Curtius, Peloponnesos, 1. 168) and Aetolian, and possibly also the Boeotian (see above on 1274 a 32), and among those possessed only of διάνοια some of the Ionians of Asia Minor.
- 86. φανερον τοίνυν κ.τ.λ. Compare for the phrase εὐαγώγους τῷ νομοθέτη Plato, Laws 671 B. Plato had claimed (Rep. 376 A sqq.) that the mildness of the dog to those whom he knows is due to the philosophic element in his nature, and had concluded (376 B), οὐκοῦν θαρροῦντες τιθῶμεν καὶ ἐν ἀνθρώπῳ, εἰ μέλλει πρὸς τοὺς οἰκείους καὶ γνωρίμους πρᾶός τις ἔσεσθαι, φύσει φιλόσοφον καὶ φιλομαθῆ αὐτὸν δεῖν

eliza; Aristotle claims, on the contrary, that what Plato ascribes to the philosophic element is really due to $\theta\nu\mu\delta\sigma$ (38 sqq.), inasmuch as it is $\theta\nu\mu\delta\sigma$ that makes men loving. Few ruling races have possessed in perfection the combination of qualities which Aristotle demands in the citizens of his best State. In most perhaps there has been more $\theta\nu\mu\delta\sigma$ than $\delta\iota\delta\nu\nu\sigma\sigma$.

38. ὅπερ γάρ κ.τ.λ., 'for as to what,' etc. The reference is to Plato, Rep. 375 D sqq. Plato is referred to as τινες also in c. 10. 1329 b 41 sq. and in other passages collected by Zeller, Plato, Eng. Trans., p. 62, note 41. Plato's remark that dogs are fierce to those whom they do not know was no doubt suggested by Heraclitus, Fragm. 115 Bywater, κύνες καὶ βαύζουσι ὁν ἀν μὴ γινώσκωσι. The connexion of θυμός with affectionateness appears also in Hist. An. 1. 1. 488 b 21, τὰ δὲ (τῶν ζώων) θυμικὰ καὶ φιλητικὰ καὶ θωπευτικά, οἶον κύων. Compare also Top. 2. 7. 113 a 35 (referred to by Schneider), οἶον εἰ τὸ μῖσος ἔπεσθαι ὀργῆ ἔφησεν, εἴη ἄν τὸ μῖσος ἐν τῷ θυμοειδεῖ· ἐκεῖ γὰρ ἡ ὀργή· σκεπτέον οὖν εἰ καὶ τὸ ἐναντίον ἀν τῷ θυμοειδεῖ, ἡ φιλία· εἰ γὰρ μή, ἀλλὶ ἐν τῷ ἐπιθυμητικῷ ἐστὶν ἡ φιλία, οὖκ ἄν ἔποιτο μῖσος ὀργῆ. Camerarius (Interp. p. 289) remarks that Theognis had already connected love and hatred with θυμός (Theogn. 1091,

άργαλέως μοι θυμός έχει περί σῆς φιλότητος.

οῦτε γὰρ έλθαίρειν οῦτε φιλεῖν δύναμαι).

41. For ή τῆς ψυχῆς δύναμις, cp. De An. 2. 3. 414 a 29 sqq.: 11ερὶ νεότητος καὶ γήρως 1. 467 b 16 sqq.: and other passages collected by Bonitz, Ind. 207 a 46 sqq.

1. σημεῖον δέ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 42, σημεῖον γὰρ οὐ μικρὸν 1328 a. ὅτι δύνανται τοῦτο παρασκευάζειν' ἐν γὰρ τοῖς ὀλυμπιονίκαις κ.τ.λ. Aristotle finds an indication that θυμός is the faculty of love in the fact that when it is stirred it is more stirred in relation to those we love than in relation to those who are unknown to us. Is the following fragment of the Medea of Neophron (Fragm. 2), a tragic poet of the time of Euripides or possibly somewhat earlier, based on the passage of Archilochus of which Aristotle quotes a part?

εἶεν τί δράσεις, θυμέ; βούλευσαι καλώς πρὶν ἡ ἐξαμαρτεῖν καὶ τὰ προσφιλέστατα ἔχθιστα θέσθαι. ποῖ ποτ' ἐξῆξας (cp. αἶρεται), τάλας; κάτισχε λῆμα καὶ σθένος θεοστυγές. καὶ πρὸς τί ταῦτα δύρομαι ψυχὴν ἐμὴν ὁρῶσ' ἔρημον καὶ παρημελημένην (cp. δλιγωρεῖσθαι) πρὸς ὧν ἐχρῆν ἤκιστα;

Cp. also Plato, Laws 717 D, θυμουμένοις τε οὖν (τοῖς γονεῦσιν) ὑπείκειν δεῖ καὶ ἀποπιμπλᾶσι τὸν θυμόν . . . ξυγγιγνώσκοντα ὡς εἰκότως μάλιστα πατὴρ υἰεῖ δοξάζων ἀδικεῖσθαι θυμοῖτ' ἀν διαφερόντως, and (with Eaton), Rhet. 2. 2. 1379 b 2, καὶ τοῖς φίλοις (ὀργίζονται) μάλλον ἢ τοῖς μὴ φίλοις οἴονται γὰρ προσήκειν μᾶλλον πάσχειν εὖ ὑπ' αὐτῶν ἢ μή. For ὁ θυμὸς αἴρεται, cp. Probl. 27. 3. 947 b 32, διὸ καὶ τὸ ἀναζεῖν καὶ τὸ ὀρίνεσθαι τὸν θυμὸν καὶ ταράττεσθαι, καὶ ὅσα τοιαῦτα λέγουσιν οὐ κακῶς ἀλλ' οἰκείως, and 2. 26. 869 a 5, καὶ γὰρ ὁ θυμὸς ζέσις τοῦ θερμοῦ ἐστὶ τοῦ περὶ τὴν καρδίαν, and also Fragm, Trag. Gr. Adespota, 321 Nauck,

πηδών δ θυμός τών φρενών ανωτέρω.

- 3. διδ καὶ ᾿Αρχίλοχος κ.τ.λ., 'hence Archilochus for instance' (see above on 1255 a 36), 'when he complains of his friends, fittingly enough discourses to his spirit [which is closely connected with friends, saying,] "For thy tortures surely were from friends." 'See Archil. Fragm. 66, 67. For ἀπάγχεο, cp. Aristoph. Vesp. 686 Didot, δ μάλιστά μ' ἀπάγχεο ('excruciat').
- 6. καὶ τὸ ἄρχον δὲ κ.τ.λ., i.e. the principle of rule and freedom as well as the capability of affection. Here Aristotle does not dissent from Plato, but agrees with him. He remembers Plato, Rep. 375 B, ἢ οὐκ ἐννενόηκας ὡς ἄμαχόν τε καὶ ἀνίκητον θυμός, οὖ παρόντος ψυχὴ πᾶσα πρὸς πάντα ἄφοβός τέ ἐστι καὶ ἀἡττητος; where there is evidently a tacit reminiscence of the saying of Heraclitus quoted in 7 (5). II. I315 a 30 sq. Compare also Eth. Eud. 3. I. I229 a 27, ὅμως δὲ μάλιστα φυσικὴ ἡ τοῦ θυμοῦ (ἀνδρεία): ἀἡττητον γὰρ ὁ θυμός, διὸ καὶ οἱ παῖδες ἄριστα μάχονται, and Eth. Nic. 4. II. II26 a 36, ἐνίστε γὰρ τοὺς ἐλλείτσυτας ἐπαινοῦμεν καὶ πράους φαμέν, καὶ τοὺς χαλεπαίνοντας ἀνδρώδεις ὡς δυναμένους ἄρχειν.
- 8. οδ καλώς δ' ἔχει κ.τ.λ. With χαλεπούς είναι supply δείν, as in 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 3. See note on 1335 b 5. This takes up 1327 b 40, πρὸς δὲ τοὺς ἀγνῶτας ἀγρίους, and corrects Plato, Rep. 375 D sqq. Magnanimous men are fierce only to those who act unjustly, and so far from being fiercer to such persons when unknown to them, they will be fiercer to them when they are familiar friends. Plato, however, had himself said in Laws 731 B, δυμοειδῆ μὲν δὴ χρὴ πάντα ἄνδρα εἶναι, πρῶσν δὲ ὡς ὅ τι μάλιστα.
- 10. πλήν. Bonitz (Ind. s. v.) compares De An. 2. 1. 412 b 20, ης απολειπούσης οὐκ ἔστιν ὀφθαλμός, πλην όμωνύμως.
- 13. παρ' of γλρ κ.τ.λ., 'for in quarters in which they conceive there should be a feeling that the benefit conferred in the past is owed back [and ought to be requited], they think that in addition

to the injury done them they are defrauded also of the benefit.' Aristotle mentions in Rhet. 2. 8. 1386 a 11, among things that arouse compassion, τὸ δθεν προσῆκεν ἀγαθόν τι πρᾶξαι, κακών τι συμβῆναι.

- 15. δθεν είρηται "χαλεποὶ πόλεμοι γὰρ ἀδελφῶν." We learn from Plut. De Fraterno Amore, c. 5, χαλεποὶ πολεμοι γὰρ ἀδελφῶν, ὡς Εὐριπίδης είρηκεν, δυτες, χαλεπώτατοι τοῖς γονεύσιν αὐτοῖς εἰσιν, that this is a fragment of Euripides (Fragm. 965): cp. Democrit. Fragm. Mor. 228 (Mullach, Fragm. Philos. Gr. 1. 355), ἡ τῶν ξυγγενέων ἔχθρη τῆς τῶν ὀθνείων χαλεπωτέρη μάλα.
- 16. οι τοι πέρα κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Rep. 563 E, καὶ τῷ ὅντι τὸ ἄγαν τι ποιεῖν μεγάλην φιλεῖ εἰς τοὐναντίου μεταβυλὴν ἀνταποδιδόναι ἐν ἄραις τε καὶ ἐν φυτοῖς καὶ ἐν σώμασι, καὶ δὴ καὶ ἐν πολιτείαις οὐχ ῆκιστα.
- 17. των πολιτευομένων, 'those who exercise the rights of citizens in the State': cp. 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 40 and 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 16, and also 6 (4). 6. 1293 a 4 sq.
 - 18. For πόσην, see above on 1326 a 5.
- 19. οδ γάρ κ.τ.λ., 'for we must not aim at the same exactness of detail by means of theoretical inquiries as is realized by means of what is presented to us through sense-perception.' For (ητεῖν διὰ τῶν λόγων κ.τ.λ., cp. c. 17. 1336 a 5 sqq., and Plut. Pericl. c. 9, θεωρείσθω διὰ τῶν προγμάτων αὐτῶν ἡ αἰτία τῆς μεταβολῆς. For τῶν γιγνομένων διὰ τῆς αἰσθήσεως, cp. 1)e Caelo, 3. 4. 303 a 22, καὶ πολλὰ τῶν ἐνδόξων καὶ τῶν φαινομένων κατὰ τῆν αἴσθησιν ἀναιρεῖν, and for the contrast of οἱ λόγοι and τὰ γιγνόμενα διὰ τῆς αἰσθήσεως, see Bon. Ind. 20 b 30–39, and above on 1261 b 29. The double διά is awkward, but of this kind of awkwardness there are many instances in the Politics: see 2. 6. 1266 a 21 sq., 4 (γ). 13. 1332 b 1 sqq., and 6 (4). 10. 1295 a 9 sqq. The same thing happens with other prepositions—with πρός in 5 (8). 1. 1337 a 18 sqq., and 6 (4). 3. 1289 b 38, with περί in 6 (4). 14. 1297 b 35 sq. and 7 (5). 12. 1315 b 34, and with ἐν in 6 (4). 16. 1300 b 29 sq. and 7 (5). 6. 1306 b 2.
- 21 sqq. Aristotle here passes on to the question who are to be C. 8. 'parts of the State.' It is from cc. 8-10 that we learn most of the little that he tells us as to the constitutional and social organization of his 'best State.' He begins by laying down a principle which holds of all things existing by nature, and therefore of the **\Omega_{is}\$, and indeed of all **source**ia** which issue in 'something one in kind.' In all things that exist by nature the necessary conditions of the existence of the thing are to be distinguished from its parts. Not

370 NOTES.

all the necessary conditions are parts of the thing, but only those which have something in common. In a molies the 'something in common' is 'the best attainable life' (1328 a 36), or in other words evidacuoría, and as this is inseparable from virtue (c. q. 1320 a 22), no class of persons is rightly a part of the State whose occupation precludes its attainment of virtue. Hence cultivators. artisans, day-labourers (c. q. 1329 a 35 sq.), and traders (c. q. 1328 b 30) are not to be parts of the State, or in other words are not to be citizens. The classes which will be parts of the State and which will constitute its citizen-body will be τὸ πολεμικόν (c. o. 1329 a 2), or rather τὸ ὁπλιτικόν (c. 9. 1329 a 31, 37), τὸ βουλευόμενον περί των συμφερόντων, and το κρίνον περί των δικαίων. Aristotle takes no notice here of a class which he recognizes in 6 (4). 4. 1201 a 34 sqq., the official class (τὸ δημιουργικόν), but this also he would no doubt reckon as a part of the State. He is not, however, satisfied with excluding from citizenship the classes which are not δημιουργοί της άρετης (c. q. 1329 a 20); he requires that those who exercise deliberative and judicial functions in his 'best State' shall be over a certain age and yet not too old. In other words he gives supreme authority in his State to men of mature but not too advanced age, who will be presumably possessed of poonous. Plato in his Republic had reserved the rule of the State for a special class of men highly gifted in intellect and character and prepared for rule by a long-continued philosophical training, but Aristotle does not think that the soldiers of the State would submit to be ruled by a class into which they would not ultimately rise; he also insists rather on the possession of poornous by his rulers than on a philosophical training, and poornous is according to him the fruit in fit minds of a ripe age. He follows in fact rather in the track of Plato's Laws than in that of his Republic, for Plato had required in the Laws that the holders of the chief offices should be men of mature years. But Plato had not, like Aristotle, arranged that deliberative and judicial functions should be withdrawn from men over a certain age, though he contemplates in Rep. 498 C the retirement of infirm old men from political and military duties. This was, it would seem, a more or less novel suggestion. Its importance was no doubt brought home to Aristotle's mind by his observation of the ill effects of advanced age on the holders of life-offices in the Lacedaemonian State (2. 9. 1270 b 38 sqq.), and probably also in many oligarchies. It will be

noticed that in Aristotle's 'best State' the right of deciding questions of peace, war, and alliance would rest, not with the soldiers who would have to fight for the State in case of war, but with the older citizens (contrast the view of the young Archidamus in Isocr. Archid. § 3 sqq.), and that judicial authority. including no doubt the momentous right of inflicting the punishments of death, exile, and confiscation, would also rest with the older citizens. Aristotle evidently thinks that the prospect of succeeding to these great powers after the attainment of a certain age would reconcile the younger citizens to their non-possession of them. He appears to allow the younger citizens to be owners of land (c. 9. 1329 a 17 sqq.), and perhaps to hold all but the chief military offices. But they are to have nothing to do with deliberative or judicial functions. In this Aristotle would seem to go too far. The attainment of a certain age has often been made a condition of the tenure of the highest political offices. This was the case at Rome (Willems, Droit Public Romain, p. 242). Even restrictions of this kind would now and then exclude a William the Silent or a William Pitt. But it is one thing to impose a limit of age on the tenure of the highest offices and another to exclude the younger men from the exercise of all deliberative and judicial functions. How is the future statesman to learn his business, if his earlier career is to be exclusively devoted to the profession of arms, and he is not allowed to hold even minor civil offices? Aristotle is evidently too uncompromising, but we must bear in mind two things, if we wish to do him justice—(1) that he desires supreme authority in the State to be in the hands of those who are morally as well as politically ripe for its exercise; he desires Reason to rule in the State as it rules in the well-constituted individual; (2) that one of his aims is the limitation of war and of indiscriminate conquest, and that his exclusion of the more martially-disposed part of the citizen-body from supreme power in his 'best State' is closely connected with this aim. Nothing had done more to break up and weaken Greece in the fourth century B.c. than the incessant wars which had been waged between the various States-between the Lacedaemonians and the Thebans, the Thebans and the Phocians, the Thessalians of Pherae and the Thessalians of Larissa-and Aristotle may well have thought that the best way to check these wars was to place supreme power in the hands of the older citizens

37² NOTES.

21. ἐπεὶ δ' ώσπερ κ.τ.λ., 'but since, as in the other things which are constituted according to nature, not all those things are parts of the whole organization without which the whole would not exist, it is evident that neither must all those things be taken to be parts of the State which must necessarily be possessed by States, nor must we take as parts of any other union issuing in something one in kind all the things which are essential to such an union.' sentence stands, the words των άλλων των κατά φύσιν συνεστώτων would seem to be in the gen. after της όλης συστάσεως, but it is probable that Aristotle began the sentence with the intention of making these words in the gen. after μόρια and inserted της δλης συστάσεως only by an afterthought. For the thought, cp. 3. 5. 1278 a 2, τοῦτο γὰρ ἀληθές, ὡς οὐ πάντας θετέον πολίτας ὧν ἄνευ οὐκ ἇν «ἴη πύλις, and for the distinction between ων οὐκ ἄνευ and μέρη, cp. (with Eaton) Eth. Eud. 1. 2. 1214 b 26, wu dueu yap oùx olou те εὐδαιμονείν, ἔνιοι μέρη της εὐδαιμονίας είναι νομίζουσιν. Plato had already drawn a similar distinction: cp. Polit. 287 D, δσαι γάρ σμικρόν ή μέγα τι δημιουργούσι κατά πόλιν δργανον, θετέον άπάσας ταύτας ώς οδυας συναιτίους άνευ γάρ τούτων οὐκ άν ποτε γένοιτο πόλις οὐδε πολιτική, τούτων δ' αδ βασιλικής έργον τέχνης οδδέν που θήσομεν, and (with Eaton) Phaedo 99 B, and see Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 331. 1 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 360, note 1). Τὰ κατὰ φύσιν συνεστώτα are so termed in contradistinction to τὰ ἀπὸ τέχνης and to τὰ ἀπὸ τύχης and ἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου (De Part. An. 1. 1. 640 a 27-b 4). They are things which have in them a principle of motion and rest, whether that motion takes the form of locomotion, or increase and decay, or alteration: cp. Phys. 2. 1. 192 b 8, των οντων τὰ μέν ἐστι φύσει, τὰ δὲ δι' ἄλλας αἰτίας, φύσει μὲν τά τε ζῷα καὶ τὰ μέρη αὐτῶν καὶ τὰ φυτά και τὰ ἀπλά τῶν σωμάτων, οίον γή και πῦρ και ἀἡρ και ὕδωρ· ταῦτα γάρ είναι καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα φύσει φαμέν. πάντα δὲ τὰ δηθέντα φαίνεται διαφέροντα πρός τὰ μὴ φύσει συνεστώτα. τὰ μέν γὰρ φύσει ὅντα πάντα φαίνεται έχουτα εν έαυτοις άρχην κινήσεως και στάσεως, τὰ μεν κατὰ τόπον, τὰ δὲ κατ' αξέησιν καὶ φθίσιν, τὰ δὲ κατ' ἀλλοίωσιν (whereas the products of art have no such principle of motion and rest in them, except accidentally, so far as they are formed of earth or stone or other natural entities). Some of these natural entities are eternal, others are subject to generation and decay (De Part. An. 1. 5. 644 b 22 sqq.); some of them are bodies and magnitudes (for instance, the human body), others possess body and magnitude (for instance, a human being), others are principles within beings possessing

body and magnitude (for instance, the soul): cp. De Caelo, 1. 1. 268 a 4 sqq., and see Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 384. 3 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 417, note 2). The πολις, we learn from the passage before us, belongs to the class of natural entities; it must belong to the subdivision of this class which consists of 'things possessing body and magnitude.' As to the words οὐδ ἄλλης κοινωνίας οὐδεμιᾶς, ἐξ ῆς ἔν τι τὸ γένος, see vol. i. p. 43, note 1.

27. οΐον εἴτε τροφή κ.τ.λ. Food is the 'common thing' in the case of a συσσίτιον, a certain amount of land in the case of the owners of an undivided estate (2. 5. 1263 b 23 sq.).

28. δταν δ' η κ.τ.λ. That there is nothing in common between the craftsman who uses an instrument and the instrument used, we learn in Eth. Nic. 8. 13. 1161 a 32, in ois yap undin kounder fort to άρχοντι καὶ τῷ ἀρχομένω, οὐδὲ Φιλία οὐδὲ γὰρ δίκαιον ἀλλ' οἶον τεχνίτη πρός δργανον και ψυχή πρός σώμα και δεσπότη πρός δούλον. ώφελείται μέν γὰρ πάντα ταῦτα ὑπὸ τῶν χρωμένων, Φιλία δ' οὐκ ἔστι πρὸς τὰ ἄψυχα οὐδε δίκαιον άλλ' οὐδε πρός ιππον ή βοῦν, οὐδε πρός δοῦλον ή δοῦλος. οὐδέν γὰρ κοινόν έστιν' ὁ γὰρ δοῦλος ἔμψυχον ὅργανον, τὸ δ' ὅργανον άψυχος δούλος: cp. Eth., Eud. 7. 9. 1241 b 17-24 and 7. 10. 1242 a 11 sqq. A slightly different lesson is taught in the passage before us. Here we learn that there is nothing in common between the instrument and the craftsman on the one hand and the product they bring into being on the other, except this, that the instrument and the craftsman act and the product is acted upon. That where one thing acts and another is acted upon there must be something common to the two things, we see from De An. 3. 4. 429 b 22, ἀπορήσειε δ' αν τις, εὶ ὁ νοῦς ἀπλοῦν ἐστὶ καὶ ἀπαθες καὶ μηθενὶ μηθεν έχει κοινόν, ώσπερ φησίν 'Αναξαγόρας, πώς νοήσει, εί τὸ νοείν πάσχειν τι έστιν. ή γάρ τι κοινόν αμφοίν υπάρχει, το μέν ποιείν δοκεί τὸ δὲ πάσχειν. But two things thus related to each other need not have much in common: see vol. i. p. 323, note 1. The usual antithesis to ποιείν is πάσχειν, not λαμβάνειν, but we find λαμβάνειν την μυρφήν contrasted with ποιείν in De Gen. An. 1. 21. 729 b 6 sqq.: cp. also Hist. An. 6. 23. 577 a 29 sqq., where λαμβάνειν = δίχεσθαι. In the passage before us the instrument and the craftsman who uses it are said moinous and the work produced by them habite.

34. οὐδὲν δ' ἐστὶν ἡ κτῆσις μέρος τῆς πόλεως, because property stands to the πόλις as a means stands to the end to which it is a means, and thus there is nothing in common between property and the πόλις except that the former acts on the πόλις and the πόλις

is acted upon. It follows that slaves, who are animate articles of property—and χερνήτες, including βάνανσοι τεχνίται, are brought under the head of slaves in 3. 4. 1277 a 37 sqq.—are not parts of the State. It was a maxim of ancient jurisprudence, says Gibbon (Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire, c. 2), 'that a slave had not any country of his own; he acquired with his liberty an admission into the political society of which his patron was a member.' Contrast with σὐδὲν δ' ἐστὶν ἡ κτῆσις μέρος τῆς πόλεως, Oecon. 1. 1. 1343 a 10, πόλις μὲν οὖν οἰκιῶν πλῆθός ἐστι καὶ χώρας καὶ χρημάτων αὕταρκες πρὸς τὸ εὖ ζῆν.

- 35. ἡ δὰ πόλις κ.τ.λ. Τῶν ὁμοίων is emphatic. Κοινωνία τις, because there are other κοινωνίαι τῶν ὁμοίων besides the πόλις, e.g. trading or religious associations. For the thought, cp. 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 25, βούλεται δέ γε ἡ πόλις ἐξ ἴσων εἶναι καὶ ὁμοίων ὅτι μάλιστα. When Aristotle says in 2. 2. 1261 a 22, οὐ μόνον δ΄ ἐκ πλειόνων ἀνθρώπων ἐστὶν ἡ πόλις, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐξ εἴδει διαφερόντων οὐ γὰρ γίνεται πόλις ἐξ ὁμοίων, he is thinking of the distinction between rulers and ruled, so far as he is not using the word πόλις in a wider sense (see vol. i. p. 40).
 - 37. aut 82 k.t. l. Cp. c. 13. 1332 a 7 sqq.
- 88. τέλειος is here the fem., as in Eth. Nic. 7. 14. 1153 b 16, Metaph. Δ. 6. 1016 b 17, and Phys. 8. 8. 264 b 28. In c. 13. 1332 a 9 we have χρῆσιν ἀρετῆς τελείαν, and the form τελεία seems to be the commoner form of the fem. in Aristotle's writings, to judge by the Index Aristotelicus.
- συμβέβηκε δὲ κ.τ.λ. Slaves have no share in happiness (3. 9. 1280 a 33). Βάναυσοι, ἀγοραῖοι, and γεωργοί live lives unfavourable to virtue (c. 9. 1328 b 40 sqq.), and so do not share in happiness. There are persons excluded from happiness on account of some defect of nature or fortune (c. 13. 1331 b 40 sq.). For συμβαίνειν ώστε, cp. 2. 2. 1261 a 34 sq. and 6 (4). 5. 1292 b 12.
- 40. δήλον ώς κ.τ.λ. For the various accounts given in the Politics of the causes of constitutional diversity, see vol. i. p. 220 sqq. For είδη καὶ διαφοράς, cp. Phys. 3. 5. 205 b 31, τόπου δὲ είδη καὶ διαφοραὶ τἄνω καὶ ἔμπροσθεν καὶ δπισθεν καὶ δεξιὸν καὶ ἀριστερόν.
- 41. ἄλλον γὰρ τρόπον κ.τ.λ. "Αλλον τρόπον is a wider expression than δι' ἄλλων, which is added in explanation and to give increased precision to its meaning. Cp. c. 15. 1334 b 5, πῶς δὲ καὶ διὰ τίνων ἔσται, and 3. 18. 1288 a 39. For τούς τε βίους καὶ τὰς πολιτείας, cp. 6 (4). 11. 1295 a 40, ἡ γὰρ πολιτεία βίος τίς ἐστι πόλεως. For the

body and magnitude (for instance, the soul): cp. De Caelo, 1. 1. 268 a 4 sqq., and see Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 384. 3 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 417, note 2). The πολις, we learn from the passage before us, belongs to the class of natural entities; it must belong to the subdivision of this class which consists of 'things possessing body and magnitude.' As to the words οὐδ ἄλλης κοινωνίας οὐδεμιᾶς, ἐξ ῆς ἔν τι τὸ γένος, see vol. i. p. 43, note 1.

27. οΐον εἴτε τροφή κ.τ.λ. Food is the 'common thing' in the case of a συσσίτιον, a certain amount of land in the case of the owners of an undivided estate (2. 5. 1263 b 23 sq.).

28. δταν δ' η κ.τ.λ. That there is nothing in common between the craftsman who uses an instrument and the instrument used, we learn in Eth. Nic. 8. 13. 1161 a 32, in ois yap undin kounder fort to άρχοντι καὶ τῷ ἀρχομένω, οὐδὲ Φιλία οὐδὲ γὰρ δίκαιον ἀλλ' οἶον τεχνίτη πρός δργανον και ψυχή πρός σώμα και δεσπότη πρός δούλον. ώφελείται μέν γὰρ πάντα ταῦτα ὑπὸ τῶν χρωμένων, Φιλία δ' οὐκ ἔστι πρὸς τὰ ἄψυχα οὐδε δίκαιον άλλ' οὐδε πρός ιππον ή βοῦν, οὐδε πρός δοῦλον ή δοῦλος. οὐδέν γὰρ κοινόν έστιν' ὁ γὰρ δοῦλος ἔμψυχον ὅργανον, τὸ δ' ὅργανον άψυχος δούλος: cp. Eth., Eud. 7. 9. 1241 b 17-24 and 7. 10. 1242 a 11 sqq. A slightly different lesson is taught in the passage before us. Here we learn that there is nothing in common between the instrument and the craftsman on the one hand and the product they bring into being on the other, except this, that the instrument and the craftsman act and the product is acted upon. That where one thing acts and another is acted upon there must be something common to the two things, we see from De An. 3. 4. 429 b 22, ἀπορήσειε δ' αν τις, εὶ ὁ νοῦς ἀπλοῦν ἐστὶ καὶ ἀπαθες καὶ μηθενὶ μηθεν έχει κοινόν, ώσπερ φησίν 'Αναξαγόρας, πώς νοήσει, εί τὸ νοείν πάσχειν τι έστιν. ή γάρ τι κοινόν αμφοίν υπάρχει, το μέν ποιείν δοκεί τὸ δὲ πάσχειν. But two things thus related to each other need not have much in common: see vol. i. p. 323, note 1. The usual antithesis to ποιείν is πάσχειν, not λαμβάνειν, but we find λαμβάνειν την μυρφήν contrasted with ποιείν in De Gen. An. 1. 21. 729 b 6 sqq.: cp. also Hist. An. 6. 23. 577 a 29 sqq., where λαμβάνειν = δίχεσθαι. In the passage before us the instrument and the craftsman who uses it are said moinous and the work produced by them habite.

34. οὐδὲν δ' ἐστὶν ἡ κτῆσις μέρος τῆς πόλεως, because property stands to the πόλις as a means stands to the end to which it is a means, and thus there is nothing in common between property and the πόλις except that the former acts on the πόλις and the πόλις

- 12. καὶ πρῶτον, 'and first in excellence,' cp. Isocr. Areop. § 29, καὶ πρῶτον μὲν τὰ περὶ τοὺς θεούς, ἐντεῦθεν γὰρ ἄρχεσθαι δίκαιον. Τὰ δαιμόνια are placed first in the list of subjects of official competence given in 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 29 sqq. Cp. also 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 40, τῆς πρώτης καὶ θειστάτης (πολιτείας), and Oecon. 1. 5. 1344 a 23, τῶν δὲ κτημάτων πρῶτον μὲν καὶ ἀναγκαιότατον τὸ βελτιστον καὶ ἡγεμονικώτατον τοῦτο δ' ἢν ἄνθρωπος.
- 13. πάντων ἀναγκαιότατον. Cp. 8 (6), 8. 1322 a 5 sqq. and 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 22-b 2.
- 15. μεν οὖν is answered by δε, 24. Susemihl places in a parenthesis everything between ἡ γάρ, 16, and συμφερόντων, 23, but the parenthesis should stop at ταύτην, 19, for ἀνάγκη τούνυν, 19, introduces an inference from 15, τὰ μεν οὖν ἔργα ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ὧν δεῖται πᾶσα πόλις ὡς εἰπεῖν.
- 17. ώς φαμέν. Cp. 2. 2. 1261 b 12 sq.: 3. 1. 1275 b 20 sq.: 7 (5). 3. 1303 a 26.
- tàν δέ τι τυγχάνη τούτων έκλειπον κ.τ.λ. Τούτων, sc. τῶν ἔργων. This would be the case in the ἀναγκαιστάτη πόλις of Plato, Rep. 369 C-E, where there are no soldiers or priests or men of judicial or deliberative skill. For τὴν κοινωνίαν ταύτην, 'the society in which this occurs,' see above on 1276 a 14.
- 19. κατά τάς έργασίας ταύτας συνεστάναι πόλιν, 'should be composed in accordance with these industries': cp. 6 (4). 2. 1289 2 32, βούλεται γὰρ έκατέρα (i.e. ἀριστοκρατία καὶ βασιλεία) κατ' ἀρετὴν συνεστάναι κεχορηγημένην.
- 20. Aristotle forgets that herdsmen, fishermen, and hunters are also providers of food.
- 22. κριτάς τῶν ἀναγκαίων καὶ συμφερόντων. Lamb., followed by Bekk. and Sus., reads δικαίων in place of ἀναγκαίων (cp. 14 sq.), but compare the passages collected in vol. i. p. 323, note 2, and also Polyaen. Strateg. 6. 1. 5, ὡς συμβούλω χρησόμενος τῶν ἀναγκαίων τῆς ἀρχῆς, and Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 7. 40, τούτοις οἶν προσέχετε τὸν νοῦν, καὶ ἀν ὑμῶν δόξη δίκαιά τε καὶ συμφέροντα τῷ κοινῷ, προσθήσω δ' ὅτι καὶ ἀναγκαῖα, συγχωρήσατε ἡμῶν αὐτῶν τυχεῖν ἐκόντες. Judges are probably included under κριταὶ τῶν ἀναγκαίων, the broad term τὰ ἀναγκαῖα comprising τὰ δίκαια τὰ πρὸς ἀλλήλους.
- C. 9. 25. πάντων τούτων, sc. τῶν ἔργων.
 - dv84χεται γάρ κ.τ.λ., 'for it is possible that the same men should be all of them both cultivators and artisans and the deliberators and judges.' Cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 2 sqq.

- 27. ἡ καθ' ἄκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων ἄλλους ὑποθετέον, 'or whether for each of the above-mentioned services we are to assume the existence of a separate class.' For καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων, not καθ' ἔκαστον τῶν εἰρημένων, see note on 1283 b 4, καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων.
- 29. οδκ ἐν πάση δὲ ταθτὸ πολιτεία, 'but the same arrangement does not prevail in every constitution.'

καθάπερ εἴπομεν, in 24-28.

- 31. ταῦτα γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for these differing arrangements as to participation [besides being different themselves] also make constitutions different.' This proves their possibility. Cp. 6 (4). 3. 1290 a 3 sqq.
 - 34. auth & dori k.t.l. Cp. c. 2. 1324 a 23 sqq.
 - 36. είρηται πρότερον, in c. 1. 1323 b 29 sqq.
- 38. τῆ κεκτημένη δικαίους ἄνδρας ἀπλῶς, ἀλλά μὴ πρὸς τὴν ὑπόθεσιν, 'that which possesses men absolutely just and not merely just relatively to the principle which may happen to be taken as the groundwork of the State': cp. 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 3 sqq.: 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 36 sqq.: 2. 9. 1269 a 32 sqq. See also Bon. Ind. 797 a 52 sqq.
- 39. οὖτε βάναυσον βίον οὖτ' ἀγοραῖον δεῖ ζῆν τοὺς πολίτας. Plato had already forbidden the citizens of the State of the Laws, or even their slaves, to practise a handicraft (846 D), and had forbidden the practice of retail trade with a view to money-making to any one except strangers (847 D: 849 C sq.: 920 A). Thus he goes farther in this matter than Aristotle.
- **40.** dyerr'hs γdρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 26 sqq., and (with Eaton) 1. 11. 1258 b 38, αγεννέσταται δε (των εργασιών) οπου ελάχιστον προσδεί άρετ'ης.
- πρὸς ἀρετὴν ὑπεναντίος. Plato (Laws 920 B) had reckoned retail trade among the ἐπιτηδεύματα ὁ προτροπὴν ἔχει τινὰ ἰσχυρὰν πρὸς τὸ προτρέπειν κακοὺς γίγνεσθαι. He has in his mind not only the adulteration practised by retailers (Laws 917 E, 920 C), but also their habit of exacting an excessive profit (Laws 918 D, 920 C).
- 41. οὐδὲ δή. Cp. Eth. Nic. 6. 10. 1142 b 6, οὐδὲ δὴ δόξα ἡ εὐβουλία οὐδεμία, and other passages collected in Bon. Ind. 173 a 33 sqq.

τοὺς μέλλοντας ἔσεσθαι, sc. πολίτας. Omissions of this kind are not rare in the Politics: see vol. ii. p. li, note 4, and note on 1266 b 1.

378

1329 a. 1 δεῖ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. For the connexion of leisure with virtue and capacity for rule, cp. 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 28, σχολαστικώτεροι γὰρ γιγνόμενοι διὰ τὰς εὐπορίας καὶ μεγαλοψυχότεροι πρὸς τὴν ἀρετήν: 2. 11. 1273 a 24, ἀδύνατον γὰρ τὸν ἀποροῦντα καλῶς ἄρχειν καὶ σχολάζειν: 2. 9. 1269 a 34 sqq. Compare also Eurip. Suppl. 406 Bothe (420 Dind.),

γαπόνος δ' ανήρ πένης,

εί και γένοιτο μη αμαθής, έργων υπο οὐκ αν δύναιτο πρός τὰ κοίν' αποβλέπειν.

- 4. καὶ μέρη φαίνεται τῆς πόλεως μάλιστα ὅντα, 'and evidently are in an especial sense parts of the State, [so that there is no question to be raised as to their citizenship, such as has been raised as to the citizenship of the βάναυσοι and γεωργοί].'
- 5. ἔτερα καὶ ταῦτα θετέον. Susemihl, following Coray and Bekk.², inserts ἐτέροις after ἔτερα, but cp. c. 10. 1329 a 41, ὅτι δεῖ διηρήσθαι χωρὶς κατὰ γένη τὴν πόλιν καὶ τό τε μάχιμον ἔτερον εἶναι καὶ τὸ γεωργοῦν.
- 6. ἄμφω, sc. τὰ ἔργα, i. e. τὸ πολεμεῖν and τὸ βουλεύεσθαι καὶ κρίνειν: cp. 8, ἐκάτερον τῶν ἔργων.

φανερόν δὲ καὶ τοῦτο. It has already (1328 b 37) been said to be φανερόν that the citizens must not be βάναυσοι οτ ἀγοραῖοι οτ γεωργοί.

διότι τρόπον μέν τινα κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 14. 1332 b 41, έστι μέν άρα ώς τοὺς αὐτοὺς άρχειν καὶ άρχεσθαι φατέον, έστι δὲ ώς έτέρους. For καί before έτέροις, see above on 1324 a 19.

- 8. 4τέρας ἀκμῆς, 'a different prime.' Warlike activity suits the prime of the body, which, according to Rhet. 2. 14. 1390 b 9 sqq., falls between thirty and thirty-five years of age, whereas deliberative and judicial activity suits the prime of the soul and the intelligence, which Aristotle places at forty-nine (ibid.) or fifty (Pol. 4 (7). 16. 1335 b 32 sqq.). Plato places the prime both of body and of wisdom for men between thirty and fifty-five years of age; he does not seem to have discriminated the two primes, like Aristotle: cp. Rep. 460 E, ἀμφοτέρων γοῦν, ἔφη, αὕτη ἀκμὴ σώματός τε καὶ Φρονήσεως.
- 9. δυνάμεως = $l\sigma \chi \dot{\nu} os$: cp. c. 17. 1336 a 4 and 5 (8). 4. 1339 a 4. $\dot{\eta}$ δδ των άδυνάτων κ.τ.λ. Eaton compares Xen. Cyrop. 7. 5. 79 and Thuc, 3. 27. Cp. also Plut. Aristid. c. 22.
- 12. For the construction of μένειν ή μή μένειν κύριοι την πολιτείαν, cp. Plato, Rep. 429 B, οὐ γάρ, οἰμαι, εἶπον, οἶ γε άλλοι ἐν αὐτῷ ή δειλοὶ ἡ ἀνδρεῖοι ὅντες κύριοι ἀν εἶεν ἡ τοίαν αὐτὴν εἶναι ἡ τοίαν.
- 18. λείπεται τοίνυν κ.τ.λ., 'the only course left, then, is to assign these constitutional rights' (i.e. those of fighting, judging, and

- 27. ἡ καθ' ἄκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων ἄλλους ὑποθετέον, 'or whether for each of the above-mentioned services we are to assume the existence of a separate class.' For καθ' ἔκαστον ἔργον τῶν εἰρημένων, not καθ' ἔκαστον τῶν εἰρημένων, see note on 1283 b 4, καθ' ἐκάστην πολιτείαν τῶν εἰρημένων.
- 29. οδκ ἐν πάση δὲ ταθτὸ πολιτεία, 'but the same arrangement does not prevail in every constitution.'

καθάπερ εἴπομεν, in 24-28.

- 31. ταῦτα γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for these differing arrangements as to participation [besides being different themselves] also make constitutions different.' This proves their possibility. Cp. 6 (4). 3. 1290 a 3 sqq.
 - 34. auth & dori k.t.l. Cp. c. 2. 1324 a 23 sqq.
 - 36. είρηται πρότερον, in c. 1. 1323 b 29 sqq.
- 38. τῆ κεκτημένη δικαίους ἄνδρας ἀπλῶς, ἀλλά μὴ πρὸς τὴν ὑπόθεσιν, 'that which possesses men absolutely just and not merely just relatively to the principle which may happen to be taken as the groundwork of the State': cp. 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 3 sqq.: 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 36 sqq.: 2. 9. 1269 a 32 sqq. See also Bon. Ind. 797 a 52 sqq.
- 39. οὖτε βάναυσον βίον οὖτ' ἀγοραῖον δεῖ ζῆν τοὺς πολίτας. Plato had already forbidden the citizens of the State of the Laws, or even their slaves, to practise a handicraft (846 D), and had forbidden the practice of retail trade with a view to money-making to any one except strangers (847 D: 849 C sq.: 920 A). Thus he goes farther in this matter than Aristotle.
- **40.** dyerr'hs γdρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 26 sqq., and (with Eaton) 1. 11. 1258 b 38, αγεννέσταται δε (των εργασιών) οπου ελάχιστον προσδεί άρετ'ης.
- πρὸς ἀρετὴν ὑπεναντίος. Plato (Laws 920 B) had reckoned retail trade among the ἐπιτηδεύματα ὁ προτροπὴν ἔχει τινὰ ἰσχυρὰν πρὸς τὸ προτρέπειν κακοὺς γίγνεσθαι. He has in his mind not only the adulteration practised by retailers (Laws 917 E, 920 C), but also their habit of exacting an excessive profit (Laws 918 D, 920 C).
- 41. οὐδὲ δή. Cp. Eth. Nic. 6. 10. 1142 b 6, οὐδὲ δὴ δόξα ἡ εὐβουλία οὐδεμία, and other passages collected in Bon. Ind. 173 a 33 sqq.

τοὺς μέλλοντας ἔσεσθαι, sc. πολίτας. Omissions of this kind are not rare in the Politics: see vol. ii. p. li, note 4, and note on 1266 b 1.

378

1329 a. 1 δεῖ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. For the connexion of leisure with virtue and capacity for rule, cp. 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 28, σχολαστικώτεροι γὰρ γιγνόμενοι διὰ τὰς εὐπορίας καὶ μεγαλοψυχότεροι πρὸς τὴν ἀρετήν: 2. 11. 1273 a 24, ἀδύνατον γὰρ τὸν ἀποροῦντα καλῶς ἄρχειν καὶ σχολάζειν: 2. 9. 1269 a 34 sqq. Compare also Eurip. Suppl. 406 Bothe (420 Dind.),

γαπόνος δ' ανήρ πένης,

εί και γένοιτο μη αμαθής, έργων υπο οὐκ αν δύναιτο πρός τὰ κοίν' αποβλέπειν.

- 4. καὶ μέρη φαίνεται τῆς πόλεως μάλιστα ὅντα, 'and evidently are in an especial sense parts of the State, [so that there is no question to be raised as to their citizenship, such as has been raised as to the citizenship of the βάναυσοι and γεωργοί].'
- 5. ἔτερα καὶ ταῦτα θετέον. Susemihl, following Coray and Bekk.², inserts ἐτέροις after ἔτερα, but cp. c. 10. 1329 a 41, ὅτι δεῖ διηρήσθαι χωρὶς κατὰ γένη τὴν πόλιν καὶ τό τε μάχιμον ἔτερον εἶναι καὶ τὸ γεωργοῦν.
- 6. ἄμφω, sc. τὰ ἔργα, i. e. τὸ πολεμεῖν and τὸ βουλεύεσθαι καὶ κρίνειν: cp. 8, ἐκάτερον τῶν ἔργων.

φανερόν δε καὶ τοῦτο. It has already (1328 b 37) been said to be φανερόν that the citizens must not be βάναυσοι οτ αγοραίοι οτ γεωργοί.

διότι τρόπον μέν τινα κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 14. 1332 b 41, έστι μέν άρα ώς τοὺς αὐτοὺς άρχειν καὶ άρχεσθαι φατέον, έστι δὲ ώς έτέρους. For καί before έτέροις, see above on 1324 a 19.

- 8. 4τέρας ἀκμῆς, 'a different prime.' Warlike activity suits the prime of the body, which, according to Rhet. 2. 14. 1390 b 9 sqq., falls between thirty and thirty-five years of age, whereas deliberative and judicial activity suits the prime of the soul and the intelligence, which Aristotle places at forty-nine (ibid.) or fifty (Pol. 4 (7). 16. 1335 b 32 sqq.). Plato places the prime both of body and of wisdom for men between thirty and fifty-five years of age; he does not seem to have discriminated the two primes, like Aristotle: cp. Rep. 460 E, ἀμφοτέρων γοῦν, ἔφη, αὕτη ἀκμὴ σώματός τε καὶ Φρονήσεως.
- 9. δυνάμεως = $l\sigma \chi \dot{\nu} os$: cp. c. 17. 1336 a 4 and 5 (8). 4. 1339 a 4. $\dot{\eta}$ δδ των άδυνάτων κ.τ.λ. Eaton compares Xen. Cyrop. 7. 5. 79 and Thuc. 3. 27. Cp. also Plut. Aristid. c. 22.
- 12. For the construction of μένειν ή μή μένειν κύριοι την πολιτείαν, cp. Plato, Rep. 429 B, οὐ γάρ, οἰμαι, εἶπον, οἶ γε άλλοι ἐν αὐτῷ ή δειλοὶ ἡ ἀνδρεῖοι ὅντες κύριοι ἀν εἶεν ἡ τοίαν αὐτὴν εἶναι ἡ τοίαν.
- 18. λείπεται τοίνυν κ.τ.λ., 'the only course left, then, is to assign these constitutional rights' (i.e. those of fighting, judging, and

those who are not producers of virtue ought not to be citizens) is manifest from the principle which forms the basis of our State, for happiness must be forthcoming in it in close alliance with virtue, and we should pronounce a State happy, looking not to a part of it, but to all its citizens, [so that all the citizens must be virtuous, whence it follows that βάναυσοι and their likes must not be citizens]. In requiring that all the citizens shall be happy, Aristotle here goes beyond 2. 5. 1264 b 17 sqq., and in requiring that they shall all be virtuous beyond 4 (7). 13. 1332 a 36 sqq. For το μὶν γὰρ εὐδαιμονίων ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν μετὰ τῆς ἀρετῆς, cp. c. 9. 1328 b 35, τὴν δ' εὐδαιμονίαν ὅτι χωρὶς ἀρετῆς ἀδύνατον ὑπάρχειν εἴρηται πρότερον, and Plato, Laws 742 E, σχεδὸν μὲν γὰρ εὐδαίμονας ᾶμα καὶ ἀγαθοὺς ἀνάγκη γίγνεσθαι.

- 25. †arepòr & K.T.A., 'and this also is evident that the landed properties should belong to these' (i.e. to the soldiers, judges, and deliberators), 'if, as is the case,' etc. The landed properties might have been given to the cultivators (to whom Plato had given them in the Republic, 2. 5. 1264 a 32 sqq.), if it were not necessary that they should be slaves or barbarian serfs.
- 28. οὖτε γὰρ. γεωργὰν κ.τ.λ. Compare the regulation as to the purchase of a priesthood in Dittenberger, Syll. Inscr. Gr. No. 369, ὧνείσθω δὲ δε [κα ἤι όλ] ὅκλαρος καὶ ὧι δαμοσιοργίας [μέτευτι], and see Haussoullier's note quoted by Dittenberger, Syll. Inscr. Gr. No. 323, note 5, and also No. 358, μὴ ἐξεῖναι κα[τάρ]χεσθαι εἰς τὸ Ἡραῖ[ον] ξένωι μηδενί, and [Demosth.] c. Neaer. c. 73.
- The reasoning is—the gods should be 30. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. worshipped by citizens, but we have ranged all the active citizens either in the hoplite or in the deliberative class (Aristotle here appears to merge the judicial in the deliberative class: cp. 1328 b 26. τους βουλευομένους και δικάζοντας, and 1329 a 3 sq.), so that we must assign the priesthoods to those of the citizens who are past the age for work. Citizens who are past work are still mus modital (3. I. 1275 a 14 sqq.). Aristotle thinks it fitting that the easy and recreative work of paying honour to the gods should fall, not, as was often the case in Greece, to those whose strength was unimpaired, but to those who had become infirm through age (cp. 5 (8). 7. 1342 b 20 sqq., where easy harmonies are recommended to of άπειρηκότες διά χρόνου). Plato, on the contrary, had advised (Rep. 498 C sqq.) that in old age, when strength declines and military and political work is over, men who are to be happy should reserve themselves exclusively for philosophy. See also on this subject

vol. i. p. 329 sq. At Sparta men of advanced years were allowed to discharge important public functions (2. 9. 1270 b 38 sqq.), and this Aristotle does not approve. He does not intend to withdraw from the βασιλεῖς, ἄρχοντες, οτ πρυτάνεις the public sacrifices connected with the common hearth of the State which it was their function to offer (8 (6). 8. 1322 b 26 sqq.). These were not priestly sacrifices, and it is of priests alone that Aristotle is speaking in the passage before us. 'Ανάπαυσις is rather 'relaxation' than 'repose': cp. 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 15 sqq. For the connexion of relaxation with the worship of the gods, cp. Eth. Nic. 8. 11. 1160 a 24, τιμὰς ἀπονέμωντες τοῖς θεοῖς καὶ αὐτοῖς ἀναπαύσεις πορίζοντες μεθ' ἡδονῆς, and Plato, Rep. 364 E (where Stallbaum compares Hdt. 8. 99, ἐν θυσίησί τε καὶ εὐπαθίησι, and 9. 11, 'Υακίνθιά τε ἄγετε καὶ παίζετε), and Laws 803 D sq.

34. We made tolivor . . . 1329 b 35, Intere. I incline to regard this passage as an interpolation and as not being from the pen of Aristotle. As to 40, foine de . . . 1329 b 35, Cyreîv, I have already spoken in vol. i. Appendix E, and if we reject this passage, as we should probably do, it is difficult to retain the recapitulation, 34, &v μέν τοίνου . . . 39, κατά μέρος. For this recapitulation cannot have been immediately followed by the second recapitulation 1329 b 36, ότι μέν οδν... 39, χώραν, and to expunge (with Sus.) this second recapitulation is not advisable, for then the mention of the diarouhs in 30 becomes extremely abrupt, inasmuch as the reference to the territory which prepares the way for it in the text as it stands will have disappeared. The passage 40, foire of ... 1329 b 35, spreir appears still more clearly to have been interpolated. It may have been originally an annotation written by some member of the Peripatetic School on the margin of his copy of the Politics, and may have crept from the margin into the text. It is apparently intended to excuse and account for the absence of a special investigation of the question whether the fighting class should be distinct from the cultivating class. Thus we are told at its close (1320 b 33 sqq.) that it is useless to waste time in investigating what is well settled, and that one should investigate only what has been overlooked. It should be noticed that it says nothing of the existence in India both of castes and of a distinction between the fighting and cultivating classes, though Megasthenes, not very long after the time when the Politics was written, testified to this (ap. Strab. p. 703, φησί δή (ὁ Μεγασθένης) τὸ τῶν Ἰνδῶν πλήθος els έπτα μέρη

διηρήσθαι κ.τ.λ.), but it would be rash to conclude that, if the passage is an interpolation, its author wrote before the publication of Megasthenes' work. That it stood where it stands in the Politics in the days of the authority followed by Stobaeus in his account (Ecl. 2. 6. 17) of the Political Theory of the Peripatetics is likely, for he savs of the distribution of functions between the young, the elders, and the old, ταύτην δ' άρχαίαν είναι πάνυ την διάταξιν, Λίγυπτίων πρώτων καταστησαμένων, πολιτικών δέ και τών άλλων ούχ ήττον, which evidently refers, however inaccurately, to the views expressed in this part of c. 10. The late Prof. Chandler and Bojesen, indeed, contented themselves with rejecting only a part of the passage 1329 a 40 . . . b 35; the former rejected 1329 b 3, τά τε περί την Κρήτην . . . 25, Zerworpios, and the latter 1320 b 5, doyaia ... 25, Derworpios. The part they reject is certainly the most evidently spurious part, but much suspicion also attaches to the part which they retain, 1329 b 25-35 (see vol. i. Appendix E), and on the whole I incline to reject the entire passage 1329 a 40-b 35, together with the recapitulation which precedes it, 1329 a 34-39.

- 85. γεωργοὶ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for cultivators and artisans and the whole class of labourers are a necessary appurtenance of the State' (literally, 'a thing necessary to belong to States'): compare for the construction c. 10. 1330 a 3, περὶ συσσιτίων τε συνδοκεῖ πάσε χρήσιμον εἶναι (sc. τὰ συσσίτια) ταῖε εὖ κατεσκευασμέναιε πόλεσιν ὑπάρχειν, and 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 7, δ τούτων οὐδὲν ἡττόν ἐστιν ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν, and see Stallbaum on Plato, Laws 643 C, δσα ἀναγκαῖα προμεμαθηκέναι.
- 37. τό τε δπλιτικόν καὶ βουλευτικόν. For the absence of the article before βουλευτικόν, cp. 3. 9. 1280 a 8, τί τὸ δίκαιον τό το δλιγαρχικόν καὶ δημοκρατικόν.
- 88. καὶ κεχώρισται δη κ.τ.λ. For καὶ . . . δή see above on 1253 a 18. Κατὰ μέρος, Vict. 'per vices,' i.e. in such a way that the one succeeds the other.
- 40. "Εσικε δε κ.τ.λ. As has been pointed out in vol. i. C. 10. Appendix E, Greek writers are always glad to claim the authority of antiquity in support of their suggestions. Reference has already there been made to Demosth. in Lept. c. 89: compare also Lucian, De Saltat. c. 7, καὶ πρῶτόν γε ἐκεῖνο πάνυ ἢγνοηκίναι μοι δοκεῖε, ὡς οὐ νεώτερον τὸ τῆς δρχήσεως ἐπιτήδευμα τοῦτό ἐστιν, οὐδὲ χθὶς καὶ πρώην ἀρξάμενον, οἰον κατὰ τοὺς προπάτορας ἡμῶν ἢ τοὺς ἐκείνων, ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ. Isocrates says (De Antid. § 82) that the most ancient laws were

thought the best. For τοις περὶ πολιτείας φιλοσοφούσων cp. 3. 1. 1274 b 32, τῷ περὶ πολιτείας ἐπισκοποῦντι. Διηρῆσθαι χωρίς, 41, appears to take up κεχώρισται, 38. For διηρῆσθαι χωρὶς κατὰ γένη cp. 1329 b 23, ὁ χωρισμὸς ὁ κατὰ γένος τοῦ πολιτικοῦ πλήθους. States were also divided κατὰ συσσίτια and κατὰ φρατρίας καὶ φυλάς (2. 5. 1264 a 6 sqq.).

- 2. ἐν Αἰγύπτω τε γὰρ κ.τ.λ. In Egypt there was a general 1329 Ь. distribution of the population into yin (Hdt. 2. 164, where, however, the yewpyol are not mentioned as one of the yeun: contrast Diod. 1. 73 sq., where the classes enumerated are priests, kings, warriors, herdsmen, cultivators, and artisans). Herodotus dwells rather on the prohibition of βάναυσοι τέχναι to the warrior class in Egypt than on the prohibition of agriculture (2. 165, καὶ τούτων βαναυσίης οὐδελε δεδάηκε οὐδέν, ἀλλ' ἀνέονται ές τὸ μάχιμον), and so do Isocrates (Busir. § 18) and Plutarch (Lycurg. c. 4 sub fin.), but Plato in Tim. 24 B refers to the separation of the warrior class in Egypt from the herdsmen, hunters, and cultivators. The names of Sesostris and Minos are mentioned in the passage before us to show the antiquity of this institution in Egypt and Crete. The separation of the warriors from those who practise other arts is ascribed by Isocrates (Busir. § 15 sqq.) not to Sesostris, but to Busiris; 'legislation respecting the warrior class,' however, is ascribed by Diodorus (1. 94. 4) to Sesoosis (Sesostris), whom he calls the third lawgiver of Egypt, and Dicaearchus (Fragm. 7: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 235) credits him with a law making all arts hereditary. The passage before us evidently implies that the distinction between warriors and cultivators survived in Egypt even in the writer's day, i.e. that the cultivators did not serve as soldiers, nor the soldiers as cultivators.
 - 5. ἀρχαία δὲ κ.τ.λ. With the object of proving that political institutions and laws are of early date (cp. 31 sqq.), the writer instances another institution, that of the syssitia. Compare the similar transition from the subject of the distinction of warriors and cultivators to that of syssitia in 2. 10. 1271 b 41 sqq.
 - 6. τὰ μέν περί Κρήτην, sc. συσσίτια, in apposition to τῶν συσσιτίων ή τάξις.
 - 7. τὰ δὰ περὶ τὴν 'Ιταλίαν πολλῷ παλαιότερα τούτων. This results from the fact that in Italy syssitia were as old as the introduction of the names 'Italy' and 'Italians' and the conversion of the Italians from nomads into cultivators.

διηρήσθαι κ.τ.λ.), but it would be rash to conclude that, if the passage is an interpolation, its author wrote before the publication of Megasthenes' work. That it stood where it stands in the Politics in the days of the authority followed by Stobaeus in his account (Ecl. 2. 6. 17) of the Political Theory of the Peripatetics is likely, for he savs of the distribution of functions between the young, the elders, and the old, ταύτην δ' άρχαίαν είναι πάνυ την διάταξιν, Λίγυπτίων πρώτων καταστησαμένων, πολιτικών δέ και τών άλλων ούχ ήττον, which evidently refers, however inaccurately, to the views expressed in this part of c. 10. The late Prof. Chandler and Bojesen, indeed, contented themselves with rejecting only a part of the passage 1329 a 40 . . . b 35; the former rejected 1329 b 3, τά τε περί την Κρήτην . . . 25, Zerworpios, and the latter 1320 b 5, doyaia ... 25, Derworpios. The part they reject is certainly the most evidently spurious part, but much suspicion also attaches to the part which they retain, 1329 b 25-35 (see vol. i. Appendix E), and on the whole I incline to reject the entire passage 1329 a 40-b 35, together with the recapitulation which precedes it, 1329 a 34-39.

- 85. γεωργοὶ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for cultivators and artisans and the whole class of labourers are a necessary appurtenance of the State' (literally, 'a thing necessary to belong to States'): compare for the construction c. 10. 1330 a 3, περὶ συσσιτίων τε συνδοκεῖ πάσε χρήσιμον εἶναι (sc. τὰ συσσίτια) ταῖε εὖ κατεσκευασμέναιε πόλεσιν ὑπάρχειν, and 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 7, δ τούτων οὐδὲν ἡττόν ἐστιν ἀναγκαῖον ὑπάρχειν, and see Stallbaum on Plato, Laws 643 C, δσα ἀναγκαῖα προμεμαθηκέναι.
- 37. τό τε δπλιτικόν καὶ βουλευτικόν. For the absence of the article before βουλευτικόν, cp. 3. 9. 1280 a 8, τί τὸ δίκαιον τό το δλιγαρχικόν καὶ δημοκρατικόν.
- 88. καὶ κεχώρισται δη κ.τ.λ. For καὶ . . . δή see above on 1253 a 18. Κατὰ μέρος, Vict. 'per vices,' i.e. in such a way that the one succeeds the other.
- 40. "Εσικε δε κ.τ.λ. As has been pointed out in vol. i. C. 10. Appendix E, Greek writers are always glad to claim the authority of antiquity in support of their suggestions. Reference has already there been made to Demosth. in Lept. c. 89: compare also Lucian, De Saltat. c. 7, καὶ πρῶτόν γε ἐκεῖνο πάνυ ἢγνοηκίναι μοι δοκεῖε, ὡς οὐ νεώτερον τὸ τῆς δρχήσεως ἐπιτήδευμα τοῦτό ἐστιν, οὐδὲ χθὶς καὶ πρώην ἀρξάμενον, οἰον κατὰ τοὺς προπάτορας ἡμῶν ἢ τοὺς ἐκείνων, ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ. Isocrates says (De Antid. § 82) that the most ancient laws were

note on 1282 a 36. The near approach of the two gulfs to each other is mentioned in justification of the description of Italy as lying 'within' them. They were 160 stadia, or about eighteen miles, apart (see above on 8), hence a day's journey is calculated here at thirty-six miles.

14. τοῦτον δὴ κ.τ.λ. Italus is probably regarded as the first lawgiver of the Oenotrians, and if this is so, the introduction of legislation among them is connected with the change from a pastoral to an agricultural life: see Mommsen, Hist. of Rome, Eng. Trans., 1. 21, and cp. Plut. De Iside et Osiride, c. 13, βασιλεύοντα δ' "Οσιριν Αἰγυπτίους μὲν εὐθὺς ἀπόρου βίου καὶ θηριώδους ἀπαλλάξαι, καρπούς τε δείξαντα καὶ νόμους θέμενον αὐτοῖς, καὶ θεοὺς δείξαντα τιμᾶν, and Ovid, Metam. 5. 341,

Prima Ceres unco glebas dimovit aratro, Prima dedit fruges alimentaque mitia terris, Prima dedit leges.

Janus takes the place of Italus in Plut. Quaest. Rom. c. 22, ή μάλλον ὅτι τοὺς περὶ τὴν Ἰταλίαν αὐτὸς ἀγρίοις καὶ ἀνόμοις χρωμένους ἤθεσιν εἰς ἔτερον βίου σχῆμα, πείσας καὶ γεωργεῖν καὶ πολιτεύεσθαι, μετέβαλε καὶ μετεκόσμησε; Compare the language of Strabo as to Masinissa (p. 833), καὶ γὰρ δὴ καὶ οὕτός ἐστιν ὁ τοὺς νομάδας πολιτικοὺς κατασκευάσας καὶ γεωργούς, ἔτι δ' ἀντὶ τοῦ ληστεύειν διδάξας στρατεύειν. Syssitia (σῖτος, 'corn') are probably conceived as connected with agriculture. For τοῦτον δὴ τὸν Ἰταλόν Eucken (De Partic. Usu p. 39) compares 1. 6. 1255 a 7, τοῦτο δὴ τὸ δίκαιον. Δή introduces in both passages a statement about the person or thing which has been described in what precedes. We expect θείναι rather that θέσθαι, but cp. 3. 13. 1283 b 38.

- 16. διδ κ.τ.λ. On the resemblance of this passage to 2. 10 1271 b 30 sqq., see vol. i. p. 575, note 2. The continued existence of the institution and of certain of the laws of Italus among somothis descendants is apparently mentioned in confirmation of the statement that he introduced the syssitia and was the author cother laws also.
- 18. ῷκουν δὲ κ.τ.λ. It would seem that the whole region fror the Lametic Gulf to Tyrrhenia (i.e. Latium Campania and Lucania is here conceived as inhabited by Opici surnamed Ausones. The Aristotle included Latium in Opica we see from Fragm. 567. 1571 24 sq. Campania, according to Antiochus of Syracuse, we inhabited by 'Opici, who were also called Ausones' (Fragm. 8

Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 183). But we do not find that Lucania is elsewhere said to be inhabited by Opici surnamed Ausones, or indeed by Opici of any kind. Herodotus regards Elea, which was in the region ultimately known as Lucania, as in Oenotria, not in Opica (1. 167).

20. το δε προς την Ίαπυγίαν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Antioch. ap. Strab. p. 255 (Fragm. 6: Müller, 1. 182), μετά δε ταῦτα ἐπεκτείνεται, φησί, τοῦνομα καὶ τὸ τῆς Ἰταλίας καὶ τὸ τῶν Οἰνωτρῶν μέχρι τῆς Μεταποντίνης καὶ τῆς Σειρίτιδος οἰκῆσαι γὰρ τοὺς τόπους τούτους Χώνας, Οἰνωτρικὸν ἔθνος κατακοσμούμενον, καὶ τὴν γῆν ὀνομάσαι Χώνην.

τον 'Ιόνιον, sc. κόλπον, cp. 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 11, and see Liddell and Scott.

- 23. δ δε χωρισμός κ.τ.λ. The Egyptians, according to Plut. Lycurg. c. 4, claimed that Lycurgus visited Egypt and borrowed there the separation of the warriors from the other classes which he introduced at Sparta, and Isocrates in his Busiris (§ 17 sq.) traces this and other Lacedaemonian institutions to Egypt: cp. Pherecr. "Αγρίοι, Fragm. 5 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 257), where Lycurgus is connected with Egypt. Aristotle, however, in 2. 10. 1271 b 22 sqq., like the Lacedaemonians themselves (see above on 1271 b 22), traces the laws of Lycurgus to Crete.
- 24. πολῦ γὰρ ὑπερτείνει κ.τ.λ. According to Dicaearchus, Fragm. 7 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 235), Sesostris was king of Egypt immediately after Orus, the son of Osiris and Isis, and lived 2936 years before the first Olympiad. Herodotus, however, would seem to place Sesostris much later. Camerarlus remarks (Interp. p. 298), 'quod quidem nunc ait autor, regnum Sesostris longe superare annis regnum Minois, cum narratione Herodoti non videtur congruere. Hic enim' (2. 112 sqq.) 'Proteum regem Aegypti facit tertium a Sesostri, cuius regnum inciderit in tempus belli Troiani. Et in exercitu Graecorum illius belli fuit secundum Homerum' (Odyss. 19. 178 sqq.) 'Idomeneus et ipse tertius a Minoe, ut paene aequales ita reperiantur Sesostris et Minos; hoc modo, Sesostris, Pheron, Proteus, et Minos, Deucalion, Idomeneus.'
- 25. σχεδόν μὰν οὖν κ.τ.λ. The sense is—'So then, just as we have seen that syssitia were invented first in Italy and afterwards in Crete, and the division of the population into different classes first in Egypt and afterwards in Crete, we may take it that all other discoveries have been made over and over again an indefinite number of times—for discovery comes easily to men, need reveal-

ing discoveries of a necessary kind, and others following in due course—and this holds of political institutions as fully as of anything else; but that all political institutions are ancient, [which is what concerns us now,] is proved by the example of Egypt.' The view that everything has been invented over and over again is quite Aristotelian: cp. Metaph. A. 8. 1074 b 10, κατά τὸ είκὸς πολλάκις εύρημένης είς το δυνατόν έκάστης και τέχνης και φιλοσοφίας και πάλιν φθειρομένων: De Caelo, 1. 3. 270 b 19, οὐ γὰρ ἄπαξ οὐδὲ δὶς ἀλλ' απειράκις δεί νομίζειν τὰς αὐτὰς ἀφικνείσθαι δόξας εἰς ἡμᾶς: Meteor, 1. 3. 339 b 27 sqq. This view may have been suggested by the fact that the inventions which were ascribed in Greece to Palamedes. Orpheus, and others were ascribed in Egypt to far earlier inventors, so that it was natural to suppose that in the interval between the Egyptian inventors and Palamedes and the rest the arts invented by the former had been lost. It is likely enough that arts have been lost and rediscovered. A writer in the Times of Sept. 27, 1886, remarks of some 'glazed bricks' of the time of Rameses II from Tel-el-Jahûdî, now in the British Museum, 'Historians of Italian art speak of the "discovery" of Luca della Robbia; here is the faïence decoration in the highest state of excellence more than 2,700 years before he was born.' For έν τῷ πολλῷ χρόνφ, cp. 2. 5. 1264 a 1 sqq. Aristotle believed that the human race had existed from everlasting (see note on 1260 a 5). For the contrast of rà αναγκαία and τά είς εὐσχημοσύνην καὶ περιουσίαν, Camerarius (Interp. p. 298) compares Top. 3. 2. 118 a 6, καὶ τὰ ἐκ περιουσίας τῶν αναγκαίων βελτίω, ενίστε δε και αιρετώτερα. βέλτιον γάρ του ζην το ευ ζην, τὸ δὲ εὖ ζην ἐστὶν ἐκ περιουσίας, αὐτὸ δὲ τὸ ζην ἀναγκαῖον . . . τὸ δ' ἐκ περιουσίας εστίν, όταν υπαρχόντων των αναγκαίων άλλα τινά προσκατασκευάζηταί τις τῶν καλῶν: cp. also 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 2 sqq. For την χρείαν διδάσκειν αὐτήν, cp. Eurip. Fragm. 709 (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2. 729),

οδ τἄρ' 'Οδυσσεύς ἐστιν αἰμύλος μόνος'
χρεία διδάσκει, κᾶν βραδύς τις ἢ, σοφόν,
and Leutsch and Schneidewin, 2. 203,

πολλών ο λιμός γίνεται διδάσκαλος.

That necessary things are discovered first had already been implied by Democritus (see vol. i. p. 356, note 1).

81. δτι δὲ πάντα ἀρχαῖα κ.τ.λ. The argument is—'we might expect the Egyptians, who are thought to be the most ancient race in the world, to have come into existence before laws and

Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 183). But we do not find that Lucania is elsewhere said to be inhabited by Opici surnamed Ausones, or indeed by Opici of any kind. Herodotus regards Elea, which was in the region ultimately known as Lucania, as in Oenotria, not in Opica (1. 167).

20. το δε προς την Ίαπυγίαν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Antioch. ap. Strab. p. 255 (Fragm. 6: Müller, 1. 182), μετά δε ταῦτα ἐπεκτείνεται, φησί, τοῦνομα καὶ τὸ τῆς Ἰταλίας καὶ τὸ τῶν Οἰνωτρῶν μέχρι τῆς Μεταποντίνης καὶ τῆς Σειρίτιδος οἰκῆσαι γὰρ τοὺς τόπους τούτους Χώνας, Οἰνωτρικὸν ἔθνος κατακοσμούμενον, καὶ τὴν γῆν ὀνομάσαι Χώνην.

τον 'Ιόνιον, sc. κόλπον, cp. 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 11, and see Liddell and Scott.

- 23. δ δε χωρισμός κ.τ.λ. The Egyptians, according to Plut. Lycurg. c. 4, claimed that Lycurgus visited Egypt and borrowed there the separation of the warriors from the other classes which he introduced at Sparta, and Isocrates in his Busiris (§ 17 sq.) traces this and other Lacedaemonian institutions to Egypt: cp. Pherecr. "Αγρίοι, Fragm. 5 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 257), where Lycurgus is connected with Egypt. Aristotle, however, in 2. 10. 1271 b 22 sqq., like the Lacedaemonians themselves (see above on 1271 b 22), traces the laws of Lycurgus to Crete.
- 24. πολῦ γὰρ ὑπερτείνει κ.τ.λ. According to Dicaearchus, Fragm. 7 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 235), Sesostris was king of Egypt immediately after Orus, the son of Osiris and Isis, and lived 2936 years before the first Olympiad. Herodotus, however, would seem to place Sesostris much later. Camerarlus remarks (Interp. p. 298), 'quod quidem nunc ait autor, regnum Sesostris longe superare annis regnum Minois, cum narratione Herodoti non videtur congruere. Hic enim' (2. 112 sqq.) 'Proteum regem Aegypti facit tertium a Sesostri, cuius regnum inciderit in tempus belli Troiani. Et in exercitu Graecorum illius belli fuit secundum Homerum' (Odyss. 19. 178 sqq.) 'Idomeneus et ipse tertius a Minoe, ut paene aequales ita reperiantur Sesostris et Minos; hoc modo, Sesostris, Pheron, Proteus, et Minos, Deucalion, Idomeneus.'
- 25. σχεδόν μὰν οὖν κ.τ.λ. The sense is—'So then, just as we have seen that syssitia were invented first in Italy and afterwards in Crete, and the division of the population into different classes first in Egypt and afterwards in Crete, we may take it that all other discoveries have been made over and over again an indefinite number of times—for discovery comes easily to men, need reveal-

- 36. των δπλα κεκτημένων. For the absence of τά before δπλα, cp. 6 (4). 13. 1297 a 29, περί τοῦ ὅπλα κεκτῆσθαι, and see critical note on 1267 b 33. As to the distinction here implied between the hoplites and those who share in the constitution, see vol. i. p. 324 and note 1 on that page.
 - 37. εἴρηται πρότερον, in c. 9. 1329 a 17 sqq.
- 38. αὐτῶν ἐτέρους, 'distinct from them': cp. c. 12. 1331 b 1 and Eth. Nic. 10. 2. 1173 b 28, ἔτεραι γὰρ αἰ ἀπὸ τῶν καλῶν (ἡδοναὶ) τῶν ἀπὸ τῶν αἰσχρῶν.
- 40. τίνας καὶ ποίους. The answer to τίνας (given in 1330 a 25 sqq.) is 'slaves or non-Greek serfs,' and to ποίους (ibid.) is 'not of one race nor spirited in character.'
- 41. πρῶτον. Aristotle afterwards deals with the site of the city. φαμεν, in 2. 5. 1263 a 37 sqq. That no citizen should want for food, is implied in 1263 a 21 sqq.: see above on 1263 a 24.
- 1330 a. 1. For the reference here to Plato as $\tau \iota \nu \acute{e}s$, see above on 1327 b 38. Lycurgus (In Leocr. cc. 92 and 132) carries this use of $\tau \iota \nu \acute{e}s$ so far as to say that $\tau \iota \nu \acute{e}s$ wrote this or that passage of verse which he quotes (Richards).
 - αλλά τῆ χρήσει φιλικῶς γινομένην κοινήν, sc. εἶναι δεῖν. Φιλικῶς qualifies κοινήν, 'common in friendly fashion,' 'common as the goods of friends are common': cp. 2. 5. 1263 a 29, δι' ἀρετήν δ' ἔσται πρὸς τὸ χρῆσθαι κατὰ τὴν παροιμίαν κοινὰ τὰ φίλων. Γινομένην = ποιουμένην: cp. 2. 5. 1263 a 37, φανερὸν τοίνυν ὅτι βέλτιον εἶναι μὲν ἰδίας τὰς κτήσεις, τῆ δὲ χρήσει ποιεῖν κοινάς. For εἶναι γινομένην, cp. Hdt. 1. 146, ταῦτα δὲ ἦν γινόμενα ἐν Μιλήτω, and Plato, Polit. 301 D, and see above on 1259 b 11. Sus. and Welldon adopt Congreve's conjecture of γινομένη for γινομένην (Sus. adding γίνεσθαι after γινομένη), but, as it seems to me, not rightly.
 - 2. οὖτ' ἀπορεῖν κ.τ.λ. As to the importance of this, see Isocr. Areop. §§ 53, 83, and Plato, Laws 735 E.
 - 3. περί συσσιτίων τε κ.τ.λ., 'and with regard to common meals, all agree that they are an useful thing to belong to well-constituted States.' For the construction, compare c. 9. 1329 a 35 sqq. Aristotle passes on to the subject of common meals, because, like the friendly community of property which he has just recommended, they are a means of securing the citizens against a want of food. It was also necessary to settle the question of their existence before proceeding to the division of the territory, inasmuch as a portion of the territory is to be set apart for their support. Aristotle

Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 183). But we do not find that Lucania is elsewhere said to be inhabited by Opici surnamed Ausones, or indeed by Opici of any kind. Herodotus regards Elea, which was in the region ultimately known as Lucania, as in Oenotria, not in Opica (1. 167).

20. το δε προς την Ίαπυγίαν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Antioch. ap. Strab. p. 255 (Fragm. 6: Müller, 1. 182), μετά δε ταῦτα ἐπεκτείνεται, φησί, τοῦνομα καὶ τὸ τῆς Ἰταλίας καὶ τὸ τῶν Οἰνωτρῶν μέχρι τῆς Μεταποντίνης καὶ τῆς Σειρίτιδος οἰκῆσαι γὰρ τοὺς τόπους τούτους Χώνας, Οἰνωτρικὸν ἔθνος κατακοσμούμενον, καὶ τὴν γῆν ὀνομάσαι Χώνην.

τον 'Ιόνιον, sc. κόλπον, cp. 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 11, and see Liddell and Scott.

- 23. δ δε χωρισμός κ.τ.λ. The Egyptians, according to Plut. Lycurg. c. 4, claimed that Lycurgus visited Egypt and borrowed there the separation of the warriors from the other classes which he introduced at Sparta, and Isocrates in his Busiris (§ 17 sq.) traces this and other Lacedaemonian institutions to Egypt: cp. Pherecr. "Αγρίοι, Fragm. 5 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 257), where Lycurgus is connected with Egypt. Aristotle, however, in 2. 10. 1271 b 22 sqq., like the Lacedaemonians themselves (see above on 1271 b 22), traces the laws of Lycurgus to Crete.
- 24. πολῦ γὰρ ὑπερτείνει κ.τ.λ. According to Dicaearchus, Fragm. 7 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 235), Sesostris was king of Egypt immediately after Orus, the son of Osiris and Isis, and lived 2936 years before the first Olympiad. Herodotus, however, would seem to place Sesostris much later. Camerarlus remarks (Interp. p. 298), 'quod quidem nunc ait autor, regnum Sesostris longe superare annis regnum Minois, cum narratione Herodoti non videtur congruere. Hic enim' (2. 112 sqq.) 'Proteum regem Aegypti facit tertium a Sesostri, cuius regnum inciderit in tempus belli Troiani. Et in exercitu Graecorum illius belli fuit secundum Homerum' (Odyss. 19. 178 sqq.) 'Idomeneus et ipse tertius a Minoe, ut paene aequales ita reperiantur Sesostris et Minos; hoc modo, Sesostris, Pheron, Proteus, et Minos, Deucalion, Idomeneus.'
- 25. σχεδόν μὰν οὖν κ.τ.λ. The sense is—'So then, just as we have seen that syssitia were invented first in Italy and afterwards in Crete, and the division of the population into different classes first in Egypt and afterwards in Crete, we may take it that all other discoveries have been made over and over again an indefinite number of times—for discovery comes easily to men, need reveal-

καὶ τᾶλλα οὕτω πάντα. (Compare the process by which lots of land are assigned to the peasants of a Russian commune, as described by M. Leroy-Beaulieu in Revue des Deux Mondes, Nov. 15, 1876. 'Chaque paysan reçoit une parcelle d'autant de sortes de terrain qu'il v a de qualités de terre dans la commune.' 'Quand les terres seraient tous de même qualité . . . l'inégale distance du village leur donne encore pour le paysan une inégale valeur.') Aristotle, however, does not approve (2. 6. 1265 b 24 sqq.) Plato's further suggestion (Laws 745 E) of two houses: see above on 1265 b 25. But would not the cultivation of two lots of land at a distance from each other be almost as troublesome as living in two houses? The reason for the arrangement which Aristotle follows Plato in adopting is obvious enough. Land near the city was probably as a rule far more valuable in ancient Greece than land at a distance from it. It was land in this situation that rich men were most likely to buy up (8 (6). 4. 1319 a 8 sqq.). Cp. Xen. De Vect. 4. 50, καὶ οι γε χώροι οὐδὲν αν είεν μείονος αξιοι τοις κεκτημένοις ένταῦθα (at Laurium in Attica) ή τοῖς περὶ τὸ ἄστυ, and Hdt. 6. 20, τῆς δε Μιλησίης χώρης αὐτολ μεν οι Πέρσαι είχον τὰ περί την πόλιν καὶ τὸ πεδίου, τὰ δὲ ὑπεράκρια ἔδοσαν Καρσὶ Πηδασεύσι ἐκτῆσθαι. So on the foundation of the colony of Thurii οι προϋπάρχοντες Συβαρίται . . . την μεν σύνεγγυς τῆ πόλει χώραν κατεκληρούχουν έαυτοῖς, τὴν δὲ πόρρω κειμένην τοις ἐπήλυσι (Diod. 12. 11. 1). The owners of land near the city would not only be better able than others to take an active part in politics and be nearer to the conveniences and the handicrastsmen of the city, but would also command a better market for their produce, and would be less exposed to attack in case of invasion. Frontier-landowners, on the contrary, were the first to suffer in that Thus the Lacedaemonian owners of frontier-land suffered so much from the Messenians in Eira during the Second Messenian War that civil trouble resulted (Paus. 4. 18. 1: see note on 1306 b 37). Compare the case of the citizens of Corinth who owned the fertile and extensive frontier-plain between Corinth and Sicyon. This plain 'was rendered uncultivable during 393 and 392 B.c.' by the Corinthian War, and though its owners withdrew their servants and cattle to Peiraeum, their loss 'was still so great that two successive seasons of it were quite enough to inspire them with a strong aversion to the war' (Grote, Hist. of Greece, 9. 455). As to the Acharnians, see Thuc. 2. 21, and the notes of Mr. Congreve and Prof. Jowett on the passage before us. The frontier-landowners Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 183). But we do not find that Lucania is elsewhere said to be inhabited by Opici surnamed Ausones, or indeed by Opici of any kind. Herodotus regards Elea, which was in the region ultimately known as Lucania, as in Oenotria, not in Opica (1. 167).

20. το δε προς την Ίαπυγίαν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Antioch. ap. Strab. p. 255 (Fragm. 6: Müller, 1. 182), μετά δε ταῦτα ἐπεκτείνεται, φησί, τοῦνομα καὶ τὸ τῆς Ἰταλίας καὶ τὸ τῶν Οἰνωτρῶν μέχρι τῆς Μεταποντίνης καὶ τῆς Σειρίτιδος οἰκῆσαι γὰρ τοὺς τόπους τούτους Χώνας, Οἰνωτρικὸν ἔθνος κατακοσμούμενον, καὶ τὴν γῆν ὀνομάσαι Χώνην.

τον 'Ιόνιον, sc. κόλπον, cp. 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 11, and see Liddell and Scott.

- 23. δ δε χωρισμός κ.τ.λ. The Egyptians, according to Plut. Lycurg. c. 4, claimed that Lycurgus visited Egypt and borrowed there the separation of the warriors from the other classes which he introduced at Sparta, and Isocrates in his Busiris (§ 17 sq.) traces this and other Lacedaemonian institutions to Egypt: cp. Pherecr. "Αγρίοι, Fragm. 5 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 257), where Lycurgus is connected with Egypt. Aristotle, however, in 2. 10. 1271 b 22 sqq., like the Lacedaemonians themselves (see above on 1271 b 22), traces the laws of Lycurgus to Crete.
- 24. πολῦ γὰρ ὑπερτείνει κ.τ.λ. According to Dicaearchus, Fragm. 7 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 235), Sesostris was king of Egypt immediately after Orus, the son of Osiris and Isis, and lived 2936 years before the first Olympiad. Herodotus, however, would seem to place Sesostris much later. Camerarlus remarks (Interp. p. 298), 'quod quidem nunc ait autor, regnum Sesostris longe superare annis regnum Minois, cum narratione Herodoti non videtur congruere. Hic enim' (2. 112 sqq.) 'Proteum regem Aegypti facit tertium a Sesostri, cuius regnum inciderit in tempus belli Troiani. Et in exercitu Graecorum illius belli fuit secundum Homerum' (Odyss. 19. 178 sqq.) 'Idomeneus et ipse tertius a Minoe, ut paene aequales ita reperiantur Sesostris et Minos; hoc modo, Sesostris, Pheron, Proteus, et Minos, Deucalion, Idomeneus.'
- 25. σχεδόν μὰν οὖν κ.τ.λ. The sense is—'So then, just as we have seen that syssitia were invented first in Italy and afterwards in Crete, and the division of the population into different classes first in Egypt and afterwards in Crete, we may take it that all other discoveries have been made over and over again an indefinite number of times—for discovery comes easily to men, need reveal-

όμοεθνείς (δούλους) πολλούς, ώσπερ καὶ έν ταις πόλεσιν—the word όμοεθνής is used, not δμόφυλος. Plato had already said in Laws 777 C. δύο δή λείπεσθου μόνω μηχανά, μήτε πατριώτας άλλήλων είναι τους μελλουτας ράον δουλεύσειν, ασυμφώνους τε (' different in language') είς δύναμιν δ τι μάλιστα, and Aristotle follows in his track. His especial object is to avoid the errors committed by the Lacedaemonian State in its organization of slavery. The Helots were serfs (περίοικοι), not slaves, and Hellenic serfs into the bargain, both ὁμόφυλοι and θυμοειδείς (cp. 2. 5. 1264 a 34 sqq.). But other Greek States also probably had slaves whom he would regard as too nearly akin to each other and too spirited to be submissive. The fugitive slaves who under the name of Bruttians (Bpérrioi) did much to ruin some of the Greek colonies in South Italy (Diod. 16. 15) were apparently an instance in point. Attic slaves, on the contrary, came from a variety of sources, Lydia, Phrygia, Paphlagonia, Syria, and the Euxine (Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 170). Dionysius the Elder wished his mercenaries to be collected έκ πολλών έθνών (Diod. 14. 44. 3). Aristotle would no doubt disapprove of a body of slaves wholly composed of negroes, and the experience of the island of St. Domingo in modern times bears out his view. 'It is always a wise arrangement to have different tribes in a caravan, for in the event of a strike, and there are always strikes, there is less chance of concerted action' (Prof. Drummond, Tropical Africa, p. 90). Some went so far as to advise the actual promotion of discord among slaves (Menand, Inc. Fab. Fragm. 30, in Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 242 and 5. cclxxii, and Plut. Cato Maior, c. 21, quoted by Meineke), but Aristotle says nothing about this. We notice that he imposes no limit on the number of the slaves in his 'best State,' though we might have expected him to do so.

- 28. δεύτερον δὲ κ.τ.λ. Non-Greek serfs, unlike the Helots. Aristotle probably has in his mind the Mariandynian serfs of the Pontic Heracleia (see above on 1269 a 34). Serfs of this type would have the drawback of being almost inevitably ὁμόφυλοι.
- 30. τούτων δὲ κ.τ.λ. Here again Aristotle departs from the Lacedaemonian practice. The Helots employed on private estates did not belong to the owners of those estates (Strabo, p. 365, τρόπον γάρ τινα δημοσίους δούλους είχον οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι τούτους, i. e. τοὺς Είλωτας): the owners could not free the Helots employed on their estates or sell them beyond the limits of the State (Strabo, ibid.).

- 31. Tira bè x.T.A. Plato had gone on to deal with this subject in the passage of the Laws (777 C sqq.) which Aristotle has before him here. The difficulty of the question is recognized in 2.9. 1269 b 7 sqq. The promised solution is nowhere given in the Politics as we have it, but we have many suggestions on the subject in Oecon. 1.5.
- 32. καὶ διότι κ.τ.λ. Διότι is here 'why.' This promise also remains unfulfilled in the Politics as we have it, but cp. Oecon. 1. 5. 1344 b 4, δισπερ δὲ καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις ὅταν μὴ γίγνηται τοῖς βελτίσσι βελτιον μηδὲ ἄθλα ἢ ἀρετῆς καὶ κακίας, γίνονται χείρους, οὕτω καὶ περὶ οἰκέτας, and 14, χρὴ δὲ καὶ τέλος ὡρίσθαι πᾶσιν' δίκαιον γὰρ καὶ συμφέρον τὴν ἐλευθερίαν κεῖσθαι ἄθλον' βούλονται γὰρ πονεῖν, ὅταν ἢ ἄθλον καὶ δ χρόνος ὡρισμένος. Xenophon had already said in Oecon. 5. 16, καὶ ἐλπίδων δὲ ἀγαθῶν οὐδὲν ἤττον οἱ δοῦλοι τῶν ἐλευθέρων δέονται ἀλλὰ καὶ μᾶλλον, ὅπως μένειν ἐθέλωσι. Aristotle perhaps merely means by πᾶσι τοῖς δούλοις both public and private slaves. But it is possible that, as the slave often purchased his freedom from his master, emancipation was most within the reach of the slaves who stood highest in their master's service, or who had learnt some lucrative handicraft.
- 34. Τὴν δὲ πόλιν κ.τ.λ. Τὴν μὲν οὖν χώραν, c. 10. 1330 a 23, has C. 11. already been answered by τοὺς δὲ γεωργήσοντας, 1330 a 25, but here it is further answered by τὴν δὲ πόλιν. Εἴρηται πρότερον, in c. 5. 1327 a 3-c. 6 1327 a 40.
- 38. αὐτῆς δὲ πρὸς αὐτὴν κ.τ.λ. Various attempts have been made to interpret this passage as it stands. Gottling, Stahr, Busse (De praesidiis Aristotelis Politica emendandi, p. 17 sqq.), and Broughton, in his edition of Pol. 1, 3, and 4 (7), take alias to be used in αὐτῆς πρὸς αὐτὴν είναι τὴν θέσιν as it is used in such phrases as έκων είναι, κατά τούτο είναι (Plato, Protag. 317 A), είς δύναμιν είναι (Polit. 300 C), and the like, and translate these words 'so far as concerns the position of the city in relation to itself,' but the objection to this view is that no instance is produced of the use of cival in this sense in combination with a similar collection of words. Elvas, when thus used, commonly goes with one word, or two or three, of a simple and closely connected kind. Prof. Jowett, on the other hand (Politics 2, 273), thinks that 'the order of the words is as follows, δεί εξχεσθαι κατατυγχάνειν (τού) την θέσιν είναι, but Sus.4 (1. p. 519) objects that, if we take the passage thus, we require τοῦ την θέσιν, σίαν δεί, είναι, or something similar, not simply του την θέσιν

elpas. The difficulty of interpreting the passage as it stands being so great, it is not surprising that emendations of the text have been suggested. Coray and Bekk.2 bracket elvas, while Bonitz (Ind. 375 b 8) places a query after κατατυγχάνειν. For Susemihl's view see his note in Sus.4 Richards suggests that κατ' εὐχήν should be read in place of kararuyxávew, translating 'but we should pray that the position of the city in relation to itself may be the best possible,' etc. If, however, κατ' εὐχήν was the original reading, we should expect it to be corrupted into κατατυχείν rather than κατατυχyáveir, and perhaps also to stand nearer in the sentence to elvai than it does. In defence of kararvyyávew it may be pointed out that Kararuyeiv is used in the same sense ('votis potiri') in Demosth. De Cor. c. 178, εων δ' ἄρα μη συμβή κατατυχείν: cp. also Plut. Apophth. Lac. Ages. 59. 213 A and De Gen. Socr. c. 9. 580 B. I am myself inclined to suggest that some words may be wanting in the text. The rendering of Vet. Int. is 'ipsius autem ad se ipsam si ad votum oportet adipisci positionem, quattuor utique respicientes.' Sus. thinks that he here renders a gloss, Busse (De praesidiis, etc., p. 18) that he fills up a lacuna in his Greek text by borrowing words from c. 5. 1327 a 3 sq. It is, however, possible that the text of r differed here from that of the MSS, known to us, and that some words have really dropped out in our MSS, of which the rendering of Vet. Int. preserves a trace. The text, in fact, may have originally run, αὐτης δὲ πρὸς αὐτην (εὶ δεῖ κατ' εὐχην) είναι την θέσιν, εξχεσθαι δεί κατατυγχάνειν πρός τέτταρα δή βλέποντας. things seem to be health, adaptation to the needs of political life, adaptation to those of war, and beauty (κόσμος, 1330 b 31), though Aristotle in his haste omits to mention the last. Less attention seems generally to have been paid by the founders of cities in ancient Greece to the first two points than to the rest: cp. Strabo, p. 235, των γάρ Ελλήνων περί τάς κτίσεις εύστοχήσαι μάλιστα δοξάντων -ότι κάλλους έστοχάζοντο καὶ έρυμνότητος καὶ λιμένων καὶ χώρας εὐφυοῦς κ.τ.λ. Myscellus, however, the founder of Crotona, had looked to health rather than to wealth (Strabo, p. 269), and in later days Hippocrates in his treatise De Aere, Aquis, Locis had paid great attention to the question what site and aspect are most favourable to the health of a city. Plato also had taken health into consideration (Laws 778 E). For πρδς τέτταρα δή, cp. Pindar, Pyth. 9. 90,

Αἰγίνα τε γὰρ Φαμὶ Νίσου τ' ἐν λόφφ τρὶς δὴ πόλιν τάνδ' εὐκλείξαι. Δή thus used strengthens (Holden, Index to the Oeconomicus of Xenophon s. v.).

38. πρώτον μέν, ώς αναγκαίον, πρός δγίειαν. Sepulveda translates, 'primum ad valetudinem, ut rem necessariam' (and so Lamb.); Vict. 'primum quidem, ut necesse est, ad corporis sanitatem.' But perhaps it is better (with Richards) to supply 5, with avayeasor and to take we avayeasor as = we avayeasor by Bhinew mode roiro. A thing may deserve to be looked to first either on account of its excellence (cp. c. 8, 1328 b 11 sq. and 6 (4), 11, 1296 b 5 sq.) or on account of its indispensability (cp. 8 (6), 8, 1322 a 29 sq.), and Aristotle is careful to explain that health is to be looked to first for the latter reason. Cp. 1. 10. 1258 a 29, nairoi dei byinivere robs κατά την οίκιαν, ώσπερ ζην ή άλλο τι των αναγκαίων, and Plato, Laws 743 Ε, εί δέ τις των προσταττομένων αὐτόθι νύμων σωφροσύνης έμπροσθεν ύγίειαν εν τη πόλει φανείται ποιών τιμίαν, η πλούτον ύγιείας και του σωφρανείν, ούκ δρθώς ἀνοφανείται τιθέμενος. Some had regarded health as the most excellent thing in the world (Plato, Gorg. 451 E sq.: Anaxandrides, Ongaupos, Fragm. 1, in Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 160, a line of which,

τὸ μὲν ὑγιαίνειν πρώτον ὡς ἄριι τον ὅν,

may be in Aristotle's memory here: Rhet, 2. 21. 1394 b 13 sq.: Lucian, Pro Lapsu inter Salutandum, c. 5 sqq.: Eth. Eud. 1. 1. 1214 a 1 sqq.).

al τε γάρ κ.τ.λ. Τε should follow έω, not al: for other cases in which it is found out of its proper place, see above on 1325 a 19 and 1250 a 13. Holes is omitted as a word which will be readily supplied: for other cases of its omission, see above on 1266 b 1. Hippocrates, as Stahr and others have pointed out, had already pronounced in favour of an Eastern aspect for cities in De Acre, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 530 Kühn, δκόσαι μέν (τῶν πόλιων) πρὸς τὰς άνατολάς του ήλίου κέονται, ταύτας είκος είναι ύγιεινοτέρας τών πρός τάς άρκτους έστραμμένων και των πρός τα θερμά, ην και στάδιον το μεταξύ ή. Compare Columella, De Re Rustica, 1. 5. 5, optime autem salubribus locis ad orientem vel meridiem ... villa convertitur. The climate of Patras, the ancient Patrae, is thought unhealthy for strangers, because the mountains which rise above it close at hand screen it from the East winds (Curtius, Peloponnesos, 1. 440). Cities whose site slopes towards the East are exposed to the wind annhierns (Probl. 26. 12. 941 b 17, καθάπερ οὖν καὶ τὰ ἀπ' ἀνατολής κινών απηλιώτας ανέμους ήγειρεν (ό ήλιος), ούτω και τα από μεσημβρίας κινών

vórovs èyeipei), a wind which is in a mean between hot and cold winds (Probl. 26. 55. 946 b 24 sq.), and health results when things hot and cold are in due proportion to each other (Anal. Post. 1. 13. 78 b 18 sqq.). The East wind is described as 'warm' in Probl. 26. 31. 943 b 24, and as 'comparatively warm' in Meteor. 2. 6. 364 a 19 sqq. See also vol. i. p. 337, note 4.

- 40. δεύτερον δέ κατά βορέαν, 'and in the second place those which are sheltered from the North wind.' Here Aristotle differs from Hippocrates, who appears to regard cities facing North and sheltered from the South as more favourably situated for health than cities facing South and sheltered from the North (De Aere. Aquis, Locis, vol. i. pp. 525-9 Kühn). For κατά βορέαν, cp. κατάβορρος, Oecon. 1. 6. 1345 a 33. We expect al κατά βορέαν, but CD. 1330 b 10, δεύτερον δε υδασιν ύγιεινοίς χρησθαι, where εν τῷ υδασιν ύγιεινοις χρησθαι would have been more regular. See vol. i. p. 337, note 5. Some places suffered much from the North wind, e.g. Ismarus in Thrace: cp. Eustath. on Dionys. Perieg. 27, p. 90 Bernhardy (quoted by Ellis, Commentary on Catullus, p. 384). καθάπερ καὶ Ἰσμαρικὸς έν τοῖς έξης ὁ βορρας, ἀπὸ Ἰσμάρου πόλεως Θρακικής, ενθα μάλιστα βορράς καταρρήγνυται. Plataea was exposed to the North wind, though, as it happened, the North wind was gentle there (Theophrast. Fragm. 5. c. 32 Wimmer). Plato had given the plain around the city in the island of Atlantis a Southern aspect sheltered from the North wind (Critias 118 A).
 - 41. τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν κ.τ.λ. Δεῖ should probably be supplied, as in c. 5. 1326 b 30 sqq. The sentence would have been more regularly constructed if kados execu had not been added, for then mpos re τὰς πολιτικὰς πράξεις καὶ πολεμικάς would have depended on βλέποντας, 38, but as a long parenthesis has intervened (αι τε, 38-μαλλον, 41), Aristotle adds καλῶς ἔχειν to make his meaning clear, and might have added δεί also. For πρός τε τας πολιτικάς πράξεις καὶ πολεμικάς, cp. 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 17, εκ τε τοῦ ποιοῦ καὶ ποσοῦ, and 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 7, πρός τὰς πολεμικάς καὶ πολιτικάς ἀσκήσεις. Aristotle does not explain what sort of site would be well adapted for political activity, but he would perhaps regard as such a site which, while fairly level and favourable to easy communication, had within it a spot suitable for the common life of the elder citizens who are to rule the State, and somewhat withdrawn from the turmoil of buying and selling, such a spot as he sketches in c. 12. 1331 a 24 sqq.

- 2. mpòs pièr our n.t.h. Mèr our is answered by di, 8. Similar 1930 b. advice is given as to the territory in c. 5. 1326 b 40, xph mer (rhr χώραν) τοις πολεμίοις είναι δυσέμβολον, αυτοίς δ' ευέξοδον. A city would be (1) 'hard of approach' (δυσπρόσοδος), if it lay, like Sparta, behind mountains penetrable at only a few easily-guarded points (Xen. Hell, 6, 5, 24). Compare the description of Thalamae in Elis in Polyb. 4. 75. 2, πλείστη δ' αποσκευή καὶ πλείστος δχλος ήθροισθη σωμάτων καὶ θρεμμάτων εἰς τὸ χωρίον δ καλοῦσι Θαλάμας, διὰ τὸ τήν τε χώραν την πέριξ αὐτοῦ στενήν είναι καὶ δυσέμβολον τό τε χωρίον απραγμάτευτον καὶ δυσπρόσοδον. So we read of Rome in Plut. Romul. C. 17, ην δε δυσπρόσοδος ή πόλις έχουσα πρόβλημα το νον Καπιτώλιον, έν ο φρουρά καθειστήκει. A lake or a river or cliffs would answer the same purpose as a mountain: see as to the site of Ocniadae Thuc. 2. 102. 3, as to the acropolis of Sicyon (the site to which the city was removed by Demetrius Pohorcetes) Diod. 20. 102. 4, and as to the site of Psophis Polyb. 4. 70. 7 sqq. A city would be (2) 'hard to beleaguer' (δυσπερίληπτος), if the ground about it was made difficult by chasms or unfordable rivers or marshes. Pella was surrounded by marshes (Liv. 44. 46, sita est in tumulo vergente in occidentem hibernum: cingunt paludes inexsuperabilis altitudinis aestate et hieme, quas restagnantes faciunt lacus). Looking to the advances that the art of siege-warfare had made (1331 a 1 sq.), a city's best chance of safety in Aristotle's days probably lay in the difficulty of approaching or beleaguering it.
 - 4. ὑδάτων τε καὶ ναμάτων κ.τ.λ., ' and of waters and streams there should, if possible, be forthcoming a native supply.' Olicion, in opposition to δμβρίοις, 6: rain-water is not 'native,' but comes from the clouds. Compare the contrast of Juspion and ynyevis vous in Plut. De Facie in Orbe Lunae, c. 25. 939 C, and also the contrast of oliveias and efforepixal mpafess in c. 3. 1325 b 29 sq. Ναμάτων is added to explain and limit boaran, which, if it stood by itself, would include ὅμβρια ΰδατα. The term νάματα comprises both spring and river water: cp. Plut. Quaest. Nat. 2. 912 A, τὰ πηγαία καὶ ποτάμια νάματα. Aristotle may possibly have in his memory here some lines of Pindar of which Quintilian has preserved a trace: see Pindar, Fragm. 258 Bergk (Quintil. 10. 1. 109), non enim 'pluvias,' ut ait Pindarus, 'aquas colligit,' sed vivo gurgite exundat (Cicero), where Bergk remarks, 'Pindarus συνάγειν ύδατα δμβρια dixisse videtur,' but is not 'vivo gurgite exundat' also probably a translation from Pindar! Many Greek cities were famous for

their springs. Corinth was so, and especially for its spring Peirene (cp. Paus. 2. 3. 5, κρῆναι δὲ πολλαὶ μὲν ἀνὰ τὴν πολιν πεποίηνται πᾶσαν, ἄτε ἀφθόνου ῥέοντός σφισιν ὕδατος, and see as to Peirene, Curtius, Peloponnesos, 2. 529, 592); Pherae was famous for its spring Hypereia (Pindar, Pyth. 4. 125: Strabo, p. 439); Cyrene was built round the inexhaustible 'spring of Apollo,' and Hermione also had an inexhaustible spring (Paus. 2. 35. 3). Compare with Aristotle's recommendations as to water-supply those of Columella, De Re Rustica, 1. 5. 1 sqq. We see from the passage before us that a city was all the stronger from a military point of view if its water-supply was good and safe from interference in the event of a siege. Athens was ill-supplied with water (see next note), and her weakness in this respect must have been keenly felt when the country-population was cooped up in the city during the Peloponnesian War.

5. el δè κ.τ.λ., 'but if a supply of this nature is not forthcoming, a way has been discovered to obtain water by constructing,' etc. For ευρηται, cp. 1331 a 15 sqq., and for τοῦτό γε c. 7. 1327 b 21. Τοῦτό γε ευρηται means that we need not begin an investigation as to that, inasmuch as the problem has been already solved. 'Oμβρίοιs is placed before εδασιν because it is meant to be emphatic. Hippocrates has a good opinion of rain-water (De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 537 Kühn, τὰ μὲν οὖν ὅμβρια (ὕδατα) κουφότατα καὶ γλυκύτατά έστιν καὶ λεπτότατα καὶ λαμπρότατα). So too Columella (De Re Rustica, 1. 5. 2). Aristotle perhaps intends this hint for Athens. 'There were three or four springs at Athens, but one only, that of Callirrhoe, was drinkable' (Merivale, Hist. of the Romans under the Empire, c. 66, vol. viii. p. 217, note). See also below on 1330 b 25. Cisterns for storing rain-water existed in many Greek cities-at Alexandria (Stuart Poole, Cities of Egypt, p. 181), Cnidus (Dict. of Greek and Roman Geography, art. Cnidus), and Termessus (Davis, Anatolica, p. 231). They existed also in the insular part of Tyre (Maspero, Hist. Ancienne des Peuples de l'Orient, p. 192) and at Jerusalem (Tac. Hist. 5. 12). 'The sky is the only source from which fresh water is obtained in the smaller towns of Dalmatia, and especially on the islands, where there are neither springs nor streams' (T. G. Jackson, Dalmatia, 1. 241). Aristotle does not refer to the possibility of bringing water into the city from a distance, but aqueducts existed in his day both at Samos (Hdt. 3. 60) and at Athens (Athenaeum,

No. 3355, p. 223). He would probably, however, feel that aqueducts lay at the mercy of an invading foe.

- 7. μηθέποτε ύπολείπειν εἰργομένους τῆς χώρας. For the construction, cp. Rhet. 3. 17. 1418 a 34, καὶ δ ἔλεγε Γοργίας, ὅτι υὐχ ὑπολείπει αὐτὸν ὁ λόγος, τοῦτό ἀστιν.
- 8. τοῦτο δ' ἀστὶν ἀν τῷ κεῖσθαι τὸν τόπον κ.τ.λ. For εἶναι ἐν, see Bon. Ind. 245 b 25 sqq., where among other passages c. 1. 1323 b 1, καὶ τὸ ζῆν εὐδαιμόνως, εἴτ' ἐν τῷ χαίρειν ἐστὶν εἴτ' ἐν ἀρετῆ τοῖε ἀνθρώποιε εἴτ' ἐν ἀμφοῖν, is referred to. Τοῦτο is ὑγίεια. For the use of the neuter in reference to a fem. substantive, cp. 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 1, and see Bon. Ind. 484 a 59 sqq., where Metaph. Z. 10. 1035 b 14, ἐπεὶ δὲ ἡ τῶν ζώων ψυχή (τοῦτο γὰρ οὐσία τοῦ ἐμψύχου) κ.τ.λ. is quoted.
- 9. ἔν τα τοιούτω καὶ πρὸς τοιοῦτον, 'both in a healthy spot and facing a healthy quarter' (cp. Plato, Rep. 401 C).
- 10. δεύτερον δὲ δδασιν ὑγιεινοῖς χρῆσθαι. We expect ἐν τῷ ῦδασιν ὑγιεινοῖς χρῆσθαι, but Schneider rightly compares c. 13. 1331 b 27, τούτοιν δ' ἐστὶν ἐν μὲν ἐν τῷ τὸν σκοπὸν κεῖσθαι καὶ τὸ τέλος τῶν πράξεων ὀρθῶς, ἐν δὲ τὰς πρὸς τὸ τέλος φερούσας πράξεις εὐρίσκειν: cp. also 7 (5). 8. 1308 a 7–10, and 7 (5). 11. 1314 a 33 sqq. As to the fact, cp. De Gen. An. 4. 2. 767 a 28, διαφέρει δὲ καὶ χώρα χώρας εἰς ταῦτα (i.e. εἰς γένεσιν καὶ ἀγονίαν καὶ ἀρρενογονίαν καὶ θηλυγονίαν) καὶ ῦδωρ ῦδατος διὰ τὰς αὐτὰς αἰτίας ποιὰ γάρ τις ἡ τροφὴ γίνεται μάλιστα καὶ τοῦ σώματος ἡ διάθεσις διὰ τε τὴν κρᾶσιν τοῦ περιεστῶτος ἀέρος καὶ τῶν εἰσιόντων, μάλιστα δὲ διὰ τὴν τοῦ ῦδατος τροφήν' τοῦτο γὰρ πλεῖστον εἰσφέρονται, καὶ ἐν πᾶσίν ἐστι τροφὴ τοῦτο, καὶ ἐν τοῖς ξηροῖς' διὸ καὶ τὰ ἀτέραμνα ῦδατα καὶ ψυχρὰ τὰ μὲν ἀτεκνίαν ποιεῖ τὰ δὲ θηλυτοκίαν (see also Hist. An. 6. 19. 573 b 32 sqq.).

καὶ τούτου κ.τ.λ. With έγειν supply δεί from 8.

11. ols γὰρ κ.τ.λ. For οἰς πλείστοις χρώμεθα, see Vahlen on Poet. 16. 1454 b 20. For the thought cp. Hippocr. De Natura Hominis vol. i. p. 361 Kühn, al δὲ νοῦσοι γίνονται αl μὲν ἀπὸ τῶν διαιτημάτων, al δὲ ἀπὸ τοῦ πνεύματος, δ ἐσαγόμενοι ζῶμεν. τὴν δὲ διάγνωσεν χρὴ ἐκατέρων ἔδε ποιέεσθαι ὁκόταν μὲν ὑπὸ νοσήματος ἐνὸς πολλοὶ ἄνθρωπωι ἀλίσκονται κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον, τὴν αἰτίην χρὴ ἀνατιθέναι τουτέφ δ τι κοινότατόν ἐστι καὶ μάλιστα αὐτέφ πάντες χρεώμεθα ἔστι δὲ τοῦτο δ ἀναπνέομεν. As to water, cp. Hippocr. De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 532 Kühn (a passage which Aristotle seems to have before him), πλείστον γὰρ μέρος ξυμβάλλεται (ὕδωρ) ἐς τὴν ὑγιείην.

As to air, cp. Philyll. Inc. Fab. Fragm. 1 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 864),

έλκειν τὸ βέδυ σωτήριον προσεύχομαι, ὅπερ μέγιστόν ἐστιν ὑγιείας μέρος, τὸ τὸν ἀέρ' ἔλκειν καθαρὸν οὐ τεθολωμένον.

Aristotle does not say anything about the importance to health of other kinds of food than water. The Egyptians believed that all maladies arose from food (Hdt. 2. 77: Diod. 1. 82). See on this subject De Part. An. 3. 12. 673 b 25-28.

- 13. ἡ δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and water and air possess this kind of nature,' i.e. are of such a nature as to be largely and frequently used by us (so Vict. 'talem naturam habere ut crebro cogamur ad illa confugere'). Cp. 5 (8). 7. 1342 b 15. 'Η τῶν ὑδάτων καὶ τοῦ πνεῦματος δύναμις is little more than a periphrasis for τὰ ὕδατα καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα: see Bon. Ind. 206 b 38, 'sed etiam ea res cui aliqua facultas inest δύναμις nominatur, ut interdum δύναμις prope ad paraphrasin videri possit delitescere, cf. φύσις' in such phrases as ἡ τοῦ γάλακτος φύσις, Pol. 1. 8. 1256 b 14: Bonitz refers among many other passages to Meteor. 1. 2. 339 a 22, ὥστε πᾶσαν αὐτοῦ (i.e. τοῦ κόσμου) τὴν δύναμιν κυβερνᾶσθαι ἐκεῖθεν. The same usage is traceable in Plato: see Ast, Lex. Platon. s. v. δύναμις sub fin.
- 14. διόπερ κ.τ.λ. 'Εὰν μὴ πάνθ' ὅμοια μήτ' ἀφθονία τοιούτων ἢ ναμάτων, 'if all the springs are not equally good, and there is not an unlimited supply of wholesome springs.' Πάντα, sc. τὰ νάματα. Τοιούτων, i.e. ὑγιεινῶν. For μή followed by μήτε, see critical note on 1257 b 12. The arrangement recommended by Aristotle had probably already been adopted by some Greek cities when he wrote: thus we read of Pellene in Paus. 7. 27. 4, ἀκοδόμηται δὲ καὶ ἔλυτρον κρήνης ἐν τῷ ἀγορῷ, καὶ λουτρά ἐστιν αὐτοῖς τὸ ὕδωρ τὸ ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ, ἐπεί τοι πίνειν πηγαί σφισιν ὑπὸ τὴν πόλιν εἰσὶν οὐ πολλαί. It has been adopted in more than one city of modern Europe; for instance, at Nice (Times, Nov. 1, 1883).
- 17. περὶ δὲ τόπων τῶν ἐρυμνῶν. Aristotle has been speaking of the τόπος τῆς πόλεως from the point of view of salubrity (9), and now he turns to consider the question of ἐρυμνοὶ τόποι. Τῶν ἐρυμνῶν follows, instead of preceding, τόπων for the sake of emphasis. Cp. 3. 4. 1277 b 2, πρὶν δῆμον γενέσθαι τὸν ἔσχατον, and Diod. 13. 111. 3, τινὲς δὲ γονεῖς καὶ τέκνα τὰ νήπια λαβόντες, where stress is laid on 'infant children' as being the most helpless.
 - 19. οΐον ακρόπολις όλιγαρχικόν καὶ μοναρχικόν κ.τ.λ. Plato had

provided the city which he founds in the Laws with an acropolis (Laws 745 B, perà de raura pépy diedera diederda, deperor Errias πρώτον και Διός και 'Αθηνάς ιερόν, ακρόπολιν ονομάζοντα), but we seem to gather from 20, αριστοκρατικόν δ' οὐδίτερον, άλλα μάλλον Ισγυροί τύποι markious, that Aristotle's ideal city is to have more strong places than one, and that its chief strong place (c. 12, 1331 a 24 sqq.) is not an acropolis. For μοναρχικόν, cp. Pollux, 9. 40, τάχα δὲ τὴν ἀκρόπολιν nal Barileion de res eleve nal repasseios. The word, however, hints that an acropolis savours rather of Tyranny than of Kingship: cp. Diod. 16, 70, Τιμολέων δέ . . . τας μέν κατά την νησον ακροπόλεις και τα ruparveia karéokaye, Plut. Timol. c. 24, and Juv. 10. 306 sq. a level site was congenial to democracies may be inferred from the fact that the cities of Mantineia (Curtius, Peloponnesos, 1. 239: Bursian, Geogr. von Griechenland, 2. 200) and Megalopolis (Curtius, 1.281 sq.: Bursian, 2.244), both of them designed to be democratically ruled, were built on comparatively level sites. Aristotle does not explain why there should be more strong places than one in the central city of an apiotosparia (see above on 1273 a 19)perhaps he regards an apiστοκρατία as giving a share of power to a plurality of social elements (virtue, wealth, and the demos), each of which would have a strong place of its own—but it is a fact that at Sparta, Carthage, and the Epizephyrian Locri, the seats of three famous apiorosparlas, there were more strong places than one within the city. As to Sparta, cp. Polyb. 5. 22. 1, της γάρ Σπάρτης τῷ μὲν καθόλου σχήματι περιφερούς ύπαρχούσης και κειμένης ἐν τόποις έπιπέδοις, κατά μέρος δε περιεχούσης εν αύτη διαφόρους άνωμίλους καὶ Βουνώδεις τόπους κ.τ.λ., and Paus. 3. 17. 1, Λακεδαιμονίοις δε ακρόπολις μέν ές ύψος περιφανές έξίσχουσα ούκ έστι, καθά δή Θηβαίοις τε ή Καδμεία καὶ ή Λάρισα 'Αργείοις' δυτων δὶ ἐν τῆ πόλει λόφων καὶ ἄλλων, τὸ μάλιστα ές μετέωρον ανήκον ονομάζουσιν ακρόπολιν (see Dict. of Greek and Roman Geography, art. Sparta, vol. ii. p. 1026 b). The site of Carthage was 'a peninsula with water on three sides. On the three hills within this peninsula stood Carthage and its surroundings, its suburbs, and its necropolis' (E. A. Freeman, Contemporary Review, Sept. 1890, p. 368). See also Meltzer, Gesch. der Karthager, 2. 165 sqq. As to Locri, see Liv. 29. 6. 14 sqq. The seven hills of Rome, which was in its best days an apiorosparla, are famous.

28. τὸς ἄλλας πράξεις, i.e. other than those of war, e.g. ἀναγκαίας (c. 12. 1331 b 13) and πολιτικὸς πράξεις.

κατὰ τὸν νεώτερον καὶ τὸν 'Ιπποδάμειον τρόπον. Καί is explanatory, as in 1. 9. 1257 b 9, τὴν χρηματιστικὴν καὶ τὴν καπηλικήν. Holm (Griech. Gesch. 2. 324) denies that Hippodamus was the first to lay out cities with straight streets. The Campanian Neapolis, he says, was laid out altogether in this way, and Selinus was laid out with two main streets crossing each other at right angles. 'New cities, therefore, were built in this fashion before the fifth century B.C.,' and all that Hippodamus did was to introduce the method in places of the highest importance. But is this view reconcilable with 2. '8. 1267 b 22 sq.?

- 25. ὡς εἶχον κατὰ τὸν ἀρχαῖον χρόνον. Like Athens, of which we read in Pseudo-Dicaearch. De Graeciae Urbibus (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 254), ἡ δὲ πόλις ξηρὰ πᾶσα, οὐκ εὕυδρος, κακῶς ἐρρυμοτομημένη διὰ τὴν ἀρχαιότητα, and like Rome till its rebuilding by Nero (Tac. Ann. 15. 43, ceterum urbis quae domui supererant non, ut post Gallica incendia, nulla distinctione nec passim erecta, sed dimensis vicorum ordinibus et latis viarum spatiis cohibitaque aedificiorum altitudine ac patefactis areis). Compare also the contrast between the laying out of Rome and Capua in Cic. De Leg. Agrar. 2. 35. 96.
- 26. δυσέξοδος γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for that arrangement of private dwellings is hard of exit for foreign troops and hard of exploration for assailants [whether foreign or not].' The fate of the Thebans who were admitted into Plataea in B.C. 431 is probably present to Aristotle's mind. They found much difficulty in escaping from the city when their attempt on it had failed (Thuc. 2. 4. 2, 5). Compare the difficult position in which Xenophon's troops found themselves in assaulting the city of the Drilae not far from Trapezus on the Euxine (Xen. Anab. 5. 2. 7, δ δ' ἐλθῶν λέγει ὅτι ἔστι χωρίον χρημάτων πολλῶν μεστόν τοῦτο οὕτε λαβεῖν δυνάμεθα ἰσχυρὸν γάρ ἐστιν οὕτε ἀπελθεῖν ῥάδιον μάχονται γὰρ ἐπεξεληλυθότες καὶ ἡ ἄφοδος χαλεπή). An attacking force did not relish assaulting a city which it was at once difficult to explore, and consequently to reduce, and difficult to get out of in case of failure.
- 27. διδ δεῖ τούτων ἀμφοτέρων μετέχειν, i.e. διδ δεῖ τὴν τῶν ἰδίων οἰκήσεων διάθεσιν μετέχειν καὶ τοῦ ἡδέος καὶ τοῦ πρὸς τὰς πολεμικὰς ἀσφαλείας χρησίμου (cp. 31, οὕτω γὰρ καὶ πρὸς ἀσφάλειαν καὶ κόσμον ἔξει καλῶς). It is not quite clear whether Aristotle adds (in 29) καὶ τὴν μὲν ὅλην μὴ ποιεῖν πόλιν εὕτομον, κατὰ μέρη δὲ καὶ τόπους in explanation of his suggestion that the houses should be arranged in clumps or

quincunces, or as an alternative plan. Perhaps the former is the case. His plan will then be to drive straight wide streets between the clumps or quincunces of houses, but to leave the interior of each quincunx a tangle of narrow lanes. Compare J. R. Green's description of Roman London (Making of England, p. 106); it was 'little more than a mass of brick houses and red-tiled roofs, pierced with a network of the narrow alleys which passed for streets in the Roman world, and cleft throughout its area by two wider roads from the bridge.' Silchester and St. Alban's, however, were laid out with great regularity (Fox and St. John Hope, On the Desirability of the complete Excavation of the Site of Silchester, p. 4).

28. κατασκευάξη, 90. την των ίδιων ολκήσεων διάθεσιν.

καθάπερ κ.τ.λ., sc. κατασκευάζονται, 'as among farmers what some call clumps of vines are arranged.' For των άμπέλων συστάδας, cp. Pollux, 7. 146, καὶ ξυστάς μέν καὶ συστάσεις . ή αμπελόφυτος γη, ή μή κατά στίχου πεφυτευμένη, στοιχάς δέ ή κατά στίχου. Vines were planted in clumps or quincunces, partly because they looked better when thus arranged, and partly because they were more productive (Varro, De Re Rustica, 1. 7. 2 sqq.: Columella, 3. 13. 4: Quintil. 8. 3. 0). The younger Cyrus seems to have planted trees in this way (Xen. Oecon. 4. 20 sqq.: cp. Cic. De Senect. 17. 59). Sus., following Scaliger, reads in rois yempyious ('in the fields,' or 'farms') in place of ir rois yewpyois, which is the reading of all the MSS., but the word yempyion is nowhere else used by Aristotle (is it used by any writer earlier than Philo, Mechan. Synt. p. 96, l. 49 Schoene?), and ir rois yeapyois ('among farmers'), which is retained by Bekk.2, appears to be defensible: cp. Pherecr. Κοριαννώ, Fragm. 2 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 281),

> έν τοις Μαριανδυνοις έκείνοις βαρβάροις χύτρας καλουσι τάς μελαίνας Ισχάδας,

and Xen. Cyrop. 1. 3. 2, & δη νόμιμα ην εν Μήδοιε. Έν ταῖε γεωργίαιε, 'in the farms,' would probably be preferable to εν τοῖε γεωργίαιε (cp. Plato, Laws 762 A). But Aristotle often refers to practices prevailing among farmers (e.g. in De Gen. et Corr. 2. 8. 335 2 13: Hist. An. 5. 32. 557 b 29 sqq.).

81. καὶ πρὸς ἀσφάλειαν καὶ κόσμον. See critical note.

82. περὶ δὲ τειχῶν κ.τ.λ. This takes up 1330 b 17, περὶ δὲ τόπων τῶν ἐρυμνῶν. Aristotle has just pronounced in favour of an old-fashioned arrangement of private houses, and now he passes

on naturally enough to the question whether it is not the best plan to be old-fashioned in the matter of walls, and to dispense with them altogether. He has before him Plato, Laws 778 D. medi δὲ τειχών, Το Μέγιλλε, ἔγωγ' αν τη Σπάρτη ξυμφεροίμην τὸ καθεύδειν ἐαν ἐν τη γη κατακείμενα τὰ τείχη καὶ μὴ ἐπανιστάναι κ.τ.λ. The State of the Laws was pre-eminently one which laid claim to virtue (696 A: 731 Asqq.). Agesilaus ([Plut.] Apophth. Lac. Ages. 30) had been asked why Sparta had no walls, and had replied, Où λίθοις δεί καὶ Εύλοις τετειχίσθαι τας πόλεις, ταις δε των ενοικούντων αρεταις, and a similar dictum was ascribed to Lycurgus (Plut. Lycurg. c. 19). Isocrates had spoken of the Lacedaemonians as ἀρετῆς ἀμφισβητοῦντες in Archid. § 91, and had used the expression τους αρετής αντιποιουμένους in Panath. § 228 (cp. § 120). For λίαν άρχαίως ὑπολαμβάνουσιν, cp. Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2. 57, ἀρχαϊκὰ Φρονείς: ἦτοι εὐήθη, where Aristoph. Nub. 821 (Didot) and Plato, Euthydem. 295 C are referred to.

- 34. καὶ ταῦθ' ὁρῶντες κ.τ.λ. This refers to the humiliation (cp. 40, μὴ πάσχειν κακῶς μηδὲ ὑβρίζεσθαι) which Epaminondas' invasions of Laconia inflicted on Sparta. For the sing. ἔργφ, cp. Meteor. 1. 13. 349 b 35, δηλοῖ δ' αὐτὸ τὸ ἔργον, and Plato, Symp. 182 C, ἔργφ δὲ τοῦτο ἔμαθον. In 4 (7). 14. 1333 b 15 we have τοῖς ἔργοις ἐξελήλεγκται and in 7 (5). 8. 1308 a 1 ἐξελέγχεται ὑπὸ τῶν ἔργων.
- 35. ἔστι δὲ κ.τ.λ. So far as this Aristotle agrees with Plato's censure of those who seek safety in walls (Laws 779 A, τείχεσι δὲ καὶ πύλαις διανοεῖσθαι φραχθέντας τε καὶ καθεύδοντας σωτηρίας ὅντως ἔξειν μηχανάς κ.τ.λ.). Compare Thuc. 1. 32. 5, where the Corcyreans say, τὴν μὲν οὖν γενομένην ναυμαχίαν αὐτοὶ κατὰ μόνας ἀπεωσάμεθα Κορινθίους ἐπειδὴ δὲ μείζονι παρασκευῆ ἀπὸ Πελοποννήσου καὶ τῆς ἄλλης Ἑλλάδος ἐφ΄ ἡμᾶς ὥρμηνται, καὶ ἡμεῖς ἀδύνατοι ὁρῶμεν ὅντες τῆ οἰκεία μόνον δυνάμει περιγενέσθαι κ.τ.λ.
- 37. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. Take the case of Plataea or Phlius besieged by the Lacedaemonians and their allies, or of Haarlem besieged by the forces of Spain (Motley, Rise of the Dutch Republic, Part 3, c. 8). For καὶ συμβαίνει καὶ ἐιδέχεται, see above on 1264 b 18.
- 38. καὶ τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης καὶ τῆς ἐν τοῖς δλίγοις ἀρετῆς. Cp. 6 (4).

 11. 1295 a 26, μήτε πρὸς ἀρετὴν συγκρίνουσι τὴν ὑπὲρ τοὺς ἰδιώτας, Eth.

 Nic. 7. 1. 1145 a 18, πρὸς δὲ τὴν θηριότητα μάλιστ' ἀν ἀρμόττοι λέγειν

 τὴν ὑπὲρ ἡμᾶς ἀρετήν, ἡρωϊκήν τινι καὶ θείαν, Magn. Mor. 2. 5. 1200 b

 11 sqq., and Xen. Hell. 7. 4. 32, οἱ δ' αὐ Ἡλεῖοι . . . ἀπῆλθον εἰς τὸ ἄστυ, τοιοῦτοι γενόμενοι οἵους τὴν ἀρετὴν θεὸς μὲν ᾶν ἐμπνεύσας δύναιτο καὶ

έν ήμέρα ἀποδείξιιι, ἄνθρωποι δ' οὐδ' αν έν πολλώ χρόνω τοὺς μή δυτας ἀλκίμους ποιήσειαν.

- 40. την δοφαλεστάτην έρυμνότητα κ.τ.λ. So far from strong walls being a sign of effeminacy, as many thought (Plato, Laws 778 E: [Plut.] Apophth. Lac. Agis 6, Ages. 55, and Panthoid. 1), they are really a sign of warlike forethought.
- 1. άλλως τε καὶ νῦν εύρημένων κ.τ.λ. Aristotle refers among other 1331 a. things to the invention of the catapult made under Dionysius the Elder at Syracuse (Diod. 14. 42. 1, καὶ γὰρ τὸ καταπελτικὸν εὐρέθη κατά τούτον τον καιρόν έν Συρακούσαις, ώς αν των κρατίστων τεχνιτών πανταχόθεν είς ένα τόπον συνηγμένων . . διόπερ ανυπέρβλητον Φιλοτιμίαν είσφέροντες οί τεχνίται πολλά προσεπενοούντο βέλη και μηχανήματα Εένα και δυνάμενα παρέχεσθαι μεγάλας χρείας, and 14, 50, 4). The term μηγαναί, however, probably includes not only catapults, but also batteringrams with shelter-sheds for those who worked them, movable wooden towers with drawbridges which could be lowered so as to give the besiegers access to the top of the city-wall, scaling-ladders, etc. (Droysen, Gr. Kriegsalterth. p. 200. 1). Of these contrivances, catapults and (apparently) movable wooden towers were introduced into Greek warfare under Dionysius the Elder (Droysen, p. 211). He had to contend against the Carthaginians, who were the first to use battering-rams and towers for sieges in the West, and whose use of them against the Greeks gave them a great superiority in their Sicilian campaigns (Meltzer, Gesch. der Karthager, 2. 134). His catapults and wooden towers revolutionized the art of besieging cities. They cleared the walls of their defenders and thus facilitated the use of the battering-ram. Nor did the development of the art stop here. As time went on, the towers became higher and better armed, and the battering-rams longer and better sheltered. new methods of siege-warfare were inherited by Philip of Macedon, whose engines of war were famous (Demosth. Phil. 3. c. 50). See on the whole subject Droysen, op. cit. p. 211 sqq. For els ακρίβειαν, cp. Plato, Gorg. 487 C, είς την ακρίβειαν.
- 8. δμοιον γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Ταῖς πόλεσιν finds its correlative in τὴν χώραν and ταῖς οἰκήσεσι ταῖς ἰδίαις. If we are to deprive cities of their defence for fear of making the citizens unmanly, why should we stop there? Why should we not deprive the territory and private dwellings of their defences also?
- 4. τὸ τὴν χώραν εὐέμβολον ζητεῖν, 'to seek that the territory shall be easy of invasion,' for εἶναι should be supplied with εὐέμβολον.

Compare for the construction 1. 9. 1257 b 17 sqq. and Plato, Rep. 443 B and 375 E.

περιαιρεῖν τοὺς δρεινοὺς τόπους, 'strip off (from the territory) its mountainous spots,' as one might strip off an outer coating. Περιαιρεῖν answers to μὴ περιβάλλειν, 3.

- 7. For aλλά μην οὐδέ . . . γε see note on 1339 a 29.
- 10. ταις δέ μη κεκτημέναις. See critical note.
- 11. οδχ δτι τείχη μόνον περιβλητέον. Coray brackets μόνου, but, as Sus.² has already pointed out, quite wrongly: see Stallbaum on Plato, Symp. 179 B, καὶ μὴν ὑπεραποθνήσκειν γε μόνοι ἐθέλουσιν οἱ ἐρῶντες, οὐ μόνον ὅτι ἄνδρες, ἀλλὰ καὶ αὶ γυναῖκες, where he refers to Xen. Mem. 2. 9. 8, ὁ δὲ ᾿Αρχέδημος τῷ Κρίτωνι ἡδέως ἐχαρίζετο, καὶ οὐχ ὅτι μόνος ὁ Κρίτων ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ ἦν, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ φίλοι αὐτοῦ.

αλλά και τούτων επιμελητέον κ.τ.λ., 'but attention must also be paid to them to secure that they shall be in a state befitting the city not only with a view to ornament, but also with a view to military procedures, both those already in use and those further ones which have recently been discovered.' The 'military procedures' here referred to are probably those of besiegers, not those of the besieged, but this is not quite certain. For this modemakes xpelas, cp. c. 8. 1328 b 11, 8 (6). 8. 1322 a 34, and Plato, Phaedr. 239 D. How were walls to be made proof against recent improvements in siege-methods? By being made higher, for one thing, to resist scaling, and thicker, to resist battering-rams and heavy artillery (see Droysen, op. cit. p. 253). Aristotle's remark is perhaps based on the experience of Athens. The dangerous position in which she found herself after the defeat of Chaeroneia prompted a hasty effort to repair the walls (Aeschin. c. Ctes. cc. 27, 31), which was continued in the years B. C. 334-326 (Corp. Inscr. Att. 2. 167, referred to by Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 239, note 1, and Droysen, op. cit. p. 237. 1).

- 12. ὅπως κ.τ.λ. For the order of the words see note on 1327 a 4.

 15. δι' ὧν τρόπων. See note on 1314 a 30 and cp. Diod. 13. 95.

 3, δι' οῦ τρόπου.
- 16. τὰ μὲν εθρηται. Many of these devices are mentioned in the Commentarius Poliorceticus of Aeneas Tacticus (τακτικὸν ὑπόμνημα περὶ τοῦ πῶς χρὴ πολιορκουμένους ἀντέχειν), which was written (according to Christ, Gesch. der gr. Litteratur, p. 308) soon after B. c. 360. It is the only part which has come down to us of a larger work

referred to by Polybius (10. 44) under the title of Τὰ περὶ τῶν στρατηγικῶν ὑπομνήματα. See above on 1326 b 39.

ζητεῖν καὶ φιλοσοφεῖν. Cp. Isocr. Epist. 7. § 3, χρή ζητεῖν καὶ φιλοσοφεῖν, and De Pace, § 116, φιλοσοφήσετε καὶ σκέψεσθε.

17. ἀρχὴν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Thuc. 1. 93. 8, ἐβούλετο γὰρ (ὁ Θεμιστοκλῆς) τῷ μεγέθει καὶ τῷ πάχει (τῶν τειχῶν) ἀφιστάναι τὰς τῶν πολεμίων ἐπιβουλάς. The Index Aristotelicus refers to no other passage in which ἀρχήν is similarly used. The use of ἐξ ἀρχῆς in Poet. 24. 1460 a 33 sq., however, approaches that of ἀρχήν here.

19. Έπει δε κ.τ.λ. As the citizen-body must be divided into C.12. syssitia, and the walls must be dotted with guard-rooms and towers. it is a natural arrangement to locate some of the syssitia in the guard-rooms. Aristotle appears to intend the guard-rooms to be in the towers, but a common plan was to build city-walls solid only up to a certain height, and to place guard-rooms in them above that height (Droysen, op. cit. p. 251). As to κατὰ τύπους inikalpous (with which Liddell and Scott compare Demosth, De Cor. c. 27, προλαβών τους έπικαίρους των τόπων), towers were often placed along the wall close to a gate which needed guarding, or at an angle where two curtains of wall met which could be commanded by weapons discharged from the tower, or at intervals along the curtain. In times of pressing danger, and especially during a siege, it must have been a common practice for the defenders of the walls to take their meals either on them or close to them (co. Damon, ap. Athen. Deipn. 442 c, διὸ καὶ πολεμουμένων ποτὲ αὐτῶν (i.e. των Βυζαντίων) και ού προσκαρτερούντων τοις τείχεσι, Λεωνίδης δ στρατηγύς έκέλευσε τὰ καπηλεία ἐπὶ τῶν τειχῶν σκηνοπηγείν, καὶ μόλις ποτὲ ἐπαύσαντο λιποτακτοῦντες, and Aelian, Var. Hist. 3. 14), but Aristotle's recommendation refers to times of peace as well as times of war, and it probably went far beyond the practice of most cities. We find, indeed, that the polemarchs of Cynaetha spent the day at the gates of their city (Polyb. 4. 18. 2, πολέμαρχοι των κατεληλυθότων τινές έγεγονεισαν ταύτην δε συμβαίνει την άρχην κλείειν τας πύλας και τον μεταξύ χρόνον κυριεύειν των κλειδων, ποιείσθαι δε και τό καθ ήμέραν την δίαιταν έπλ τῶν πυλώνων), but Aristotle does not appear to be speaking here of high magistrates like polemarchs; he seems rather to have in view some part of the military force of the State. For the relyn διειλήφθαι φυλακτηρίοις και πύργοις, cp. Diod. 2. 7. 3, τείχος διειλημμένον πύργοις πυκνοίς καὶ μεγάλοις.

21. αὐτά, Lamb. 'eae res': cp. c. 4. 1325 b 33, ἐπεὶ δὲ πεφροι-

μίασται τὰ νῦν εἰρημένα περὶ αὐτῶν. Bonitz (Ind. 125 a 34) compares Top. 1. 5. 102 a 10, ὅτι δὲ πάντα τὰ νῦν ῥηθέντα τοιαῦτ' ἐστί, δῆλον έξ αὐτῶν, and 102 b 20, but would read αὐτό in place of αὐτά.

23. καὶ ταῦτα μὲν δὴ κ.τ.λ. Ταῦτα, 'these things,' probably refers not to συσσίτια, but to the matters which form the subject of the preceding sentence. Just as some of the syssitia and the guardrooms are to be conjoined, so we must conjoin the temples of the gods and the syssitia of highest authority. As to μὲν δή, Eucken remarks (De Partic. Usu, p. 46), 'diverso modo usurpatur, saepissime quidem ita ut inquisitione quadam finita omnia quae antecedunt comprehendat': he compares Phys. 1. 8. 191 b 27, εἶs μὲν δὴ τρόπος οὖτος, ἄλλος δὲ κ.τ.λ., and adds 'simili modo μὲν οὖν adhibetur.' See also Bon. Ind. 173 a 38 sqq.

24. rds δè κ.τ.λ. The suggestion just made as to some minor syssitia leads on to the question where 'the highest syssitia of the magistracies' are to be placed (τὰ κυριώτατα τῶν ἀρχείων συσσίτια, not τὰ τῶν κυριωτάτων ἀρχείων συσσίτια, because Aristotle has the contrast of ένια τῶν συσσιτίων still in his mind), and to the general question how the various syssitia are to be distributed over the city, for it is not proposed that all citizens-priests, magistrates lower and higher, and soldiers-should meet for meals at one and the same spot. On the contrary, the soldiers will have their syssitia on the walls, the highest magistrates and the priests on the hill on which the temples stand, and the less dignified magistrates near the commercial agora. For τας τοις θείοις αποδεδομένας ολκήσεις (contrast ai idiai οἰκήσεις, c. 11. 1330 b 21), cp. 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 21, τὰ πίπτοντα των οἰκοδομημάτων καὶ των ἄλλων δσα τέτακται πρός τοὺς θεούς. θείοις = rois των θεων, 'the things of the gods,' and especially 'religious observances' (cp. Xen. Cyrop. 8. 8. 2, and Pol. 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 31, τὰ δαιμόνια): so Lamb. 'rebus divinis,' while Vict. translates 'divinis naturis.' Aristotle takes pains to sever the temples and the highest magistrates from all else, keeping them apart even from the free agora, much more from the commercial agora: contrast Plato, Laws 778 C, τὰ μὲν τοίνυν ἱερὰ πᾶσαν πέριξ τήν τε αγοράν χρή κατασκευάζειν . . . πρός δε αυτοίς οικήσεις τε αρχόντων και δικαστηρίων, εν οίς τας δίκας ως ιερωτάνοις ούσι λήψονταί τε και δώσουσι, τα μεν ως οσίων πέρι, τα δε και τοιούτων θεων ίδρύματα, και έν τούτοις (i. e. 'deorum aedibus,' Stallbaum) δικαστήρια, εν οίς αι τε των φόνων πρέπουσαι δίκαι γίγνοιντ' αν καὶ όσα θανάτων άξια άδικήματα. The agora at Leontini seems to have been arranged on a plan

somewhat resembling that of Plato. Cp. Polyb. 7. 6, i yap raw Λεοντίνων πόλις τῷ μὲν όλφ κλίματι τέτραπται πρός τὰς ἄρκτους, ἔστι δὲ διά μέσης αυτής αυλών επίπεδος, εν φ συμβαίνει τάς το τών άρχείων και δικαστηρίων κατασκευάς και καθόλου την αγοράν υπάρχειν. Aristotle does not tell us where he would place the more important law-courts: he would probably place the less important ones at any rate near the commercial agora. His scheme differs from that of Plato in another point. Plato reserves his acropolis in the Laws (745 B) for Hestia, Zeus, and Athena, whereas Aristotle brings all the gods together on the central hill except those whose temples must necessarily be placed elsewhere. He follows Plato, however, in placing the more important magistracies of the State—its strategi, treasurers, and auditors (8 (6), 8, 1322 a 30 sqq.: 6 (4), 15, 1300 b o sqq.)—in the immediate neighbourhood of the temples. Their moral influence would thus be strengthened and their sense of responsibility increased. Whether his plan of placing the 'Downing Street' of his State on the top of a hill was a wise one, may well be doubted. At Athens the magistrates lived not on the acropolis, but at its foot. It is true that at Athens the Boulê sometimes met in the acropolis (Xen. Hell. 6. 4. 20), and that at Megara the offices of the chief magistracy seem to have been in the acropolis (Plut. Ages. c. 27). The wisdom of separating the offices of the major from those of the minor magistracies seems also questionable. But Aristotle's wish is to gather together in one easily defensible spot the animating forces of his State: cp. De Part. An. 3. 7. 670 a 23, καρδία μέν οὖν καὶ ἡπαρ πᾶσιν ἀναγκαία τοις ζώοις, ή μέν διά την της θερμότητος άρχην (δεί γάρ είναι τινα οίον έστίαν, εν ή κείσεται της φύσεως τὸ ζωπυρούν, καὶ τούτο εὐφύλακτον, ώσπερ άκρόπολις οὖσα τοῦ σώματος), τὸ δ' ἦπαρ τῆς πέψεως χάριν.

26. δσα μὴ τῶν ἰερῶν κ.τ.λ. Compare the way in which Plato severs the worship of the gods of the nether world from that of the heavenly gods (Laws 828 C, ἔτι δὲ καὶ τὸ τῶν χθονίων και ὅσους αὖ θεοὺς οὐρανίους ἐπονομαστέον καὶ τὸ τῶν τούτοις ἐπομένων οὐ ξυμμικτέον, ἀλλὰ χωριστέον ἐν τῷ τοῦ Πλούτωνος μηνὶ τῷ δωδεκάτω κατὰ τὸν νόμον ἀποδιδόντας). Among the temples to which Aristotle refers are probably those of Aesculapius, which were often situated outside the city (Plut. Quaest. Rom. c. 94, "διὰ τί τοῦ 'Ασκληπιοῦ τὸ ἰερὸν ἔξω πόλεως ἐστι;" πότερον ὅτι τὰς ἔξω διατριβὰς ὑγιεινοτέρας ἐνόμιζον εἶναι τῶν ἐν τῷ ἄστει; καὶ γὰρ Ελληνες ἐν τόποις καθαροῖς καὶ ὑψηλοῖς ἐπιεικῶς ἰδρυμένα τὰ 'Ασκληπίεια ἔχουσιν' ἡ ὅτι τὸν θεὸν ἐξ 'Επιδαύρου μετάπεμπτον

πκειν νομίζουσιν, Έπιδαυρίοις δ' οὐ κατά πόλιν άλλά πόρρω τὸ ᾿Ασκληπίειον έστιν). As to other temples, cp. Plutarch περί ήσυχίας ap. Stob. Floril. 58. 14. δια τοῦτό τοι καὶ τῶν θεῶν τὰ leρά, ὅσα ἐκ τοῦ πάλαι άργαίου νενόμισται, τοις έρημοτάτοις χωρίοις οί πρώτοι (ενίδρυσαν), μάλιστα δε Μουσών τε και Πανός και Νυμφών και Απόλλωνος και όσοι μουσικής πνεμόνες θεοί. The temples of Eileithvia in the Peloponnesus were often outside the gate of the city (Curtius, Peloponnesos, 2, 536); there was, for instance, a temple of Eileithvia outside the gate in the walls of Corinth which led to Tenea (Paus. 2. 5. 4). same was occasionally the case with the temples of the Chthonian Demeter; thus at Agrigentum 'the place chosen for' the Thesmophoria of Demeter 'was far beyond the walls of the elder city; it is barely within the walls of the enlarged city' (Freeman, Sicily, 2. 80), and at Syracuse the temple of Demeter and Persephone 'was placed by Gelon outside the bounds of his enlarged city' (ibid. 2. 213: see Diod. 14. 63. 1). For δ νόμος, cp. c. 17. 1336 b 16, εί μη παρά τισι θεοίς τοιούτοις οίς και τον τωθασμόν αποδίδωσιν ο νόμος. For an instance of the founding of a temple in a given spot in obedience to the commands of Delphi, cp. Paus. 1. 13. 8, καί σφισιν έστι τοῦ θεοῦ χρήσαντος, ενθα ὁ Πύρρος ετελεύτησεν, ໂερον Δήμητρος, εν δε αὐτῷ καὶ ὁ Πύρρος τέθαπται. Obedience was proverbially due to a command from Delphi (Xen. Rep. Lac. 8. 5, ἐπεὶ δὲ ἀνείλε (i. e. Apollo at Delphi) τῷ παντὶ ἄμεινον είναι (πείθεσθαι τοῖς Λυκούργου νόμοις), τότε ἀπέδωκεν (ὁ Λυκοῦργος), οὐ μόνον ἄνομον ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀνόσιον θείς τὸ πυθοχρήστοις νόμοις μὴ πείθεσθαι: Sext. Empir. adv. Math. 8. 443, ούτε γάρ ταις Χρυσίππου φωναίς ώς πυθοχρήστοις παραγγέλμασιν ἀνάγκη πείθεσθαι κ.τ.λ.). Aristotle speaks only of the Delphic oracle, whereas Plato in Laws 738 B sqq. makes a similar reservation in favour of the commands of those of Dodona and Ammon also, and even of τινές παλαιοί λόγοι.

28. εἴη δ' δν κ.τ.λ., 'and the place would be suitable which is such as to (ὅστις) possess adequate conspicuousness for the enthronement of virtue and a superiority of strength in relation to the adjacent parts of the city.' For ὁ τόπος ὅστις (not δς), cp. Xen. Oecon. c. 21. 10, τοῦ δὲ δεσπότου ἐπιφανέντος . . . ἐπὶ τὸ ἔργον, ὅστις δύναται καὶ μέγιστα βλάψαι τὸν κακὸν τῶν ἐργατῶν καὶ μέγιστα τιμῆσαι τὸν πρόθυμον. For the use of the word ἐπιφάνεια in a not very dissimilar sense, see the passages collected in Stallbaum's note on Plato, Alcib. 1. 124 C. Aristotle continues the sentence in καὶ πρὸς τὰ γειτνιῶντα μέρη τῆς πόλεως ἐρυμνοτέρως as if he had written

not ἐπιφάνειαν ἔχει ἰκανῶς, but ἐπιφανεστέρως ἔχει. For the use of ἔχειν in this double sense (transitive and intransitive), cp. Plato, Rep. 370 E, and Stallbaum's note. The 'virtue' referred to is apparently that of the gods and the supreme magistrates. That virtue should not be hidden, we see from Paroem. Gi. 2. 760, μὴ κρύπτε τὸ κάλλος ὥσπερ τὸ ποηφάγον: ἐπὶ τῶν διὰ δειλίων κρυπτόντων καὶ ἡν ἔχουσιν ἀρετήν' ὁ δὲ ποηφάγος ζῷύν ἐστιν ἐν Ἰνδοῖς (cp. Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2. 621): Pindar, Nem. 9. 6,

έστι δέ τις λόγος ανθρώπων, τετελεσμένον έσλον μη χαμαί σιγά καλύψαι:

Hor. Carm. 4. 9. 29,

Paullum sepultae distat inertiae Celata virtus:

and Plut. Pericl. c. 7, της άληθινης δ' άρετης κάλλιστα φαίνεται τὰ μάλιστα φαινόμενα, καὶ τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν οὐδὲν οῦτω θαυμάσιον τοῖς ἐκτὸς ὡς ὁ καθ ἡμέραν βίος τοῖς συνοῦσιν. Cp. also Simonides, Fragm. 58,

έστι τις λόγος τὰν ἀρετὰν ναίειν δυσαμβάτοις ἐπὶ πέτραις.

Temples especially were wont to be placed on conspicuous sites: see vol. i. p. 338, note 1, and compare also Xen. Mem. 3.8. 10, ναοῖε γε μὴν καὶ βωμοῖε χώραν ἔφη εἶναι πρεπωδεστάτην ῆτις ἐμφανεστάτη οὖσα ἀστιβεστάτη εῖη' ἡδὺ μὲν γὸρ ἰδόντας προσεύξασθαι, ἡδὺ δὲ ἀγνῶς ἔχοντας προσεύναι, Corp. Inscr. Gr. 2140. 35 (referred to by Bursian, Geogr. von Griechenland, 2.83.2), where the temple of Apollo in Aegina is described as situate in the ἐπιφανέστατος τόπος τῆς πόλεως, and Polyaen. Strateg. 5. 1. See also Hom. Hymn. in Aphrod. 100 sq. That the place assigned to the gods should be strong we see from Hdt. 5.67; there are obvious reasons why the abode of the chief magistrates should be so.

30. πρέπει δὲ κ.τ.λ. Μέν is answered by δέ in τὴν δὲ τῶν ὧνίων ἀγοράν, 1331 b 1. Κατασκευήν, 'provision' or 'establishment,' cp. 1331 b 10, κατεσκευάσθαι. The agora here referred to is to be below the hill on which the gods and the magistrates dwell, but still on high ground (cp. 1331 b 12, τὴν ἄνω, sc. ἀγοράν). It would be in this agora that the citizens would come together to elect magistrates, for magistracies were to be elective in Aristotle's 'best State' (c. 4. 1326 b 15), and here too the ecclesia would meet, if indeed, which is uncertain, Aristotle intends an ecclesia to exist. But the main function of this agora seems to be to serve as

a place for the enjoyment of leisure (1331 b 12), the highest and best thing in human life (c. 14. 1333 a 30-b 3). The buildings round it would not be the buildings which usually surrounded an agora. They would include no temples, for the temples were to be on the top of the hill above it, and no public offices either, if the public offices were also to be on the top of the hill, with the exception of those which adjoined the commercial agora. only buildings which we are distinctly told would adjoin the 'free agora' are those of the gymnasium of the elders. These buildings are placed close to it, partly in order that the shady walks and the streams of the gymnasium may add a fresh charm to the agora. partly in order that the elder citizens may obtain recreation without straying from the region which is especially theirs, partly also perhaps in order that they may be encouraged to carry on in their years of maturity the physical training of their earlier years, and may not be tempted to drop it as they probably often did in ancient Greece. There were already cities in which the agora and the gymnasium were close together—e.g. Elis (Curtius. Peloponnesos, 2. 29) and Sparta (ibid. 2. 234)--but it should be noticed that Aristotle brings into the neighbourhood of his 'free agora' only the gymnasium of the elder men; if he had placed the gymnasium of the younger men near it, he would have seriously altered the character of the spot.

31. οἴαν κτ.λ., '(such an agora) as they call by the name of agora in Thessaly, for example—I mean the agora which they term "free." ' With ονομάζουσιν we should supply αγοράν: cp. Isocr Panath. § 183, τοις αρετής αιτιποιουμένοις, μή τής έπὶ των τεχνών ονομα ζομένης και πολλών άλλων, where δνομαζομένης means 'called by that The word dyopá was connected in the minds of Greeks with ἀγοράζω, and to use the word as the Thessalians did of a place in which nothing was bought or sold would seem strange to them. Lambinus, followed by Bekk.2, Bonitz (Ind. 487 b 51), and Sus., would read κομίζουσιν in place of δνομάζουσιν, but it seems to me that this change involves the loss of the point of the passage. For rai in the sense of 'for example,' see above on 1255 a 36. Έλευθέραν is evidently interpreted by καθαράν τῶν ὡνίων πάντων in the next line. The word ἐλεύθερος is occasionally used by Aristotle in opposition to draykalos (e.g. in 1. 11. 1258 b 11), and we read of την αναγκαίαν αγοράν in 1331 b 11. Camerarius (Interp. p. 305) refers to Xen. Cyrop. 1. 2. 3 (a passage which Aristotle evidently

remembers), οί δε Περσικοί νόμοι προλαβόντες επιμελονται όπως την άρχην μη τοιοίτοι εσονται οί πολίται οίοι πονηρού τινος ή αίσχρου εργου εφιεσθαι έπιμελινται δε ώδε. εστιν αιτοίς ελευθέρα αγορά καλουμένη, ενθα τα τε Βασιλεία και τάλλα άρχεια πεποίηται. έντειθεν τα μέν ώνια και οι άγοραιοι καὶ αὶ τούτων φωναὶ καὶ ἀπειροκαλίαι ἀπελήλαιται εἰς ἄλλον τοπον, ὡς μή μιγνίηται ή τούτων τύρβη τῆ τῶν πεπαιδευμένων εὐκοσμία διήρηται δε αυτη ή άγορα ή περί τα άρχεία τετταρα μέρη ιούτων δ' έστιν έν μεν παισίν, έν δέ έφήβοις, άλλο τελείοις ανδράσιν, άλλο τοις ύπερ τα στρατεύσιμα έτη γεγονόσι. At the opposite pole to an agora of this kind stands the yuvaixeia ayopa of Menander (Pollux, 10, 18, xai uhv el yuvaixeiav άγοραν τον τόπον ου τα σκείη τα τοιούτα πιπρασκουσις έθελοις καλειν έθροις αν έν ταις Συναριστώσαις Μενάνδρου το δνομα: Memeke, 11 Com. Gr 4 204). According to Holm, Gr Gesch 2, 300 (see also Busolt, Gr. Gesch., ed. 2, 3, 1, 361), the agora at Athens was divided into two parts, a Southern part used for political purposes, and a Northern part used for trade and social intercourse. That Aristotle would not be satisfied with a mere division of one and the same agora into two parts, we see from 1331 b 1. τήν δε των ωνίων άγοραν έτεραν τε δεί ταύτης είναι και χωρίς. Ας το Sparta and Rome in relation to this matter, see vol. i. p. 339. note 1. The two kinds of agora are traceable in some Southern ottes still. At Zara there is a Piazza dei Signori, and also a Piazza dell' Erbe (T. G. Jackson, Dalmatia 1 239, 243). At San Marino the Borgo, which is 'the business centre of the State,' where the market is held, is some little way below the town of San Marino, it lies on a small plateau beneath the steep long ridge with its three crags crowned with castles' on which the town is built (E. Armstrong, 'A Political Survival,' in Macmillan's Magazine, No. 375, Jan. 1891, p. 197).

33 καὶ μήτε βάναυσον κ.τ.λ. Supply εἰς ἦν δεί. For παραβάλλειν, 'enter,' see Bon Ind. s.v. where De Mir Auscult 81 836 a 28, δτε. . εἰς τούτους τοὺς τάπους παρεβάλε, is quoted among other passages

35. ετη δ' ἄν κ.τ.λ. At Athens in Aristotle's day the gymnasia were outside the walls, but Plato had already proposed a change in this respect (see vol. i. p. 338, note 2). One gymnasium at any rate at Thebes was outside the city (Xen. Hell. 5. 2. 25). At Sparta and Elis, on the other hand, the gymnasium was within the city (see above on 30), and this was the case also at Megalopolis (Paus 8 31. 8), and apparently at Pellene (Paus. 7. 27. 5: Curious, Pelopon-

NOTES.

nesos, 1. 483). The gymnasium built by the grave of Timoleon at Syracuse and called Timoleonteum was in the agora (Plut. Timol. c. 39). The plan of separating the gymnasium of the elder from that of the younger men may be borrowed by Aristotle from Sparta; we read at any rate in Plut. Cimon, c. 16, of the ephebi and the young men (τῶν ἐψήβων καὶ τῶν νεανίσκων) exercising together in a stoa at Sparta as far back as the time of the great earthquake, when Archidamus, son of Zeuxidamus, was King. In the imaginary Persia of Xenophon's Cyropaedeia the boys, the ephebi, the full-grown men, and those past the military age have each of them a separate part of the agora for their use (Xen. Cyrop. 1. 2. 4, quoted above on 31).

- 38. καὶ τοῦτον τὸν κόσμον = καὶ ταύτην τὴν τάξιν, 'this arrangement' (or 'institution') 'also,' i.e. the gymnasia as well as the syssitia: cp. 5 (8). 7. 1342 b 20, ἔστι δὶ καὶ ταῦτα ὡρισμένα ταῖς ἡλικίαις, and for κόσμον, 7 (5). 7. 1307 b 5, ἔως ἃν πάντα κινήσωσι τὸν κόσμον, and 4 (7). 10. 1329 b 5, τῶν συσσιτίων ἡ τάξις.
- 40. ἡ γὰρ ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖς κ.τ.λ. Aristotle here implies that there are two kinds of αἰδώς, one genuine and the other not (cp. Dio Chrys. Or. 21. 273 M, τῆς ἀληθινῆς αἰδοῦς). Phaedra in the Hippolytus of Euripides (363 Bothe: 385 Dindorf) had already said,

αίδώς τε δισσαί δ' είσίν, ή μέν οὐ κακή, ή δ' ἄχθος οἵκων,

but she is distinguishing between the aldús which holds back where there should be no holding back and the aldie which is not inopportune. Aristotle's distinction, on the contrary, is drawn between the aidos which befits freemen and the aidos which does not. The δέος οἰκετῶν πρὸς δεσπότας of which we read in Aristot. Fragm. 178. 1507 b 22, 37 was probably miscalled aldus by some. True aldús was rather to be sought in the respectful awe with which the Spartans regarded the members of the yepovoia (Aeschin. c. Timarch. c. 180, παρελθών τις των γερόντων, οθς εκείνοι καὶ αἰσχύионтан кай дедіать: ср. Plut. Apophth. Lac. Polydor. 4. 231 F, έρωτηθείς δε διά τι Σπαρτιάται κατά πόλεμον κινδυνεύουσιν ανδρείως, Ότι, ἔφη, αιδείσθαι τοὺς ἡγεμόνας ἔμαθον, οὐ φοβείσθαι). The nature of the aldos which besits freemen may best be learnt from Plato, Laws 671 C sq. (where it is called θείος φύβος), 647 A, 698 B, and 699 C: we gather that it makes men obedient to law and order and content with their share of speech and silence, and also courageous and

remembers), οί δε Περσικοί νόμοι προλαβόντες επιμελονται όπως την άρχην μη τοιοίτοι εσονται οί πολίται οίοι πονηρού τινος ή αίσχρου εργου εφιεσθαι έπιμελινται δε ώδε. εστιν αιτοίς ελευθέρα αγορά καλουμένη, ενθα τα τε Βασιλεία και τάλλα άρχεια πεποίηται. έντειθεν τα μέν ώνια και οι άγοραιοι καὶ αὶ τούτων φωναὶ καὶ ἀπειροκαλίαι ἀπελήλαιται εἰς ἄλλον τοπον, ὡς μή μιγνίηται ή τούτων τύρβη τῆ τῶν πεπαιδευμένων εὐκοσμία διήρηται δε αυτη ή άγορα ή περί τα άρχεία τετταρα μέρη ιούτων δ' έστιν έν μεν παισίν, έν δέ έφήβοις, άλλο τελείοις ανδράσιν, άλλο τοις ύπερ τα στρατεύσιμα έτη γεγονόσι. At the opposite pole to an agora of this kind stands the yuvaixeia ayopá of Menander (Pollux, 10, 18, xai μην εί γυναικείαν άγοραν τον τόπον ου τα σκείη τα τοιούτα πιπρασκουσιν έθελοις καλειν έθροις αν έν ταις Συναριστώσαις Μενάνδρου το δνομα: Memeke, 11 Com. Gr 4 204). According to Holm, Gr Gesch 2, 300 (see also Busolt, Gr. Gesch., ed. 2, 3, 1, 361), the agora at Athens was divided into two parts, a Southern part used for political purposes, and a Northern part used for trade and social intercourse. That Aristotle would not be satisfied with a mere division of one and the same agora into two parts, we see from 1331 b 1. τήν δε των ωνίων άγοραν έτεραν τε δεί ταύτης είναι και χωρίς. Ας το Sparta and Rome in relation to this matter, see vol. i. p. 339. note 1. The two kinds of agora are traceable in some Southern ottes still. At Zara there is a Piazza dei Signori, and also a Piazza dell' Erbe (T. G. Jackson, Dalmatia 1 239, 243). At San Marino the Borgo, which is 'the business centre of the State,' where the market is held, is some little way below the town of San Marino, it lies on a small plateau beneath the steep long ridge with its three crags crowned with castles' on which the town is built (E. Armstrong, 'A Political Survival,' in Macmillan's Magazine, No. 375, Jan. 1891, p. 197).

33 καὶ μήτε βάναυσον κ.τ.λ. Supply εἰς ἦν δεί. For παραβάλλειν, 'enter,' see Bon Ind. s.v. where De Mir Auscult 81 836 a 28, δτε. . εἰς τούτους τοὺς τάπους παρεβάλε, is quoted among other passages

35. εῖη δ' ἄν κ.τ.λ. At Athens in Aristotle's day the gynnasia were outside the walls, but Plato had already proposed a change in this respect (see vol. i. p. 338, note 2). One gymnasium at any rate at Thebes was outside the city (Xen. Hell. 5. 2. 25). At Sparta and Elis, on the other hand, the gymnasium was within the city (see above on 30), and this was the case also at Megalopolis (Paus 8 31. 8), and apparently at Pellene (Paus. 7. 27. 5: Curios, Pelopon-

oporteat esse in fanis illis, ut serviant officio suo, quod sine scelere deseri non potest, facilius id praestabunt, si explebunt desideria corporis propinquo in loco.' Aristotle's aim probably is to secure more attention to their duties on the part of the priests than was often forthcoming from them. 'By the leρòs νόμος of Oropus (Έφ. 'Αρχ. 1885, 94) the priest of Amphiaraus was only required μένεω ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ μὴ ἔλαττον ἡ δέκα ἡμέρας τοῦ μηνὸς ἐκάστου. He probably spent the remainder of his time in the city, where he had a civil occupation in addition to his sacred office. Compare von Wilamowitz, Hermes, 21. 93' (Toepffer, Attische Genealogie, p. 160. 2).

περὶ τὴν τῶν ἱερῶν οἰκοδομημάτων. Schn. is probably right in supplying τάξιν. Compare the suppression of διανομήν in Plato, Laws 745 D.

- 6. τῶν δ' ἀρχείων κ.τλ., 'but all the magistracies which have in their charge contracts and indictments in lawsuits and callings into courts and other administrative work of the kind just mentioned.' Aristotle does not rate these functions highly (cp. 6 (4). 15. 1300 b 10 'qq.), nor does Plato (Rep. 425 C sqq.). The magistracies which have the supervision of contracts are here marked off from the agoranomi, though in 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 12, πρῶτον μὲν οὖν ἐπιμέλεια τῶν ἀναγκαίων ἡ περὶ τὴν ἀγοράν, ἐφ' ἢ δεῖ τινὰ ἀρχὴν εἶναι τὴν ἐφορῶσαν περὶ τε τὰ συμβόλαια καὶ τὴν εὐκοσμίαν, the functions of the agoranomi are implied to include the supervision of contracts. Aristotle is perhaps here thinking of magistrates with whom contracts were registered: see as to these 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 34 sqq. and note.
- 9. την καλουμένην ἀστυνομίαν. Probably the word ἀστυνομία was not familiar everywhere (cp. 8 (6), 8, 1321 b 23, καλοῦσι δ' ἀστυνομίαν οἱ πλειστοι τὴν τοιαύτην ἀρχήν). The title, indeed, seems to be too grand for the functions of the office. See note on 1317 a 18. Yet Aristotle adds οἱ καλούμενοι to such simple and everyday words as γεωργοί and βάναυσοι in 6 (4). 4 1290 b 40 sq., so that the addition of τὴν καλουμενην here may need no special explanation.
- 10. πρὸς ἀγορῷ μὲν δεῖ κ τλ, 'must be established near indeed to some agora and place of public concourse, but the place adapted for the business done by them is [not the place near the free agora, but] the place near the necessary agora, for,' etc. For σύνοδος, see note on 1319 a 31. For τοιοῖτος, cp. 1331 a 28. For the contrast of ἀναγκαία ἀγορά and ἐλευθέρα ἀγορά, cp. 1. 11. 1258 b 10.
- 12. ἐνσχολάζειν μὲν γὰρ τὴν ἄνω τίθεμεν. For ἐνσχολάζειν, where the infinitive expresses the purpose, see Goodwin, Moods and

Tenses, § 770, who refers among other passages to Plato, Phaedr 228 Ε, παρόντος δὲ καὶ Αυσίου ἐμαυτόν σοι ἐμμελετᾶν παρίχειν οὶ πάντ δέδοκται, and Thuc. 2. 44. 2. For τὴν ἄνω, cp. Thuc 1. 93. 0, τον τε Πειραιᾶ ὡφελιμώτερον ἐνόμιζε τῆς ἄνω πόλεως. For τιθεμει, cp. c. 1; 1332 a 30 sq.

ταύτην δὲ πρὸς τὰς ἀναγκαίας ποάξεις, 'and this for necessary activities, [with the supervision of which these magistracies are concerned].'

13. νενεμήσθαι δέ χρή κ.τ.λ., 'and matters in the territory should be distributed on the plan which has been described,' i.e. so that sites shall be allotted for guard-houses and syssitia of magistrates in them and for temples. Aristotle here has before him Plato, Laws 848 C sqq., and especially δωδεκα κώμας είναι χρή, κιτά με τον τὸ δωθεκατημόριον εκαστον μίαν, εν τη κώμη δε εκάστη πρώτον μεν ίερα καὶ αγοράν έξηρησθαι θεών τε και των έπομένων θεοίς δαιμόνων ... πρώτον δέ οικοδομίας είναι περί τὰ ίερὰ ταῦτα, ὅπη ἀν ὁ τόπος ὑψηλότατος ή, τοις Φρουροίς υποδοχήν ο τι μάλιστα εὐερκή. He also follows the example of Plato (Laws 760 B sqq.) in creating the magistracy of the agronomi to keep watch and ward over the rural districts. We nowhere find a mention of apporounce except in the writings of Plato and Aristotle, nor of δλωροί except in the Politics (Calbert Gr. Staatsalt 2, 333), though Suidas has an article under the head of ίληωροί. See as to these magistrates below on 1321 b 27. Plato had already established syssitia for the agronomic (Laws 762 B, διαιτάσθων δε οί τε άρχοντες οί τε άγρονόμοι τά δύο έτη τοιόνδε τινά τρόπον πρώτον μέν δή καθ' έκαστους τοις τόπους είναι ξισσίτια, εν οίς κοινή την δίαιταν ποιητέον απασιν). As to the φυλακή $\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \hat{\omega} \rho a s$, see Xen. Mem. 3. 6. 10 sqq. (where one of its objects is implied to be the protection of property against robbers), and Rhet 1 4. 1360 a 6 sqq. Φυλακτήρια were scattered over Attica (see Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 312, note 1, and Haussoullier, Vie Municipale en Attique, p. 193), we read in Xen. De Vect 4 43 of a raixos at Anaphlystus and of another at Thoricus. A description of the cistle at Oenoe will be found in Droysen, Gr. Kriegsalterthumer, p. 259. We may perhaps infer from Laws 848 C sqq. (quoted above) that Greek villages often had a fortress on high ground towering over them quite in the style which we associate with the middle ages. These φυλακτήρια furnished quarters for the young citizens of Athens during the year in which they served as περίπολοι ('Aθ. Hoλ. c. 42, § 4, with Sandys'

NOTES.

note: cp. Eupolis, Inc. Fab. Fragm. 56, καὶ τοὺς περιπόλους ἀπιέν' εἰς τὰ φρούρια). How important a part they sometimes played in the defence of the State appears from Diod. 14. 57. 6, where we read that after the city of Messana in Sicily had been captured by the Carthaginians, τὰ κατὰ τὴν χώραν φρούρια, in which most of the citizens had taken refuge, offered a successful resistance.

- 17. ἔτι δὲ ἰερὰ κ.τ.λ., 'and further temples must be marked out all over the territory, some for gods and others for heroes.' Cp. Plato, Laws 848 D, where it is arranged that in each village there shall be temples of the gods καὶ τῶν ἐπομένων θεοῖς δαιμόνων: elsewhere also in the Laws he recommends worship to be paid not only to gods and heroes but also to daipoves (Laws 717 B, perà θεούς δὲ τούσδε καὶ τοῖς δαίμοσιν ο γε εμφρων δργιάζοιτ αν, ήρωσι δὲ μετὰ τούτους: cp. 738 B and D). As to the position given by Plato to δαίμονες, see the passages from his writings referred to by Zeller, Plato, Eng. Trans., p. 501, note 38. Plutarch says (De Defect. Orac. c. 10: see also c. 17, and De Iside et Osiride, c. 25), Hoiodos de καθαρώς και διωρισμένως πρώτος έξέθηκε των λογικών τέσσαρα γένη, θεούς, είτα δαίμονας πολλούς κάγαθούς, είτα ήρωας, είτα άνθρώπους, των ήμιθέων els ηρωας αποκριθέντων. Aristotle is acquainted with the distinction between gods and δαίμονες (cp. Περί της καθ υπνον μαντικής, c. 2. 463 b 13 sqq.), and his silence in this passage about daipoves is significant. That heroes were believed sometimes to fight for the State which worshipped them, appears from Diod. 15. 53. 4. Attica was full of village-shrines (Liv. 31. 26: see Thirlwall, Hist. of Greece, 8. 290). We see from the passage before us that the word lepór was used of the building dedicated to a hero, though the sacred enclosure round it was properly called not a réperos, but a σηκός (Pollux, 1. 6).
- 18. ἀλλὰ τὸ διατρίβειν νῦν ἀκριβολογουμένους κ.τ.λ. Cp. 1. 11. 1258 b 34, τὸ δὲ κατὰ μέρος ἀκριβολογεῖσθαι χρήσιμον μὲν πρὸς τὰς ἐργασίας, φορτικὸν δὲ τὸ ἐνδιατρίβειν, and 5 (8). 7. 1341 b 29 sqq. Καὶ λέγοντὰς is added in contradistinction to ποιοῦντας, cp. 20 sqq. Τῶν τοιοῦτων is repeated in 19 and 22, and in 20 we have τὰ τοιαῦτα. See note on 1284 b 28.
- 20. οδ γάρ χαλεπόν κ.τ.λ. There is a designed antithesis between the similarly sounding words νοῆσαι and ποιῆσαι, εὐχή and τύχη. For the contrast of νοῆσαι and ποιῆσαι, cp. Metaph. Z. 7. 1032 b 15 sqq. Aristotle has before him Plato, Laws 745 B, τὸ δὴ μετὰ τοῦτο πρῶτον μὲν τὴν πόλιν ίδμῦσθαι δεῖ τῆς χώρας δ τι μάλιστα ἐν μέσφ,

καὶ τάλλα ὅσα πρόσφορα πόλει τῶν ὑπαρχόντων ἔχοντα τόπον ἐκλεξάμενον, ἀ νοῆσαί τε καὶ εἰπεῖν οὐδὲν χαλεπόν: cp. also Phileb. 16 C, and Philem. Ἐφεδρῖται, Fragm. 2 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 10), χαλεπόν τὸ ποιεῖν, τὸ δὲ κελεῦσαι ῥάδιον.

21. τὸ μὲν γὰρ λέγειν εὐχῆς ἔργον ἐστί, τὸ δὲ συμβῆναι τύχης. Bonitz (Ind. 303 a 54) compares c. 13. 1332 a 29, διὸ κατ' εὐχὴν εὐχόμεθα τὴν τῆς πόλεως σύστασιν, ὡν ἡ τύχη κυρία. Το pray is easy (Demosth. Ol. 3. 18, εὕξασθαι μὲν γάρ, ὡ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι, ῥάδιον). For εὐχῆς ἔργον, cp. Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 68, εὐχῆς, οὐ νόμον, διαπράττοιτ' ἃν ἔργον, and [Plut.] De Liberis Educandis, c. 20 sub fin., τὸ μὲν οὖν πάσας τὰς προειρημένας συμπεριλαβεῖν παραινέσεις εὐχῆς ἴσως ἔργον ἐστί. For the use in the same sentence of the pres. infin. λέγειν and the aor. infin. συμβῆναι, cp. c. 13. 1332 a 28 sq. and 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 3 sq. Cp. also 8 (6). 4. 1318 b 21 sq.

24. Περί δὲ τῆς πολιτείας αὐτῆς κ.τ.λ. The contents of the C. 13. thirteenth and following chapters have been sketched in vol. i. p. 340 sqq. The answer given in them to the question here raised is—a State that is to be happy must consist of citizens who are endowed by nature, fortune, and education with the means of making an absolutely, and not merely conditionally, perfect use of virtue, or in other words of citizens who are not only good men (σπουδαίοι), but are also supplied with an adequate amount of bodily and external goods, i.e. of citizens possessed of aperi κεγορηγημένη (1332 a 28 sqc.: 6 (4). 2. 1289 a 32 sq.). Aristotle insists on this because he holds that Plato had starved the life of his guardians in the Republic and robbed it of happiness (2. 5, 1264 b 15 sqq.), and had sought to construct a happy State without making any class of his citizens happy (see vol. i. p. 427 sq.); he probably thought that Plato would not have made this mistake if he had studied the nature of happiness more closely. Aristotle's own ideal of a happy State, which is a sound and noble one, is conceived in direct and designed contrast to that of Plato's Republic and also to the model of the Lacedaemonian State. His ideal State consists of a body of citizens fully supplied with absolute goods and living a life in which work is crowned with leisure, yet unspoilt by their good fortune and enabled by a wisely ordered education to use their leisure aright. For της πολιτείας αὐτης, 'the constitution itself, as distinguished from matters outside it,' see above on 1326 b 35, and cp. Plut. De Cohib. Ira, c. 12, where αὐτή ή δργή is contrasted with τὰ ἐν δργή άμαρτήματα, and Demosth. in

- Lept. c. 144, καὶ νυνὶ περὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ νόμου πᾶς ἐστὶν ὁ λόγος, τούτφ δ' (i.e. to the proposer of the law) οὐδείς ἐστι κίνδυνος. For ἐκ τίνων καὶ ἐκ ποίων, cp. c. 10. 1329 b 40, and see above on 1274 b 32. As to the repetition of ἐκ, see critical note.
- 26. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. The apodosis is introduced by μὲν οὖν, 39, as in c. 2. 1324 a 19 sqq., where see note. Plato (Laws 962 A) and Isocrates (De Pace, § 28 and Epist. 6. 8) had already dwelt on the importance in any inquiry of ascertaining both the end and the means of attaining it. For τὸ εὖ, see Bon. Ind. 291 b 25 sqq. Bonitz (Ind. 685 a 40) contrasts τὸν σκοπὸν καὶ τὸ τέλος τῶν πράξεων with 5 (8). 6. 1341 b 14, πονηρὸς γὰρ ὁ σκοπὸς πρὸς δν ποιοῦνται τὸ τέλος. See note on the latter passage.
 - 28. ἐν δὲ τὰς κ.τ.λ., not ἐν τῷ τάς: see above on 1330 b 10.
 - 30. ταῦτα, i.e. τὸ τέλος and τὰς πρὸς τὸ τέλος φερούσας πράξεις.
- 31. ἔκκειται καλῶς, 'is proposed well.' Compare (with Liddell and Scott) Megasthenes, ap. Strab. p. 707, τοῖς δ' ὁπλοποιοῖς καὶ ναυπηγοῖς μισθοὶ καὶ τροφαὶ παρὰ βασιλέως ἔκκεινται. In 28 we have κείσθαι ὀρθῶς, and in 36 τὸν ὑποκείμενον αὐτοῖς ὅρον.
- έν τῷ πράττειν, as distinguished from τὸ νοείν, which is a prior stage: cp. Metaph. z. 7. 1032 b 6 sqq.
- 33. δτὲ δὲ κ.τ.λ. The Platonic Socrates in the Republic is charged with this twofold error in 2. 2. 1261 a 11-16.
- 34. οδον περὶ ἰατρικήν, sc. διαμαρτάνουσιν: cp. Plato, Laws 962 A,
 ιατρὸς δὴ τὸ περὶ σῶμα ἀγνοῶν, ὁ προσείπομεν ὑγίειαν νῦν, ἢ νίκην στρατηγὸς
 ἢ τῶν ἄλλων ὅσαι δὴ διήλθομεν, ἔσθ ὅπως ἀν νοῦν περί τι τούτων ἀν ἔχων
 φαίνοιτο;
 - 36. Spor here = $\tau \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$.
- 38. κρατεῖσθαι, 'obtineri' (Bon. Ind. s. v.): see Vahlen on Poet. 18. 1456 a 10, πολλοὶ δὲ πλέξαντες εὖ λύουσι κακῶς δεῖ δὲ ἄμφω ἀεὶ κρατεῖσθαι, and cp. Eth. Nic. 6. 8. 1141 b 21, ὥστε δεῖ ἄμφω ἔχειν, ἢ ταύτην μᾶλλον. See vol. i. p. 341, note 1.
- τάς εἰς τὸ τέλος πράξεις. We expect πρός in place of εἰς, but cp. c. 11. 1330 b 16, χωρὶς τά τε εἰς τροφὴν ὕδατα καὶ τὰ πρὸς τὴν ἄλλην χρείων, and c. 15. 1334 a 14, τὰς εἰς τὴν σχολὴν ἀρετάς. Cp. also 'Αθ. Πολ. c. 23, τὰ εἰς τὸν πόλεμον.
- 39. ὅτι μὲν οὖν τοῦ τε εὖ ζῆν καὶ τῆς εὐδαιμονίας ἐφίενται πάντες, φανερόν. Here the apodosis begins. Aristotle perhaps remembers Plato, Meno 78 A, ἔστιν οὖν ὅστις βούλεται ἄθλιος καὶ κακοδαίμων εἶναι; and the argument which follows. Cp. also Rhet. 1. 5. 1360 b 4, σχεδὸν δὲ καὶ ἰδία ἐκάστω καὶ κοινῆ πᾶσι σκοπός τις ἐστίν, οὖ στοχα-

ζόμενοι καὶ αίρουνται καὶ φεύγουσιν' καὶ τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ἐν κεφαλαίφ εἰπεῖν ή τ' εὐδαιμονία καὶ τὰ μόρια αὐτῆς.

- 40. dλλά τούτων κ.τ.λ. As to those who have not the power to attain happiness, cp. c. 8. 1328 a 38 sqq. In some of these there is a defect of φύσις (c. 13. 1332 a 40 sqq.: 7 (5). 12. 1316 a 8 sqq.); this is the case with natural slaves (3. 9. 1280 a 33 sq.). In others there is a defect of τύχη (41 sqq.: cp. 6 (4). 11. 1295 a 27, μήτε πρὸς παιδείαν ἡ φύσεως δείται καὶ χορηγίας τυχηρᾶς). Compare Plato, Laws 747 C (quoted in vol. i. p. 341, note 2) and 934 D.
- 41. δείται γάρ κ.τ.λ. This is added in explanation of διά τωα τύχην, for a defect of χορηγία is due to a defect of fortune. Καὶ χορηγίας τωός, as well as of φύσις.
- 1. τούτου δὲ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably remembers a saying of 1332 a. Pelopidas recorded by Plutarch, Pelop. c. 3, τῶν δὲ φίλων νουθετούντων καὶ λεγόντων ὡς ἀναγκαίου πράγματος ὀλιγωρεῖ, τοῦ χρήματα ἔχειν "'Αναγκαίου, νὴ Δία, Νικοδήμω τούτω," ἔφη, δείξας τινὰ χωλὸν καὶ τυφλόν, and by Aelian, Var. Hist. 11. 9. Cp. also Plut. Aristid. et Cato inter se comp. c. 4, ὡς γὰρ σῶμα τὸ καλῶς πρὸς εὐεξίαν κεκραμένον οὕτ' ἐσθῆτος οὕτε τροφῆς δείται περιττῆς, οὕτω καὶ βίος καὶ οἶκος ὑγιαίνων ἀπὸ τῶν τυχόντων διοικείται, and Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 a 32, ὁ δὲ σοφὸς καὶ καθ' αὐτὸν ὧν δύναται θεωρείν, καὶ δσω ἀν σοφώτερος ἢ μᾶλλον.
- 2. oi δ' εὐθὸς κ.τ.λ. Εὐθύς, 'from the outset,' because, unlike the others, who start aright but fail later on, they are wrong at starting. So we read in 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 31 sqq. that there are persons who seek happiness in the pleasures of recreation, mistaking them for the pleasures of the true end of life. Cp. Eurip. Hippol. 360 Bothe (382 Dindorf), where some are said to miss what is good,

ήδουην προθέντες αυτί τοῦ καλοῦ ἄλλην τω,

and Arrian, Epictet. 3. 23. 34.

7. Φαμέν δέ κ.τ.λ. As to the question whether we have here a reference to the Nicomachean Ethics, see vol. i. Appendix F. Aristotle has already given part of this definition in c. 8. 1328 a 37, έπεὶ δ΄ ἐστὶν εὐδαιμονία τὸ ἄριστον, αὕτη δὲ ἀρετῆς ἐνέργεια καὶ χρῆσίς τις τίλειος. We find a similar definition of εὐδαιμονία ascribed to the Peripatetics in Stob. Ecl. Eth. 2. 6. 12, εὐδαιμονίαν δ΄ εἶναι χρῆσιν ἀρετῆς τελείας ἐν βίφ τελείφ προηγουμένην . . . προηγουμένην δὲ τὴν τῆς ἀρετῆς ἐνέργειαν (sc. εἶναι δεῖν) διὰ τὸ πάντως ἀναγκαῖον ἐν τοῖς κατὰ φύσιν ἀγυθοῖς ὑπάρχειν: compare another Peripatetic definition of εὐδαιμονία there given, χρῆσιν ἀρετῆς ἐν τοῖς κατὰ φύσιν ἀνεμπόδιστον. Speusippus

424 NOTES.

had defined εὐδαιμονία as ἔξις τελεία ἐν τοῖς κατὰ φύσιν ἔχουσιν οτ ἔξις ἀγαθῶν (Zeller, Plato, Eng. Trans., p. 579. 62), but Aristotle substitutes χρῆσις for ἔξις. For καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἢθικοῖς, compare the frequent use of καί, when the ἐξωτερικοὶ λόγοι are adduced (e.g. in c. 1. 1323 a 22, καὶ τῶν ἐν τοῖς ἐξωτερικοῖς λόγοις, Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1102 a 26 and 6. 4. 1140 a 2 sq., and Metaph. M. 1. 1076 a 28), and also Eth. Nic. 6. 3. 1139 b 26, ὅσπερ καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἀναλυτικοῖς λέγομεν. Π¹ add διωρίσμεθα after καί, but probably wrongly; this may be a gloss on φαμέν which has crept into the text.

9. καὶ ταύτην κ.τ.λ., i.e. 'and this perfect use of virtue not an use of virtue conditionally, but absolutely,' or, in other words, not a merely necessary use of virtue, but a noble use of virtue. A conditional use of virtue is an use of virtue which is called for under certain circumstances: for instance, if an offence has been committed, it is under the circumstances an use of virtue to punish the offender, but this is not an absolute use of virtue, because it is an use of virtue dictated by circumstances not desirable in themselves; it is the adoption and acceptance of an evil for the sake of the good which under the circumstances it will ultimately produce, whereas an absolute use of virtue is concerned with absolute goods, not with evils which are under given circumstances goods; it is concerned with the calling into existence of honours and wealth. In depicting the life of the citizens of his 'best State,' Aristotle often has in his mind the model furnished by the life of the gods (e.g. in c. 1. 1323 b 23 sqq. and c. 3. 1325 b 28 sqq.), and here too he probably remembers the θεοί δωτήρες εάων of Homer (Odyss. 8. 325): cp. Isocr. Philip. § 117, ἀλλά καὶ τῶν θεῶν τοὺς μεν των αγαθων αιτίους ήμεν δν-ας 'Ολυμπίους προσαγορευομένους, τους δ' έπε ταις συμφοραις και ταις τιμωρίαις τεταγμένους δυσχερεστέρας τας επωνυμίας έχοντας, και των μέν και τους ιδιώτας και τας πόλεις και νεώς και βωμούς ίδρυμένους, τούς δ' ούτ' έν ταις εύχαις ούτ' έν ταις θυσίαις τιμωμένους, άλλ' αποπομπάς αὐτῶν ήμας ποιουμένους: Menander, Κόλαξ Fragm. 3, with Meineke's note, Fragm. Com. Gr. 4. 153: and the remarks of Plutarch on the epithet 'Olympian' conferred on Pericles in Pericl. C. 39, καί μοι δοκεί την μειρακιώδη και σοβαράν έκείνην προσωνυμίαν έν τούτο ποιείν ανεπίφθονον και πρέπουσαν, ούτως εύμενες ήθος και βίον έν εξουσία καθαρόν και αμίαντον 'Ολύμπιον προσαγορεύεσθαι, καθώπερ το τών θεων γένος αξιούμεν αίτιον μεν αγαθών αναίτιον δε κακών πεφυκός πρχειν καί βασιλεύειν των όντων. For the association of τέλειος and άπλως, cp-Eth. Nic. 5. 15. 1138 a 32, to μεν γάρ άδικείν μετά κακίας καὶ ψεκτόν, καὶ κακίας ή της τελείας καὶ ἀπλῶς ή ἐγγύς (οὐ γὰρ ἄπαν τὸ ἐκούσιον μετὰ ἀδικίας).

- 10. λέγω δ' ἐξ ὑποθέσεως τἀναγκαῖα, 'and by the term "conditionally" I mean things which are necessary': i.e. things which are necessary if good is to come about, but which are not in themselves desirable. Bonitz (Ind. 797 a 43) explains τἀναγκαῖα here by ὧν οὐκ ἄνευ τὸ εὖ, comparing Metaph. Δ. 5. 1015 b 3, καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν συναιτίων τοῦ ζῆν καὶ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ὡσαύτως (sc. ἀναγκαῖον λέγεται) ὅταν γὰρ μὴ ἐνδέχηται ἔνθα μὲν τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἔνθα δὲ τὸ ζῆν καὶ τὸ εἶναι ἄνευ τινῶν, ταῦτα ἀναγκαῖα καὶ ἡ αἰτία ἀνάγκη τίς ἐστιν αὕτη. Cp. also Eth. Eud. 7. 2. 1238 b 5, καὶ βουλήσεται τὰ ἀγαθὰ (sc. ὁ ἐπιεικὴς τῷ φαύλω) ἀπλῶς μὲν τὰ ἀπλᾶ, τὰ δ' ἐκείνῳ ἐξ ὑπυθέσεως, ἡ πενία συμφέρει ἡ νύσος.
- 11. οίον τὰ περὶ τὰς δικαίας πράξεις κ.τ.λ., 'as for instance, if we take just actions' (i. e. uses of the virtue justice), 'just vengeances and punishments proceed indeed from virtue' (i. e. are uses of virtue), 'but they are necessary,' i. e. not desirable in themselves, 'and are noble only in a necessary way.' Aristotle here has before him, and slightly corrects, Plato, Laws 728 C, τοῦτο οὖν δὴ τὸ πάθος δίκη μέν οὐκ ἔστι-καλὸν γάρ τό γε δίκαιον καὶ ή δίκη-τιμωρία δέ, άδικίας ακόλουθος πάθη, ής ο τε τυχών και μή τυγχάνων αθλιος, ό μεν ούκ ιατρευόμενος, ὁ δέ, ΐνα έτεροι πολλοί σώζωνται, ἀπολλύμενος. In Laws 859 D-860 B the difficulty of classing just punishments either as καλά or as alσχρά is dwelt on, and Aristotle himself says in Rhet. 1. 9. 1366 b 30, καὶ τὰ δίκαια καὶ τὰ δικαίως (SC. πεπραγμένα) ἔργα (SC. ἀνάγκη καλά elvai), πάθη δὲ οῦ: it would seem, however, from the passage before us, that just vengeances and punishments το καλώς αναγκαίως έχουσεν. For the difference between ripopia and nonaois, cp. Rhet. 1. 10. 1360 b 12. διαφέρει δε τιμωρία και κόλασις ή μεν γάρ κόλασις του πάσχοντος ενεκά έστιν, ή δε τιμωρία του ποιούντος, ίνα ἀποπληρωθή, Eth. Nic. 4. 11, 1126 a 26 sqq., and Gell. 6. 14, puniendis peccatis tres esse debere caussas existimatum est. Una est quae νουθεσία vel κόλασις vel παραίνεσις dicitur; cum poena adhibetur castigandi atque emendandi gratia, ut is qui fortuito deliquit attentior fiat correctiorque. Altera est quam ii qui vocabula ista curiosius diviserunt ruppiar appellant. Ea caussa animadvertendi est, cum dignitas auctoritasque eius in quem est peccatum tuenda est, ne praetermissa animadversio contemptum eius pariat et honorem levet; idcircoque id ei vocabulum a conservatione honoris factum putant. For dπ' dperns, cp. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 11, πράξεων τών ἀπὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς, and Plut. Pericl. c. 1, ἐν τοῖς ἀπ' ἀρετῆς ἔργοις.

- 14. αἰρετώτερον μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Gorg. 507 D and 478 C: also Laws 628 C sqq. For μέν solitarium, see above on 1262 a 6 and 1270 a 34. Here the suppressed clause is 'though it is desirable that, if punishments are needed, they should be inflicted.'
- 15. αὶ δ' ἐπὶ τὰς τιμὰς κ.τ.λ., 'but actions with a view to [the production of] honours and wealth are the noblest actions in an absolute sense': cp. 18, κατασκευαὶ γὰρ ἀγαθῶν εἰσὶ καὶ γεννήσεις. For the thought, cp. De Gen. An. 2. 1. 731 b 25, τὸ δὲ καλὸν καὶ τὸ θεῖον αἴτιον ἀεὶ κατὰ τὴν αὐτοῦ φύσιν τοῦ βελτίονος ἐν τοῖς ἐνδεχομένοις, and Rhet. 1. 9. 1366 a 36, ἀρετὴ δ' ἐστὶ μὲν δύναμις, ὡς δοκεῖ, πορεστικὴ ἀγαθῶν καὶ φυλακτική, καὶ δύναμις εὐεργετικὴ πολλῶν καὶ μεγάλων, and 1.6. 1362 b 2, καὶ τὰς ἀρετὰς δὲ ἀνάγκη ἀγαθῶν εἶναι κατὰ γὰρ ταύτας εὖ τε διάκεινται οἱ ἔχοντες, καὶ ποιητικαὶ τῶν ἀγαθῶν εἶσὶ καὶ πρακτικαί. Prodicus, indeed, according to Plato, Charm. 163 D, would give the name of πράξεις only to τὰς τῶν ἀγαθῶν ποιήσεις.
- 16. τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἔτερον κ.τ.λ. Τὸ ἔτερον = αὶ δίκαιαι τιμωρίαι καὶ κολάσεις. As Schneider points out in his Addenda (2, 506), Sepulveda (p. 229: see his note, p. 230 b, which Schneider quotes) translates, 'illud enim est malum tollere,' but, as asperis can hardly bear this meaning, Schn. would read avaipeous in place of it, and this reading is adopted by Bekk.2 and Sus. The change certainly makes the antithesis neater, for κακοῦ τινδε ἀναίρεστε answers well to κατασκευαλ άγαθών και γεννήσεις, and it receives support (which has not hitherto been observed, so far as I have seen) from Plato, Gorg. 478 C sq. and esp. 478 D, ΣΩ, οὐκοῦν τὸ δίκην διδόναι μεγίστου κακού ἀπαλλαγή ήν, πονηρίας; ΠΩΛ. ήν γάρ. ΣΩ. σωφρονίζει γάρ που καὶ δικαιοτέρους ποιεί καὶ ἰατρική γίγνεται πονηρίας ή δίκη. Thus Plato at any rate regarded punishment as the 'removal of an evil,' the 'evil' being the wickedness of the offender, but the question is whether Aristotle took this view of punishment. This is very doubtful. He says indeed in Rhet. 1. 14. 1374 b 31, καὶ [ἀδίκημα μείζου έστιν ου μή έστιν ιασις. χαλεπόν γαρ και αδύνατον. και ου μή έστι δίκην λαβείν τον παθόντα άνίατον γάρ, ή γάρ δίκη και κόλασις ίασις (cp. Eth. Nic. 2. 2. 1104 b 16 sqq. and Eth. Eud. 2. 1. 1220 a 35 sqq.). But it is one thing to say that punishment heals the injustice committed, and another to say that it heals and removes the wickedness of the wrong-doer. I incline on the whole to think that the reading of the MSS., κακοῦ τινὸς αίρεσις, is right, and to regard the ζημία as the κακόν τι referred to: cp. Eth. Nic. 5. 7. 1132 a 15, τὸ δὲ κέρδος καὶ ἡ ζημία τὸ μὲν πλέον τὸ δ' ἔλαττον ἐναντίως,

τὸ μὲν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ πλέον τοῦ κακοῦ δ' ἔλαττον κέρδος, τὸ δ' ἐναντίον ζημία, and Rhet. 3. 10. 1411 b 19, ὅτι καὶ αὶ πόλεις τῷ ψόγφ τῶν ἀνθρώπων μεγάλας εἰθύνας διδόασιν' ἡ γὰρ εἴθυνα βλάβη τις δικαία ἐστίν (where εἴθυνα means the penalty inflicted as a result of the εἴθυνα properly so called, see Bernays, Dialoge des Aristoteles, p. 16). The meaning of the sentence will then be that just punishments are 'a choice of what is in a degree an evil' (i. e. of βλάβη οτ ζημία). This interpretation harmonizes well with what follows in 19 sqq. (see the next note); it also has the merit of giving the proper force to κακοῦ τινός, where τινός softens κακοῦ από marks the contrast with τὸ ἀπλῶς κακόν (cp. 1. 6. 1255 a 22, ἀντεχόμενοί τινες, ὡς οἴωνται, δικαίον τινός, ὁ γὰρ νόμος δίκαιόν τι), and with the φαίλαι τύχαι mentioned in 20. Evil is not a fit object of choice; men should choose the good (Plato, Protag. 358 C sq.: Gorg. 499 Ε: Isocr. De Pace § 106: cp. also Plut. Galba, c. 14, κατ' οὐδένα λογισμὸν οἰδὲ αῖρεσιν ἀμεινόνων).

19. χρήσαιτο δ' αν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle continues, 'Yes, and a good man would make an in some sense noble use of virtue in relation not only to evils which are in the particular case goods (such as just punishments), but also to absolute evils like poverty, disease, and other evil contingencies; still beatitude is not to be found in them but in their opposites. For the use which the good man makes of things which are not goods to him and no things are goods to him which are not absolute goods as not an absolutely noble use, and therefore happiness is not to be found in it, for we have defined happiness to be an absolutely noble use of virtue.' Aristotle perhaps has before him a saying which Plutarch places in the mouth of Epaminondas in De Gen. Socr. c. 14, άλλ' ἀπάγγελλε τοις έκει γνωρίμοις, ότι κάλλιστα μέν αὐτοι πλούτφ χρώνται, καλώς δε πενία χρωμένους αυτόθι φίλους έχουσι: cp. Isocr. Hel. § 8, τοσούτον δ' επιδεδωκέναι πεποιήκασι το ψευδολυγείν, ώστ' ήδη τινές, δρώντες τούτους έκ τῶν τοιούτων ὡφελουμένους, τολμῶσι γράφειν, ὡς ἔστιν ὁ τῶν πτωχευόντων καὶ φευγάντων βίος ζηλωτότερος ή ὁ τῶν ἄλλων ἀνθρώπων, and Hor. Carm. 4. 9. 46,

rectius occupat
Nomen beati, qui deorum
Muneribus sapienter uti
Duramque callet pauperiem pati.

Φαύλη τύχη is a milder term than δυστυχία: cp. Phys 2 5 197 a 25, τύχη δὲ ἀγαθή μὲν λέγεται ὅταν ἀγαθόν τι ἀποβή, φαύλη οέ, ὅταν φαῦλόν τι, εὐτυχία δὲ καὶ δυστυχία, ὅταν μέγεθος ἔχοντα ταῦτα, and Metaph. K 8.

1065 a 35 sq. What Aristotle includes under φαῦλαι τύχαι may be gathered from Eth. Nic. 3. 9. 1115 a 10, φοβούμεθα μὲν οὖν πάντα τὰ κακά, οἶον ἀδοξίαν πενίαν νόσον ἀφιλίαν θάνατον. A reminiscence of the passage before us may be traced in Stob. Ecl. Eth. 2. 6. 12, ἐπεὶ καὶ ἐν κακοῖς ἀρετῆ χρήσαιτ' ᾶν καλῶς ὁ σπουδαῖος, οὐ μήν γε μακάριος ἔσται. For the conjunction of πενία and νόσος, cp. Bacchylides 1. 32 sq. and Plato, Protag. 353 D.

21. καὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. The reference to ἠθικοὶ λόγοι may be a reference to Eth. Nic. 3. 6. 1113 a 25, τῷ μὲν οὖν σπουδαίῳ τὸ κατ' ἀλήθειαν (sc. βουλητὸν φατέον βουλητόν) εἶναι (see Sus., 1. p. 530), and Eth. Nic. 9. 9. 1170 a 21, τὸ δὲ τῆ φύσει ἀγαθὸν καὶ τῷ ἐπιεικεῖ: in some respects, however, we trace a nearer approach to the definition before us in Eth. Eud. 7. 15. 1248 b 26, ἀγαθὸν μὲν οὖν ἐστὶν ῷ τὰ φύσει ἀγαθά ἐστιν ἀγαθά, and in Magn. Mor. 2. 9. 1207 b 31, ἔστιν οὖν ὁ καλὸς καὶ ἀγαθὸς ῷ τὰ ἀπλῶς ἀγαθά ἐστιν ἀγαθὰ καὶ τὰ ἀπλῶς καλὰ καλὰ ἐστιν (both passages referred to by Eaton), but there is nothing in either passage about διὰ τὴν ἀρετήν. For τὰ ἀπλῶς ἀγαθά cp. Top. 3. 1. 116 b 8 sqq. For the thought compare Plato, Laws 661 C sq.

- 23. δηλον δ' δτι κ.τ.λ., 'and it is evident that [just as absolute goods are absolutely good and noble, so] these uses also' (i. e. the good man's uses of absolute goods) 'are necessarily absolutely good and noble.'
- 25. διδ κ.τ.λ., 'hence,' i. e. because men see that the uses made by the σπουδαίος of absolute goods are absolutely good and noble and confer happiness on him, men think that external goods are the causes of happiness, forgetting that the omoudaios owes his happiness not to them but to his own virtue, and that even in his case they are only conditions, not causes, of happiness, while in the case of those who are not σπουδαίοι they may be the causes not of happiness, but of unhappiness, inasmuch as they may not be goods at all to them. For ανθρωποι, cp. Plato, Symp. 189 C, έμοι γάρ δοκούσιν ἄνθρωποι παντάπασι την τοῦ ἔρωτος δύναμιν οὐκ ήσθησθαι, and 205 E, ώς οιδέν γε άλλο έστιν οδ έρωσιν άνθρωποι ή του αγαθού, where see Stallbaum's critical note. We have of ανθρωποι in 2. 7. 1267 a 2 sq. and in Rhet. 1. 1. 1355 a 15, just as we sometimes have ἄνθρωπος and sometimes ὁ ἄνθρωπος (see critical note on 1253 a 2). For the fact that men take external goods to be the causes of happiness, cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 9. 1099 b 6 sqq. and 7. 14. 1153 b 21 sqq., and Plut. De Virt. et Vit. c. 1.
 - 26. κιθαρίζειν λαμπρόν, cp. Hom. Il. 18. 570, Ιμερόεν κιθάριζε.

- 27. αἰτιῷτο. For the absence of τις, cp. Eth. Nic. 3. 5. 1113 a 2, εὶ δὲ ἀεὶ βουλεύσεται, εἰς ἄπειρον ῆξει, and other passages collected by Bonitz, Ind. 589 b 47 sqq.
- 28. ἀναγκαῖον τοίνων κ.τ.λ., 'it is necessary, therefore, as a result of what has been said,' etc.: see above on 1267 b 14. Τὰ μέν, i.e. the external goods which are the gifts of Fortune. For ὑπάρ-χειν and παρασκευάσαι see note on 1331 b 21.
- 29. διδ κ.τ.λ. These words are susceptible of two interpretations: either we may take κατ' εὐχήν as adverbial to εὐχάμεθα and translate with Sepulv. 'precibus optamus' (so Vict. and Lamb.), or we may supply εἶναι and translate 'hence in respect of those things over which fortune is supreme we pray that the composition of the State may be all that can be wished.' Perhaps the second interpretation is the better. Compare with the passage before us Soph. Fragm. 731,

τὰ μὲν διδακτὰ μανθάνω, τὰ δ' εὐρετὰ ζητῶ, τὰ δ' εὐκτὰ (αl. ἔτερα) παρὰ θεῶν ἢτησάμην.

- 31. το δε κ.τ.λ. Cp. 2. 5. 1263 a 39, όπως δε γίνωνται τοιοίτοι, τοῦ νομοθέτου τοῦτ' ἔργον ἴδιόν ἐστιν. Ἐπιστήμης καὶ προαιρίσεως, because science is not enough by itself: cp. 3. 13. 1284 a 1, πρὸς δε τὴν ἀρίστην (sc. πολιτείαν πολίτης ἐστὶν) ὁ δυνάμενος καὶ προαιρούμενος ἄρχεσθαι καὶ ἄρχειν πρὸς τὸν βίον τὸν κατ' ἀρετήν. It should be noticed that if, as we are told in 39 sq., φύσις is one of the sources of virtue, it does not entirely depend on the lawgiver whether the citizens are virtuous or not. It is impossible, for instance, to turn barbarians into Greeks: still much may be done by attention to marriage and rearing to secure that the 'nature' of the citizens is what it should be.
- 82. ἀλλὰ μὴν κ.τ.λ. It is implied here that a man might be a citizen without sharing in the constitution: see vol. i. p. 229.
- 34. ἡμῖν δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'but in our State all the citizens share in the constitution, [so that all our citizens must be good].' See as to this vol. i. p. 324 and note 1, and Appendix B sub fin. Here Aristotle seems to use the word πολίται in a sense exclusive of the νεώτεροι, or in other words οἱ ὅπλα κεκτημένοι, for in c. 10. 1329 b 36 it is implied that οἱ ὅπλα κεκτημένοι do not share in the constitution.
- 35. τοῦτ' ἄρα σκεπτέον, πῶς ἀνὴρ γίνεται σπουδαΐος. In some lines of Eupolis (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 457) Nicias asks Aristides,

NOTES.

and Aristides answers,

ή μεν φύσις το μεγιστον ήν, επειτα δε καγώ προθύμως τῆ φύσει συνελαμβανον.

36. καὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. It is more desirable that each individual citizen should be good than that all the citizens collectively should be good but not each individual citizen, because in the former case not only will each citizen be good but all will be good, and a good which includes another is more desirable than the good which it includes (Top. 3. 2. 117 a 16 sqq.). It appears from 2. 5. 1264 b 17, ἀδύνατον δὲ εὐδαιμονεῖν ὅλην (sc. τὴν πόλιν), μὴ τῶν πλείστων ἡ μὴ πάντων μερῶν ἡ τινῶν ἐχόντων τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν, that a State may be happy if only some of its members are happy.

38. αλλά μην κ.τ.λ. For αγαθοί και σπουδαίοι, cp. Rhet. 2. 9. 1387 b 7. Cp. also Pol. 3. 9. 1280 b 12, αγαθούς και δικαίους. Eaton and Congreve compare Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1179 b 20, γίνεσθαι δ' άγαθοὺς οἴονται οἱ μὲν φύσει, οἱ δ' ἔθει, οἱ δὲ διδαχ \hat{y} (= λόγ φ): cp. also Eth. Nic. 1. 10. 1099 b 9 sqq. The passage before us is perhaps present to the mind of the writer of [Plut.] De Liberis Educandis, . C. 4. 2 A, ώς els την παντελή δικαιοπραγίαν τρία δεί συνδραμείν, φύσιν καὶ λόγον καὶ ἔθος· καλῶ δὲ λόγον μὲν την μάθησιν, ἔθος δὲ την ἄσκησιν (already quoted by Eaton). Theognis (429-438) and Pindar (Olymp. 9. 100 sqq.: Nem. 3. 40 sqq.: see L. Schmidt, Ethik d. alten Griechen, 1. 158 sqq.) are already familiar with the contrast of nature and teaching as sources of virtue, and both insist on the importance of nature, but the maxim in the form in which it appears in the passage before us is perhaps found earliest in Protag. Fragm. 8 (Mullach, Fr. Philos. Gr. 2. 134), φύσεος καὶ ασκήσεος διδασκαλία δίεται, words which refer to the teaching not only of virtue, but of other things, and which are all the more remarkable as coming from Protagoras, because there were sophists who promised to teach virtue without dwelling on the necessity of natural aptitude and of practice (Plato, Meno 95 B). Compare (with Camerarius, Interp. p. 309) Hippocr. Lex, vol. i. p. 3 Kühn, if this work is by Hippocrates, χρή γάρ όστις μέλλει Ιητρικής ξύνεσιν άτρεκέως άρμόζεσθαι, τωνδέ μιν επήβολον γενέσθαι, φύσιος, διδασκαλίης, τρόπου εὐφυέος, παιδομαθίης, φιλοπονίης, χρόνου. The saying reappears in Xen. Mem. 3. 9. 2, Plato, Phaedr. 269 D, and Isocr. De Antid. § 187. See also Wyttenbach's note on the passage of [Plut.] De Liberis Educandis quoted above. "Εθος is mentioned before λόγος, because

education through habit precedes education through the reason (5 (8). 3. 1338 b 4 sq.: Eth. Nic. 10. 1179 b 23 sqq.).

- 40. καὶ γὰρ φῦναι κ.τ.λ. Aristotle perhaps remembers a saying variously ascribed to Thales, Socrates, and Plato: cp. Diog. Laert.

 1. 33, Ερμιππος δ' ἐν τοῖς βίοις εἰς τοῦτον (i. e. Thales) ἀναφέρει τὸ λεγόμενον ὑπό τινων περὶ Σωκράτους ἔφασκε γάρ, φησί, τριῶν τούτων ἔνεκα χάριν ἔχειν τῆ τύχη, πρῶτον μὲν ὅτι ἄνθρωπος ἐγενόμην καὶ οὐ θηρίον, εἶτα ὅτι ἀνὴρ καὶ οὐ γυνή, τρίτον ὅτι Ἑλλην καὶ οὐ βάρβαρος, and Plut. Marius, c. 46, Πλάτων μὲν οὖν ἤδη πρὸς τῷ τελευτῶν γενόμενος ὕμνει τὸν αὐτοῦ δαίμονα καὶ τὴν τύχην, ὅτι πρῶτον μὲν ἄνθρωπος, εἶτα Ἑλλην, οὐ βάρβαρος οὐδὲ ἄλογον τῆ φύσει θηρίον γένοιτο, πρὸς δὲ τούτοις, ὅτι τοῖς Σωκράτους χρόνοις ἀπήντησεν ἡ γένεσις αὐτοῦ. See Prof. J. E. B. Mayor in Class. Rev. 10. 191.
- 41. οὖτω καὶ ποιόν τινα τὸ σῶμα καὶ τὴν ψυχήν, 'so also of a certain quality in body and soul.' For οὖτω see critical note. Aristotle continues with οὖτω as if ὧσπιρ, and not πρῶτον, had preceded, for I do not think that οὖτω here means 'then,' as it seems to do in Rhet. 3. 19. 1419 b 15. That a man may be born too faulty to be made good by education, we see from 7 (5). 12. 1316 a 8 sqq.
- 42. ἔνιά τε κ.τ.λ., 'and in respect of some qualities it is no good to be born this or that, for habits cause them to change; some qualities, in fact, are made by nature to be susceptible of change under the influence of habits in two directions, towards that which is worse and that which is better.' I follow Stahr and Welldon in my rendering of ἔνιά τε οὐδὲν ὅφελος φῦναι: Sepulv. Vict. and Sus. less well make that the subject of poval. In the Aristotle refers to those elements in man which may be made better or worse by good or bad habituation, for instance the emotions: see note on 1253 a 34, and cp. Plut. Themist. c. 2, έν δὲ ταῖς πρώταις τῆς νεότητος δρμαῖς ανώμαλος ην (ό Θεμιστοκλής) και αστάθμητος, άτε τη φύσει καθ' αύτην χρώμενος ανευ λόγου και παιδείας έπ' αμφότερα μεγάλας ποιουμένη μεταβολάς των επιτηδευμάτων και πολλάκις εξισταμένη πρός το χείρου, ώς υστερον αυτός ωμολόγει, και τους τραχυτάτους πώλους αρίστους εππους γίνεσθαι φάσκων, όταν ής προσήκει τύχωσι παιδείας και καταρτύσεως, Nic. c. 9, ούτως ή 'Αλκιβιάδου φύσις ἐπ' αμφότερα πολλή ρυείσα καὶ λαμπρά μεγάλων ενέδωκεν άρχας νεωτερισμών, and Coriolan. c. 1. For επαμφυτερίζοντα επί το χείρον και το βέλτιον, cp. Magn. Mor. 1. 35. 1197 2 30, ή δε υποληψίς έστιν, ή υπέρ απάντων επαμφοτερίζομεν πρός τό καὶ είναι ταῦτα οῦτω καὶ μὴ είναι. For διὰ τῆς φύσεως, cp. διὰ

τριῶν (i. e. φύσις, ἔθος, λόγος), 39. Elsewhere we find ὑπὸ τῆς φύσεως, as in Rhet. 2. 12. 1389 a 19 sq. and De Part. An. 2. 13. 657 a 31 sq. (Eucken, Praepositionen, p. 73).

- 1332 b. 3. τὰ μὲν οὖν ἄλλα κ.τ.λ. For τῆ φύσει ζῆ ('live guided by nature'), cp. Metaph. A. τ. 980 b 25, τὰ μὲν οὖν ἄλλα (ζῷα) ταῖς φαντασίαις ζῆ καὶ ταῖς μνήμαις, ἐμπειρίας δὲ μετέχει μικρόν· τὸ δὲ τῶν ἀνθρώπων γένος καὶ τέχνη καὶ λογισμοῖς, Eth. Eud. 2. 8. 1224 a 27, τῆ ὀρέξει ζῆ, and Rhet. 2. 12. 1389 a 35, τῷ γὰρ ἤθει ζῶσι μᾶλλον ἡ τῷ λογισμῷ (cp. 2. 13. 1390 a 16, καὶ μᾶλλον ζῶσι κατὰ λογισμὸν ἡ κατὰ τὸ ἦθος). For the implied contrast between φύσις and λόγος, cp. 1. 2. 1252 a 28 sqq., where a contrast between φύσις and προαίρεσις is implied.
 - 4. μικρά δ' ἔνια καὶ τοῖς ἔθεσιν, 'and some to a small extent guided by habits also.' For μικρά, cp. Plato, Rep. 404 A, ἐὰν σμικρὰ ἐκβῶσι τῆς τεταγμένης διαίτης, and 527 A, ὅσοι καὶ σμικρὰ γεωμετρίας ἔμπειροι. As to the habituation of animals, cp. [Plut.] De Virtute Morali, c. 4, κύνας καὶ ἵππους καὶ ὅρνιθας οἰκουροὺς ὁρῶντες, ἔθει καὶ τροφῆ καὶ διδασκαλία ψωνάς τε συνετὰς καὶ πρὸς λόγον ὑπηκόους κινήσεις καὶ σχέσεις ἀποδιδόντας, καὶ πράξεις τὸ μέτριον καὶ τὸ χρήσιμον ἡμῦν ἐχούσας.
 - 5. μόνον, sc. τῶν ζώων: cp. 1. 2. 1253 a 9, λόγον δὲ μόνον ἄνθρωπος ἔχει τῶν ζώων.

ώστε δει κ.τ.λ., 'and so [in his case] these three things must harmonize with each other, [for it will not do to leave reason out,] since men are led by reason to do many things contrary to habituation and to nature, if they are persuaded that these things are better done otherwise [than as habit and nature dictate].' We learn from c. 15. 1334 b 9 sqq. that the three things must not only harmonize, but harmonize in the best way, i.e. by all being adapted to the best end. Plato speaks of education in Laws 653 B as being the bringing of the child's feelings of pain and pleasure into harmony with reason (cp. Rep. 401 C, καὶ εὐθὺς έκ παίδων λανθάνη εἰς ὁμοιότητά τε καὶ φιλίαν καὶ ξυμφωνίαν τῷ καλῷ λόγῳ ἄγουσα). I cannot follow Sus. and Welldon in placing ώστε δεί ταυτα συμφωνείν αλλήλοις after βέλτιον, 3, for, if we place these words there, what Aristotle says will be that nature and habit should harmonize, whereas the lesson which he wishes to enforce is surely this, that nature, habit, and reason should harmonize. As to πολλά γάρ—βέλτιον, Laius in the Chrysippus of Euripides (Fragm. 837) had been made to plead,

λέληθεν οὐδεν τῶνδε μ' ὧν σὰ νουθετεῖς, γνώμην δ' ἔχοντά μ' ἡ φύσις βιάζεται,

but the Chorus in Aristoph. Vesp. 1457 sqq. (Didot) says,

τὸ γὰρ ἀποστήναι χαλεπὸν φύσεοι ην έχοι τις dei. καίτοι πολλοί ταῦτ' ἔπαθον. Ευνόντες γνώμαις έτέρων μετεβάλλοντο τούς τρόπους.

Cp. also Rhet. 1. 11. 1370 a 25, μετά λόγου δε (ἐπιθυμοῦσιν), ὅσα ἐκ τοῦ πεισθήναι επιθυμοῦσιν πολλά γάρ καὶ θεάσασθαι καὶ κτήσασθαι έπιθυμοῦσιν ἀκούσαντες καὶ πεισθέντες, and Plato, Rep. 452 D, άλλ' έπειδή, οίμαι, χρωμένοις ἄμεινον τὸ ἀποδύεσθαι τοῦ συγκαλύπτειν πάντα τὰ τοιαῦτα ἐφάνη, καὶ τὸ ἐν τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς δὴ γελοῖον ἐξερρύη ὑπὸ τοῦ έν τοις λόγοις μηνυθέντος άρίστου. A reference is given in the Index Aristotelicus for the plural of εθισμός to Eth. Nic. 3. 15. 1119 a 26, καὶ οἱ ἐθισμοὶ ἀκίνδυνοι. The word ἐθισμός does not appear to occur in the writings of Thucydides, Xenophon, Plato, or Isocrates; it occurs, however, in [Demosth.] Or. 17. c. 27, and it is frequently used by Polybius not only in the singular, but also in the plural (e.g. in 1, 17, 11 and 3, 76, 12).

9. For διωρίσμεθα in a middle sense, cp. Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 192 (Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective, s. v. ὁρίζω). Διώρισμαι does not appear to be often thus used by Aristotle: Bonitz, however (Ind. 200 a 27), takes διωρίσθαι to be middle in De Caelo, 4. 2. 308 b r.

πρότερον, in c. 7. 1327 b 19 sqq. Εύχειρώτους τῷ νομοθέτη in the passage before us takes the place of εὐαγώγους τῷ νομοθέτη πρὸς τὴν αρετήν in 1327 b 38.

10. τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἐθιζόμενοι μανθάνουσι, τὰ δ' ἀκούοντες. 'Ακούοντεν answers to τον λόγον, 7. Here μανθάνειν includes both εθίζεσθαι and Contrast 5 (8). 5. 1340 a 16, μανθάνειν καὶ συνεθίζεσθαι. Sus.2 refers to Eth. Nic. 2. 1. 1103 a 14, derrie de rie aperie obone, της μεν διανοητικής της δε ήθικης, ή μεν διανοητική το πλείον έκ διδασκαλίας έχει καὶ τὴν γένεσιν καὶ τὴν αὔξησιν . . . ἡ δ' ἡθικὴ έξ έθους περιγίνεται Cp. also Περὶ αἰσθήσεως καὶ αἰσθητῶν 1. 437 a 11, κατὰ συμβεβηκὸς δέ πρός φρόνησιν ή ακοή πλείστον συμβάλλεται μέρος ό γάρ λόγος αίτιος έστι της μαθήσεως ακουστός ων, ου καθ αύτον άλλα κατά συμβεβηκός, Hist. An. 9. 1. 608 a 17 sqq., and Metaph. Θ. 5. 1047 b 31, ἀπασῶν δὶ τῶν δυνάμεων ούσων των μέν συγγενών οίον των αίσθήσεων, των δε έθει οίον τής τοῦ αὐλεῖν, τῶν δὲ μαθήσει οἶον τῆς τῶν τεχνῶν, τὰς μεν ἀνάγκη προενεργήσαντας έχειν όσαι έθει και λόγφ, τας δε μή τοιαύτας και τας έπι του πάσχειν F f

οὐκ ἀνάγκη. Add Philem. Inc. Fab. Fragm. 6 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 34: cp. 5. ccxxxii),

ήκουσα τοῦτο καὐτός, οὐδὲ φύεται αὐτόματον ἀνθρώποισιν, ὧ βελτιστε, νοῦς, ὅσπερ ἐν ἀγρῷ θύμος ἐκ δὲ τοῦ λέγειν τε καὶ ἐτέρων ἀκούειν καὶ θεωρῆσαι * * κατὰ μικρὸν ἀεί, φασί, φύονται φρένες.

C. 14. 18. τοῦτο δὴ σκεπτέον. Cp. c. 15. 1334 b 5, πῶς δὲ καὶ διὰ τίνων ἔσται, τοῦτο δὴ θεωρητέον.

ἐτέρους είναι, sc. διὰ βίου.

- 15. δηλον γάρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 3. 4. 1277 a 16, καὶ τὴν παιδείαν δ' εὐθὺς ετέραν είναι λέγουσί τινες ἄρχοντος. For ἀκολουθεῖν κατὰ τὴν διαίρεσιν ταύτην, cp. Eth. Nic. 2. 1. 1103 b 23, κατὰ γὰρ τὰς τούτων διαφορὰς ἀκολουθοῦσιν αί εξεις.
- 16. εἰ μὲν τοίνυν κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle has before him Plato, Polit. 301 D, νῦν δέ γε ὁπότε οὐκ ἔστι γιγνόμενος, ὡς δὴ φαμέν, ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι βασιλεὺς οἶος ἐν σμήνεσιν ἐμφύεται, τό τε σῶμα εὐθὺς καὶ τὴν ψυχὴν διαφέρων εἶς, δεῖ δὴ συνελθόντας ξυγγράμματα γράφειν κ.τ.λ. Cp. also 1. 5. 1254 b 34 sqq. and Isocr. Hel. § 56, τοῖς δὲ καλοῖς εὐθὺς ἰδόντες εὖνοι γιγνόμεθα, καὶ μόνους αὐτοὺς ὥσπερ τοὺς θεοὺς οὐκ ἀπαγορεύομεν θεραπεύοντες, ἀλλ' ἦδιον δουλεύομεν τοῖς τοιούτοις ἡ τῶν ἄλλων ἄρχομεν. The passage before us shows that not only gods (1. 5. 1254 b 34 sqq.) but also heroes were credited with surpassing personal beauty, so that we are not surprised to find that Philip of Crotona, who excelled in this respect, was worshipped as a hero at Egesta after his death (Hdt. 5. 47). For ἀτεροι τῶν ἄλλων (not τῶν ἐτέρων), see Bon. Ind. 34 b 34 sqq., where Eth. Nic. 8. 7. 1158 a 28, ἄλλοι γὰρ αὐτοῖς εἰσὶ χρήσιμοι καὶ ἔτεροι ἡδεῖς, Eth. Nic. 9. 4. 1166 b 7, and Meteor. 2. 6. 365 a 3 sq. are referred to.
- 20. ὧστε κ.τ.λ. Lamb. 'ita ut incontroversa et in promptu posita esset eorum qui imperant prae iis qui sub imperio sunt excellentia': Sus. 'dass diese Ueberlegenheit der Herrschenden für- die Beherrschten (selber) unzweiselhast und einleuchtend wäre.' Thus Lamb. takes τοῖε ἀρχομένοιε as in the dat. after ὑπεροχήν (so too Mr. Welldon), Sus. as in the dat. after ὑπεροχήν παὶ φανερών. I have not noticed any passage in which the construction assumed by Lamb. occurs, and I incline to follow Sus., at any rate till a parallel passage is produced.
- 23. ewei be k.r.h., but since it is not easy to light on this highly superior element, and we have not among ourselves anything to

answer to the vast superiority of the kings to their subjects, which Scylax says exists in India.' For haber in this sense, cp. 3. 15. 1286 b 7. Rhet. 1. 1. 1354 2 34, πρώτον μέν δτι ένα λαβείν και δλίγοις ράον ή πολλούς εὖ φρονούντας καὶ δυναμένους νομοθετείν καὶ δικάζειν, and Eth. Nic. 10. 3. 1174 a 17. As to τοῦτο, Aristotle often uses the neuter in referring to persons, e.g. in 2. 5. 1263 a 1 and 3. 13. 1283 b 9. He would seem to have had before him the genuine narrative of Scylax of Caryanda in Caria, as to whom see Hdt. 4. 44. The Periplus which we possess bearing his name is not the genuine work by him, and does not contain the statement here repeated by Aristotle. The testimony of Scylax as to the superiority—both physical and mental, apparently—of the kings in India to their subjects may well have been perfectly true. 'Throughout Polynesia the chiefs and upper classes are taller than the lower orders, and with a finer physical they combine a greater mental development. They are in every respect superior to the people whom they rule. They are as genuine an aristocracy as ever existed in any country. They know every plant, animal, rock, river, and mountain, are familiar with their history, legends, and traditions, and strict in observing every point of their own complicated etiquette. They swim, row, sail, shoot, and fight better than the common people, and excel in house and canoe building' (Seemann, Viti, p. 79). For the form Baoilias see note on 1284 b 33.

- 25. φανερὸν ὅτι κ.τ.λ, 'it is clear that it is for many reasons necessary that all should share alike in ruling and being ruled in turn [and that rulers and ruled should be the same persons], for when the sharers are alike, equality demands that each shall have the same share' (i.e. an identity of political privilege), 'and [the constitution must be just, for] it is difficult for a constitution to last which is framed in contravention of what is just.' For τό τε γὰρ ἴσον ταὐτὸν τοῖε ὁμοίοιε, cp. 3. 16. 1287 a 12 sqq. and 4 (7). 3. 1325 b 7 sq., and also Thuc. 6. 38. 5, καὶ πῶε δίκαιον τοὺε αὐτοὺε μὴ τῶν αὐτῶν ἀξιοῦσθαι;
- 29. μετά γάρ κ.τ.λ., 'for together with the ruled [citizens] are forthcoming desirous of revolution all those who are scattered over the territory,' i.e. the cultivators and other residents in the country (cp. 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 30, ol δε γεωργούντες διὰ τὸ διεσπάρθαι κατὰ τὴν χώραν, and 38, τοῦ κατὰ τὴν χώραν πλήθους). Aristotle has arranged in c. 10. 1330 a 25 sqq. that the cultivators shall not be θυμοειδείς or

όμόφυλοι, still he takes it for granted here that they will be desirous of revolution, though unable to make a revolution without the help of the ἀρχόμενοι πολίται. Reiz followed by Sus. would read βουλομένων, but βουλόμενοι is probably right, for Aristotle is apt to suspect slave or serf cultivators of a tendency to νεωτερισμός (2. 4. 1262 a 40 sqq.: 4 (7). 10. 1330 a 28).

- 32. αλλά μην κ.τ.λ. Cp. 2. 6. 1265 b 18 sqq., and Xen. Cyrop. 8. 1. 37, ὅτι μὲν οὖν οὐκ ῷετο προσήκειν οὐδενὶ ἀρχης ὅστις μη βελτίων εἵη τῶν ἀρχομένων, καὶ τοῖς προειρημένοις πᾶσι δηλον καὶ ὅτι κ.τ.λ.
- **34.** πῶς οδν κ.τ.λ., 'how then this difference is to exist, and how they are to share [in ruling and being ruled by turns], the lawgiver must consider.' Μεθέξουσι takes up κοινωνεῖν, 26.
 - 35. πρότερον, in c. 9. 1329 a 2 sqq.
- 36. ἡ γὰρ φύσις δέδωκε τὴν διαίρεσιν κ.τ.λ., 'for nature has furnished us with the distinction, having made that which is the same in kind itself of two parts, the one younger and the other older.' For διαίρεσιν, see critical note. For a similar acceptance of the guidance of nature, cp. c. 17. 1337 a 1 and 1., 8. 1256 b 7 sqq.: also De Caelo, 1. 1. 268 a 13, διὸ παρὰ τῆς φύσεως εἰληφότες ὥσπερ νόμους ἐκείνης, καὶ πρὸς τὰς ἀγιστείας χρώμεθα τῶν θεῶν τῷ ἀριθμῷ τούτῳ (the number three), and Poet. 24. 1460 a 3, ἀλλ', ὥσπερ εἴπομεν, αὐτὴ ἡ φύσις διδάσκει τὸ ἀρμόττον αὐτῷ διαιρεῖσθαι. By 'that which is the same in kind,' is meant man.
- 37. ὧν τοις μεν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Laws 690 A, καὶ τρίτον ἔτι τούτοις ξυνέπεται τὸ πρεσβυτέρους μεν ἄρχειν δεῖν, νεωτέρους δεὶ ἄρχεσθαι, and Rep. 412 C, ὅτι μεν πρεσβυτέρους τοὺς ἄρχοντας δεὶ εἶναι, νεωτέρους δεὶ τοὺς ἀρχομένους, δῆλον; Cp. also 1. 12. 1259 b 10–17.
- 38. ἀγανακτεῖ δὲ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Rhet. 2. 10. 1388 a 6, τοῖε γὰρ ἐγγὺε καὶ χρόνφ καὶ τόπφ καὶ ἡλικία καὶ δόξη φθονοῦσιν. Aristotle perhaps remembers the words of the aged Nestor to Agamemnon and Achilles (Hom. Il. 1. 259).

αλλά πίθεσθ. ἄμφω δὲ νεωτέρω ἐστὸν ἐμεῖο, and what Agamemnon says of Achilles in Il. 9. 160, καί μοι ὑποστήτω, ὅσσον βασιλεύτερύς εἰμι

Plutarch may have the passage before us in his memory in An Seni sit gerenda Respublica, c. 7, καὶ ταῖς μὲν ἄλλαις ὑπεροχαῖς προσμά-χονται καὶ διαμφισβητοῦσιν ἀρετῆς καὶ γένους καὶ φιλοτιμίας, ὡς ἀφαι-ροῦντες αὐτῶν ὅσον ἄλλοις ὑφίενται, τὸ δ' ἀπὸ τοῦ χρόνου πρωτεῖον, ὁ καλεῖται κυρίως πρεσβεῖον, ἀξηλοτύπητόν ἐστι καὶ παραχωρούμενον . . . ἔτι

ηδ' δοσον γενεή προγενέστερος εξχομαι είναι.

την μεν ἀπὸ τοῦ πλούτου δύναμιν ἡ λόγου δεινότητος ἡ σοφίας οὐ πάντες αὐτοῖς γενήσεσθαι προσδοκῶσιν, ἐφ' ἡν δὲ προάγει τὸ γῆρας αἰδῶ καὶ δόξαν, οὐδεὶς ἀπελπίζει τῶν πολιτευομένων. I have questioned this in vol. ii. p. xix, but I had not then remarked the resemblance which exists between An Seni, etc., c. 18. 793 A, ὅσπερ γὰρ... ἡθους, and 5 (8). 7. 1342 b 20 sqq. A similar calculation to that of Aristotle probably underlay the distinction drawn by Diocletian between the Augusti and the Caesares, the former being 'elder princes' and the latter 'rising in their turn to the first rank' (Gibbon, Decline and Fall, c. 13: vol. ii. p. 168, ed. 1812).

89. οὐδὲ νομίζει είναι κρείττων, sc. τῶν ἀρχόντων—a step in the direction of discontent (8 (6). 4. 1318 b 36, ἄρξονται γὰρ οὐχ ὑπ' ἄλλων χειρόνων).

äλλως τε κ.τ.λ., 'especially as he may expect to be repaid this contribution [of obedience], when he has reached the right age.' An ερανος is a contribution of service or money for which in fairness a return should be forthcoming: cp. Eurip. Suppl. 349 Bothe (363 Dindorf),

κάλλιστον έρανον δούς γὰρ ἀντιλάζυται παίδων παρ' αύτοῦ τοιάδ' ἄν τοκεῦσι δῷ,

Isocr. Hel. § 20, νομίζων ὁφείλειν τοῦτον τὸν ἔρανον, μηθενὸς ἀποστῆναι τῶν ὑπὸ Πειρίθου προσταχθέντων, ἀνθ ὧν ἐκείνος αὐτῷ συνεκινδύνευσεν, and Isocr. Plat. § 57, where τοῦτον τὸν ἔρανον is explained by τὴν αὐτὴν εὐεργεσίαν. It is not quite clear what is meant by τοῦτον τὸν ἔρανον (40). Sus., followed by Welldon, takes the words to mean 'den Ehrenvorzug zu befehlen' ('the honourable privilege of ruling'). To me it seems that the ἔρανος referred to is rather the contribution of submission to the rule of others which the young citizen makes in his youth and receives from those younger than himself in years of maturity.

42. Ξστε καὶ τὴν παιδείαν κ.τ.λ. Rulers and ruled will be the same persons at different ages, so that they will be in a sense the same and in a sense different, and similarly the education given to rulers and ruled will be the same but will be different at different ages, the young learning to be ruled and later on learning through being ruled to rule, so that the education also of rulers and ruled will be in a sense the same and in a sense different. For the late appearance of είναι in this sentence, cp. 6 (4). 15. 1299 b 29, ἔνθα μὲν γὰρ ἀρμόττει μεγάλας ἔνθα δ' είναι μικρὰς τὰς αὐτάς, and see note on 1285 b 36.

- 2. τε γάρ here is not taken up by καί or any equivalent to καί, a thing which rarely happens (see Eucken, De Partic. Usu, p. 19 sq.), so rarely that Eucken pronounces the passage before us corrupt. Sus.², however, rightly remarks that we have here 'one of the few cases in which τε γάρ = "etenim." 'English readers may consult Shilleto's critical note to Demosth. De Fals. Leg. c. 176' (Sus.4). Eucken points out that in 8 (6). 4. 1318 b 33, αῖ τε γὰρ ἀρχαὶ αἰεὶ διὰ τῶν βελτίστων ἔσονται τοῦ δήμου βουλομένου καὶ τοῖς ἐπιεικέσιν οὐ φθονοῦντος, the use of τε γάρ is only apparently similar, inasmuch as the last eight words are virtually equivalent to καὶ ὁ δῆμος βουλήσεται καὶ τοῖς ἐπιεικέσιν οὐ φθονήσει. So again in De Part. An. 3. 10. 673 a 3, γαργαλιζόμενοί τε γὰρ ταχὺ γελῶσι διὰ τὸ τὴν κίνησιν ἀφικνεῖσθαι ταχὺ πρὸς τὸν τόπον τοῦτον, we find τε γάρ virtually taken up in συμβαίνειν δέ φασι κ.τ.λ., 673 a 10.
 - 3. For the document of the should have been ruled otherwise than a freeman should be ruled, for rule is, as was shown in the first discussions' (i. e. in 3. 4. 1277 a 33 sqq. and 3. 6. 1278 b 30 sqq.), 'in one of its forms for the sake of the ruler and in another for the sake of the ruled, and we say that the former of these is rule such as is exercised by a master over slaves and the latter rule such as is exercised over freemen, [so that the latter is the kind of rule to which it is fitting that the young freeman should submit before ruling].'
 - 5. φαμεν, in 3. 4. 1277 a 33 sqq. and 3. 6. 1278 b 30 sqq.
 - 8. διαφέρει δ' ένια κ.τ.λ. This was probably written later than 3. 4. 1277 a 33 sqq., for we hear nothing there to the same effect. Aristotle had identified δεσποτική dρχή in that passage with ή περί τάναγκαΐα, and had added, θάτερον δὲ καὶ ἀνδραποδώδες, λέγω δὲ θάτερον τὸ δύνασθαι καὶ ὑπηρετεῖν τὰς διακονικὰς πράξεις. Now we are told that even service of this kind may become noble if it is rendered for a noble end. What Aristotle would consider a noble end may be gathered from 5 (8). 2. 1337 b 17 sqq. and 5 (8). 6. 1341 b 10 sqq. (cp. 3. 4. 1277 b 5 sq.). He probably has in his mind among other things the ανευ θεραπόντων αὐτοῖς έαυτῶν (αὐτῶν έαυτοῖς?) διακοviores of the young Spartans employed in the Crypteia (Plato, Laws 633 B sq.). Plato had already recommended his agronomi and their youthful assistants to do the like (Laws 762 E sqq., cp. especially καὶ καλλωπίζεσθαι χρή τῷ καλῶς δουλεῦσαι μᾶλλον ή τῷ καλῶς ἄρξαι, πρώτον μέν τοις νόμοις . . . έπειτα τοις πρεσβυτέροις τε καὶ εντίμως βεβιωκόσι τούς νέους, and 763 A, τὰ δ' ἄλλα αὐτοὶ δι' αὐτῶν διανοηθήτωσαν ώς

Βιωσόμενοι διακονοῦντές τε καὶ διακονούμενοι έαυτοῖς). Perhaps the young Athenians who served as περίπολοι had more done for them by slaves than Plato and Aristotle approved. Vict. refers to the story of Favonius and Pompey told in Plut. Pomp. c. 73, ἐπεὶ δὲ καιρὸς ἢν δείπνου καὶ παρεσκεύασεν ὁ ναύκληρος ἐκ τῶν παρόντων, ἰδὼν ὁ Φαώνιος οἰκετῶν ἀπορία τὸν Πομπήιον ἀρχόμενον αὐτὸν ὑπολύειν προσέδραμε καὶ ὑπέλυσε καὶ συνήλειψε καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν ἐκ τούτου περιέπων καὶ θεριπεύων ὅσα δεσπότας δοῦλοι, μέχρι νίψεως πυδῶν καὶ δείπνου παρασκευῆς διετέλεσεν, ώστε τὴν ἐλευθεριότητα τῆς ὑπουργίας ἐκείνης θεασάμενον ᾶν τινα καὶ τὸ ἀφελὲς καὶ ἄπλαστον εἰπεῖν

Φεῦ τοίσι γενναίοισιν ώς δπαν καλόν (Eurip, Fragm. 953).

Vict. adds, referring to the siege of Florence in 1529, 'Recordor ego, cum premeretur obsidione nostra civitas, hostisque ad portas castra posuisset, universam nostram iuventutem in operibus faciendis muniendaque urbe occupatam fuisse, neque tamen eo tempore quicquam quod nobilitati suae non conveniret gessisse, non enim ob mercedem inde capiendam, sed ob libertatem defendendam id faciebat.'

11. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. If we take Aristotle to refer in πολίτου here, as also in 3. 18. 1288a 37 sqq., to the full citizen of the 'best State,' who is ex hypothesi capable of ruling, we shall not need to read πολίτικοῦ with Rassow and Susemihl. See vol. i. Appendix B. The argument is—since the virtue of a ruling citizen and the virtue of the best man are the same, and in our State the ruled citizen becomes sooner or later a ruler, so that he will need sooner or later to possess the virtue of a good man, the lawgiver must make this the aim of his labours, that the citizens may become good men, and [must seek to ascertain] by means of what pursuits [they may best be made so] and what is the end of the best life. For πραγματευτέον ὅπως . . . γίγνωνται Βοπίτz (Ind. 630 a 14) compares Rhet. 1. 1. 1354 b 19, οὐδίν γὰρ ἐν αὐτοῖς ἄλλο πραγματεύονται πλὴν ὅπως τὸν κριτὴν ποιόν τινα ποιήσωσιν. The end of the best life is leisure (c. 15. 1334 a 11 sqq.).

16. διήρηται δὲ κ.τ.λ. In c. 15. 1334 b 17 sqq., as in 1. 13. 1260 a 5 sqq., the two parts of the soul are τὸ λόγον ἔχον and τὸ ἄλογον: here they are τὸ λόγον ἔχον καθ αὐτό, and τὸ λύγον οὐκ ἔχον καθ αὐτό, λόγφ δ' ὑπακούειν δυνάμενον. Thus here Aristotle adopts the division of the soul which is mentioned as feasible in Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1103 a 1, εἰ δὲ χρὴ καὶ τοῦτο φάναι λόγον ἔχειν, διττὸν ἔσται καὶ τὸ

λόγον έχον, τὸ μὲν κυρίως καὶ ἐν αὐτῷ, τὸ δ ώσπερ τοῦ πατρὸς ἀκουστικόν τι. διορίζεται δε και ή άρετή κατά την διαφοράν ταύτην λέγομεν γάρ αὐτῶν τὰς μεν διανοητικάς τὰς δε ήθικάς, σοφίαν μεν καὶ σύνεσιν καὶ φρόνησιν διανοητικάς, ελευθεριότητα δε και σωφροσύνην ήθικάς, a passage which throws much light on that before us, though καθ' αὐτό, 17 ('per se,' in contradistinction to καθ' ετερον: cp. Eth. Eud. 7. 12. 1245 b 18, quoted above on 1323 b 24, and Eth. Nic. 2. 3. 1105 a 22 sq., and see Bon. Ind. 290 b 34), means more than ἐν αὐτῷ, 1103 a 2. The part of the soul referred to as λόγον οὐκ ἔχον καθ αύτό, λόγφ δ' ύπακούειν δυνάμενον is τὸ ἐπιθυμητικὸν καὶ όλως ὀρεκτικόν (Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1102 b 30), or, as it is occasionally called in the Politics (see above on 1254 b 8), τὸ παθητικόν. Τὸ θρεπτικὸν μέρος τῆς ψυχῆς is omitted, as in Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1102 b 12, ἐπειδή τῆς ἀνθρωπικῆς ἀρετῆς ἄμοιρον πέφυκεν. Aristotle recalls this division of the soul because he wishes to throw light on the relative worth of the virtues connected with each part of the soul, and to show, in opposition to the eulogists of the Lacedaemonian constitution, that the virtues of the rational part have more of the character of ends than those of the other part. For the perfect διήρηται, cp. 3. 9. 1280 a 17 and 2. 9. 1269 b 16. For the participle δυνάμενον, see note on 1254 b 23.

19. πως, 'in any way,' as in Xen. Oecon. 9. 1, ή γυνη έδόκει σοι, έφην έγώ, & Ἰσχόμαχε, πώς τι ύπακούειν ων συ έσπούδαζες διδάσκων;

τούτων δε κ.τ.λ. 'Ως ήμεῖς φαμέν = ως ήμεῖς διαιροῦμεν, for it is hardly likely that διαιρετέον είναι should be supplied. Cp. 5 (8). 7. 1341 b 32 sq. For the μέν solitarium in τοῖς μεν οὕτω διαιροῦσιν, see above on 1332 a 14, 1262 a 6, and 1270 a 34.

21. αἰεὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. We learn in what sense the appetitive part of the soul exists for the sake of the rational part from Magn. Mor. 2. 10. 1208 a 12, ἐπειδὴ γάρ τι τῆς ψυχῆς τὸ μὲν χεῖρον ἔχομεν τὸ δὲ βέλτιον, ἀεὶ δὲ τὸ χεῖρον τοῦ βελτίονος ἔνεκεν ἐστιν, ὥσπερ ἐπὶ σώματος καὶ ψυχῆς τὸ σῶμα τῆς ψυχῆς ἔνεκεν, καὶ τότ' ἐροῦμεν ἔχειν τὸ σῶμα καλῶς, ὅταν οῦτως ἔχη ώστε μὴ κωλύειν ἀλλὰ καὶ συμβάλλεσθαι καὶ συμπαρορμῶν πρὸς τὸ τὴν ψυχὴν ἐπιτελεῖν τὸ αὐτῆς ἔργον' τὸ γὰρ χεῖρον τοῦ βελτίονος ἔνεκεν πρὸς τὸ συνεργεῖν τῷ βελτίονι. Cp. also M. Antonin. Comm. 5. 16, ἢ οὖκ ἦν ἐναργες, ὅτι τὰ χείρω τῶν κρειττόνων ἔνεκεν, τὰ δὲ κρείττω ἀλλήλων; κρείττω δὲ τῶν μὲν ἀψύχων τὰ ἔμψυχα, τῶν δὲ ἐμψύχων τὰ λογικά. On the far-reaching principle, αἰεὶ τὸ χεῖρον τοῦ βελτίονός ἐστιν ἔνεκεν, see vol. i. p. 58 sq.

22. καὶ τοῦτο φανερόν κ.τ.λ. When a principle holds good in reference both to art and to nature, Aristotle is often careful

to point out the fact: e.g. in Meteor. 4. 3. 381 a 10 sq., De Part. An. 1. 1. 639 b 15 sq., and De Gen. An. 4. 6. 775 a 20 sqq.

24. διήρηταί τε διχή. Sepulv., Lamb., Schn., Sus.*, Welklon, and others supply δ λόγος, but Vict. and Sus. supply το λόγος, and perhaps this is better.

καθ' ὅνπερ εἰώθαμεν τρόπον διαιρεῖν. Ε.g. in De An. 3. 10. 433 a 14, νοῦς δὲ ὁ ἔνεκά του λογιζόμενος καὶ ὁ πρακτικός διαφέρει δὲ τοῦ θεωρητικοῦ τῷ τελει: cp. Eth. Nic. 6. 2. 1139 a 3 sqq.

26. ώσαύτως, in the same way as ό λόγος, i.e. into το λόγον έχου πρακτικόν and το λόγον έχου θεωρητικόν.

τοῦτο τὸ μέρος, i.e. τὸ λόγον έχον μέρος καθ αὐτό.

δηλονότι is adverbial, as in c. 2. 1325 a 1: see on this use Bon. Ind. 173 b 30 sqq.

- 27. καὶ τὰς πράξεις δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and indeed we shall say that the activities of the soul stand in a corresponding relation to each other.' Three classes of activities are apparently referred to—
 (1) πράξεις τοῦ λόγον οὐκ ἔχοντος καθ' αὐτό, λόγφ δ' ὑπακούειν δυναμένου (e.g. ἐλευθέριοι, σώφρονες πράξεις: cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1103 a 6 and 3. 3. 1111 b 1 sq.): (2) πράξεις τοῦ λόγον ἔχοντος πρακτικόν (φρόνιμοι πράξεις): (3) πράξεις τοῦ λόγον ἔχοντος θεωρητικόν (σοφαὶ πράξεις).
- 28. τοῖς δυναμένοις τυγχάνειν ἡ πασῶν ἡ τοῖν δυοῖν, 'for those who can attain either to all the three activities of the soul or to the two lower ones of the three.' I take the meaning to be, that even if a man can attain only to the activities of the irrational part of the soul and to those of the lower, or practical, section of its rational part, the latter class of activities, being activities of the better part of the two, are more decirable for him than the former. If a man can attain to all three, then of course the activities of the theoretic section of the rational part are the most desirable for him.
- 29. αἰεὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for that is always most desirable for each man which is the highest to which it is possible for him to attain,' whether it is absolutely the highest or not. See vol. i. p. 60.
- 30. πᾶς ὁ βίος, 'life as a whole': see above on 1253 b 33. In 40 we have τοὺς βίους. By πᾶς ὁ βίος Aristotle means πᾶς ὁ πολιτικὸς βίος: cp. 1. 5. 1254 b 30, χρήσιμα πρὸς πολιτικὸν βίον (οὖτος δὲ καὶ γίνεται διηρημένος εἶς τε τὴν πολεμικὴν χρείαν καὶ τὴν εἰρηνικήν). In 1. 8. 1256 a 30 sqq. we have a classification of human life, so far as it is concerned with getting food. For the association of ἀσχολία and πάλεμος and of εἰρήνη and σχολή, cp. 41 sq., c. 15. 1334 a 38 sqq., and Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 b 4 sqq.

- 32. καὶ τῶν πρακτῶν κ.τ.λ. 'Bonitz brackets els τά in 32 and 33 (Ind. 42 b 26 sqq. and 632 a 29 sq.), but see Vahlen in the Zeitschrift für d. östr. Gymn. 1872, p. 540' (Sus.², p. 453). I have not seen Vahlen's article. The construction, if we supply διήρηται, as we must apparently do, is certainly remarkable. For τῶν πρακτῶν we have τῶν πραγμάτων in 40.
- 34. For αίρεσιν, cp. Isocr. De Pace, § 106, εύρήσετε γάρ τοὺς πλείστους τῶν ἀνθρώπων περὶ τὰς αίρέσεις τῶν πραγμάτων άμαρτάνοντας.
- 35. πόλεμον μὲν εἰρήνης χάριν. Aristotle continues his sentence as if å ἀνάγκη όμοίως αἰρετὰ εἶναι had preceded in 33, and not περὶ ὧν ἀνάγκη τὴν αὐτὴν αἷρεσιν εἶναι. He here has before him Plato, Laws 628 D sq. (referred to by Eaton) and 803 D. Cp. (with Eaton) Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 b 4, δοκεῖ τε ἡ εὐδαιμονία ἐν τῆ σχολῆ εἶναι ἀσχολούμεθα γὰρ ἵνα σχολάζωμεν, καὶ πολεμοῦμεν ἵν' εἰρήνην ἄγωμεν.

ἀσχολίαν δὲ σχολής. See last note. There was much to suggest the view that σχολή is a nobler thing than ἀσχολία in the Greek conception of the gods as peia courtes, and in Aristotle's own conception of the life of the Deity (see above on 1325 b 28), to say nothing of the close connexion which the Greeks held to exist between σχολή and ελευθερία (Plato, Theaet. 175 D) and between σχολή and culture (Isocr. Busir. § 21 sq.: Metaph. A. 1. 981 b 20 sqq.). As has often been pointed out by others, Aristotle does not mean idleness or recreation by σχολή. Σχολή is marked off by him both from ἀσχολία and from παιδιά or ἀνάπαυσις: it is not, like παιδιά and ανάπανσις, recreation after toil (5 (8). 3. 1337 b 37 sqq.: 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 36 sqq.), nor is it, like ἀσχολία, the doing of work which is done not for its own sake, but as a means to something else; it is employment in work desirable for its own sake—the hearing of noble music and no doubt also of noble poetry, intercourse with friends chosen for their worth (Eth. Nic. 9. 11. 1171 b 12 sqq.), and above all the exercise, in company or otherwise, of the speculative faculty. 'Ασχολία and the παιδιά or ανάπαυσιε which makes doxohia possible must necessarily find a place in human life, for men cannot exist without them, but the noblest element in human life is $\sigma_{\chi o} \lambda \dot{\eta}$, and it is the end for which work and recreation exist. We hardly know whether Aristotle would class the sight of noble pictures or statues with the hearing of noble music and poetry as a right use of σχολή: he would probably not regard in this light the exercise of an art even for its own sake. Many will differ from him here, and some may ask whether work

done as a means to something else is not often as desirable for its own sake as anything which could be brought under the head of $\sigma_{\chi o \lambda \dot{\eta}}$. May we not say this of work done in a noble cause, like that of the victors of Marathon and Salamis, or that of Pitt and Stein, when they 'weathered the storm'? It should be noticed that while Aristotle is following in the track of Plato when he exalts peace above war, he is not a borrower from Plato in his exaltation of $\sigma_{\chi o \lambda \dot{\eta}}$ at the expense of $d\sigma_{\chi o \lambda \dot{\eta}}$. His view of human life as comprising in its best form $d\sigma_{\chi o \lambda \dot{\eta}}$, $\sigma_{u \dot{u} \dot{u} \dot{u} \dot{u}}$, and $\sigma_{\chi o \lambda \dot{\eta}}$ is a remarkable one, and I am not aware that he owes it to any one.

- 2. καὶ τὰ χρήσιμα δέ, 'and indeed things useful': cp. Plato, 1833 b. Theaet. 171 Ε, ἐθελῆσαι ὰν φάναι μὴ πῶν γύναιον καὶ παιδίον καὶ θηρίον δὲ Ικανὸν εἶναι ἰᾶσθαι αὐτὸ γιγνῶσκον έαυτῷ τὸ ὑγιεινόν.
- 3. καὶ παίδας ἔτι ὅντας παιδευτέον καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἡλικίας, δσαι δέονται παιδείας. According to the common view (a different view is ascribed to Pythagoras in Diog. Laert. 8. 10), boyhood ceased at puberty (cp. Xen. Cyrop. 8. 7. 6, ἐγὼ γὰρ παῖς τε ὧν τὰ ἐν παισὶ νομιζόμενα καλὰ δοκῶ κεκαρπῶσθαι, ἐπεὶ τε ῆβησα, τὰ ἐν νεανίσκοις, τέλειός τε ἀνὴρ γενόμενος τὰ ἐν ἀνδράσι). Παῖς, however, is otherwise used in 3. 1. 1275 a 14. The words τὰς ἄλλας ἡλικίας δσαι δέονται παιδείας seem to imply that education in the 'best State' will extend over more ἡλικίαι than the two represented by boyhood and the years from puberty to twenty-one (see note on 1336 b 37).
- 5. οἱ δὲ νῶν ἄριστα κ.τ.λ. The Lacedaemonians and Cretans are especially referred to (cp. 12 sqq. and c. 2. 1324 b 7 sqq.: see also note on 1337 a 31). Plato had said much the same in Laws 628 C sqq. Are we to infer from the use of rai in 11, rai των υστερόν τινες γραψάντων, that Aristotle regarded the Lacedaemonian and Cretan lawgivers as the authors of written constitutions and laws? Aristotle turns aside to censure the Lacedaemonian training in 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 9 sqq. also. The Fourth and Fifth Books of the Politics are written in a strongly anti-Laconian spirit. The Lacedaemonian lawgiver is more severely criticized in them than he is in the Second. It is true that the Spartans are said in the Second Book (c. 9. 1271 b 9) to prefer external goods to virtue -a strong thing to say of men who prided themselves on their virtue (4 (7). 11. 1330 b 32)—but this fault is not explicitly traced back to the lawgiver. In the Fourth Book, on the other hand (c. 2. 1324 b 27 sq.), the lawgiver is charged with pursuing an

444 NOTES.

unlawful end; it is also implied in 1325 a 7 sqq. (cp. c. 14. 1333 b 23) that he was not a good lawgiver (contrast 6 (4). 11. 1296 a 18-21). Aristotle's criticisms of the Lacedaemonian lawgiver throughout the Fourth and Fifth Books are, in fact, more in the spirit of those of Isocrates (see e.g. Panath. § 210 sqq.) than of those of Plato.

- 6. ταύτας = τὰς τούτων. Cp. 7 (5). 9. 1309 b 40, and see note on 1276 a 14.
- 7. οὖτε πρὸς τὸ βέλτιον τέλος φαίνονται συντάξαντες κ.τ.λ., 'evidently have neither framed their constitutional arrangements with a view to the better end,' etc. Πρὸς τὸ βέλτιον τέλος answers to πρὸς τὰ βέλτιω καὶ τὰ τέλη, 1333 a 39, and πρὸς πάσας τὰς ἀρετάς, 8, to πρὸς πάντα, 1333 a 36. The 'better end' is leisure and peace and things noble.
 - 9. τούς νόμους καὶ τὴν παιδείαν. Cp. c. 2. 1324 b 9.

άλλα φορτικώς απέκλιναν κ.τ.λ. We have been told in 1333 a 36 that things noble are to be preferred to things necessary and useful. Compare the very similar sentence in De Part. An. 1. 1. 642 a 28, έπὶ Σωκράτους δὲ τοῦτο μὲν ηὐξήθη, τὸ δὲ ζητείν τὰ περὶ Φύσεως έληξε, πρός δε την χρήσιμον άρετην και την πολιτικήν απέκλιναν οι φιλοσοφούντες: also Pol. 2. 9. 1271 b 3, Probl. 27. 5. 948 a 31, διά τί μάλιστα την ανδρείαν τιμώσιν αι πόλεις, ου βελτίστην ούσαν των αρετών; ή ότι διατελούσιν ή πολεμούντες ή πολεμούμενοι, αυτη δε εν αμφούν χρησιμωτάτη έστίν· τιμώσι δὲ οὐ τὰ βέλτιστα, ἀλλά τὰ αὐτοῖς βέλτιστα, and Rhet. I. 9. 1366 b 3, ανάγκη δε μεγίστας είναι άρετας τας τοίς άλλοις χρησιμωτάτας, είπερ έστιν ή άρετη δύναμις εὐεργετική. Φορτικώς, for τὸ ζητείν πανταχοῦ τὸ χρήσιμον ηκιστα άρμόττει τοῖς μεγαλοψύχοις καὶ τοῖς έλευθέροις (5 (8). 3. 1338 b 2). The Spartans valued themselves on their ελευθεριότης - compare the proverb ελευθεριώτερος Σπάρτης (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 246: 2. 303)—and when Aristotle hints here that their lawgiver was poprisos, and in 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 32 sqq. that his famous training made them Báravooi, he says as severe a thing as it was possible for him to say. In πλεονεκτικωτέρας Aristotle echoes Plato, Laches 182 E, οίς (i.e. Λακεδαιμονίοις) οὐδεν άλλο μελει έν τῷ βίω ή τοῦτο ζητεῖν καὶ ἐπιτηδεύειν, ο τι αν μαθύντες και επιτηδεύσαντες πλεονεκτοίεν των άλλων περί του πόλεμον: cp. also Isocr. Panath. § 188 and Plut. Lycurg. c. 28, έν μέν οθν τούτοις οὐδέν έστιν άδικίας ίχνος οὐδὲ πλεονεξίας, ην έγκαλοθσιν «νιοι τοίς Λυκούργου νόμοις, ώς ίκανως «χουσι πρός ανδρεία», ενδεως δὲ πρός δικαιοσύνην.

- 11. παραπλησίως τούτοις. I do not think that Aristotle means by these words φορτικώς. Cp. Meteor. 1. 6. 342 b 35, παραπλησίως δὲ τούτοις καὶ οἱ περὶ Ἱπποκράτην τὸν Χῖον καὶ τὸν μαθητὴν αὐτοῦ Λὶσχύλον ἀπεφήναντο.
 - 14. &, 'which praises.'
- 16. ὧσπερ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for just in the same spirit in which,' etc. Thibron's grounds of praise are those of οἱ πολλοί, and are therefore sordid and easily overthrown by reasoning. Οἱ πολλοί are athirst for εὐτυχήματα: Cp. 2. 7. 1267 b 3, ἄπειρος γὰρ ἡ τῆς ἐπιθυμίας φύσις, ῆς πρὸς τὴν ἀναπλήρωσιν οἱ πολλοὶ ζῶσιν. Ζηλοῦσι, as in Isocr. De Pace, § 83, καὶ ταῦτα δρῶντες αὐτοί τε τὴν πόλιν εὐδαιμόνιζον καὶ πολλοὶ τῶν νοῦν οὐκ ἐχόντων ἐμακάριζον αὐτήν, τῶν μὲν συμβήσεσθαι διὰ ταῦτα μελλόντων οὐδεμίαν ποιούμενοι πρόνοιαν, τὸν δὲ πλοῦτον θαυμάζοντες καὶ ζηλοῦντες.
- 18. τῶν εὐτυχημάτων. The term εὐτύχημα is applied to τὰ ἐν ὑπεροχῆ ἀγαθά, such as high birth, wealth, and political power: cp. Eth. Nic. 4. 8. 1124 a 20 sqq.

αγάμενος φαίνεται, 'evidently admires.' There is perhaps a reference to Thibron in Isocr. Panath. § 41, τὴν Σπαρτιατῶν (πόλιν), ἢν οἱ μὲν πολλοὶ μετρίως ἐπαινοῦσιν, ἔνιοι δέ τινες ὥσπερ τῶν ἡμιθέων ἐκεὶ πεπολιτευμένων μέμνηνται περὶ αὐτῶν. As to the other writers on the Lacedaemonian Constitution, see above on 1269 a 29.

- 20. δτι κ.τ.λ., 'because it was owing to their having been trained to meet dangers that they ruled over many,' and thus they owed their empire to their lawgiver. Γυμνάζεσθαι is not used exclusively of gymnastic training (cp. for instance 2. 12. 1274 a 26), but the gymnastic training enforced by the Lacedaemonian lawgiver (5 (8). 4. 1338 b 27 sqq.) is probably here referred to, for it was supposed at Sparta to produce courage (1338 b 12 sqq.). The notion that γυμνάσια lead to ἄλλων ἀρχαί occurs also in Plato, Protag. 354 A-B (cp. 342 B-C).
- 23. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. The sense is—besides it is not merely that they have lost noble living, but that they have lost it, notwith-standing that (as they claim) they have faithfully observed the laws given them by their lawgiver and there has been nothing to hinder them from doing so; this is indeed strange. Aristotle hints that either the fault must rest with the lawgiver or the Spartans had not really observed his laws. Γελοΐον has much the same meaning here as ἄτοπον (cp. Phys. 7. 3. 246 a 25, ἔτι καὶ ἄλλως ἄτοπον. τὸ γὰρ λέγειν τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἡλλοιῶσθαι ἡ τὴν οἰκίαν λαβοῦσαν

τέλος γελοΐον κ.τ.λ.). An oracle given to Lycurgus, according to Nic. Damasc. Fragm. 57 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 3. 390: cp. Diod. 7. 14. 7), ώς εὐδαίμων ἡ πόλις ἔσοιτο εἰ τοῖς ἐκείνου νόμοις ἐμμένοι, is probably present to Aristotle's memfory. In Isocr. Archid. § 61 the Lacedaemonian King Archidamus claims that the Spartans had abided by the laws which had been given them; there were, however, two views on this subject (see Isocr. De Pace, § 102 and above on 1270 a 19). There may be an allusion in μηδενός ἐμποδίζοιτος πρὸς τὸ χρῆσθαι τοῖς νόμοις to the fact that the observance of Solon's laws at Athens had been interrupted by the Tyranny ('Αθ. Πολ. c. 22). Μηδενός is neuter, as in 6 (4). 1. 1288 b 23, μηδενός ἐμποδίζοιντος τῶν ἐκτός. For ἐμποδίζειν πρὸς τὸ χρῆσθαι τοῖς νόμοις, cp. 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 6, ἐμποδίζειν πρὸς τὰς νότερον πράξεις. For μένοντες ἐν τοῖς νόμοις αὐτοῦ, cp. 2. 8. 1269 a 7, ὥστε ἄτοπον τὸ μένειν ἐν τοῖς τούτων δόγμασιν.

- 26. οὐκ δρθῶς δὲ κ.τ.λ. Even if these eulogists of the Lacedae-monian lawgiver were right in praising him for making rule over other States his end, the kind of rule—despotic rule—which they praise him for honouring is not the kind of rule which a lawgiver should be seen to honour. Cp. c. 2. 1324 b 26 sqq., and for τιμῶντα φαίνεσθαι, Plato, Laws 962 A, εἴ τις τὸν σκοπόν, οἶ βλέπειν δεῖ τὸν πολιτικόν, φαίνοιτο ἀγνοῶν κ.τ.λ.
 - 27. τοῦ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 3. 1325 a 24 sqq.
- 29. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. Further, they praise the lawgiver not only for what brings no permanent happiness, but also for what is positively harmful.
- 80. κρατεῖν ἡσκησεν ἐπὶ τὸ τῶν πέλας ἄρχειν, 'trained the citizens to conquer with a view to ruling over others.' I have not met with an instance of ἀσκεῖν used with an acc. of the person and an infinitive, unless we except the passage of Photius quoted below on 1337 a 1, but Plutarch has in De Defect. Orac. c. 21 γλώσσαις δὲ πολλαῖς ἤσκητο χρῆσθαι, and in Pyrrh. c. 24, ἄνδρας ἡσκημένους μάχεσθαι. Conquering is the first step to ruling over others: cp. c. 2. 1324 b 27 sq., and 1324 b 7 sqq., and also Plut. Lycurg. et Num. inter se comp. c. 2, ἄλλο δὲ οὐδὲν εἰδότας οὐδὲ μελετῶντας ἡ πείθεσθαι τοῖς ἄρχουσι καὶ κρατεῖν τῶν πολεμίων.
- 82. δήλον γὰρ ὅτι κ.τ.λ. A shrewd remark and one which, so far as I know, Aristotle was the first to make. There is much in the history of ancient Rome and modern France to illustrate and confirm it. Τῷ δυναμένο, like τὸν δυνάμενον ἄρχειν in c. 3. 1325 a 37.
 - 84. δπερ εγκαλούσι κ.τ.λ., 'yet this is just what the Laconians

charge Pausanias their king with doing, notwithstanding that he was already the holder of so great an office.' The Lacedaemonians praise their lawgiver for teaching the State to do to other States the very thing which they censure Pausanias for trying to do to his fellow-citizens. Aristotle has usually been taken to refer here and in 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 20, καὶ Παυσανίαν τὸν βασιλέα (Sc. φασί τινες έπιχειοήσαι καταλύσαι) την έφορείαν, to the victor of Plataea, whom he, however, describes in 7 (5). 7. 1307 a 2 sqq. as & στρατηγήσας κατά τον Μηδικόν πόλεμον. This Pausanias was not really king, but only guardian of King Pleistarchus, who was a minor (cp. Hdt. 9. 10 and Thuc. 1. 132, referred to by Eaton), but he is 'often loosely called king in the later writers, e.g. in [Demosth.] c. Neaer. c. 97: Duris, Fragm. 31 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 477), ap. Athen. Deipn. 535 e: Justin q. 1' (Busolt, Gr. Gesch. 2. 380. 4, ed. 1), and Aristotle may be guilty of a similar looseness here. In the second edition, however, of his Griechische Geschichte (1. 513 and note 3, and 3, 1, 98, 1) Busolt, following E. Meyer, takes the reference here and in 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 20 to be to the king Pausanias who was an opponent of Lysander. This Pausanias was really king, and might well be contrasted as such with ο στρατηγήσας κατά τον Μηδικόν πόλεμον, but see on the other side of the question Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 22. 2. Was the opponent of Lysander quite the man to entertain the hardy design of abolishing the ephorate and making himself master of the State?

- 35. πολιτικός. Cp. c. 2. 1324 b 26 sq.
- 86. λόγων, i.e. praises of the lawgiver for his training his citizens to conquer with a view to empire.
- 87. ταὐτὰ γὰρ ἄριστα καὶ ἰδία καὶ κοινῆ. Cp. c. 15. 1334 a 11 sq. and c. 3. 1325 b 30 sqq. The 'best things' to which Aristotle refers appear to be temperance, justice, and wisdom in contradistinction to a capacity to conquer one's neighbours.
- 38. τήν τε τῶν πολεμικῶν ἄσκησιν κ.τ.λ. See as to this account of the true aim of war, vol. i. p. 327 sq. Aristotle evidently has before him Isocr. Panath. § 219, οἶμαι γὰρ ἄπαντας ἄν ὁμολογῆσαι κακίστους ἄνδρας εἶναι καὶ μεγίστης ζημίας ἀξίους, ὅσοι τοῖς πράγμασι τοῖς εὐρημένοις ἐπ' ἀφελεία, τούτοις ἐπὶ βλάβη χρώμενοι τυγχάνουσι, μὴ πρὸς τοὺς βαρβάρους μηδὲ πρὸς τοὺς ἀμαρτάνοντας μηδὲ πρὸς τοὺς εἰς τὴν αὐτῶν χώραν εἰσβάλλοντας, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τοὺς οἰκειστάτους καὶ τῆς αὐτῆς συγγενείας μετέχοντας. ἄπερ ἐποίουν Σπαρτιάται.

- 40. Tva is here followed by ὅπως. See Weber, Die Absichtssätze bei Aristoteles, p. 18 sqq., who gives a long list of passages in Aristotele's writings in which the same thing occurs, among them Pol. 2. 7. 1267 a 2 sqq., 7 (5). 1. 1301 b 6-17, and 8 (6). 5. 1320 b 11 sqq. Kaissling (Tempora und Modi in des Aristoteles Politica und in der Atheniensium Politia, p. 32) compares 'Αθ. Πολ. c. 16. l. 7 sqq.
- 334 a. 1. πάντων δεσποτείας, 'despotic rule over all.' Πάντων is an objective genitive: cp. Rhet. 2. 2. 1379 a 21, προωδοποίηται γὰρ ἔκαστος πρὸς τὴν ἐκάστου ὀργὴν ὑπὸ τοῦ ὑπάρχοντος πάθους, where ἐκάστου = πρὸς ἔκαστον (Bon. Ind. 149 b 10).
 - 2. τρίτον δὲ κ.τ.λ. Supply ὅπως ζητῶσι.
 - 5. τάξη. See above on 1260 a 36.
 - 6. αί γὰρ πλεῖσται κ.τ.λ. Cp. 2. 9. 1271 b 3 sqq.

τῶν τοιούτων πόλεων, i.e. τῶν πολεμικῶν πόλεων, States that make war their end.

8. την γάρ βαφην αφιασιν κ.τ.λ. Compare for the metaphor Plato, Rep. 430 A. Ba $\phi \dot{\eta}$ here means the temper which is produced by dipping, rather than the dipping itself. In Plut. De Vitioso Pudore, c. 4. 530 E, we have ωσπερ βαφήν την φυλάττουσαν απιστίαν μαλαχθείσαν αλαχύνη προέμενος. Aristotle evidently thought (cp. 25 sqq.), with Isocrates (De Pace, § 95 sqq.), that when the Peloponnesian War came to an end and the Lacedaemonians found themselves at the head of an empire, they lost much of the justice and temperance which war had enforced on them and became ispirai (28) and ανδραποδώδεις (39). Compare Isocr. De Pace, § 96, αντί γάρ των καθεστώτων παρ' αὐτοῖς (i.e. τοῖς Λακεδαιμονίοις) ἐπιτηδευμάτων τοὺς μέν ίδιώτας ενέπλησεν (ή άρχη της θαλάττης) άδικίας, ράθυμίας, ανομίας, φιλαργυρίας, τὸ δὲ κοινὸν τῆς πόλεως ὑπεροψίας μὲν τῶν συμμάχων, ἐπιθυμίας δὲ των αλλοτρίων, ολιγωρίας δε των δρκων και των συνθηκών. Isocrates dwells on their insolent treatment of the Chians (§ 98) and of the islands generally (§ 99). He ascribes this change in them to their possession of maritime empire, which had already demoralized Athens, whereas Aristotle ascribes it to defective education and to the effect of leisure. The Lacedaemonian training was a training only for war; it did not impart justice and temperance, still less did it impart intellectual virtue. If this had been otherwise, the Lacedaemonians would have spent their leisure in pursuits which would have prevented the loss of 'temper' to which Aristotle refers. So Plato (Rep. 549 B) says of them that they lacked the 'saviour of virtue'—λόγου μουσική κεκραμένου, δε μόνος εγγενόμενος σωτήρ άρετής διὰ βίου ενοικεί τῷ ἔχουτι. Compare what Ephorus says of the Thebans in Fragm. 67 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 254). See above on 1271 b 4.

11. Έπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 3. 1325 b 30 sqq. and c. 14. 1333 b 37. C 15. The end is σχολή, as is explained in 14 sqq.

- 12. **5**por, 'distinctive aim' (= $r \in \lambda_0 s$, 11): cp. c. 2. 1324 b 3 sqq.
- 14. τὰς εἰς τὴν σχολὴν ἀρετάς. Cp. c. 11. 1330 b 16, τὰ εἰς τροφὴν ῦδατα, and c. 13. 1331 b 38, τὰς εἰς τὸ τέλος πράξεις.

ὑπάρχειν, sc. $τ\hat{\eta}$ πόλει: cp. 34 sqq. and contrast c. 14. 1334 a 9 sq. That the best man will possess the capacity of using leisure aright, we have seen in c. 14. 1333 a 41 sqq.

- 15. πολλάκις, e. g. in c. 14. 1333 a 35.
- 16. τὴν σχολὴν καὶ διαγωγήν. Cp. 5 (8). 3. 1338 a 10, τὴν ἐν τὴ διαγωγῆ σχολῆν, and 21, τὴν ἐν τῆ σχολῆ διαγωγήν. Διαγωγή is the use of leisure in occupations desirable for their own sake—such occupations as have been described above on 1333 a 35. See as to its nature, Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 735. 5 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., 2. 266. 5), and Sus.², Note 921 (Sus.², 1. p. 542). It is closely related to the end of human life (5 (8). 5. 1339 a 29-31), and therefore to happiness (1339 b 17-19), and hence, like happiness, it combines in itself both the pleasurable and the noble.
- 19. διδ σώφρονα κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 1. 1323 a 40, κτώνται καὶ ψυλάττουσιν οὺ τὰς ἀρετὰς τοῖς ἐκτος ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνα ταύταις. Σωφροσύνη is a security for the possession of an abundance of necessaries, because it excludes the spendthrift habits of life which are a common concomitant of its opposite: cp. Eth. Nic. 4. 3. 1121 b 7, διδ καὶ ἀκόλαστοι αὐτῶν (i.e. τῶν ἀσώτων) εἰσὶν οἱ πολλοί· εὐχερῶς γὰρ ἀναλίσκοντες καὶ εἰς τὰς ἀκολασίας δαπανηροί εἰσι, καὶ διὰ τὸ μὴ πρὸς τὸ καλὸν ζῆν πρὸς τὰς ἡλονὰς ἀποκλίνουσιν. It is implied that courage and other military virtues are productive of wealth in c. 14. 1333 b 10, 16 sqq.: cp. also Xen. Symp. 4. 13, τὸν μὲν ἰσχυρὸν πονοῦντα δεῖ κτᾶσθαι τὰγαθὰ καὶ τὸν ὑνδρεῖον κινδυνεύοντα, τὸν δέ γε σοφὸν λέγοντα. That they are preservative of wealth is obvious.
- 20. κατά γάρ τὴν παροιμίαν, οὐ σχολὴ δούλοις. See Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2. 765, where a remark of Erasmus is quoted, 'dicitur in eos quibus propter obnoxiam ministeriis sorditioribus conditionem non vacat honestis disciplinis operam dare.'

The proverb seems to be remembered by Euripides in a fragment of the Antiope (Fr. 215),

ου χρή ποτ' ἄνδρα δοῦλον ὅντ' ἔλευθέρας γνώμας διώκειν οὐδ' ἐς ἀργίαν βλέπειν,

and probably by Plutarch in Solon, c. 22, ελωτικοῦ πλήθους, δ βέλτιον ἢν μὴ σχολάζειν, ἀλλὰ τριβόμενον ἀεὶ καὶ πονοῦν ταπεινοῦσθαι (see note on 1313 b 18). So we read in Plut. Cato Censor, c. 21, of Cato's slaves, ἔδει δὲ ἢ πράττειν τι τῶν ἀναγκαίων οἴκοι τὸν δοῦλον ἢ καθεύδειν. Compare also the saying ascribed to Socrates, ἡ ᾿Αργία ἀδελφὴ τῆς Ἐλευθερίας ἐστί (Aelian, Var. Hist. 10. 14).

21. οἱ δὲ μὴ δυνάμενοι κινδυνεύειν κ.τ.λ. Cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 6-10, and Isocr. Archid. § 7.

- 28. φιλοσοφίας δὲ πρὸς τὴν σχολήν, 'and of intellectual virtue for leisure.' Bonitz (Ind. 821 a 6) rightly explains φιλοσοφία here as = 'virtus intellectualis.' See above on 1263 b 40. In 5 (8). 5. 1339 a 26 we find φρόνησις used in the sense of 'intellectual virtue' (see Sus.², Note 1023: Sus.⁴, 1. p. 585). We do not learn in the Fifth Book how Aristotle proposes to develope intellectual virtue by his education; yet he keeps its development in view even in his arrangements respecting musical training; thus one reason why he rejects the study of pipe-playing is because it is οὐδὲν πρὸς τὴν διάνοιαν (5 (8). 6. 1341 b 6).
- 24. σωφροσύνης δὲ καὶ δικαιοσύνης κ.τ.λ. Cp. Rhet. 1. 9. 1366 b 5, διὰ τοῦτο τοὺς δικαίους καὶ ἀνδρείους μάλιστα τιμῶσιν ἡ μὲν γὰρ ἐν πολέμφ, ἡ δὲ καὶ ἐν εἰρήνη χρήσιμος ἄλλοις. Aristotle hopes to develope temperance by means of the musical element in his education (5 (8). 5. 1340 a 18 sqq.) and possibly justice also (cp. τῶν ἄλλων ἡθικῶν, 1340 a 21). Something, however, would have been done for the promotion of temperance even in childhood by careful attention to children's pastimes and to the tales told them, and by the prohibition of objectionable language in their presence, etc.
- 25. ὁ μἐν γὰρ πόλεμος κ.τ.λ., 'for war obliges men to be just and temperate,' so that in time of war men act justly and temperately, whether they have these virtues or not. 'Αραγκάζει is emphatic. Compare for the expression Eurip. Fragm. 528, τὸ φῶς δ' ἀνάγκην προστίθησι σωφρονεῖν, and for the thought Xen. Cyrop. 8. 4. 14, δοκεῖ δέ μοι, δ Κῦρε, χαλεπώτερον εἶναι εὐρεῖν ἄνδρα τὰγαθὰ καλῶς φέροντα ἡ τὰ κακά τὰ μὲν γὰρ ὕβριν τοῖς πολλοῖς, τὰ δὲ σωφροσύνην τοῖς πᾶσιν ἐμποιεῖ.
 - 26. ή δὲ τῆς εὐτυχίας ἀπόλαυσις κ.τ.λ. For the phrase, compare

Diod. 14. 80. 2, εἰς τρυφὴν καὶ τὴν ἐν εἰρήνη τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀπόλαυσιν, and for the thought, Eth. Nic. 4. 8. 1124 a 29, ὑπερόπται δὲ καὶ ὑβρισταὶ καὶ οἱ τὰ τοιαῦτα ἔχοντες ἀγαθὰ (i.e. τὰ εὐτυχήματα) γίγνονται: Thuc. 8. 24. 4, Χῖοι γὰρ μόνοι μετὰ Λακεδαιμονίους ὧν ἐγὰ ἢσθόμην εὐδαιμονήσαντες ἄμα καὶ ἐσωφρόνησαν: and the proverb Κολοφωνία ὑβρις, ἐπὶ τῶν πλουσίων καὶ ὑβριστῶν, τοιοῦτοι γὰρ οἱ Κολοφώνιοι (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 266). See also Justin 8. 1. 4. It would be easy to multiply instances of this familiar saying. For τὸ σχολάζειν μετ' εἰρήνης, cp. Plato, Theaet. 172 D, τοὺς λόγους ἐν εἰρήνη ἐπὶ σχολῆς ποιοῦνται. For μᾶλλον, see note on 1270 b 33.

- 28. πολλής οὖν κ.τ.λ. Schn. 'insolentiam structurae annotavit cum Camerario [Interp. p. 319] Victorius; poetarum Atticorum exempla posuit Porson ad Euripidis Orestem versu 659, prosaicorum scriptorum locum praeter hunc adhuc alium similem nondum reperi.' See Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 409. 4. Anm. 5, where Aesch. Prom. Vinct. 86, αὐτὸν γὰρ σὰ δεῖ προμηθέως, is referred to among other passages from the poets. It is possible that μετέχεων has dropped out (cp. 35).
- 80. πάντων τῶν μακαριζομένων ἀπολαύοντας. Cp. c. 1. 1323 a 25 sqq. and Plato, Laws 631 B. The possession of all possible goods was held to make men insolent and overbearing (Rhet. 2. 16. 1390 b 32 sqq.). Ἐν πᾶσιν ἀγαθοῖς was a familiar Greek expression: cp. Bergk, Fragm. Adesp. Lyr. 18,

ανθεύσαν αγαθοίς πασιν οίς θάλλει πόλις,

and see Leutsch and Schneidewin's note on Gregor. Cypr. 1. 36 (Paroem. Gr. 2. 58). It is parodied in Aristoph. Acharn. 1025 Didot,

καὶ ταῦτα μέντοι νη Δί' Επερ μ' ετρεφέτην εν πασι βολίτοις,

and Vesp. 709 Didot,

δύο μυριάδες των δημοτικών έζων έν πασι λαγώοις.

otor et rivis k.t.l. Homer (Odyss. 4. 561 sqq.), as Camerarius points out (Interp. p. 319), speaks of the Elysian plain; it is from Hesiod, Op. et Dies, 170 sqq., that we first hear of the Islands of the Blest. See Liddell and Scott s.v. μάκαρ. Hesiod describes how some favoured heroes of the fourth race did not die like their fellows, but were removed by Zeus far from the haunts of men to the Islands of the Blest in the deep-eddying Ocean. Even in the later Iron Age there were those whose lot was thought to be the same—e.g. Harmodius (Bergk, Poet. Lyr. Gr. Scol. 10) and those

who were initiated in the Eleusinian mysteries (Diog. Laert. 6. 39). Some found the μακάρων νῆσοι in Lesbos, Chios, Samos, Cos, and Rhodes, the realm of Macareus (Diod. 5. 82), but they were more commonly believed to lie in the Atlantic Ocean at some distance from the West Coast of Libya (Plut. Sertor. c. 8: cp. Hor. Epod. 16. 41 sqq.).

- 32. φιλοσοφίας is introduced at some cost of trimness, but this is Aristotle's way: see note on 1323 b 35.
- 34. μèν οὖν has no δέ to answer to it, as the text stands. Perhaps it was taken up by another μèν οὖν in the lacuna which, as we shall see, probably exists in 1334 b 4, both being then answered by πῶς δὲ καὶ διὰ τίνων ἔσται, 5.
 - 35. τούτων των αρετων, i.e. φιλοσοφία σωφροσύνη and δικαιοσύνη.
- 36. αἰσχροῦ γὰρ ὅντος κ.τ.λ., 'for [if they have them not, they will not be able to use good things in leisure-time, and] while it is disgraceful,' etc. Leisure is the crown of life, and ἡ ἐν τῆ σχολῆ διαγωγή is the διαγωγὴ τῶν ἐλευθέρων (5 (8). 3. 1338 a 21 sqq.), hence it is especially desirable to be able to make a right use of good things in leisure-time. Cp. also Eth. Nic. 2. 2. 1105 a 9, περὶ δὲ τὸ χαλεπώτερον ἀεὶ καὶ τέχνη γίνεται καὶ ἀρετή καὶ γὰρ τὸ εὖ βέλτιον ἐν τούτφ.
- 88. Observe the chiasmus in ἀσχολοῦντας καὶ πολεμοῦντας and εἰρήνην ἄγοντας καὶ σχολάζοντας.
- 40. διό δεί κ.τ.λ. Cp. 2. 9. 1271 b 2, πρός γάρ μέρος ἀρετῆς ἡ πάσα σύνταξις τῶν νόμων ἐστί, τὴν πολεμικήν αὕτη γὰρ χρησίμη πρὸς τὸ κρατεῖν. τοιγαροῦν ἐσώζοντο μὲν πολεμοῦντες, ἀπώλλυντο δὲ ἄρξαντες διὰ τὸ μὴ ἐπίστασθαι σχολάζειν μηδὲ ἠσκηκέναι μηδεμίαν ἄσκησιν ἐτέραν κυριωτέραν τῆς πολεμικῆς. Contrast the language of Xenophon in Rep. Lac. 10. 4, τόδε γε μὴν τοῦ Λυκούργου πῶς οὐ μεγάλως ἄξιον ἀγασθῆναι; δς ... ἐν τῆ Σπάρτη ἡνάγκασε δημοσία πάντας πάσας ἀσκεῖν τὰς ἀρετάς ... ἐπέθηκε δὲ καὶ τὴν ἀνυπόστατον ἀνάγκην ἀσκεῖν ἄπασαν πολιτικὴν ἀρετήν.
- 41. ἐκεῖνοι μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. The meaning is—for they do not differ from other men in their views with regard to the question what are the greatest goods: the common herd think that external goods are the greatest of goods (Eth. Nic. 9. 8. 1168 b 17, τούτων γάρ—i.e. χρημάτων καὶ τιμῶν καὶ ἡδονῶν τῶν σωματικῶν—οἱ πολλοὶ ὀρέγονται, καὶ ἐσπουδάκασι περὶ αὐτὰ ὡς ἄριστα ὅντα, διὸ καὶ περιμάχητά ἐστιν), and so do the Lacedaemonians (Pol. 2. 9. 1271 b 6 sqq.); it is only in this that they differ from the mass of men, that they hold these goods to be won by means of one of the virtues (courage or military virtue). So far we see our way clearly, but there is little

doubt that the sentence which follows, commencing with incl di, has reached us in an imperfect state, and that several words have dropped out after raw doeraw, 1334 b 4-how many, it is impossible to say. The lost words may well have ended with the word down, and the omission of them may well have been due to the resemblance of doern's to doern's, 1334 b 4. Many attempts have been made to fill the lacuna (see Sus.3 on the passage), but with indifferent success. If I were to hazard a suggestion, it would be to insert after decree the words νομίζουσι, την πρός ταθτα χρησίμην είναι δοκοθσαν άρετην ασκοῦσι μόνον. ότι μέν οὖν όλην ασκητέον τὴν αρετήν. It seems likely at any rate that this filling-up more or less represents the sense of the words which have fallen out. Compare with the passage before us 2. 9. 1271 a 41-b 10. Camerarius (Interp. p. 320) was the first to suggest 'locum mendis non carere.' For ou raing διαφέρουσι των άλλων, cp. Poet. 5. 1449 b 10 sqq. (already compared by Vahlen, Beitr. zu Aristot. Poet. 3. 327), and Xen. Cyrop. 8. 2. 20, άλλ' είμι απληστος κάγω ωσπερ οι άλλοι χρημάτων τηδέ γε μέντοι διαφέρειν μοι δοκώ τών πλείστων ότι κ.τ.λ. For ταύτη referring to what follows Bonitz (Ind. 546 b 11) compares Poet. 23. 1459 a 30 sqq. 'Αλλά τῷ γενέσθαι ταῦτα (1334 b 2) = ἀλλά τῷ νομίζειν γενέσθαι ταῦτα, as Vict. points out. Possibly yimabai should be read (with Schn. Bekk.² and Sus.) in place of γενέσθαι: cp. 2. 9. 1271 b 7, where we have γίνεσθαι. Ταῦτα, 1334 b 2, 3 = τάγαθὰ τὰ περιμάχητα. For the thought, cp. Xen. Cyrop. 3. 3. 8.

- 4. καὶ δτι δι' αὐτήν. Aristotle does not mean that virtue is not 1384 b. to be practised for the sake of the happiness resulting from it; what he objects to is the practice of virtue for the sake of τὰ περιμάχητα ἀγαθά.
- 5. πῶς δὲ καὶ διὰ τίνων ἔσται κ.τ.λ. For πῶς καὶ διὰ τίνων, cp. 4 (7). 8. 1328 a 41, ἄλλον τρόπον καὶ διὰ ἄλλων, 3. 18. 1288 a 39, and Rhet. 2. 18. 1391 b 22, and for πῶς ἔσται, Pol. 4 (7). 14. 1332 b 34, πῶς οὖν ταῦτ' ἔσται καὶ πῶς μεθέξουσι, δεῖ σκέψασθαι τὸν νομοθέτην. The answer to διὰ τίνων is διὰ φύσεως ἔθους λόγου: cp. c. 13. 1332 a 38 sqq. Τοῦτο δή, as in c. 14. 1332 b 13.
- 6. τυγχάνομεν δη διηρημένοι πρότερον κ.τ.λ. Δή here, as often elsewhere (see note on 1252 a 24), introduces an investigation. Διηρημένοι is middle and used in the sense of διορίζειν (cp. 3. 14. 1284 b 41, διελίσθαι). Πρότερον, in c. 13. 1332 a 38 sqq.
- 7. τούτων, 'of these things,' a partitive genitive: cp. c. 11. 1330 a 41, τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν.

- 8. πρότερον, in c. 7.
- 9. ταῦτα γὰρ κ.τ.λ. explains why this question must be considered: we must ask whether training through habit should precede training through reason, because these two kinds of training must be so harmonized with each other as to be adjusted to the best end, and we shall be better able to adjust them to the best end when this question has been answered. Aristotle has already said (c. 13. 1332 b 5) that nature, habit, and reason must harmonize with each other; he now adds that they must be so harmonized as to be adjusted to the best end. He follows in the track of Plato, Laws 653 B and 659 D. At Sparta this best kind of harmony had been missed, for in the Lacedaemonian training nature and habit had not been brought into harmony with reason, nor had reason been adjusted to the best end.
 - 11. καί, 'both.'
- 12. καὶ διὰ τῶν ἐθῶν ὁμοίων ἢχθαι, sc. τοὺς παιδευομένους. In Eth. Nic. 1. 2. 1095 b 4 we have τοῖς ἔθεσιν ἢχθαι καλῶς. For ὁμοίων (i. e. ὁμοίων τῷ λόγῳ), cp. 5 (8). 7. 1342 a 26, πρὸς τὸν θεατὴν τὸν τοιοῦτον τοιούτω τινὶ χρῆσθαι τῷ γένει τῆς μουσικῆς, and Plato, Tim. 18 B, καὶ μὲν δὴ καὶ περὶ γυναικῶν ἐπεμνήσθημεν, ὡς τὰς ψύσεις τοῖς ἀνδράσι παραπλησίας εἵη ξυναρμοστέον, and Rep. 472 C, δς ἄν ἐκείνοις ὅ τι ὁμοιότατος ἢ, τὴν ἐκείνοις μοῖραν ὁμοιοτάτην ἔξειν.
- φανερόν δη κ.τ.λ. Aristotle is about to decide that training through habit must precede training through reason, but that training through habit must be adjusted to and pursued for the sake of reason, which is the end, and he proves the second proposition first (in 12-17) and then the first (in 17-25). Translate—'this then at any rate is evident, first that as in all other things, [so in the case of the human being,] generation starts from a beginning, and that the end of some beginnings is related to another end, and that reason and thought are the end of man's natural development, so that [reason and thought are the end of generation, and it is with a view to these ends that we should order generation and our training in custom.' I follow Sepulveda, Vict., Lamb., Stahr, and Welldon in my rendering of ws in yévers da doxne dori. Sus. (cp. Sus. 1, 1. p. 545) translates 'dass die Erzeugung und Geburt den Anfang macht (sür den man zu sorgen hat)'-i.e. 'that generation and birth are the beginning (for which we have to care)'-comparing c. 16. 1334 b 29, but the next sentence, καὶ τὸ τέλος ἀπό τινος ἀρχής ἄλλου τέλους,

suggests that ἀπ' ἀρχῆς ἐστί means 'start from a beginning.' It has not, I think, been noticed that Aristotle has before him Plato, Phaedr. 245 D, έξ άρχης γάρ άνάγκη κάν το γιγνόμενον γίγνεσθαι, αὐτήν δὲ μηδ' έξ ένός εἰ γὰρ ἔκ του ἀρχή γίγνοιτο, οὐκ an et donne vivroire, which supports the interpretation adopted by me. With Sepulveda (p. 237 b) I take the 'beginning' from which generation 'starts' to be the union of the parents: cp. Plato, Laws 720 Ε, Αθ.... δρ' οὐ κατά φύσιν την περί γενέσεως άρχην πρώτην πόλεων πέρι κατακοσμήσει ταις τάξεσι; Κ.Δ. τί μήν; ΑΘ. άρχη δ' έστι των γενέσεων πάσαις πόλεσιν δρ' ούχ ή των γάμων σύμμιξις και κοινωνία; and c. 16. 1334 b 29-31, where it is implied that ή σύζευξιε is the ἀρχή της γενέσεως. Compare with the passage before us those quoted in vol. i. p. 348, note 2, and Metaph. O. 8. 1050 a 7 sqq. Aristotle's aim is that in all arrangements connected with the generation of his future citizens and with the training of habit given them the ultimate development of reason and thought shall be kept in view, and we find that he bears this in mind later on (see above on 1334 a 23, and cp. c. 16, 1335 b 16 sqq., 29 sqq., and 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 24 sq., b 6 sqq.). We expect to telos to από τινος αρχής in place of τὸ τέλος από τινος αρχής, but cp. c. 16. 1334 b 41, ή χάρις παρά των τέκνων, and 8 (6), 8, 1321 b 35, τάς κρίσεις έκ των δικαστηρίων. See also below on 1336 a 41 and cp. Plato, Laws 715 Α, τά τε πράγματα κατά την πόλιν ούτως εσφετέρισαν σφόδρα κ.τ.λ. For άλλου τέλους, cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 3. 1174 a 19, εν χρόνφ γάρ πάσα κίνησις και τέλους τινός.

19. καὶ τὰς ἔξεις τὰς τούτων κ.τ.λ. Cp. 3. 4. 1277 a 6, where the soul is said to consist ἐκ λόγου καὶ ὀρέξεως, and De An. 3. 10. 433 a 9, φαίνεται δέ γε δύο ταῦτα κινοῦντα, ἢ ὄρεξις ἢ νοῦς, εἶ τις τὴν φαντασίαν τιθείη ὡς νόησίν τινα. That ὄρεξις belongs to the irrational part of the soul and νοῦς to the rational, is implied in 1. 5. 1254 b 5-9, but we are not told elsewhere, so far as I am aware, that ὅρεξις is the ἔξις of the one part of the soul and νοῦς of the other. For the meaning of ἔξεις, cp. Metaph. Δ. 20. 1022 b 10, ἄλλον δὶ τρόπον ἔξις λέγεται διάθεσις καθ ἢν ἢ εῦ ἢ κακῶς διάκειται τὸ διακείμενον, καὶ ἢ καθ αὐτὸ ἢ πρὸς ἄλλο, οἶον ἡ ὑγίεια ἔξις τις διάθεσις γάρ ἐστι τοιαύτη, and see note on 1254 a 39, where an ἔξις has been said to be a more permanent state than a διάθεσις. Thus ὅρεξις is a διάθεσις τοῦ ἀλόγου μέρους τῆς ψυχῆς καθ ἢν τὸ ἄλογον μέρος ἡ εὖ ἢ κακῶς διάκειται, and νοῦς stands in a similar relation to τὸ λόγον ἔχον. "Ορεξις is explained in 22 by θυμός, βούλησις, and ἐπιθυμία, for ὄρεξις is made up of these three things (De

- An. 2. 3. 414 b 2, ὅρεξις μὲν γὰρ ἐπιθυμία καὶ θυμὸς καὶ βούλησις, and Eth. Eud. 2. 7. 1223 a 26, ἀλλὰ μὴν ἡ ὅρεξις εἰς τρία διαιρείται, εἰς βούλησιν καὶ θυμὸν καὶ ἐπιθυμίαν). As Eaton points out, however, 'Aristotle's language is not uniform,' for he connects βούλησις, not with the irrational, but with the rational part of the soul in De An. 3. 9. 432 b 5, ἔν τε τῷ λογιστικῷ γὰρ ἡ βούλησις γίνεται, καὶ ἐν τῷ ἀλόγῳ ἡ ἐπιθυμία καὶ ὁ θυμός. As to the nature of βούλησις, see Eth. Nic. 3. 4. 1111 b 19 sqq. Aristotle evidently regards infants as having wishes which are not ἐπιθυμίαι, and yet which belong wholly to the irrational part of the soul. One difference between ἐπιθυμία and βούλησις is that the former is always felt in relation to that which is possible, and that this is not always the case with the latter (1111 b 22).
- 22. θυμὸς γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle, as Eaton has already pointed out, follows in the track of Plato, Rep. 441 A, καὶ γὰρ ἐν τοῦς παιδίσις τοῦτό γ' ἄν τις ἴδοι, ὅτι θυμοῦ μὲν εὐθὺς γενόμενα μεστά ἐστι, λογισμοῦ δ' ἔνιοι μὲν ἔμοιγε δοκοῦσιν οὐδέποτε μεταλαμβάνειν, οἱ δὲ πολλοὶ ὀψέ ποτε. Cp. also Rep. 402 A: Eth. Nic. 6. 13. 1144 b 8 sq.: Probl. 30. 5. 955 b 22: and the fragment of Philemon quoted above on 1332 b 10. Aristotle may perhaps regard θυμός, βούλησις, and ἐπιθυμία as closely connected with the body: cp. Virg. Aen. 6. 730 sqq.
- 28. καὶ γενομένοις εὐθύς, 'even immediately after they are born': cp. De Gen. An. 5. 1. 778 a 27, καὶ τὰ μὲν εὐθὺς ἀκολουθεῖ γενομένοις, τὰ δὲ προϊούσης τῆς ἡλικίας γίνεται δῆλα καὶ γηρασκόντων: Pol. 1. 8. 1256 b 9, ὥσπερ κατὰ τὴν πρώτην γένεσιν εὐθύς, οὕτω καὶ τελειωθεῖσιν: and Meteor. 3. 1. 371 a 6, εὐθὺς γιγνομένην.
- 24. δ δὲ λογισμὸς καὶ ὁ νοῦς κ.τ.λ. The expression comes to Aristotle from Plato, Rep. 586 C, πλησμονὴν τιμῆς τε καὶ νίκης καὶ θυμοῦ διώκων ἄνευ λογισμοῦ τε καὶ νοῦ (cp. 431 C and 524 B, and Laws 897 C). These are the faculties that control ὅρεξις (Eth. Nic. 7. 8. 1150 b 22 sqq.) and bring it within bounds. They are absent in other animals than man (De An. 3. 10. 433 a 11 sq.), and the child has them in an imperfect form (Pol. 1. 13. 1260 a 13). At what age they develope we are not told. According to Probl. 30. 5. 955 b 22 sqq. νοῦς increases in men as they grow older, and reaches its highest development in old age (ἐπὶ γήρως). Some further light is thrown on the subject by Plato, Symp. 181 D, οὐ γὰρ ἐρῶσι παίδων, ἀλλὶ ἐπειδὰν ῆδη ἄρχωνται νοῦν ἴσχειν τοῦτο δὲ πλησιάζει τῷ γενειάσκειν. Compare with what Aristotle says here

Polyb. 3. 20. 4, εί μή νη Δία πρός τοῦς άλλοις ή τύχη καὶ τοῦτο προσένειμε Ρωμαίοις, τὸ φρονείν αὐτοὺς εὐθέως ἐκ γενετῆς.

προϊούσιν. Bonitz (Ind. s. v.) compares De Part. An. 4. 10. 686 b 11, προϊούσι δὲ τοῖε μὲν ἀνθρώποις αὔξεται τὰ κύτωθεν.

- 25. διδ πρώτον μέν κ.τ.λ. Πρώτον μέν is answered by έπειτα. With έπειτα τὴν τῆς δρέξεως we must apparently supply είναι or some such word. See note on 1279 b 7.
- 27. ἔνεκα μέντοι τοῦ νοῦ τὴν τῆς ὁρέξεως. The ὅρεξες should be so trained as to obey νοῦς (1. 5. 1254 b 5: see note on 1333 a 21, and cp. Plato, Laws 653 B, 659 D).
- τὴν δὲ τοῦ σώματος τῆς ψυχῆς. Cp. Plato, Rep. 591 C, ἔπειτα δ', εἶπον, τὴν τοῦ σώματος ἔξιν καὶ τροφὴν οὐχ ὅπως τῆ θηριώδει καὶ ἀλόγω ἡδονῆ ἐπιτρέψας ἐνταῦθα τετραμμένος ζήσει, ἀλλ' οὐδὶ πρὸς ὑγίειαν βλέπων οὐδὶ τοῦτο πρεσβεύων, ὅπως ἰσχυρὸς ἡ ὑγιὰς ἡ καλὸς ἔσται, ἀὰν μὴ καὶ σωφρονήσειν μέλλη ἀπ' αὐτῶν, ἀλλ' ἀἐὶ τὴν ἐν τῷ σώματι ἀρμονίαν τῆς ἐν τῆ ψυχῆ ἔνεκα ξυμφωνίας ἀρμοττόμενος [φανεῖται]. As to the omission of ἔνεκα with τῆς ψυχῆς Eucken remarks (Praepositionen, p. 20), 'if ἔνεκα belongs to two notions, it is usually expressed only with the first, and must be supplied with the second.'
- 29 sqq. Cp. Plato, Laws 721 A. But Aristotle is less guided C. 16. by Plato in this chapter than he is in the seventeenth. He raises questions here which Plato had not raised and solves those which Plato had already raised in a different way. Plato had not inquired ποίους τινὰς ὅντας χρη ποιεῖσθαι πρὸς ἀλληλους τὴν γαμικὴν ὁμιλίων, nor had he discussed the proper season of the year for marriage or the other questions raised in 1335 a 39 sqq. 'Απ' ἀρχῆς is evidently equivalent to ἀπὸ τῆς συζεύξεως.
- 80. των τρεφομένων, 'of the children in process of rearing,' for not all that are born are to be reared. So Sepulv., whom Vict. follows.

πρῶτον μὲν κ.τ.λ., 'attention must first be given to the coupling of man and wife in marriage, [and the question must be considered] when '—i. e. at what age—'and in what condition [of body and mind] they should enter upon matrimonial intercourse with each other.' Critias, following no doubt Lacedaemonian traditions, had already said the same thing (see vol. i. p. 350, note 1). On the other hand, 'Chrysippus is reproached by Posidonius (Galen, Hippet Plat. 5. 1) for neglecting the first germs of education in his treatise on the subject, particularly those previous to birth' (Zeller, Stoics, Epicureans, and Sceptics, Eng. Trans., p. 303, note 2). In

relation to animals other than man nature herself had fixed the age and season of the year at which intercourse was to take place (Hist. An. 5. 8. 542 2 19, δραι δε καὶ ἡλικίαι της οχείας εκάστοις είσιν ώρισμέναι των ζώων), and Aristotle follows in her track. Indeed. Greek custom seems to have prescribed a certain season of the year for marriage (c. 16. 1335 a 36 sqq.), and particular Greek States seem often to have had an age of their own for its celebration (c. 16, 1335 a 15 sqq.). Another point is recognized in 1335 b 26 sqq. as needing consideration, πόσον χρόνον λειτουργείν αρμόττει πρός τεκνοποιίαν. It is not likely that regulations on this subject existed in any Greek State. Πρώτον μέν has nothing strictly answering to it, but it is in effect taken up by c. 17. 1336 a 3. γενομένων δε των τέκνων κ.τ.λ. Επιμελητέον περί την σύζευξιν, as in Plato, Laws 932 B, των περί γάμους γυναικών έπιμελουμένων. The question πότε κ.τ.λ. is considered in 1334 b 32-1335 a 35, and the question ποίους τινάς δίντας κ.τ.λ. is considered, so far as relates to the body, in 1335 b 2-12.

32. δεί δ' ἀποβλέποντα κ.τ.λ., 'and the lawgiver in instituting this union should look both to the persons united [as distinguished from the children to be born and to the [whole] time for which they will live [not merely to the time at which the union takes place, in order that they may arrive simultaneously in respect of age at the same epoch' (i. e. the epoch at which each of the two loses the power to have children: cp. 1335 a 7, τέλος της γεννήσεως, and for συγκαταβαίνωσι 1335 a 10 sq., 31). If the lawgiver looked merely to the time at which the union takes place, and did not look forward to the time at which the power to have children is lost by husband and wife respectively, he might very well be led to arrange that husband and wife should both be of the same age and young, but to do this would be an error, and the right course for him is to keep in view the whole course of the lives of the wedded pair and to arrange that the husband shall be twenty years or so older than his wife. For του του ζην χρόνον, cp. Plut. Non posse suaviter vivi secundum Epicurum, c. 17. 1098 E,

οὐκ ἔστιν αὐτῶν μέτρον ὁ τοῦ βίου χρόνος,

άλλὰ τοῦ παντὸς αἰῶνος ἐπιδραττόμενον τὸ φιλότιμον καὶ φιλάνθρωπον ἐξαμιλλάται κ.τ.λ.: Euphron, Δίδυμοι Fragm. 2 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 490),

& Zeû, τί ποθ ήμων δούς χρόνον τοῦ ζην βραχύν πλέκειν ἀλύπως τοῦτον ήμας οὐκ έας;

Philemon, "Εφηβος Fragm. 1 (Meineke 4. 10),

ούκ els ήμέραν χειμάζομαι μίαν γάρ, els τὸ ζῆν δ' δλον:

and Dittenberger, Syll. Inser. Gr. No. 444, Πιτύλος Ποσειδίππου τον ίδιον θρεπτον Λυκολέοντα ἀφῆκεν ελεύθερον παραμείπαντα αὐτῷ τὸν τῶς ζωᾶς χρόνον. Camerarius (Interp. p. 323) rightly translates τὸν τοῦ ζῆν χρόνον 'vitae ipsius spacium.' Susemihl's rendering of the sentence, which Mr. Welldon follows, translating 'he should have in view not only the persons themselves who are to marry but their time of life,' needs the support of parallel instances of this use of τὸν τοῦ ζῆν χρόνον. Aristotle follows in the track of Euripides (Fragm. 24: cp. Fragm. 906, and contrast Fragm. 319, quoted below on 1335 a 1),

κικόν γυναϊκα πρός νέαν ζεύξαι νέον, μακρά γάρ ίσχὺς μᾶλλον ἀρσένων μένει, θήλεια δ' ήβη θασσον ἐκλείπει δέμας.

For νομοθετείν ταύτην την κοινωνίαν, cp. 2 8. 1267 b 39, ενομοθέτει δέ καὶ δικαστήριον εν τὸ κύριον. That ταύτην την κοινωνίαν refers to την σύζευξιν, we see from 1335 a 35 sqq. The union of man and wife is called a σύζευξις in 1. 3. 1253 b 9 sq. and a κοινωνία in 1. 2. 1252 b 9 sq.

- 37. καὶ στάσεις πρὸς ἀλλήλους καὶ διαφοράς. Cp. Isocr. Nicocl. § 41, εἶτα λαυθάνουσιν ἔνδον ἐν τοῖς βασιλείοις στάσεις καὶ διαφορὰς αὐτοῖς ἐγκαταλείποντες, and Plut. Amat. c. 2, ἐκ τῆς γενομένης τοῖς γονεύσιν αὐτῶν διαφορᾶς καὶ στάσεως. A 'difference' is less serious and less long-continued than a 'state of discord,' though it may often end in the production of discord: cp. 7 (5). 4. 1303 b 37, καὶ ἐν Δελφοῖς ἐκ κηδείας γενομένης διαφορᾶς ἀρχὴ πασῶν ἐγένετο τῶν στάσεων τῶν ΰστερον.
 - 89. των τέκνων includes female as well as male children.
- 40. τῶν πατέρων might well mean here, as often elsewhere, 'the parents,' but it would seem to mean 'the fathers,' if we compare 1335 a 32-35, for there the father alone must be referred to, inasmuch as he alone would be seventy years of age at the time when the children are approaching their acmê.

drórητος γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for elderly fathers get no good from children's return of service, nor do the children from the assistance given by fathers.' If a man marries (say) at fifty-five, he will probably be in his grave before he gets much assistance from his

children or is able to start them in life. See also vol. i. p. 184, note 2. For ἡ χάρις παρὰ τῶν τέκνων, not ἡ χάρις ἡ παρὰ τῶν τέκνων, see above on 1334 b 12.

NOTES.

1825 a. 1. οὖτε λίαν πάρεγγυς εἶναι. Euripides puts very different advice into the mouth of one of his characters (Fragm. 319),

καὶ νῦν παραινῶ πᾶσι τοῖς νεωτέροις
μὴ πρὸς τὸ γῆρας τοὺς γάμους ποιουμένοις
σχολῆ τεκνοῦσθαι παῖδας οὐ γὰρ ἡδονή,
γυναικί τ' ἐχθρὸν χρῆμα πρεσβύτης ἀνήρ
ἀλλ' ὡς τάχιστα. καὶ γὰρ ἐκτροφαὶ καλαὶ
καὶ συννεάζων ἡδὺ παῖς νέφ πατρί.

On the un-Attic word πάρεγγυς see Rutherford, New Phrynichus, p. 120.

- 2. η τε γὰρ αἰδὼς κ.τ.λ. Cp. Xen. Rep. Lac. 5. 5, καὶ γὰρ δη ἐν μὲν ταῖς ἄλλαις πόλεσιν ὡς τὸ πολὺ οἱ ηλικες ἀλλήλοις σύνεισι, μεθ ωνπερ καὶ ἐλαχίστη αἰδὼς παραγίγνεται. Τοῖς τοιούτοις, sc. τέκνοις, 'children of the kind we have just described,' i.e. near in age to their parents. "Ωσπερ ἡλικιώταις, 'as it does also to those of the same age': cp. 3. 16. 1287 b 16, ὥσπερ ὁ δικαστής, and 2. 10. 1272 a 41, ὥσπερ τοῖς ἐφόροις.
- 3. καὶ περὶ τὴν οἰκονομίαν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Rhet. 2. 10. 1388 a 5, φανερὸν δὲ καὶ οἶς φθονοῦσιν' ἄμα γὰρ εἴρηται' τοῖς γὰρ εἰγγὺς καὶ χρόνφ καὶ τόπφ καὶ ἡλικία καὶ δόξη φθονοῦσιν' ὅθεν εἴρηται " τὸ συγγενὲς γὰρ καὶ φθονεῖν ἐπίσταται" (Aeschyl. Fragm. 298).
- 4. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. We are apparently intended to supply δεῖ νομοθετεῖν ταύτην τὴν κοινωνίαν before ὅπως κ.τ.λ. "Οθεν ἀρχόμενοι δεῦρο μετέβημεν, cp. 1334 b 29 sqq. Τῶν γεννωμένων, 'of the offspring in process of generation,' whether born or unborn, for τὸ γεννώμενον in De Gen. An. 1. 2. 716 a 22 refers to the latter and τοῖς γεννωμένοις in Pol. 1. 8. 1256 b 13 to the former. After birth, however, τὰ γιγνόμενα is the usual designation, as in 1335 b 20, 22, and c. 17. 1336 a 16, and τὰ τέκνα is used of a still later stage (1334 b 39). 'Υπάρχη πρὸς τὴν τοῦ νομοθέτου βούλησιν, 'be such as to answer to the wish of the lawgiver.' For πρός with the acc. in this sense, cp. Rhet. 1. 15. 1375 b 16, ἐὰν δὲ δ γεγραμμένος (νόμος) ἢ πρὸς τὸ πρᾶγμα, and other passages collected in Bon. Ind. 642 a 40–54: also Demosth. c. Timocr. c. 139, ἐκεῖ γὰρ οὕτως οἴονται δεῖν τοῖς πάλαι κειμένοις χρῆσθαι νόμοις καὶ τὰ πάτρια περιστέλλειν καὶ μὴ πρὸς τὰς βουλήσεις μηδὲ πρὸς τὰς διαδύσεις τῶν αδικημάτων νομοθετεῖσθαι.

6. σχεδόν δή κ.τ.λ., 'now all these things come about in connexion with one arrangement,' or 'one mode of dealing with the subject'—the arrangement being to place the commencement of wedlock at such ages in the case of husband and wife respectively as will enable it to close, so far as the production of children is concerned, at the age of seventy in the case of the husband and fifty in that of the wife, so that at no period of the cohabitation will the power of procreation be wanting to either party. deserves notice that Aristotle himself was about forty years of age when he married the niece and adopted daughter of Hermias (see vol. i. p. 466). That this union was a happy one may be inferred from the direction in Aristotle's will that his wife's bones should be, in accordance with her request, disinterred and buried with his own (Diog. Laert. 5. 16). Панта тайта, i.e. то ий блафынги тас δυνάμεις, το μήτε λίαν υπολείπεσθαι ταις ήλικίαις τα τέκνα των πατέρων μήτε λίαν πάρεγγυς elvai, and τὸ τὰ σώματα τῶν γεννωμένων ὑπάρχει» πρός την του νομοθέτου βούλησιν. For συμβαίνειν κατά μίαν επιμέλειαν, cp. Meteor. 1. 1. 338 b 20, δσα συμβαίνει κατά φύσιν, and Xen. Hell. 4. 4. 8, έπει δε τω ἄνδρε και κατά τύχην και κατ' επιμελειαν έγενεσθην φύλακε κατά τάς πύλας ταύτας. The phrase perhaps contains an allusion to the proverb μία μάστιξ ελαύντι (or μία μάστιξ πάντας έλαύνει, Suidas): ἐπὶ τοῦ ῥαδίου (Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 280): cp. Herodes, Пері Подітеїав, p. 175 (Bekker, Orat. Att., vol. v. p. 659), από μιας ουν τέχνης δρμώμενος ήμων τε κρατήσειν οίεται καὶ τούτων ων δι' ήμας οὐκ ἄρξει. Sus. transposes σχεδών, 6-τούτους, 11, to after 27, πληθύον έτι (ή μικρόν), reading σχεδόν δέ in place of σχεδόν δή, but the result of this transposition is to sever πάντα ταῦτα from the things to which these words refer.

8. δ τῶν ἀβδομήκοντα ἀτῶν ἀριθμὸς ἄσχατος, 'the extreme sum of seventy years' (cp. 35). For the fact, cp. Hist. An. 7. 6. 585 b 5 sqq. Camerarius remarks (Interp. p. 323), 'haec ita se habere putatur esse certum. Etsi pauca quaedam dissentanea memorantur, ut olim de Masinissa, quem Plutarchus in libello quo quaeritur an senibus capessenda sit respublica' (c. 15) 'ex Polybio' (37. 10. 5, 11 sq.) 'narrat, mortuum annos habentem nonaginta, reliquisse superstitem puerum annorum quatuor. Et de Constantia, quae nupsit Friderico Secundo, traditur peperisse eam filium grandiorem annis quinquaginta.' See also Plin. Nat. Hist. 7. 61 sq. Bonitz (Ind. 289 b 16) couples with the passage before us Hist. An. 8. 15. 599 b 10, καὶ ἄρχονται θηρεύεσθαι (οἱ θύννοι) ἀπὸ Πλειάδος ἀνατολῆς μέχρι

'Αρκτούρου δύσεως τὸ ἔσχατον. For the order of the words, which is quite regular, cp. 1. 2. 1252 b 27, ἡ ἐκ πλειόνων κωμῶν κοινωνία τέλειος: see Sandys' note on 'Αθ. Πολ. c. 51. l. 10, ὁ ἐν ἀγορῷ σῖτος ἀργός.

- 9. For πεντήκοντα, cp. 29, έπτὰ καὶ τριάκοντα.
- 10. δεῖ τὴν ἀρχὴν κ.τ.λ., 'the commencement of the union, so far as age is concerned, should reach down at its close to these epochs' (i.e. the close of the union should arrive for the wife at the age of fifty and for the husband at the age of seventy, so that the husband should be twenty years older than the wife at the time of marriage). For κατὰ τὴν ἡλικίαν, 'in respect of age' as contrasted with time of year (τοῖς περὶ τὴν ὥραν χρόνοις, 36), cp. De Gen. An. 5. 3. 784 a 17, τοῖς δ' ἀνθρώποις κατὰ τὴν ἡλικίαν γίνεται χειμών καὶ θέρος καὶ ἔαρ καὶ μετόπωρον. For the use of καταβαίνειν, cp. Plut. Demetr. c. 53, κατέβη δὲ ταῖς διαδοχαῖς τὸ γένος αὐτοῦ βασιλεῦον εἰς Περσέα τελευταῖον, ἐφ' οῦ Ῥωμαῖοι Μακεδονίαν ὑπηγάγοντο.
- 11. ἔστι δ' ὁ τῶν νέων συνδυασμὸς κ.τ.λ. Partly in support of the conclusion at which he has just arrived, which implies that the bridegroom will be twenty years older than the bride at the time of marriage, and therefore will not be young, and partly in order to settle the age of the bride, which has not yet been settled. Aristotle recalls the fact that the union of young persons is a bad thing. The substantive συνδυασμός is not used elsewhere in the Politics in the sense of 'coitus,' though it is often thus used in the zoological writings of Aristotle (see Bon. Ind. s.v.), but we have συνδυασθέντων in this sense in 1335 b 24 (cp. also συνδυάζεσθαι in 1. 2. 1252 a 26. so far as it refers to the union of male and female). With the passage 1335 a 11-28 should be compared Plato, Rep. 459 B: Aristot. Hist. An. 5. 14. 544 b 14, τὸ γὰρ τῶν νέων (SC. σπέρμα) ἐν πασι τοις ζώοις το μέν πρώτον αγονον, γονίμων δ' όντων ασθενέστερα καί έλάττω τὰ ἔκγονα' τοῦτο δὲ μάλιστα δηλον ἐπί τε τῶν ἀνθρώπων καὶ τῶν ζφοτόκων τετραπόδων και έπι των δρνίθων, των μέν γάρ τὰ ἔκγονα έλάττω, των δε τα φά, and 7. 1. 582 a 16, μέχρι μεν ούν των τρίς έπτα έτων το μέν πρώτον άγονα τὰ σπέρματά έστιν. ἔπειτα γόνιμα μέν μικρά δὲ καὶ ἀτελή γεννώσι και οι νέοι και αι νέαι, ώσπερ και έπι τών άλλων ζώων τών πλείστων. συλλαμβάνουσι μέν ουν αί νέαι βάττον έων δε συλλάβωσιν, έν τοις τόκοις πονούσι μάλλον, και τά σώματα δ' αὐτῶν ἀτελέστερα γίνεται ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολύ και γηράσκει θάττον, των τ' αφροδισιαστικών αρρένων και των γυναικών των τοις τόκοις χρωμένων πλείοσιν δοκεί γάρ οὐδ ή αξξησις έτι γίνεσθαι μετά τους τρείς τόκους, and De Gen. An. 4. 2. 766 b 29, τά τε γάρ νέα θηλυτόκα μάλλον των ακμαζόντων και γηράσκοντα μάλλον (τὰ πρεσβύτερα

μάλλον P, i.e. Vat. 1339). τοις μέν γάρ ούπω τέλειον τό θερμόν, τοις δ' imoleines. Aubert and Wimmer, in their edition of the De Generatione Animalium, remark on this passage, 'this appears from statistical investigations to be correct'; among other authorities they refer to 'the very precise and interesting investigations of Ouetelet. Sur l'Homme': see also below on 1335 a 15. According to Aristox. Fragm. 20 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2, 278), Pythagoras recommended (he probably referred to males only) complete abstinence till twenty, σταν δέ καὶ είς τουτο άφίκηται, σπανίοις είναι χρηστέον τοις άφροδισίοις τουτο γάρ πρός τε την των γεννώντων και γεννησομένων εὐεΕίαν πολύ συμβάλλεσθαι: see also below on 1335 b 37, ύγιείας rápir. At Sparta, care was taken that both bridegroom and bride should be in their bodily prime (Xen. Rep. Lac. 1. 6: Plut. Lycurg. c. 15, cp. Num. et Lycurg. inter se comp. c. 4, where the custom at Rome is contrasted with the Lacedaemonian custom). It is evident from 28 sq. that Aristotle does not class a girl of eighteen among the via, at all events so far as fitness for marriage is concerned.

18. dτελη, 'imperfect,' i.e. lacking some limb or organ, or with some limb or organ imperfectly developed, or lacking some sense, for instance the sense of sight or hearing (De An. 3. 1. 425 a 9 sqq.), or some power, for instance the power of movement (De An. 3. 9. 432 b 21-26) or speech, or the power to procreate (cp. Hist. An. 7. 1. 581 b 21 sqq., and De An. 2. 4. 415 a 26 sqq. and 3. 9. 432 b 21 sqq.), or possibly imperfect in mind (cp. 1335 b 29 sqq.), idiotic or the like. As infants born imperfect are not to be reared in Aristotle's 'best State' (1335 b 20), and the offspring of the overyoung is often imperfect, much destruction of infant life would be saved by the prohibition of the marriage of those who are over-young.

μικρά τὴν μορφήν, 'small in figure': cp. Pindar, Isthm. 4. 53, μορφάν βραχύς. In 17 we have μικροὶ τὰ σώματα. Το be small in person was to lack beauty (Eth. Nic. 4. 7. 1123 b 6 sqq.). 'Ο μικρός was 'a term of reproach at Athens' (Liddell and Scott s.v.: Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 280, note: cp. also 7 (5). 10. 1311 b 3).

 other than Troezen to which Aristotle here refers, Crete should probably be included. Cp. Ephor. Fragm. 64 (Strabo, p. 482 Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 251), γαμείν μέν αμα πάντες αναγκάζονται παρ αὐτοῖς οἱ κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον ἐκ τῆς τῶν παίδων ἀγέλης ἐκκριθέντες. The age referred to would be the expiration of the eighteenth year according to Dareste, Inscriptions Juridiques Grecques, p. 408. The bride in Crete might be no more than twelve years of age (ibid. p. 407). The early age at which daughters were given in marriage at Troezen is probably an indication of material prosperity, for the father no doubt had to provide his daughter with a dowry. In Crete the bridegroom did not take his bride home till she was old enough to manage a household (Strabo, p. 482), and the actual provision of a dowry by the father may have been delayed till then. 'In a meeting of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, M. Joseph Körösi, Director of the Buda-Pest Statistical Bureau, read a paper on the "Influence of Parents' Ages on the Vitality of Children." . . . M. Körösi has collected about 30,000 data, and has come to the following conclusions:-Mothers under twenty years of age and fathers under twenty-four have children more weakly than parents of riper age. Their children are more subject to pulmonary diseases. The healthiest children are those whose fathers are from twenty-five to forty years of age, and whose mothers are from twenty to thirty vears old' (Times, Jan. 14, 1889).

- 16. ἐπιχωριάζεται. Ἐπιχωριάζει would be more usual, but Liddell and Scott refer to Nymphis, ap. Athen. Deipn. 619 f, κατά τινα ἐπιχωριαζομένην παρ' αὐτοῖς συνήθειαν. See critical note.
- 18. διαφθείρονται, 'die.' Bonitz (Ind. s.v.) compares De Gen. An. 4. 4. 773 a 18 sqq.
- διδ καὶ τὸν χρησμὸν κ.τ.λ., 'the well-known oracle also' (in addition to other things). For τὸν χρησμών, cp. 7 (5). 3. 1303 a 30, δθεν τὸ ἄγος συνέβη τοῖς Συβαρίταις. P¹ and P² have preserved this oracle for us in their margins—τὸ μὴ τέμνε νέαν ἄλοκα (see Sus.¹). The literal meaning of these words was 'let fallow land remain fallow, do not plough up uncultivated land,' or in other words 'let the land rest.' The verb νεᾶν and the substantive νεατύς (Xen. Oecon. 7. 20) were used of the ploughing-up of fallow land (see Liddell and Scott). Cp. also Anth. Pal. 6. 41,

χαλκόν άροτρητήν, κλασιβώλακα, νειοτομήα.

As, however, the land which had been left for a time fallow was the land which would naturally be used for ploughing, the advice of the oracle came in effect to this, that ploughing should cease for a time and that crops should not be raised. No wonder that men rejected this interpretation of the oracle and cast about for anotherthat given in the text. A third interpretation was, indeed, possible. The oracle might be understood as a warning against rash innovation: cp. Athen. Deipn. 461 e, καὶ κατακλιθέντων, άλλὰ μήν, ὁ Πλούταρχος έφη, κατά τον Φλιάσιον ποιητήν Πρατίναν, ού γαν αύλακισμέναν άρων, άλλά σκύφον ματεύων, κυλικηγορήσων έργομαι κ.τ.λ. For διά τοιαύτην αίτίαν. see above on 1284 a 23.

- 20. διά το γαμίσκεσθαι τάς νεωτέρας. Sepulv. 'propterea quod adolescentulae nuptui traderentur' (γαμίσκεσθαι being taken as passive: so Lamb, and Sus.), or 'because the custom was to take the younger women to wife' (γαμίσκεσθαι being taken as middle: so Liddell and Scott). Perhaps the words which follow, τας έκδόσεις ποιείσθαι πρεσβυτέραις, where the act of the father, not the bridegroom, is referred to, make rather in favour of the former interpretation. \[\Gamma\text{a}\text{\gamma(\sigma)} \] is a rare word.
 - 22. καὶ πρὸς σωφροσύνην, as well as πρὸς την τεκνοποιίαν (12).

τας εκδόσεις ποιείσθαι πρεσβυτέραις, 'to give away their daughters in marriage, when they are older' (hterally 'to make their givingsaway in marriage for girls when older').

- 23. ἀκολαστότεραι γάρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Aeschyl. Fragm. 239.
- 24. καὶ τὰ τῶν ἀρρένων δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and the bodies of the males also are thought to suffer injury in respect of growth, if they have intercourse with females while the seed is still increasing, for the seed also fas well as the body, the increase of which has just been referred to is subject to a fixed limit of time, which it does not overpass in its increase, or overpasses only slightly, [so that it is not an indifferent matter whether intercourse occurs at an earlier or later age].' The editors from Vict. downward, so far as I have observed, with the exceptions of Reiz and Göttling, read σώματος in place of σπέρματος, and there is much doubt as to the correct reading. The words σώμα and σπέρμα are occasionally interchanged in the MSS .- e.g. in De Gen. An. 2. 3. 737 a 11 we should probably read σωμα with Aubert and Wimmer in place of σπίρμα, and in Chaeremon, Fragm. 13, I would read 'Ωρών σπίρματ' in place of 'Ωρῶν σώματ'. It is therefore not without hesitation that I retain σπέρματος in the passage before us. I do so for the following reasons: (1) It is the reading of all the better MSS., for even in P2, which now has σώματος, σπέρματος was the original reading; H h

P1 has άλλως σώματος in its margin. Vet. Int. has 'corpore,' but whether he found σώματος in the Greek text used by him is doubtful, for he may well have translated a marginal reading. Susemihl ascribes the reading σώματος to Leonardus Aretinus, but Schneider says (Politica, vol. ii. p. 436), 'Aldinum et plurium Victorii codicum scripturam σπέρματος reddidit Aretinus, and a manuscript of his Latin Translation belonging to Balliol College, Oxford (MS. Ball. 242)—I have not consulted any others—has 'ac masculorum corpora crescere impediuntur si adhuc augente semine consuetudinem ineant.' (2) If we read σώματος, it is not easy to see, as Schneider has already pointed out in his note on the passage, why Aristotle did not simply write καὶ τὰ τῶν ἀρρένων δὲ σώματα βλάπτεσθαι δοκεί πρός την αυξησιν, έὰν ἔτι αυξανομένων (and not αυξανομένου τοῦ σώματος) ποιῶνται τὴν συνουσίαν: Sepulveda, in fact (p. 240), found αὐξανομένου (not αὐξανομένου τοῦ σώματος or σπέρματος) in some MSS. and prefers this reading. Besides, the added remark kai yao -- हर seems rather otiose, if it refers to the body, for every one knows that the growth of the body ceases after a certain age. (3) The difficulty has been raised by Schneider that we nowhere read in Aristotle of a 'certus temporis terminus seminis augmento atque incremento definitus, ultra quem progrediatur nunquam, quoque intercepto corporis incrementum impediatur.' 'Corporis incrementum,' he adds, 'intra vigesimum fere annum aetatis consistere solet, seminis vero incrementum et copia pro natura alimentorum aliasque per causas variatur usque ad annum sexagesimum.' The question is one for thorough students of Aristotle's physiology to decide, and I cannot pretend to be one of them, but it should be noticed that the expression φθίνοντος τοῦ σπέρματος occurs in De Gen. An. 3. 1. 750 a 34, ως εξαναλισκομένου τοῦ περιττώματος καὶ ἄμα της ηλικίας ληγούσης φθίνοντος τοῦ σπέρματος, in reference to the old age not indeed of a man, but of an animal, and if he believed in a decrease of the secretion after a certain age, he may well have believed in an increase of it up to a certain age. Some indications of his having done so are traceable, if we can trust the Seventh Book of the History of Animals, c. 5. 585 a 36, πλην ουτ' ἀρχομένων (SC. τοῦ σπέρματος καὶ τῶν καταμηνίων) γόνιμα εὐθὺς οῦτ' ἔτι ολίγων γιγνομένων καὶ ἀσθενών, and Probl. 20. 7. 923 a 35, ή ἄπαντα μέν μέχρι τούτου ἀκμάζει, εως αν κατά τὸ σπέρμα ἀκμάζη; ἐπεὶ καὶ οἱ ἄνθρωποι μέχρι τριάκοντα έτων επιδιδύασιν, ότε μεν τῷ πλήθει ότε δε τῆ παχύτητι. Compare what we read in Hist. An. 7. 1. 581 b 2 sqq. of the

effect on bodily growth of a discharge of τὰ λευκά in early child-hood, for the catamenia in the female answer to the seed in the male (De Gen. An. 1. 19. 727 a 2 sqq.) —τὰ δὶ λευκά και παιδιοις γίνεται νέοις οδσι πάμπαν, μᾶλλον δ' ᾶν ὑγρῷ χρῶνται τροψῷ καὶ κωλύει τὴν αῦξῆν καὶ τὰ σώματα ἰσχναίνει τῶν παιδίων. For ὑρισμενος χρῶντοι, cp. 3. 13. 1284 a 22 and 3. 14. 1285 a 34. As to (ἡ μικρών), see critical note.

28. διδ κ.τ.λ. Διό is explained by ἐν τοσούτφ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 30. We should have expected from Hist. An. 7. 1 582 a 16-29 (quoted in part above on 11) that Aristotle would have delayed the age of marriage for women till twenty-one, but this would have involved a shortening of the duration of wedlock, as it is to close before the wife is fifty years of age. The male reaches the acmê of his physical development between thirty and thirty-five (see vol. i. p. 186, note 2, and cp. 1335 a 32 sq.), so that Aristotle might well have placed the age of marriage for the male a little earlier than he does, but he probably wishes to make the duration of wedlock the same for husband and wife (about thirty-two years). As to the ages recommended by Plato, see vol. i. p. 183.

- 29. As to [η μικρόν], see critical note.
- 30. ἐν τοσούτω, sc. χρόνω, 'at an age no greater than this.'

ἀκμάζουσί τε τοις σώμασι σύζευξις ἔσται, 'coupling will take place while their bodies are in their prime.' For the importance of this, cp. Plato, Rep. 459 B and Xen. Mem. 4. 4. 23.

- 31. συγκαταβήσεται, sc. σύζευξις, cp. 10.
- 32. τοις μέν, the children, answering to τοις δέ, 34, the fathers.
- 33. αρχομένοις της ακμής, cp. 1335 b 27, δρχεσθαι της συζεύξεως.
- 84. ήδη καταλελυμένης της ήλικίας, 'their period of vigour having now been brought to a close' (cp. De Gen. An. 1. 19. 727 a 8, καὶ παύεται της ήλικίας ληγούσης τοῖς μὲν τὸ δύνασθαι γεννάν, ταῖς δὲ τὰ καταμήνια, and Demosth. in Apatur. c. 4, οὕπω δ' ἔτη ἐστὶν ἱπτὰ ἀφ' οῦ τὸ μὲν πλεῖν καταλέλυκα), or possibly 'having now been wrecked.' For πρός, 'towards,' see Bon. Ind. 641 b 9, where we find a reference among other passages to De Gen. An. 5. 1. 778 a 25, τὰ μὲν γὰρ (τῶν ζώων) οὐ πολιοῦται πρὸς τὸ γῆρας ἐπιδηλως.
- 36. τοις δε περί την ώραν χρόνοις κ.τ.λ. Cp. Philo, Mechan. Synt. p. 99. 11, δεί δε καὶ ταις ὑπορύξεσι τών τειχών λαθραίως χράσθαι καθάπερ καὶ κῦν χρώνται μεταλλεύοντες. Τοις περί την ώραν χρόνοις, 'time in connexion with season,' in contradistinction to ol περί την ήλιείαν χρόνοι: cp. c. 5. 1327 a 8, της περί ξύλα ῦλης, and for the distinction

Hist. An. 5. 8. 542 a 19, διραι δε καὶ ήλικίαι της όχείας εκάστοις είσὶν ώρισμέναι τῶν ζώων.

- 37. ois oi πολλοί κ.τ.λ. There is a tacit antithesis between oi πολλοί and οἱ ἰατροί and οἱ φυσικοί, 40. In reference to the question of season, which is a very simple one, we need not have recourse to the opinion of learned men; the verdict of the many will suffice: CD. Top. 2. 2. 110 a 19, οίον ύγιεινον μεν ρητέον το ποιητικον ύγιείας, ως οί πολλοί λέγουσιν' πότερον δε το προκείμενον ποιητικον ύγιείας ή ού, οὐκέτι ώς οἱ πολλοὶ κλητέον ἀλλ' ώς ὁ ἰατρός. See vol. i. p. 187, note 1. The mention of οί πολλοί would seem to show that it was usual to marry in the winter throughout Greece and not merely in Attica. In δρίσαντες Aristotle probably refers to a custom or unwritten law. Pythagoras went further (Diog. Laert. 8. 9, καὶ περὶ ἀφροδισίων δέ Φησιν ούτως " ἀφροδίσια χειμώνος ποιέεσθαι, μη θέρεος φθινοπώρου δέ καὶ ήρος κουφότερα, βαρέα δὲ πᾶσαν ώρην καὶ ες ύγείην οὐκ ἀγαθὰ είναι": cp. Alcaeus, Fragm. 13, 39 with Bergk's notes, and Hist. An. 5. 8. 542 a 32). 'In 1876 Dr. Kulischer, in a paper in the Zeitschrift für Ethnologie, collected details of human pairing-seasons, as marked by festivals of plainly matrimonial intent, and brought forward still more distinct evidence from the statistics of births. which show maxima and minima pointing to two especial pairingtimes, about New Year and in late spring. Dr. Westermarck' [in his history of Human Marriage, Macmillan, 1891] 'brings new evidence to bear on the subject' (Prof. E. B. Tylor, Academy, Oct. 3, 1891).
 - 38. τὴν συναυλίαν ταύτην, 'this kind of dwelling together.' Συναυλία in this sense is connected with αὐλή, not αὐλός, and is a very rare word, but Bekk.² is no doubt wrong in following Lamb., who reads συνουσίαν. The word ὁμαυλία occurs in Aesch. Choeph. 599, ξυζύγους δ' ὁμαυλίας ('wedded unions,' Liddell and Scott), and Schn. points out that Plato (Laws 721 D) 'caelibatum eodem modo μοναυλίαν vocavit.' There were other kinds of συναυλία, e. g. those of ὁμέστιοι and ὁμοτράπεζοι, not ὁμόλεκτροι.
 - 39. δεὶ δὲ καὶ αὐτοὺς ἤδη θεωρεῖν κ.τ.λ., 'and the married couple also in turn' (as well as the lawgiver, cp. 1334 b 33 and 1335 b 14) 'should study the teaching of physicians and that of physical philosophers.' For ἤδη see note on 1258 b 18: ἤδη here lends emphasis to a pronoun, as in that passage and often elsewhere (e.g. in 2.8.1268 b 21: 4 (7). 2.1324 a 14: 7 (5). 10.1313 a 10). For θεωρεῖν τὰ παρὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν λεγόμενα, cp. Metaph. M. 1.1076 a 12,

πρώτου τὰ παρὰ τών ἄλλων λεγόμενα θεωρητίου, and Meteor. 2. 9. 370 a 21, τὰ μέν οδυ λεγόμενα περὶ βρουτῆς καὶ ἀστραπῆς παρὰ τών ἄλλων ταῦτ' ἐστί, and see note on 1324 a 25.

41. of τε γὰρ ἰατροὶ κ.τ.λ., 'for physicians state in an adequate way the favourable moments of the body [for the procreation of children].' Hesiod had recommended the time after a sacrificial feast (Op. et Dies 735,

μηδ' ἀπὸ δυσφήμοιο τάφου ἀπονοστήσαντα σπερμαίνειν γενεήν, ἀλλ' ἀθανάτων ἀπὸ δαιτός),

but some were wholly against the time after a feast of any kind, even the ordinary δείπνον, and among them was Epicurus (Plut. Sympos. 3. 6: Usener, Epicurea, Fragm. 61, p. 117 sq.). Cp. Plato, Laws 674 B, Plut. Lycurg. c. 15, and Diog. Laert. 7. 18. We learn the opinion of the Athenian physician Mnesitheus (B. c. 400–350?) from Athen. Deipn. 357 c, τὸ δὲ τῶν μαλακίων γένοι, οἶα πουλυπόδων τε καὶ σηπιῶν καὶ τῶν τοιούτων, τὴν μὲν σάρκα δύσπεπτον ἔχει. διὸ καὶ πρὸτ ἀφροδισιασμοὺς ἀρμόττουσιν. αὐτοὶ μὲν γάρ εἰσι πνευματώδεις, ὁ δὲ τῶν ἀφροδισιασμῶν καιρὸς πνευματώδους προσδείται διαθέσεως (cp. De Part. An. 4. 10. 689 a 29 sqq.). As to the dawn of day, see Aristoph. Lysistr. 966 Didot.

- 1. καὶ περὶ τῶν πνευμάτων οἱ φυσικοί. Cp. De Gen. An. 4. 2. 766 b 1335 b. 34, καὶ τὸ βορείοις ἀρρενοτοκεῖν μᾶλλον ἡ νοτίοις ὅστε καὶ περιττωματικώτερα. τὸ δὲ πλεῖον περίττωμα δυσπεπτότερον διό τοῖς μὲν ἄρρεσιν ὑγρότερον τὸ σπέρμα, ταῖς δὲ γυναιξὶν ἡ τῶν καταμηνίων ἔκκρισις (cp. 767 a 8 544.): Hist. An. 6. 19. 574 a 1: Probl. 1. 24. 862 a 30, ἔτι δὲ ἡ δύναμις ἡμῶν ἐν τοῖς ἄρθροις ἐστί, ταῦτα δὲ ἀνίεται ὑπὸ τῶν νοτίων: Probl. 26. 43. 945 a 18 sq. Plato recognizes the influence of winds on generation in Laws 747 D.
- 2. ποίων δέ τινων κ.τ.λ. Here the question announced for consideration in 1334 b 31 is taken up, so far at least as relates to the body. Plato had already said something on the subject in Laws 775 B sqq.: cp. 779 D sqq. The view which prevailed at Sparta may be gathered from Xen. Rep. Lac. 1. 4, ταίς δ' έλευθέραις μέγιστον νομίσας (ό Λυκούργος) είναι την τεκνοποιίαν πρώτον μιν σωμασκεῖν ἔταξεν οὐδίν ῆττον τὸ θηλυ τοῦ ἄρρινος φίλου ἔπειτα δέ δρόμου καὶ ἰσχύος, ὥσπερ καὶ τοῖς ἀνδράσιν, οὐτω καὶ ταῖς θηλείωις ἀγώνας πρὸς ἀλλήλας ἐποίησε, νομίζων ἐξ ἀμφοτέρων ἰσχυρῶν καὶ τὰ ἵκγονα ἐρρωμενέστερα γέγνεσθαι, and Plut. Lycurg. c. 14: compare the fragment of Critias quoted in vol. i. p. 350, note 1.

- 3. ἐπιστήσασι μὲν κ.τ.λ. For the case of ἐπιστήσασι, see note on 1275 a 16. Subjects often receive only a hasty consideration in the Fourth Book, a fuller treatment of them later on being promised: see vol. i. p. 296. What Aristotle means by emigringage μάλλον (sc. τὸν λόγον, cp. Περὶ ζωῆς καὶ θανάτου 6. 470 b 5), we see from c. 17. 1336 b 25, υστερον δ' επιστήσαντας δεί διορίσαι μάλλου, είτε μη δεί πρώτον είτε δεί διαπορήσαντας, καὶ πώς δεί. Έν τοίς περί της παιδονομίας, perhaps 'in the inquiries respecting the management of children,' rather than 'in the inquiries respecting the education of children,' which is the rendering of Sepulv., Vict., Lamb., and Liddell and Scott. Пагбогоµі́а is used in a different sense in 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 39 and 1323 a 4. It seems strange that Aristotle should intend to treat the question ποίων τινῶν κ.τ.λ. in an inquiry respecting the management of children. He apparently designed to include a discussion of the subject in the Politics; no such discussion, however, finds a place in the work as we have it (for other cases of the same thing, see vol. ii. p. xxvii).
- 5. τύπφ δὲ ἰκανὸν εἰπεῖν καὶ νῦν, 'but one should now also say what is adequate in outline.' Sus. inserts δεῖ after ἰκανόν, but, as he himself suggests in Sus.¹, Addenda, p. lxiv, it seems likely that δεῖ is to be supplied here from λεκτέον, 4: cp. 6 (4). 13. 1297 b 3 sqq., where δεῖν must apparently be supplied with ὑπάρχειν and δεῖ with τάττειν. Bonitz does not refer to the passage before us in Ind. 168 a 54 sqq., where he considers one or two other cases in which δεῖ may be thought to be omitted. Aristotle inherits the expression τύπφ from Plato, in whose writings it occurs frequently. A τύποι is the outline or περιγραφή (cp. Laws 876 D, περιγραφήν τε καὶ τοὺς τύπους τῶν τιμωριῶν εἰπόντας) which an artist draws before filling in his picture: see note on 1263 a 31.

ούτε γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Nic. 6. 1. 1138 b 26, καὶ γὰρ ἐν ταῖς ἄλλαις ἐπιμελείαις, περὶ ὅσας ἐστὶν ἐπιστήμη, τοῦτ' ἀληθὲς μὲν εἰπεῖν, ὅτι οῦτε πλείω οὕτε ἐλάττω δεῖ πονεῖν οὐδὲ ῥαθυμεῖν, ἀλλὰ τὰ μέσα καὶ ὡς ὁ ὀρθὸς λόγος. As to ἡ τῶν ἀθλητῶν ἔξις, cp. 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 10, ἀθλητικὴν ἔξιν, Xenophanes, Fragm. 2 Bergk, Eurip. Fragm. 284, and Plato, Rep. 403 E-407 E, where Stallbaum compares Plut. Philopoemen c. 3. What Plato objects to in athletes, however, is their sleepiness and inability to stand the rapid changes of diet which are inseparable from a soldier's life, whereas Aristotle rather objects to the tendencies impressed on their constitution by severe toil of one monotonous kind. For the order of the words in 5-8, see note on 1327 2 4.

6. πρὸς πολιτικὴν εὐεξίαν, 'with a view to the kind of bodily fitness that is useful to a citizen': cp. Xen. Oecon. 11.13. Εὐεξία differs from strength, and it also differs from health; it is the business of a gymnastic trainer to produce εὐεξία, just as it is the business of a physician to produce health (Top. 5. 7. 137 a 3 sqq.). But the εὐεξία which Aristotle speaks of here is not gymnastic εὐεξία, but the εὐεξία which makes a man an efficient citizen, fit in body to bear the labours which fall to the lot of soldiers and citizens.

πρός δγίειαν καὶ τεκνοποιίαν. As to the ill-effect of the training of athletes on health, cp. Plato, Rep. 403 E sq., and as to its ill-effect on τεκνοποιία, cp. De Gen. An. 4. 6. 775 a 35, ἀναλίσκει γὰρ ὁ πόνος τὰ περιττώματα, and Hist. An. 6. 20. 574 b 28, ἴδιον δ' ἐπὶ τῶν Λακωνικῶν (κυνῶν) συμβαίνει πάθος πονήσαντες γὰρ μᾶλλον δύνανται δχεύειν ἡ ἀργοῦντες.

- 7. κακοπονητική, 'unfit for labour,' 'labouring ill,' like κακόπνους, 'breathing ill,' or κακοθάνατος, 'dying ill.'
- 8. πεπονημένην μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Οὖν here contains an inference from what precedes, as in 1. 1. 1252 a 7 (see note on that passage). Πόνος is the source of εὐεξία (Phys. 2. 3. 195 a 8 sqq.: Metaph. Δ. 2. 1013 b 9 sq.: cp. Pol. 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 23 sqq.). Compare what Atalanta is made to say in Eurip. Fragm. 529,

εὶ δ' εἰς γάμους ἔλθοιμ', δ μὴ τύχοι, ποτέ, τῶν ἐν δόμοισιν ἡμερευουσῶν ἀεὶ βελτίον ἀν τέκοιμι δώμασιν τέκνα ἐκ γὰρ πατρὸς καὶ μητρὸς ὅστις ἐκπονεῖ σκληρὰς διαίτας οἱ γόνοι βελτίονες.

But Atalanta's training had been that of a huntress, not that of an athlete. The toils which Aristotle would recommend to married women would, however, rather be the light and varied toils of the mistress of a household, as to which we learn much from the advice given by Ischomachus to his wife in Xen. Oecon. c. 10. 10 sq. For πόνοις μὴ βιαίοις, cp. 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 40, τὴν βίαιον τροφὴν καὶ τοὺς πρὸς ἀνάγκην πόνους.

- 9. πρός ενα μόνον, sc. πόνον (Ridgeway and Sus.4).
- 11. ὁμοίως δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and these physical characteristics should be possessed alike by men and women,' so that women no less than men should ἐπιμελείσθαι τῶν σωμάτων. (Ταῦτα is in the plural because the characteristics are many.) Aristotle's language is very similar to that of Plato in Laws 804 D, τὰ αὐτὰ δὲ δὴ καὶ περὶ θηλειῶν ὁ μὲν

έμὸς νόμος ἃν εἶποι πάντα, ὅσαπερ καὶ περὶ τῶν ἀρρένων, ἴσα καὶ τὰς θηλείας ἀσκεῖν δεῖν, but Plato goes much further both in Rep. 451 C-457 B and in Laws 804 C-806 C than Aristotle does here.

12. χρὴ δὲ κ.τ.λ. 'Pregnant women also,' as well as those marrying. 'Εγκύμων is the Attic word rather than ἔγκυος. Aristotle here follows in the track of Lycurgus and Plato: cp. Xen. Rep. Lac. 1. 3, αὐτίκα γὰρ περὶ τεκνοποιίας, ἵνα ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἄρξωμαι, οἱ μὲν ἄλλοι τὰς μελλούσας τίκτειν καὶ καλῶς δοκούσας κόρας παιδεύεσθαι καὶ σίτω μὴν ἡ πάμπαν ἀπεχομένας ἡ ὑδαρεῖ χρωμένας διάγουσιν, whereas Lycurgus ordered a different course, and Plato, Laws 788 D sqq. and 789 D, βούλεσθε ἄμα γέλωτι φράζωμεν, τιθέντες νόμους, τὴν μὲν κύουσαν περιπατεῖν, τὸ γενόμενον δὲ πλάττειν τε οἶον κήρινον, ἔως ὑγρόν, καὶ μέχρι δυοῖν ἐτοῖν σπαργανᾶν. Not only would the unborn child profit by the exercise taken by the mother, but the mother herself would secure an easier delivery (De Gen. An. 4. 6. 775 a 30 sqq.).

14. τοῦτο δὲ ῥάδιον τῷ νομοθέτη ποιῆσαι, i. e. to secure that pregnant women shall not take little food and exercise.

προστάξαντι κ.τ.λ. Τινά is of course to be taken with πορείαν. Aristotle here perhaps takes a hint from Plato, who in Laws 833 B had made a temple the goal of a foot-race: cp. also Laws 789 E. For θεών τών είληχότων την περί της γενέσεως τιμήν, where ή γένεσις= 'partus' (Bon. Ind. 149 a 3 sqq.), cp. Plato, Phileb. 61 B, erre Διόνυσος είτε "Ηφαιστος είθ' όστις θεών ταύτην την τιμήν είληχε της συγκράσεως. Notwithstanding the gender of των είληχότων, the gods referred to are no doubt Eileithyia (Hom. Il. 11. 270 sq.: Paus. 8. 32. 4: Theocr. 17. 60 sqq.) and Artemis (Plato, Theaet. 149 B, alrian δέ γε τούτου φασίν είναι την "Αρτεμιν, δτι άλοχος ούσα την λοχείαν είληχε): perhaps also Demeter Calligeneia (C. F. Hermann, Gr. Ant. 2. § 56. 19) and at Athens the Τριτοπάτορες (Phanodem. Fragm. 4: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 367). The temples of Eileithyia in the Peloponnesus were often just outside the city-gate (see note on 1331 a 26). 'Αποθεραπείαν, from ἀποθεραπεύειν, 'to honour zealously' or 'completely': cp. αποβάπτειν (c. 17. 1336 a 16), αφιλάσκεσθαι (Plato, Laws 873 A), αποδύρεσθαι, and αποθρηνείν. A daily offering at a temple would be an indication of zealous worship: cp. Plut. Nic. c. 4, σφόδρα γὰρ ἢν (ὁ Νικίας) τῶν ἐκπεπληγμένων τὰ δαιμόνια καὶ θειασμώ προσκείμενος, ως φησι Θουκυδίδης, εν δέ τινι των Πασιφώντος διαλόγων γέγραπται, ὅτι καθ' ἡμέραν ἔθυε τοῖς θευίς.

16. την μέντοι διάνοιαν κ.τ.λ. What is the construction of την

diárosar? Is it in the acc. after diáyer, the subject of didyer being ràs eyrous understood and diayen itself being here used in the sense of 'to keep,' as in Isocr. Nicocl. § 41, καίτοι χρή τους δρθώς βασιλεύοντας μή μόνον τας πόλεις εν όμονοία πειρασθαι διάγειν, ων αν αρχωσιν, άλλά και τους οίκους τους ίδίους? Or is τάς έγκύους the subject of diayer used intransitively, and the proper rendering of the diavoir in respect of the mind? Or is the diamona the subject of diagent used intransitively? Sepulveda, who translates, 'mentem autem contra quam corpus tranquillam securamque gerere convenit,' appears to adopt the first of these interpretations, Victorius, who translates, 'mente autem contra atque corpore sedatius remissiusque degere convenit,' the second (so Stahr and Sus.), Mr. Welldon, who translates, 'their mind unlike their bodies should at such a time be comparatively indolent, the third. I incline to the second interpretation: no instance of diayen being used in the sense of 'to keep' is given in the Index Aristotelicus, and in 6 (4). 11. 1295 b 32 sq. the word is used intransitively. The reason why Austotle advises the avoidance of mental labour appears to be because it would 'be a hindrance to the body' (5 (8), 4, 1339 a 7 sqq.) and he wishes the bodily state of the mother to be as good as possible. Compare the advice given by Plato in Laws 792 E, where however he counsels the avoidance of an excess of pleasures and pains rather than of mental labour. We expect τοῦ σώματος in 17 rather than τῶν σωμάτων.

- 18. ἀπολαύοντα ... φαίνεται, 'evidently are influenced by,'
 τῆς ἐχούσης, i.e. τῆς μητρύς: see Bon Ind. 305 b 38, where De
 Gen. An. 2. 4. 740 a 26, 37, and 3. 3. 754 b 1 are referred to.
- 19. ὧσπερ τὰ φυόμενα τῆς γῆς. Cp. De Gen. An. 2. 4. 740 a 24, ἐπεὶ δὲ δυνάμει μὲν ήδη ζῷον ἀτελές δὲ, ἄλλοθεν ἀναγκαίον λαμβάνειν τὴν τροφήν διὰ χρῆται τῆ ὑστέρα καὶ τῆ ἐχούση, ὥσπερ γῆ φυτόν, τοῦ λαμβάνειν τροφήν, ἔως ἀν τελεωθῆ πρὸς τὸ εἶναι ήδη ζῷον δυνάμει πορευτικόν. In this passage and also in that before us Aristotle seems to have in his memory Hippoer. De Natura Pueri, 1. 414 Kühn, φημί γὰρ τὰ ἐν τῆ γῆ φυόμενα πάντα ζῆν ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς τῆς ἰκμάδος, καὶ ὅκως ἀν ἡ γη ἔχη ἰκμάδος ἐν έωυτῆ, οὖτω καὶ τὰ φυόμενα ἔχειν. οὖτω καὶ παιδίον ζῆ ἀπὸ τῆς μητρὸς ἐν τῆσι μήτρησι καὶ ὅκως ἀν ἡ μήτηρ ὑγιείης ἔχη, οὖτω καὶ τὸ παιδίον ἔχει.

περὶ δὲ ἀποθίσεως κ.τ.λ., 'and with respect to the exposure or rearing of children, let there be a law that defective offspring shall not be reared, but that offspring shall not be exposed on the ground

of an excessive number of children [as distinguished from that of imperfection], in case the customs of the State, as regulated by the lawgiver' (literally, 'the ordering of the customs'), 'are opposed to an excessive number, for the amount of reproductive intercourse should be fixed, and if any parents have offspring in consequence of intercourse taking place beyond that limit, abortion should be produced before sensation and life develope in the embryo, for that which is holy in this matter will be marked off from that which is not by the absence or presence of sensation and life.' See on this passage vol. i. p. 187 and notes 2 and 3. In Greece the poor were often unwilling to rear children, especially daughters: cp. Plut. De Amore Prolis c. 5, οἱ μὲν γὰρ πένητες οὐ τρέφουσι τέκνα, φοβούμενοι μὴ κ.τ.λ., and Poseidipp. Ἑρμαφρόδιτος Fragm. (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 516),

υίδυ τρέφει πᾶς κᾶυ πένης τις ὧυ τύχη, θυγατέρα δ' ἐκτίθησι κᾶυ ἢ πλούσιος.

The Greeks noticed with surprise that in Egypt all children born were reared (Aristot. Fragm. 258. 1525 a 37 sqq.: Strabo, p. 824). In Aristotle's 'best State' exposure would be resorted to only in the case of imperfectly developed offspring, or rather of offspring the imperfection of which was obvious at the moment of birth, for not all the kinds of imperfection noticed above on 1335 a 13 would be traceable at birth. The rule at Sparta was not to rear anything άγεννες καὶ ἄμορφον (Plut. Lycurg. c. 16). Seneca says of Rome (De Ira 1. 15), liberos quoque, si debiles monstrosique editi sunt, mergimus. I know not whether ἀπόθεσις in the sense of the 'exposing of children' occurs elsewhere. For ή τάξις των έθων, cp. την τάξιν τῶν νόμων, 2. 10. 1271 b 29, 32. Κωλύη, Sc. πλήθος τέκνων (so Vict. 'in illis locis ubi lege interdictum est ne quis pater alat plures liberos quam lex patiatur'): cp. c. 17. 1336 a 35, οί κωλύοντες έν τοις νόμοις. 'Ωρίσθαι γὰρ δεί κ.τ.λ., cp. 2. 6. 1265 b 6 sq. and 2. 7. 1266 b 8 sqq. In έὰν δέ τισι γίγνηται παρὰ ταῦτα συνδυασθέντων, supply τέκνα with γίγνηται from what precedes, and take παρά ταῦτα (sc. τά ώρισμένα) with συνδυασθέντων, 'in consequence of intercourse in excess of the legal limit' (so Vict. and Stahr), or possibly 'in contravention of the legal limit' (cp. Plato, Polit. 300 D, where παρὰ ταῦτα = παρὰ τοὺς νύμους). For the use of ταῦτα here see note on 1252 a 33. For the case of συνδυασθέντων (one might expect συνδυασθείσι), Reiz (quoted by Schn.) compares Hom. Il. 16. 531, όττι οι δκ' ήκουσε μέγας θεδς εὐξαμένοιο, and Odyss. 9. 256, ήμιν δ'

αὖτε κατεκλάσθη φίλου ἦτορ Δεισάντων κ.τ.λ. Richards adds a reference to Thuc. 3. 13. 9 and Xen. Cyrop. 1. 4. 2. See also notes on 1281b 4 and 13.

- 24. πρὶν αἴσθησιν ἐγγενέσθαι καὶ ζωήν. Cp. De Gen. An. 5. 1. 1778 b 32, εἰ δ ἐστὶν ἀναγκαίον ἔχειν αἴσθησιν τὸ ζῷον, καὶ τότε πρῶτόν ἐστι ζῷον, ὅταν αἴσθησις γένηται πρῶτον κ.τ.λ., and Eth. Nic. 9. 9. 1170 a 16, τὸ δὲ ζῆν ὁρίζονται τοῖς ζῷοις δυκίμει αἰσθήσεως, ἀνθρώποις δ΄ αἰσθήσεως ἡ νοήσεως. Contrast the view of Democritus, De An. 1. 2. 404 a 9, διὸ καὶ τοῦ ζῆν ὅρον εἶναι τὴν ἀναπνοήν, which was also that of Diogenes of Apollonia (Fragm. 5: Mullach, Fr. Philos. Gr. 1. 254). Aristotle is here speaking not of life in general, but of animal life, for plants also live, and in their case ζωὴν λέγομεν τὴν δι' αὐτοῦ τροφήν τε καὶ αὕξησιν καὶ φθίσιν (De An. 2. 1. 412 a 14): cp. De An. 3. 12. 434 a 27.
- 25. 4μποιείσθαι δεί τὴν ἄμβλωσιν. Bonitz (Ind. 243 b 17) takes ἐμποιείσθαι here as middle, but the verb seems to be rarely used in this sense in the middle voice, and perhaps Sus. is right in taking it as passive. In the 'oath of Hippocrates' which was sworn by aspirants to medical practice one of the promises made is that the taker of the oath will not produce abortion. The thing, however, was no doubt occasionally done not only by physicians but by midwives (Plato, Theaet. 140 D).
- τὸ γὰρ ὅσιον κ.τ.λ. As to the use of οὐχ ὅσιος and ἀνόσιος of violations of duty to near relatives, see note on 1262 a 28. The abortion of an embryo in which sensation and life had already developed would involve a violation of this nature.
- 26. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ 82 k.r. λ ., 'but since the commencement of the fit age for marriage for man and wife has been defined' (in 1335 a 28 sqq.), 'and it has been settled at what age they should begin their union.' As to the $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ solitarium in $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$, see notes on 1262 a 6 and 1270 a 34. The suppressed clause here is 'but the end of it has not.'
- 28. λειτουργεῖν πρὸς τεκνοποιίαν, 'to serve the State' in relation to the begetting of children, according to Liddell and Scott (so also Mr. Welldon): Sus., on the other hand, translates 'sich diesem Geschäfte zu widmen' ('to devote themselves to this task'), and Stahr 'dem Kinderzeugen obzuliegen,' following in the track of Lamb., 'liberis procreandis operam dare.' Perhaps, as Plato speaks in Rep. 460 E of τίκτειν τῆ πόλει and γεινάν τῆ πόλει, the first of these two interpretations is to be preferred. For πρόε, cp. 6 (4). 4.

1291 a 35, $\tau \delta$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \delta s$ $d \rho \chi \delta s$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \iota \rho \gamma \sigma \sigma \nu$. 'A $\rho \mu \delta \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ is used in association with $\chi \rho \eta$ here as with $\delta \epsilon i$ in 6 (4). 15. 1299 b 14.

- 29. τὰ γὰρ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων ἔκγονα κ.τ.λ. Cp. Xen. Mem. 4. 4. 23 and Plato, Rep. 459 B. By οἱ πρεσβύτεροι Aristotle here means οἱ παρηκμακότες, i. e. those over fifty or perhaps fifty-five: cp. Rhet. 2. 13. 1389 b 13, οἱ δὲ πρεσβύτεροι καὶ παρηκμακότες. In Probl. 38. 9. 967 b 13 sqq. οἱ πρεσβύτεροι are apparently identified with οἱ γηράσκοντες. See notes on 1329 a 13 and 1321 a 22. By οἱ γεγηρακότες Aristotle may probably mean men over sixty, or sixty-five. Membership of the Lacedaemonian γερουσία was confined to men who had passed their sixtieth year. When Aristotle says that the children of aged fathers are ἀσθενεῖς, he perhaps means more than that they are weak in body. Οἱ ἀσθενεῖς are specially subject to emotion (see note on 1342 a 11).
- 32. διο κατά την της διανοίας ακμήν, sc. ωρίσθω ο χρόνος της τεκνητοιίας: so Lamb. 'quare id tempus praefiniatur, in quo mens maxime viget ac floret,' Coray, and others. Aristotle is speaking of the mental prime of the husband, not the wife. The bodily prime of a man falls between thirty and thirty-five (see above on 1335 a 28).
- 83. τῶν ποιητῶν τινές. Solon (Fragm. 27) is referred to. He however places the mental prime between forty-two and fifty-six. There is a further reference to these poets in c. 17. 1336 b 40 sqq.
- 35. δότε τέτταρου κ.τ.λ. Plato also in the Republic (460 E) closes the period of τεκνοποιία for the man at fifty-five, adding that in his case the mental and bodily prime lies between twenty-five and fifty-five. Sir Nicholas Bacon (born in 1509) was fifty-two years of age when his famous son Francis was born to him in 1561. Lord Chatham was fifty-one when William Pltt was born to him. Sir John Herschel (born in 1792) was born when his father Sir William Herschel (born in 1738) was fifty-four years of age. How many other great men have had fathers over fifty at the time of their birth, I am unable to say. For της εἰς τὸ φατερὸν γεννήσεως, cp. Plato, Rep. 461 C, μηδ' εἰς φῶς ἐκφέρευ κύημα μηδέ γ' ἔν.
- 37. τὸ δὰ λοιπὸν κ.τ.λ. Compare Plato, Rep. 461 B sq., where Plato does not impose this restriction on the intercourse of those over the legal age, if only they take care that no offspring shall see the light or, supposing it does, shall live.

ογιείας χάριν. Cp. De Gen. An. 1. 18, 725 b 8 sqq., 726 2 22, and 5. 3. 783 b 29 sq., and Probl. 4. 29. 880 a 22 sqq. See also

Plin. Nat. Hist. 28. 58, and the case of Timochares in Hippocr. De Morbis Vulgaribus 5, vol. iii. p. 574 Kühn. Pythagoras probably would not have admitted that health could ever be thus promoted (cp. Diog. Laert. 8. 9).

η τινος άλλης τοιαύτης αιτίας, such as εὐεξία, which is often mentioned in conjunction with ὑγίεια, e. g. in Phys. 7. 3. 246 b 4: Plato, Rep. 559 A, Protag. 354 B. Cp Laws 708 B, τισὶν άλλοις τοιούτοις παθήμασιν.

38. φαίνεσθαι δεῖ ποιουμένους την δμιλίαν, 'ought manifestly to resort to the intercourse.'

περί δέ τῆς πρός ἄλλην ή πρός ἄλλον, sc. όμιλίας, 'but with respect to the intercourse of a husband with another woman than his wife. or of a wife with another man than her husband.' Aristotle has before him here Plato, Laws 784 E, σταν δε δή παίδας γεννήσωνται κατά νόμους, εάν άλλοτρία τις περί τα τοιαύτα κοινωνή γυναικί ή γυνή ανδρί, έὰν μὲν παιδοποιουμένοις ἔτι, τὰ αὐτὰ ἐπιζήμια αὐτοῖς ἔστω, καθάπερ τοῖς ἔτι γεννωμένοις εξρηται: μετά δε ταῦτα δ μεν σωφρονών καὶ σωφρονοῦσα εἰς τά τοιαθτα έστω πάντα εὐδόκιμος, δ δε τοθναντίον εναντίως τιμάσθω, μάλλον δε άτιμαζέσθω, and 841 C sqq. Both Aristotle and Plato (in Laws 841 C sag, at any rate, μη λανθάνων ανδρας τε καί γυναίκας πάσας) seem to confine themselves to the prohibition of unconcealed adultery. Cp. Isocr. Nicocl. § 40. The writer of the First Book of the Oeconomics (c. 4. 1344 a 8-13) appears to go further. Contrast with all this the occasional permission to Spartan wives of intercourse with other men than their husbands (Plut. Lycurg. c. 15).

39. ἀπλῶς, 'broadly,' 'at any time,' in contradistinction to περί τὸν χρόνον τὸν τῆς τεκνοποιίας: cp. Anal. Pr. 1. 15. 34 b 7, δεῖ δὲ λαμ-βάνειν τὸ παντὶ ὑπάρχον μὴ κατὰ χρόνον ὁρίσαντας, οἶον νῦν ἡ ἐν τῷδε τῷ χρόνφ, ἀλλ' ἀπλῶς.

40. ἀπτόμενον φαίνεσθαι, 'openly to touch': cp. Plato, Laws 816 E, μηδέ τινα μανθάνοντα αὐτὰ γίγνεσθαι φανερὸν τῶν ἐλευθέρων. Plato had already used the word ἄπτεσθαι in Laws 841 D, μηδενὸς ἄπτεσθαι τῶν γενναίων ἄμα καὶ ἐλευθέρων, and this is no doubt one of the passages which Aristotle has before him here. Bonitz (Ind. s. v.) compares Probl. 4. 29. 880 a 28 sq.

μηδαμή μηδαμώς occurs in Plato, Laws 777 E, and μηδαμώς μηδαμή in Laws 820 B, but I know not whether μηδαμή μηδαμώς occurs elsewhere in Aristotle's writings. The Index Aristotelicus omits the phrase, and indeed by some error the word μηδαμώς. Πάντη

πάντως is a phrase frequently employed by Aristotle (see Bon. Ind. s. v. πάντη).

δταν ἢ καὶ προσαγορευθῆ πόσις. Kaí here probably means 'or,' as in 2. 3. 1262 a 8 (see notes on 1262 a 6 and 1303 a 20). The word πόσις is used here and in 1. 3. 1253 b 6 by Aristotle, but it is a poetical word, seldom used in prose. On προσαγορευθῆ, see Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective, s. v. ἀγορεύω.

3 sqq. Aristotle says little in this chapter which had not C. 17. 1336 a. already been said by Plato, and throughout the whole of it he seems to write with the Seventh Book of the Laws before him, but he brings together, and thus makes more effective, what Plato had said in a scattered and often an incidental way. He sometimes differs from Plato; thus he is for rearing children under seven to a greater extent at home than Plato had proposed to do, he will not have their crying restrained, etc. In recommending, again, that children should be habituated from their earliest infancy to bear heat and cold, he goes beyond anything contemplated by Plato or practised at Sparta (Xen. Rep. Lac. 2. 4) or in Crete (Ephor. Fragm. 64: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 250), for neither the Spartans nor the Cretans seem to have begun this habituation in babyhood, and Plato speaks of ἐκ παίδων (Rep. 403 C), not, like Aristotle, of in μικρών παίδων, when he refers to the subject (Rep. 404 A); it is rather from some barbarian races, such as the Celts, that Aristotle learns this lesson, as indeed he himself tells us. He keeps the same end in view in his rules as to the rearing of children as he does in his rules as to marriage; he seeks in both to secure that the children shall be well-grown in body and sound in mind and likely to make good soldiers and citizens in afteryears. Rearing comes first, covering the whole period up to seven years of age, and then comes education (c. 17. 1336 b 37 sqq.). Aristotle confines himself during the first two or three years of life to studying the physical development of the child in accordance with the principle laid down in c. 15. 1334 b 25 sqq., but after that age he pays attention to the development not only of the body, but of the opefis and character. Till seven the children must necessarily in his opinion be reared at home, and consequently must be more or less in the company of slaves, and he evidently fears that if they are much in the company of slaves at this impressible time -the age at which permanent tastes are acquired, πάντα γὰρ στέργομεν τὰ πρῶτα μᾶλλον (1336 b 33)—they may acquire a taint of illiberal feeling and indecency of which it will not be easy to rid them in later life. This leads him to pay special attention to the years from two or three to seven.

Γενομένων δὲ τῶν τέκνῶν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle reproduces the turn of the opening sentences of the Seventh Book of the Laws (788 A, γενομένων δὲ παίδων ἀρρένων καὶ θηλειῶν τροφὴν μέν που καὶ παιδείαν τὸ μετὰ ταῦτα λέγειν ὀρθότατ' διν γίγνοιθ' ἡμῖν). Δεῖ must be supplied with οἴεσθαι: Aristotle forgets that he has not used the word since c. 16. 1335 b 38, and that the imperatives ἔστω (39) and ζημιούσθω (1336 a 1), which however contain in them much of the force of δεῖ, have intervened. Μεγάλην εἶναι διαφορών, ' is a highly important determining influence one way or the other': we expect rather μέγα διαφέρειν (cp. 1. 13. 1260 b 16 sqq.) οτ μεγάλην ποιεῖν διαφοράν (cp. De Part. An. 2. 4. 651 a 15) οτ μεγάλην ἔχειν διαφορών (Pol. 5 (8). 6. 1340 b 22), but that which produces a difference is often termed a διαφορά, just as that which produces fear is sometimes termed φόβος. Compare the construction noticed in the note on 1264 a 39.

5. φαίνεταί τε κ.τ.λ., 'and evidently, if we investigate the question by a reference to the lower animals and to the barbarian nations which make it their aim to introduce the habit of body suitable for war, food abounding in milk is most congenial to the bodies [of infants], and with little wine in it on account of the diseases which wine produces.' With τὴν πολεμικὴν ἔξιν contrast 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 10, ἀθλητικὴν ἔξιν. For ἄγειν see critical note. Φαίνεσθαι without an infinitive or a participle may mean either 'to appear' or 'evidently to be' (Bon. Ind. 808 b 52 sqq.); here it probably means the latter. The nom. to φαίνεται is ἡ τοῦ γάλακτος πλήθουσα (οτ πληθύουσα) τροφὴ ἀσινοτέρα δὲ διὰ τὰ νοσήματα. For διά, see note on 1328 a 19. The nations referred to are no doubt those mentioned in c. 2. 1324 b 9 sqq., and especially the Scythians: cp. Antiphanes, Μισοπόνηρος (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 85),

είτ' οὐ σοφοὶ δῆτ' εἰσὶν οἱ Σκύθαι σφόδρα, οἷ γενομένοισιν εὐθέως τοῖς παιδίοις διδόασιν ἵππων καὶ βοῶν πίνειν γάλα;

We read of the milk-drinking Hippemolgi in Hom. II. 13. 5. The Greeks, however, mostly used goats' milk (Büchsenschütz, Besitz und Erwerb, p. 313); they used ewes' milk but little (ibid.), and they regarded the milk of cows (Plut. Pelop. c. 30) and asses (Plut. Demosth. c. 27) as food for invalids. It was on goats' milk that Jupiter was reared as an infant (Manil. Astron. 1. 364 sqq.).

Compare the rearing of the infant Camilla (Virg. Aen. 11. 570 sqq.). But Aristotle is recommending the use of milk not merely in the case of sucklings, who indeed must use it, but in the case of children generally, or at any rate of children under three or thereabouts. The great physical strength of the Suebi was due in part to their use of milk (Caesar, Bell, Gall, 4, 1, 8 sq.). In the early days of ancient Greece infants were sometimes given honey, not milk (Schol. Aristoph. Thesm. 506). Phoenix gave the infant Achilles wine (Hom. Il. 9. 489), and it was probably commonly given to infants (Dio Chrys. Or. 4. 155 R, \$\hat{\eta}\$ où oles Leyes autor ύπο του Διός τους βασιλέας τρέφεσθαι, ώσπερ ύπο τίτθης γάλακτι καὶ οίσφ και σιτίοις;), but Hippocrates (De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 542 Kühn) advises, καὶ φημὶ ἄμεινον είναι τοῖς παιδίοισιν τὸν οίνον ώς ύδαρέστατον διδόναι ήσσον γαρ τας φλέβας ξυγκαίει και συναυαίνει: one source of stone in the bladder was thus removed. According to Hist. An. 7. 12. 588 a 3 sqq., wine sometimes produced convulsions in infants (βλαβερὸν δὲ πρὸς τὸ πάθος καὶ ὁ οἶνος ὁ μέλας μᾶλλον τοῦ λευκοῦ καὶ ὁ μὴ ὑδαρής, καὶ τὰ πλείστα τῶν Φυσωδῶν: cp. De Somno. 3. 457 a 14, διὸ τοῖς παιδίοις οὐ συμφέρουσιν οἱ οἶνοι οὐδὲ ταῖς τίτθαις (διαφέρει γάρ ΐσως οὐδεν αὐτὰ πίνειν ή τὰς τίτθας), ἀλλά δεῖ πίνειν ύδαρη και ολίγου πνευματώδες γάρ ο οίνος, και τούτου μάλλον ο μέλας). Compare also Plato, Laws 666 A and 672 B (together with Aristot. Rhet. 2. 12. 1389 a 19), and Athen. Deipn. 429 b.

- 8. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and further it is of advantage to have all the movements made [of the bodies of infants] that it is possible to have made in the case of creatures so young.' Τηλικούτων I take to be in the genitive after ὅσας κινήσεις. Ποιεῖσθαι is to be supplied with συμφέρει. Aristotle has before him Plato, Theaet. 153 A, and 153 B, τί δέ, ἡ τῶν σωμάτων ἔξις οὐχ ὑπὸ ἡσυχίας μὲν καὶ ἀργίας διόλλυται, ὑπὸ γυμνασίων δὲ καὶ κινήσεων ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ σώζεται; and also Laws 789 E, καὶ δὴ καὶ τὰς τροφοὺς ἀναγκάζωμεν νόμω ζημιοῦντες τὰ παιδία ἡ πρὸς ἀγροὺς ἡ πρὸς ἱερὰ ἡ πρὸς οἰκείους ἀεί πη φέρειν, μέχριπερ ἀν ἰκανῶς ἵστασθαι δυνατὰ γίγνηται, καὶ τότε διευλαβουμένας, ἔτι νέων ὅντων μή πη βία ἐπερειδομένων στρέφηται τὰ κῶλα, ἐπιπονεῖν φερούσας, ἔως ἀν τριέτες ἀποτελεσθῆ τὸ γενόμενον; Συμφέρει, not merely πρὸς τὴν τῶν σωμάτων δύναμιν, as in 4, but probably also πρὸς τὴν αῦξησιν (cp. 34 sqq.); perhaps indeed in other ways too (cp. Plato, Laws 790 C sqq.).
- 10. πρὸς δὲ τὸ μὴ διαστρέφεσθαι κ.τ.λ. Cp. De Gen. An. 4. 6. 775 a 8, διὰ δὲ τὸ κινεῖσθαι θραύεται μᾶλλον εὔθραυστον γὰρ τὸ νέυν διὰ τὴν ἀσθένειαν. Plato was so much alive to this danger that he

recommended the use of swathing-bands, it would seem, during the whole of the first two years of life (Laws 789 E), though at Sparta they were not used at all (Plut. Lycurg. c. 16) and the general Greek custom (according to Blümner, Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans., p. 80 sq.) probably was to drop them at the end of the fourth month. Plato had also advised with the same object in view that the unfortunate nurse should carry the child in her arms till he was three years old (see above on 8). Aristotle is silent as to all this; he apparently hopes to secure the same result by adopting from barbarian nations the use of certain opygra μηγανικά, which kept the body of the infant from being twisted. What these instruments were, it is difficult to say. Vict. compares the 'serperastra' of Varro, Ling. Lat. 9. 5, which were knee-splints or knee-bandages for straightening the crooked legs of children. As to the swaddling-clothes used, see Blümner, Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans., p. 79 sq.

12. των τοιούτων, i.e. τηλικούτων.

συμφέρει δέ κ.τ.λ. The Spartans and Cretans sought to make their youth indifferent to heat and cold, but they do not seem to have begun their discipline in this respect as early in life as Aristotle recommends (see above on 1336 a 3 sqq.). As to its importance, see 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 22 sqq. and Fragm. Trag. Gr. Adesp. 461 (Nauck).

15. διὸ παρὰ πολλοῖς κ.τ.λ. See Göttling's note, and that of Eaton, who adds references to Galen Περὶ Ύγιεινῶν, I. 10 (vol. vi. p. 51 Kühn), Strabo, p. 165, where we read of the Iberian women, ἔν τε τοῖς ἔργοις πολλάκις αὐταὶ καὶ λούουσι καὶ σπαργανοῦσιν ἀποκλίνασαι πρός τι ῥεῦθρον, and Virg. Aen. 9. 603,

Durum ab stirpe genus, natos ad flumina primum

Deferimus, saevoque gelu duramus et undis.

See also the note of Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 2. p. 569, on the Greek proverb, 'O 'Ρῆνος λλίγχαι τὸν κόθον, who quote Julian, Epist. 16. p. 383 D (cp. Or. 2. p. 81 D sq.), whence it appears that the dipping of the new-born babe in the Rhine was used as a test of its legitimacy, spurious offspring being held to sink and legitimate offspring to swim. They also refer to Valerius Flaccus, Argonaut. 6. 335, where we read of the Scythians on the Phasis,

Nunquam has hiemes, haec saxa relinquam,

Martis agros, ubi iam saevo duravimus amne

Ιi

Progeniem natosque rudes.

They remark that neither Aristotle, who was, so far as they know. the first to refer to the custom, nor Galen says a word as to the use of the practice as a test of legitimacy. A few other references to a similar custom may be noted. Zeus was believed to have been dipped at his birth in the river Lusius, which flows through the Arcadian Gortyna and is the coldest of rivers (Paus. 8. 28). Thetis sought to make Achilles immortal by dipping him as an infant in the Styx, and the Styx was very cold (Hes. Theog. 785 sq.). 'The modern Beloochees plunge the new-born infant into a tub of snow-water' (Prof. Ridgeway, Trans. Camb. Philol. Soc. 2. 147). Compare also the proverb (Schol. Aristoph. Vesp. 1180),

έν Παρίω ψυχρόν μέν ύδωρ, καλαί δέ γυναίκες.

The Lacedaemonian practice was to bathe the infant after birth not in water, but in wine; this was held to be strengthening to healthy children (Plut. Lycurg. c. 16). Were all these customs connected with the wide-spread custom of infant baptism, which Mr. Whitley Stokes (Academy, Feb. 15, 1896) traces 'among the heathen Norsemen, the heathen Celts, two unconverted West African tribes, and lastly the Mexicans before the arrival of the Spaniards,' referring also to 'the cases mentioned by Prof. Tylor in his Primitive Culture, third edition, vol. ii. pp. 430-433'? 'Αποβάπτειν, 'to dip completely': see above on 1335 b 14, ἀποθεραπείαν.

- 17. τοις δε κ.τ.λ. Α σκέπασμα is a mere protection against cold, something much less elaborate than an luárior. The Spartan youth were allowed an luárior, though only one (Xen. Rep. Lac. 2. 4). Some modern physicians give very different advice. 'In infancy parents above all should not make the mistake of letting their infants be too thinly clad. . . . It was a monstrous mistake for parents to send out their children with bare necks and heads and bare legs. Children ought to be clothed from head to foot winter and summer' (Dr. Corfield, Address to Sanitary Institute, Times, Sept. 30, 1889).
- 18. πάντα γάρ κ.τ.λ. Two interpretations of these words are possible. Lamb. translates, 'omnibus enim rebus quibus assuefieri possunt, statim ab ineunte aetate eos assuefacere melius est, dummodo sensim ac paulatim'; thus he takes márra to refer not to the beings which are to be habituated, but to the things to which they are to be habituated; and so Sus. 'zu Allem, wozu man Kinder überhaupt gewöhnen kann.' But it is also possible, and perhaps

simpler, to translate πάντα όσα δυνατόν εθίζειν 'all things that are susceptible of habituation.' I am not sure whether I am right in inferring from the passages with which Bonitz (Ind. s. v. ibiteu) groups that before us that he takes the words in this sense. refers, however, in the preceding line to Eth. Nic. 2, 1, 1103 a 19-23 and Eth. Eud. 2. 2. 1220 b 1, where we learn that not all things are susceptible of habituation. The bodily habit of children, Aristotle goes on in 20 to tell us, is susceptible of habituation to Mér here, as often elsewhere (Bon. Ind. s. v.), 'non ci additur vocabulo in quo vis oppositionis cernitur'; it should have followed εὐθὺς ἀργομένων, not βέλτιον. Ἐκ προσαγωγής is 'frequent in Hippocrates' (Liddell and Scott) and a favourite expression with Aristotle, but it is apparently not used by Thucydides, or Xenophon, or Plato, or (in the sense at least in which it is used here) by the Attic Orators. Compare for the thought Hist. An. 6. 12. 567 a 5 sqq. and Xen. Cyrop. 6. 2. 29, and for the turn of the sentence De Part. An. 3. 14. 675 a 6, Core dieheiv µèv δύνανται, φαύλως δέ διελείν.

20. διὰ θερμότητα. Cp. Rhet. 2. 12. 1389 a 19, ὥσπερ γὰρ οἱ οἰνωμένοι, οὕτω διάθερμοί εἰσιν οἱ νέοι ὑπὸ τῆς φύσεως, and Probl. 3. 7. 872 a 6, οἱ δὲ παίδες ὑγροὶ καὶ θερμοί: also Plato, Laws 664 E and 666 A. This view is inherited from Hippocrates: cp. Hippocr. Aphor., vol. iii. p. 710 Kühn, τὰ αὐξανόμενα πλείστον ἔχει τὸ ἔμφυτον θερμόν. Τὴν τῶν ψυχρῶν ἄσκησιν, like τὴν τῶν πολεμικῶν ἄσκησιν, c. 14. 1333 b 38.

21. την πρώτην, sc. ήλικίαν, which must be supplied from 23. See note on 1281 a 26. The phrase occurs in Pindar, Nem. 9. 42 (ἐν ἀλικία πρώτα), and in De Gen. An. 1. 18 725 b 19, ἔτι οὐκ ἐνυπάρχει σπέρμα οὕτ' ἐν τῷ πρώτη ἡλικία οὕτ' ἐν τῷ γήρα οὕτ' ἐν ταίε ἀρρωστίαιε, but in a wider sense than in the passage before us, where it appears to refer to the first two or three years of life.

23. την δ' ἐχομένην κ.τ.λ. Aristotle intended to say that the next period of life till five should be dealt with in a different manner, the child being now encouraged to play games, but his sentence breaks down in course of utterance, for Π¹ P⁴.δ. Bekk. are probably wrong in omitting 'δέ, 26, which is needed to contrast δεῖ τοσαίντης τυγχάνεων κωήσεως with what immediately precedes. The accusative τὴν ἐχομένην ταύτης ἡλικίαν is thus left without anything to govern it: compare the position of the nominative ὑπομνηστευσάμενός τις in 7 (5). 4. 1304 a 14 sqq. For the thought, cp. Plato, Laws 793 E,

τριέτει δε δή και τετραέτει και πενταέτει και έτι έξέτει ήθει ψυχής παιδιών déon du ein. For the child of six, however, Aristotle finds other occupation in 1336 b 35 sqq. Children under five are regarded by him as too young to be put to any study; it is not till seven that under his scheme of education children begin to learn what the gymnastic trainer and the maidorpishes can teach them (5 (8), 3. 1338 b 6 sqq.), nor till after puberty apparently that they learn their letters (5 (8). 4. 1339 a 4 sqq.); among ourselves, on the contrary, to say nothing of the Kindergarten, children are taught their letters before five. The effect of hard physical labour in injuring growth is referred to in 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 10 sq. Cp. also Plato, Rep. 377 A, οὐ μανθάνεις, ἢν δ' έγώ, ὅτι πρῶτον τοῖς παιδίοις μύθους λέγομεν; τοῦτο δέ που, ώς τὸ ὅλον εἰπεῖν, ψεῦδος, ἔνι δὲ καὶ ἀληθη. πρότερον δε μύθοις πρός τὰ παιδία ή γυμνασίοις χρώμεθα. Aristotle does not quite agree with the last sentence; he thinks that from two or three to five children should have nothing to do with yuuraara involving αναγκαίοι πόνοι, but he does not agree that they should have no γυμνάσια at that age, but only stories. He provides a kind of gymnastic training for them in their pastimes and also in their διατάσεις και κλαυθμοί. He excludes γυμνάσια involving αναγκαῖοι πόνοι because they check physical growth (cp. 5 (8), 4, 1338 b 40 sqq.), and any checking of physical growth is especially out of place in the first five years of life, inasmuch as physical growth is the main business of these years; in fact, according to Plato, Laws 788 D, the human being grows in height during them as much as he does in the twenty succeeding years (ή πρώτη βλάστη παντός ζώου μεγίστη και πλείστη φύεται, ώστε και έριν πολλοίς παρέσχηκε μη γίγνεσθαι τά γ' ανθρώπινα μήκη διπλάσια από πέντε έτων έν τοις λοιποίς είκοσιν έτεσιν auξarduera: cp. Aristot. De Gen. An. 1. 18. 725 b 23, έν έτεσε γάρ πέντε σχεδον επί γε των ανθρώπων ημισυ λαμβάνειν δοκεί το σωμα του μεγέθους τοῦ ἐν τῷ ἄλλῷ χρόνῷ γιγνομένου ἄπαντος). For ώστε διαφεύγειν την αργίαν των σωμάτων, cp. Plato, Theaet. 153 B (quoted above on 1336 a 8).

27. ήν, sc. κίνησιν.

28. δεῖ δὲ καὶ τὰς παιδιὰς κ.τ.λ., 'and the pastimes also' (no less than the ἄλλαι πράξεις) 'should be neither unbefitting for freemen nor laborious nor relaxed and effeminate.' Aristotle probably has before him Plato, Rep. 558 B, εἰ μή τις ὑπερβεβλημένην ψύσιν ἔχοι, οὖποτ' ἄν γένοιτο ἀνὴρ ἀγαθύς, εἰ μὴ παῖς ῶν εὐθὺς παίζοι ἐν καλοῖς καὶ ἐπιτηδείοι τὰ τοιαῦτα πάντα. The pastimes of little boys in ancient

Greece were no doubt often faulty in the ways referred to by Aristotle. He has hitherto been concerned almost, if not quite, exclusively with the training of the body, which precedes that of the δρεξες (c. 15. 1334 b 25 sqq.), but now he begins to provide for the training of the δρεξες, and here, as in 1336 b 2 sqq., he seeks to exclude ἀνελευθερία. Cp. Eth. Nic. 4. 14. 1128 a 19 sqq. Μήτε ἐπιπόνους, because toilsome games will check the growth of the body (cp. 25). Μήτε ἀνειμένας, Vict. 'neque remissas nimis atque enervatas': for the contrast of ἐπιπόνους and ἀνειμένας, cp. 2. 6. 1265 a 33 sq.

30. και περι λόγων δε και μύθων κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has just said by implication that the nature of the games which children over three should play is a matter to be attended to by those in authority, and now he adds the remark, 'Yes, and with regard to tales true and fictitious also,' etc. He here has before him Plato. Rep. 376 E, λόγων δὶ διττάν είδος, τὸ μεν άληθές, ψεῦδος δ' έτερον; Nai. Παιδευτέου δ' εν αμφοτέροις, πρότερου δ' εν τοις ψευδέσιν; Οὐ μανθάνω, έφη, πως λέγεις. Οὐ μανθάνεις, ήν δ' έγω, ὅτι πρωτον τοῖς παιδίοις μύθους λέγομεν; τοῦτο δέ που, ώς τὸ όλον είπειν, ψεῦδος, ἔνι δὲ καὶ ἀληθῆ : CD. Phaedo 61 B, where Stallbaum remarks, 'tenendum est hóyor esse vocabulum generis atque significare quamcunque orationem et narrationem, sive veram sive fictam; sed interdum, ubi opponitur μῦθος, de narratione vera usurpari solet.' Λόγος is thus used in opposition to μῦθος in Laws 872 D, Gorg. 523 A, Protag. 320 C, Tim. 26 E. It is probable therefore that περὶ λόγων καὶ μύθων in the passage before us means 'with regard to tales true and fictitious' (Sus. 'Erzählungen und Märchen'), though it should be noted that Vahlen (Beiträge zu Aristoteles Poetik, 1. 34) does not take this view and regards λόγοι and μῦθοι here as synonymous, no less than in Poet. 5. 1449 b 8, where he interprets 'λόγους id est μύθους.' Aristotle is as careful as Plato (Rep. 377 B sq., 381 E) not to leave it to the uncontrolled discretion of mothers and nurses what tales are told to children, but his object seems to be to exclude tales which do not prepare the way for the pursuits of after-lifetales simply frivolous and amusing, for instance, or unsuitable to future soldiers and citizens-rather than tales giving a false impression of the gods, which were those specially objected to by Plato. Does Aristotle intend any kind of religious instruction to be conveyed through these λόγοι καὶ μῦθοι? If not, he does not seem to provide for any religious element in the education of youth.

- 32. πάντα τὰ τοιαῦτα, 'all the things we have mentioned,' παιδιαί, λόγοι, μῦθοι.
- 33. διό τὰς παιδιάς κ.τ.λ. This is based on Plato, Laws 643 B, a passage which is probably present to Aristotle's mind in Poet. 4. 1448 b 5, τό τε γαρ μιμείσθαι σύμφυτον τοις ανθρώποις έκ παίδων έστί, καὶ τούτφ διαφέρουσι τῶν ἄλλων ζφων ὅτι μιμητικώτατόν ἐστι καὶ τὰς μαθήσεις ποιείται διά μιμήσεως τὰς πρώτας: cp. also Rep. 395 C. The Cretan lawgiver had already studied this (Ephor. Fragm. 64: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 250, υστερον δε και συντάξαντα την κληθείσαν ύπ' αὐτοῦ πυρρίχην, ώστε μηδὲ τὴν παιδιὰν ἄμοιρον είναι τών πρὸς τὸν πόλεμον χρησίμων), and a saying was ascribed to Anacharsis, παίζειν δεί, δπως σπουδάσης (Mullach, Fr. Philos. Gr. 1. 233: cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 6. 1176 b 33). Achilles as a child of six years had according to Pindar (Nem. 3. 43 sqq.) 'made mighty deeds his play.' The Tencteri learnt in the sports of childhood to become the formidable cavalry they proved themselves (Tac. Germ. c. 32). See also vol. i. p. 350, note 3, and cp. Plut. Sympos. 2. 5. 2 init. Aristotle wishes the pastimes even of infancy to be a preparation for the life of the soldier and the citizen. Many of the games played by Greek children were 'games of imitation': on this class of games see Becq de Fouquières, Jeux des Anciens, p. 63 sqq., where the games of 'the King,' 'the Judge,' and 'the Architect' are described. Children's mimicries of riding, driving, building, and nursing are familiar enough to ourselves. The ways of Themistocles and of Cato of Utica as children in the matter of games may be studied in Plut. Themist. c. 2 and Cato c. 1. The late Rev. C. Kingsley is said to have preached to an audience of chairs at four years old. 'Even the games to which the little Chinese are addicted are always impregnated with the mercantile spirit; they amuse themselves with keeping shop and opening little pawnbroking establishments, and familiarize themselves with the jargon, the tricks, and the frauds of tradesmen' (Huc's Chinese Empire, Eng. Trans., 2. 149). These are exactly the sort of games which Aristotle would wish his infant citizen not to play.
- 34. τὰς δὰ διατάσεις κ.τ.λ. Aristotle here passes naturally enough from παιδιαί, one means of producing movement, to διατάσεις καὶ κλαυθμοί, another and a more involuntary means of doing so. He had said in 25 that ἀναγκαῖοι πόνοι must be avoided in the years from two or three to five because they interfere with the growth of the body, and now he tells us that the διατάσεις καὶ

κλαυθμοί which he declines to follow others in checking are not open to this objection, for they contribute to the growth of the body. Thus they are in place at this age, while the draykaios moros are not. Plato is referred to in the words of audicorres in rois nomous: cp. Laws 701 E sqq., where he follows a Spartan tradition, for the nurses at Sparta sought to check fretfulness and crying in infants (Plut. Lycurg. c. 16). Plato is apparently speaking of new-born babes (701 D), and this might tempt us to transpose (with Sus. and Welldon) ras de diarageis, 34-diareirouerois, 39, to after 20, rou rar ψυχρών ἄσκησιν, so as to group 34-39 with the part of the chapter which deals with infants (though even there the paragraph would not be in place, for it ought to follow the discussion of κινήσειε and to come after either συμφέρει, 10, or αστραβές, 12), but the transition from maidiaí to diarámeis is natural and easy, and, as I have pointed out, there is an evident reference in 34-39 to 25, οθτε πρός dναγκαίους πόνους, δπως μή την αθξησιν έμποδίζωσιν. I am therefore against any transposition. For the thought, cp. Plut. Sympos. 6. 1. 1, αὐτόν τε έκαστον αὐτοῦ γυμνάσια καὶ κραυγαὶ καὶ ὅσα τῷ κινεῖν αὕξει τὸ θερμόν, ήδιον φαγείν ποιεί και προθυμότερον. The word used by Plato in Laws 702 A is κλαυθμοναί, not κλαυθμοί: κλαυθμός is a poetic word, 'rare in Attic Prose' (Liddell and Scott).

87. γίνεται γάρ κ.τ.λ., 'for they come to be in a way exercise for the body [and exercise makes the body grow]': cp. Probl. 21. 14. 928 b 28, al μὲν οὖν ἔξεις γυμναζόμεναι αῦξονται καὶ ἐπιδιδόασιν. Γίνεται is in the sing, by attraction to γυμνασία: cp. Thuc. 8. 9. 3, αῖτιον δ' ἐγένετο τῆς ἀποστολῆς τῶν νεῶν οἱ μὲν πολλοὶ τῶν Χίων οὐκ εἰδότες τὰ πρασσύμενα, οἱ δὲ ὀλίγοι καὶ ξυνειδότες τό τε πλῆθος οὐ βουλόμενοί πω πολέμιον ἔχειν κ.τ.λ.

η γὰρ τοῦ πνεύματος κάθεξις κ.τ.λ., 'for it is the holding of the breath [which accompanies exertion] that produces strength in those who labour [and therefore serves the same end as exercise], and this '(i. e. the holding of the breath) 'happens to children also when they exert themselves in crying [no less than to men taking exercise].' With Bonitz (Ind. 190 a 31) I take δ to refer to ἡ τοῦ πνεύματος κάθεξις only, and not to the whole of the preceding sentence. For the use of διατείνεσθαι here of straining which involves the holding of the breath, Bonitz compares Probl. 19. 15. 918 b 14, ἡ δτι οἱ μὲν νόμοι ἀγωνιστῶν ἡσαν, ὧν ἡδη μιμεῖσθαι δυναμένων καὶ διατείνεσθαι ἡ ψίδὴ ἐγίνετο μακρὰ καὶ πολυειδής; Cp. also Plut. Timol. c. 27, where διατεινόμενος is used of one who shouts ὑπερφυεῖ φωνῆ

καὶ μείζονι τῆς συνήθους. As to the effect of holding the breath in increasing strength, cp. De Somno 2. 456 a 16, ἰσχὺν δὲ ποιεῖ ἡ τοῦ πνεύματος κάθεξις (compared by Bonitz, Ind. 606 a 45), De Gen. An. 2. 4. 737 b 35 sqq., and 4. 6. 775 a 37 sqq. Τὴν ἰσχύν, not simply ἰσχύν, cp. Pol. 5 (8). 7. 1342 a 25, ποιεῖ δὲ τὴν ἡδονὴν ἐκάστοις τὸ κατὰ φύσιν οἰκεῖον, and De Part. An. 2. 7. 653 a 10, ποιεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν ὕπνον τοῖς ζψοις τοῦτο τὸ μόριον τοῖς ἔχουσιν ἐγκέφαλον.

40. την τούτων διαγωγήν, 'the way in which these children pass their time.' Διαγωγή is here used in a wider sense than the special one in which (in 5 (8). 5. 1339 a 29) it is denied to children.

τήν τ' άλλην, καὶ όπως ότι ήκιστα μετά δούλων έσται. The sentence looks as if it was intended to run τήν τ' ἄλλην καὶ τὴν μετὰ δούλων and was only finished as it stands by an afterthought. For the thought, compare the saying of Isocrates ([Plut.,] Decem Oratorum Vitae, 838 A), πρός δέ τον είποντα πατέρα, ώς οὐδεν άλλ' ή ανδράποδον συνέπεμψε τῷ παιδίῳ. Τοιγαροῦν (ἔφη) ἄπιθι δύο γὰρ ἀνθ' ένδε έξεις ανδράποδα, and Antiphanes, Μισοπόνηρος (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 85). At Sparta maidaywyoi were dispensed with (see Plutarch, Lycurg. c. 16, and vol. i. p. 351, note 2). But Aristotle is speaking here of an earlier age than that at which boys were commonly provided with παιδαγωγοί—they would hardly have παιδαγωγοί till they went to school at seven—and he must be thinking rather of slave-nurses and of the slaves, male and female, about the house with whom the child was likely to come in contact. At Rome in its early days, according to Tac. Dial. de Orat. c. 28, 'suus cuique filius, ex casta parente natus, non in cella emptae nutricis sed gremio ac sinu matris educabatur.' The mother of Leopardi kept her children as much as possible out of the company of servants (see Macmillan's Magazine, vol. 56, p. 90). Aristotle is evidently afraid that children under seven may pick up ανελευθερία and αίσχρο-Aoyia from the slaves about them, male and female. It must have taken imported slaves generally some little time to learn to speak Greek: even those employed as nurses and maidaywyoi, though they would commonly speak better Greek than most slaves, probably often spoke the language imperfectly (cp. Plato, Lysis 223 A): still they would speak it well enough to be occasionally guilty of αλσχρολογία.

41. ταύτην γάρ την ήλικίαν, καὶ μέχρι τῶν ἐπτὰ ἐτῶν, ἀναγκαῖον οἴκοι την τροφήν ἔχειν. Sus. understands τήν before μέχρι referring to Bon. Ind. 109 b 44 sqq., where among other passages Eth. Nic.

10. 2. 1174 a 10, ότι εἰσί τινες αἰρεταὶ καθ αἰτὰς (ἡδοναὶ) διαφέρουσαι τῷ εἴδει ἡ ἀφ' δυ, is quoted. See notes on 1330 b 10 and 1334 b 12 for other cases of the omission of the article. It was not till the age of seven that the Spartan boy was placed in an ἀγίλη (Plut. Lycurg. c. 16), and this was the age at which the Athenian boy began to resort to a γραμματιστής and a παιδοτρίβης ([Plato,] Axioch. 366 D sq.), and the Persian boy to a riding-master (Alcib. 1. 121 E), though Herodotus (1. 136) makes Persian education begin at five. Plato, on the other hand, in the Laws (794) had brought children from three to six years old together for games at the village-temples.

- 2. εθλογον οδν κ.τ.λ. The meaning is that, as children under 1336 b. seven must be reared at home, where there are slaves and where illiberality of mind may easily be learnt, it is reasonable to expect that even at that early age they may acquire a taint of illiberality from what they see and hear. ᾿Ανελευθερία is used here in a wide and popular sense, not in the narrow and technical sense of ἀνελευθερία περὶ χρήματα in which it is discussed in Eth. Nic. 4. 1-3.
- 3. δλως μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Μέν οὖν is taken up by ἐὰν δέ, 8, the sense being, 'we banish indecent language altogether from the State, but if we do not entirely succeed in accomplishing that, and any person should be found saying or doing anything that we prohibit, then' etc. For the intervening paliora pie ove, 6, occurring by way of correction in the middle of a sentence, cp. Rhet. 2. 9. 1387 a 32, καὶ τὸν ήττω τῷ κρείττονι ἀμφισβητείν, μάλιστα μέν οὖν τοὺς ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ. Bonitz remarks (Ind. 540 b 55) ' ὅλως μὲν οὖν-- μάλιστα μὲν οὖν ἐὰν δέ non debebat tentare Susemihl.' 'Όλως goes with έξορίζειν, as it probably also does in Eth. Nic. 10, 10, 1180 a 9, robs & distances δλως εξορίζειν. For the distance at which it stands from εξορίζειν see note on 1255 a 21. For ωσπερ άλλο τι (' more than anything else'), cp. 7 (5). 8. 1307 b 31. Aristotle passes on from ανελευθερία to alσχρολογία, because he regards alσχρολογία as a form of ανελευθερία (cp. 12, ανδραποδωδίας χάρω). Ιπ έκ τοῦ γαρ είχερως λέγειν κ.τ.λ. he probably has before him a saying of Democritus recorded in [Plut.] De Liberis Educandis, c. 14, καὶ μέντοι καὶ τῆς αἰσχρολογίας ἀπακτεον τοὺς υίεις, λόγος γὰρ ἔργου σκιή κατά Δημόκριτον. Compare what we read of the Persians in Hdt. 1. 138, doga de och nouleur our Terori, raura οὐδε λέγειν έξεστι, and of Archytas in Aelian, Var. Hist. 14. 19. The sons of the δμότιμοι of Cyrus in the Cyropaedeia of Xenophon are described as brought up at his court αλσχρόν μέν μηδέν μήτε όρωντες unte discourses (Xen. Cyrop. 7. 5. 86). Some may ask why Aristotle

does not banish comedy, in which alσχρολογία was common, from the State. That he does not do so, we see from 1336 b 20. The reason is that those below a certain age will be forbidden to witness comedy, and that those above it will be protected from injury by the education they have received (1336 b 20 sqq.).

- 6. μάλιστα μὲν οὖν ἐκ τῶν νέων κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has before him Plato, Laws 729 B.
- 9. As to ἀπηγορευμένων, see Liddell and Scott, and Veitch, Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective, s.v. ἀγορεύω.

τον μèν ἐλεύθερον μήπω δὲ κατακλίσεως ἡξιωμένον ἐν τοῖς συσσιτίοις. As to the age at which the young freeman was allowed to recline, instead of sitting, at meals, cp. 21 sqq. The age intended may be twenty-one (cp. 1336 b 37 sqq.). It was probably at this age that the young Spartan became a member of one of the φιδίτια (Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 66. 2). Sus. (Note 966: Sus. 1. p. 558), however, regards the change as occurring in the seventeenth year, when the youths, as he believes (cp. 5 (8). 4. 1339 a 4 sqq.), begin to have syssitia of their own, though he allows that, as they remain outside the general syssitia till twenty-one, κατάκλισις will not commence for them there till that age. Κατάκλισις is associated in 21 sq. with μέθη, and Plato in Laws 666 B will not allow any of his citizens to share in μίθη till forty, but it is not likely that Aristotle intended to be equally strict.

- 10. Δτιμίαις κολάζειν καὶ πληγαίς. Not with blows only, like a slave, but with indignities and blows combined—the former because the offender is a freeman (cp. Demosth. De Chersoneso, c. 51, ότι έστιν έλευθέρω μεν ανθρώπω μεγίστη ανάγκη ή υπέρ των γιγνομένων αλσχύνη, καλ μείζω ταύτης ούκ οίδ' ήντιν αν είποι τις δούλφ δέ πληγαί και δ του σώματος αικισμός, and c. Androt. c. 55), and the latter because he is under age (cp. Plato, Laws 700 C, maioì de kai παιδαγωγοίς και τῷ πλείστω ὅχλω, ράβδου κοσμούσης, ή νουθέτησις ἐγίγvero). We read in Laws 721 B of offenders who are to be mulcted χρήμασί τε καὶ ἀτιμία. Freemen of full age, on the other hand, were to be punished ἀτιμίαις ἀνελευθέροις, i.e. with indignities usually inflicted not on freemen but on slaves. There were aripiai not ανελεύθεροι, such as the withdrawal of political rights. 946 C we read of βάσανοι ελεύθεραι. Charondas had made use of humiliating punishments (Diod. 12. 16. 1). See on the subject Prof. Sidgwick, Elements of Politics, ed. 1, p. 116.
 - 14. η λόγους doχήμονας, 'or indecent speeches from the stage.'

Iambi and comedy are evidently referred to (cp. 20 sqq.). Prof. W. Christ (Gesch. der griech. Litteratur, p. 167. 4) takes λόγοι here to mean 'dialogue,' comparing the expression λόγοι Σωκρατικοί for Socratic Dialogues (see also Bon. Ind. 433 b 3 sqq.), but Aristotle must have objected to indecent monologue as much 2s to indecent dialogue. In speaking of the class of mimes called παίγνια, Plutarch (Sympos. 7. 8. 4) remarks, οἱ δὶ πολλοί (i.e. most of those who introduce παίγνια at banquets), καὶ γυναικῶν συγκατακειμένων καὶ παίδων ἀνήβων, ἐπιδείκνυνται μιμήματα πραγμάτων καὶ λόγων ὁ πάσης μέθης ταραχωδέστερον τὸς ψυχὰς διατίθησιν.

έπιμελές μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Οὖν here contains an inference, as in c. 16. 1335 b 8 and in 1. 1. 1252 a 7.

τοῖς ἄρχουσι, not the paedonomi probably, but rather the astynomi and agronomi (cp. 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 18 sqq.).

15. μηδὲν μήτε ἄγαλμα κ.τ.λ., 'that there is no image or picture representing indecent scenes' (Welldon).

τοιούτων, i.e. ἀσχημόνων. Pictures and statues representing indecent acts or scenes must evidently have been visible in Greek cities, especially, it would seem, in connexion with the gods in whose worship τωθασμός was used. It is not probably to the familiar Hermae that Aristotle objects, but rather to pictures and statues representing such subjects as the drunkenness of Dionysus: as to these cp. Athen. Deipn. 428 e, οὐ καλῶς δὲ οἱ πλάττοντες καὶ γράφοντες τὸν Διόνυσον, ἔτι δὲ οἱ ἄγοντες ἐπὶ τῆς ἀμάξης διὰ μέσης τῆς ἀγορᾶς οἰνωμένον' ἐπιδείκνυνται γὰρ τοῖς θεαταῖς ὅτι καὶ τοῦ θεοῦ κρείττων ἐστὶν ὁ οἶνος· καίτοι γ' οὐδ' ἄν, οἶμαι, ἄνθρωπος σπουδαῖος τοῦθ' ὑπομείνειεν. Others perhaps represented the amours of Zeus.

16. εἰ μὴ παρά τισι θεοῖς κ.τ.λ. As to this 'consecrated scurrility,' see Grote, Hist. of Greece, 4. 108, note (Part 2, c. 29): C. F. Hermann, Gr. Ant. 2. § 29. 3 (who refers to Paus. 7. 27. 10), 2. § 56. 14, and 2. § 57. 20: Toepffer, Attische Genealogie, p. 93 foot. Compare also Athen. Deipn. 622 a—d, and the unfavourable view expressed by Xenocrates, the contemporary head of the Academy, of the gods in whose worship τωθασμός was resorted to (Plut. De Iside et Osiride, c. 26, δ δὲ Χενοκράτης καὶ τῶν ἡμερῶν τὰς ἀποφράδας καὶ τῶν ἐορτῶν δσαι πληγάς τινας ἡ κοπετοὺς ἡ νηστείας ἡ δυσφημίας ἡ αἰσχρολογίαν ἔχουσιν, οῦτε θεῶν τιμαῖς οῦτε δαιμόνων οῖεται προσήκειν χρηστῶν, ἀλλὰ εἶναι φύσεις ἐν τῷ περιέχοντι μεγάλας μὲν καὶ ἰσχυράς, δυστρόπους δὲ καὶ σκυθρωπάς, αὶ χαίρουσι τοῖς τοιούτοις καὶ τυγχάνουσαι πρὸς οὐδὲν ᾶλλο χεῖρον τρέπονται: cp. Plut. De Defect.

Orac. c. 14. 417 C). Among the gods to whom Aristotle here refers are Dionysus, Demeter, and Corê (C. F. Hermann ibid.). But other gods also were thus worshipped, for instance Apollo Aeglêtês in Anaphê (Conon, ap. Phot. Biblioth. Cod. 186. p. 141 b 27 sqq. Bekk., ἐν ἀνάφη τῆ νήσφ... ἱερὸν ἀπόλλωνος αἰγλήτου ἔδρυται, ἐν ῷ σὺν τωθασμῷ οἱ ἐπιχώριοι θύουσι δὲ αἰτίαν τοιαύτην κ.τ.λ.). Here the τωθασμός was addressed by the worshippers to each other in commemoration of the jests exchanged between Medea and her attendant women on the one side and the Argonauts on the other, when the Argo was driven by a tempest to Anaphê. Καὶ τὸν τωθασμών, 'scurrilous jeering also,' as well as indecent statues and pictures. 'Ο νόμος, probably an unwritten law, like that referred to in c. 12. 1331 a 26 sqq.

- 17. πρὸς δὲ τούτοις κ.τ.λ., 'and in addition to this the law allows them to do honour to the gods on behalf both of themselves and of their wives and children.' Cp. Cato, De Re Rustica, c. 143, rem divinam (villica) ne faciat, neve mandet qui pro ea faciat, iniussu domini aut dominae. Scito dominum pro tota familia rem divinam facere. A saying of Pythagoras recorded in Diod. 10. 9. 7 is in a somewhat similar spirit, ὅτι ὁ αὐτὸς (i.e. Πυθαγόρας) ἀπεφαίνετο τοῖς θεοῖς εὕχεσθαι δεῖν τὰ ἀγαθὰ τοὺς φρονίμους ὑπὲρ τῶν ἀφρόνων τοὺς γὰρ ἀσυνέτους ἀγνοεῖν τί ποτέ ἐστιν ἐν τῷ βίφ κατὰ ἀλήθειαν ἀγαθόν. In Laws 909 D Plato goes farther and confines sacrificing, as distinguished from prayer, to priests and priestesses, οἰς ἀγνεία τούτων ἐπιμελής. Τιμαλφεῖν (a poetical word, 'rare in Prose,' see Liddell and Scott) refers probably especially to sacrifices. For καὶ ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν κοὶ τέκνων καὶ γυναικῶν, see critical note on 1330 b 31.
- 20. τοὺς δὲ νεωτέρους κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle goes on to protect the young against λόγους ἀσχήμονας (cp. 14). As to iambi, see Stallbaum's note on Plato, Laws 935 E, ποιητή δὴ κωμφδίας ή τινος ἐάμβων κ.τ.λ. Iambi are iambic verses, often abusive and indecent (cp. 35, ἡ μοχθηρίαν ἡ δυσμένειαν), declaimed by actors at festivals of Dionysus in which the phallus was introduced (Poet. 4. 1449 a 9–13). It was from iambi of this kind that comedy took its rise (Poet. 4. 1448 b 24–1449 a 15, and esp. 24 sqq. and 1449 a 2 sqq.). Iambi, however, did not pass away on the rise of comedy; we hear, in fact, that they were particularly popular at Syracuse (Athen. Deipn. 181 c). Iambi and comedy had this in common that they dealt in ψόγος (cp. Hor. Carm. 1. 16. 2, criminosis iambis), hence they are often named together, e.g. in Plato, Laws 935 E.

Whether Aristotle includes under iambi mimes written in iambic verse, like those of Herondas, it is difficult to say. As to comedy, compare Plato's views in Laws 816 D sqq. The satyr-play which was added at the close of a tragic trilogy often contained indecent passages, but it does not seem to have been open to as much objection as comedy (Blümner, Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans., p. 447), and it probably savoured less of ψόγος and δυσμένεια. It appears to be certain that boys were present at representations both of tragedy and of comedy at Athens (A. Müller, Die griech. Bühnenalt. p. 292. 1). The bigger boys were very fond of comedy and older lads of tragedy (Plato, Laws 658 D). As to νομοθετητέον see critical note. If it is the correct reading, οῦτ' λάμβων οῦτε κωμωδίας θεατὰς νομοθετητέον must apparently mean 'we must not legislate that the young shall be [admissible as] spectators of either iambi or comedy.'

- 21. πρὶν ἡ τὴν ἡλικίαν λάβωσιν κ.τ.λ. See above on 9. For πρὶν ἡ with the aor. subj. without ἄν, cp. 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 19 sqq. and other passages collected by Bonitz, Ind. 633 a 2 sqq. Kaissling (Tempora und Modi in des Aristoteles Politica und in der Atheniensium Politia, p. 54) points out that πρὶν ἡ with the aorist subjunctive is not here preceded by οὐ πρότερον, as it is in 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 19 sqq. and 7 (5). 11. 1314 a 17 sqq.
 - 22. τῶν τοιούτων, i. e. iambi and comedy.
- 24. The Index Aristotelicus (608 b 30) gives no other instance of ποιείσθαι τὸν λόγον followed by a genitive (we expect περὶ τούτων, but compare for the absence of περί c. 10. 1330 a 22, 6 (4). 4. 1292 a 33 sq., and 8 (6). 8. 1322 b 36, αὶ περὶ τὸ βουλευόμενον εἰσε τῶν κοινῶν), nor does it give any other instance of παραδρομή or ἐν παραδρομή (245 b 36). Ἐν παραδρομή scems to be a rare expression.
- 25. εἶτε μὴ δεῖ κ.τ.λ., sc. νομοθετεῖν τοὺς νεωτέρους εἶναι θεατὰς ἰάμβων καὶ κωμφδίας. Διαπορήσαντας here = διελθόντας τὰς ἀπορίας, like διαποροῦντας in 3. 4. 1276 b 36 (Bon. Ind. 187 b 11). Πῶς δεῖ, sc. τοῦτο νομοθετεῖν, i.e. by what provisions of law the exclusion of the νεώτεροι will best be effected.
- 26. κατὰ δὲ τὸν παρόντα καιρὸν κ.τ.λ., 'but in relation to the present occasion we have touched on it only in the way in which it was necessary to touch on it.' For the suppression of 'only,' see note on 1282 a 36. Compare also Meteor. 3. 4. 374 b 17, νῦν δ΄ όσον ἀνάγκη, τοσοῦτον περὶ αἰτῶν λέγωμεν, and for κατὰ τὸν παρόντα

καιρόν, Rhet. ad Alex. 1. 1421 a 24, ταυτὶ μέν οὖν καὶ τὰ τούτοις ὅμοια παραλιπεῖν νομίζω καλῶς ἡμῖν ἔχειν κατὰ τὸν ὑπάρχοντα καιρόν.

27. Tows yap K.T.A., 'for perhaps Theodorus, the actor of tragedy. said not ill that which has just been said.' Fáp introduces an explanation why it is not necessary for Aristotle to say more: Theodorus, in fact, had by his remark done much to solve the problem and to indicate the true course. Camerarius, however. asks, not without reason (Interp. p. 332), 'Quod vero hoc dictum est? Factum enim magis exponitur histrionis. Nisi aliquis coniecturam de eo capere dicto posse videatur. Aut libeat suspicari ista esse mutila.' Coray's note is, 'έλεγε τὸ παραπλήσιον τούτω τῶ περί των παίδων. Τί δὲ έλεγε; τὸ οἰκειοῦσθαι τοὺς θεατάς ταῖς πρώταις άκοαις, ώς φαίνεται έκ των έξης.' If έλεγε is to be retained, the passage should probably be explained as Coray explains it, but, as Sus:3 says. 'Theye haud sine causa offendit Camerarium.' The only substitute for it which has occurred to me is thue ('gave not ill a practical solution of the question which has just been mentioned'). For δ της τραγωδίας υποκριτής, which is added to distinguish this Theodorus from others of the same name, cp. Dittenberger, Svll. Inser. Gr. No. 417, υποκριτής τραγωιδίας], Athen. Deipn. 407 d. Τιμοκλής ό της κωμφδίας ποιητής (ήν δέ και τραγφδίας), and Aelian, Var. Hist. 14. 40, Θεοδώρου τοῦ τῆς τραγωδίας ποιητοῦ ὑποκρινομένου τὴν 'Aερόπην. As to Theodorus, see Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. Gr. p. 523, and Sus.³, Note 968 (Sus.⁴, 1. p. 558), and cp. Rhet. 3. 2. 1404 b 22 sqq., where the naturalness and charm of his voice are dwelt upon. He was one of the best tragic actors of the time immediately before that of Aristotle. How could Theodorus avoid being preceded by other actors on the stage, if he did not always take the part, perhaps an insignificant one, to which the first speech of the tragedy was assigned? Richards asks, 'Did he insist, when plays were competing, on being protagonist in the first, so that no other protagonist might win over the audience before him? Or does the statement about him refer to occasions when actors only (not plays or choruses) were competing, and when perhaps only scenes or single speeches were recited? Haigh's Attic Theatre, p. 58.' Demosthenes acted in much the same way as Theodorus did, when he insisted on being heard by Philip of Macedon first of the Athenian envoys (Aeschin, De Fals, Leg. C. 108, φάσκων γάρ νεώτατος είναι πάντων την τάξιν τοῦ πρώτος λέγειν οὐκ αν έφη παραλιπείν, οὐδ' ἐπιτρέψειν τινί (αἰνιττόμενος εἰς ἐμέ) προκαταλαβόντα

- τὰ Φιλίππου ὧτα τοῖε ἄλλοιε λόγου μὴ καταλιπεῖυ). Cp. also (with Richards) Demosth. Procem. 34. p. 1443, εἵητε δ' ὧσπερ τὰ θέατρα τῶυ προκαταλαμβανόντων.
- 29. οδδενὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Εἰσάγεω is commonly used of the poet or chorêgus bringing the chorus on the stage (as in Aristoph. Acharn. 11, εἴσαγ', & Θέογει, τὸν χορόν), but here προεισάγεω is used with some freedom of the actors bringing on the stage the parts represented by them (W. Christ, Gesch. der griech, Litteratur, p. 171. 2).
- 30. &s οἰκειουμένων κ.τ.λ., 'holding that the audience is made friendly to' (or 'won to the side of') 'what it hears first.' Liddell and Scott compare Thuc. 1. 36, δ μετὰ μεγίστων καιρῶν οἰκειοῦταί τε καὶ πολεμοῦται. Οἰκειουμένων is interpreted by στέργομεν, 33.
- 31. συμβαίνει δε κ.τ.λ., 'and this same thing happens both in relation to dealings with men, [which is what Theodorus had in view,] and in relation to dealings with things.' For πρόε, cp. 38, and 5 (8). 2. 1337 b 3. For τὰε τῶν πραγμάτων δμιλίαε, cp. Eurip. Phoen. 1329 Bothe (1408 Dindorf), δμιλία χθονόε.
- 33. πάντα γὰρ στέργομεν τὰ πρῶτα μᾶλλον, 'for whatever we first have to do with, we like better than anything else,' so that if iambi and comedy are witnessed in youth, they will be among the things liked best. Aristotle has before him Plato, Rep. 378 D, ὁ γὰρ νέος οὐχ οἶός τε κρίνειν ὅ τί τε ὑπόνοια καὶ ὁ μή, ἀλλ' ὰ ἄν τηλικοῦτος ὧν λάβη ἐν ταῖς δόξαις, δυσέκνιπτά τε καὶ ἀμετάστατα φιλεῖ γίγνεσθαι. ὧν δὴ ἴσως ἔνεκα περὶ παντὸς ποιητέον ὰ πρῶτα ἀκούουσιν ὁ τι κάλλιστα μεμυθολογημένα πρὸς ἀρετὴν ἀκούειν. Compare Hor. Epist. 1. 2. 69 and familiar sayings like 'on revient toujours à ses premiers amours' and 'the child is father of the man.' 'The Jesuits used to say, "Give me a child till he is seven years old, and I will make him what no one will unmake"' (Miss E. Welldon in the Cheltenham Ladies' College Magazine, No. 18, p. 179). We may also explain in this way the tendency of men, as they grow old, to become 'laudatores temporis acti.' On the other hand, there is truth in Hom. Odyss. 1. 351,

την γάρ ἀοιδην μάλλον ἐπικλείουσ' ἄνθρωποι, ητις ἀκουόντεσσι νεωτάτη ἀμφιπέληται.

διδ δεῖ κ.τ.λ. Ποιείν ξένα, 'to make strange and unknown,' in opposition to οἰκειουμένων, 30. Pythagoras (ap. Aristox. Fragm. 20: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 279) shows a similar desire to keep the young from all knowledge of evil. It was in a somewhat different sense that Antisthenes said (Diog. Lacrt. 6. 12), τὰ πονηρὰ πάντα νόμιζε ξενικά.

34. μάλιστα δ' αὐτῶν ὅσα ἔχει ἡ μοχθηρίαν ἡ δυσμένειαν. As αὐτῶν = τῶν φαύλων, it is clear that in Aristotle's view a thing might be φαῦλον without possessing μοχθηρία. Cp. Eth. Nic. 7. 6. 1148 b 2, μοχθηρία μεν οὖν οὐδεμία περὶ ταῦτ' ἐστὶ διὰ τὸ εἰρημένον, ὅτι Φύσει τῶν αίρετων εκαστόν έστι δι' αύτό. Φαῦλαι δε καὶ Φευκταὶ αὐτων εἰσὶν αί ὑπερβολαί. We see from Eth. Nic. 6. 13. 1144 a 34, διαστρέφει γάρ ή μοχθηρία και διαψεύδεσθαι ποιεί περί τὰς πρακτικάς άρχάς, what a strong term μοχθηρία is. Aristotle probably regards iambi and comedy as not free from elements of depravity and malignity. Δυσμένειαν, the reading of II Bekk. (as to the rendering of Vet. Int. see critical note on 1336 b 35), seems to be perfectly right, though Sus. would read δυσγένειαν in place of it. Aristotle probably has before him Plato, Laws 934 D-936 A, where iambi and comedy are connected with ξχθρα, βλασφημία, and κακηγορία, and Phileb. 48 A-50 A, where envy is implied to be an ingredient in comedy, for envy is nearly related to δυσμένεια, the words φθόνος and δυσμένεια being conjoined in Plato, Rep. 500 C, Phaedr. 253 B, and Protag. 316 D. Compare also Rep. 395 E, κακηγοροῦντάς τε καὶ κωμφδοῦντας άλλήλους καὶ αἰσχρολογοῦντας, and Plut. Non posse suaviter vivi secundum Epicurum, C. 22, δργή δὲ χάριτος καὶ χόλος εὐμενείας καὶ τοῦ φιλανθρώπου καὶ φιλόφρονος τὸ δυσμενες καὶ ταρακτικὸν ἀπωτάτω τῆ φύσει τέτακται τὰ μεν γαρ αρετής και δυνάμεως, τα δ' ασθενείας έστι και φαυλότητος. Plato does his best in the Laws (792 B, D, E) to secure that the child shall be εύθυμος, ίλεως, and εύμενής, and it is in the same mood (Rep. 496 E) that he wishes men to close their life. This is the mood of the Olympian Gods (see above on 1332 a 9), and according to Plutarch (Pericl. c. 39) it was the edueves hoos of Pericles that justified the application to him of the epithet 'Olympian.'

35. διελθόντων δὲ κ.τ.λ, Θεωρούς means 'spectators,' not 'auditores,' as Sus.3 explains the word in this passage (Ind. s.v.). Aristotle gets the hint of what he here suggests from Plato, Rep. 466 E, ὅτι κοινἢ στρατεύσονται, καὶ πρός γε ἄξουσι τῶν παίδων εἰς τὸν πάλεμον ὅσοι ἀδροί, Γι' ὥσπερ οἱ τῶν ἄλλων δημιουργῶν θεῶνται ταῦτα, ἀ τελεωθέντας δεήσει δημιουργεῖν . . . ἢ οὐκ ἤσθησαι τὰ περὶ τὰς τέχνας, οἷον τοὺς τῶν κεραμεών παίδας, ως πολὺν χρόνον διακονοῦντες θεωροῦσι, πρὶν ἄπτεσθαι τοῦ κεραμεύειν; Plato, however, is speaking here of older boys than those whom Aristotle has in view, and war would of course not be one of the μαθήσεις to which Aristotle refers. These μαθήσεις include probably gymnastic and music, especially the former. Plato in the Laws (794 C) had sent boys of six to

teachers of riding and of the use of the bow, the javelin, and the sling; Aristotle sends boys at seven to the gymnastic trainer and the $\pi a \iota \delta \sigma r \rho i \beta \eta s$ (5 (8). 3. 1338 b 6 sqq.); of riding he says nothing

37. δύο δ' εἰσὶν ἡλικίαι κ.τ.λ. At this point we pass from τροφή, or rearing, to madeia, or education strictly so called, which is evidently conceived as beginning at seven years of age. We shall find in the sequel that, in accordance with the announcement made here, puberty forms a turning-point in the educational course, for till puberty no studies find a place in it but gymnastic, and that of the less laborious type (5 (8), 4, 1338 b 40), whereas after puberty other studies are to be taken in hand for three years, and then the severe kind of gymnastic is to be commenced (5 (8), 4, 1339 a 4 sqq.). That the age of twenty-one, like puberty, marks a crisis in the physiological development of the human being, we see from Hist. An. 7. 1. 582 a 16-33. The meaning of perá in 38, 39, 18 by no means clear, yet the commentators say nothing about it. Is Aristotle's meaning this, that a break is to occur in the education at two epochs—at the close of the period from seven years of age to puberty and at the close of the period from puberty to twenty-one, or in other words at puberty and at twenty-one? If this is so, it is manifest that Aristotle did not intend his education to cease at twenty-one, a conclusion to which other considerations also point (see vol. i. p. 370 and p. 358, note 2, and note on 1333 b 3) He apparently devotes the years intervening between three years after puberty and twenty-one to the severer kind of gymnastic training (5 (8), 4, 1339 a 4 sqq). At Athens things were arranged quite differently. Young Athenians were enrolled in the ληξιαρχικον γραμματείον and became citizens on the completion of the eighteenth year ('Aθ Hoλ, c 42 the seventeenth according to Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., pp. 197, 310, but see Mr. R. W. Macan in Class Rev. 10. 199 sq), though they spent the two following years in military training, garrison-duty, and field-service as περίπολοι, and therefore did not discharge any strictly political functions till two years later. Aristotle does not arrange for the performance of any military service before the age of twenty-one. For πρὸς ας, 'in relation to which, cp. 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 11, διήρηται μέν οὖν τὸ βουλευόμενον πρὸς τας πολιτείας τούτον τὸν τρόπον. In 4 (7). 12. 1331 a 37, on the other hand, we have πρέπει γαρ διηρησθαι κατά τας ήλικίας και τούτον τον κόσμον, and in 5 (8). 7. 1342 b 20, έστι δέ καὶ ταῦτα ώρισμένα ταῖς ήλικίαις.

40. οί γάρ ταις έβδομάσι κ.τ.λ. See above on 1335 b 33.

1337 a. 1. δεῖ δὲ τἢ διαιρέσει τῆς φύσεως ἐπακολουθεῖν. Cp. De Caelo I. 1. 268 a 19, ταῦτα δ', ὧσπερ εἴρηται, διὰ τὸ τὴν φύσιν αὐτὴν οὕτως ἐπάγειν ἀκολουθοῦμεν, and Pol. 4 (7). 14. 1332 b 36. The phrase ἀκολουθεῖν τῆ φύσει occurs in Plato, Laws 836 C. Plato in the Laws (809 E sq.) had arranged his curriculum of study without reference to puberty by periods of three years from ten to sixteen. Aristotle follows the Lacedaemonian practice: cp. Phot. συνέφηβος (quoted by Gilbert, Const. Antiq. of Sparta and Athens, Eng. Trans., p. 63), Σπαρτιᾶται δὲ σιδεύνας (sc. τοὺς ἐφήβους καλοῦσι) διέκρινον δὲ αὐτοὺς ἄρα (ἄμα ἐ) τῆ ῆβη, τουτέστιν περὶ πεντεκαίδεκα καὶ ἐκκαίδεκα ἔτη γεγονότας, τῶν νεωτέρων παίδων, καὶ καθ' ἑαυτοὺς ῆσκουν ἀνδροῦσθαι.

πασα γάρ τέχνη καὶ παιδεία κ.τ.λ. As art and education seek to complete nature, they should follow nature as far as she goes. Art seeks to complete nature because she takes the raw material furnished by nature-wool, or bricks, or human beings-and by completing what is deficient produces a garment, or a house, or a State: see Prof. Butcher, Aristotle's Theory of Poetry and Fine Art, ed. 2, p. 118 sq., and compare (with Eaton) Phys. 2. 8, 199 a 15, ohws τε ή τέχνη τὰ μὲν ἐπιτελεῖ ά ή Φύσις ἀδυνατεῖ ἀπεργάσασθαι, τὰ δὲ μιμεῖται, and (with Congreve) Eth. Nic. 1. 4. 1007 a 5 sq. The same thing is true of education, for education starts with that which is furnished by nature (c. 13. 1332 a 40 sqq.: 6 (4). 11. 1295 a 27 sq.), and completes it. Τέχνη and παιδεία go together here, as τέχνη and μάθησις in 5 (8). 2. 1337 b 9, where see note. For πασα παιδεία, 'every kind of education,' compare the use of maideia in the plural in Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1180 b 7 sq., Oecon. 1. 5. 1344 a 26 sq., and Thuc. 2. 39. 2. The word προσλείπειν appears to be a rare one: Liddell and Scott compare C. I. G. 3935, τὰ προσλείψωντα τοῦ ἔργου.

3. πρώτον μεν οδν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has now reached the subject—that of education—to reach which he has been hastening forward so fast, postponing the full consideration of not a few questions, and he here resumes the use of the aporetic method, which he had laid aside since the commencement of the Fourth Book (vol. i. p. 352). The first two of the questions here raised are easily answered, the first in 5 (8). 1. 1337 a 11-21, and the second in 1337 a 21-33, but the third question requires far fuller treatment; the consideration of it is not completed in what we possess of the Fifth Book.

- 4. κοινή . . . ή κατ' ίδιον τρόπον, 'on a public footing or individually' (cp. 5 (8). 1. 1337 a 24 sqq.). Aristotle has already said in Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1180 a 29, κράτιστον μεν οὖν τὸ γίγνεσθαι κοινήν έπιμελειαν (8C. τροφής τε καὶ ἐπιτηδευμάτων) καὶ ὀρθήν. For ποιείσθαι τὴν ἐπιμελειαν αὐτῶν, cp. 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 12 sq. and 7 (5). 11. 1314 b 21 sq.
- 6. καὶ νῶν, 'even now' (cp. c. 15. 1335 b 5). Even in Aristotle's day not many Greek States made the superintendence of education the concern of the State.
- 7. ποίαν τινά δεί ταύτην, sc. την έπιμελειαν ποιείσθαι. This is explained by 5 (8). 2. 1337 2 34, τίς δ' έστιν ή παιδεία, και πώς χρή παιδεύεσθαι, δεί μη λανθάνειν.

BOOK V (VIII).

11. *Oτι μὰν οδν κ.τ.λ. Two reasons are given for this conclu- C 1. sion—(1) attention to the education of youth is demanded in the ¹³³⁷ a. interest of the constitution (12-18), and (2) it is demanded because some training is required before men can act virtuously (18-21).

12. καὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 12-36 and 1. 13. 1260 b 13 sqq.

14. δεί γάρ πρός έκάστην παιδεύεσθαι. See critical note.

τὸ γὰρ ἦθος κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle probably has before him Plato, Rep. 544 D, οίσθ οὖν, ἢν δ' ἐγώ, ὅτι καὶ ἀνθρώπων εἴδη τοσαῦτα ανάγκη τρόπων είναι οσαπερ και πολιτειών; ή οίει έκ δρυός ποθεν ή έκ πέτρας τὰς πολιτείας γίγνεσθαι, άλλ' οὐχὶ ἐκ τῶν ἡθῶν τῶν ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν, ά αν ώσπερ ρέψαντα τάλλα έφελκύσηται; Aristotle insists in 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 12 sqq. that the safety of constitutions is not secured by the mere making of laws, however excellent they may be; it is necessary to produce in the citizens the type of character which is favourable to the maintenance of the particular constitution. read in Rhet. 1. 8. 1366 a 12 of rà #87 των πολιτειών έκάστης: cp. also Pol. 8 (6). 1. 1317 a 39. As to the δημοκρατικόν ήθος see Demosth. Ol. 3. 25 sq., where it is implied that one feature of it is a willingness on the part of the leading men of the State to be content with a mode of life not more splendid than that of their neighbours and a desire that not private buildings, but public should be magnificent. Aristotle, however, would probably find the δημοκρατικόν and ολιγαρχικόν ήθος in the kind of character which makes

in favour of the existence and continuance of a democracy and an oligarchy: cp. 8 (6). 5. 1320 a 2 sqq. and see note on 1310 a 12.

- 17. dei δè κ.τ.λ., so that not only does the presence in the citizens of the type of character appropriate to a constitution generate that constitution, but a superior quality in the type generates a superior quality of constitution. For the form of the sentence cp. 1. 5. 1254 a 25 sq. and 8 (6). 6. 1320 b 28 sq. For βέλτιστον see critical note on 1337 a 18.
- 18. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. Euripides had placed a different sentiment in the mouth of one of the characters of his Augê: cp. Diog. Laert. 2. 33, Εὐριπίδου δ' ἐν τῆ Αὕγη εἰπόντος περὶ ἀρετῆς,

κράτιστον έἰκἢ ταῦτ' έᾶν ἀφειμένα,

άναστας εξήλθε (Σωκράτης), φήσας γελοίον είναι άνδράποδον μέν μη εύρισκόμενον αξιούν ζητείν, αρετήν δ' ούτω έαν απολωλέναι. See also Plut. Virtutem doceri posse, c. 3. Not only is it necessary in the interest of the constitution that training likely to produce the required 360s should be given in youth, but training in youth is also necessary with a view to the practice of virtue. For previous training is desirable with a view to the practice of all δυνάμεις καὶ τέχναι, and virtue is a dúragus (Rhet. 1. 9. 1366 a 36 sqq.: contrast Eth. Nic. 2. 4. 1106 a 6 sqq.). Or the argument may be an a fortiori If previous training is necessary for the practice of an art, a fortiori it is necessary for action in accordance with virtue, for the successful practice of an art implies the fulfilment of fewer conditions than action in accordance with virtue (Eth. Nic. 2. 3. 1105 a 26 sqq.). For δυνάμεις καὶ τέχνας see note on 1268 b 36 and Bon. Ind. 207 b 4 sqq., where Metaph. O. 2. 1046 b 2, διδ πάσαι αι τέχναι και αι ποιητικαί [και] επιστήμαι δυνάμεις είσιν άρχαι γάρ μεταβλητικαί είσιν έν άλλφ ή άλλο, is quoted, and reference is made to Rhet. 1. 2. 1358 a 6 and other passages. For προπαιδεύεσθαι καὶ προεθίζεσθαι, cp. Pol. 3. 18. 1288 b 1, καὶ παιδεία καὶ ἔθη, and 7 (5). 9. 1310 a 16, είθισμένοι καὶ πεπαιδευμένοι. In 4 (7). 13. 1332 b 10 sq., on the other hand, maideia seems to include το εθίζεσθαι. For προς τας εκάστων έργασίας, with a view to the operations of each of them, cp. Plato, Symp. 205 B, Sore Ral al ύπο πάσαις ταις τέχναις έργασίαι ποιήσεις είσι, and Gorg. 450 C.

21. τὰς τῆς ἀρετῆς πράξεις. Cp. c. 2. 1337 b 9, τὰς χρήσεις καὶ τὰς πράξεις τὰς τῆς ἀρετῆς.

enci δε κ.τ.λ. Here Aristotle passes to the second question, whether education should be in the hands of the State or in those

of the private individual (i.e. the father, cp. 25, your aurou réares). Two reasons are given why it should be in the hands of the State: (1) as the whole State (i. e. all the citizens) has one and the same end before it, the education given will be the same for all, hence its management should be in the hands of the State, and not in the hands of parents, as at present, training their children privately and in whatever subjects they please; (2) the individual should regard himself as part of the State, and the management of the part should be adjusted to the management of the whole so that it should be in the hands which manage the whole, i.e. the hands of the State]. The first of these two arguments is hardly conclusive. The education given to all might be identical without being placed in the hands of the State. Against the second it may be urged that the welfare of the whole might be kept in view in the training of the part, even if education were not placed in the hands of the State. Compare with Aristotle's view that of Plutarch in Lycurg, et Num. inter se comp. c. 4. We learn from 2, 5, 1263 b 36 sq. that Aristotle looks to education to make the State one, and this is another reason why the State should take the charge of it into its own hands.

- 23. καὶ ταύτης τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Laws 804 C-D and 810 A. Cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1180 a 24 sqq., and Plut. Camill. c. 10, κοινῷ γὰρ ἐχρῶντο τῷ διδασκαλφ, ῶσπερ Ἑλληνες, οἱ Φαλέριοι, βουλόμενοι συντρέφεσθαι καὶ συναγελά-ζεσθαι μετ' ἀλλήλων εὐθύς ἰξ ἀρχῆς τοὺς παίδας. Κατ' ἰδίαν recurs in Hist. An. 1. 11. 492 b 15 (Eucken, Beobachtungen tiber die Praepositionen, p. 45).
- 26. δεί δε τῶν κοινῶν κοινῶν ποιεῖσθαι καὶ τὴν ἄσκησιν. Cp. Plato, Laws 942 B sq. Τῶν κοινῶν, education for instance. Τὴν ἄσκησιν as well as the things themselves. Aristotle's language recalls the contention of the Lacedaemonians in Diod. 11. 55. 4, καὶ δεῖν ἔφασαν τῶν κοινῶν τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἀδικημάτων εἶναι τὴν κρίσιν οἰκ ἰδία παρὰ τοῖς ᾿λθηναίοις, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τοῦ κοινοῦ συνεδρίου τῶν Ἑλλήνων.
- 27. ἀμα δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and at the same time [so far from its being right to think that the individual citizen can justly claim to educate his children as he pleases], it is not even right to think that he belongs to himself; the true creed is that all the citizens belong to the State.' Aristotle inherits this view from others. Thus we read of the Spartans in Plut. Lycurg. c. 24, δλως νομίζοντες οὐχ αὐτῶν ἀλλὰ τῆς πατρίδος εἶνωι διετέλουν, and in c. 25, τὸ δὲ δλον εἴθιζεν (ὁ Λυκοῦργος)

τοὺς πολίτας μη βούλεσθαι μηδε επίστασθαι κατ' ίδίαν ζην, άλλ' . . . όλους είναι τῆς πατρίδος, and of the Athenians of the time of the Persian War in Demosth. De Cor. c. 205, ήγειτο γάρ αὐτῶν εκαστος οὐχὶ τῶ πατρί καὶ τῆ μητρί μόνον γεγενησθαι, άλλὰ καὶ τῆ πατρίδι. Much the same thing, indeed, is said by the Corinthian envoys in Thuc. 1. 70. 6 of the Athenians at the outset of the Peloponnesian War. But what Aristotle has especially before him is the language of Plato in Laws 023 Α. έγων ουν νομοθέτης ων ουθ ύμας ύμων αυτών είναι τίθημι ουτε την οὐσίαν ταύτην, ξύμπαντος δὲ τοῦ γένους ὑμῶν τοῦ τε ἔμπροσθεν καὶ τοῦ επειτα εσομένου, καὶ έτι μαλλον της πόλεως είναι τό τε γένος πῶν καὶ τὴν οὐσίαν, Cp. Cic. De Fin. 2. 14. 45, ut ad Archytam scripsit Plato, non sibi se soli natum meminerit, sed patriae, sed suis, ut perexigua pars ipsi relinquatur, and De Offic. 1. 25. 85. To none of these authorities does it occur for a moment that the Greek citizen belonged to Hellas as well as to his own State. Isocrates may perhaps have remembered this (Jebb, Attic Orators 2. 44). Aristotle does not consider how far the citizen should carry his sense of belonging to his $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$. Clearly he thinks that the citizen should subordinate his private preferences to those of his πόλις, but should he suppress conscientious convictions and sink his conscience in the will of the πόλις? Suppose the πόλις is under a tyranny or extreme oligarchy or extreme democracy? If the virtue of a citizen is relative to the preservation of the constitution (3. 4. 1276 b 27 sqq.), a good citizen must apparently do what tends to preserve the constitution, however bad the constitution may be, but what would Aristotle say that a good man ought to do in such a case? Subordinate his conscience to the maintenance of the constitution? If so, contrast the view of the Platonic Socrates in Plato, Gorg. 512 E sq.

- 29. μόριον γὰρ ἔκαστος τῆς πόλεως, and that which is a part of the State belongs to the State: cp. 1. 4. 1254 a 9, τό τε γὰρ μόριον οὐ μόνον ἄλλου ἐστὶ μόριον, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὅλως ἄλλου.
- ή δ' ἐπιμέλεια κ.τ.λ. Cp. 1. 13. 1260 b 14, τὴν δὲ τοῦ μέρους πρὸς τὴν τοῦ ὅλου δεὶ βλεπειν ἀρετήν. Aristotle has here before him Plato, Laws 903 B, πείθωμεν τὸν νεανίαν τοῖς λόγοις, ὡς τῷ τοῦ παντὸς ἐπιμελουμένῷ πρὸς τὴν σωτηρίαν καὶ ἀρετὴν τοῦ ὅλου πάντ' ἐστὶ συντεταγμένα . . . ὧν ἔν καὶ τὸ σόν, ὧ σχέτλιε, μόριον εἰς τὸ πᾶν ξυντείνει βλέπον ἀεί, καίπερ πάνσμικρον ὄν, and Charmides 156 E, ἀλλὰ τοῦτο καὶ αἴτιον εἴη τοῦ διαφεύγειν τοὺς παρὰ τοῖς Ἔλλησιν ἰατροὺς τὰ πολλὰ νοσήματα, ὅτι τὸ ὅλον αγνοοῖεν, οὖ δέοι τὴν ἐπιμελειαν ποιεῖσθαι, οὖ μὴ καλῶς ἔχοντος ἀδύνατον

en το μέρος εν έχειν: compare the teaching of Hippocrates referred to in Phaedrus 270 C, and see Stewart on Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 7.

- 31. Rai roûro, 'in this matter also,' i.e. for attending to the education of youth and making it a matter of State-concern: cp. c. 4. 1338 b 9 sqq. The Lacedaemonians were praised for many other things (6 (4). 1. 1288 b 40 sqq.). It will be noticed that nothing is here said of the Cretans, and that the compliment paid to the Lacedaemonians is not extended to them: cp. Eth Nic. 10. 1180 a 24 sqq. and contrast Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 1102 a 10 sqq., where the Cretan lawgiver, no less than the Lacedaemonian, is said to seek to make the citizens good and obedient to the laws.
- 34. πῶς χρὴ παιδεύεσθαι, 'how one should have them taught,' C. 2. cp. c. 3. 1338 a 38, and for πῶς c. 3. 1338 a 33, c. 4. 1338 b 38, ὅτι μὲν οὖν χρηστέον τῷ γυμναστικῷ, καὶ πως χρηστέον, ὁμολογούμενόν ἐστιν, and c. 6. 1340 b 20, πότερον δὲ δεῖ μανθάνειν αὐτοὺς ἄδοντάς τε καὶ χειρουργοῦντας ἡ μἡ . . . νῦν λεκτέον.
- 36. περὶ τῶν ἔργων, 'sc. τῆς παιδείας, i.e. de ns rebus quas doceri iuvenes oporteat, opp. πῶς χρὴ παιδείας (Bon. Ind. 286 a 33). For τῶν ἔργων in this sense cp. 1337 b 5 sqq. II¹ Sus. read διὰ τῶν ἔργων, which Sus.² translates 'thatsáchlich' (does this mean 'practically'?), but it is difficult to believe that διά is the true reading.
- 37. οὖτε πρὸς ἀρετὴν οὖτε πρὸς τὸν βίον τὸν ἄριστον. For the distinction, cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 1. 1172 a 24, πρὸς ἀρετήν τε καὶ τὸν εὐδαίμονα βίον, and Top. 3. 1. 116 b 23, καὶ ὅλως τὸ πρὸς τὸ τοὺ βίον τέλος αἰρετώτερον μᾶλλον ἢ τὸ πρὸς ἄλλο τι. οἶον τὸ πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν συντεῖνον ἢ τὸ πρὸς φρόνησιν. The study of music, we learn in the sequel, is of value both with a view to virtue and with a view to τὴν ἐν τῆ σχολῆ διαγωγήν, or in other words τὸν βίον τὸν ἄριστον, but the two ends are not to be confounded. Education in the 'best State' will naturally be adjusted to both, and hence the mention of them here. Aristotle points out that there was no agreement as to the training conducive to either. The Spartans, for instance, would say that gymnastic training was the road both to virtue (c. 3 1337 b 26 sq.) and to the life of empire, which they regarded as the best life (4 (7). 14. 1333 b 20 sqq.), but others would think differently
- 38. οδδέ φανερὸν κ.τ.λ. As to this see vol. i. p. 354, note 2. For the distinction of διάνοια and το τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος, Bonitz (Ind. 185 b 61) compares 3 11. 1281 b 7, οῦτω καὶ περὶ τὰ ἦθη καὶ τὴν διάνοιαν: cp. also 1337 b 11, ἡ τὴν ψυχῆν ἡ την διάνοιαν, and Plato, Laws

798 A, καὶ περὶ τὰς τῶν ἀνθρώπων διανοίας τε ἄμα καὶ τὰς τῶν ψυχῶν φύσεις. For τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος, cp. c. $5.1340\,a\,\text{II}$, b II, De Part. An. $4.11.692\,a\,$ 22, τὸ ἦθος τοῦ ζώου τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς, and Plato, Lysis 222 A, ἢ κατὰ τὴν ψυχὴν ἢ κατά τι τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος ἢ τρόπους ἢ εἶδος, and Laws 793 E, εξέτει ἤθει ψυχῆς. Τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος is the disposition or character of the soul; the phrase is used not only by Plato, but also by Xenophon (Mem. 3. 10. 3), and there is nothing technical about it. Sus. (Ind. s. v. ψυχἡ) explains it as synonymous with τὸ ὀρεκτικόν, but does it not rather mean the diathesis of τὸ ὀρεκτικόν?

39. ἔκ τε τῆς ἐμποδών παιδείας κ.τ.λ., 'and if we take as the starting-point of our inquiry the education with which we are daily in contact, the inquiry proves perplexing.' For ix, cp. De Part. An. 1. 5. 644 b 25, καὶ γὰρ έξ ων αν τις σκέψαιτο περὶ αὐτῶν . . . παντελώς έστιν ολίγα, and for έμποδών, cp. De Gen. et Corr. 1. 6. 323 a 26, καὶ γὰρ κινεί κινούμενα πάντα σχεδόν τὰ έμποδών (referred to by Bonitz, Ind. 243 a 61, who explains τὰ ἐμποδών as=τὰ παρ' ἡμῖν). Light is thrown on Aristotle's meaning by 1337 b 21 sqq. Actual education had four branches—reading and writing, gymnastic, music, and drawing—and of these reading, writing, and drawing were studied for their utility, and gymnastic as contributing to virtue (c. 3. 1337 b 25 sqq.), while the study of music included the practice of τὰ θαυμάσια καὶ περιττὰ τῶν ἔργων (c. 6. 1341 a 11 sqq.), and was commonly pursued with a view to pleasure (1337 b 28). Some authorities favoured studies useful for life, others those contributing to virtue, and others those of an out-ofthe-way kind. Aristotle's own aim in planning the education of his 'best State' is to make his citizens men of complete virtue, fit in body, mind, and character to live in the practice of all the virtues and to rule and be ruled with a view to the most desirable life, the life in which work is crowned with leisure. Others had solved the question otherwise. Isocrates is on the whole in favour of useful studies, though he has something to say in defence of Eristic and Geometry and Astronomy, studies belonging to the out-of-the-way class (De Antid. § 261 sqq.: Hel. § 5). Of the Cynic Diogenes we read (Diog. Laert. 6. 73), μουσικής τε καὶ γεωμετρικής και αστρολογίας και των τοιούτων αμελείν, ως αχρήστων και ούκ άναγκαίων. For the views of Polybius see Polyb. 9. 20. 6 sqq. On the other hand, Lacedaemonian education was designed to develope virtue, though no doubt a one-sided kind of virtue (c. 4. 1338 b 11 sqq.: cp. Plut. Lycurg. c. 16, γράμματα μέν οὖν ενεκα της χρείας

εμάνθανον, ή δ' άλλη πάσα παιδεία πρός τὸ ἄρχεσθαι καλώς εγίνετο καί καρτερείν πονούντα καὶ νικάν μαχόμενον). As to the studies falling under the head of rà περιττά, see vol. i. p. 354, note 3. To the studies there enumerated should be added the wonderful feats of horsemanship which Cleophantus, the son of Themistocles, learnt by his father's wish to perform (Plato, Meno 93 D). Aristotle, however, probably refers especially to the study of Geometry, Astronomy, and Eristic Argument, subjects which had found their way in Isocrates' day into the curriculum at Athens (Isocr. Panath. § 26: cp. Plato, Protag. 318 E, where Protagoras is made to sneer at λογισμούς τε και αστρονομίαν και γεωμετρίαν και μουσικήν as studies which Hippias of Elis taught and he himself did not, the wisdom which he taught being εὐβουλία περί τε των οἰκείων καὶ περὶ των τῆς πόλεως). Both τὰ περιττά and τὰ χρήσιμα are here distinguished from τὰ τείνοντα πρὸς ἀρετήν, but many advocates of the study of τὰ περιττά at any rate would claim that it aided the development of virtue. Cp. Isocr. Busir. § 23, τοὺς δὲ νεωτέρους ἀμελήσαντας των ἡδονών έπ' αστρολογία και λογισμοίς και γεωμετρία διατρίβειν έπεισαν, ων τας δυνάμεις οί μεν ως προς ετερα χρησίμους επαινοίσιν, οί δ' ως πλείστα προς αρετήν συμβαλλομένας αποφαίνειν επιχειρούσιν. Pericles had studied τα περιττά under Anaxagoras and is thought by Plato and Plutarch to have owed much of his greatness of soul to these studies (Plato, Phaedr. 269 E sq.: Plut. Pericl cc. 4-8) The virtuous Fpaminondas had had a περιττή παιδεία (Plut. De Gen Socr. c. 3). It is with a view to virtue that Plato recommends the study of Arithmetic, Geometry, and Astronomy (Rep. 525-530 Laws 818-822), and at a later age of Dialectic (Rep. 531 sqq Laws 965). For the contrast between τὰ χρήσιμα πρὸς τὸν βίον and τὰ περιττά, cp. Rhet. 2. 13. 1389 b 25, οὐδενὸς γὰρ μεγάλου οὐδὲ περιττοῦ, ἀλλὰ τῶν πρὸς τον βίον ἐπιθυμοί σιν, and for the phrase τὰ χρήσιμα πρὸς τὸν βιον, Diog. Laert. 2. 25, καὶ συνεχες ἐκείνα ἀνεφθέγγετο (ὁ Σωκράτης) τὰ ἰαμβεία,

> τὰ δ' ἀργυρώματ' ἐστίν ἥ τε πορφύρα εἰς τοὺς τραγφδοὺς χρήσιμ', οὐκ εἰς τὸν βίον,

3. 98, χρημάτων καὶ τῶν εἰς τὸν βίον χρησίμων, and Hyperid. Or Fun. 3. 10. For δῆλον οὐδέν ('nothing is clear'), cp. Plato, Theaet. 201 A, μένουσι δὲ δῆλον οὐδέν ('manentibus vero—nec amplius quaerentibus—nihil erit perspicuum,' Stallbaum).

42. πάντα γάρ είληφε ταῦτα κριτάς τινας. Cp. Metaph. A A. 989 a 6, των δὲ τριών στοιχείων εκαστον είληφε κριτήν τινα, and De An.

- 1. 2. 405 b 8, πάντα γὰρ τὰ στοιχεῖα κριτὴν εἴληφε, πλὴν τῆς γῆς. 'Quid significet his locis formula είληφέναι κριτήν apertum est, quomodo eam vim possit habere dubium videtur . . . Equidem nomine κριτής, quoniam coniunctum est cum λαμβάνειν, significari putaverim suffragium iudicis: unumquodque ex tribus illis elementis unius tulit iudicis suffragium' (Bonitz on Metaph. A. 8. 988 b 22-989 a 19). See also Bon. Ind. s.v. κριτής.
- 1337 b. 2. καὶ γὰρ τὴν ἀρετὴν κ.τ.λ. Thus the Spartans identified virtue with military virtue, which is only a part of it (2. 9. 1271 b 2 sqq.), and naturally erred in their ἀσκησις of virtue (4 (7). 15. 1334 a 40). Aristotle's remark is perhaps suggested by that of Socrates in Plato, Laches 190 B, ἀρ' οὖν τοῦτό γ' ὑπάρχειν δεῖ, τὸ εἰδέναι ὅ τί ποτ' ἄστιν ἀρετή; εἰ γάρ που μηδ' ἀρετὴν εἰδεῖμεν τὸ παράπαν ὅ τί ποτε τυγχάνει ὅν, τίνα τρόπον τούτου σύμβουλοι γενοίμεθα ὁτφοῦν, ὅπως ἃν αὐτὸ κάλλιστα κτήσαιτο;
 - 3. πρός, cp. 4 (7). 17. 1336 b 31 and 6 (4). 15. 1299 a 33.
 - 4. δτι μὲν οὖν κ τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Laws 818 A, τῷ πλήθει δὲ ὅσα αὐτῶν (i. e. Arithmetic, Geometry, and Astronomy) ἀναγκαῖα . . . μὴ ἐπίστασθαι μὲν τοῖς πολλοῖς αἰσχρὸν κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably refers to reading and writing and a certain amount of arithmetic and geometry as necessary. At Sparta these necessary subjects were evidently insufficiently studied (c. 4. 1338 b 33).
 - 5. δτι δὲ οδ πάντα κ.τ.λ. Πάντα, sc. τὰ χρήσιμα, not τὰ ἀναγκαΐα. For instance, cookery should not be studied (c. 5. 1339 a 39 sqq.). The parenthetic clause, διηρημένων—ἀνελευθέρων, causes Aristotle to forget that he has begun his sentence with ὅτι δὲ οὐ πάντα, and he proceeds in 6, φανερὸν ὅτι κ.τ.λ., as if these words had not preceded. Bonitz (Ind. 538 b 38) compares De Interp. 14. 24 a 6 sqq., referring to Waitz' note on this passage. In De Interp. 14, however, we have merely a pleonasm of ὅτι, whereas in the passage before us there is a surplusage of an entire clause introduced by ὅτι. Still irregularities in connexion with ὅτι are common in Aristotle's writings (see Bon. Ind. s.v.), and I think, on the whole, that Bekker, Bonitz, Sus., and the rest are right in leaving this awkward sentence as it stands. But a suggestion of Mr. Richards deserves mention, that καί should be added after φανερόν.
 - 6. τῶν τοιούτων, sc. ἔργων. Here ὁ τοιοῦτος does not, as it usually does (Bernays, Zwei Abhandlungen über die Aristotel. Theorie des Drama, p. 27), refer back to something preceding; on the contrary it refers forward to ὅσα τῶν χρησίμων κ.τ.λ. Compare its use in 12,

in c. 6. 1341 a 22 sq., in 3. 17. 1288 a 8, in 8 (6). 2. 1317 b 18, and in 8 (6). 4. 1319 b 19 sqq.

8. βάναυσον δ' ἔργον κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 6. 1341 a 5 sqq. and see vol. i. D. 111 sqq. Here and in c. 7. 1342 a 22, eloi & Sonep avror (i. c. Βαναύσων και θητών) αι ψυχαί παρεστραμμέναι της κατά φύσεν έξεως, Aristotle probably has before him Plato, Rep. 495 D, of different πολλοί ατελείς μέν τας φύσεις, ύπο δε των τεχνών τε και δημιουργιών ώσπερ τὰ σώματα λελώβηνται, ούτω καὶ τὰς ψυχάς ξυγκεκλασμένοι τε καὶ ἀποτεθρυμμένοι διά τὰς βαναυσίας τυγχάνουσιν: cp. Laws 831 C, and Xen. Oecon. 4. 2 and 6. 5. As the term μισθαρνικαὶ έργασίαι (13) must include the work of the this or day-labourer (cp. 1, 11, 1258 b 25 sqq., where he is classed among of miobaprovers), his work is here described as Báravoos in addition to that of & Báravoos rexritys, to which the epithet is more commonly applied. In general, however, the this and the Báravors are distinguished (cp. 3. 5. 1278 a 12, βάνουσοι καὶ θητες: 17, του βάνουσον καὶ τον θητα: 21, βίον βάνουσον † θητικόν: 8 (6). 1. 1317 a 25: 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 27 sq.: and 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 29, where we have τὸ τῶν βαναύσων καὶ μισθαρνούντων πληθος). Indeed, notwithstanding what is said in the passage before us, the distinction reappears in this very Book, for in 5 (8). 7. 1342 a 20 we have βαναύσων καὶ θητῶν (cp. 5 (8). 6. 1341 b 13, διόπερ οὐ τῶν έλευθέρων κρίνομεν είναι τὴν έργασίαν, ἀλλά θητικωτέραν καί βαναύσους δη συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι, where a difference is implied between θητικός and βάναυσος). Aristotle's feeling probably was that though the work of ol μισθαρνούντες deserved to be called Báravos on account of its effect on the mind, the work of the Báravoos regritus merited the epithet still better, because it injured the body (cp. 1. 11. 1258 b 37, βαναυσόταται δ' (είσὶ τῶν ἐργασιῶν) ἐν αίς τὰ σώματα λωβώνται μάλιστα). Καὶ τέχνην ταύτην καὶ μάθησιν i.e. καὶ βάναυσον τέχνην καὶ μάθησιν είναι ταύτην. For τέχνην καὶ μάθησιν, CD. 18. πράττει τις ή μανθάνει, and 4 (7). 17. 1337 2 1, πάσα τέχνη καὶ παιδεία. Μάθησις 18 the wider term, for though in one kind of μάθησις the aim is the acquisition of an art (Metaph. Θ. 3. 1046 b 36), in another it is the acquisition of an extent of knowledge falling short of that possessed by the master of an art (c. 5. 1339 a 36-38: Plato, Protag. 312 B). For ras xphoreis nat ras mpufeis ras της αρετής (where τας χρήσεις = τας ένεργείας, as in 4 (7). 8. 1328 2 38), cp. De An. 2. 4. 415 2 18, πρότερον γάρ είσι των δυνάμεων αί ένέργειαι καὶ al πράξεις κατά τον λόγον, and Magn. Mor. 1. 35. 1197 2 8, έπι δέ των πρακτικών οὐκ έστιν άλλο οὐδέν τέλος παρ' αὐτὴν τὴν πράξω,

οδον παρὰ τὸ κιθαρίζειν οἰκ ἔστιν ἄλλο τέλος οἰδέν, ἀλλ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο τέλος, ἡ ἐνέργεια καὶ ἡ πρᾶξις. The χρήσεις καὶ πράξεις τῆς ἀρετῆς to which Aristotle refers are probably those of the soldier and citizen (cp. c. 6. 1341 a 7). For τὰς πράξεις τὰς τῆς ἀρετῆς cp. c. 1. 1337 a 21.

- 12. τάς τοιαύτας τέχνας. See note on 6.
- 13. τὰς μισθαρνικὰς ἐργασίας. Ἐργασία is a wider term than τέχνη: it is used, for instance, of such occupations as brothel-keeping in Eth. Nic. 4. 3. 1121 b 33. It is not certain what occupations in addition to that of the day-labourer Aristotle intends to include under αί μισθαρνικαὶ ἐργασίαι. Does he include the work of a teacher of rhetoric like Isocrates, when done for hire? In Pol. 1. 11. 1258 b 25 sqq. μισθαρνία is made to comprise both the μισθαρνία of the βάναυσοι τέχναι and the μισθαρνία of the unskilled θής: here, however, the phrase αὶ μισθαρνικαὶ ἐργασίαι is used in a sense exclusive of the βάναυσοι τέχναι. The form μισθαρνικός occurs also in Eth. Eud. 1. 4. 1215 a 31 and Oecon. 1. 2. 1343 a 29, but Plato uses the form μισθαρνητικός in Rep. 346 B, D, and (if the MSS. are right) μισθαρνευτικός in Soph. 222 D. See critical note on 1255 b 26.
- 14. ἄσχολον καὶ ταπεινήν. Leisure was held to give self-confidence (c. 6. 1341 a 28 sqq.), and its absence to make men poorspirited, because it made them like slaves, who have no leisure (4 (7). 15. 1334 a 20). The epithets θητικός and ταπεινός are interchanged in Eth. Nic. 4. 8. 1125 a 1 sq.
- 15. ἔστι δὲ καὶ τῶν ἐλευθερίων ἐπιστημῶν κ.τ.λ., 'and as to some liberal sciences also, while it is not illiberal to study them up to a certain point, to devote oneself to the study of them in an overaccurate way is bound up with the injurious results already mentioned,' i.e. unfits the body for the pursuits of a soldier and citizen and makes the mind abject. For ἔνοχος in this sense see Liddell and Scott. For the view that there is something illiberal in too close a study of a subject compare the passage from the Erastae ascribed to Plato quoted on 1338 b 32. The study of music has an ill effect when carried too far (c. 6. 1340 b 40 sqq. and 1341 b 10 sqq.: compare Plut. Pericl. c. 1, δ δὲ Φλιππος πρὸς τὸν νίὸν ἐπιτερπῶς ἔν τινι πότω ψήλαντα καὶ τεχνικῶς εἶπεν, Οὐκ αἰσχύνη καλῶς οὖτω ψάλλων;), and also that of gymnastic (c. 4. 1338 b 32 sqq.). Socrates had said that the study of geometry, astronomy. arithmetic, and medicine should not be carried beyond a certain

point by the ordinary citizen (Xen. Mem. 4. 7), and Isocrates says the same thing of astronomy and geometry (De Antid. § 264: cp. [Demosth.] Erot. c. 44), and Plato of γράμματα (Laws 810 B). There were those who said this of philosophy (Plato, Gorg. 487 C), but Aristotle would hardly agree. The Cynics probably inherited the feeling of Socrates on this subject: see as to the Cynic Onesicritus vol. i. p. 112, note 1. Plato, on the other hand, had recommended in the case of a few the advanced study of arithmetic, geometry, and astronomy (Laws 818 A: cp. 967 D); it is not clear whether Aristotle would object to this. The term ελευθέριοι ἐπιστῆμαι in its Latin rendering 'liberales artes' had a long subsequent history (see Mr. H. Parker in Eng. Hist. Rev. vol. v. p. 417 sqq.). The Index Aristotleicus gives no other instance of its occurrence in Aristotle's writings.

17. ἔχει δὲ κ.τ.λ. This repeats with added details 4 (7). 14. 1333 a 6 sqq. Aristotle is preparing the way for his recommendation that boys shall be taught to sing and play: many regarded playing as χειρουργία (c. 6. 1340 b 20) and as fraught with βαναυσία (1340 b 40 sqq.: cp. Plato, Symp. 203 A). But the singing and playing which Aristotle enjoins will be αὐτῶν χάριν and δι' ἀρετήν (c. 6. 1341 b 8 sqq. and 1340 b 42).

19. το μεν γάρ αύτου χάριν ή φίλων ή δι' άρετην οὐκ ανελεύθερον. As to αὐτοῦ χάριν see note on 1277 b 5, and cp. Rhet. 3, 18, 1419 b 7 sqq., and Metaph. A. 2. 982 b 25, ωσπερ ανθρωπός φαμεν ελεύθερος δ αύτου ενεκα και μη άλλου ων, ουτω και αυτη μόνη ελευθέρα ουσα των έπιστημών μόνη γαρ αὐτή αὐτης ενεκέν έστιν. When Odysseus builds a ship (Hom. Odyss. 5. 243 sqq.), it is for himself. As to φίλων, cp. Plato, Laws 919 D, Μαγνήτων . . . μήτε κάπηλος έκων μηδ δκων μηδείς γιγνέσθω μήτ' έμπορος μήτε διακονίαν μηδ' ήντινα κεκτημένος ίδιώταις τοις μη έξ ίσου έαυτφ, πλην πατρί και μητρί και τοις έτι τούτων είς το άνω γένεσι καὶ πασι τοις αυτού πρεσβυτέροις, οσοι ελεύθεροι ελευθέρως, and Symp. 184 B-C: cp. also Eth. Nic. 4. 8. 1124 b 31, καὶ (μεγαλοψύχου) πρός άλλον μη δύνασθαι ζην άλλ' ή πρός φίλον. δουλικόν γάρ. See also the story told by Plutarch of Favonius and Pompey (quoted above on 1333 a 6). For δι' άρετήν, cp. c. 6. 1341 b 10 sqq. (which also illustrates & aλλους, 20), and Plato, Symp. 185 A sq.

20. As to αὐτὸ τοῦτο and as to the displacement of πολλάκις, which belongs to δόξειεν ἄν, see critical note, and cp. Plato, Rep. 358 D, περὶ γὰρ τίνος ἄν μάλλον πολλάκις τις νοῦν ἔχων χαίροι λέγων καὶ

ἀκούων; where πολλάκις belongs to λέγων καὶ ἀκούων. For the conjunction of θητικόν and δουλικόν, cp. Eth. Nic. 4. 8. 1125 a 1 sq.

- 21. αἰ μὰν οὖν καταβεβλημέναι νῦν μαθήσεις κ.τ.λ., 'the studies now commonly known and in use' (literally 'made public property'), 'as has been said before' (in c. 2. 1337 a 39), 'point in two directions,' i.e. they may be used in support of the view that useful subjects should be studied, or in support of the view that subjects tending to promote virtue should be studied (see note on 1337 a 39). For al καταβεβλημέναι νῦν μαθήσεις, cp. c. 3. 1338 a 36 and Plato, Soph. 232 D, τά γε μὴν περὶ πασῶν τε καὶ κατὰ μίαν ἐκάστην τέχνην, ἀ δεῖ πρὸς ἔκαστον αὐτὸν τὸν δημιουργὸν ἀντειπεῖν, δεδημοσιωμένα που καταβέβληται γεγραμμένα τῷ βουλομένω μαθεῖν ('publice deposita sunt,' Stallbaum, who adds 'verbum καταβάλλειν proprie dicitur de iis quae deponuntur in tabulario publico, veluti leges, testimonia, alia monumenta litteris consignata'). For ἐπαμφοτερίζουσιν, see note on 1332 a 42.
- 28. ἔστι δὲ τέτταρα σχεδὸν κ.τ.λ. 'Usually three, γραφική being omitted,' as Eaton remarks, who refers to Plato, Protag. 325 D-226 C (where children are described as going successively to teachers of γράμματα, teachers of harp-playing, and παιδοτρίβαι), and Theag. 122 E. We see from Protag. 325 E sqq. that in learning γράμματα children learnt passages of epic poetry by heart, and that in learning harp-playing they learnt to sing to the harp passages of lyrical poetry, so that the study of poetry entered into the study both of γράμματα and of harp-playing. That the study of γράμματα included learning to write, we see from Laws 810 B. It is remarkable that arithmetic is not mentioned: Sus.4 takes the elements of arithmetic to be included under γράμματα, but does not give any passage in support of this view. According to Blumner (Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans., p. 111), arithmetical instruction at Athens was given at home, not at school; this may possibly be the reason why nothing is said about it.
 - 24. καὶ τέταρτον ἔνιοι γραφικήν. Plato had learnt drawing (Diog. Laert. 3. 5) long before Pamphilus of Amphipolis (the teacher of Apelles, who was a contemporary of Philip and Alexander) had made the study fashionable first at Sicyon and then throughout Greece (Plin. Nat. Hist. 35. 76 sq.: see Overbeck, Ant. Schriftquellen, p. 330, and Brunn, Gesch. der griech. Künstler 2. 134 sqq.). Γραφική probably includes painting as well as drawing. Aristotle says nothing of sculpture.

25. τὴν μὲν γραμματικὴν κ.τ.λ. Charondas had insisted on the many uses served by γραμματική: cp. Diod. 12. 13. 1, τὴν γὰρ γραμματικὴν παρὰ τὰς ἄλλας μαθήσεις προέκρινεν ὁ νομοθέτης, καὶ μάλα προσηκόντως διὰ γὰρ ταύτης τὰ πλεῖυτα καὶ χρησιμώτατα τῶν πρὸς τὸν βίον ἐπιτελεῖσθαι, ψήφους, ἐπιστολάς, διαθήκας, νύμους, τάλλα τὰ τὸν βίον μάλιστα ἐπανορθοῦντα, and Eurip. Fragm. 582, which is so similar in effect to the passage of Diodorus that one is inclined to ask whether Euripides had the words of Charondas before him. Cp. also 1338 a 15 sqq.

26. τὴν δὲ γυμναστικὴν κ.τ.λ. So thought the Lacedaemonians (c. 4. 1338 b 11 sqq.), and also Aristippus (Diog. Laert. 2. 91).

27. την δε μουσικήν ήδη διαπορήσειεν αν τις, i. e. as to the object with which it is taught. Here διαπορείν takes an acc. of the thing which causes perplexity, as ἀπορείν does in Meteor. 1. 1. 339 a 2, ἐν οἶς τὰ μὲν ἀποροῦμεν, τῶν δ ἐφαπτόμεθά τινα τρόπον. That Plato gives a wider meaning to μουσική than Aristotle does, we have seen in vol. i. p. 405. Both agree that μουσική is concerned with μελοποιία (c. 7. 1341 b 23 sqq.: Gorg. 449 D), but while to Plato (Rep. 398 D) a μέλος consists of λόγος ἀρμονία and ἐνθμός, Aristotle distinguishes μελοποιία and λέξις (Poet. 6. 1449 b 33 sqq., 1450 a 13 sqq.).

28. ὡς ἡδονῆς χάριν, sc. οὔσης: cp. 1338 a 13, ὡς ἀναγκαίας καὶ χάριν ἄλλων (sc. οὔσας). For the fact cp. Plato, Laws 655 C, καίτοι λέγουσί γε οἱ πλεῖστοι μουσικῆς ὀρθότητα εἶναι τὴν ἡδονὴν ταῖς ψυχαῖς πορίζουσαν δύναμιν, and Tim. 47 D, ἡ δὲ ἀρμονία . . . τῷ μετὰ νοῦ προσχρωμένω Μούσαις οὐκ ἐφ' ἡδονὴν ἄλογον, καθάπερ νῦν, εἶναι δοκεῖ χρήσιμος κ.τ.λ.

29. μετέχουσιν αὐτῆς, 'learn it,' cp. c. 2. 1337 b 6 sqq., and see note on 1339 a 14.

οί εξ άρχης, cp. 1338 a 14, οί πρότερον, and Probl. 30. 11. 956 b
16, διὰ τί οἱ εξ άρχης της μεν κατὰ τὸ σῶμα άγωνίας ἄθλόν τι προϋταξαν,
σοφίας δε οὐδεν εθηκαν;

etafar er maibeia, cp. 1338 2 14, els maibelar étafar.

80. την φύσιν αὐτην ζητείν κ.τ.λ. For the phrase cp. Hist. An. 9. 12. 615 a 25, ή γὰρ φύσιε αὐτη ζητεί τὸ πρόσφορον, and Eth. Nic. 8. 6. 1157 b 16. Aristotle has not said before that Nature aims at this, but he has implied it in 2. 9. 1271 a 41 sqq. and 4 (7). 14. 1334 a 2 sqq., passages in which he points out the disastrous consequences to the Lacedaemonian State of a forgetfulness of this.

- 31. For the place of δύνασθαι cp. c. 5. 1339 b 1, and see note on 1281 a 26.
- 32. αὖτη γὰρ ἀρχὴ πάντων, Lamb. 'hoc enim omnium rerum agendarum principium est.' With Sus. I take Aristotle to refer in αὖτη to σχολάζειν δύνασθαι καλῶς, not to Nature (as Vict., Schn., and others). For the attraction of the pronoun into the gender of the predicate, cp. (with Sus. 4) 4 (7). 7. 1327 b 41. For the phrase, cp. Plato, Phaedr. 237 B, περὶ παντός, ὁ παῖ, μία ἀρχὴ τοῖς μελλουσι καλῶς βουλεύεσθαι εἰδέναι δεῖ περὶ οὖ ἀν ἢ ἡ βουλή, ἡ παντὸς ἀμαρτάνειν ἀνάγκη.

πάλιν, for the lesson has already been taught in 4 (7). 14. 1334 a 2 sqq.

- 33. εἶ γὰρ ἄμφω μἐν δεῖ κ.τ.λ. Γάρ introduces a justification of τνα καὶ πάλιν εἴπωμεν περὶ αὐτῆς. With δεῖ supply ἔχειν. For the thought cp. 4 (7). 15. 1334 a 16 sqq. The answer which is gradually given to the question in what activities leisure should be spent is, as we shall see, 'in activities desirable for their own sake.'
- 34. καὶ τέλος, 'and is its end': cp. 4. (7). 15. 1334 a 14 sqq. Mr. Welldon has anticipated me in retaining τέλος and placing a comma after it.
- 35. οὐ γὰρ δὴ παίζοντας, 'for surely not in playing.' Cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 6. 1176 b 27 sqq. Aristotle probably has before him Plato, Laws 803 D, τίς οὖν ὀρθότης; παίζοντα ἐστὶ διαβιωτέον; τίνας δὴ παιδιάς; θύοντα καὶ ἄδοντα καὶ ὀρχούμενον.
- τέλος γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for then, [as leisure is the end of life,] play would necessarily be to us the end of life.' Sus. would read in place of ἀναγκαῖον either ἀν ἀναγκαῖον (Schn. had proposed ἀν ἢν ἀναγκαῖον, vol. ii. p. 452) or ἀναγκαῖον ἢν (with Spengel), but perhaps ἀν εῖη may be supplied with ἀναγκαῖον: cp. Xen. Oecon. 3. 13 and 4. 15. It seems to me more natural to supply ἀν εῖη than ἐστι. Many made play the end of life: cp. c. 5. 1339 b 31 sqq., and Ephor. Fragm. 82 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 259), "Εφορος ἐν πέμπτφ φησὶν ὅτι Τιβαρηνοὶ καὶ τὸ παίζειν καὶ τὸ γελῶν εἰσιν ἐζηλωκότες καὶ μεγίστην εὐδαιμονίαν τοῦτο νομίζουσιν. A graffito on a pavement-slab of the forum of Thannyas or Timegad in Algeria runs 'venari lavari ludere ridere—oc est vivere' (Prof. Sayce, Algerian Notes, Academy, No. 780, April 16, 1887, p. 279).
- 38. ή δε παιδιά κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Phileb. 30 E, ανάπαυλα γάρ, δ Πρώταρχε, της οπουδής γίγνεται ενίστε ή παιδιά.

39. τὸ δ' ἀσχολεῖν συμβαίνει μετὰ πόνου καὶ συντονίας. Cp. Rhet.
1.11.13702 11, τὰς δ' ἐπιμελείας καὶ τὰς σπουδάς καὶ τὰς συντονίας λυπηράς.

41. καιροφυλακοῦντας την χρησιν. Pastime should be used in rais ασχολίαις, as a relief after toil (cp. 37).

ώς προσάγοντας φαρμακείας χάριν. A drug differs from an article of daily food, in that it is only for occasional use: cp Occon. 1. 5. 1344 b 10, προσθεωροῦντας ὅτι ἡ τροφὴ οὐ φάρμακον διὰ τὸ συνεχές, and Τορ. 2. 11. 115 b 26, πάλιν ποτὲ μεν συμφέρει φαρμακεύεσθαι, οἶον ὅταν νοσῷ, ἀπλῶς δ' οῦ. For the medical use of the word προσάγειν cp. Plut. De Adulatore et Amico, c. 28, δ δὲ παρρησίαν καὶ δηγμὸν ἀνθρώπω δυστυχοῦντι προσάγων, ὥσπερ ὀξυδορκικὸν ὅμματι ταρασσομένω καὶ φλεγμαίνοντι, θεραπεύει μὲν οὐδὲν οὐδὲ ἀφαιρεῖ τοῦ λυποῦντος, ὀργὴν δὲ τῷ λύπη προστίθησι καὶ παροξύνει τὸν ἀνιώμενον.

- 42. ἄνεσις γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for the movement of the soul to which we have referred' (that involved in play) 'is [remedial in character, for it is] a relaxation of strain and a remission because of the pleasure which accompanies it, [and only in place at times when there is strain].' For κίνησις τῆς ψυχῆς, cp. Rhet. 1. 11. 1369 b 33, ὑποκείσθω δ' ἡμῖν εἶναι τὴν ἡδονὴν κίνησίν τινα τῆς ψυχῆς κ.τ.λ. and Plato, Laws 896 E sq.
- 1. τὸ δὲ σχολάζειν κ.τ.λ., 'but taking leisure [unlike working] is 1338 a. thought to have in itself pleasure and happiness and blissful life, [so that it does not need to be helped out with play, and we should not spend leisure in play].'
- 3. τοῦτο δ' οὐ κ.τ.λ., 'and this' (i.e. happiness) 'does not belong to those who work, but [only] to those who are at leisure, for he who works works for the sake of some end as having it not, but happiness is an end, inasmuch as all think that it is conjoined not with pain but with pleasure, [and therefore, as he has not the end, he has not happiness].' That things conjoined with pleasure were commonly regarded as ends, we see from Rhet. 1. 7. 1364 b 23-25. Aristotle's object in adding this remark is to point out that not only does leisure bring happiness with it, but that work does not; he thus prepares the way for the distinction which he proceeds to draw in 11 sqq. between studies which are preparatory for work and studies which are preparatory for leisure, the former being, like work, a means to an end, and the latter, like leisure, desirable for their own sake and an end in themselves. Sus. reads rouro yup in place of rooto de, but in this Mr. Welldon does not follow him, and rightly, for room & ou k.r.l. does not contain the proof that leisure is thought to have in it pleasure and happiness, but an added

statement carrying matters further. For ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἀσχολῶν ἔνεκά τινος ἀσχολεῖ τέλους ὡς οὐχ ὑπάρχοντος, cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1177 b 17, αὖται δ' (i. e. αἱ πολιτικαὶ καὶ πολεμικαὶ πράξεις) ἄσχολοι καὶ τέλους τινὸς ἐφίενται καὶ οὐ δι' αὐτὰς αἰρεταί εἰσιν.

- 7. ταύτην μέντοι τὴν ἡδοιὴν κ.τ.λ., 'but [here their agreement ceases, for] all do not find the pleasure which accompanies happiness in the same pleasure.' Cp. Plato, Laws 658 E (quoted below on 1339 b 33), and Gorg. 448 C, ἐκάστων δὲ τούτων μεταλαμβάνουσιν ἄλλοι ἄλλων ἄλλων, τῶν δὲ ἀρίστων οἱ ἄριστοι.
- 8. For καθ' ξαυτούς ἔκαστος καὶ τὴν ἔξιν τὴν αὐτῶν, where we expect ἐαυτόν and αὐτοῦ, cp. Plato, Gorg. 503 Ε, ὥσπερ καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι πάντες δημιουργοὶ βλέποντες πρὸς τὸ αὐτῶν ἔργον ἔκαστος οὐκ εἰκῆ ἐκλεγόμενος προσφέρει δι προσφέρει πρὸς τὸ ἔργον τὸ αὐτοῦ κ.τ.λ.
- 9. Tote parepor k.t.l., 'and so, [as leisure is the end], it is evident, etc. Kai $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \vec{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} \sigma \chi o \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, with a view to leisure spent in noble enjoyment also,' as well as with a view to work. For την έν τη διαγωγή σχολήν, cp. c. 7. 1342 a 31, της έν φιλοσοφία διατριβής. It is obviously strange that we should have την έν τη διαγωγή σχολήν here and την έν τη σχολή διαγωγήν in 21, and it is possible that $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu = \epsilon \nu + \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} \sigma \chi \circ \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ is a simple blunder, and that we should read την έν τη σχολή διαγωγήν (with Cor.) in place of it. But Sus., following Prof. Postgate (Notes, p. 15), leaves the text as it stands, and I incline on the whole to do so too, though Bonitz adds a query to the words (Ind. 741 a 40) and Jackson would omit σχολήν as an interpolation and understand ήδουήν (Sus. ad loc.). For looking to 1337 b 31, σχολάζειν δύνασθαι καλώς, and 1338 a 1, τὸ σχολάζειν (cp. 4 (7). 14. 1334 a 9), we expect that the conclusion drawn in 1338 a 9 sqq. will be that it is well to study with a view to taking leisure, or taking leisure nobly, and rhu έν τη διαγωγή σχολήν, 'leisure spent nobly in diagogê,' comes nearer to this than την έν τη σχολή διαγωγήν. Not leisure spent anyhow, but leisure spent in diagogê is the end with a view to which Aristotle claims that study should be especially pursued. The words τὰς δὲ πρὸς τὴν ἀσχολίαν (12) also, as Postgate points out, require πρὸς τὴν σχολήν, and not προς την διαγωγήν, as their antithesis. For μανθάνειν άττα καὶ παιδεύεσθαι, cp. Theophil. Κιθαρφδός Fragm. (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 628),

μέγας

θησαυρός έστι καὶ βέβαιος μουσική ἄπασι τοῖς μαθοῦσι παιδειθεῖσί τε.

Μανθάνειν is to learn, παιδεύεσθαι to be trained by another.

- 11. For the repetition of the pronoun in ταῦτα . . . ταύτας see note on 1317 b 5.
- 12. τὰς δὲ πρὸς τὴν ἀσχολίαν κτλ, 'and that studies preparatory for work are pursued as necessary and as being for the sake of other things.'
- 13. 8.6, 'hence,' i.e. because it is right that studies which contribute to the enjoyment of leisure should find a place in education.
 - 15. ώσπερ τὰ γράμματα κ τ.λ See note on 1337 b 25.
- 16. καὶ πρὸς μάθησιν, 'and for the acquisition of knowledge'. cp. 39 sq. and Isocr. Panath. § 209, ῶστ' οίδε γραμματα μανθάνουσιν. ἃ τηλικαύτην ἔχει δύναμιν ὧστε τοὺς ἐπισταμένους καὶ χρωμενους αὐτοῖς μὴ μόνον ἐμπείρους γίγνεσθαι τῶν ἐπὶ τῆς ἡλικίαι τῆς αὐτῶν πραχθέντων ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν πώποτε γενομένων.
- 17. δοκεί δὲ κτ.λ. Learning to draw was evidently held by many to make men skilful in the purchase of works of art, furniture, and equipments of all kinds (1338 a 40 sqq.)
- 19. πρὸς ὑγίειαν καὶ ἀλκήν, 'for health and prowess in battle' Not every one would agree with Aristotle that learning music does not produce military prowess in the learner cp. Plut Lycurg c. 21, μουσικωτάτους γὰρ ἄμα καὶ πολεμικωτάτους ἀποφαίνουσιν αὐτοὺς κ.τ.λ.: Athen. Deipn. 626 f, τὸ δ' ἀρχαίον ἡ μουσικὴ ἐπ' ἀνδρείαν προτροπὴ ἦν κ.τ.λ.: Plut De Musica c. 26. And if the study of music does not produce health, listening to music was thought by Theophrastus to cure some diseases (Athen Deipn. 624 a), indeed, a plague was thought to have been stayed at one time at Sparta by the Cretan musician Thaletas (Plut De Mus c. 42)
- 21. λείπεται τοίνυν κτλ., 'it remains therefore that music is useful for rational enjoyment in leisure.' Aristotle has shown that the study of music is not useful for purposes connected with work, like learning to read and write and to draw, nor productive of bodily advantages useful for work, like gymnastic; hence he concludes that it is useful for leisure. He omits to inquire at present whether it is not productive of moral and intellectual virtues useful for work, we shall find later on that it is (c 5 1340 a 18 sqq.). This somewhat invalidates the conclusion which he arrives at here.
- 22. εἰς ὅπερ κ τ.λ., 'into which they do in fact evidently introduce it.' Καὶ φαίνονται, i.e not only may be inferred to introduce it, but manifestly do so: cp. καὶ συμβαίνειν in 2. 3. 1262 a 18 sq.

For ὅπερ, not ὅνπερ, see Bon. Ind. 484 b 5, where Hist. An. 2. 17. 508 b 13, ἀναδίπλωσιν ἔχει, δ ἀναλύεται εἰς ἕν, is referred to, and Vahlen on Poet. 3. 1448 a 24 ('Aristotelem nemo nescit usum neutrius valde adamasse') and 4. 1449 a 7. Aristotle takes no notice of the use of music in the worship of the gods.

 $\hat{\eta}_{\nu}$ γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for they give it a place in that which they think is the form of rational enjoyment appropriate to the free' (i. e. feasting), and therefore appropriate to those who are at leisure, for leisure belongs to freemen: cp. 4 (7). 15. 1334 a 20, οὐ σχολή δούλοις. Aristotle would hardly agree with their view that banqueting is $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$ $\tau_{\hat{\eta}}$ σ_{χ} σ_{χ} σ_{χ} σ_{χ} σ_{χ} (see note on 1333 a 35). In c. 5. 1339 a 16 sqq. he treats conviviality (μ $\dot{\epsilon}$ θ_{η}) as a means of relaxation, not as $\dot{\sigma}$ σ_{χ} σ_{χ}

24. διόπερ "Ομηρος κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has before him Hom. Odyss. 17. 382,

τίς γὰρ δὴ ξεῖνον καλεῖ ἄλλοθεν αὐτὸς ἐπελθὼν ἄλλον γ', εἰ μὴ τῶν οἱ δημιοεργοὶ ἔασι, μάντιν ἢ ἰητῆρα κακῶν ἢ τέκτονα ζούρων, ἢ καὶ θέσπιν ἀοιδόν, δ κεν τέρπησιν ἀείδων;

but the line first quoted by him, ἀλλ' οἶον κ.τ.λ., finds no place in our text, any more than it does, as Sus. points out, in Plato, Rep. 389 D. 'Λείδων also takes the place of ἄπαντας in our texts (Sus., Note 997). Probably we should read μόνον in place of μέν in ἀλλ' οἶον κ.τ.λ. I take Aristotle's text to have been—

τίς γὰρ δὴ ξεῖνον καλεῖ ἄλλοθεν αὐτὸς ἐπελθών, ἀλλ' οἶον μόνον ἔστι καλεῖν ἐπὶ δαῖτα θαλείην, μάντιν ἡ ἰητῆρα κακῶν ἡ τέκτονα δούρων, οἱ καλέουσιν ἀοιδόν, ὅ κεν τέρπησιν ἄπαντας;

It is just possible that of in 26 is a false reading for κal, but there is no absolute necessity for any change. Spengel, followed by Sus., reads obs καλοῦσιν or of καλοῦνται in place of of καλόουσιν, and regards these words as not forming part of the quotation, but the form of the word καλόουσιν seems to show that it is quoted from Homer. As to the differences between our text of Homer and Aristotle's quotations, see note on 1285 a 12. For the use of music at banquets, cp. Hom. Odyss. 1. 152. Aristoxenus gave a fanciful reason for it, quite different to that given here (Plut. De Musica, c. 43: Aristox. Fragm. 91 in Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 291: cp. Plato, Tim. 47 D).

- 27. καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις δέ κ.τ.λ. Hom. Odyss. 9. 5 sqq. For (δ) Οδυσσεύς see critical note.
- 32. πότερον δε κ.τ.λ. This promise is not fulfilled in the Politics as we have it: see vol. ii. p. xxviii sq.
- 33. καὶ πῶς, 'and how they are to be studied': cp. c. 2. 1337 a 34 sq.
- **34.** For the needless addition of περί αὐτῶν, cp. περί αὐτῆε, c. 5. 1339 a 15.
- νῦν δὲ τοσοῦτον ήμεν είναι πρὸ όδοῦ γέγονεν. Two different views have been taken of the construction of this sentence. Some have regarded τοσούτον είναι πρό όδου as an accusative and infinitive dependent on vévorer, as in Luke 16. 22, evévero de amobaveir ron πτωχόν, and Acts 21. 1 and 22. 17 (referred to by Hermann ad Viger, p. 231 note, cp. p. 749); the translation will then be, 'but now it has happened that thus much profit has accrued to us.' Others have taken romovrov elvas together in the sense of 'to this extent at least, elvas being used as in such phrases as karà rouro elvas (Plato, Protag. 317 A, where Stallbaum renders 'quantum quidem ad hoc attinet': see his note and Ast, Lex. Platon. 1. 625). Göttling, who refers to Lobeck, Phryn. p. 275, Stahr in his edition of the Politics, and Sus.4 appear to understand the passage thus. The translation will then be, 'but now to this extent at least we have profited.' I should prefer the second of these two interpretations if eleat followed rosovror immediately without the interposition of huir. In support of the first interpretation it may be noted that in Plato, Rep. 397 B we have yiyverat hiyer (Richards), and in Xen. Oecon. 17. 3, γίγνεται δμονοείν (sc. πάντας τοὺς ἀνθρώπους): sec also Xen. Cyrop. 5. 2. 12 There is a further difference as to the meaning of προ δδοῦ, Sus.2 translating the sentence 'für jetzt steht uns vorläufig nur so viel fest,' and Welldon, 'at present however we have advanced so far as to see that,' etc., where 'vorlaufig' and 'advanced' seem to represent πρὸ όδοῦ. My own rendering has been suggested by the meaning assigned to the word by Liddell and Scott.
- 35. δτι καὶ παρὰ τῶν ἀρχαίων κ.τ.λ., 'that from the ancients also' (cp. 1337 b 29, of ἐξ ἀρχῆς) 'we have a testimony derived from the established studies [that there are subjects which should be taught the young not as necessary but as liberal and noble].' The ancients are regarded by Aristotle as the authors of the established curriculum.

37. τοῦτο, 'this fact,' i.e. that we have the testimony of the ancients to this effect.

ἔτι δὲ καὶ τῶν χρησίμων ὅτι κ.τ.λ. Supply again τοσοῦτον ἡμῖν εἶναι πρὸ ὁδοῦ γέγονεν. Οἶον τὴν τῶν γραμμάτων μάθησιν is added in illustration of τῶν χρησίμων τινά. Παιδεύεσθαι is middle, as in c. 2. 1337 a 35. Τὸ χρήσιμον πρὸς τὸν βίον is contrasted with τὸ πρὸς μάθησιν συντεῖνον: compare the contrast in Plato, Rep. 527 A between studies pursued πράξεως ἔνεκα and γνώσεως ἔνεκα. As to ἡ τῶν γραμμάτων μάθησις cp. Menand. Monost. 657,

διπλοῦν δρώσιν οἱ μαθόντες γράμματα.

40. δμοίως δὲ καὶ τὴν γραφικὴν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Rep. 525 B, ἐπὶ λογιστικὴν ἰέναι καὶ ἀνθάπτεσθαι αὐτῆς μὴ ἰδιωτικῶς, ἀλλ' ἔως ἀν ἐπὶ θέαν τῆς τῶν ἀριθμῶν φύσεως ἀφίκωνται τῆ νοήσει αὐτῆ, οὐκ ἀνῆς οὐδὲ πράσεως χάριν ὡς ἐμπόρους ἡ καπήλους μελετῶντας, ἀλλ' ἔνεκα πολέμου τε κ.τ.λ. For the contemptuous reference to σκεύη, cp. Plato, Rep. 428 C, οὐκ ἄρα διὰ τὴν ὑπὲρ τῶν ξυλίνων σκευῶν ἐπιστήμην βουλευομένην ὡς ἀν ἔχοι βέλτιστα, σοφὴ κλητέα πόλις. Buying σκεύη was work for women (Pollux 10. 18, γυναικείαν ἀγοράν, τὸν τόπον οὖ τὰ σκεύη καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα πιπράσκουσιν).

1338 b. 1. We expect ἀλλά or ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον in place of ἡ μᾶλλον, but ἡ μᾶλλον is substituted as less dogmatic, and partly also perhaps because ἀλλά has been used in the preceding line. "H' modeste affirmantis est' (Bon. Ind. 312 b 57 sqq.: cp. Trendelenburg on De An. 1. 1. 403 b 8). In 3. 1. 1275 a 25 and 7 (5). 6. 1305 b 28 ἢ takes the place of δί.

ποιεί θεωρητικόν, cp. c. 7. 1342 b 26, βακχευτικόν γὰρ ἢ γε μέθη ποιεί μᾶλλον. We expect θεωρητικούς rather than θεωρητικόν, but compare the change from the singular to the plural in c. 6. 1341 b 10–15 (ὁ πράττων, βαναύπους). Θεωρητικόν, 'a scientific observer' (Welldon).

τοῦ περὶ τὰ σώματα κάλλους. Cp. Plato, Symp. 210 B, τὸ ἐπὶ πᾶσι τοῖς σώμασι κάλλος, and Critias 112 E, οὖτοι μὲν οὖν δὴ . . . ἐπὶ πᾶσιν Εὐρώπην καὶ ᾿Ασίων κατά τε σωμάτων κάλλη καὶ κατὰ τὴν τῶν ψυχῶν παντοίαν ἀρετὴν ἐλλόγιμοί τε ἦσαν καὶ ὀνομαστότατοι πάντων τῶν τότε. A ristotle probably would not go so far as Diotima in Plato, Symp. 210 sq., as to the results of studying τὸ ἐπὶ πᾶσι τοῖς σώμασι κάλλος, but he apparently holds that the study of drawing helps to make men capable of diagogê. We note that he says nothing of landscape beauty, or of the use of drawing in cultivating a perception of it. In τὰ σώματα he no doubt refers mainly to the bodies of animals,

and especially of human beings (cp. τῶν σωμάτων in c. 4. 1338 b 11). As to Aristotle's value for beauty, cp. Lucian, De Saltat. c. 70, κάλλους δὲ προνοῶν καὶ τῆς ἐν τοῖς ὀρχήμασιν εὐμορφίας, τί ἄλλο ἡ τὸ τοῦ ᾿Αριστοτέλους ἐπαληθεύει, τὸ κάλλος ἐπαινοῦντος καὶ μέρος τρίτον ἡγουμένου τὰγαθοῦ καὶ τοῦτο εἶναι; (I do not notice that this dictum is included in Rose's collection of the Fragments of Aristotle, ed. 2, 1886.) For the phrase τοῦ περὶ τὰ σώματα κάλλους, cp. 4 (7). 5. 1326 b 34, τῆς περὶ τὴν οὐσίαν εὐπορίας, and 1327 a 8, τῆς περὶ ξύλα ῦλης, and see note in Sus. 6

- 8. τοῖς μεγαλοψύχοις καὶ τοῖς ἐλευθέροις. Cp. Plut. De Amicorum Multitudine, c. 6 sub fin., τοῖς ἐλευθέροις καὶ γενναίοις, and Isocr. Areop. § 43, τοὺς ἐλευθέρως τεθραμμένους καὶ μεγαλοφρονεῖν εἰθισμένους. These passages show that there is no occasion to change ἐλευθέροις into ἐλευθερίοις, as Sus. is half inclined to do. As to the μεγαλόψυχος, cp. (with Eaton and Congreve) Eth. Nic. 4. 8. 1125 a 11 sq.
 - 4. ἐπεὶ δὲ φανερόν κ.τ.λ. Cp. 4 (7). 15. 1334 b 8-28.
- 6. δήλον έκ τούτων κ.τ.λ. In beginning the study of γυμναστική and παιδοτριβική at seven, Aristotle follows with some variation in the track of Plato, Laws 794 C, προς δε τὰ μαθήματα τρέπεσθαι γρεών έκατέρους (after the completion of the sixth year), τοὺς μέν ἄρρενας ἐφ' ίππων διδασκάλους και τόξων και ακοντίων και σφενδονήσεως κ.τ.λ. In the Republic, on the other hand, μουσική seems to precede γυμναστική (403 C, μετά δή μουσικήν γυμναστική θρεπτέοι οι νεανίαι: see Stallbaum on Protag. 326 B). At Athens boys began their studies by learning to read and write (aet. 7-11); at about eleven they were sent to a harp-player to learn the harp; how early their gymnastic studies began is uncertain (Blümner, Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans. pp. 111-115). Aristotle postpones learning to read and write and learning to sing and play till puberty (c. 4. 1339 a 4 sq.) and puts the boys in charge of gymnastic trainers and παιδοτρίβαι from seven till puberty. Till puberty they are to receive no literary training. His scheme of training resembles the Lacedaemonian more than the Athenian, but it avoids imposing on boys the severe physical toil imposed on them at Sparta, and it gives up three years after puberty to the exclusive study of subjects other than gymnastic. We may be quite sure that no young Spartan was permitted to drop gymnastic for three years.
- 7. τούτων γάρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. 6 (4). 1. 1288 b 16 sqq., where it is implied that γυμναστική imparts a certain bodily έξει and that παιδοτριβική imparts ἐπιστήμην τῶν περὶ τὴν ἀγωνίαν. Cp. also Eth. Nic. 5.

15. 1138 a 31, εὐεκτικὸν δὲ ἐν γυμναστικῆ, and Isocr. De Antid. § 183, οἱ μὲν παιδοτρίβαι τὰ σχήματα τὰ πρὸς τὴν ἀγωνίαν εὐρημένα τοὺς φοιτῶντας διδάσκουσιν: also 'Αθ. Πολ. c. 42, χειρο[τονεί] δὲ (ὁ δῆμος) καὶ παιδυτρίβας αὐτοῖς δύο καὶ διδασκάλους [οῖ]τινες ὁπλομαχεῖν καὶ τοξεύειν καὶ ἀκοντίζειν κ[αὶ] καταπέλτην ἀφιέναι διδάσκουσιν, and Plato, Gorg. 456 E, τοὺς παιδοτρίβας καὶ τοὺς ἐν τοῖς ὅπλοις διδάσκουτας μάχεσθαι. But of course the παιδοτρίβης would teach boys of seven only easy accomplishments, such as shooting with the bow and throwing the dart. In Plato, Gorg. 451 E sq. and 452 B, however (cp. 504 A), the business of the παιδοτρίβης is said to be to produce physical beauty and strength.

- C. 4. 9. Noν μèν οδν κ.τ.λ. Μèν οδν has apparently nothing to answer to it in the sequel; the answering clause would have run, if it had not been suppressed, 'but we must take quite a different course.' Little is said by Aristotle in confutation of the first of the two errors here referred to, probably because it was generally felt to be an error, but the second is dealt with at some length, because the Lacedaemonian training still stood high in common opinion. Phocion, for instance, sent his son to Sparta to undergo the training (Plut. Phoc. c. 20). The late Mr. Mark Pattison notes in his copy of Stahr's edition of the Politics on 1338 b 9-19, 'Respicit hic locus ad Plat. Rep. libr. iii. et speciatim ad pag. 410 D.'
 - 10. αὶ μὲν ἀθλητικὴν ἔξιν ἐμποιοῦσι. The Thebans are referred to (vol. i. p. 357, note 2: cp. also Plut. Sympos. 2. 5. 2, ἀθισμοῖς τε χρῆσθαι καὶ περιτροπαῖς ἀλλήλων, ῷ δὴ μάλιστά φασιν ἐν Λεύκτροις τοὺς Σπαρτιάτας ὑπὸ τῶν ἡμετέρων παλαιστρικῶν ὅντων καταβιβασθῆναι), and also probably the Argives: cp. Aristophon, Ἰατρός (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 357),

δεί τιν' ἄρασθαι μέσον

τῶν παροινούντων, παλαιστὴν νόμισον ᾿Αργεῖόν μ' ὁρᾶν,

and see Meineke's note. The Cynic Diogenes agreed with Aristotle in objecting to this kind of training (Diog. Laert. 6. 30, ἔπειτα ἐν τῆ παλαίστρα οὐκ ἐπέτρεπε τῷ παιδοτρίβη ἀθλητικῶς ἄγειν (τοὺς παίδας τοῦ Ενιάδου), ἀλλ' αὐτοῦ ἐρυθήματος χάριν καὶ εὐεξίας). Cp. also Julian, Or. 1. 10 D sq. It is to the habit of body characteristic of athletes that Aristotle objects, not to the practice of athletic exercises; the Spartan training included the latter, for instance boxing (cp. Plato, Protag. 342 B sq.), but it did not produce ἡ ἀθλητικὴ ἔξις. In 4 (γ). 17. 1336 a 6 we have τὴν πολεμικὴν ἔξιν. 'Αθλητικήν, being placed before ἔξιν, is emphatic.

λωβώμεναι τά τε είδη καὶ τὴν αὖξησιν τῶν σωμάτων. Cp. De Gen. An. 4. 3. 768 b 29, οἶον ἐπὶ τῶν ἀθλητῶν συμβαίνει οιὰ τὴν πολυφαγίαν. διὰ πλῆθος γὰρ τροφῆς οὐ δυναμένης τῆς φύσεως κρατεῖν, ῶστ᾽ ἀνῶλογον αὕξειν καὶ διαμένειν όμοἰαν τὴν μορφήν, ἀλλοῖα γίνεται τὰ μέρη, καὶ σχεδὰν ἐνίοθ οὕτως ὥστε μηδὲν ἐοικέναι τῷ πρότερον, and Plutarch's language about Aratus, ἐπιφαίνεται δ᾽ ἀμέλει καὶ ταῖς εἰκόσιν ἀθλητική τις ἰδία, καὶ τὸ συνετὸν τοῦ προσώπου καὶ βασιλικὸν οὐ παντάπασιν ἀρνεῖται τὴν ἀδηφαγίαν καὶ τὸ σκαφεῖον (Arat. c. 3). The excessive labour exacted from athletes would also tell on their physical growth, no less than the excessive amount of food they took: cp. Isocr. Ad Demon. § 12, τὰ μὲν γὰρ σώματα τοῖς συμμέτροις πόνοις, ἡ δὲ ψυχὴ τοῖς σπουδαίοις λύγοις αὕξεσθαι πέφυκε.

12. θηριώδεις δ' ἀπεργάζονται τοῖς πόνοις, ὡς τοῦτο πρὸς ἀνδρίαν μάλιστα συμφέρον. Τοῦτο = τὸ θηριώδεις ἀπεργάζεσθαι τοῖς πόνοις. So Pericles says of the Spartans (Thuc. 2. 39. 2), καὶ ἐν ταῖς παιδείαις οἱ μὲν ἐπιπόνφ ἀσκήσει εὐθὺς νέοι ὅντες τὸ ἀνδρεῖον μετέρχονται, and Ephorus of the Cretans (Fragm. 64 Müller, ap. Strab. p. 480), πρὸς δὲ τὸ μὴ δειλίαν ἀλλ' ἀνδρείαν κρατεῖν, ἐκ παίδων ὅπλοις καὶ πόνοις συντρέφειν: cp. Eurip. Suppl. 858 Bothe (884 Dindorf),

αγρούς δε ναίων σκληρά τη φύσει διδούς Εχαιρε πρός τανδρείου.

Hippocrates shared the view that hard physical labour produces courage (De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 565 Kühn), καὶ ἀπὸ μὲν ἡσυχίης καὶ ῥαθυμίης ἡ δειλίη αῦξεται, ἀπὸ δὲ τῆς ταλαιπωρίης καὶ τῶν πόνων αὶ ἀνδρεῖαι, and p. 566, ἐνταῦθα εἰκὸς εἴδεα μεγάλα εἶναι καὶ πρὸς τὸ ταλαίπωρον καὶ τὸ ἀνδρεῖον εὖ πεφυκότα καὶ τό τε ἄγριον καὶ τὸ θηριῶδες αὶ τοιαῦται φύσιες οὐχ ῆκιστα ἔχουσιν. Ephorus regarded the Spartans as the reverse of θηριώδεις, for he says of Dercyllidas (Fragm. 130 Müller), ἢν γὰρ οὐδὲν ἐν τῷ τρόπῳ Λακωνικὸν οὐδὲ ἀπλοῦν ἔχων, ἀλλὰ πολὺ τὸ πανοῦργον καὶ τὸ θηριῶδες. Διὸ καὶ Σκύθον αὐτὸν οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι προσηγόρευον.

14. καίτοι κ.τ.λ. Here we have οῦτε taken up by οῦτε and in 16 by τε ('nay more'): see Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 536. 3 a, and note on 1272 b 19.

πολλάκις, in 2. 9. 1271 a 41-b6: 4 (7). 14. 1333 b 5 sqq., and 4 (7). 15. 1334 a 40 sqq.

15. πρὸς μίαν, sc. ταύτην (Ridgeway). 'The extraordinary position of μάλιστα is probably due to the position of μίαν' (Richards). The sentence, if completed, would run, οῦτε πρὸς μίαν ταύτην οῦτε πρὸς μάλιστα ταύτην. See Kühner, Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 452. 1 a.

16. τοῦτο, i.e. τὸ πρὸς ταύτην.

17. οὖτε γὰρ κ.τ.λ. It has not been noticed, so far as I am aware, that Aristotle here tacitly corrects a saying ascribed to Anacharsis in Diod. 9. 26. 3, ὁ δὲ Κροῦσος . . . ، ἢρώτησεν ᾿Ανάχαρσιν . . . τίνα νομίζει τῶν ὅντων ἀνδρειότατον ὁ δὲ τὰ ἀγριώτατα τῶν ζῷων ἔφησε, μόνα γὰρ προθύμως ἀποθνήσκειν ὑπὲρ τῆς ἐλευθερίας. Does Aeschylus refer to this view of Anacharsis in Suppl. 760,

άλλ' ἔστι φήμη τοὺς λύκους κρείσσους κυνών είναι. Βύβλου δε καρπός οὐ κρατεί στάχυν?

Plato had already said in Rep. 430 B, δοκεῖς γάρ μοι τὴν ὀρθὴν δόξαν περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν τούτων ἄνευ παιδείας γεγονυῖαν, τήν τε θηριώδη καὶ ἀνδραποδώδη, οὅτε πάνυ νόμιμον ἡγεῖσθαι, ἄλλο τέ τι ἢ ἀνδρείαν καλεῖν. Brave and formidable men, however, were commonly likened to wild animals (Deinon ap. Athen. Deipn. 633 d sq.: Plut. Aristid. c. 18).

19. τοις ήμερωτέροις καὶ λεοντώδεσιν ήθεσιν. Heracles is called by Homer θυμολέων in Il. 5. 639 and Odyss. 11. 267 (cp. Hymn. Homer. 15, εἰς Ἡρακλέα λεοντόθυμον, and see Liddell and Scott, s. ν. θυμολέων). Among the lower animals the dog is probably referred to: cp. Plato, Soph. 231 A, καὶ γὰρ κυνὶ λύκος, ἀγριώτατον ἡμερωτάτω. For the gentleness ascribed to the lion cp. Hist. An. 9. 44. 629 b 8, καὶ γὰρ ὁ λέων ἐν τῆ βρώσει μὲν χαλεπώτατός ἐστι, μὴ πεινῶν δὲ καὶ βεβρωκὸς πραότατος: Anal. Pr. 2. 27. 70 b 26, ὁ λέων ἀνδρείον καὶ μεταδοτικόν: and Hist. An. 1. 1. 488 b 16, τὰ δὲ ἐλευθέρια καὶ ἀνδρεία καὶ εὐγενῆ, οἶον λέων. Plato also has a favourable opinion of the lion (Rep. 589 B). Yet Homer says of Achilles (Il. 24. 41), λέων δ' ὡς ἄγρια οἶδεν.

The authorities followed by Pliny ascribed clemency to the lion (Nat. Hist. 8. 48, leoni tantum ex feris clementia in supplices: prostratis parcit et, ubi saevit, in viros potius quam in feminas fremit, in infantes non nisi magna fame).

πολλά δ' ἐστὶ κ.τ.λ. The sense is—and, so far from courage being the offspring of savageness, there are many very savage races which are wholly devoid of courage. The Achaei and Heniochi dwelt on the East coast of the Euxine. The Heniochi were believed to be an offshoot of the Lacedaemonians (Strabo, p. 496, Λάκωνας δὲ (οἰκίσαι) τὴν Ἡνιοχίαν, δυ ἡρχον Κρέκας καὶ ᾿Αμφίστρατος οἱ τῶν Διοσκούρων ἡνίοχοι, καὶ τοὺς Ἡνιόχους ἀπὸ τούτων εἰκὸς ὡνομάσθαι); there is therefore some appropriateness in the reference to them here in an argument directed against Lacedaemonian customs. The wild races on the Euxine are described as θηριώδεις in Eth.

- Nic. 7. 6. 1148 b 21 sqq. also. Cannibalism is a sign of συριότης (Ephor. Fragm. 76, τοὺς μὲν γὰρ εἶναι χαλεπούς, ὥστε καὶ ἀνθρωποφαγείν: Aristot. Hist. An. 2. 1. 501 b 1, ἄγριον καὶ ἀνθρωποφάγον).
- 22. τῶν ἡπειρωτικῶν ἐθνῶν, 'continental nations,' as distinguished from nations inhabiting islands (Xen. Hell. 6. 1. 12, μὴ εἰς νησύδρια ἀποβλέποντας, ἀλλ' ἡπειρωτικὰ ἔθνη καρπουμένους). Asiatic nations are probably especially referred to: cp. Isocr. Paneg. § 187, εἰ τὸν μὲν πόλεμον τὸν νῦν ὅντα περὶ ἡμᾶς πρὸς τοὺς ἡπειρώτας ποιησαίμεθα, τὴν δ' εὐδαιμονίαν τὴν ἐκ τῆς 'Ασίας εἰς τὴν Εὐρώπην διακομίσαιμεν, and I'hilip. § 119, where we read of Jason of Pherae, ἐποιεῖτο γὰρ τοὺς λόγους ὡς εἰς τὴν ἡπειρον διαβησόμενος καὶ βασιλεῖ πολεμήσων. Continental races were perhaps regarded as wilder than island races; they were less in the way of intercourse with others.
- 23. ληστρικά. Aristotle will not allow that these nations are πολεμικά: he slips in the word ληστρικά instead: cp. Demosth. Phil. 1. 23, ἀλλὰ ληστεύειν ἀνάγκη καὶ τούτφ τῷ τρόπφ τοῦ πολέμου χρῆσθαι τὴν πρώτην, and Strabo, p. 833, where we read of Masinissa, ἀντὶ τοῦ ληστεύειν διδάξας (τοὺς νομάδας) στρατεύειν. In Strabo, p. 508, certain ἔθνη are described as ληστρικὰ καὶ μάχιμα.

έστιν-μετειλήφασιν. For the use in the same passage of a singular and a plural verb after a neut. plur. nominative see Bon. Ind. 490 a 56 sqq.

- 24. ἔτι δ' αὐτοὺς τοὺς Λάκωνας κ.τ.λ. The sense is—besides, we need not go so far afield as to the races of the Euxine to prove that the Lacedaemonian system of gymnastic training is not the true means of producing courage, for the experience of the Lacedaemonian State has proved this.
- 25. ἔως μὲν αὐτοὶ κ.τ.λ. Αὐτοί, 'alone': see notes on 1252 a 14 and 1278 b 24, and cp. De Gen. An. 2. 8. 748 b 5. That the Spartans were thought to be φιλόπονοι, we see from Isocr. Archid. § 56, where Archidamus says, ὁ δὲ πάντων σχετλιώτατον, εὶ φιλοπονώτατοι δοκοῦντες εἶναι τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἡηθυμότερον τῶν ἄλλων βουλευσόμεθα περὶτούτων.
- 26. νῦν δὲ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle no doubt has before him in his reference to athletic contests the story told of Epaminondas by Plutarch, Pelop. c. 7, Ἐπαμεινώνδας δὲ τοὺς νέους πάλαι φρονήματος ἢν ἐμπεπληκώς ἐκέλευε γὰρ ἐν τοῦς γυμνασίοις ἐπιλαμβάνεσθαι τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων καὶ παλαίειν, εἶτα ὁρῶν ἐπὶ τῷ κρατεῖν καὶ περιείναι γαυρουμένους ἐπέπληττεν, ὡς αἰσχύνεσθαι μᾶλλον αὐτοῖς προσῆκον, εἰ δουλεύουσι δι ἀνανδρίαν ὧν τοσοῦτον ταῖς ῥώμαις διαφέρουσιν. As to the superiority of the Thebans in battle, cp. Diod. 15. 87. 1. For the absence of ἐν

before τοις γυμνικοις αγώσι και τοις πολεμικοις, cp. 'Αθ. Πολ. c. 33, ήττηθέντες τῆ περι Έρετρίαν ναυμαχία, and c. 34. l. 4.

- 27. οδ γλρ κ.τ.λ. Lord Macaulay says the same thing in his note, History of England, c. 23 (Cabinet Edition, vol. viii. p. 13), though he does not refer to the Politics. That at Athens there was no public training for war, we see from Xen. Mem. 3. 12. 5. Τῷ μόνον μὴ πρὸς ἀσκοῦντας ἀσκεῖν = τῷ μόνον πρὸς μη ἀσκοῦντας ἀσκεῖν, according to Bonitz (Ind. 539 a 42), who remarks, 'interdum negatio universo enunciato vel enunciati membro praeponitur, cum pertineat ad unum quoddam eius vocabulum,' and gives many other instances.
 - 28. For τον τρόπον τοῦτον see note on 1281 a 21.
- 30. οδδὲ γὰρ λύκος κ.τ.λ. See vol. i. p. 357, note 3, and cp. Eth. Eud. 3. 1. 1229 a 25, διὸ καὶ οἱ ἄγριοι θῆρες ἀνδρεῖοι δοκοῦσιν εἶναι, οὐκ ὅντες ὅταν γὰρ ἐκστῶσι, τοιοῦτοι εἰσίν, εἰ δὲ μή, ἀνώμαλοι, ιδσπερ οἱ θρασεῖς. It would seem from Plato, Laches 196 E sq. that everybody regarded wild animals as courageous (cp. Laws 963 E). Gryllus is made to argue to this effect in Plut. Gryllus, c. 4. 988 C sq.

οὐδὰ τῶν ἄλλων θηρίων. For the gen. see note on 1259 b 24.

- 31. ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον ἀνὴρ ἀγαθός. Cp. Plato, Laws 641 B, γενόμενοι δὲ τοιοῦτοι (i.e. ἄνδρες ἀγαθοί) τά τε ἄλλα πράττοιεν καλῶς, ἔτι δὲ κᾶν νικφεν τοὺς πολεμίους μαχόμενοι.
- 32. of 82 k.r.l., 'but those who throw boys too much into these hard physical exercises and leave them untrained in necessary things make them in truth [not good men, but] sordid, for they make them useful to political science only for one task, and for this, as our inquiry tells us' (cp. 27, λειπομένους έτέρων), 'less well than others do.' Cp. [Plato,] Erastae 136 A, καλῶς γέ μοι, ἔφη, & Σώκρατες, φαίνει υπολαμβάνειν τὰ περί τοῦ φιλοσόφου, ἀπεικάσας αὐτὸν τῷ πεντάθλω. έστι γάρ ατεχνώς τοιούτος οίος μή δουλεύειν μηδενί πράγματι μηδ' είς την ακρίβειαν μηδέν διαπεπονηκέναι, ώστε διά την τοῦ ένὸς τούτου έπιμέλειαν των άλλων απάντων απολελειφθαι, ώσπερ οἱ δημιουργοί, άλλα πάντων μετρίως εφήφθαι. Cp. also Plato, Laws 644 A, την δε είς χρήματα τείνουσαν (παιδείαν) ή τινα πρός ζοχύν ή και πρός άλλην τινά σοφίαν ήνευ νοῦ καὶ δίκης βάναυσόν τ' είναι καὶ ἀνελεύθερον καὶ οὐκ ἀξίαν τὸ παράπαν παιδείαν καλεῖσθαι. Ιη τῶν ἀναγκαίων ἀπαιδαγώγους ποιήσαντες Aristotle appears to imply that the Lacedaemonian State did not oblige the young Spartan to learn to read and write (see Blümner, Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans., p. 100 sq.). Has

he in his mind the language used by Archidamus (Thuc. 1. 84) not without reference to his own countrymen, πολύ τε διαφέρειν οὐ δεῖ νομίζειν ἄνθρωπον ἀνθρώπου, κράτιστον δὲ εἶναι ὅστις ἐν τοῖς ἀναγκαιστάτοις παιδεύεται? For els ταῦτα ἀνέντες cp. Hdt. 2. 165, ἀνέονται ἐς τὸ μάχιμον, and 167, τοὺς ἐς τὸν πόλεμον ἀνειμένους.

- 35. ὡς φησὶν ὁ λόγος, cp. Plato, Phaedr. 274 A, ὡς ὁ λόγος φησίν, and Soph. 259 C, ὡς οἱ νῦν λόγοι φασί. 'Formula ὁ λόγος σημαίνει apud Platonem creberrimi usus est' (see Stallbaum on Plato, Polit. 275 E). In Phys. 7. 4. 249 a 21 we have σημαίνει ὁ λόγος οὕτος: cp. also Pol. 3. 8. 1279 b 34, ἔοικε τοίνυν ὁ λόγος ποιεῖν δῆλον κ.τ.λ.
- 36. δεὶ δεὶ κ.τ.λ., 'and we ought to judge [whether they train them worse than others do]' etc. This remark may probably have reference to a reply of the Lacedaemonians to the Thebans, when the latter bade them fight or acknowledge their inferiority to the Thebans; the Lacedaemonians answered, περὶ μὰν τοῦ πότεροι βελτίους τὰς πράξεις κρίνειν τὰς ὑπὲρ τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐκατέροις πεπραγμένας κ.τ.λ. (Aristid. Or. in Platon. 4. ap. Phot. Biblioth. Cod. 248. 425 a 21 sqq. Bekker).
- 37. ἀνταγωνιστάς τῆς παιδείας, 'rivals in gymnastic education' (Sepulv. 'concertatores et aemulos disciplinae').
- 40. μέχρι μὲν γὰρ η̈βης κουφότερα γυμνάσια προσοιστέον κ.τ.λ. Aristotle would no doubt exclude at this age the pancration and the pentathlon, which were among the βαρύτερα δθλα (Aeschin. c. Ctes. c. 179: Paus. 6. 24. 1), and would probably desire that contests even in running and leaping should be made as little exacting as possible. In the Panathenaea at one time boys contended in the pentathlon, but later on this was dropped (Blümner, Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans., p. 373). See vol. i. p. 358, note 1, and cp. 8 (6). 7. 1321 a 24 sq. In Plut. De Gen. Socr. c. 26 sub fin. it is implied that ἀναγκαῖα δθλα are not suitable for a boy of fifteen.

την βίαιον τροφήν, 'the constrained diet of athletes': see Liddell and Scott s.v. ἀναγκοτροφέω, and cp. Hippocr. De Diaet. 1. vol. i. p. 664 Kühn, γυμνασίων των ἀπὸ βίης γινομένων.

- 41. τους πρός ἀνάγκην πόνους. Cp. Rhet. 1. 11. 1370 2 16, οὐδέν γὰρ πρός ἀνάγκην τούτων.
- 1. δύνανται, sc. ή βίαιος τροφή καὶ οἱ πρὸς ἀνάγκην πόνοι. If with 1889 a. P^{2.3.4} Bekk. we read δύναται, we must supply τὰ ἀναγκαῖα γυμνάσια (cp. 4).

- έν γὰρ τοῖς δλυμπιονίκαις κ.τ.λ. Aristotle would seem to have had a list of Olympic victors before him, and possibly not merely the list inscribed on stone at Olympia, but a list in the form of a book. 'With the year B.C. 776 began the list of Olympic victors used by the Alexandrian writers on chronology. A list of this kind was first published by the sophist Hippias of Elis, a contemporary of Socrates (Plut. Numa, c. 1: cp. Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 61 and Zeller, Gr. Ph. 1. 058. 1). The list was later dealt with by Aristotle and others' (Busolt, Gr. Gesch., ed. 2, 1. 585). See on this subject Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 109. 1 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., 1. 104. 1): V. Rose, Aristoteles Pseudepigraphus, p. 545 sqq.: Heitz, Die verlorenen Schriften des Aristoteles, p. 254. Milo of Crotona was one of the exceptions; he won in the wrestling-match for boys at Olympia, and also won in the wrestling-match for men at six Olympic festivals between B.c. 532 and 512 (Holm, Gr. Gesch. 1. 439). In Herondas 1. 50 sqq. Gryllus, the hero of the piece, is said to have achieved almost as much.
- δύναμιν. Cp. Eth. Nic. 2. 2. 1104 a
 τά τε γὰρ ὑπερβάλλοντα γυμνάσια καὶ τὰ ἐλλείποντα φθείρει τὴν ἰσχύν.
- 4. δταν δ' ἀφ' ήβης κ.τ.λ. See vol. i. p. 358, note 2. The 'other studies' are reading and writing, music and drawing. Plato, on the other hand (Laws 809 E sqq.), allots three years (aet. 10-13) to reading and writing, and three more (aet. 13-16) to lessons on the harp. Aristotle evidently thinks it better to postpone these studies till after the attainment of puberty. His view is that mental work is not favourable to the body (1339 a 7 sqq.), and he desires that the important physical change involved in the attainment of puberty should have been safely and well achieved before any mental training begins. By #81 Aristotle evidently means (cp. 4 (7). 17. 1337 a 1, τῆ διαιρέσει τῆς φύσεως) not the attainment of the age at which youths arrived at \$181 in the eye of the law, but the advent of physical puberty, which seems to be placed in the fourteenth year in Hippocr. Coacae Praenotiones, vol. i. p. 321 Kühn. Aristotle appears to devote to the more exacting kind of gymnastic training all the years intervening between three years after puberty and twenty-one. He makes no provision for the military duties which occupied the young Athenian during his nineteenth and twentieth years (see note on

1336 b 37). We do not learn when the youth of Aristotle's 'best State' were to begin their military training, but they would not do so apparently till after twenty-one. Plato in the Laws (833 D, 834 A) abolishes the heavier kind of gymnastic contests at festivals, such as wrestling and the pancration, but this is perhaps in part because he is legislating for Cretans.

7. This rule does not seem to be observed among ourselves. Much hard work is done on the river and in the football-field by youths who are preparing for difficult examinations. In a lecture before the Sanitary Congress at Brighton in 1890 the late Sir B. Richardson pointed out that in those occupations in which 'mental and bodily work was combined, the strain was most intense, and that those sorts of work should never be carried into weariness' (Times, Sept. 1. 1890).

12. καὶ πρότερον, in c. 3. 1337 b 27 sqq.

C. 5.

13. For ἐνδόσιμον see Bon. Ind. s. v., where the passage before us is grouped with Rhet. 3. 14. 1415 a 5 sqq., in which passage ἐνδόσιμον = προοίμιον.

14. οὖτε γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Μετέχειν αὐτῆς, 16, is a vague expression, but probably means 'study it' (cp. παιδεύειν, 27, and see note on 1337 b 29). This question must be settled in order that we may ascertain how music is to be studied, for if it is to be studied for the sake of recreation, the tunes and rhythms to be practised by the pupil will be quite other than those which will be practised by him if it is to be studied for the sake of virtue or intellectual enjoyment. A classification of studies into al ἡθοποιοί, al πρὸς ἡδονήν τινα καὶ χάριν ἐλευθέριον σπουδαζόμεναι, and al εἰς σύνεσιν ἡ πρᾶξιν λεγόμεναι is implied in Plut. Themist. c. 2—a classification which recalls to some extent that in the passage before us. Compare also the witticism of Dorion, a musician and bon vivant of Aristotle's day, about a kind of lobster, τοὺς δὲ καράβους ἔφη τρία ἔχειν, διατριβήν καὶ εὐωχίαν καὶ θεωρίαν (Athen. Deipn. 337 e).

17. καθάπερ υπνου καὶ μέθης. As to sleep, cp. Rhet. 1. 11. 1370 a 11, τὰς δ' ἐπιμελείας καὶ τὰς σπουδὰς καὶ τὰς συντονίας λυπηράς . . . τὰ δ' ἐναντία ἡδέα' διὸ αὶ ράθυμίαι καὶ αὶ ἀπονίαι καὶ αὶ ἀμίλειαι καὶ αὶ παιδιαὶ καὶ αὶ ἀναπαύσεις καὶ ὁ ὕπνος τῶν ἡδέων, and De Somno et Vigilia 2. 455 b 20 sqq.

ταῦτα γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for these things are not in themselves connected with virtue, but are pleasurable and at the same time " bid care to

cease," as Euripides says, [and therefore they are used with a view to relaxation].' For τῶν σπουδαίων, cp. Theogn. 115,

πολλοί τοι πόσιος καὶ βρώσιός εἰσιν έταῖροι, ἐν δὲ σπουδαίφ πρήγματι παυρότεροι,

and Plato, Symp. 181 A, οδον δ νῦν ἡμεῖς ποιοῦμεν, ἡ πίνειν ἡ ἄδειν ἡ διαλέγεσθαι, οὐκ ἔστι τούτων αὐτὸ καθ αὐτὸ καλὸν οὐδέν, ἀλλ' ἐν τῆ πράξει, ὡς ἃν πραχθῆ, τοιοῦτον ἀπέβη, and see vol. i. p. 359, note 2. For οὔτε followed by ἀλλά, cp. 7 (5). 8. 1308 b 11 sqq., Magn. Mor. 2. 6. 1203 b 10, 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 16. l. 8, and with Kaibel (Stil und Text der Πολιτεία 'Αθηναίων, p. 158), Rhet. 1. 1. 1355 b 7 sqq. and 2. 21. 1394 a 22, where Roemer reads οὐ μέντοι οὔτε περὶ κ.τ.λ. In Metaph. Θ. 3. 1046 b 33–36 οὧτε is taken up by ὁμοίως δέ (see Bonitz' note on the passage in his edition of the Metaphysics). Aristotle has Eurip. Bacch. 332 Bothe (377 Dindorf) before him, where we read of Bromius,

δς τάδ' έχει

θιασεύειν τε χοροῖς, μετά τ' αὐλοῦ γελάσαι ἀποπαῦσαί τε μερίμνας, ὁπόταν βότρυος ἔλθη γάνος ἐν δαιτὶ θεῶν, κισσοφόροις δ' ἐν θαλίαις ἀνδράσι κρατὴρ ὕπνον ἀμφιβάλλη.

Here, as he says in 19-21, υπνος μέθη μουσική and ὅρχησις are all grouped together. The same is the case in Hom. Il. 13. 636 (cp. Lucian, De Saltat. c. 23),

πάντων μὲν κόρος ἐστί, καὶ ὕπνου καὶ Φιλότητος μολπῆς τε γλυκερῆς καὶ ἀμύμονος ὀρχηθμοῖο,

and Odyss. 8. 248,

alεὶ δ' ἡμῖν δαίς τε φίλη κίθαρίς τε χοροί τε εῖματά τ' έξημοιβὰ λοετρά τε θερμὰ καὶ εὐναί. Cp. also Athen. Deipn. 40 a.

- 19. διδ καὶ τάττουσιν κ.τ.λ. Supply μετὰ πάντων τούτων from what follows. Cp. 1339 b 14, εὐλόγως δ' εἰς πάντα τάττεται καὶ φαίνεται μετέχειν (sc. πάντων), c. 7. 1342 a 14, where πάντας must be supplied with κουφίζεσθαι, 6 (4). 14. 1298 a 37, καὶ περὶ ων ὁ νόμος ἀπαγορεύει μὴ κινώσιν ἀλλ' ἀκολουθώσι (sc. τῷ νόμφ), and 8 (6). 4. 1319 a 31, where εἰς τὴν σύνοδον ταύτην is suppressed after ἀπαντώσιν.
- 21. τιθέασι δὲ καὶ τὴν ὅρχησιν ἐν τούτοις. This view implied that dancing is a cure for care. The sight of dancing must apparently be meant. Lucian says (De Saltat. c. 79), οὖτω δὲ θέλγει ὅρχησις, ὥστε . . . λύπη ἐχόμενός (τις) ἐξέρχεται τοῦ θεάτρου φαιδρότερος ὥσπερ τι φάρμακον ληθεδανὸν καὶ κατὰ τὸν ποιητὴν νηπενθές τε καὶ ἄχολον

πιών. He goes further in c. 81, and claims that it has a good ethical effect on the spectator.

† μάλλον οἰητέον πρὸς ἀρετήν τι τείνειν τὴν μουσικήν κ.τ.λ. This is answered in 1340 b 10 sqq. 'Αρετήν, 'moral virtue,' is represented by παιδείαν in c. 5. 1339 b 13 (cp. c. 7. 1341 b 38), for education is commonly connected by Aristotle with the production of moral virtue: cp. c. 7. 1342 a 2, πρὸς μὲν τὴν παιδείαν ταῖς ἡθικωτάταις (ἀρμονίαις χρηστέον).

26. φρόνησιν, 'intellectual culture': see Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 772. 3 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., 2. 309. 3), and Sus.², Note 1023 (Sus.⁴, 1. p. 585), who rightly defend the words καὶ πρὸς φρόνησιν against those who would expunge them or change φρόνησιν into εὐφροσύνην. That the study of music may contribute to intellectual virtue is implied in c. 6. 1341 b 6 sq., and that intellectual virtue is the ultimate end of education we see from 4 (7). 15. 1334 b 14 sqq. For the use of φρόνησις in this sense, see Bon. Ind. 831 b 4 sqq.

τρίτον τῶν εἰρημένων, 'third among the aims which have been enumerated': sec Vahlen on Poet. 3. 1448 a 19.

δτι μέν οὖν κ.τ.λ. Μὲν οὖν is answered by ἀλλὰ μήν, 29. Stallbaum on Plato, Protag. 326 A, compares Xen. Cyrop. 2. 2. 14, κλαύμασι μέν γε καὶ πατέρες υίοῖς σωφροσύνην μηχανῶνται καὶ διδάσκαλοι παισὶν ἀγαθὰ μαθήματα. Is Aristotle here tacitly correcting Plato, Laws 819 Β, πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ περὶ λογισμοὺς ἀτεχνῶς παισὶν ἐξευρημένα μαθήματα, μετὰ παιδιᾶς τε καὶ ἡδονῆς μανθάνειν, and 820 D, ταῦτα τοίνυν ἐγὼ μέν, ὧ Κλεινία, φημὶ τοὺς νέους δεῖν μανθάνειν καὶ γὰρ οὕτε βλαβερὰ οὕτε χαλεπά ἐστι, μετὰ δὲ παιδιᾶς ἄμα μανθανόμενα ἀφελήσει μέν, βλάψει δὲ ἡμῖν τὴν πόλιν οὐδέν ?

29. ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ διαγωγήν γε παισὶν κ.τ.λ. For ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ . . . γε, cp. 3. 13. 1284 b 30, ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ ἄρχειν γε τοῦ τοιούτου, and 4 (7). 11. 1331 a 7. Eucken (De Partic. Usu, p. 15) has anticipated me in comparing these passages.

30. οδδενὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Eud. 2. 1. 1219 b 7, οὐδεν γὰρ ἀτελεὶς εὕδαιμον· οὐ γὰρ ὅλον: Stob. Ecl. 2. 6. 12, καὶ τὸ ἐν βίφ δὲ τελείφ προσέθεσαν, ἐνδείξασθαι βουληθέντες ὅτι περὶ τοὺς ήδη προήκοντας ἄνδρας ἡ εὐδαιμονία γίγνεται· τὸ γὰρ μειράκιον ἀτελεὶς καὶ ὁ τούτου βίος, δι' δ οὐκ ἀν γενέσθαι περὶ τοῦτ' εὐδαιμονίαν. Cp. also Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 186, αἰξ οῦπω τέτοκεν, ἔριφος δ' ἐπὶ δώματι παίζει: ἐπὶ τῶν οῦπω τεχθέντων ἡ γεγονότων, ἀλλ' ἀτελῶν δντων καὶ τὰ τῶν τελείων θελόντων ποιεῖν.

- 31. ἀλλ' ἴσως κ.τ.λ. The sense is—but perhaps the toils of boyhood in learning to play may, notwithstanding what has been said, be for the sake of pastime, not indeed for the sake of pastime in youth, but for the sake of pastime in manhood. The Lacedae-monian Leotychidas, the first in the royal line to bear this name at Sparta, in answer to the question, Τί δεῖ μάλιστα μανθάνειν τοὺς ἐλευθέρους παῖδας; said, Ταῦτα, ὅσ' ἄν αὐτοὺς ἀφελήσειεν ἄνδρας γενομένους (Plut. Apophth. Lac. Leotych. 3), and Aristippus replied to a similar question, Οἶς ἄνδρες γενόμενοι χρήσονται (Diog. Laert. 2. 80).
- 33. ἀλλ' εἰ τοῦτ' ἐστὶ τοιοῦτον κ.τ.λ., i.e. εἰ ἡ τῶν παίδων σπουδή ἐστι παιδιᾶς χάριν ἀνδράσι γενομένοις καὶ τελειωθεῖσιν. The supposition is somewhat of a paradox: cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 6. 1176 b 32, σπουδάζειν δὲ καὶ πονεῖν παιδιᾶς χάριν ἡλίθιον φαίνεται καὶ λίαν παιδικόν παίζειν δ' ὅπως σπουδάζη, κατ' ᾿Ανάχαρσιν, ὀρθῶς ἔχειν δοκεῖ. The Persian kings had μουσουργοί attached to their court (Xen. Cyrop. 4. 6. 11). Compare Plut. Pericl. c. 1, ὁ δὲ Φίλιππος πρὸς τὸν νίὸν ἐπιτερπῶς ἔν τινι πότφ ψήλαντα καὶ τεχνικῶς εἶπεν, " Οὐκ αἰσχύνη καλῶς οὕτω ψάλλων;" ἀρκεῖ γάρ, ἄν βασιλεὺς ἀκροᾶσθαι ψαλλόντων σχολάζη, καὶ πολὺ νέμει ταῖς Μούσαις, ἐτέρων ἀγωνιζομένων τὰ τοιαῦτα θεατὴς γιγνόμενος. Αὐτὸ ποιούντων, i.e. χειρουργούντων, which is implied in μανθάνειν αὐτούς (cp. c. 7. 1342 a 3). So we have in Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1180 a 30, δρᾶν αὐτὸ δύνασθαι, and in Plato, Rep. 498 Α, ἄλλων τοῦτο πραττόντων.
- 38. δσον πρὸς μάθησιν μόνον. Cp. Plato, Laws 794 C, ἐὰν δέ πη ξυγχωρῶσι, μέχρι γε μαθήσεως καὶ τὰ θήλεα, and Protag. 312 B, τούτων γὰρ σὰ ἐκάστην οὐκ ἐπὶ τέχνη ἔμαθες, ὡς δημιουργὸς ἐσόμενος, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ παιδεία, ὡς τὸν ἱδιώτην καὶ τὸν ἐλεύθερον πρέπει, and Isocr. De Antid. § 264, ταῦτα δὲ τοὺς μὲν ἀπηκριβωμένους οὐδὲν ἄν εὐεργετήσειε, πλὴν τοὺς ἐντεῦθεν ζῆν προηρημένους, τοὺς δὲ μανθάνοντας ὀνίνησι.
- 39. τὰ τοιαῦτα, 'things such as have been mentioned,' but what things are meant? 'Things which will be a source of pleasure in after-years' (so Vict.), or 'things which afford pleasure' (Sus.4), or 'musical performances' (Welldon)? The question is not free from doubt, but I incline to the third interpretation, as ταῦτα in 42 seems to mean 'musical performances,' and not τὰ δυνάμενα τὰ ἤθη βελτίω ποιεῦν.

καὶ περὶ τὴν τῶν ὄψων πραγματείαν κ.τ.λ. Richards is probably right in adding τά before περί (see critical note), though we expect τὰ ὄψα with παρασκευάζειν rather than the cumbrous periphrasis τὰ τερὶ τὴν τῶν ὄψων πραγματείαν. If the reading of the MSS. is retained, ὅψα or some such word must be supplied with παρασκευάζειν. Bonitz

(Ind. s.v.) explains πραγματεία as 'rei alicuius tractatio via ac ratione instituta.' Παρασκευάζειν is especially used of cooks: cp. Plato Gorg. 518 B, οὖτοι θαυμάσιοι γεγόνασι σωμάτων θεραπευταί, ὁ μὲν ἄρτους θαυμαστοὺς παρασκευάζων, ὁ δὲ δψον, ὁ δὲ οὖνον - Cookery no less than music is a source of refreshment and pleasure to grown up men. But it was regarded by the Greeks as work for slaves (1.7.1255 b. 23–27: Plut. Lycurg. et Num. comp. c. 2, ἀλλὶ ἢν ἡ περὶ τὰ χοημαστα κατασκευὴ δεδομένη δούλοις καὶ Εῖλωσιν, ὥσπερ ἡ περὶ τὰ δείπνον καὶ δψοι διακονία: Pomp. c. 73, καὶ τὰ λοιπὸν ἐκ τούτου περιεπων καὶ δεραπεύων ὅσα δεσπότας δοῦλοι μεχρι νίψεως ποδών καὶ δείπνον παρασκευῆς) - Has Sextus Empiricus this passage of the Politics before him in Adv. Math. 6. 33, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο μή ποτε, δν τρόπον χωρὶς ἀψαρτυτικῆς καὶ οἰνογευστικῆς ἡδόμεθα ὄψου ἡ οἴνου γευσάμενοι, ὧδε καὶ χωρὶς μουσικῆς ἡσθείημεν ἄν τερπνοῦ μέλους ἀκούσαντες?

41. ἔχει, SC. ή μουσική.

42. ταῦτα, i.e musical performances.

- 1. δρθώς τε χαίρειν καὶ δύνασθαι κρίνειν, τ. ε. μανθάνειν δύνασθαι 1339 b δρθώς τε χαίρειν καὶ δρθώς κρίνειν, or in other words to learn to become better in character: cp 1340 a 15, τὴν δ΄ ἀρετὴν περὶ τὸ χαίρειν δρθώς καὶ φιλείν καὶ μισείν. For the place of δύνασθαι cp c. 3 1337 b 31, and see note on 1281 a 26.
- 2. ἐκεῖνοι γὰρ κτλ. Athenaeus may have this passage before him in Deipn. 628 b, Λακεδαιμόνιοι δ', εἰ μὲν ἐμάνθανον τὴν μουσικήν, οὐδὲν λέγουσιν ὅτι δὲ κρίνειν δύνανται καλῶς τὴν τέχνην ὁμολογείται παρὶ αὐτῶν, καί φασι τρὶς ἦδη σεσωκέναι διαφθειρομένην αἰτήν. The Spartans learnt to sing (Plut. Lycurg. c 21), and we read in c 6. 1341 i 33 of one Spartan at any rate who had learned to play on the αὐλός—indeed, the Peripatetic Chamaeleon, a pupil of Aristotle, asserted that at one time they commonly learnt to play on the αὐλός (Athen. Deipn. 184 d)—but it would seem that in Aristotle's day they did not commonly learn to play on any instrument.
- 7. οὐ γὰρ ὁ Ζεὺς κ.τ λ. It is Apollo, not Zeus, whom the poets represent as singing and playing on the harp (Eurip. Ion 827 Bothe, 905 Dindorf, σὺ δὲ κιθάρα κλάζεις παιᾶνος μέλπων). In the older poetic descriptions, however, according to Preller, Griech. Mythologie 1. 215. Apollo does not sing but only plays, while the Muses sing to his playing (e.g. in Hesiod, Scut. Herc. 201 sqq.). For τοῖς ποιηταῖς, see Kühner, Ausführl κτ Gramm., ed. 2, § 423 3, where Plato, Rep. 389 E, Ὁμήρφ, and Laws 706 D, αὐτφ, are compared. Vahlen (Beitr. zu Aristot Poet 4 417) compares Poet 18.

1456 a 25, τον χορον δεί . . . συναγωνίζεσθαι μή ωσπερ Ευριπίδη άλλ' ωσπερ Σοφοκλεί. Aristotle elsewhere uses the form αδειν: he may possibly use ἀείδειν here because he is quoting from a poet.

9. τοὺς τοιούτους, i.e. τοὺς ἀείδοντας καὶ κιθαρίζοντας. In Hom. Odyss. 17. 382 sqq. the ἀοιδός is counted among δημιουργοί. Compare Croesus' advice to Cyrus as to the Lydians (Hdt. 1. 155), πρόειπε δ' αὐτοῖσι κιθαρίζειν τε καὶ ψάλλειν καὶ καπηλεύειν παιδεύειν τοὺς παῖδας' καὶ ταχέως σφέας, ὡ βασιλεῦ, γυναῖκας ἀντ' ἀνδρῶν ὅψεαι γεγονότας, ὥστε οὐδὲν δεινοί τοι ἔσονται μὴ ἀποστέωσι.

καὶ τὸ πράττειν κ.τ.λ. Cp. Hom. Odyss. 14. 463,

οίνος γάρ ανώγει

ήλεός, δε τ' εφέηκε πολύφρονά περ μάλ' αξίσαι καί θ' απαλον γελάσαι καί τ' δρχήσασθαι ανήκεν, καί τι επος προέηκεν, δπερ τ' αρρητον αμεινον.

- 13. παιδείαν. See above on 1339 a 21. Παιδείαν corresponds to τὰ ήθη βελτίω ποιείν, 1339 a 41.
- 14. εἰς πάντα τάττεται. Cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 9. 1099 b 7, ὅθεν εἰς ταὐτὸ τάττουσιν ἔνιοι τὴν εὐτυχίαν τῷ εὐδαιμονία.
 - 15. μετέχειν, sc. πάντων. See above on 1339 a 19.

ή τε γάρ παιδιά κ.τ.λ., 'for pastime [must be pleasurable, since it] is for the sake of relaxation and relaxation must be pleasurable, for it is a cure for the pain which is produced by toil, [and things are cured by their contraries].' For the famous principle that things are cured by their contraries, cp. Eth. Nic. 2. 2. 1104 b 17, lατρεῖαι γάρ τινές εἰσιν, αἰ δὲ ἰατρεῖαι διὰ τῶν ἐναντίων πεφύκασι γίνεσθαι (see Stewart), and 4. 11. 1126 a 21 sq. Aristotle inherits this principle from Hippocrates: cp. Hippocr. Aphorism. vol. iii. p. 714 Kühn, ἀπὸ πλησμονῆς δκόσα ἀν νοσήματα γένηται, κένωσις lῆται, καὶ ὁκόσα ἀπὸ κενώσεως, πλησμονή, καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἡ ὑπεναντίωσις, and De Natura Hominis, vol. i. p. 361 Kühn. Compare with τὴν δ' ἀνάπαυσιν κ.τ.λ. Pindar, Nem. 4. 1,

άριστος εὐφροσύνα πόνων κεκριμένων laτρός.

In the passage before us and in c. 3. 1337 b 36 sqq. we get a glimpse of Aristotle's Theory of Relaxation, if he can be said to have one. The essential thing about relaxation is that it must be pleasurable. Toil causes pain and pain is cured by its opposite; hence if the pain of toil is cured by relaxation, relaxation must be pleasurable. Play is a means of relaxation, but there are other means also—sleep and conviviality (1339 a 16 sqq.). Sleep and conviviality heal care as well as the pain of toil (ibid.); whether

play does so we are not told. Play, unlike sleep, involves movement (4 (7). 17. 1336 a 26 sqq.); it may even be toilsome, though it is a cure for toil (1336 a 28 sqq.). Unlike sleep, again, it may be of a right or a wrong kind ethically; it may, for instance, be illiberal (1336 a 29: cp. Eth. Nic. 4. 14. 1128 a 17 sqq); hence it may affect the character for good or ill. In infancy no toil is undergone, so that the play of infancy does not come as a relaxation after toil. Aristotle distinguishes diagogê from relaxation, for though diagogê is pleasurable, it has in it an element of to rador which relaxation has not (1339 b 17 sqq.). We may probably infer that diagogê will not serve as relaxation. Does it need to be followed by relaxation as ἀσχολία does? Aristotle does not consider this question, but the answer to it is probably in the negative. It is true that the activities called into play in diagogê are activities of so high a kind (see note on 1333 a 35) that they may well cause fatigue needing to be removed by relaxation, but we must remember on the other hand that Aristotle regards them as pleasurable (c. 5. 1339 b 17 sqq.) and desirable for their own sake. 'Aoxolia is accompanied by pain (c. 3. 1337 b 39), and hence the need that it should be followed by relaxation.

- 19. τὸ γὰρ εὐδαιμονεῖν κ.τ.λ., 'for happiness, [which is an accompaniment of diagogê,] consists of both these things.' See vol. i. p. 296, note 1, and cp. Stob. Ecl. 2. 6. 12, ἢδιστον γάρ τι καὶ κάλλιστον εἶναι τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν. That happiness is an accompaniment of diagogê, we see from c. 3. 1338 a 1 sqq.
- 20. καὶ ψιλὴν οὖσαν. Plato (Laws 669 D sqq.) objects to ψιλὴ μουσική. 'Fuit autem ψιλοκιθαριστικῆς auctor Aristonicus, acqualis fere Archilochi' (cp. Athen. Deipn. 637 f), 'atque ψιλὴν αθλησιν sub initium Pythiadum exercuit Sacadas' (Stallbaum on Laws 669 D).
 - 21. Mouvalos. See note in Sus.4.
- 22. kal els tás surousías kal diaywyás. See critical note on 1330 b 31.
- 24. δστε καὶ ἐντεῦθεν κ.τ.λ. Ἐντεῦθεν, i. e. from the fact of its pleasantness. Παιδεύεσθαι is probably middle: cp. 1340 b 13.
- 25. δσα γὰρ κ.τ.λ., 'for things harmlessly pleasant, [of which music is one,] are suitable not only for the end' (i.e. happiness), 'but also for relaxation.' That the pleasure derived from music is harmless had already been said by Plato (Laws 670 D, ira . . . βδοντες αὐτοί τε ἡδονὰς τὸ παραχρῆμα ἀσινεῖς ἡδωνται κ.τ.λ.). Plato had also connected harmless pleasure with pastime in Laws 667 E,

- ΚΛ. ἀβλαβη λέγεις ήδουην μόνον. ΑΘ. ναί, και παιδιάν γε εἶναι την αὐτην ταύτην λέγω τότε, ὅταν μήτε τι βλάπτη μήτε ἀφελή σπουδης ἡ λόγου ἄξιου. For ἀρμόττειν πρός, cp. 8 (6). 1. 1317 a 10, ποία μὲν οὖν δημοκρατία πρὸς ποίαν ἀρμόττει πόλιν, and Isocr. Ad Nicocl. § 34.
- 27. For ἐν τῷ τέλει γίνεσθαι cp. Plato, Laws 635 C, γιγνόμενοι ἐν ταῖε ἡδοναῖε ('dum versantur in voluptatibus,' Stallbaum), and 841 C, γεγονὸς ἐν ἐπιθυμίᾳ, and Phileb. 35 E.
- 29. οδχ δσον ἐπὶ πλέον, apparently 'not merely with a view to a further end' (Vict. 'non ut plus inde capiant'). I have not happened to meet with a parallel to this use of ἐπὶ πλέον. For οὐχ δσον in the sense of 'not only,' cp. Thuc. 4. 62. 2 (Liddell and Scott).
 - 30. διαναπαύειν, 'to let them rest awhile' (Liddell and Scott).
- 31. συμβέβηκε δὲ κ.τ.λ. The meaning is—but men are not content with using pastime as a means of obtaining the relaxation and pleasure of which they often stand in need; they fall into the error of confounding it with the end of life, and seek happiness in the pleasures arising from it. Pastime is χρήσιμον (1339 b 30), or in other words a means to the end (Eth. Nic. 8. 2. 1155 b 19 sq.: cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 3. 1096 a 7, χρήσιμον καὶ ἄλλου χάριν), but instead of regarding it thus, they take it to be the end of life.
- 38. ἀλλ' οὐ τὴν τυχοῦσαν, 'but not any chance kind of pleasure.' Prof. Butcher (Aristotle's Theory of Poetry and Fine Art, ed. 2, p. 211), compares Poet. 14. 1453 b 10, οὐ γὰρ πᾶσαν δεῖ ζητεῖν ἡδονὴν ἀπὸ τραγφδίας, ἀλλὰ τὴν οἰκείαν, and 26. 1462 b 13, δεῖ γὰρ οὐ τὴν τυχοῦσαν ἡδονὴν ποιεῖν αὐτὰς (i.e. tragedy and epic poetry) ἀλλὰ τὴν εἰρημένην. Aristotle perhaps has before him Plato, Laws 658 E, συγχωρῶ δὴ τό γε τοσοῦτον καὶ ἐγὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς, δεῖν τὴν μουσικὴν ἡδονῆ κρίνεσθαι, μὴ μέντοι τῶν γε ἐπιτυχόντων, ἀλλὰ σχεδὸν ἐκείνην εἶναι Μοῦσαν καλλίστην, ῆτις τοὺς βελτίστους καὶ ἰκανῶς πεπαιδευμένους τέρπει.

ζητοῦντες δὲ ταύτην κ.τ.λ., 'and seeking this' (i.e. the pleasure of the end) 'they take the other' (i.e. the pleasure of pastime) 'as being this.' Cp. 4 (7). 13. 1332 a 2, οἱ δ' εὐθὺς οὐκ ὀρθῶς ζητοῦσι τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν, ἰξουσίας ὑπαρχούσης, and see note on that passage.

- 36. al τοιαύται των ήδονων, 'the pleasures we have mentioned,' i.e. the pleasures of pastime. Cp. Eth. Nic. 10. 6. 1176 b 9, καὶ των παιδιών δὲ al ἡδεῖαι (sc. καθ' αὐτάς εἰσιν αἰρεταί) οὐ γὰρ δι' ἔτερα αὐτὰς αἰροῦνται, and 34, ἀναπαύσει γὰρ ἔοικεν ἡ παιδιά, ἀδυνατοῦντες δὲ συνεχώς πονεῖν ἀναπαύσεως δέονται. See note in Sus.4.
 - 88. For the needless addition of airiar in the relative sentence,

cp. [Xen.] Rep. Ath. 1. 3, όπόσαι μὲν σωτηρίαν φέρουσι τῶν ἀρχῶν, χρησταὶ οὖσαι καὶ μὴ χρησταί, ἢ κίνδυνον τῷ δήμφ ἄπαντι, τούτων μὲν τῶν ἀρχῶν οὐδὲν δεῖται ὁ δῆμος μετεῖναι: Aristot. Hist. An. 2. 11. 503 a 23 sqq.: Pol. 4 (7). 2. 1324 a 23 sqq. and 4 (7). 4. 1326 b 7 sqq.: 5 (8). 5. 1340 a 32-34: 6 (4). 4. 1291 b 10: 6 (4). 12. 1296 b 19 sqq.

- 40. περὶ δὲ τοῦ κοινωνεῖν κ.τ.λ. Compare the similarly anacoluthic sentence, 3. 16. 1287 a 8, περὶ δὲ τῆς παμβασιλείας καλουμένης, αὕτη δ' ἐστὶ καθ ἡν ἄρχει πάντα κατὰ τὴν ἐαυτοῦ βούλησιν ὁ βασιλεύς—
 δοκεῖ δέ τισιν οὐδὲ κατὰ φύσιν εἶναι τὸ κύριον ἔνα πάντων εἶναι τῶν πολιτῶν.
 Sus.' would supply (after ἔοικεν) εἰκότως ἄν τις ὑπολάβοι ζητεῖσθαι (οτ γύνεσθαι). Perhaps, however, it is simpler to supply ζητητέον, which comes to the surface, as it were, in the next sentence. Κοινωνεῖν τῆς μουσικῆς, 'have recourse to music': cp. c. 6. 1341 a 1, ποίων μελῶν καὶ ποίων ρὐθμῶν κοινωνητέον. Οὐ διὰ ταύτην μόνην, sc. τὴν alτίαν.
- 42. οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Probl. 10. 42. 895 a 33, ἡ τοῦτο μὲν συμβέβηκεν, αἴτιον δὲ καὶ ταῖε γυναιξὶν ὅτι ἡ θερμότης κάτω όρμῷ. A contrast is here drawn between the accidents of a thing and its nature or essence (φύσις = οὐσία, see Bon. Ind. 545 b 23 sqq., where Metaph. Δ. 4. 1014 b 35, ἔτι δ΄ ἄλλον τρόπου λέγεται ἡ φύσις ἡ τῶν φύσει ὅντων οὐσία, is referred to among other passages). For the contrast of οὐσία and συμβεβηκός, see Metaph. Γ. 4. 1007 a 31 sqq.
- 2. καὶ δεῖ κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 6. 1341 a 15 sqq. and Probl. 28. 7 1840 a. 950 a 5, ħ διὰ τὸ τὰς ἀπὸ τούτων γινομένας ἡδονὰς κοινὰς εἶναι ἡμίν καὶ τοῦς ἄλλοις ζώρις; ἄτε οὖν οὖσαι κοιναὶ ἀτιμόταται εἶσι καὶ μάλιστα ħ μόναι ἐπονείδιστοι. The many know not what true pleasure is (Eth. Nic. 10. 10. 1179 b 15). For τῆς κοινῆς ἡδοιῆς ἡς ἔχουσι πάντες αἴσθησιν, cp. Metaph. B. 2. 996 b 28, τὰς κοινὰς δόξας ἐξ ὧν ἄπαντες δεικνύουσιν. Aristotle implies that this κοινὴ ἡδονή does not affect the character or the soul; he probably regards it as pleasure of a merely physical kind. For the view that pleasure which comes by nature is common to all, cp. Eth. Nic. 3. 13. 1118 b 8, τῶν δ' ἐπιθυμῶν αἰ μὲν κοιναὶ δοκοῦσιν εἶναι, αὶ δ' ἴδιοι καὶ ἐπίθετοι· οἶον ἡ μὲν τῆς τροφῆς φυσική· πῶς γὰρ ἐπιθυμεῖ ὁ ἐνδιὰς ξηρῶς ἡ ὑγρῶς τροφῆς, ὁτὶ δ' ἀμφοῦν, Phys. 8. 7. 261 b 25 sq., and Plato, Laws 963 E. Cp. also Diphilus, Πυλυπράγμων Fragm. 1 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 4. 407),

τόδε δ', ώς ξοικε, τὸ γένος ώσπερ θηρίου ἐπίβουλόυ ἐστι τῷ φύσει καὶ πανταχοῦ.

5. dλλ' δράν κ.τ.λ. Sepulv. 'sed etiam videre numquid ad mores quoque animamque pertineat.' For συντείνεων πρότ, cp. Περλ νεότητος

- καὶ γήρως, 3. 469 2 20, διὰ τί δ' αἱ μὲν τῶν αἰσθήσεων φανερῶς συντείνουσι πρός την καρδίαν, αἱ δ' εἰσὶν ἐν τῆ κεφαλῆ . . . τὸ αἴτιον τούτων ἐν ἐτέροις είρηται χωρίς. For πρός τὸ ήθος καὶ πρός τὴν ψυχήν, cp. 11, τοῦ περί דחש עינים חול אינים אולים יולים אינים אולים אינים אינ 105 Ε, εν γάρ ήθεσι καὶ ψυχαίς θεών καὶ ανθρώπων την οίκησιν ίδρυται ("Ερως). For συντείνει cp. De Part. An. 3. 4. 667 a 11, ai δε διαφοραί της καρδίας κατά μέγεθός τε καὶ μικρότητα καὶ σκληρότητα καὶ μαλακότητα τείνουσί πη καὶ πρὸς τὰ ήθη. For the repetition of the preposition see critical note on 1331 b 24. Plato had already said in Rep. 401 D. άρ' οὖν, ἢν δ' έγώ, ὧ Γλαύκων, τούτων ενεκα κυριωτάτη έν μουσική τροφή. ότι μάλιστα καταδύεται είς τὸ έντὸς τῆς ψυχῆς ὅ τε ρυθμὸς καὶ άρμονία καὶ έρρωμενέστατα απτεται αὐτῆς Φέροντα τὴν εὐσχημοσύνην, καὶ ποιεί εὐσχήμονα, εάν τις ορθώς τραφή, εί δε μή, τουναντίον; (cp. Laws 673 A), and in Tim. 47 D, ή δὲ άρμονία, ξυγγενεῖς ἔχουσα φορὰς ταῖς ἐν ἡμῖν τῆς Ψυχης περιόδοις, τῷ μετά νοῦ προσχρωμένω Μούσαις οὐκ ἐφ' ήδονην ἄλογον, καθάπερ νῦν, είναι δοκεί χρήσιμος, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τὴν γεγ ννοίαν ἐν ἡμιν ἀνάρμοστον ψυχης περίοδον είς κατακόσμησιν καὶ συμφωνίαν έαυτη ξύμμαχος ύπο Μουσων Both these passages are probably present to Aristotle's mind here.
- 9. διὰ τῶν 'Ολύμπου μελῶν. Eaton refers to Plato, Symp. 215 C, τὰ οὖν ἐκείνου (i.e. 'Ολύμπου), ἐάν τε ἀγαθὸς αὐλητὴς αὐλῆ ἐάν τε φαύλη αὐλητρίς, μόνα κατέχεσθαι ποιεῖ καὶ δηλοῖ τοὺς τῶν θεῶν τε καὶ τελετῶν δεομένους διὰ τὸ θεῖα εἶναι. Bernays (Grundzüge der verlorenen Abhandlung des Aristoteles über Wirkung der Tragödie, Note 5) uses the passage before us to show that, when in c. 7. 1342 a 8 sqq. Aristotle describes the effect of τὰ ἰερὰ μέλη, it is to these melodies of Olympus that he mainly refers. See as to them Sus.4, 1. 621 sq.
- 11. δ δ' ἐνθουσιασμὸς κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has to prove that music affects τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος, therefore he has to prove that the ἐνθουσιασμός which it admittedly produces is an affection of τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος. Some may have regarded it as a σωματικὸν πάθος, like the πάθη referred to in Eth. Nic. 10. 2. 1173 b 8 sqq., and others as a special condition of the νοῦς (cp. Plato, Ion 534 B, κοῦφον γὰρ χρῆμα ποιητής ἐστι καὶ πτηνὸν καὶ ἰερόν, καὶ οὐ πρότερον οἰός τε ποιεῖν, πρὶν ἄν ἔνθεός τε γένηται καὶ ἔκφρων καὶ ὁ νοῦς μηκέτι ἐν αὐτῷ ἐνῆ, and Aristid. Quint. De Musica, p. 66, περὶ δὲ τὸ λογικὸν τὸν ἐνθουσιασμὸν ἐώρων), but Aristotle regards it as connected with an impulse to action (Magn. Mor. 2. 8. 1207 b 4, καὶ γὰρ οἱ ἐνθουσιάζοντες ἄνευ λόγου ὁρμὴν ἔχουσι πρὸς τὸ πράττειν τι: cp. Magn. Mor. 1. 20. 1190 b 35 sqq.),

and this is perhaps the reason why he here traces it to τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἤθος. For other πάθη τοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς ἤθους, Bonitz (Ind. 557 b 9) compares Rhet. 2. 9. 1386 b 12, where τὸ ἐλεεῖν and τὸ νεμεσᾶν are said to be καὶ ἄμφω τὰ πάθη ἤθους χρηστοῦ. Some of the external symptoms of ἐνθουσιασμός may be gathered from Dio Chrys. Or. 1. 62 R, ταῦτα δὲ ἔλεγεν, οὐχ ὥσπερ οἱ πολλοὶ τῶν λεγομένων ἐνθέων ἀνδρῶν καὶ γυναικῶν, ἀσθμαίνουσα καὶ περιδινοῦσα τὴν κεφαλὴν καὶ πειρωμένη δεινὸν ἐμβλέπειν, ἀλλὰ πάνυ ἐγκρατῶς καὶ σωφρόνως.

- 12. ἔτι δὲ ἀκροώμενοι κ.τ.λ. Even mere imitative sounds without the aid of melody or rhythm call forth in every one the feelings which they imitate (see vol. i. p. 362, note 3). Thus a single note from the pitch-pipe of a slave was enough to restore calmness and gentleness to the tones of C. Gracchus' oratory when they became harsh and angry (Plut. De Cohib. Ira, c. 6). Aristotle has just been dwelling on the effect of the melodies of Olympus, and he now adds this remark in order to guard against the supposition that the effect produced by music on τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἦθος is due not to its power of imitating ethical states, but to its accompaniments of inclody and rhythm. Plato had spoken in Rep. 401 D (see note on 5) as if this was so. Aristotle appears to imply here that the musical imitation of ethical states is possible without the use of rhythm and melody; hence, when in c. 7. 1341 b 23 he speaks as if music was confined to μελοποιία and ρυθμός, we must suppose that he refers to music in a somewhat narrower sense.
- 14. ἐπεὶ δὲ συμβέβηκεν κ.τ.λ., 'but since it happens that music belongs to the class of pleasant things, [so that it calls forth feelings of pleasure, and pleasure may be called forth by the right or the wrong objects,] and virtue is concerned with taking pleasure aright and loving and hating aright, it is evident that we ought to learn and to be habituated to nothing so much as to judging aright and taking pleasure in good characters and noble actions, [because it is thus that men learn virtue].' Aristotle proceeds in what follows to show that music is capable of teaching men to take pleasure in noble characters and actions, or in other words to be virtuous (cp. 1340 b 10 sqq.). Μανθάνειν is distinguished from συνεθίζεσθαι in 16, whereas in 4 (7). 13. 1332 b 10, τὰ μέν γὰρ ἐθιζόμενοι μανθάνουσι, τὰ δ' ἀκούοντες, the word μανθάνειν includes both ἐθίζεσθαι and ἀκούειν.
- 18. ἔστι δὲ ὁμοιώματα κ.τ.λ., 'and in rhythms and melodies there are images, most nearly approaching the reality, of anger and

gentleness,' etc. It is thus that Sepulveda ('proxime ad veras naturas accedunt'), Vict. ('maxime secundum veras naturas'), Stahr, and Sus. interpret μάλιστα παρά τὰς άληθινὰς φύσεις: cp. Top. 8. 14. 164 b 19, ἐκ τῶν παρὰ πόδας, 'things close to the feet,' Compare Alcidamas, De Sophistis, p. 88, μιμήματα τῶν ἀληθινῶν σωμάτων, and for φύσεις (with Bon. Ind. 838 b 55), Phys. 4. 6. 213 b 24, δ διορίζει τὰς φύσεις (i.e. τὰ ὅντα, τὰ σώματα). Aristotle, who here has before him Plato, Rep. 399 A sqq. (where however only ανδρία and σωφροσύνη are referred to, not πραότης), and Laws 654 E, 655 B, and 708 D, appears to imply that the images of emotions and ethical states conveyed in melody and rhythm approach nearer to the original than those conveyed for instance in poetry, except so far as poetry is associated with melody and rhythm. The question might be asked why the young should not be taught to take pleasure in good characters and good acts by a training concerning itself directly with that subject-matter and not merely with images of it, but Aristotle would probably reply that there would not be the same pleasurableness in a training of that kind as there is in a musical training, and that it would not fulfil the end of accustoming the young to take pleasure in the right things.

- 20. καὶ πάντων τῶν ἐναντίων τούτοις. Aristotle implies that musical imitations not only of cowardice but also of ἀκολασία, the opposite of σωφροσύνη, are possible. Music can certainly at any rate imitate εβρις.
- 21. τῶν ἄλλων ἡθικῶν, sc. παθῶν καὶ ἔξεων τοῦ ἤθους (Sus.* Ind. s. v. ἡθικός).
- 22. μεταβάλλομεν γὰρ τὴν ψυχήν, i.e. we experience emotional change: cp. (with Vahlen, Beitr. zu Aristot. Poet. 3. 336) Rhet. 2. 1. 1378 a 20, ἔστι δὲ τὰ πάθη δι' ὅσα μεταβάλλοντες διαφέρουσι πρὸς τὰς κρίσεις, οἶς ἔπεται λύπη καὶ ἡδονή, οἶον ὀργὴ ἔλεος φόβος καὶ ὅσα ἄλλα τοιαῦτα, καὶ τὰ τούτοις ἐναντία.
 - 23. τοιούτων, SC. ρυθμών και μελών.
- 25. οίον εί τις κ.τ.λ. For the thought cp. De Part. An. 1. 5. 645 a 10 sqq.
- μὴ δι' ἄλλην αἰτίαν ἀλλὰ διὰ τὴν μορφὴν αὐτήν is added, because if a man took pleasure in an image (for example) on account of the beauty or costliness of its material, he might not take equal pleasure in the thing of which it is an image. Cp. Poet. 4. 1448 b 15, διὰ γὰρ τοῦτο χαίρουσι τὰς εἰκόνας ὁρῶντες, ὅτι συμβαίνει θεωροῦντας μανθάνειν

καὶ συλλογίζεσθαι τί εκαστον, οδον ότι οδτος έκεινος, έπεὶ ἐὰν μὴ τύχη προεωρακώς, οδ διὰ μίμημα ποιήσει τὴν ήδονὴν άλλὰ διὰ τὴν ἀπεργασίαν ἢ τὴν χροιὰν ἢ διὰ τοιαύτην τικὰ ἄλλην αἰτίαν.

- 27. αὐτὴν ἐκείνην. Bekk. adopts the emendation of Lambinus and Scaliger, αὐτοῦ ἐκείνου, perhaps rightly. If we retain αὐτὴν ἐκείνην, we must supply τούτου as the antecedent to οῦ.
- 28. συμβέβηκε δὲ κ.τ.λ. Eaton and Prof. Butcher (Aristotle's Theory of Poetry and Fine Art, ed. 2, p. 132 sq.) compare Probl19. 27. 919 b 26, διὰ τί τὸ ἀκουστὸν μόνον ἦθος ἔχει τῶν αἰσθητῶν; καὶ γὰρ ἐὰν ἢ ἄνευ λόγου μέλος, ὅμως ἔχει ἦθος ἀλλ' οὐ τὸ χρῶμα οὐδὲ ἡ ὀσμὴ οὐδὲ ὁ χυμὸς ἔχει ἡ ὅτι κίνησιν ἔχει μόνον... al δὲ κινήσεις αὐται πρακτικαί εἰσιν, al δὲ πράξεις ἡθους σημασία ἐστίν, and Probl. 19. 29. 920 a 3 sqq. Prof. Butcher points out that these passages exaggerate the true Aristotelian view, as they deny all ethical suggestiveness to sight as well as to taste and smell. Ἐν μὲν τοῖς ἄλλοις τῶν αἰσθητῶν (' other sensible things than things audible') is taken up not by ἀλλά, 30, but by ἐν δὲ τοῖς μέλεσιν αὐτοῖς, 38.
- 81. σχήματα γάρ έστι τοιαῦτα κ.τ.λ., ' for there are forms that have this power' (i.e. the power of imitating emotions and ethical states), 'but only to a small extent, and all, [even children and worthless men,] share in the perception just referred to.' The painter Parrhasius denied in a conversation with Socrates (Xen. Mem. 3. 10. 3) that painting can imitate the character of the soul (τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἡθος), but was led on by Socrates to a different conclusion (Xen. Mem. 3. 10. 5, αλλά μήν και το μεγαλοπρεπές τε και έλευθέρων και το ταπεινόν τε και ανελεύθερον και το σωφρονικόν τε και φρόνιμον και το υβριστικόν τε και απειρόκαλου καὶ διὰ τοῦ προσώπου καὶ διὰ τῶυ σχημάτων καὶ ἐστώτων καὶ κινουμένων ανθρώπων διαφαίνει. 'Αληθη λέγεις, έφη. Οὐκοῦν καὶ ταῦτα μιμητά; Kaì μάλα, ἔφη). Plato went further (Rep. 400 E sq.) and found not only painting, but also weaving, embroidery, building, and the forms of vessels and of animals and plants, full of ethical suggestiveness, but Aristotle rates the ethical suggestiveness of forms and colours lower and finds such suggestiveness, it would seem, only in the human body under the influence of emotion (compare the passage of Xenophon quoted above), or in representations of it. See vol. i. p. 363, note 5. As to καὶ πάντες τῆς τοιαύτης αλσθήσεως κοιρωνοῦσιν, see note on 1340 a 2, and vol. i. p. 363, note 3. and Sus. transpose αλλ' έπι μικρόν to after κοινωνούσιν, 32, while E. Müller inserts οὐ before πάρτες, 31. If any change in the text is necessary, of which I do not feel certain, I prefer the former change

to the latter, but it would also be possible to add a second ἐπὶ μικρόν after πάντες, 31. Forms and colours are mentioned as examples of τὰ ὁρατά: cp. Eth. Nic. 3. 13. 1118 a 3, τοῖς διὰ τῆς δψεως, οἶον χρώμασι καὶ σχήμασι καὶ γραφῆ.

- 32. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. For the distinction between copies and symbolic representations of an original, see Prof. Butcher, Aristotle's Theory of Poetry and Fine Art, ed. 2, p. 124, who refers to Teichmüller, Aristotelische Forschungen, 2. 145-154, where the subject is fully discussed. 'A sign or symbol has no essential resemblance, no natural connexion, with the thing signified.' Aristotle does not say that painting and sculpture can give only symbolic representations of all that they imitate, but that it is only in this way that they can reproduce character. In τὰ γιγνόμενα σχήματα καὶ χρώματα Aristotle seems to refer to forms and colours in pictures and statues (for we read of painters in Poet. 1. 1447 a 18 as μιμούμενοι καὶ χρώμασι καὶ σχήμασι, cp. Plato, Rep. 373 B), not to attitudes and colours in living men. The words τὰ γιγνόμενα σχήματα καὶ χρώματα are added by an afterthought in explanation of ταῦτα very much as ή περὶ τὴν τροφήν is added in 1. 9. 1258 a 16 sqq.: cp. also [Demosth.] c. Aristog. 2. 19, άλλα ταθτά γε νη την Αθηναν δνειδός έστιν, ανδρες δικασταί, τα γιγνόμενα τη πόλει έπὶ τοῦ βήματος. As to the repetition of τῶν ἡθῶν in 33 and 34 see critical notes on 1276 a 21 and 1319 a 33, and explanatory note on 1284 b 28.
- 34. καὶ ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ἐπὶ τοῦ σώματος ἐν τοῖς πάθεσιν. I now take this to mean, 'and these indications of character occur [only] in the case of the body under the influence of emotions, [so that it is not forms in general, but only a particular kind of forms, that are even indications of character].' I explained this obscure clause otherwise, though with much hesitation, in vol. i. p. 363. For the suppression of 'only,' see note on 1282 a 36. For ἐπὶ τοῦ σώματος cp. Plut. Sympos. 9. 15. 2. 747 C, ὅταν 'Απόλλωνος ἡ Πανὸς ἡ τινος Βάκχης σχῆμα διαθέντες ἐπὶ τοῦ σώματος γραφικῶς τοῖς είδεσιν ἐπιμένωσι. For the fact, cp. Eth. Nic. 7. 5. 1147 a 14, ἀλλὰ μὴν νῦτω διατίθενται οἱ ἐν τοῖς πάθεσιν ὅντες 'θυμοὶ γὰρ καὶ ἐπιθυμίαι ἀφροδισίων καὶ ἔνια τῶν τοιούτων ἐπιδήλως καὶ τὸ σῶμα μεθιστᾶσιν. Το many modern minds, however, there seems to be ethical suggestiveness in architecture.
- 35. οδ μην άλλ' δσον διαφέρει κ.τ.λ., 'not but that, so far as it makes a difference in relation to the contemplation of these things also [whether we contemplate one thing or another],'etc. By 'these things' Aristotle means forms and colours.

- 36. δεί μη τὰ Παύσωνος κ.τ.λ. Cp. Poet, 2. 1448 a 1, ἐπεὶ δὲ μιμούνται οί μιμούμενοι πράττοντας, ανάγκη δε τούτους ή σπουδαίους ή φαύλους είναι . . . ήτοι βελτίονας ή καθ ήμας ή γείρονας ή και τοιούτους. ώσπες οί γραφείς, Πολύγνωτος μεν γάρ κρείττους, Παύσων δε χείρους, Διονύσιος δε ομοίους εἴκαζεν. In this, we learn from what follows. Polygnotus found a parallel in Homer and Pauson in poets far inferior to Homer. In the passage before us it is implied that Pauson was not ηθικός, and according to Sus.4, 1. p. 624. Aristotle means by 'an artist "full of character" (ηθικός)' one who 'represents noble characters.' The word house appears to bear this meaning here and in c. 7. 1342 a 3, 28, but in c. 6. 1341 a 21, tre be out forer & αὐλὸς ἡθικὸν ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον δργιαστικόν, it evidently means simply 'expressive of ethical character' as distinguished from 'emotional.' In Poet. 6. 1450 a 26 we read of Polygnotus, οίον και τών γραφίων Ζευξις πρός Πολύγνωτον πέπονθεν ό μεν γάρ Πολύγνωτος άγαθός ήθογράφος, ή δὲ Ζεύξιδος γραφή οὐδὲν ἔχει ήθος. Lysippus may be among the sculptors referred to as ήθικοί: cp. Plut. De Alex. seu Virtute seu Fortuna 2. 2, διδ καὶ μόνον 'Αλέξανδρος εκέλευε Λύσιππον είκόνας αὐτοῦ δημιουργεῖν μόνος γὰρ οὖτος, ὡς ἔοικε, κατεμήνυε τῷ χαλκῷ τὸ ἦθος αὐτοῦ καὶ συνεξέφερε τῆ μορφη την άρετήν. As to the skill of Polygnotus in portraying character, see Brunn, Gesch. der gr. Künstler There were paintings by Polygnotus at Athens in the Stoa Poecilê, in the Anaceium, in a chamber of the Propylaea, and elsewhere, so that his work must have been familiar to Aristotle's hearers. As to Pauson, see Brunn 2. 49 sqq. and Overbeck, Schriftquellen, p. 212, both of whom take Aristophanes to refer to him in Acharn. 854, Thesmoph. 948 sq., and Plut. 602. If they are right in this, Pauson would seem to have been a contemporary of Aristophanes.
- 38. ἐν τοῖς μέλεσιν αὐτοῖς, 'in melodies taken by themselves' apart from anything else, apart from the person of the singer and from the words sung. Forms and colours, on the other hand, are suggestive of character only in the case of the body under the influence of emotion.
- 40. εδθδς γάρ κ.τ.λ., 'for, to begin with, the nature of harmonies, [which are elements in melody,] is different.' Aristotle goes on to show in 1340 b 7 sqq. that the same thing holds of rhythms, and probably he regarded a melody as made up of harmony and rhythm, just as Plato, who, unlike Aristotle (c. 5. 1339 b 20), did not approve of ψιλή μουσική (Laws 669 D sq.), regarded it as made up of words, harmony, and rhythm (Rep. 398 D). If harmonies

affect the ħθος of the hearer differently, it follows that they differ in ħθος and are μιμήματα τῶν ἡθῶν. The word ἀρμονία had more meanings than one (Monro, The Modes of Ancient Greek Music, p. 56), but it is evidently used here of the Dorian, Phrygian, and other 'modes,' whatever we take their nature to have been. On this disputed question see (in addition to Sus.', 1. p. 624 sqq.) the work of Mr. Monro just referred to, Mr. H. Stuart Jones' review of it in Class. Rev. 8. 448 sqq., and Mr. Monro's reply (ibid. 9.79 sqq.).

41. ἄλλως διατίθεσθαι καὶ μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχειν τρόπον. For the pleonasm cp. Poet. τ. 1447 a 17, τῷ ἐτέρως καὶ μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον, and see Vahlen on this passage. For the fact cp. Sext. Empir. Adv. Math. 6. 48 (p. 757. 29 sqq. Bekker), οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ὁν τρόπον ἄπαν διάστημα κατὰ μουσικὴν ἐν φθόγγοις ἔχει τὴν ὑπόστασιν, οὕτω καὶ πῶν ἢθος. τὸ δ' ἔστι τι γένος μελφδίας. καθὰ γὰρ τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων ἢθῶν τικὰ μέν ἐστι σκυθρωπὰ καὶ στιβαρώτερα, ὁποῖα τὰ τῶν ἀρχαίων ἱστοροῦσιν, τὰ δὲ εὐένδοτα πρὸς ἔρωτας καὶ οἰνοφλυγίας καὶ οδυρμοὺς καὶ οἰμώγάς, οὕτω τὶς μὲν μελφδία σεμνά τινα καὶ ἀστεῖα ἐμποιεῖ τῷ ψυχῷ κινήματα, τὸς δὲ ταπεινότερα καὶ ἀγεννῆ.

42. ἀλλὰ πρὸς μὰν ἀνίας κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has before him Plato, Rep. 398 D, ἀλλὰ μέντοι θρήνων τε καὶ ὀδυρμῶν ἄφαμεν ἐν λόγοις οὐδὲν προσδεῖσθαι. Οὐ γὰρ οὖν. Τίνες οὖν θρηνώδεις άρμονίαι; λέγε μοι σὺ γὰρ μουσικός. Μιξολυδιστί, ἄφη, καὶ συντονολυδιστὶ καὶ τοιαῦται τινές. Οὐκοῦν αῦται, ἢν δ' ἐγώ, ἀφαιρετέαι ἄχρηστοι γὰρ καὶ γυναιξίν, ἀς δεῖ ἐπιεικεῖς εἶναι, μὴ ὅτι ἀνδράσιν (possibly a hit at Sappho, who is credited with the invention of the mixo-Lydian mode by Aristoxenus ap. Plut. De Mus. c. 16), and Laws 800 D, πᾶσαν βλασφημίαν τῶν ἱερῶν καταχέουσι, ῥήμασί τε καὶ ῥυθμοῖς καὶ γοωδεστάταις ἀρμονίαις συντείνοντες τὰς τῶν ἀκροωμένων ψυχάς, καὶ δς ἀν δακρῦσαι μάλιστα τὴν θύσασαν παραχρῆμα ποιήση πόλιν, οὖτος τὰ νικητήρια φέρει. He also has before him Pratinas ap. Athen. Deipn. 624 f (Pratinas, Fragm. 5),

μήτε σύντονον δίωκε μήτε τὰν ἀνειμέναν laστὶ μοῦσαν, ἀλλὰ τὰν μέσαν . . νεῶν ἄρουραν αlόλιζε τῷ μέλει,

where, as Sus. points out, the Aeolian mode is described as intermediate between 'high-pitched Ionian' and 'low Ionian.' Pratinas, however, declares in favour, not of the Dorian mode, as Aristotle, but of the Aeolian, later called the hypo-Dorian according to Athen. Deipn. 625 a.

δδυρτικωτέρως και συνεστηκότως μάλλον. Grief was believed to compress and joy to expand the mind: cp. Eurip. Alcest. 771

Bothe (797 Dindorf), τοῦ νῦν σκυθρωποῦ καὶ ξυνεστώτος φρενών, and Hippol. 937 Bothe (983 Dindorf), μένος μέν ξύστασίς τε σών Φρενών. and Cic. Tusc. Disp. 4. 31. 66, eodem enim vitio est effusio animi in laetitia quo in dolore contractio. So the Stoics defined him as συστολή δλογος and explained ήδονή as ξπαρσις (Diog. Laert. 7, 111, 114: see Pearson, Fragments of Zeno and Cleanthes, p. 180). In Laws 800 D (quoted above) Plato had spoken of the Vuxn generally. but Aristotle here speaks more particularly of the διάνοια as affected by the various musical modes (cp. 1340 b 2); he regards it as susceptible of compression and relaxation, two extreme states, and also of a mean state of calm. He was led by a false etymology of the Greek word ἐπιστήμη to connect wisdom and knowledge with a calm state of mind (Phys. 7. 3. 247 b 10, τῷ γὰρ ἡρεμῆσαι καὶ στηναι την διάνοιαν επίστασθαι καὶ φρονείν λέγομεν, and 18 sqq.), and this is perhaps one reason why he selects the composed and collected Dorian mode for use in education. He feels that anything which calms is useful both morally and intellectually. In Aesch. Suppl. 69,

τώς καὶ έγω φιλόδυρτος Ἰαονίοισι νόμοισι

δάπτω τὰν ἀπαλὰν νειλοθερη παρειάν,

the high-pitched variety of the Ionian mode is probably referred to. This variety, which some identify with the mixo-Lydian (see Sus.⁴, 1, 625 sqq.), appears to have been expressive of lamentation.

- 2. πρὸς δὲ τὸς μαλακωτέρως τὴν διάνοιαν, οδον πρὸς τὸς ἀνειμένας. 1840 b. Aristotle probably refers to the softer varieties of the Ionian and Lydian modes: cp. Plato, Rep. 398 E, where these varieties (if we follow Mr. H. Stuart Jones' interpretation of the passage in Class. Rev. 8. 449, note) are said to be μαλακαί καὶ συμποτικαί.
- 8. μέσως καὶ καθεστηκότως, 'in a midway state of collectedness and composure': cp. c. 7. 1342 2 10, καθισταμένους, and Plut. De Gen. Socr. c. 32, καθεστηκότα, and for the conjunction of μέσως and καθεστηκότως, Eth. Eud. 7. 5. 1239 b 35, εἰς τὸ μέσον καθίστανται, and 1240 a 2 sq. Καθίστασθαι is conjoined with σωφρονίζεσθαι in Hist. An. 7. 1. 582 a 25. As to the Dorian mode, cp. c. 7. 1342 b 12 sqq. Plutarch describes the songs sung by the Spartans as πολύ τὸ κόσμιον ἔχοντα καὶ καταστατικόν (Lycurg. c. 4), and Heracleides Ponticus (ap. Athen. Deipn. 624 d) says of the Dorian mode, ἡ μὲν οὖν δώριος ἀρμονία τὸ ἀνδρῶδες ἐμφαίνει καὶ τὸ μεγαλοπρεπές καὶ οὐ διακτχυμένον οὐδ ἱλαρόν, ἀλλὰ σκυθρωπὸν καὶ σφοδρόν, οῦτε δὲ ποικίλον οῦτε πολύτροπον: cp. Plut. De Mus. c. 16, ἡ μὲν (δωριστὶ) τὸ μεγαλοπρεπές καὶ ἀξιωματικὸν ἀποδίδωσιν.

- 4. ἐνθουσιαστικοὺς δ' ή φρυγιστί, sc. δοκεί ποιείν. Cp. 1340 a 10 and c. 7. 1342 b 1 sqq.
- 5. ταῦτα γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle evidently takes his account of the mental effect of each of the harmonies from of περί την παιδείαν ταύτην πεφιλοσοφηκότες, 'those who had studied musical education.' Some musicians (Damon, for instance, as Sus.4, 1. p. 596, points out, referring to Plato, Rep. 400 B and 424 C) had probably done this, and also some philosophers (cp. c. 7, 1341 b 27 sqq. and 1342 a 30 sqq.). Among the philosophers would be Plato (Rep. 398 E-399 A and elsewhere) and some of his disciples (Plut. De Mus. c. 3 init.), e.g. Heracleides Ponticus, and perhaps, as Eaton says, some Pythagoreans (cp. Plut. De Virt. Mor. c. 3. 441 E). It has been suggested by Mr. H. Stuart Jones (Class. Rev. 8. 450) that Aristotle's view of the mental effect of the various modes rests on a verbal basis, the word ανειμένος meaning both 'loosely strung' (or 'low-pitched') and 'soft, relaxed,' and the word σύντονος both 'highly strung' (or 'high-pitched') and the reverse of 'soft' and 'relaxed'; it appears, however, from the passage before us that his view comes to him from other authorities, so that the verbal confusion supposed to exist would be theirs rather than his. But indeed the emphatic statement in 6, λαμβάνουσι τὰ μαρτύρια τῶν λόγων ἐξ αὐτῶν τῶν ἔργων, suggests that these authorities arrived at their conclusion inductively by watching the effect of the different modes on individual hearers.
 - 6. λαμβάνουσι γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Thus they adopt the best method of inquiry: cp. De Gen. An. 3. 10. 760 b 30, οὐ μὴν εἴληπταί γε τὰ συμβαίνοντα ἰκανῶς, ἀλλ' ἐάν ποτε ληφθῆ, τότε τῆ αἰσθήσει μᾶλλον τῶν λόγων πιστευτέον, καὶ τοῖς λόγοις, ἐὰν ὁμολογούμενα δεικνύωσι τοῖς φαινομένοις.
 - 8. οἱ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Cp. Rhet. 3. 8. 1408 b 32, τῶν δὲ ρυθμῶν ὁ μὲν ἡρῷος σεμνὸς καὶ λεκτικῆς ἀρμονίας δεόμενος, ὁ δ' ἴαμβος αὐτή ἐστιν ἡ λέξις τῶν πολλῶν ι . . ὁ δὲ τροχαῖος κορδακικώτερος δηλοῖ δὲ τὰ τετράμετρα, ἔστι γὰρ τροχερὸς ἡυθμὸς τὰ τετράμετρα λείπεται δὲ παιάν: Poet. 24. 1459 b 34, τὸ γὰρ ἡρωικὸν στασιμώτατον καὶ ὀγκωδέστατον τῶν μέτρων ἐστίν . . . τὸ δὲ ἰαμβικὸν καὶ τετράμετρον κινητικά, τὸ μὲν ὀρχηστικόν, τὸ δὲ πρακτικόν. As the trochee is κορδακικώτερος, it is probably regarded by Aristotle as φορτικωτέρας ἔχων τὰς κινήσεις: cp. Athen. Deipn. 631 d, ὁ μὲν κόρδαξ παρ' Ἑλλησι φορτικὸς ἡ δ' ἐμμέλεια σπουδαία, and 629 b sq. Compare the striking picture in Plut. Sympos. 7. 5. 1 of the effect produced by a skilful αὐλφδός on the guests at a banquet—οὐδὲ γὰρ κατακειμένοις ἔτι βοῶν ἐξήρκει καὶ κροτεῖν, ἀλλὰ τελευτῶντες ἀνεπήδων οἱ πολλοί, καὶ

συνεκινούντο κινήσεις ἀνελευθέρους, πρεπούσας δὲ τοῖς κρούμασιν ἐκείνοις καὶ τοῖς μέλεσιν. We read in Plato, Rep. 400 B, of ἀνελευθερίας καὶ ὕβρεως ἡ μανίας καὶ ἄλλης κακίας πρέπουσαι βάσεις, and in Laws 669 C of ἡυθμούς δούλων καὶ ἀνελευθέρων: cp. also 815 E.

- 10. ἐκ μὲν οὖν τούτων κ.τ.λ. Aristotle says nothing about the question raised in c. 5. 1339 a 25, whether music contributes to διαγωγή and φρόνησις.
- 12. εἶ δὲ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ. The reason for which Pythagoras employed music in education, according to Plut. De Virt. Mor. c. 3. 441 E, was akin to this, but not quite the same. Plutarch there says that he ἐπηγάγετο τὴν μουσικὴν τῆ ψυχῆ κηλήσεως ἔνεκα καὶ παραμυθίας. ὡς οὐ πῶν ἐχούση διδασκαλία καὶ μαθήμασιν ὑπήκοον, οὐδὲ λόγφ μεταβλητὸν ἐκ κακίας, ἀλλά τινος ἔτέρας πειθοῦς συνεργοῦ καὶ πλάσεως καὶ τιθασσεύσεως δεόμενον, εἰ μὴ παντάπασι μέλλοι φιλοσοφία δυσμεταχείριστον εἶναι καὶ ἀπειθές.
- 15. οἱ μὲν γὰρ νέοι κ.τ.λ. Cp. Eth. Nic. 3. 15.1119b 5 sqq., Plato, Laws 659 E, διὰ δὲ τὸ σπουδὴν μὴ δύνασθαι φέρειν τὰς τῶν νέων ψυχὰς παιδιαί τε καὶ φδαὶ καλεῖσθαι (sc. δοκοῦσι) καὶ πράττεσθαι, and Isocr. Areop. § 43, ἐώρων γὰρ . . . τὰς ψυχὰς αὐτῶν (i.e. τῶν νεωτέρων) μάλιστα παιδευθήναι δεομένας ἐπιθυμίαις καλῶν ἐπιτηδευμάτων καὶ πόνοις ἡδονὰς ἔχουσιν ἐν μόνοις γὰρ ὰν τούτοις ἐμμεῖναι τοὺς ἐλευθέρως τεθραμμένους καὶ μεγαλοφρονεῖν εἰθισμένους. Isocrates here (like Plato in Rep. 536 E, ψυχῆ δὲ βίαιον οὐδὲν ἔμμονον μάθημα) adopts the Pythagorean view (Aristox. Fragm. 22: Muller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 2. 279) that study, if it is to come to anything, must be willingly pursued. Aristotle seems to think so too.
- 16. ἡ δὲ μουσικὴ φύσει τῶν ἡδυσμένων ἐστίν. Ἡ μουσική (sc. τέχνη οτ ἐπιστήμη) is ἡδυσμένη because rhythm and harmony and melody go with it (Poet. 6. 1449 b 28 sqq.). In Poet. 6. 1450 b 15 we read τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν πέντε ἡ μελοποιία μέγιστον τῶν ἡδυσμάτων, and Prof. Bywater (Journal of Philology, xiv. 1885, p. 42) would read ἡδυσμάτων here. ᾿Ανήδυντον, however, finds a better antithesis in ἡδυσμένων than in ἡδυσμάτων.
- 17. καί τις ἔοικε συγγένεια κ.τ.λ. Supply πρὸς τὴν ψυχήν (see Vol. ii., p. li., note 4). The sense is—and not only is music τῶν ἡδυσμένων, but it is also akin to the soul (which is not the case with all ἡδυσμένα), and therefore still more congenial to it than those ἡδυσμένα which are not akin to it. I cannot follow Sus. in transposing 17–19 to after ἐλευθεριωτέρας, 10. Cp. Plato, Tim. 47 D, ἡ δὲ ἀρμονία, ξυγγενείς ἔχουσα φορὰς ταῖς ἐν ἡμῶν τῆς ψυχῆς περιόδοις, κ.τ.λ.,

and Probl. 19. 38. 920 b 33, ρυθμφ δε χαίρομεν διὰ τὸ γνώριμον καὶ τεταγμένον ἀριθμὸν ἔχειν καὶ κινεῖν ἡμᾶς τεταγμένως οἰκειοτέρα γὰρ ἡ τεταγμένη κίνησις φύσει τῆς ἀτάκτου, ὥστε καὶ κατὰ φύσιν μᾶλλον. That which is akin to the soul is likely to be suitable to it (cp. c. 7. 1342 a 25: Eth. Nic. 10. 7. 1178 a 5, τὸ γὰρ οἰκεῖον ἐκάστφ τῆ φύσει κράτιστον καὶ ἢδιστόν ἐστιν ἐκάστφ: Plato, Lysis 214 B, 221 E sq.).

- 18. διδ πολλοί κ.τ.λ. The Pythagoreans held that the soul is a harmony, and two of Aristotle's disciples, Aristoxenus and Dicaearchus, followed them in this opinion (see Sus.⁶, 1. 597, who refers to Zeller, Gr. Ph. 1. 444 and 2. 2. 888, 890 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, vol. ii. p. 436 sqq.): cp. De An. 1. 4. 407 b 27 sqq.). The view that the soul has harmony is that of Plato (Phaedo 93: see Sus.⁴, ibid.). For the two views cp. De Caelo 1. 1. 268 a 4, τῶν γὰρ φύσει συνεστώτων τὰ μέν ἐατι σώματα καὶ μεγέθη, τὰ δ' ἔχει σῶμα καὶ μέγεθος, τὰ δ' ἀρχαὶ τῶν ἐχόντων εἰσίν, and Plut. Camill. c. 20, κίνησις δέ τις ἡ σύν τινι κινήσει πάντως ἡ γένεσις.
- C. 6. 20. χειρουργοῦντας takes the place of κιθαρίζοντας (c. 5. 1339 b 8), partly because Aristotle does not wish to prejudge the question of the αὐλός, partly because the use of the word χειρουργεῖν serves to place in a strong light the doubtfulness of the point, the Greeks being prejudiced against χειρουργία. We shall find in the sequel that Aristotle seeks to confine χειρουργία within as narrow limits as possible (cp. 1340 b 35 sqq. and 1341 b 1). He nowhere considers the possible alternative of teaching the young to sing but not to play. The two things usually went together in ancient Greece, so far at any rate as solo-singers were concerned; the singer was expected to be able to accompany himself on the lyre.

21. πρότερον, in c. 5. 1339 a 33 sqq.

22. οὐκ ἄδηλον κ.τ.λ. This takes up 10 sqq., ἐκ μὲν οὖν τούτων κ.τ.λ. For ποιούς τινας cp. c. 5. 1339 a 22, ὡς δυναμένην . ε. καὶ τὴν μουσικὴν τὸ ἦθος ποιόν τι ποιεῖν, ἐθίζουσαν δύνασθαι χαίρειν ὀρθῶς. Το become ποιοί τινες is to acquire a certain ἔξις (Categ. 8. 8 b 25, ποιότητα δὲ λέγω καθ ἡν ποιοί τινες εἶναι λέγονται ἔστι δὲ ἡ ποιότης τῶν πλεοναχῶς λεγομένων. ἐν μὲν οὖν εἶδος ποιότητος ἔξις καὶ διάθεσις λεγέσθωσαν), and it is by acquiring the appropriate δύναμις or ἔξις that we become able to judge of things (De An. 3. 3. 428 a 3). But the ἔξις is acquired by practice (Eth. Nic. 2. 1. 1103 a 31, τὰς δὶ ἀρετὰς λαμβάνομεν ἐνεργήσαντες πρότερον, ὥσπερ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων τεχνῶν κ.τ.λ.). Aristotle's language here seems hardly consistent with his language in Pol. 3. 11. 1281 b 7 sqq. He does not speak there as if it was

necessary to have learnt to sing and play in order to judge of music aright. We are told, again, in 3. 11. 1282 a 17 sqq that there are arts in which the user is a better judge than the master of the art. Are we to infer that music is not one of these? Besides. Aristotle's teaching in the passage before us appears to imply that we ought to practise in youth all kinds of music -- not merely the ethical kind, which he alone recommends for use in the education of the young (c. 7. 1342 a 2), but also practical and enthusiastic airs, for otherwise how can we judge of these aright?—and indeed all arts of the products of which we desire to become good judges (e.g. poetry, painting, sculpture, and architecture, to say nothing of the useful arts). This he does not seem to have observed. experience as to music evidently was that those who did not practise the art up to a certain point were apt to rest content with music possessing merely a physical charm—the music which pleased slaves and children—and did not acquire a liking for noble music. By 'good judges' Aristotle clearly means not good judges of music from a technical point of view, but men capable of taking pleasure in ethically good music (cp. c. 5. 1340 a 17 and 1339 a 24). The difference between γίγνεσθαι, 22, and γενέσθαι, 25, may be illustrated by Plato, Theaet. 155 C, ανευ γάρ τοῦ γίγνεσθοι γενέσθοι άδύνατον (sc. έμε έλάττω): see also Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, § 97.

- 25. ἄμα δὲ καὶ δεῖ τοὺς παίδας ἔχειν τινὰ διατριβήν. The value of education in keeping boys out of mischief is recognized by Isocrates in Panath. § 27 and Areop. § 45: cp. Plato, Protag. 326 A. 'Children are sent to school as much to keep them out of harm's way as to prepare them for after-life,' observes a writer in the Academy for Nov. 9, 1895, who probably remembers and extends to all children a remark as to little children which has been pointed out to me in Spectator, No. 330 (March 19, 1712), 'as little children are sent to school before they are capable of improvement, only to be out of harm's way.'
- 26. την "Αρχύτου πλαταγήν. As to the rattle of Archytas, cp. Plut. Sympos. 7. 10. 1, εἰ δὲ μή, δοτέον ὥσπερ παισὶν ἀτρεμεῖν μή δυναμένοις, οὐ δόρυ καὶ ξίφος, ἀλλὰ πλαταγήν καὶ σφαῖραν (cp. Anthol. Pal. 6. 309), ὥσπερ ὁ θεὸς τὸν νάρθηκα τοῖς μεθύουσω ἐνεχείρισε κουφότατον βέλος καὶ μαλακώτατον ἀμυντήριον, ὅπως, ἐπεὶ τάχιστα παίουσω, ἤκιστα βλάπτωσι: Leutsch and Schneidewin, Paroem. Gr. 1. 213 (where however the invention is ascribed to an Archytas who was a τέκτων):

- Lucr. 5. 229. Archytas was fond of playing with children (Aelian, Var. Hist. 12. 15: Athen. Deipn. 519 b). Πλαταγαί, however, appear to have existed before the time of Archytas, for they were known to Hellanicus and to Pherecydes of Athens (see Göttling's note on the passage before us, and Hellan. Fragm. 61, Pherecyd. Fragm. 32 in Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 53, 78). As to the form 'Αρχύτου see critical note.
- 29. οὐ γὰρ δύναται τὸ νέον ἡσυχάζειν. Sus. compares Plato, Laws 653 D, φησὶ δὲ τὸ νέον ἄπαν, ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, τοῖς τε σώμασι καὶ ταῖς φωναῖς ἡσυχίαν ἄγειν οὐ δύνασθαι κ.τ.λ. Cp. also Laws 664 E, Aristot. Phys. 7. 3. 247 b 18, διὸ καὶ τὰ παιδία οὕτε μανθάνειν δύναται οὕτε κατὰ τὰς αἰσθήσεις ὁμοίως κρίνειν τοῖς πρεσβυτέροις πολλὴ γὰρ ἡ ταραχὴ καὶ ἡ κίνησις, and Plut. Sympos. 7. 10. 1, quoted above on 26.
- 30. ἡ δὲ παιδεία πλαταγή τοῖς μείζοσι τῶν νέων. Are these words present to the mind of the writer of Virg. Catal. 7,

Et vos, Stiloque Tarquitique Varroque, Scholasticorum natio madens pingui, Ite hinc, inane cymbalon iuventutis?

- 33. τὸ δὲ πρέπον καὶ τὸ μὴ πρέπον ταις ἡλικίαις κ.τ.λ. Here the difficulty raised in c. 5. 1339 b 8, ἀλλὰ καὶ βαναύσους καλοῦμεν τοὺς τοιούτους καὶ τὸ πράττειν οὐκ ἀνδρὸς μὴ μεθύοντος ἡ παίζοντος, is taken up and answered.
 - 34. λῦσαι, sc. την ἐπιτίμησιν: cp. 40 sqq.
- 35. πρῶτον μὲν γάρ κ.τ.λ., ' for first, since it is [only] for the sake of judging of music that they are to practise playing [and not for the sake of perfect mastery of execution, on account of this they should indeed practise playing in youth, but as they become older, they should be released from playing, and yet be able to distinguish noble airs and to take pleasure in music aright, thanks to the training which they have received in youth.' Though it is provided here that, as men cease to be youthful, they shall be excused playing on an instrument, singing is apparently contemplated even in the case of aged men in c. 7. 1342 b 20 sqq., if this passage is genuine. Aristotle would seem to be less favourable to playing in the case of adult citizens than many were: not a few Pythagoreans played on the harp (Cic. Tusc. Disp. 5. 39. 113: Aelian, Var. Hist. 14. 23), and on the aillos (Athen. Deipn. 184 e), and so did Epaminondas (Athen. ibid. : Cic. Tusc. Disp. 1. 2. 4). Socrates learnt the harp in old age (Diog. Laert. 2. 32: Val. Max. 8. 7. Ext. 8).

- 42. μέχρι τε πόσου κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 2. 1337 b 15 sqq., and see note. This question receives an answer in 1341 a 5-17. Τοῖς πρὸς ἀρετὴν παιδευομένοις πολιτικήν, 'those who are being educated with a view to excellence as citizens,' and not with a view to excellence as musical executants. Πολιτικήν is emphasized by its position in the sentence. So in De Part. An. 1. 1. 642 a 29 sqq. a contrast is implied between ή πολιτική ἀρετή (including perhaps ἡθική ἀρετή and φρόνησις) and ἡ τῶν φυσιολόγων ἀρετή. Cp. also Isocr. Panath. § 183, τοῖς ἀρετῆς ἀντιποιουμένοις, μὴ τῆς ἐπὶ τῶν τεχνῶν ὀνομαζομένης καὶ πολλῶν ἄλλων, ἀλλὰ τῆς τοῖς καλοῖς κὰγαθοῖς τῶν ἀνδρῶν ἐν ταῖς ψυχαῖς μετ' εὐσεβείας καὶ δικαιοσύνης ἐγγιγνομένης, and see note on 1280 b 5.
- 1. καὶ ποίων μελῶν καὶ ποίων ρυθμῶν κοινωνητέον. The question 1341 a. as to the melodies is answered in 1341 a 9 sqq. and in c. 7. 1341 b 19 sqq., but the question as to the rhythms is not answered in what we possess of the Politics (see vol. i, p. 367, and vol. ii, p. xxviii sq.). We may probably infer, however, from c. 5. 1340 b 7 sqq. that the rhythms used in education will be οἱ ἔχοντον ἡθος στασιμώνερον.
- 2. ἔτι δὲ ἐν ποίοις δργάνοις κ.τ.λ. Answered in 1341 a 17-b 8. Ἐν is used here of the 'medium' of instruction, as it is often used in the Poetics (e.g. in Poet. 1. 1447 b 29) of the medium of imitation (see Eucken, Praepositionen, p. 24: Bon. Ind. 245 b 42 sqq.): cp. Plato, Laches, 182 A, οἱ ἐν τούτοις τοῖς περὶ τὸν πόλεμον δργάνοις γυμναζόμενοι.
- 4. τρόπους τινάς τῆς μουσικῆς, 'some kinds of music' (for the use of τρόποι in the sense of εἴδη see Bon. Ind. 772 b 30 sqq.). Οι τεχνικοὶ τρόποι τῆς μουσικῆς, οἱ πρὸς τοὺς ἀγῶνας (1341 b 10), are referred to: cp. 1341 b 14 sqq.
- 6. μήτε ἐμποδίζειν πρὸς τὰς ὕστερον πράξεις. It is implied that the study of music might stand in the way of a citizen's work in after-life without harming the body. It might do so if it lowered the character or enfectled the intelligence (c. 2. 1337 b 8-11), and this result might well follow if music were studied as festival-performers study it.
- 7. μήτε τὸ σώμα ποιεῖν βάναυσον καὶ ἄχρηστον πρὸς τὰς πολεμικὰς καὶ πολιτικὰς ἀσκήσεις, πρὸς μὲν τὰς χρήσεις ἦδη, πρὸς δὲ τὰς μαθήσεις ὕστερον. By τὰς πολεμικὰς καὶ πολιτικὰς ἀσκήσεις Aristotle probably means 'military and civic training,' 'training designed to develope military and civic virtue': cp. 2. 9. 1271 b 5, μηδὲ ἦσκηκέναι μηθεμίαν ἄσκησιν ἐτέραν κυριωτέραν τῆς πολεμικῆς, 5 (8). 6. 1340 b 42, τοῖς

πρός αρετήν παιδευομένοις πολιτικήν, and 5 (8). 2. 1337 b 8-11. The Words πρός μέν τὰς χρήσεις ήδη, πρός δὲ τὰς μαθήσεις υστερον appear to be added in explanation and limitation of αχρηστον πρὸς τὰς πολεμικὰς καὶ πολιτικὰς ἀσκήσεις, but their meaning is by no means clear. Coray's note on them is "οὐκ ἔρρωται τὸ χωρίον." Some explain ràs yphreis (in the sense of doing the thing studied) as one form of the military and civic training referred to and τλε μαθήσειε as another. and regard χρήσεις as preceding μαθήσεις, because in any training designed to produce good soldiers and citizens practice comes first and instruction in the theory afterwards (cp. c. 3. 1338 b 4, Metaph. 0. 5. 1047 b 31 sqq., and Eth. Nic. 2. 1. 1103 a 31 sqq. and 10. 10. 1179 b 23 sqq.). But what χρήσεις πολεμικαί και πολιτικαί can the boys to whom Aristotle refers be said to perform? Bojesen, on the other hand, followed by Sus., interchanges χρήσεις and μαθήσεις, reading πρός μέν τὰς μαθήσεις ήδη, πρός δὲ τὰς χρήσεις υστερον. Sus.2 appears from the translation which he gives of the passage to supply των πολεμικών και πολιτικών ασκήσεων with μαθήσεις and χρήσεις, but the expressions τας χρήσεις των πολεμικών και πολιτικών ασκήσεων and τὰς μαθήσεις τῶν πολεμικῶν καὶ πολιτικῶν ἀσκήσεων seem rather strange. And, whether we supply these words or not, the question remains, to what μαθήσεις πολεμικαί και πολιτικαί pursued in boyhood and youth does Aristotle refer? He must apparently refer to the ordinary education in gymnastic, music, etc., for we know of no other which he provides for the young. I am inclined, however, to suggest a different interpretation of the passage before us. Should we not supply του σώματος with τας χρήσεις (as Schneider appears to do, for he says, 'χρήσεις sunt cum quis corpore vario modo utitur'), and explain the passage thus, 'the study of music in youth must not render the body unfit for military and civic training either by rendering it unfit for such training in a purely physical way' (literally, 'unfit for the uses of the body') 'or by rendering it an unfit assistant for the mind in studies later on'? That the body may be a help or a hindrance to the use of the mind in study we see from Plato, Rep. 498 B and 536 B, and Protag. 326 B sq.: cp. also Magn. Mor. 2. 10. 1208 a 12 sqq., quoted on 1333 a 21. For τας του σώματος χρήσεις CD 1. 11. 1258 b 38.

10. την μάθησιν, 'the study of music': cp. 6, την μάθησιν αὐτης.
τὰ πρός τοὺς ἀγῶνας τοὺς τεχνικοὺς συντείνοντα. Supply τῶν ἔργων
from 12: cp. 1341 b 9, της ἐργασίας. Does Aristotle refer to the
same kind of performances which Plato rejects in Laws 812 D sq.?

11. τὰ θαυμάσια καὶ περιττὰ τῶν ἔργων κ.τ.λ. Compare a fragment of Anaxilas (Athen. Deipn. 623 e, f: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 352),

ή μουσική δ' Εσπερ Διβύη πρός τῶν θεῶν ἀεί τι καινόν κατ' ἐνιαυτόν θηρίον τίκτει,

where Anaxilas has perhaps before him Plato, Laws 660 B, καινα δε άττα ἀεὶ γιγνόμενα περί τε τὰς ὀρχήσεις καὶ περὶ τὴν ἄλλην μουσικὴν ξύμπασαν κ.τ.λ. These feats of execution in singing and playing are distinguished here from ordinary 'agonistic' performances; the nature of 'agonistic' music may be divined from Probl. 19. 15. 918 b 20 sqq. and Pol. 5 (8). 7. 1342 a 22 sqq. Does Aristotle refer to the musical innovations of Timotheus among others, as to which see Pherecr. Χείρων Fragm. 1 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 326 sqq.)? Cp. also Plato, Laws 812 D-E.

- 18. ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα κ.τ.λ., 'but practise even such music as we have mentioned' (i.e. music that is neither agonistic nor of extraordinary difficulty) 'only to the point at which' etc. For this limitation cp. Plato, Laws 812 B-E.
- 15. καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἔνια ζώων. See vol. i. p. 365, note 1, and cp. Plato, Polit. 268 B, where Plato refers to the effect of music on herds and flocks, and Rep. 620 A, where he speaks of 'swans and other musical animals.' Cp. also Pindar, Fragm. 220, and Athen. Deipn. 328 f. For the conjunction of ζῷα and παιδία, cp. Rhet. 1. II. I371 a 14, ὧν τις πολὺ καταφρονεί, ὥσπερ παιδίων ἡ θηρίων. Eth. Eud. 2. 8. 1224 a 29 and 7. 2. 1236 a 2, and Plato, Theaet. 171 E, πᾶν γύναιον καὶ παιδίον καὶ θηρίον δέ, and Clitophon 409 I), τὰς δὲ τῶν παίδων φιλίας καὶ τὰς τῶν θηρίων κ.τ.λ.
- 17. δηλον δε εκ τούτων και ποίοις δργάνοις χρηστέον. They must not be instruments which serve for festival-competitions or in wonderful feats of execution (10 sqq.).
- 18. οὖτε γὰρ αὐλοὺς κ.τ.λ. Τεχνικὰ ὅργανα are instruments designed for use at festival-competitions (1341 b 10). It is implied here that learning to play on them would tend to make boys ill recipients of musical and other training. Why is this? Probably because learning to play on them trained the hand rather than the mind (1341 b 1, 6 sqq.). The cithara must have come by Aristotle's time to differ a good deal from the lyre; still Plato retains (Rep. 399 D) both lyre and cithara. Αὐτῶν, 20, i.e. τῶν ὀργάνων.
- 21. ἔτι δὲ οὐκ ἔστιν κ.τ.λ. This is a second argument against the use of the αὐλός in education. It is not an instrument expressive of

ethical character (see above on 1340 a 36), but rather one for employment in orgiastic rites (compare the use of the word Bakkeia in c. 7. 1342 b 4 in connexion with δργιαστικά και παθητικά), one which produces that modification of emotion which orginstic rites produce. For παθητικόν is a wider term than δργιαστικόν: not all things that are παθητικά are δργιαστικά. The use of the αὐλός in the worship of Dionysus (Virg. Aen. 11. 737, ubi curva choros indixit tibia Bacchi) and of Cybele (Hor. Carm. 4. 1. 22, Berecyntiae tibiae) is well known. Cp. also Strabo, pp. 466, 468, and Plato, Crito 54 D. 'One who listens to the remarkable music of the flute and cymbals at the dances of dervishes in Konia or Kara Hissar of Phrygia can understand the intoxicating influence which it had over the devotees and populace of antiquity' (Prof. W. M. Ramsay in Journal of Hellenic Studies, 8, 510). Some light is thrown on the effect of the avidos in orginstic worship by Plut. Sympos. 3. 8. 2, ώσπερ ή θρηνωδία καὶ ὁ ἐπικήδειος αὐλὸς ἐν ἀρχη πάθος κινεί και δάκρυον εκβάλλει, προάγων δε την ψυχην είς οίκτον, ούτω κατά μικρου εξαίρει και αναλίσκει το λυπητικόν. As to κάθαρσιν cp. c. 7. 1342 a 8 sqq. and see note on this passage. Compare also Plut. Pelopid. c. 19 (quoted above on 1274 a 32), where however the αὐλόs is regarded, not as a means of stirring, and so carrying off. emotion, but as a means of softening the untempered strength of the spirited element in the Theban character.

22. Δστε πρός τους τοιούτους κ.τ.λ. For τους τοιούτους καιρούς έν ols κ.τ.λ. see note on 1337 b 6. Bonitz (Ind. 329 a 43) appears to give ή θεωρία here the sense of 'spectaculum,' to judge by the passages with which he groups the passage before us, as do also Sepulveda and some others, but it probably means much the same thing as i akpóasus (Sus. Ind. s. v.); we must, however, remember that αύλησις was accompanied with certain bodily movements on the part of the performer (1341 b 18), so that there was something to be seen as well as heard. For the contrast between κάθαρσις and μάθησις here compare the contrast between παθείν and μαθείν in Aristot. Fragm. 15 in Rose's second edition of the Fragments (Fragm. 45. 1483 a 19 in the first), καθάπερ 'Αριστοτέλης άξιοι τους τελουμένους οὐ μαθείν τι δείν άλλά παθείν καὶ διοτεθήναι, δηλονότι γενομένους έπιτηδείους (Synes. Dion, c. 10). That μάθησις may be derived by persons of mature age from listening to music (and it is persons of mature age, not boys, that Aristotle has in view here) appears from c. 5. 1339 a 34 sqq.

- 24. προσθώμεν δὲ κ.τ.λ. In interfering with the use of language the use of the αὐλός interferes with a means of education, for the air and the words sung exercise an educating influence on the singer. Cp. also De Part. An. 2. 16. 659 b 30, οι δ΄ ἄνθρωποι μαλακά καὶ σαρκώδη καὶ δυνάμενα χωρίζεσθαι (sc. τὰ χείλη ἔχουσι), φυλατῆς τε ἔνεκα τῶν ὀδόντων ὥσπερ καὶ τὰ ἄλλα, καὶ μᾶλλον ἔτι διὰ τὸ εὖ πρὸς γὰρ τὸ χρῆσθαι τῷ λόγφ καὶ ταῦτα.
- 26. διὸ καλῶς κ.τ.λ. 'Αποδοκιμάζειν τὴν χρῆσιν τοῦ αὐλοῦ ἐκ τῶν νέων καὶ τῶν ἐλευθέρων is a rugged expression, and I have not happened to meet with any parallel to it. There is less ruggedness in the language of Plutarch in Reip. Gerend. Pracc. c. 30, ὥσπερ οὖν ὁ Πλάτων ἀφεῖλε τῶν παιδευομένων νέων τὴν ἀρμονίαν τὴν Λύδιον καὶ τὴν Φρύγιον κ.τ.λ.
- 27. καίπερ χρησάμενοι τὸ πρώτον αὐτώ. We read of poets at a very early date who were also performers on the aillos-Mimnermus of Colophon and Smyrna at the end of the seventh century B.c. (Strabo, p. 643) and Sacadas of Argos at the beginning of the sixth (Plut. De Musica, c. 9). Compare Athen. Deipn. 184 C, έμελε δε τοις πάλαι πάσιν Έλλησι μουσικής διόπερ και ή αυλητική περισπούδαστος ήν. Χαμαιλέων γοῦν ὁ Ἡρακλεώτης ἐν τῷ ἐπιγραφομένφ Προτρεπτικώ Λακεδαιμονίους φησί και Θηβαίους πάντας αὐλεῖν μανθάνειν, Ήρακλεώτας τε τοὺς έν τῷ Πόντφ καθ έαυτὸν ἔτι, ᾿Αθηναίων τε τοὺς ἐπιφανεστάτους, Καλλίαν τε τὸν Ἱππονίκου καὶ Κριτίαν τὸν Καλλαίσχρου. Athenaeus shows by quotations from the Dairaless of Aristophanes (Fragm. 17: Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 1037) and from the Ephialtes of Phrynichus (Fragm. 3: Meineke 2. 581), that the abhor was commonly taught to boys in the days when these plays were performed. A reaction set in at Athens against the study of the aildes, aided perhaps by the increased hostility to Thebes which must have resulted from the Athenian defeat at Dehum (B.C. 424), and certainly by the influence of Alcibiades (vol. i. p. 365, note 3), but Archytas at Tarentum, among other Pythagoreans, and Epaminondas at Thebes are said to have played on the aidin (Athen. Deipn. 184e: see above on 1340 b 35), and we have already seen that at the Pontic Heracleia, a city in the population of which a Boeotian element was included (Paus. 5. 26. 7), the custom of learning to play on it still prevailed in the time of Chamaeleon (a little later than Aristotle), so that when Aristotle speaks of the study of the aillor as 'rejected,' we may take him to refer to the general rule.

- 28. σχολαστικώτεροι γάρ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle appears to be speaking of the Hellenes of Greece Proper, for they alone took part in the repulse of the Persian invasion in B.C. 480 and 479, and it is of this that the expression tà Mydiká is commonly used (Busolt, Gr. Gesch., ed. 2, 2, 614. 1). When Aristotle looks back to an increase of wealth and leisure and a consequent widening of study at a date even prior to the invasion of Xerxes, he may be referring to the time of Anacharsis and to the story about him which Herodotus (4. 77) rejects, ώς . . . δπίσω ἀπονοστήσας φαίη πρὸς τὸν ἀποπέμψαντα "Ελληνας πάντας ἀσχόλους είναι ές πασαν σοφίην πλήν Λακεδαιμονίων, τούτοισι δὲ είναι μούνοισι σωφρόνως δοῦναί τε καὶ δέξασθαι λόγον. After the repulse of Xerxes the Greeks of Greece Proper threw themselves with vigour into the study of painting sculpture and architecture; the dramatic art made a great advance, and many States began for the first time to strike coins. Cp. Diod. 12. 1. 4. A similar spirit shows itself, though less happily, in the determination of Themistocles and Thucydides, son of Melesias, that their sons should be made marvellous horsemen and wrestlers (Plato, Meno 93-94). Compare with Aristotle's picture of Greece after the Persian Wars what we read of the intellectual and artistic progress of the United Provinces after the War of Independence. M. Lesevre-Pontalis remarks in his Life of John de Witt (Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 12) that 'prosperity and freedom combined had been for the United Provinces the signal for a sudden blossoming of arts, sciences, and letters,' and illustrates his remark by enumerating the painters, jurists, philosophers, scholars, soldiers, and men of science who flourished in the United Provinces at this epoch. That leisure was thought to be favourable to high aims we see from c. 2. 1337 b 14 sq. and 7 (5). 11. 1313 b 1 sqq.
- 81. οὐδὰν διακρίνοντες ἀλλ' ἐπιζητοῦντες, 'making no distinction between things, but seeking out fresh studies.' Cp. Hdt. 3. 39, ἔφερε δὰ καὶ ἢγε πάντας διακρίνων οὐδένα, Hippocr. De Aere, Aquis, Locis, vol. i. p. 535 Kithn, ὅστις μὰν ὑγιαίνει τε καὶ ἔρρωται μηδὲν διακρίνειν, ἀλλὰ πίνειν αἰεὶ τὸ παρεόν, and Antiphanes, "Αρχων (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 3. 22), μηδ' ἔτερ' ἐπιζήτει καλά.
- 82. ήγαγον πρός τὰς μαθήσεις. Vict. 'adduxerunt in ordinem eorum quae discerentur.' Cp. 18, εἰς παιδείαν ἀκτέον.
- 88. καὶ γὰρ ἐν Λακεδαίμονί κ.τ.λ. The ordinary practice was that an aulêtês was allotted to the chorêgus to accompany the chorus, the aulêtês being commonly a man of inferior social

position (cp. Athen. Deipn. 624 b, διὸ καὶ τοὺς παρὰ τοῖς Ἑλλησω αὐλητὰς φρυγίους καὶ δουλοπρεπεῖς τὰς προσηγορίας ἔχεω οἰός ἐστω ὁ παρὰ ᾿λλκμῶπ Σάμβας καὶ Ἅδων καὶ Τῆλος, παρὰ δὲ Ἰππώνακτι Κίων καὶ Κώδαλος καὶ Βάβυς), while the chorêgus was a man of wealth and rank, but in this instance the chorêgus himself acted as aulêtês. We learn from this passage that chorêgi existed in the Lacedaemonian State; their existence at Athens is a familiar fact, and they can be shown to have existed in other States also (see Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. 2. 372. ¹). As Sus. ⁴ (1. 603) points out, the chorus at Lacedaemon here mentioned was probably not a dramatic but a lyrical chorus.

34. ἐπεχωρίασεν, sc. ή αὐλητική.

οί πολλοὶ τῶν ἐλευθέρων, 'most of the gentlefolks.' Οἱ ἐλεύθεροι are contrasted with θῆτες in 1341 b 13 sq., and with βάναυσοι and θῆτες in c. 7. 1342 a 18 sqq., where ἐλεύθερος is conjoined with πεπαιδευμένος.

- 35. δήλον δὲ κ.τ.λ. Chorêgi sometimes dedicated to Dionysus a tablet recording the victory of the poet whose play they had brought out: so we read of Themistocles in Plut. Themist. c. 5, ἐνίκησε δὲ καὶ χορηγῶν τραγφδοῖς . . . καὶ πίνακα τῆς νέκης ἀνίθηκε, τοιαύτην ἐπεγραφὴν ἔχοντα, "Θεμιστοκλῆς Φρεάρριος ἐχορήγει, Φρύνεχος ἐδίδασκεν, 'Αδείμαντος ἦρχεν" (cp. Busolt, Gr. Gesch., ed. 2, 3. 1. 108). See A. Müller, Die griech. Bühnenalterth., p. 418, who follows Bergk in comparing Theophrast. Char. 22, ὁ δὲ ἀνελεύθερος τοιοῦτός τις, οἰος νικήσας τραγφδοῖς ταινίαν ξυλίνην ἀναθεῖναι τῷ Διονύσφ, ἐπιγράψας μὲν (μόνον?) αὐτοῦ τὸ ὅνομα κ.τ.λ. The tablet mentioned by Aristotle here cannot of course have shown that most Athenians of respectability were able to play on the αὐλός: it can only have recorded the name of one such Athenian as having acted as aulêtês on this particular occasion. As to Ecphantides, one of the earliest comic poets at Athens, see Sus., and Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. Gr. p. 35 8qq.
- 37. ὖστερον δ' ἀπεδοκιμάσθη κ.τ.λ. Supply ὁ αὐλός. 'But afterwards it was rejected [as an instrument for the young and for gentlefolks] simply by force of experience.'
- 39. δμοίως δέ, sc. ἀπεδοκιμάσθη. It is evident that at one time not only the αὐλός, but also the five instruments mentioned here, together with others which, like them, required manual dexterity and skill, were used by the young and by gentlefolks, but that they were afterwards discarded, so far as these classes were concerned, because they were thought not to contribute to virtue. They were probably regarded as training the hand rather than the mind or

character, and of three of them-sambucae and triangular and septangular harps—we read that their strength lay in pleasing the ear of the listener, not in anything ennobling. Music, however, that is merely for pleasure is said to be no better than a 'plaything' by Plato (Polit. 288 C: cp. Gorg. 501 E and Laws 700 D sqq.); the best type of music leads on to the love of τὸ καλόν (Rep. 403 C). As to the instruments here named see Sus.4, 1. p. 632 sqq., and Blümner, Home Life of the Ancient Greeks, Eng. Trans., pp. 312-314. The sambuca was high-pitched and piercing in tone (Aristid. Quint. De Mus. p. 101, την δέ σαμβύκην πρός θηλύτητα (ἀναλογοῦσαν), ἀγεννη τε οῦσαν καὶ μετὰ πολλης ὀξύτητος διά την μικρότητα των χορδων είς εκλυσιν περιάγουσαν: cp. Athen. Deipn. 633 f), whereas the lyre was deeper in tone (Aristid. Quint. ibid). Trigona and pectides (which were a kind of harp) had already been rejected by Plato in Rep. 399 C as being 'manystringed and many-toned,' but the number of strings in the pectis seems to have varied (Sus.4, 1. p. 632 sq.), and Aristotle does not name this ground for rejecting them. Opinion at Mytilene was probably favourable to the sambuca, for we read in Euphorion ap. Athen. Deipn. 182 f of a statue of one of the Muses there, who was represented holding a sambuca.

- 2. εὐλόγως δ' ἔχει κ.τ.λ. For this myth see Ovid, Fasti 6. 695 sqq.: Hygin. Fab. 165 (quoted in Bull. de Corr. Hellénique 12. 107): Paus. 1. 24. 1: Aristid. Quint. De Mus. p. 109. As Schneider points out, Aristotle evidently has before him the lines of Melanippides (Fragm. 2 Bergk) and the reply of Telestes (Fragm. 1 Bergk): see for both Athen. Deipn. 616 sq. Some, however, ascribed the invention of the αὐλός to Apollo (Plut. De Mus. c. 14). Τῶν αὐλῶν and τοὺς αὐλούς, because two pipes and not one were commonly used for playing in Greece.
- 4. οὐ κακῶς μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. According to Melanippides (Fragm. 2) Athena had said, when casting away the αὐλοί,

ἔρρετ' αἴσχεα, σώματι λύμα, οῦ με τὰδ' ἐγὼ κακότατι δίδωμι.

6. οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ κ.τ.λ. Aristotle probably remembers an old saying (Athen. Deipn. 337 e),

ανδρί μέν αὐλητηρι θεοί νόον οὐκ ἐνέφυσαν, αλλ' άμα τῷ φυσην χω νόος ἐκπέταται.

Cp. Aristid. Quint. De Mus. p. 109, ἀπορρίψαι τὴν θεόν φασι τοὺς αὐλοὺς ὡς οὐ πρόσφορον ἡδονὴν ἐπιφέροντας τοῖς σοφίας ἐφιεμένοις: also

Plato, Laws 644 A, τὴν δὲ εἰς χρήματα τείνουσαν ἢ τινα πρὸς ἰσχὰν ἢ καὶ πρὸς ἄλλην τινὰ σοφίαν ἄνευ νοῦ καὶ δίκης βάναυσόν τ' εἴναι καὶ ἀνελεύθερον καὶ οὐκ ἀξίαν τὸ παράπαν παιδείαν καλεῖσθαι, and Eth. Nic. 7.

12. 1152 b 16, ἔτι ἐμπόδιον τῷ φρονεῖν αὶ ἡδοναί, καὶ ὅσφ μᾶλλον χαίρει, μᾶλλον κ.τ.λ. For πρὸς τὴν διάνοιαν οὐδέν ἐστιν ἡ παιδεία τῆς αὐλήσεως, 'training in flute-playing contributes in no way to the intelligence,' cp. Chrysipp. ap. Plut. De Stoicorum Repugnantiis, c. 14, πρῶτον γὰρ ἡ ἀρετὴ ψιλῶς οὐδέν ἐστι πρὸς τὸ ζῆν ἡμᾶς, οὕτω δ' οὐδ' ἡ κακία οὐδέν ἐστι πρὸς τὸ δεῖν ἡμᾶς ἀπιέναι, and Non posse suaviter vivi secundum Epicurum, c. 6, τὸ δὲ μηδὲν ἀδικεῖν οὐδέν ἐστι πρὸς τὸ θαρρεῖν.

7. τη δὲ ᾿Αθηνὰ τὴν ἐπιστήμην περιτίθεμεν καὶ τὴν τέχνην. 'Science' and 'skill in art' are mentioned together, just as we have in Plato, Ion 536 C, οὐ γὰρ τέχνη οὐδ' ἐπιστήμη περὶ 'Ομήρου λέγεις ἀ λέγεις, ἀλλὰ θεία μοίρα καὶ κατοκωχῆ, and in Theaet. 207 C, ἀντὶ δοξαστικοῦ τεχνικόν τε καὶ ἐπιστήμονα περὶ ἀμάξης οὐσίας γεγονέναι. In the passage before us καὶ τὴν τέχνην is probably added in explanation and limitation of τὴν ἐπιστήμην, for it is the less wide term of the two: cp. De Soph. Elench. 6, 168 b 6, οἱ τεχνῖται καὶ ὅλως οἱ ἐπιστήμονες. As to Athena cp. Hom. Odyss. 13. 297,

έπεὶ σὺ μέν ἐσσι βροτῶν ὅχ΄ ἄριστος ἀπάντων βουλῆ καὶ μύθοισιν, ἐγὼ δ΄ ἐν πᾶσι θεοῖσιν μήτι τε κλέομαι καὶ κέρδεσιν,

where she is the speaker: Il. 5. 60 sq., 9. 390, and 15. 411 sq.: Plato, Polit. 274 C (cp. Plut. De Fortuna, c. 4): and Paus. 8. 36. 5, εστι δὲ 'Αθηνᾶς ἱερὸν ἐπίκλησιν Μαχανίτιδος, ὅτι βουλευμάτων ἐστὶν ἡ θεὸς παντοίων καὶ ἐπιτεχνημάτων εὐρέτις. So we read of the Anaxagoreans in Syncell. Chron. p. 149 C (quoted by Zeller, Gr. Ph. 1. 913. 4), ἐρμηνεύουσι δὲ οἱ 'Αναξαγόριοι τοὺς μυθώδεις θεούς, νοῦν μὲν τὸν Δία, τὴν δὲ 'Αθηνᾶν τέχνην.

- 8. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. The apodosis to this protasis virtually comes in c. 7. 1341 b 19, σκεπτέον δ' ἔτι κ.τ.λ., though by the time Aristotle reaches these words he has forgotten the existence of his protasis, owing to the interposition of the long parenthesis (10-18) in which he states his reasons for excluding a professional study of music. Compare 1. 12. 1259 a 37 sqq., where the same thing occurs.
- 10. τεχνικὴν δὲ τίθεμεν τὴν πρὸς τοὺς ἀγῶνας. This is added because the word τεχνικός was commonly used in a different sense. Plato had already said of ἡ κιθαριστικὴ ἡ ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσι that its only aim is pleasure (Gorg. 501 E), and Aristotle here has before him the whole passage, Gorg. 501 B-502 A.

ἐν ταύτη γὰρ κ.τ.λ. Ἐν ταύτη = ἐν τῆ πρὸς τοὺς ἀγῶνας παιδεία. Γάρ introduces the reason why Aristotle rejects study with a view to festival-competitions, not the reason why he calls this study τεχνική: hence the parenthesis which Sus. begins before τεχνικήν should rather begin before ἐν ταύτη. The object with which an ἐλεύθερος should study is his own improvement in virtue (c. 2. 1337 b 17 sqq.). The ἐλεύθερος is defined in Metaph. A. 2. 982 b 25 sqq. as ὁ αὐτοῦ ἔνεκα καὶ μὴ ἄλλου ὧν: it is characteristic of him not to live for the convenience of another (Rhet. 1. 9. 1367 a 31 sq.). To do things with a view to the virtue of others would be more befitting to him than to contribute merely to their pleasure (cp. Plato, Gorg. 500 A sq.). Thus αὐτοῦ and ἀρετῆς are both of them emphatic, and also τῶν ἀκουόντων and τῆς ἡδονῆς.

14. For καὶ ... δή see note on 1253 a 18. We expect βάναυσον rather than βαναύσους, but see note on 1338 b 1.

πονηρός γὰρ ὁ σκοπὸς πρὸς δυ ποιοῦνται τὸ τέλος, 'for the object is evil with a view to which they select their end' (cp. c. 5. 1339 b 31, συμβέβηκε δὲ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ποιεῖσθαι τὰς παιδιὰς τέλος, and Rhet. 2. 18. 1391 b 16, ὡσαύτως δὲ καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἐπιδεικτικοῖς. ὡσπερ γὰρ πρὸς κριτὴν τὸν θεωρὸν ὁ λόγος συνέστηκεν). Competitors at a festival play, or sing and play, in the way which is most likely to please the less refined among their audience.

17. αὐτούς τε ποιούς τινας ποιεί καὶ τὰ σώματα διὰ τὰς κινήσεις. Ποιούς τινας, i.e. βαναύσους. As to the κινήσεις of performers on the αὐλός, cp. Poet. 26. 1461 b 29, ώς γάρ οὐκ αἰσθανομένων, ἄν μὴ αὐτὸς προσθή, πολλήν κίνησιν κινούνται, οίον οἱ φαῦλοι αὐληταὶ κυλιόμενοι, ἄν δίσκον δέη μιμεῖσθαι, καὶ έλκοντες τὸν κορυφαΐον, ἄν Σκύλλαν αὐλῶσιν: Athen. Deipn. 21 f, Φίλλις δ Δήλιος μουσικός τους άρχαίους φησι κιθαρφδούς κινήσεις ἀπὸ μεν τοῦ προσώπου μικράς φέρειν, ἀπὸ ποδών δε πλείους, έμβατηρίους καὶ χορευτικάς, and 22 C, Θεόφραστος δὲ πρῶτόν φησιν Ανδρωνα τον Καταναίον αὐλητὴν κινήσεις καὶ ρυθμούς ποιήσαι τῷ σώματι αὐλοῦντα δθεν σικελίζειν τὸ δρχεῖσθαι παρά τοῖς παλαιοῖς μεθ δν Κλεόλαν τον Θηβαίον (cp. Scholiast. Aeschin, quoted by Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. Gr. p. 336): and Paus. 9. 12. 6, λέγεται δὲ ώς καὶ τοῦ προσώπου τφ σχήματι και τη του παντός κινήσει σώματος περισσώς δή τι έτερπε (Πρόνομος) τὰ θέατρα. Pronomus was a famous player on the αὐλός. In a bas-relief found at Mantineia (see Bull. de Corr. Hell. 12. 105 sqq., esp. p. 110 sq.) the contorted attitude of Marsyas, as he plays on the aillos in competition with the cithara of Apollo, is in strong contrast with the calm bearing of the god.

- 19. Σκεπτέον δ' έτι κ.τ.λ. Aristotle has finished what he had to C. 7. say about opyava and ipyavia, and now only one of the subjects mentioned in c. 6. 1340 b 41 sqq. remains to be dealt with, and this is ποίων μελών καὶ ποίων ρυθμών κοινωνητέον (i.e. τοις πρός αρετήν παιδευομένοις πολιτικήν). But in taking up this subject for consideration Aristotle gives a wider extension to it than he had led us to expect that he would, and announces that he will inquire generally περί τε τὰς άρμονίας καὶ τοὺς ρυθμούς, as well as with regard to their use in education. And in fact we find in the sequel that he inquires in this chapter not only what harmonies are to be used in education, but also what are to be used for the other purposes served by music. This is quite in accordance with c. 5, 1339 a 11 sqq., where the question proposed for discussion is the broad question, for how many purposes music is useful. We see, therefore, that the Fifth Book of the Politics is not exclusively concerned with questions relating to the education of youth, but occupies itself also with the question for what purposes music is to be used in adult life. As to the state of the text in 19-26 see critical note.
- 21. τοις πρός παιδείαν διαπονούσι, 'those who are practising music with a view to education': cp. τὰ τοιαιτα διαπονείν, c. 5. 1339 a 39. Cp. also Plut. Pericl. c. 4, 'Αριστοτέλης δὲ παρὰ Πυθοκλείδη μουσικὴν διαπονηθῆναι τὸν ἄνδρα φησίν, and Plato, Laws 818 A.
- 23. την μεν μουσικην δρώμεν διά μελοποιίας και ρυθμών οδσαν. Sepulv. 'musicam in cantus modulatione et rythmis consistere,' and so most interpreters, but Vict. explains, 'musicam exerceri colique et per cantus et per numeros,' and perhaps he is right. Cp. 4(7).

 2. 1324 a 15, δ διά τοῦ συμπολιτεύεσθαι και κοινωνεῖν πόλεως (κίσε).
- 24. τούτων δ' ἐκάτερον κ.τ.λ. What the difference is between the educational effect of rhythm and melody, Aristotle does not tell us, for the promise here given of a discussion of the subject is not fulfilled in what we possess of the Politics. We see from Plato, Laws 655 A, that ρυθμός makes men εὔρυθμοι and ἀρμονία makes them εὐάρμοστοι, but Aristotle probably has in view some more tangible difference than this. The εὔρυθμος μουσική would be the better preparation for war (cp. Polyb. 4. 20. 6). But the key to the question which of the two kinds is the better for education will be found in the question which benefits the character most.
- 27. νομίσαντες οδν κ.τ.λ. Cp. 1342 a 31 sq. Specialists in music (μουσικοί, cp. 1342 b 23, τῶν περὶ τὴν μουσικήν τινες) are distinguished here from philosophers who have received a musical training

(cp. 1341 b 33, τινές τῶν ἐν φιλοσοφία, and c. 5. 1340 b 5 sq., and see below on 1342 a 31, and cp. for ἐκ Aeschin. c. Ctes. c. 232). Works seem to have been in existence written by men belonging to each of these classes, which went into full detail both on the subject of harmonies and rhythms and on that of musical education. As to the persons referred to see note on 1340 b 5.

- 31. As to the meaning of νομικώς see Sus., who renders the word formally, and compares Metaph. M. 1. 1076 a 27, άπλῶς καὶ ὅσον νόμου χάριν. But does not the word mean fafter the fashion of a law, i.e. in a broad and general way, as a law does? Cp. 3. 15. 1286 a 9 sqq., and Plato, Laws 876 D, οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ὅπερ πολλάκις εἴπομέν τε καὶ ἐδράσαμεν ἐν τῷ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν νομοθετήσει νόμων, τὸ περιγραφήν τε καὶ τοὺς τύπους τῶν τιμωριῶν εἰπόντας δοῦναι τὰ παραδείγματα τοῖσι δικασταῖς τοῦ μή ποτε βαίνειν ἔξω τῆς δίκης κ.τ.λ.
- 32. ἐπεὶ δὲ κ.τ.λ. The classification of melodies here given rests on the familiar distinction of ήθη πράξεις and πάθη (cp. Poet. 1. 1447 a 28), for ενθουσιασμός is a πάθος (Pol. 5(8), 5, 1340 a 11). Of the four kinds of tragedy mentioned in Poet. 18. 1455 b 32 sqq. one is ή ήθική and another ή παθητική. Compare also Strabo, p. 15, τουναντίον δ' οί παλαιοί φιλοσοφίαν τινά λέγουσι πρώτην την ποιητικήν, ελσάγουσαν ελε τὸν βίον ήμας εκ νέων και διδάσκουσαν ήθη και πάθη και πράξεις μεθ ήδουης. 'Ηθικά μέλη are those which imitate and mould to virtue (see above on 1340 a 36); as to πρακτικά μέλη, ' melodies which imitate and stir to action, cp. Probl. 19. 48. 922 b 12, ηθος δέ έχει ή μεν ύποφρυγιστί πρακτικόν, διό και εν τε τῷ Γηρυόνη ή εξοδος και ή εξόπλισις έν ταύτη πεποίηται, and Poet. 24. 1450 b 37, τὸ δὲ Ιαμβικὸν καὶ τετράμετρου κινητικά, τὸ μὲν ὀρχηστικόν, τὸ δὲ πρακτικόν. So in Aelian, Var. Hist. 2.44 a trumpeter is described as playing τὸ παρορμητικὸν μέλος, διάτορόν τε και γεγωνός ὅτι μάλιστα και οίον είς την μάχην έγερτήριον. Πρακτικά μέλη seem to have been the favourites at Sparta: cp. Plut. Lycurg. c. 21, and Inst. Lac. § 14, ἐσπούδαζον δὲ καὶ περὶ τὰ μέλη καὶ τας φδάς οὐδεν ήττον κεντρον δ' είχε ταῦτα εγερτικόν θυμοῦ καὶ φρονήματος καὶ παραστατικόν όρμης ενθουσιώδους καὶ πρακτικής. Plutarch, however, gives a somewhat different account of Spartan songs in Lycurg. C. 4, λόγοι γαρ ήσαν αι ώδαι πρός εὐπείθειαν και δμόνοιαν ανακλητικοί δια μελών άμα καὶ ρυθμών πολύ τὸ κόσμιον έχόντων καὶ καταστατικόν, δυ ακροώμενοι κατεπραύνοντο λεληθότως τὰ ήθη καὶ συνωκειούντο τῷ ζήλω τῶν καλών. As to πρακτικά μέλη, which were of course not exclusively warlike, see vol. i. p. 367, note 1. Dr. Johnson describes in his Journey to the Western Islands (Works, 8. 279) how the strokes of

the reapers' sickles 'were timed by the modulation of the harvestsong, in which all their voices were united,' and adds that 'they accompany in the Highlands every action which can be done in equal time with an appropriated strain, which has, they say, not much meaning, but its effects are regularity and cheerfulness. The ancient proceleusmatic song, by which the rowers of galleys were animated, may be supposed to have been of this kind.'

- 33. &s is followed in 35 by kai. For instances of a similar structure see note on 1313 b 13.
- 35. καὶ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν κ.τ.λ., 'and they lay down that musical modes are appropriate in nature to each of these, one mode answering to one kind of melody and another to another.' The Dorian mode was the appropriate harmony for ἡθικὰ μέλη, the Phrygian for ἐνθονσιαστικά, and perhaps the hypo-Phrygian (see above on 32) for πρακτικά. Μέρος seems here to be used in the sense of είδος (cp. διαίρεσιν, 32): for this use of the word see Bon. Ind. 455 b 46 sqq.
- 36. φαμέν δὲ κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 5. 1339 b 14. Plato, on the other hand, had spoken in Laws 659 D-660 A as if the ethical use of music was its only use. For καὶ πλειόνων see note on 1287 a 34.
- 38. καθάρσεως—τί δὲ λέγομεν τὴν κάθαρσιν κ.τ.λ. See below on 1342 a 8. The promise here given of a full explanation of the word κάθαρσις is not fulfilled in the Poetics as it has come down to us: see note in Sus.4. For other matters known to have found a place in Aristotle's treatise which are wanting in our Poetics, see Zeller, Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 107. 1 (Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. i. p. 102. 2). 'We possess Aristotle's treatise,' he remarks, 'only in a text mutilated and in many ways corrupted.' For ἀπλῶς, 'in a general way,' cp. Magn. Mor. 1. 4. 1185 a 38, ἀλλ' ἴσως οὐχ Ικανὸν οὖτως ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν, ἀλλὰ σαφέστερον διορίσαι δεῖ.
- 40. τρίτον δὲ πρὸς διαγωγήν κ.τ.λ. Bernays translates in his Grundzüge der verlorenen Abhandlung des Aristoteles über Wirkung der Tragödie, p. 7 (ed. 1880), 'drittens zur Ergötzung, um sich zu erholen und abzuspannen,' but if, as he seems to think, the words πρὸς ἄνεσίν τε καὶ πρὸς τὴν τῆς συντονίας ἀνάπαυσιν are added in explanation of πρὸς διαγωγήν, the word διαγωγή must here be used in a different sense from that in which it is used elsewhere in the Fifth Book, e.g. in c. 5. 1339 b 14, where it is distinguished from παιδιά. This is not perhaps impossible, but it is more likely that

διαγωγή is used here in the same sense as in 1339 b 14, and that it is linked with άνεσις and της συντονίας ἀνάπαυσις because it has already been so linked in c. 5. 1339 b 15 sqq. Zeller, indeed, thinks (Gr. Ph. 2. 2. 771. 1: Aristotle and the Earlier Peripatetics, Eng. Trans., vol. ii. p. 308. 1) that Aristotle intends ἄνεσις and της συντονίας ἀνάπαυσις to come in as a fourth end and not as a part of the third, though the word τέταρτον is not used. Sus. would read ταύτης δ' ή πρὸς διαγωγήν ή πρὸς ἄνεσίν τε καὶ πρὸς τὴν τῆς συντονίας ἀνάπαυσιν partly for other reasons and partly because he regards it as inconceivable 'that the cathartic enjoyment could possibly be anything else but either that of pure amusement and sensuous delight or the genuine higher aesthetic enjoyment which is a part of the highest intellectual culture and rational satisfaction' (see Sus.4, 1. p. 638 sqq.), but if any change in the text is necessary, I should be content with the insertion of ή before πρὸς ἄνεσιν.

- 1. φανερον ὅτι χρηστέον μὲν πάσαις ταῖς ἀρμονίαις, οὐ τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον πάσαις χρηστέον. Aristotle probably refers only to the modes which are not παρεκβάσεις, yet he finds a use in 22 sqq. even for those which are. For the repetition of πάσαις see note on 1283 a 30.
- 2. πρός μέν την παιδείαν ταις ήθικωτάταις. As to the meaning of ηθικωτάταις here and ηθικοῖς in 28 see note on 1340 a 36. Aristotle may use the plural because he is prepared to approve, in addition to the Dorian mode, of the ὑποδωριστί, of which we read in Probl. 19. 48. 922 b 14 that it is μεγαλοπρεπές και στάσιμον, but perhaps it is more likely that he does not wish to exclude any modes which may be recommended as ήθικώταται by ol κοινωνοί της έν φιλοσοφία διατριβής και της περι την μουσικήν παιδείας (cp. 30 sqq.). He rejects without consideration the possible claims of πρακτικά and ένθουσιαστικά μέλη to a place, however subordinate, in the education of the young, but he might well have considered whether they do not deserve to be occasionally used. Are not boys, or some of them at any rate, παθητικοί, and might not κάθαρσιε by music be sometimes useful to such boys even educationally? Might not airs which stir to action also be a useful element in the education of some boys? It is not clear that Aristotle objects to the presence of boys at the performance of πρακτικά καὶ ἐνθουσιαστικά μέλη, any more than he objects to their presence at the performance of tragedies (cp. 4 (7). 17. 1336 b 20 sqq.), but he certainly allows no place in education to music of these two kinds.

- 4. καὶ ταῖς πρακτικαῖς καὶ ταῖς ἐνθουσιαστικαῖς. Sus. is probably right in translating these words 'also the practical and the enthusiastic': cp. 1. 2. 1253 a 14, δ δὲ λόγος ἐπὶ τῷ δηλοῦν ἐστὶ τὸ συμφέρον καὶ τὸ βλαβερόν, ὥστε καὶ ('also') τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ ἄδικον.
- δ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. See note in Sus.4. Aristotle seems to anticipate that his recommendation of the use of the 'enthusiastic' modes, when we listen to music played by others, will excite surprise, and hence in 4–16 he justifies it at some length, though he adds no similar justification of his recommendation of the use of the 'practical' modes under similar circumstances, probably because he does not anticipate that any objection will be made to this.
- 7. καὶ γὰρ ὑπὸ ταύτης τῆς κινήσεως κατακώχιμοί τινές εἰσιν. Ταύτης τῆς κινήσεως = τούτου τοῦ πάθους: cp. 5, πάθος, and Plut. De Sanitate Tuenda c. 13, ῆδη δὲ καὶ τὰ τῆς ψυχῆς κινήματα τὸ σῶμα μηνύει πρὸς νόσον ἐπισφαλῶς ἔχειν, ἄλογοι γὰρ ἴσχουσιν ἀθυμίαι καὶ φόβοι. For κατακώχιμοι see critical note on 1269 b 30. Κατέχεσθαι is the appropriate technical term, cp Plato, Symp. 215 C, and Aristid. Quint. De Musica, p. 65, τοὺς δὲ ὑπὸ θείας ὁρμῆς καὶ ἐπιπνοίας κατεχομένους ὑπὸ ἐνθουσιασμοῦ. As to the nature of ἐνθουσιασμός we have a striking example in Olympias, the mother of Alexander (Plut. Alex. c. 2, ἡ δὲ ἀλυμπιὰς μᾶλλον ἐτέρων ζηλώσασα τὰς κατοχὰς καὶ τοὺς ἐνθουσιασμοὺς κ.τ.λ.). Had Aristotle observed in her case the calming effect of sacred melodies?
- 8. ἐκ τῶν δ' ἰερῶν μελῶν κ.τ.λ., ' and as an effect of the sacred melodies we see these men, when they have used the melodies which fill the soul with mystic excitement, brought back to a normal state as if having received medical treatment and purgation.' Sus. points out that χρησθαι, like κίνησις, καθίστασθαι, and κουφίζεσθαι, is a medical term. It seems likely that the patient both listened to and sang the maddening melodies (see note in Sus.4). Tois έξοργιάζουσι την ψυχήν μέλεσι has been interpreted in many ways. Vict. explains these words 'cantibus expiando animo frangendisque vehementioribus illis motibus aptis,' Lamb. 'cantibus animum furore levantibus ac purgantibus,' and Liddell and Scott 'melodies which purge by mystic rites,' but Sepulveda is probably right in translating 'cantibus animum concitantibus' (cp. 1342 b 3, διμφω γάρ δργιαστικά καὶ παθητικά). Bernays (followed by Sus.) renders the words in a not very dissimilar way, 'Lieder die eben das Gemüth berauschen' ('songs which intoxicate the soul'). "Orav—μέλεσε is bracketed by

Sus. as a gloss on ek two & lepwy medwy (see Sus.4, 1. p. 640), but perhaps not all the leρà μέλη were 'intoxicating to the soul': cp. Plato, Ion 536 C, ωσπερ οί κορυβαντιώντες εκείνου μόνου αἰσθάνονται τοῦ μέλους δξέως, δ αν ή του θεου έξ ότου αν κατέχωνται, και είς έκεινο το μέλος καὶ σχημάτων καὶ ἡημάτων εὐποροῦσι, τῶν δὲ ἄλλων οὐ φροντίζουσιν. There may have been lepà μέλη introductory to the melody which produced the decisive effect. Τὴν ψυχήν is emphatic: if the music does not go to the soul, it does nothing. For καθισταμένους see above on 1340 b 3. In larpeias και καθάρσεως the καί is explanatory, as in 1. 9. 1257 b 9, την χρηματιστικήν και την καπηλικήν (see note on 1257 b 7). The action of the sacred melodies on the souls of persons naturally disposed to ευθουσιασμός is compared to the action of some cathartic medicine like hellebore, which removes the worst elements and leaves the best (Plato, Rep. 567 C, καλόν γε, έφη, καθαρμόν. Ναί, ην δ' έγω, τον έναντίον η οί ιατροί τὰ σώματα οί μέν γὰρ τὸ χείριστον ἀφαιρούντες λείπουσι τὸ βέλτιστον, ὁ δὲ τούναντίον). The following passages may be selected from many others as throwing light on Aristotle's meaning-Plut. Sympos. 6. 7. 2, καὶ γὰρ ἄνθρωπος, & φίλε, φρενετίζων και μαινόμενος (πλέον ισχύει) άλλ' όταν έλλεβόρφ χρησάμενος ή διαίτη καταστή, το μέν σφοδρον έκεινο και σύντονον οίχεται καὶ γέγουεν έξίτηλου, ή δ' άληθινή δύναμις καὶ σωφροσύνη παραγίνεται τῷ σώματι ούτω δή καὶ ή κάθαρσις τοῦ οίνου, τὸ πληκτικὸν ἀφαιροῦσα καὶ μανικόν, είς πραείαν έξιν και ύγιαίνουσαν καθίστησι: Strabo, p. 418, καὶ δή φασιν έκει (at the Malian Anticyra) τον έλλέβορον φύεσθαι τον αστείον, ένταθθα δε (at the Phocian Anticyra) σκευάζεσθαι βέλτιον, καὶ διά τοῦτο ἀποδημεῖν δεῦρο (to the latter) πολλούς καθάρσεως καὶ θεραπείας χάρι»: and Plut. Coriol. c. 12, έδοξεν οὖν τοῖς νοῦν έχουσιν εἰς δέον γεγονέναι και κατά καιρόν ή χρεία των Οὐελιτρανών διά τε την απορίαν κουφισμού δεομένοις, και την στάσιν άμα σκεδάσειν ήλπιζον, εί το θορυβούν μάλιστα και συνεπηρμένον τοις δημαγωγοίς ωσπερ περίττωμα της πόλεως νοσερόν και ταραχώδες αποκαθαρθείη. That certain sacred rites produced a similar effect on the soul to that produced by cathartic medicines on the body, we see from Plato, Rep. 560 D, τούτων δέ γέ που κενώσαντες καὶ καθήραντες την τοῦ κατεχομένου τε ὑπ' αὐτών καὶ τελουμένου ψυχήν μεγάλοισι τέλεσι, and Aristid. Quint. De Musica, p. 158, διό καὶ τὰς βακχικάς τελετάς καὶ όσαι ταύταις παραπλήσιοι λόγου τινός έχεσθαί φασιν, όπως αν ή των αμαθεστέρων πτοίησις δια βίον ή τύχην ύπο των έν ταύταις μελφιδιών τε και δρχήσεων αμα παιδιαίς έκκαθαίρηται, where the purging influence of the rites is traced in part to the music by which they were accompanied. On the whole subject of the

κάθαρσις effected by music and also by tragedy, see Sus.4, 1.p. 641 sqq.. and Prof. Butcher, Aristotle's Theory of Poetry and Fine Art. c. 6. We are not distinctly told in the passage before us that a κάθαρσις παθημάτων occurs under the influence of the sacred melodies, as we are in the famous passage of the Poetics about tragedy (Poet. 6. 1440 b 27 sq.), but we can hardly be wrong in taking the musical κάθαρσις to be a κάθαρσις of παθήματα like the other. It should be noticed that music appears to purge all emotions, not merely, like tragedy, those of pity and fear, and also that the kind of music which produces a cathartic effect is by no means the wailful kind characteristic of such modes as the mixo-Lydian; music of this sort is charged with human feeling and essentially human, whereas cathartic music is full of a divine afflatus (Probl. 19, 48, 922 b 19. διὸ καὶ άρμόζει τῷ χορῷ τὸ γοερὸν καὶ ἡσύχιον ἦθος καὶ μέλος ἀνθρωπικὰ γάρ. ταῦτα δ' ἔχουσιν αἱ ἄλλαι άρμονίαι, ἥκιστα δὲ αὐτῶν ἡ ὑποφρυγιστί* ένθουσιαστική γάρ καὶ βακχική). In Milton's Paradise Lost, 1. 540 sqq., the 'Dorian mood' is said to inspire 'deliberate valour' 'firm and unmoved With dread of death to flight or foul retreat' (cp. 1342 b 12 sqq.), and is also credited with a cathartic influence which Aristotle does not ascribe to it-

'Nor wanting power to mitigate and swage
With solemn touches troubled thoughts, and chase
Anguish and doubt and fear and sorrow and pain
From mortal or immortal minds.'

Here the passage before us may be present to Milton's mind. Julian perhaps refers to it in Epist. 56. 442 B, ὅτι γὰρ πρὸ ἡμῶν αὐτοὶ τὰς ψυχὰς ὑπὸ τῆς θείας μουσικῆς καθαρθέντες ὀνήσονται, πιστευτέον τοῖς προαποφαινομένοις ὀρθῶς ὑπὲρ τούτων.

11. ταὐτὸ δὴ τοῦτο κ.τ.λ. Aristotle seems still to be speaking of the effect of the sacred melodies. They cannot fail, he thinks, to purge others besides those who are specially given to ἐνθονσιασμός—those who are specially subject to the emotions of fear and pity (two allied emotions, as we learn from Rhet. 2. 8), and indeed those who are subject to emotion of any kind, and also those who are not specially emotional, so far as they are accessible to emotion. Philoxenus had represented the Cyclops as 'curing his love with music' (Philox. Fragm. 7: Plut. Amat. c. 18), and anger also was thought to be soothed by music (Athen. Deipn. 623 f sq.). As to the specially emotional persons referred to, cp. Eth. Eud. 3. 1. 1228 b 35, ol μἰν οῦν νοσώδεις καὶ ἀσθενεῖς καὶ δειλοὶ

καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν κοινῶν παθημάτων πάσχουσί τι, πλὴν θᾶττόν τε καὶ μᾶλλον ἡ οἱ πολλοί, and Probl. 19. 48. 922 b 21, ἤκιστα δὲ αὐτῶν ἡ ὑποφρυχεστίἐνθουσιαστικὴ γὰρ καὶ βακχική. κατὰ μὲν οὖν ταύτην πάσχομέν τι' παθητικοὶ δὲ οἱ ἀσθενεῖς μᾶλλον τῶν δυνατῶν εἰσί.

13. καθ' δσον ἐπιβάλλει τῶν τοιούτων ἐκάστῳ, 'so far as a share in the things mentioned' (i.e. emotions) 'falls to each': cp. 3. 6. 1278 b 22, καθ' ὅσον ἐπιβάλλει μέρος ἐκάστῳ τοῦ ζῆν καλῶς, and Plato, Rep. 421 C, ἐατέον ὅπως ἐκάστοις τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἡ φύσις ἀποδίδωσι τοῦ μεταλαμβάνειν εὐδαιμονίας.

14. TIVA KÁĐAPOIV. Bern. 'irgend eine Katharsis,' and Prof. Butcher (Aristotle's Theory of Poetry and Fine Art, ed. 2, p. 246, note 1) observes, 'τινὰ κάθαρσιν implies that the katharsis in all cases is not precisely of the same kind,' and (p. 247) 'it is pretty plainly implied that the katharsis of pity and fear in tragedy is analogous to, but not identical with, the katharsis of "enthusiasm." This may be so. but I am not sure that Aristotle means anything more than that all experience some purgation, though the amount of it varies with the amount of emotion by which they are severally possessed: cp. Plut. De Tranq. An. c. 9, δεί δὲ καὶ τὰ κοινὰ ('things which are shared by men in general') μή παροράν, ἀλλ' ἔν τινι λόγφ τίθεσθαι. Τις, indeed, is sometimes added to a substantive merely to soften it, as in Xen. Oecon. 8. 3, καὶ γὰρ χορὸς ἐξ ἀνθρώπων συγκείμενός ἐστιν ἀλλ' ὅταν μὲν ποιώσιν ο τι αν τύχη εκαστος, ταραχή τις φαίνεται και θεασθαι ατερπές, but I do not think that this is the case here.

κουφίζεσθαι μεθ' ήδονης. For κουφίζεσθαι cp. Plut. Coriol. c. 12 (quoted above on 8): De Gen. An. 1. 18. 725 b 8, όλίγοις δέ τισιν έν μικρφ χρόνφ κατά τὰς ήλικίας κουφίζει τοῦτ' ἀπιόν, ὅταν πλεονάση, καθάπερ ή πρώτη τροφή, ἃν ὑπερβαλλη τῷ πλήθει' καὶ γὰρ ταύτης ἀπιούσης τὰ σώματ' εὐημερεῖ μᾶλλον: and Hist. An. 10. 5. 636 b 29 sqq

15. ὁμοίως δὲ κ.τ.λ., 'and similarly the cathartic melodies also' (as well as the sacred melodies) 'are a source to men of harmless pleasure.' So Vict. 'ut cantilenas illas sacras, quas docuit adhiberi solitas sua aetate ad expiandos lymphaticos, praeter furorem quem levant affirmavit gignere voluptatem, ita nunc testatur cantus purgandis animis factos, qui tamen non opitulantur ope divina valde commotis animo, sed concentu vocum sonorumque, apto illis motibus, prosunt, continere in se suavitatis plurimum.' The fact that they are a source of harmless pleasure shows that they are well suited both for diagogê and for relaxation (cp. c. 5. 1339 b 25 sqq.). The cathartic melodies here referred to seem to be the

same as those which are called enthusiastic in 1341 b 34. I understood this passage otherwise when I wrote (in vol. i. p. 366), 'the melodies also which purge emotion are similarly productive of innocent pleasure.' I should have written, 'just as the sacred melodies produce this effect, so the melodies which purge emotion are similarly productive of innocent pleasure.' [Since the foregoing note was written, Sus.' (1. p. 611) has rightly disagreed with the view which I took of the sentence in vol. i. p. 366. I then thought that it referred to cathartic melodies as distinguished from cathartic harmonies, whereas I think now that it refers to cathartic melodies as distinguished from the sacred melodies, whose effects I take to be described in ἐκ τῶν δ' ἰερῶν μελῶν, 8—ἡδονῆς, 15. I am still of opinion that καθαρτικά should be retained in 15, and not, as Sus. suggests, discarded in favour of πρακτικά.]

- 16. διδ κ.τ.λ. Μέν is taken up, not by δ' in 19, but by δέ in 28. With Spengel and Sus. I insert (χρησθαί) before θετέον: cp. χρηστέον, 1342 a 1, 2, χρησθαί, 27, and χρηστέον, 29. For θετέον χρησθαί, cp. Xen. Rep. Lac. 15. 1, ἔθηκε γὰρ θύειν μέν βασιλέα πρὸ τῆς πόλεως τὰ δημόσια ἄπαντα. Διὸ—ἀγωνιστάς is virtually repeated in 26-28.
- 18. ἐπεὶ δ' ὁ θεατὴς διττός κ.τ.λ. Cp. Poet. 26. 1461 b 28 sqq. For ἐλεύθερος καὶ πεπαιδευμένος, see above on 1338 b 3.
- 19. δ δὲ φορτικὸς ἐκ βαναύσων καὶ θητῶν καὶ ἄλλων τοιούτων συγκείμενος. Sus. aptly compares Cic. De Fin. 2. 14. 44, cum Epicuro autem hoc plus est negotii, quod e duplici genere voluptatis coniunctus est.
- 21. ἀγῶνας καὶ θεωρίας, 'contests and spectacles.' ('p. 8 (6). 8. 1323 a'1, πρὸς δὲ τούτοις περὶ ἀγῶνας ἐπιμέλεια γυμνικούς καὶ Διονυσιακούς, κῶν εἴ τινας ἐτέρας συμβαίνει τοιαύτας γίνεσθαι θεωρίας, whence it appears that a 'contest' was a kind of 'spectacle.' A dramatic or musical performance would also be a 'spectacle.' Θεωρία is explained by Stallbaum to be used in the wider sense of 'festival' (ἐορτή) in Plato, Laws 650 A, τῆς τοῦ Διονύσου θεωρίας. Cp. also Isocr. Λeginet. § 10, οῦτε θυσίαν οῦτε θεωρίαν οῦτ' ἄλλην ἐορτήν οὐδεμίαν. We infer from the use of καί in καὶ τοῖς τοιούτοις that Aristotle is prepared to provide ἀγῶνες and θεωρίαι at which music of a higher type is performed for the citizens of his 'best State.' It is remarkable that he should expect day-labourers to care for music of any kind.
- 22. είσὶ δ' ἄσπερ αὐτῶν αἱ ψυχαὶ κ.τ.λ. As to the souls of βάναυσοι and θῆτες cp. Plato, Rep. 495 D, quoted above on 1337 b. The position of εἰσί is strange; it seems hardly to belong to

κ.τ.λ., for that clause is already provided with an εἰσί. Did Aristotle originally intend the sentence to run εἰσὶ δὲ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν παρεκβάσεις and insert ὥσπερ κ.τ.λ. by an afterthought? Perhaps the repetition of εἰσί in 24 is to be compared with the repetition of δῆλον in 3. 13. 1283 b 17 and of ἔργον in 8(6). 5. 1319 b 35.

23. οὖτω καὶ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν παρεκβάσεις εἰσί. Here the relation between the soul and an ἀρμονία dwelt on in c. 5. 1340 b 17 sqq. is again present to Aristotle's mind. The μιξολυδιστί and συντονολυδιστί (Plato, Rep. 398 E) are probably among the modes referred to, and perhaps also the low-pitched Lydian and low-pitched Ionian (see note in Sus.4); at any rate both high-pitched and low-pitched modes are treated as παρεκβάσεις τῶν ἀρμονιῶν in 6 (4). 3. 1290 a 24 sqq. The abandonment of the mixo-Lydian mode to βάναυσοι and θῆτες is uncomplimentary to Sappho, its reputed inventress (see above on 1340 a 42).

24. καὶ τῶν μελῶν τὰ σύντονα καὶ παρακεχρωσμένα, and of melodies those which are highly-strung and unnaturally coloured.' Σύντονα is perhaps used here in opposition both to ἀνειμένα οτ μαλακά, as in 1342 b 21 and 6 (4). 3. 1290a 27, and to βαρέα, as in De Gen. An. 5. 7. 786 b 35, καὶ ἐν τοῖς μέλεσι τὸ βαρὺ τῶν συντόνων βέλτιον. The Cretans are said by Ephorus to have used in their songs the rhythms which are most σύντονοι (Fragm. 64: Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 250). For the meaning of χρῶμα in music see Liddell and Scott, s.v., and Sus.4, 1. p. 636 sqq. Aristotle probably objected to the musical innovations of Timotheus, of whom we read in Pherecr. Χείρων, Fragm. 1 (Meineke, Fr. Com. Gr. 2. 333),

οὖτος ἄπαντας οὓς λέγω

παρελήλυθ ἄδων ἐκτραπέλους μυρμηκιάς, ἐξαρμονίους ὑπερβολαίους τ' ἀνοσίους,

and also to the music of Agathon: cp. Plut. Sympos. 3. 1. 1, θαυμάζω δὲ καὶ Ἐράτωνα τοῦτον, εἰ τὰς ἐν τοῖς μέλεσι παραχρώσεις βδελυττόμενος καὶ κατηγορῶν τοῦ καλοῦ ᾿Αγάθωνος, δν πρῶτον εἰς τραγφδίαν φασὶν ἐμβαλεῖν καὶ ὑπομίξαι τὸ χρωματικόν, ὅτε τοὺς Μυσοὺς ἐδίδασκεν, αὐτὸς ἡμῖν, ὡς ὁρᾶτε, ποικίλων χρωμάτων καὶ ἀνθηρῶν τὸ συμπόσιον ἐμπέπληκε καὶ τὴν διὰ τῶν ὅτων ἀποκλείει τρυφὴν καὶ ἡδυπάθειαν κ.τ.λ. Compare also Philoch. Fragm. 66 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 1. 395), where we read of Lysander of Sicyon, καὶ περιελῶν τὴν συντονίαν τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν ἐν τοῖς ψιλοῖς κιθαρισταῖς, χρώματά τε εὕχροα πρῶτος ἐκιθάρισε κ.τ.λ., and Pratinas, Fragm. 5, quoted above on 1340 a 42.

- 26. διόπερ κ.τ.λ. So Plato makes over θρῆνοι for famous men to women and worthless persons (Rep. 387 E).
 - 28. ωσπερ είρηται, in 1342 a 2.
 - **30**. καθάπερ εἴπομεν πρότερον, in c. 5. 1340 b 3 sqq.
- 31. οἱ κοινωνοὶ κ.τ.λ., 'those who have shared in philosophical study and in musical education,' as distinguished from mere musicians on the one hand and philosophers who have not studied music on the other (see above on 1341 b 27). For the phrase cp. Plato, Gorg. 487 C, κοινωνοὺς γεγονότας σοφίας: Laws 968 A, παιδείας ὁπόσης διεληλύθαμεν κοινωνὸν γενόμενον: Hipp. Minor 363 A, οἱ μάλιστ' ἆν ἀντιποιησαίμεθα μετείναι ἡμῖν τῆς ἐν φιλοσοφία διατριβῆς: Theaet. 172 C, οἱ ἐν ταῖς φιλοσοφίαις πολὺν χρόνον διατρίψαντες.
- 32. δ δ' εν τη πολιτεία Σωκράτης κ.τ.λ. Here begins a similar review of the opinions put in Socrates' mouth by Plato in the Republic to that which we find at the end of the Book on Revolutions (7 (5). 12. 1316 a 1 sqq.). As to these passages see vol. i. p. 519, note 1. The passage in the Republic referred to is Rep. 399 A sqq., where Plato seems to imply that the Dorian and Phrygian modes inspire courage in war and temperance in peace. Aristotle holds, on the contrary, that the Phrygian mode does neither the one thing nor the other. Φρύγιον should probably be 'Ionian' in Plut. Reip. Gerend. Praec. c. 30, ωσπερ οὖν ὁ Πλάτων άφείλε των παιδευομένων νέων την άρμονίαν την Λύδιον και την Φρύγιον, την μέν το θρηνώδες και φιλοπενθές ήμων έγείρουσαν της ψυχής, την δέ το πρός ήδονὰς όλισθηρὸν καὶ ἀκόλαστον αξέουσαν: cp. Aristid. Quint. De Musica, p. 22. For εν τη πολιτεία cp. 2. 6. 1264 b 28, 6 (4). 4. 1291 a 11, and 7 (5). 12.1316 a 1, in all which passages the phrase is used in close proximity to a mention of δ Σωκράτης, and 2. 5. 1264 b 24, ή πολιτεία περί ής δ Σωκράτης είρηκεν. In 6 (4). 7. 1293 b 1, on the other hand, we have ωσπερ Πλάτων εν ταις πολιτείαις (perhaps referring to the Eighth and Ninth Books of the Republic), and in 2. 1. 1261 a 6, έν τῆ πολιτεία τῆ Πλάτωνος.
 - 34. dποδοκιμάσας, in Rep. 399 D.
 - 2. aulos. For the absence of the article see note on 1255 b 36. 1342 b.
- 3. For the difference between δργιαστικά and παθητικά see above on 1341 a 21.
- δηλοί δ' ή ποίησις πάσα γὰρ βακχεία κ.τ.λ., 'and poetry makes this clear' (i.e. that the αὐλός and the Phrygian mode are similar in effect), 'for every sort of Bacchic frenzy and all Bacchic agitation of mind [when represented in poetry] find their fit expression in

the pipes more than in any other instrument.' In translating κίνησις 'agitation of mind' and not 'bodily movement' I have followed Sus., who may probably be right (cp. 3, παθητικά, and c. 3. 1337 b 42), but I have done so with some hesitation, for βακχεία is used of physical movement in Plato, Laws 672 B, τάς τε βακχείας καὶ πᾶσαν τὴν μανικὴν χορείαν, 790 E, καθάπερ αὶ τῶν ἐκφρόνων βακχείων ἰάσεις ταύτη τῆ τῆς κινήσεως ἄμα χορεία καὶ μούση χρώμεναι, and 815 B-C. For ἐστὶν ἐν (='sitae sunt in'), see above on 1330 b 8, and cp. c. 6. 1341 a 3, and Plato, Protag. 354 E, 356 D (where see Stallbaum). It should be noted that in a letter (genuine or not) from Olympias to Alexander quoted in Athen. Deipn. 659 f she distinguishes between τὰ ὀργιαστικὰ ἰερά and τὰ βακχικά, though in the passage before us βακχεία is evidently connected with τὸ ὀργιαστικόν.

- 7. οΐον ὁ διθύραμβος κ.τ.λ., 'as for instance the dithyramb, [which is an expression of Bacchic frenzy,] is generally agreed to be a Phrygian melody' (and to require the Phrygian mode).
- 8. σύνεσιν, not far in meaning from τέχνην: cp. 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 11, τῆς αὐτῆς φρονήσεως ταύτης.
- 9. διότι Φιλόξενος κ.τ.λ. Vict. 'est autem molestum hac in parte quod legitur in media sententia accusandi casu μύθους.' 'Reizius latere nomen dithyrambi Philoxenei suspicatus est; et recte quidem. Equidem non dubito Murovis id fuisse, quo nomine fabulas tragicas ab Aeschylo et Agathone publico in certamine Athenis commissas fuisse novimus.' Sophocles also wrote a tragedy thus entitled (see Nauck, Trag. Gr. Fragm., p. 175). Schneider's conjecture has been adopted by Coray, Stahr, Bekk.2, and Sus., and is probably right, if indeed rows Murous is not an explanatory gloss which has crept from the margin into the text. Bergk accepts the change (Poet. Lyr. Gr., Philoxenus Fragm. 20), and adds, 'huc refero Plut. De Mus. c. 33, ωστε οὐδὲ ζητεῖν παρὰ ταύτης τὸ διαγνώναι δύνασθαι, πότερον οἰκείως είληφεν ὁ ποιητής ώς οἶον [ομοιον MSS. in place Of ώς οίον] είπειν έν μούσοις (corrige Muσοίς) τον υποδώριον τόνον έπι τήν αρχήν, η τὸν μιξολύδιον τε καὶ δώριον ἐπὶ τὴν ἔκβασιν, η τὸν ὑποφρύγιον τε καὶ Φρύγιον ἐπὶ τὴν μέσην (τὸ μέσον?).' If τοὺς Μυσούς is correct, the dithyramb probably turned, like the tragedies of the same name by Aeschylus and Sophocles, on the story of Telephus. The mention of the title of the dithyramb is made somewhat abruptly, and also without necessity; still the title of a poem by Tyrtaeus is given, though less abruptly, in 7 (5). 7. 1306 b 39. As to the subjects in connexion with which the Dorian mode was employed, it should

be noticed that, as Plutarch points out (De Musica c. 17), it was sometimes used for τραγικοὶ οἶκτοι and even for ἐρωτικά, subjects as alien to it, one would have thought, as a dithyramb. We see from Plato, Laws 669 C, that composers were not always successful in mating melody and words.

- 11. ὑπὸ τῆς φύσεως αὐτῆς. Cp. Poet. 24. 1460 a 3 sqq.
- 12. περὶ δὲ τῆς δωριστὶ κ.τ.λ. See above on 1340 b 3.
- 14. ἔτι δὲ ἐπεὶ κ.τ.λ. Is this a reference to Eth. Nic. 2. 5. 1106 a 26 sqq., b 26 sq.? Cp. also Magn. Mor. 1. 24. 1192 a 6, ὁ ἄρα ἐλευθέριος, ἐπειδή ἐστιν ἐπαινετός, μέσος τις ἄν εἴη τούτων.
 - 15. ή δὲ δωριστὶ κ.τ.λ. Cp. c. 5. 1340 b 3 sqq.
- 16. φανερον ότι τὰ Δώρια μέλη πρέπει παιδεύεσθαι μάλλον τοῖς νεωτέροις. Coray and Sus. read τοὺς νεωτέρους. Vet. Int. translates, 'manifestum quod Dorias melodias oportet erudiri magis iuniores,' but whether he found τοὺς νεωτέρους in his Greek text is doubtful. I incline on the whole to retain τοῖς νεωτέροις: cp. Eth. Nic. 4. 14. 1128 a 19, ἔστι γάρ τινα πρέποντα τῷ τοιούτῳ λέγειν ἐν παιδιᾶς μέρει καὶ ἀκούειν, and Plato, Laws 811 D, προσήκοντες τὰ μάλιστα ἀκούειν νίοις, and 821 E, τοῦτο τὸ μάθημα, ὁ θαυμαστὸν μὲν λέγεις, προσῆκον δ' αν μαθεῖν τοῖς νέοις.
- 17. είσὶ δὲ δύο σκόποί-34. τὸ πρέπον. Susemihl brackets this passage as an interpolation, and I incline now to think that he is right: I thought otherwise when I wrote vol. i. p. 366, note 1. It would seem that the writer of it seeks to qualify the decision just arrived at in favour of the use of the midway mode in education by pointing out that for certain ages the relaxed modes are the only possible and becoming ones, and also that there is something to be said for the use in education of such modes as the Lydian. is hardly likely that Aristotle would do this, unless we suppose a change of mind on his part, for he would scarcely be willing to unsay what he has said against the relaxed modes in c. 5. 1340 b 2, or to allow the boys for whose training he recommends the Dorian mode to be also trained in modes which he regards as soft and effeminate. Besides, as Sus. remarks (1. p. 616, note), after what has been said in c. 6. 1340 b 35 sqq. we do not expect to hear of old men singing, except perhaps on the occasion of some special festivity (c. 5. 1339 b 9 sq.). The language of the passage recalls that of Plato in Laws 785 B, τὸ δυνατὸν καὶ πρέπον ἐκάσταιε προστάττειν μέχρι των πεντήκοντα έτων, and 670 D, ίνα καθορώντες τάς τε άρμονίας καλ τους ρυθμούς εκλέγεσθαί τε τὰ προσήκοντα οιοί τ' δσιν, ά τοις τηλικούτοις τε καί

τοιούτοις ἄδειν πρέπον. We also hear a good deal about τὸ δυνατόν and τὸ πρέπου, or rather τὸ άρμόττου, in the opening chapter of the Book which stands next to the Fifth, if we adopt the order of the Books which has been adopted in the present edition and place the old Fourth Book immediately after the old Eighth. It should further be noticed that Plutarch seems to have had 17-29 before him in An seni sit gerenda Respublica, c. 18, Εσπερ γάρ, εὶ καθήκον ἡν ἄδοντας διατελείν, έδει, πολλών τόνων και τρόπων ύποκειμένων φωνής, ους άρμονίας οί μουσικοί καλούσι, μή τον όξυν αμα και σύντονον διώκειν γέροντας γενομένους. άλλ' έν ο τὸ ράδιον έπεστι μετὰ τοῦ πρέποντος ήθους. It is, however, possible that Plutarch had before him the criticism of the Republic by των περί την μουσικήν τινες which is reproduced here, and not its reproduction in the passage before us. In addition to the objections to the passage 17-34 to which reference has already been made, it may be noted that, as Sus. has pointed out, looking to the reference to to duvator in 18 sqq., we expect to be told that the young should learn those melodies which are suitable to them and not beyond their powers, and not to be told, as we in fact are, that they should learn those melodies which will be the only ones suitable and practicable for them when they are past a certain age. Perhaps, however, the writer does mean that the relaxed modes are suitable both to the young and to the old; this seems to be indicated by his use of καί in ωστε καὶ πρὸς τὴν ἐσομένην ἡλικίαν, ' for the coming age also as well as for that of youth.' If this is his meaning, however, and there is nothing defective in the text, he must be allowed to have expressed it in a very imperfect way.

20. καὶ ταῦτα, i.e. τὰ δυνατὰ καὶ τὰ πρέποντα, as well as τὰ μέσα: cp. 6 (4). II. I295 a 37, τὸν μέσον ἀναγκαῖον βίον εἶναι βέλτιστον, τῆς ἐκάστοις ἐνδεχομένης τυχεῖν μεσότητος. Τὸ μέσον would not be exactly the same for boys and for men of full age and for old men.

οδον τοις απειρηκόσι δια χρόνον κ.τ.λ. Cp. De Gen. An. 5. 7. 787 b 10, ἔστι μὲν οὖν πῶσιν ἡ ἰσχὺς ἐν τοις νεύροις, διὸ καὶ τὰ ἀκμάζοντα ἰσχύει μᾶλλον ἀναρθρα γὰρ τὰ νέα μᾶλλον καὶ ἄνευρα. ἔτι δὲ τοις μὲν νέοις οὕπω ἐπιτέταται, τοις δὲ γηράσκουσιν ἤδη ἀνίεται ἡ συντονία διὸ ἄμφω ἀσθενή καὶ ἀδύνατα πρὸς τὴν κίνησιν, and Plut. An seni sit gerenda Respublica, c. 18, quoted above on 17–34. Οὶ ἀπειρηκότες διὰ χρόνον (cp. 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 33) are distinguished from those who are in the same state from other causes: see Bonitz (Ind. 71 b 45), who groups with the passage before us Hist. An. 6. 18. 572 a 18, ἀπείπωσι διὰ τὸν πόνον.

- 24. δτι τὰς ἀνειμένας άρμονίας κ.τ.λ. Cp. Plato, Rep. 398 F, ἀλλὰ μὴν μέθη γε φύλαξιν ἀπρεπέστατον καὶ μαλακία καὶ ἀργία. Πῶς γὰρ οῦ; Τίνες οὖν μαλακαί τε καὶ συμποτικαὶ τῶν ἀρμονιῶν; Ἰαστί, ἢ δ' ὅς, καὶ λυδιστί, αἴτινες χαλαραὶ καλοῦνται. See note on 1340 b 2.
- 25. ώς μεθυστικάς κ.τ.λ., 'taking them as connected with intoxication, not conformably to the influence of intoxication (for intoxication makes men rather frenzied revellers), but as enfeebled and exhausted.'
- 27. ωστε καὶ πρὸς τὴν ἐσομένην ἡλικίαν κ.τ.λ. This sentence seems to be modelled on 1342 a 28 sq. and to be intended to contradict it. Καί, 27, implies that the study of relaxed modes by the young (for with δεῖ we must supply τοὺς νέους οι τοὺς νεωτέρους) will be useful to them at their actual time of life as well as with a view to the coming time of life (see above on 17-34). We expect not τὴν τῶν πρεσβυτέρων, but τὴν τῶν ἀπειρηκότων διὰ χρόνον, and we must not identify οἱ πρεσβύτεροι with οἱ ἀπειρηκότες διὰ χρόνον (cp. 4 (7). 16. 1335 b 29 sqq.), but for some reason the wider term is preferred.
- 29. ἔτι δὲ κ.τ.λ. Here we pass from τὸ δυνατόν to τὸ πρέπον (cp. 17 sq.). The apodosis of this sentence is missing in a lacuna after ἀρμονιῶν, 33. It seems better to adopt this view (with Sus.) than (with Schn. Cor. and Göttling) to place a colon instead of a full stop after τοιούτων, 29, and to connect ἔτι δ' εἶ τις, 29-άρμονιῶν, 33, with what precedes. As to the lacuna after ἀρμονιῶν see critical note.
 - 80. For τοιαύτη followed by 7, see above on 1266 b 36.
- 31. κόσμον, probably 'orderliness': cp. 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 7, πρὸς εὐταξίαν καὶ κόσμον, and Plut. Lycurg. c. 4, quoted above on 1341 b 32.
- 33. τούτους δρους τρείς. For the absence of the article see above on 1253 b 11.

APPENDIX A.

Collation of Brit. Mus. MS. Harl. 6874 with the text of the Berlin Academy edition of Aristotle (ed. Bekker, 1831).

I AM indebted to the kindness of Mr. F. G. Kenyon for the following collation of Brit. Mus. MS. Harl. 6874.

The MS. contains the First Book of the Politics with the exception of the latter part of its last chapter, from 1260 a 26, τὸ ὁρθοπραγεῖν, to the end. It also contains 6 (4). 15. 1300 a 24–1301 a 12, beginning with the words κλήρω καὶ ἡ ἰξ ἀπάντων and ending with the word δημοτικά, and 7 (5). I. 1302 a 3–1302 b 31, beginning with the words φαῦλον. φανερὸν δ' and ending with the word Μεγαρέων. 'It is of octavo size, measuring 8½ in. × 5⁷ in. It is on vellum, written in a very neat hand, apparently of the first half of the fifteenth century. There is nothing to show how it came into its present fragmentary condition, as it was rebound when acquired by Lord Oxford' (Letter from Mr. Kenyon).

The MS. does not, as far as I see, contain anything new except in one passage, 1253 b 8, where a 'lacuna quasi trium litterarum inter moior et dei' is noted. None of the seventeen MSS. examined by or for Susemihl in 1252-1254 a 17 (see his edition of the Politics of 1872, p. xxviii) have any lacuna there. It is possible that re has dropped out. But the MS. belongs to the better type of the second family and is nearly allied to P^{2.3} (especially to P³), two MSS. which, with M³ P¹, the Vatican Palimpsest Fragments, and the Latin Translation of the Vetus Interpres, stand at the head of the authorities for the text of the Politics. Of course it has many errors of its own, and when it agrees with P^{2.3}, some of the inferior MSS. often do so too, but sometimes it agrees with P^{2.3} where none of the inferior MSS. do so (this is the case in 1252 a 15, 1253 a 16, 1254 b 2, 1257 a 13, 16, 1300 b 24, 26). I have

noticed only two or three passages in which it sides with inferior MSS. against $P^{2,3}$ —in 1252 a 26 it has $\sigma \nu \nu \partial \iota \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \sigma \partial \alpha \iota$ with Ms Sb Ub Ls pr. Ps, and in 1257 b 21 it has $\dot{\eta}$ with Ps. Q Ls Ald., not $\dot{\eta}$ as Ms $P^{1,2,3}$ Tb.

It often stands alone or almost alone in agreement with P3: thus in

1255 a 31 Ps has εἴπδμεν, and Harl. εἴπαμεν corrected into εἴπομεν prima manu,

b 2 is omitted by pr. P3 pr. Harl.,

1256 a 17 πότερος Harl. pr. P3,

b 35 οὐδὲ μιᾶς Mª P³ Harl.,

1258 b 33 core M8 P3 Harl.: core P2,

1259 b 8 αΐμασις Harl.: αΐμασις ('ut videtur,' Sus.¹) pr. P³, 10 ἔχοι P³ Harl.

But P³ has many false readings which Harl. has not, and in two passages Harl. shows a striking resemblance to P²: thus in 1255 a 37 we find ἐκ γόνοιν in P² Q Mʰ Harl., and in 1302 b 19 Ald. pr. P² have ἐν ἔσονται (αἰνέσονται γρ. marg. P²), while Harl. has ἐν ἔσονται (marg. μὴ αἰνέσονται prima manu). It is obvious, therefore, that Harl. is not copied from P³.

The glosses found in Harl. in 1302 a 28 and b 4 are found also in red ink in the margin of P² and in a later hand than the MS. in the margin of P³ (see Susemihl's edition of 1872 in these passages). Harl. does not seem to have the glosses which are found in P² but not in P³.

- 1252 a 9. λέγουσιν] λέγουσι. 14. ἐφεστήκη] ἐφεστήκη. Ita semper, iota subscripto nunquam adhibito. 15. κατὰ λόγους] κατὰ τοὺς λόγους. 16. ἀληθή om. MS., ins. in marg. alia manu. 19. μέχρι τῶν ἀσυνθέτων om. MS. 20. μόρια] μέρῖα. 26. συνδυάζεσθαι] συνδῖάζεσθαι. 28. ἐκ om. MS. 32. ἄρχον om. MS.
 - b 8. φασιν | φασίν.
 10. κοινωνιών | κοινωνίμων.
 12. πένησίν ἐστίν, et similiter l. 14 οἶκος ἐστίν.
 18. παῖδάς τε | παῖδας δὲ.
 23. ἀλόχων | ἀλλόχων.
 28. δὴ | δὲ.
 32. τῆς οπ. MS.
- 1253 a 1. τέλος] καὶ τέλος.

 4. φαῦλός ἐστιν] φαῦλος ἐστῖν, et ita fere semper.

 7. ἄζυξ δν] lacuna in MS.

 9. ἡ om.

 MS.

 12. ἐλήλυθεν] ἐλήλυθε.

 12, 13. ὅστε αἰσθάνεσθαι τοῦ] τοῦ ἔχειν αἴσθησιν.

 19. ἐστῖν] ἐστῖ.

 34.

φρονήσει] φρονύσει. 35. έστι] ἐστὶ. 36. ἀφροδίσια] ἀφροδισία,

- b 2-4. περὶ οἰκονομίας εἰπεῖν πρότερον κ.τ.λ.] πρῶτον περὶ οἰκονομίας εἰπεῖν πᾶσα γὰρ σύγκειται πόλις ἐξ οἰκιῶν οἰκονομίας δὲ μέρη, ἐξ ὧν πάλιν οἰκία συνέστηκεν.
 8. ποῖον δεῖ εἶναι] lacuna quasi trium litterarum inter ποῖον et λεῖ.
 11. ἔστωσαν] ἔστωσαν.
 25. ἐν om. MS.
 28. τὰ δ'] τὰ δὲ.
 35. αὐτοῦ] αὐτοῦ.
 36. οὕς φησιν] οὖς φησὶν.
 37. αὐταὶ] αὖται, corr., ut videtur, αὖται.
- 1254 a. 6. δέονται δ'] καὶ δέονται. 15, 16. ἄλλου δ' ἐστὶν om. MS.

 16. ἄνθρωπος ῶν] δοῦλος ῶν, cort. ἄνθρωπος ῶν in marg.
 prima manu. 19. παρὰ] περὶ. 22. ἐστί] ἔστι.
 25. ἐστίν] ἐστῖ. βελτίων] βελτίου. 28. ἐστί ἔστι.
 32. ἐστί τις] ἔστι τίς. 33. ἐξωτερικωτέρας] ἐξωτερικοτέρας.
 - b 2. παρὰ] περὶ.
 9. πᾶσιν] πᾶσῖ.
 12. βέλτιον] βέλτιστον.
 17. διάκεινται] διακείνωνται.
 δὲ om. MS.
 20. ἔστι] ἐστὶ.
 23. λόγου] λόγον.
 28. ποιεῖν] ποιεῖ.
 31. εῖς] εῖ.
 87. τοῦτ' ἀληθές] τάληθές.
- 1255 a 2-4. καὶ δίκαιόν . . . τὰ δουλεύειν om. MS. 5. καὶ post τις om. MS. 7. φασιν] φασί. 10. κρείττονος] κρείττον. 15. ἀεὶ] αἰεὶ. 20. οῦτ'] οὕτε. 24. φασιν] φασί. 26. τις] τίς. 29. δούλους] δοῦλος. 31. εἶπομεν] εἴπαμεν (corr. εἴπομεν, prima manu). 32. δούλους] λούλους. 38. αὐτοὺς] αὐτοῖς. 34. νομίζουσιν] νομίζουσῖ. 35. καὶ ἐλεύθερον] ἐλεύτερον. 36. ὥσπερ] ὥσπερ καὶ. φησὶ] φασὶ (ut videtur: corr. sec. manu). 37. ἔκγονον] ἐκ γόνουν.
 - δεσπόζειν om. MS. 13. ἐστί τι] ἔστι τί. 18. ἐστίν] ἔστι. 24. τις] τίε. 27. ἔτερα] ἔργα. 29. δεσπότης] δεσπότις. 32. τῷ κτᾶσθαι] τῶν τᾶσθαι. 38. τις] τίε, et ita saepe.
- 1256 a 4. αὐτή τῆ] αὐτῆ. 10. ή οἰκονομική] οἰκονομική. 18. παρὰ] περὶ. 16. κτῆσιε] κτήσιε. 17. πότερον] πότεροε. 28. ἀλλ'] ἀλλὰ. 36. άλιείαε] ἀλείαε. 41. ἀλλαγῆε] ἀλαγῆε.
 - δ 5. ληστρικόν] δηστρικόν.
 6. τοὺε] τὰε.
 9. τελειωθείσιν] τελειωθείσιν]
 16. αὐτοῖε] αὐτοῖε.
 16. τάλλα] τὰ ἄλλα.
 28. ἐστὶ] ἐστῖ.
 32. ἀγαθὴν]
 ἀγαθῶν.
 35. οὐδεμιᾶε] οὐδὲ μιᾶε.
 37. ἔστι] ἐστῖ.
 41. χρηματιστικήν] χρηματικήν.

1257 a 13. γέγονεν] γέγονε. 26. ἐπὶ πλέον] ἐπὶ λέον. 27. σῖτον] σίτον. 29. ἐστὶν] ἔστιν. 35. ἀναγκαίων] ἀναγκαίω pr. manu, ν additum est postea.

b 10. λῆρος] in marg., alia manu, λοιδος.
12. οὐδὲ] οὔτε.
17. γιγνομένων] γινομένων.
21. ἡ] ἡ.
23. ἀλλαγῆς] ἀναγκῆς. ἐστίν] ἐστῖ.
33. ὁρῶμεν συμβαῖνον] ὁρῶ συμβαῖνον.
36. χρηματιστικῆς] χρηματικῆς.
37. ἐστὶ] ἔστι.

- 1258 a. 8. ζητοῦσιν] ζητοῦσι. 15. τίνα om. MS. 18. ἀλλ'] ἀλλὰ. 20. ἐστὶν] ἔστιν. 21. ὥσπερ] ὥπερ. 30. κατὰ τὴν] κατὰ τὰ τὴν. 32. ὑγιείας] ὑγείας. 37. ἐστιν] ἐστί. χρηματιστικὴ] χρηματικὴ. 39. οἰκονομικῆς] οἰκονομικῆς.
 - b 13. ποῦ] τοῦ.
 14. κτῆσις] κτήσις.
 15. λοιπῶν om. MS.
 16. τόποις] τούτοις.
 20. ἔστι] ἐστὶ. χρηματιστικῆς] χρηματηστικῆς.
 30. γινομένων] γιννομένων.
 33. ἐστίν] ἔστϊ.
 36. τῆς om. MS.
 38. σώματος] σόματος.
- 1259 a 3. ἐπιμελές] ἐπιμενὲς. 18. σπουδάζουσιν σπουδάζουσι.
 25. ἐμπορίων] ἐμποριῶν. 28. τοῦτο] τοῦτον. 29. γ΄]
 γε. 35. πόρων οπ. MS. 37. μέρη οπ. MS.
 - b 8. "Αμασις] αἴμασις.
 10. ἔχει] ἔχοι.
 12. ὅπερ ἐστὶ οπ.
 MS. 14. τε post ἀνδρῶν οπ. MS.
 25. ἔξεων] ἔξεων.
 26. εἴτε] εἴ τὶ.
 27. ἔστι] ἐστι. ἐστιν] ἔστιν.
 28. δὲ] δὴ.
 36. καθάπαξ] κατάπαξ.
 40. εἴθ ὁ ἀρχόμενος . . . καλῶς οπ. MS.
- 1260 a 5. δέ] δ'. 9. ἐλεύθερον] ἐλεύτερον. 11. διαφερόντως] διαφερόντος. 16. αὐτοῦ] αὐτοῦ. 19. ἀρχιτέκτων] ἀρχιτέκτων. 20. ἐστὶν] ἔστϊν. 25. ἐπισκοποῦσιν] ἐπισκοποῦσι. 26. Post verbum ἀρετή deficit MS.

BOOK IV. c. 15.

- 1300 a. 24. Incipit κλήρω καὶ ἡ ἐξ ἀπάντων. 25. Φυλὰs] Φῖλὰs. φρατρίαs] φατρίαs. 26. πολιτῶν] πολιτικῶν. 28. ἐκ τινῶν] ἔκ τῖνων. 29. ἐκ τινῶν] ἐκ τῖνων. δ'] δὲ. 35. ἐκ τινῶν] ἔκ τῖνων. 41. ἐκ τινῶν] ἔκ τῖνων, et ita 1300 b 2-4, 17, 1301 a 2, 3, 8, 9.
- b 4. τὸ δὲ ἐκ τινῶν] τότε δὲ ἔκ τίνων.
 7. οὕτως] οὕτω.
 10. τῶν προσόδων καὶ τὴν κυρίαν οm. MS.
 22. ἀμφισβητοῦσι.
 30. πόλεσιν] πόλεσι.
 1301 a 4. δικαστήρια] δηκαστηρία.
 7. συνδυαζόμενα] συνδιαζόμενα.
- 1301 a 4. δικαστήρια] δηκαστηρία. 7. συνδυαζόμενα] συνδιαζόμενα. 9. δικαστηρίου] δϊκαστϊρίου. 12. Post verbum δημοτικά deficit MS.

BOOK V. c. 1.

- 1802 a 3. Incipit φαῦλον. φανερὸν δ'.

 14. ἡ ἐκ τῶν . . ἡ om. MS.

 16. γίγνονται] γίνονται.

 28. Scholium in marg., τῆς ἐσότητος δηλονότι καὶ τῆς ὑπεροχῆς.

 33. αὐτῶν] αὐτῶν.
 - b 2. Post υβριν iterantur verba δ' άδίκως πλεονικτοῦντας τούτων, sed ab ipso scriba error notatur.

 4. Post τρόπον inseruntur verba ἐρίθεια ἡ φιλονεικία. λέγεται δὰ καὶ ἡ μισθαρνία.

 6. πῶς] πός.

 10. Post ότὰ δὰ inseritur μὰν.

 13. στασιάζουσιν] στασιάζουσι.

 19. ᾿Αθήνησιν] ᾿Αθήνησι. ἐνέσονται] ἐν ἔσονται (marg. μὴ αἰνέσονται, prima manu).

 31. Post verbum Μεγαρέων deficit MS.

APPENDIX B.

On the use of Hyperbaton in the Politics.

HYPERBATON is much used in the Politics—more, I think, than in the Nicomachean Ethics—for the purpose of emphasizing a particular word or words.

Kühner's account of Hyperbaton (Ausführl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 607. 1) is as follows—'In Hyperbaton two words forming together a unity are severed by the interposition of one or more less important words. By means of this severance prominence is given, as a rule, to one only of the severed words, that which stands first, but often also to both of them, especially when both are placed in emphatic positions in the sentence, for instance at the beginning or end of it.' In one particular this account is open to amendment. The severed words are not always only two in number; they are more than two, for instance, in Pol. 4 (7). 1. 1323 b 38, οῦτε πάντας τοὺς οἰκείους ἐπεξελθεῖν ἐνδέχεται λόγους.

In the following passages the emphasis appears to fall on the first only of the severed words:—

- 4 (7). Ι. 1323 b 39, έτέρας γάρ έστιν έργον σχολής ταθτα,
- 4 (7). 2. 1324 a 21, ήμεις δε ταύτην προηρήμεθα νου την σκέψιν,
- 5 (8). 6. 1341 2 22, ώστε πρός τους τοιούτους αὐτῷ καιρούς χρηστέου έν ωξς κ.τ.λ.
- 5 (8). 7. 1342 2 4, δ γάρ περὶ ἐνίας συμβαίνει πάθος ψυχὰς Ισχυρώς, τοῦτο ἐν πάσαις ὑπάρχει.

In the following passages, on the other hand, the emphasis appears to fall on both, or all, the severed words:—

- 4 (7). 1. 1323 a 16, ἀδήλου γὰρ ὅντος τούτου καὶ τὴν ἀρίστην ἀναγκαῖον ἄδηλον εἶναι πολιτείαν,
- 5 (8). 6. 1341 b 10, έν ταύτη γάρ ό πράττων ου τῆς αυτου μεταχειρίζεται χάριν αρετῆς, άλλα τῆς των ἀκουόντων ήδονῆς,
- 8 (6). 4. 1318 b 7, καθάπερ ἐν τοῖς πρὸ τούτων ἐλέχθη λόγοις,
 37, καὶ ἄρξουσι δικαίως διὰ τὸ τῶν εὐθυνῶν εἶναι κυρίους
- 8 (6). 4. 1319 b 23, φυλαί τε γὰρ ἔτεραι ποιητέαι πλείους καὶ φρατρίαι,
- 8 (6). 5. 1320 a 14, δεί δε καὶ τῆ πολιτεία πάντας μάλιστα μεν εύνους είναι τοὺς πολίτας,

35, τεχναστέον οδυ όπως αν ευπορία γένοιτο χρόνιος.

The following words are among those most often emphasized in this way—οὐδείε and μηδείε, πῶε, πολύε, οὖτοε, ἔκαστοε, εἶε, αὐτόε and ὁ αὐτόε, ἄλλοε and ὁ ἄλλοε, τοιοῦτοε and ὁ τοιοῦτοε, τίε and τιε, πόσοε, and ποῖος.

Occasionally two sets of words are thus emphasized in the same sentence—e.g. in 6 (4). 2. 1289 b 3, ή γὰρ ἀριστοκρατία διέστηκεν ἀπὸ ταύτης πολύ τῆς πολιτείας, where both διέστηκεν πολύ and ταύτης τῆς πολιτείας are emphasized by severance, and in 8 (6). 4. 1319 b 10, ἄπαν γὰρ οἰκεῖον τοῦτο τῷ τοιούτῳ δήμῳ μᾶλλον, where both ἄπαν τοῦτο and οἰκεῖον μᾶλλον are similarly emphasized.

Groups of words linked by kai or # are often emphasized in this way: e.g. in

- 3. 5. 1278 a 6, εν μεν οὖν τοῖς ἀρχαίοις χρόνοις παρ' ἐνίοις ἢν δοῦλον τὸ βάναυσον ἢ ξενικόν,
 - 17, ώστ' ἐν μέν τινι πολιτεία τον βάναυσον ἀναγκαίον είναι και τον θήτα πολίτας,
 - 19, ਦੇ ਹੁੰ κατ' άρετην αι τιμαι δίδονται και κατ' άξιαν,
- 3. 10. 12812 24, άλλ' άρα τοὺς ἐλάττους δίκαιου ἄρχειν καὶ τοὺς πλουσίους;
- 8 (6). 3. 1318 a 15, επειτα έκ των πεντακοσίων εσους λαβόντα καὶ έκ των χιλίων.

It should be added that, though in nearly all the cases of the severance of connected words which have been examined by me in the Politics the aim evidently is to throw emphasis on particular words, I have noticed two or three passages in which this cannot

be said to be clearly the case. They are passages in which the relative is severed from its substantive. We have in

- 4 (7). 1. 1323 b 15, ήνπερ είληφε διάστασιν ων φαμέν αὐτάς είναι διαθέσεις ταύτας,
- 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 28, πρὸς δὲ τούτοις, οἶς κινεῖται μορίσις ἔκαστον αὐτῶν, 6 (4). 14. 1298 a 31, δνπερ ἡ τελευταία δημοκρατία νῦν διοικεῖται τρόπον (contrast 5 (8). 1. 1337 a 24, δν τρόπον νῦν ἔκαστος ἐπιμελεῖται τῶν αὐτοῦ τέκνων κ.τ.λ.).

Is any emphasis intended to be thrown on the severed words in these three passages !

APPENDIX C.

On the variations in the order of words in Π^1 and Π^2 .

A considerable proportion of the variations in the order of words which we observe in Π^1 and Π^2 may be arranged in classes.

- 1. There are those in which II² sever the adjective or pronoun from the substantive with which it agrees, while II¹ place them together:—
 - 1253 2 7, διότι δὲ πολιτικὸν ὁ ἄνθρωπος ζφον Π^{2} (ζφον ὁ ἄνθρωπος Π^{1}),
 - b 7, περί τριών $d\nu$ τούτων σκεπτέον είη Π^2 (περί τριών τούτων σκεπτέον $d\nu$ είη Π^1),
 - 1256 b 26, τοῦτον όντα τὸν πόλεμον Π^2 (όντα τοῦτον Π^1),
 - 1270 b 28, κρίσεών είσι μεγάλων Π^2 (κρίσεων μεγάλων είσὶ Π^1),
 - 1273 b 36, νομοθέτην γενέσθαι σπουδαΐον Π^2 (γενέσθαι νομοθέτην σπουδαΐον Π^1),
 - 1274 a 17, κύριος ὧν ὁ δημος Π² (ὧν ὁ δημος κύριος M⁶ P¹ and perhaps Γ),
 - 1276 b 14, εἰς ἐτέραν μεταβάλη πολιτείαν Π^2 (πολιτείαν μεταβάλη Π^1),
 - 1323 b 15, de papier airàs elras diabéves rairas Π^3 (elras airàs rairas diabéves Π^1),
 - 1330 b 29, την μέν όλην μη ποιείν πόλιν εύτομον Π^2 (πόλιν μη ποιείν Γ M^0 , πόλιν om. P^1),
 - 1335 2 38, the suparallae modissal tauthe Π^2 (modissal the suparallae Π^1),

```
[ 1339 a 14, τίνα ἔχει δύναμιν \Pi^2 (τίνα δύναμιν ἔχει \Pi^1), [ 1341 b 25, τίνα ἔχει δύναμιν P^3 \Pi^3 (τίνα δύναμιν ἔχει the rest) , 1340 b 8,  ἢθος ἔχουσι στασιμώτερον \Pi^2 (ἔχουσιν ἢθος \Pi^1), 1289 b 29, πάσας όρῶμεν τὰς πόλεις \Pi^2 (όρῶμεν πάσας \Pi^1), 1290 b 24, πάσαν ἔχειν πόλιν \Pi^2 (ἔχειν πᾶσαν πόλιν \Pi^1), 1312 a 40, τῶν ἄλλων ἐκάστη πολιτειῶν \Pi^2 (πολιτειῶν ἐκάστη \Pi^1), 1315 b 12, πλεῖστον γὰρ ἐγένετο χρόνον \Pi^2 (χρόνον ἐγένετο \Pi^1), 1320 a 31, δ τετρημένος γάρ ἐστι πίθος \Pi^2 (πίθος ἐστὶν \Pi^1) 2.
```

2. There are those in which Π^2 sever words from the words they govern, while Π^1 group the words together. A substantive, for instance, is often severed from the genitive it governs by Π^2 , where it is not so severed by Π^1 . Thus Π^2 have in

```
1328 b 17, ἐὰν δέ τι τυγχάνη τούτων ἐκλεῖπον (τι τούτων τυγχάνη \Pi^1), 1331 b 4, τὸ πληθος διαιρεῖται τῆς πόλεως (τῆς πόλεως διαιρεῖται \Pi^1), 1337 a 2, τὸ προσλεῖπον βούλεται τῆς φύσεως ἀναπληροῦν (τῆς φύσεως βούλεται \Pi^1),
```

- 1340 b 29, τοις νηπίοις άρμόττουσα των παιδίων (άρμόττουπα τοις νηπίοις Π1),
- 1309 b 38, οὐδετέραν μὲν γὰρ ἐνδέχεται αὐτῶν (οὐδετέραν γὰρ αὐτῶν ἐνδέχεται Π¹),
- 1321 b 30, αδται μὲν οδν ἐπιμέλειαί εἰσι τούτων τρεῖς (τούτων εἰσὶ τρεῖς Π^1).

A similar tendency appears in the following passages, though in a less marked degree:—

- 1341 a 23, κάθαρσιν μάλλον δύναται ή μάθησιν Π² (δύναται μάλλον M⁸ P¹ and possibly Γ),
 - 24, συμβέβηκεν έναντίον αὐτῷ πρὸς παιδείαν Π^2 (αὐτῷ έναντίον Π^1),
- 1295 b 31, καθάπερ της των πλουσίων οι πένητες επιθυμούσιν Π^2 (καθάπερ οι πένητες της των πλουσίων επιθυμούσιν Π^1),
- 1302 b 17, γίνεσθαι γὰρ εἴωθεν ἐκ τῶν τοιούτων Π^2 (γίνεσθαι γὰρ ἐκ τῶν τοιούτων εἴωθε M^6P^1 and possibly Γ),
- 1322 α 7, κοινωνεῖν ἀδύνατον ἀλλήλοις Π^2 (κοινωνεῖν ἀλλήλοις ἀδύνατον Π^1).
- 3. In all the above passages the order of words adopted in Π^2 is more broken and more emphatic than that adopted in Π^1 , and

In 1302 b 5 all MSS. have τίνα Π¹ have ἐπὶ δαῖτα καλεῖν θαλείην and ἔχουσι δύναμν. Π² καλεῖν ἐπὶ δαῖτα θαλείην, where Π¹ are evidently wrong.

the following passages also show a leaning on the part of Π^3 to a more emphatic order (in the first five the adjective is placed before the substantive by Π^2):—

- 1276 b 40, οὐκ ἄν εἴη μία ἀρετὴ πολίτου καὶ ἀνδρὸς ἀγαθοῦ Π^2 (ἀρετὴ μία Π^1),
- 1280 2 15, φαύλοι κριταί Π3 (κριταί φαύλοι Π1),
- 1290 b 8, πλείονα μόρια Π2 (μόρια πλείονα Π1),
- 1294 2 22, ἀρχαίος πλούτος καὶ ἀρετή Π^2 (ἀρετή καὶ πλούτος ἀρχαίος Π^1),
- 1320 a 22, δεῖ ποιεῖν ὀλίγας ἐκκλησίας Π^2 (ἐκκλησίας ὀλίγας Π^1),
- 1277 α 14, α φαμέν δη τὸν ἄρχοντα τὸν σπουδαίον ἀγαθὸν εἶναι καὶ φρόνιμον α (εἶναι ἀγαθὸν καὶ φρόνιμον α),
- (1329 b 2, τον τρόπον τοῦτον Π^2 (τοῦτον τον τρόπον Π^1),
- 1301 b 26, έν τ $\hat{\eta}$ πολιτεία ταύτη Π^2 (έν ταύτη τ $\hat{\eta}$ πολιτεία Π^1),
 - 1330 b 27, τούτων αμφοτέρων Π2 (αμφοτέρων τούτων Π1),
 - 1334 b 29, ὅπως βέλτιστα τὰ σώματα γένηται Π^2 (ὅπως τὰ σώματα βέλτιστα γίνηται Π^1),
 - 1337 b 12, τὸ σῶμα παρασκευάζουσι χεῖρον διακεῖσθαι Π² (παρασκευάζουσι τὸ σῶμα χεῖρον διακεῖσθαι Π¹).

Yet sometimes Π^1 place words in the more emphatic order. Thus they have in

- 1259 b 30, δε $\hat{\iota}$ τὴν γυναίκα σώφρονα εἶναι καὶ ἀνδρείαν καὶ δικαίαν (εἶναι σώφρονα Π^2),
- 1265 b 15, ανίσους τοὺς κλήρους είχον Mⁿ P¹, ανίσους είχον τοὺς κλήρους Γ (τοὺς κλήρους ανώτους είχον Π²),
- 1280 b 30, οὐκ ἔστιν ἡ πόλις κοινωνία τόπου (ἡ πόλις οὐκ ἔστι Π²): cp.1341a 21 (οὐκ ἔστιν ὁ αὐλός l'II) and 1286 a 15,
- 1281 2 27, φαῦλα πάντα (πάντα φαῦλα Π²),
- 1282 a 40, τούτων πάντων $(πάντων τούτων <math>\Pi^2)$,
- 1331 a 16, τὰ δὲ ζητεῖν δεῖ καὶ φιλοσοφεῖν (τὰ δὲ δεῖ ζητεῖν καὶ φιλοσοφεῖν Π²),
- 1339 \mathbf{a} 39, εί δὲ τὰ τοιαῦτα δεῖ διαπονεῖν αὐτούς (δεῖ τὰ τοιαῦτα Π^2),
- 1300 b 27, δσα τοῖς φεύγουσιν ἐπὶ καθόδ φ ἐπιφέρεται φόνου (τοῖς φεύγουσι φόνου Π^2),
- 1307 b 11, ωστ' έξείναι συνεχώς τους αυτούς στρατηγείν (τους αυτούς συνεχώς Π^2),
- 1322 a 31, εν μείζονι δε σχήματι (εν σχήματι δε μείζονι Π^2).
- 4. In some passages the genitive is differently placed in Π^1 and Π^2 :—
 - 1326 a 20, πόλεώς εἰσι μέρος Π^2 , μέρος εἰσὶ πόλεως $M^{\mathfrak{g}} P^1$ and perhaps Γ ,

1340 a 1, τιμιωτέρα δ' αὐτῆς ἡ φύσις έστὶν Π^2 (ἡ φύσις αὐτῆς έστιν P^1 , έστιν ἡ φύσις αὐτῆς Γ M^8),

1311 b 18, χρώμενος αὐτοῦ τῆ ἡλικία Π^2 (τῆ ἡλικία αὐτοῦ Π^1), 1319 b 17, ὅπερ συνέβη τῆς στάσεως αἴτιον γενέσθαι Π^2 (αἴτιον τῆς στάσεως Π^1).

In 1311 a 22, on the other hand, Π^2 have τοὺς ὑπερέχοντας τῶν πολιτῶν and $M^8\,P^1$ and perhaps Γ τῶν πολιτῶν τοὺς ὑπερέχοντας, and in 1291 a 33 Π^2 have ἀναγκαῖόν ἐστι μόριον τῆς πόλεως and $M^8\,P^1$ and perhaps Γ ἀναγκαῖόν ἐστι τῆς πόλεως μόριον.

The variations classified in the foregoing pages amount to nearly half the entire number of variations in the order of words in Π^1 and Π^2 .

APPENDIX D.

Reminiscences in the Politics of passages in the writings of Plato and other Greek authors and of dicta of notable men.

We are concerned in this Appendix not with explicit references, but with reminiscences, or apparent reminiscences, of a tacit kind. I have endeavoured to gather together in it those noticed in the commentary, or most of them, and a few which I have observed since I wrote it, beginning with reminiscences of Plato's writings or dicta. Many reminiscences have no doubt escaped me, but those which are here pointed out may serve in some degree to throw light on the direction and extent of Aristotle's reading in connexion with the Politics.

i. PLATO.

2.	108	1252 b 5 sqq.	Laws 805 D-E
	114, 117	16 sqq.	— 776 A, 680 A sqq.
	122	1253 a 8	Polit. 267 B sq., 276 A?
	162	1255 b 20 sq.	— 259 B
	177 sq.	1256 b 23 sqq.	Sophist 222 B-C, Laws 823 B
		1258 a 10 sqq.	Laws 962 A, Rep. 397 E
	224	1260 b 5 sq.	— 777 E (cp. 720 B sqq.).
	260	1264 a 25	Rep. 422 E
	268	1265 a 23	Laws 625 C sq.
	270	b 1 sqq.	— 928 E sq.
	271	7-10	Rep. 460 A

2.	315	1269 2 34 sqq.	Laws 776 C sqq.
	317	b 14 sqq.	— 781 A sq., 806 C
	322	1270 a 4 sqq.	- 780-1, esp. 780 B and 781 A
•	337	b 34 sq.	Rep. 548 B
	358	1272 b 9 sqq.	Laws 712 E
	30-	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	•
3.	163	1277 a 26 sq.	Laws 643 E
	169	bır sqq.	— 762 E?
	172	24 sq.	Meno 71 E
		25 sqq.	Rep. 433 C
	189	1279 a 3 sq.	— 341 C-D
	196	b 17 sq.	— 550 С
	207	1280 b 20 sqq.	— 369 A sqq.
	212	1281 a 34 sqq.	Laws 713 E sqq.
	222	1282 a 15 sq.	— 701 А
	223	20 sqq.	Rep. 601 D, Cratyl. 390
	Ū	25 sqq.	Laws 945 B sqq.
	225 sq.	b 14 sqq.	— 756 E-758 A, esp. 757 C,
	•		Rep. 540 D sq.
	227	23 sqq.	Gorg. 490 B sqq.
	236	1283 a 40 sqq.	488 D
	238	b 23 sqq.	489 E sqq.
	240	1284 a 3 sqq.	Rep. 540 D
	285	1286 b 3 sqq.	— 445 D
	296 sq.	1287 a 38 sqq.	Polit. 298 A sq., 300 A
	303	1288 a 4 sq.	Plato ap. Diog. Laert. 3. 18?
	306	41 sqq.	Polit. 292 B, E, 259 B
	311	1323 a 36 sqq.	Apol. Socr. 29 D, E
	312	40 sqq.	30 A sq.
	318	b 29 sqq.	Rep. 435 B sq., 441 C sq.
	322	1324 a 27 sq.	Theaet. 175 D sq., Rep. 476 A sq.
	323	32 sqq.	Gorg. 500 C
	326	b 9 sqq.	Laws 637 D
	331	41 sqq.	— 704 C
	338	1325 b 21-23	Polit. 259 C, E
	341	40 sqq.	Laws 709 C sqq.
	346	1326 b 2 sq.	Rep. 369 D
	347	7 s qq.	Same passage
	348	14 sqq.	Laws 738 D sq., 751 D, 766 E
	350	26 sqq.	- 704 C, Critias 110 E
	351	30 sqq.	— 737 D, Critias 112 C
	358	1327 a 19 sqq.	— 705 A

•	-6-	T	Laws 952 D sqq.
3.	361	1327 a 37 sqq.	Rep. 375 B
	368	1328 a 6 sq.	
		8 sqq.	— 375 D sqq.
	375	b 6 sqq.	— 369 C sq., Critias 110 C
	39 I	1330 a 14 sqq.	Laws 745 C
	394	26	— 777 C sq.
	395	31 sqq.	— 777 C sqq.
	406	b 32 sqq.	— 778 D
	419	1331 p 13 sdd.	— 848 C sqq., 760 B sqq.,
			762 B sq.
	420	20 sqq.	— 745 B
	422	26 sqq.	— 962 A sq.
		39 sq.	Meno 78 A
	425	1332 a 11 sqq.	Laws 728 C, 859 D-860 B
	43I	40 sqq.	Plato ap. Plut. Marius, c. 46
	434	b 16 sqq.	Polit. 301 D sq.
	436	37 sq.	Laws 690 A, Rep. 412 C
	442	1333 a 35	- 628 D sq., 803 D
	454	1334 b 9 sq.	653 B, 659 D
	455	12 sqq.	Phaedr. 245 D
	456	22 sqq.	Rep. 441 A sq.
	457	27 sq.	— 591 C sq.
		29 sqq.	Laws 721 A
	477	1335 b 38 sqq.	— 784 E, 841 C sqq.
	480	1336 a 8 sqq.	Theaet. 153 A, B, Laws 789 E
	485	30 sqq.	Rep. 376 E sq.
	486	33 sq.	Laws 643 B sq.
	487	34 sqq.	— 791 E sqq.
	489	41 sqq.	 794
	490	b 6 sqq.	— 729 В
	495	33	Rep. 378 D sq.
	496	35 sqq.	— 466 E sq.
	499	1337 a 14 sqq.	— 544 D sq.
	501	23 sqq.	Laws 804 C-D, 810 A
	502	27 sqq.	— 923 A sq.
		29 sqq.	- 903 B, Charm. 156 E
	506	b 2 sq.	Laches 190 B sq.
	507	8 sqq.)	Rep. 495 D sq.
		1342 a 22 sq. }	•
	512	1337 b 35 sq.	Laws 803 D sq.
	519	1338 b 6 sqq.	— 794 C

3.	520	1338 b 9 sqq.	Rep. 410 D
	5 2 9	1339 a 26 sqq.	Laws 819 B, 820 D?
	534	b 33	- 658 E sq.
	536	1340 a 5 sqq.	Rep. 401 D, Tim. 47 D
	538	18 sqq.	— 399 A sqq.
	54 ²	42 sqq.	— 398 D sqq.
	550	1341 a 10 sq.	Laws 812 D sq.?
	557	b 10 sqq.	Gorg. 501 B-502 A
	571	1342 b 17 sqq.	Laws 785 B, 670 D
4.	139	1288 b 41	Rep. 501 A, 540 E sq.
•••	158	1290 a 30 sqq.	Polit. 291 D
	181	1292 a 31	Rep. 557 C sqq., Laws 712 E
	204	1294 b 18 sq.	Laws 712 D sqq.
	211	1295 b 4 sqq.	- 679 B sq., 728 D-729 A
	212	13 sqq.	- 728 D-729 A, 791 D
	213	21 sqq.	Menex. 238 E sq., Laws 756 E sq.,
	3	••	712 E, Rep. 417 A-B
	217	1296 a 8 sq.	Laws 744 D
	258	1299 a 25 sqq.	Polit. 260 C sqq.
	260	38 sqq.	Rep. 370 C, 374 A sqq., Laws
			846 D sqq.
	286	1301 b 4 sq.	Laws 690 D
	290	26 sq.	— 757 A
		29	757 A sqq.
	291	1302 a 2 sqq.	— 757 E — 708 D
	309	1303 a 25 sq.	
	322	b 28 sq.	792 C
	336	1304 b 22 sqq.	Rep. 565 A sqq.
	35 ⁸	1306 a 19-31	— 551 D sq.
	371	1307 a 17 sq.	Gorg. 483 C
	376	40 sqq.	Phaedr. 262 A, Rep. 424 B-E
	379	b 30 sqq.	Rep. 424 B-E
	406	1309 b 18 sqq.	Laws 701 E, Rep. 562
		20 sqq.	Rep. 562 B — 552 E, Laws 793, 870 A
	409	1310 a 12 sqq.	
		34 sqq.	Laws 715 D Rep. 568 E sq.
	415	b 12 sqq.	Symp. 208 C sq.
	438	1312 a 26 sqq.	Symp. 200 C sq. Rep. 567 C sq.
	442	b 19 sq.	Laws 690 D-E, 691 D sqq.
	446	1313 a 19 sqq.	— 691 D sqq.
	447	25 sqq.	

```
Rep. 567 A
4. 456
           1313 b 18 sqq.
                  28 sq.
  459
                           - 566 E
                           -- 580 A
  477
           1315 b 8 sqq.
                           - 562 B sq.
  494
           1317 a 41 sqq.
                           Laws 663 E
           1318 b 1 sqq.
  507
  518
           1319 a 22 sqq.
                           - 695 A
           1320 b 33 sqq.
                           Rep. 556 E
  539
           1321 b 14 sqq.
                           — 371 В
  549
                           Crito 50 B
           1322 a 5 sqq.
  557
```

ii. ISOCRATES.

2.	122 sq.	1253 a 9 sqq.	Nicocl. § 5 sqq., De Antid. §§ 253-
	155	1255 a 14	² 57 Philip. § 15
		•	
	177 sq.	1256 b 23 sqq.	Panath. § 163
	228	1260 b 33 sqq.	De Antid. § 83
	375	1274 a 14 sq.	— § 316 sqq.
3.	190	1279 a 10 sqq.	Areop. § 24 sq.

447	1333 b 38 sqq.	Panath. § 219 sq.
448	1334 a 8 sqq.	De Pace § 96

Areop. § 61, Nicocl. § 24 4. 204 1294 b 18 sqq. De Pace §§ 108, 122 sq. 1304 b 20 sqq. 334 § 54 sq., Philip. § 140 1305 a 7 sqq. 340 1310 a 12 sqq. Areop. § 40 sqq. 409 420 b 40 sqq. Ad Nicocl. § 16 Paneg. § 151 454 1313 b 6 sqq. 460 Hel. § 33, De Pace § 112 29 sqq. Ad Nicocl. §§ 11, 24 468 1314 b 21 sq. 1315 b 8 sqq. 477 Hel § 34 512 1319 a 2 sqq. Ad Nicocl. § 16 1320 a 30 sq. Areop. § 32 535

iii. XENOPHON.

2. 162, 164 1255 b 20 sqq., 33 Oecon. 13. 5, 21. 10

3. 172	1277 b 24 sq.	 7. 25
210	1281 a 11 sqq.	Mem. 1. 2. 42 sqq.
300	1287 b 26 sqq.	Cyrop. 8. 2. 10-12
344	1326 a 32 sqq.	— 8. 7. 22
365	1327 b 25 sqq.	I. I. 4
414	1331 a 31 sqq.	- 1. 2. 3 sq.

```
4. 198
            1294 2 3 sq.
                              Oecon. 9. 14
  258
                              Mem. 3. 9. 11
            1299 a 25 sqq.
  260
                    38 sqq.
                              Cyrop. 2, 1, 21
  446
                              Rep. Lac. 15. 8
            1313 a 21 sqq.
  460
                              Cyrop. 8. 7. 13, Hiero 3. 7 sqq.,
                  b 20 sqq.
                                 1. 38
  472
            1315 a 6 sqq.
                              Hiero 9. 3
  475
                                — 6. 5
                    37 sq.
                              Cyrop. 2. 1. 8?
  542
            1321 a 19 sq.
```

iv. Homer.

The reminiscences of Homer noticed by me are more doubtful, but possible reminiscences of the Iliad are pointed out in 2. 257. 3. 214, 273, 295, 301, 343, 379, 436 (compare also 2. 5. 1263 a 11 sqq. with Il. 1. 165-168), and of the Odyssey in 2. 239, 3. 177.

v. Reminiscences of the writings of the following authors also seem to occur in the Politics:—

```
Aeschines 4. 198, 255? (see also 4. 214)
Aeschylus 4. 460
Aristophanes 4. 179, 510? (see also 3. 214 sq.)
Democritus 3. 480
Ephorus 2. 347-350, 4. 219
Eubulus, the comic poet, 4. 462
Euripides 2. 358?, 3. 459, 4. 211, 391, 460?, 461
Herodotus 3, 326, 4, 461 (see also 3, 150 sq., 4, 208)
Hippias of Elis 4. 297 sq.
Hippocrates 3. 401, 473, 483, 532 (compare also 1. 8.
  1256 a 32 sqq. with Hippocr. De Aere, Aquis, Locis,
  vol. 1. p. 556 Kühn, foot)
Melanippides 3. 556
Pratinas 3. 542
Solon 3. 169, 228, 350, 4. 139?, 290, 391, 408
Telestes 3. 556:
```

and reminiscences of sayings ascribed to

Alcibiades 2. 337 Anacharsis 3. 522 Epaminondas 3. 523 the Lacedaemonians 3. 525 Pelopidas 3. 423 the Pythagoreans 2. 142 sq.: of other sayings 3. 556, 4. 321, 507: of proverbs 3. 238, 417, 461?, 598, 4. 226?, 290?

Reminiscences may also occur, though this is more doubtful, of the writings of

Anaxandrides 3. 201, 397
Antiphanes 2. 252
Archilochus 4. 465 (quoted 3. 368)
Eupolis 3. 429 sq.
Heraclitus 2. 153 (quoted 4. 474)
Lysias 3. 150, 4. 334
Phrynichus, the comic poet, 2. 120
Pindar 2. 131, 153, 157, 3. 399, 4. 182
Theognis 4. 226, 297, 321, 391
Thucydides 2. 308, 3. 525, 4. 294, 402, 416,

and of sayings ascribed to Aristides 4. 403, Aristippus, 2. 287, Socrates, 3. 217, 431, and Themistocles, 4. 403.

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO VOLS. I, II, AND III.

Vol. I.

- P. 15, line 14, for παμπολλοί read πάμπολλοι.
- P. 20, eleven lines from foot of page, for compounds formed read things constituted.
- P. 34, line 14. A. Schmekel (Die Philosophie der mittleren Stoa, p. 375) takes the reference in the words 'docti homines' (Cic. De Rep. 1. 24. 38) to be to Aristotle, while C. Hinze (Quos scriptores Graecos Cicero in libris de re publica componendis adhibuerit, p. 50 sq.) takes it to be to Panaetius.
 - P. 148, line 9, for Hecuba read Helen.
- P. 236, lines 15-27. See as to the two arguments here summarized the explanatory notes on 3. 4. 1276 b 37 and 1277 a 5 (vol. ni. pp. 157-159).
 - P. 237, five lines from foot of page, for from read after, and dele first.
- P. 242, line 1 sq. As to this recapitulation, however, see note on 3. 5. 1278 a 34 (vol. iii. p. 182).
- P. 243, line 8 sq. I have given a slightly different translation of this sentence in the explanatory note on 3. 6. 1278 b 8 (vol. iii. p. 184 sq.).
- P. 264, six lines from foot of page, 'his disciple Dicaearchus'. See however below on vol. ii. pp. xiii and xiv.
- P. 270, note 1. In the quotation from 3. 16. 1287 b 6 for wore read wor'el (see critical note on 1287 b 6).
 - P. 272, line 1, for compensation read return (see vol. iii. p. 282 sq.).
- P. 278, lines 17-20, add a reference to Diod. 16. 92. 5 and 95. 1, and Stob. Floril. 98. 70.
- P. 286, note. The term 'mortal god' is borrowed by Hobbes from Aristotle: see Cic. De Fin. 2. 13. 40, sie hominem ad duas res, ut ait Aristoteles, ad intellegendum et ad agendum esse natum quasi mortalem deum (Aristot. Fragm. 48. 1483 b 15: Rose , Fragm. 61).
 - P. 290, line 14, for leadership read rule.
- P. 297, note 2. Mr. Shute's essay 'On the history of the process by which the Aristotelian writings arrived at their present form' (Clarendon Press, 1888) has been published since my first and second volumes appeared. See p. 164 sqq. of the essay.
 - P. 299, note I, first column, last line but two, for So read 81.
 - P. 324, note 1. See critical note on 1331 b 4 (vol. iii. p. 112).

- P. 325, in the quotation from Ion of Chios (Fragm. 63 Nauck), after etc' add dv.
- P. 348, note 1, lines 1-2, read We find this many-sidedness and versatility more often realized, etc.
 - P. 363, lines 21-24. See however vol. iii. p. 540.
- P. 366, line I sqq. See as to the passage here summarized the critical note on 1341 b 19-26 (vol. iii. p. 126 sq.).
- P. 366, last line, for The melodies also read Just as the sacred melodies produce this effect, so the melodies. (See the explanatory note on 5 (8). 7. 1342 a 15 in vol. iii. p. 566 sq.)
- P. 366, note 1, and p. 369, note. See the explanatory note on 5 (8). 7. 1342 b 17-34 (vol. iii. p. 571 sq.)
- P. 375, line 24, for thus composed read composed of owners of complete lots.
- P. 443, seven lines from foot of page, 'he abandons'. This is true, subject to what is said in p. 435, line 14 sqq.
- P. 445, last line but one. See the explanatory note on 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 20 (vol. iv. p. 249).
 - P. 456, note. Add Plato, Polit. 299 B sqq. to the passages referred to.
 - P. 470, lines 20-22. See the explanatory note on 6 (4). 11. 1296 a 38 sqq.
- P. 502, four lines from foot of page, 'the rich encroach', etc. See explanatory note on 6 (4). 12. 1297 a 11 for a closer rendering of this passage.
- P. 508, note 2. Perhaps it is better to read † πολιτεία in 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 8 than † πολιτεία. See the critical note on 1298 b 8 and the explanatory note on 1298 b 5.
- P. 509, lines 14, 15. This will not be so if I am right in bracketing # &x ruwar with Spengel in 6 (4). 15. 1300 a 35.
- P. 513, fourteen lines from foot of page, 'from each tribe or section of the State'. Probably rather 'from the γνώριμοι and δημος': see the explanatory note on 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 21.
- P. 519, note, second column, line 4, add For other statements in this chapter inconsistent with statements made elsewhere in the Politics, see vol. iv. pp. 441, 485 sq.
- P. 541, last line but one, 'worth or' should perhaps be omitted: see the explanatory note on 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 34.
- P. 566, seven lines from foot of page, for nor again where a wealthy majority rules over a minority of poor read nor again an oligarchy where the rich rule, because they are in a majority, over a minority of poor. (See critical note on 1290 b 15 and explanatory note on 6 (4). 4. 1290 b 14.)
- P. 573, line 18. 'This agrees sufficiently well with the account of aristocracy in the passage before us'. I have changed my opinion as to this, and now distinguish the aristocracy of the Third Book from that of the Fourth (old Seventh): see above, p. xxxvi, and vol. iv. p. ix.
- P. 575, end of Appendix E, after Aristotle's? add It should not, however, escape notice that if this part of c. 10 (1329 a 40-b 35) is an interpolation, it is an interpolation of old date, for the author of the epitome of the Political Theory of the Peripatetics which is preserved in the Eclogae of Stobaeus

- (2. 6. 17) seems to have had it before him when he says of the distribution of functions in the Fourth (old Seventh) Book of the Politics between the young, the elders, and the old, ταύτην δ' ἀρχαίαν εἶναι πάνυ τὴν διάταξιν, Αἰγυπτίων πρώτων καταστησαμένων, πολιτικών δὲ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ούχ ἡττον, which evidently refers, however inaccurately, to the views expressed in this part of c. 10.
 - P. 577, line 2 sq. Should τοῦτο οῦν ἐστὶν ἡ διὰ πολλοῦ χρόνου be read !

VOL. II.

Pp. xii-xvi. So far as the question has been investigated at present, it seems likely that neither Polybius nor Cicero had a first-hand acquaintance with the Politics, and that any resemblances traceable in their teaching to that of the Politics are due to their use of a work by an authority—probably Panaetius—110 had a first-hand acquaintance with the Politics. See A. Schmekel, Die Philosophie der mittleren Stoa, pp. 47-85 and 374-379, C. Hinze, Quos scriptores Graecos Cicero in libris de re publica componendis adhibuerit, pp. 11-21 and 29-55, and Sus., vol. i, p. 660 sq.

P. xiii, last line but two, and p. xiv, note 3. See however as to Dicaearchus C. Hinze, op. cit. p. 23 sqq., where a different view is taken as to the probable subject of the Τριπολιτικός of Dicaearchus.

P. xiv, note 1. See Mr. Shute's essay, p. 40 sq.

P. xvii, line 19, after the Politics add See also the explanatory note on 4 (7). 13. 1332 a 19 as to Stob. Ecl. Eth. 2. 6. 12.

P. xviii sq. For a fuller list of apparent reminiscences of passages in the Politics see the heading Politics of Aristotle in the General Index (vol. iv. p. 642).

P. xix, line 10, after this passage add See, however, the explanatory note on 4 (7). 14. 1334 b 38.

P. xix, line 20, after 1333 a 30 add (see also explanatory note on 4 (7). 13. 1332 a 38).

P. xx, four lines from foot of page. For the term πρῶτοι λόγοι cp. De Part. An. 4. 5. 682 a 2 sq. and Isocr. De Antid. § 71.

P. xxviii, line 8, after wepl add ths.

P. xxix, line 1, after 1338 a 32 sqq.: add 5 (8). 5. 1339 b 10 sq.:.

P. xxix, line 2, after 8 (6). 1. add 1316 b 36 sqq. and after 1316 b 39 sqq. add 1317 a 13 sqq.

P. xxxix, twelve lines from foot of page, for 'disjecta membra' read pieces.

P. xl, line 5, after the Seventh add In one MS. of Pliny's Natural History (the Pollingensis) 'the first eighteen Books are wrongly numbered' (Class. Rev. 7. 452).

P. xlviii, six lines from end of note 2, dele in 4 (7). 17-inducere).

P. li, note 4, line 3, dele 5 (8). 4. 1338 b 15 and, and after 1260 a 24 (line 4) add 3. 5. 1278 a 40 and 3. 13. 1283 a 40.

P. lvi, line 21, after 1269 a 18 read M^o P¹ add ris before nurhous: In are probably right in reading simply nurhous, which was the reading of T also (see vol. iii. p. xxv, and critical note on 1340 b 24).

P. Ivii, dele lines 1-5 (see critical note on 1339 a 29).

P. 15, last line, for yap read yap.

- P. 26, nine lines from foot of page. Should τοὺs be added before αμφοτέρους? See vol. iii. p. 315.
 - P. 28, line 9, for hoese read toese (see Class. Rev. 7. 307).
- P. 54, fourteen lines from foot of page, for γενέσθαι νομοθέτην read νομοθέτην γενέσθαι.
- P. 61, line 17, after Latin Translation add unless indeed, which is more probable, he copied the annotations from the MS. before him.
 - P. 76, eighteen lines from foot of page, read δρθοπραγείν.
- P. 76, last line but one, after τοὺς ἀπόρους) add In Demosth. Phil. 3. 54 \times has λοιδορίας φόνου (l. φθόνου) σκώμματος where other MSS have λοιδορίας $\hat{\eta}$ φθόνου $\hat{\eta}$ σκώμματος.
 - P. 78, eleven lines from foot of page, after 23. add 22. ἐκ om. Π¹.
 - P. 80, line 19, for αποθεν read απωθεν.
 - P. 80, three lines from foot of page, before Almost add See vol. iii. p. xvii.
- P. 81, twenty-one lines from foot of page, dele rightly—note). See above on p. 28.
- P. 82, line 19, end of note on 1264 a 8, add Sus.^{3 a} reads φρατρίαs, not φατρίαs.
- P. 84, twenty lines from foot of page, after rightly add but see explanatory note on 1283 b 4.
- P. 85, last line but two, after 35. add ἐκλιπεῖν Π²: ἐκλείπειν Μ² P¹: we cannot tell from Vet. Int. derelinquere which reading he found in his text. See critical note on 1270 a 21.
 - P. 86, five lines from foot of page, after § 77 add (ed. 2, § 694 sqq.).
- P. 86, last line but one, before 12. add 6. ħ after ἐπιορκεῖν on. ΓΜ*, ħ ταῦτα om. L* pr. P¹ (corrected in P¹ in paler ink than the MS.). ἐτίθει δὲ νόμον Π² Bekk.: ἔτι δὲ νόμον ἐτίθει Π¹ Sus.
- P. 87, fourteen lines from foot of page, after render it add 23. γίνεσθαί Π³ Bekk. Sus.: γενέσθαι Μ³ P¹ and possibly Γ (Vet. Int. fiers).
- P. 87, four lines from foot of page, for (perhaps . . . 1340 b 24) read (= xivfioas: see above, p. xxv, and critical note on 1340 b 24).
 - P. 87, last line, read in.
- P. 88, line 10, after Vet. Int. add 6. $\pi\epsilon\rho\rho\alpha$ 160îs Π^2 Bekk.: $\pi\epsilon\rho\alpha$ 160îs Π^1 Sus.: see Class. Rev. 7. 307 sq.
- P. 92, line 22, after here add It is, however, so used in Eurip. Fragm. 795 Nauck (ed. 2).
- P. 101, line 5. This τοιαύτης may possibly refer forward and mean τής πολιτικής: see the explanatory note on 1337 b 6.
- P. 104, line 16. At the end of the note add For τὰ πράγματα φυόμενα cp. Plato, Laws 757 D, τὴν νῦν φυσμένην κατοικίζειν πόλιν, and Aristot. Poet. 14. 1453 b 5, τὰ πράγματα γινόμενα.
- P. 106, last line, after here add As to δια την σωτηρίαν cp. c. 5. 1254 b 12 and Plut. Pelop. c. 24, δ γαρ πρώτος, ώς ξοικε, καὶ κυριώτατος νόμος τῷ σώζεσθαι δεομίνω τὸν σώζειν δυνάμενον άρχωντα κατὰ φύσιν ἀποδίδωσι.
- P. 114, thirteen lines from foot of page, before Plato add Παίδάς τε καὶ παίδων παίδας may possibly be added because δμογάλακτες standing by itself might be taken to refer only to children, and not to grandchildren also.

- P. 114, ten lines from foot of page. It is probably from Panaetius that Cicero derives the views expressed in De Offic. 1. 17. 54; see C. Hinre, Quos scriptores Graecos Cicero in libris de re publica componendis adhibuerit, p. 50.
- P. 115, thirteen lines from foot of page. The passage referred to as 7. 13. 11 is probably 6 (4). 13. 11. 1297 b 24 sqq.
- P. 118, line 14, end of note, add Cp. also Xenophanes, Fragm. 5, 6 (Mullach, Fragm. Philos. Gr. 1. 101 sq.).
- P. 118, seventeen lines from foot of page, add In illustration of δε πλειόνων κωμών cp Strabo, p. 336 sub fin.—337.
- P. 123, five lines from foot of page, add 12. μέχρι γὰρ τούτου κ.τ.λ. For the phrase cp. Περί μακροβιότητος 6. 467 a 20 and [Plato,] Epinomis 978 C.
- P. 128, twenty-one lines from foot of page, after 29. add For ħ θηρίον ħ θεός cp. Plut. Publicola, c 6, οὐδέτερον δὲ μπρὸν οὐδ΄ ἀνθρώπινον, ἀλλ' ἡ θεῖον ἡ θηριῶδες. See also Plut. Aristid. c. 6 sub fin. and De Profect. in Virt. c. 1 sub fin.
- P. 131, ten lines from foot of page, for The ellipse—πάσα μεταλλευτική read Cp. 6 (4). 16. 1300 b 20, ετερον (sc. δικαστήριον) δσα els την πολιτείαν φέρει, where περί ταῦτα must apparently be supplied before δσα.
- P. 133, seventeen lines from foot of page, after χρησιν add and 8 (6). 8. 1321 b 16.
- P. 138, twelve lines from foot of page. Prof. Bywater points out (Archiv fur Geschichte der Philosophie, Band ii. p. 504), no doubt rightly, that the article is used before 'Hφαίστου because the Hephaestus of Homer's Iliad (18. 376) is referred to. It should be noticed, however, that in the Politics the article is almost always prefixed to the names of gods and goddesses. The phrase νη Δία is the only exception I remember.
- P. 147, line 17, after cp. add Plato, Polit. 289 B, τα δε τερί ζώων κτήσιν των ημέρων, πλην δούλων κ.τ.λ.
- P. 159, twelve lines from foot of page, add 37 sq. See explanatory note on 1275 b 21, and cp. Diod. 17. 77. 3 and Hippocr. De Morb. Vulgar. 6 (vol. iii. p. 605 Kühn), δύο δὶ μεγάλων μεγάλα καὶ τὰ ἔκγονα γίνεται.
- P. 164, line 15, end of note, add Cp. Soph. Philoct. 138 sqq. and Manil. Astron. 5. 739 sqq. (where atque omnia iusta priorum should perhaps be read).
- P. 169, three lines from foot of page, before Their add and Hippocr. De Aere, Aquis, Locis, c. 18 Kuehlewein (vol. i. p. 556 Kuhn), μένουσι δ' έν τῷ αὐτῷ τοσοῦτον χρόνον, δσον ἀν ἀποχρŷ αὐτοῖσι τοῖς κτήνεσιν ὁ χύρτος ὁκύταν δὲ μηκέτι, ἐς ἐτέρην χώρην ἔρχονται.
- P. 171, line 5, before Giph. add Vet. Int. quicunque quidem sponte natam habent elaborationem':
- P. 171, line 9, after betreiben' add J. C. Wilson, 'the industries of which spring up of themselves', a rendering which resembles that of Vet. Int.
 - P. 171, line 11, for vita read ipyasia.
- P. 171, line 22, end of note, after c. 12 add I am not disposed to be dogmatic in support of Victorius' interpretation of the rare word abroquers in the passage before us, but I still incline to think that it is right. See for J. C. Wilson's view Class. Rev. 10 (1896), p. 187 and Archiv fur Gesch. der Phil. 11. 260 sq. The meaning of abroquers may be studied in Pindar, Pyth. 3. 47, where VOL. III.

it seems to mean 'self-engendered' ('self-caused', as Liddell and Scott, not 'sponte natus', as Boeckh): cp. Polyb. 11. 25. 2 Hultsch, τὰ ἐξ αὐτῶν τῶν σωμάτων γινόμενα φύματα καὶ νόσους. Its meaning is still clearer in Dio Cass. 44. 37. 2. Here αὐτόφυτος is contrasted with ἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου and explained by ἐκ παρασκευῆς συγγενοῦς, and it seems to mean 'self-bred', 'self-engendered', i.e. engendered by the stock of which the person comes ('bon chien chasse de race'). Έτερόφυτον δένδρου is 'a grafted tree', i.e. a tree not self-engendered (Theophrast. ap. Julian, Epist. 24, referred to by Liddell and Scott). Cp. also the use of αὐτοφυής in Plato, Laws 794 A, where it is explained by ἀς ἐπειδὰν ξυνέλθωσιν αὐτοὶ σχεδὸν ἀνευρίσκουσι. If αὐτόφυτος in the passage before us meant 'springing up of itself', we should expect that exchange (ἀλλαγή), if not carried too far, would be described by Aristotle as αὐτόφυτος, for he says in 1. 9. 1257 a 18 sq. that exchange not carried beyond a certain point is necessary. Yet he nowhere describes the necessary kind of exchange as αὐτόφυτος.

- P. 172, after line 13, add of μεν νομαδικόν άμα και ληστρικόν. That these lives were often conjoined we see from Strabo, p. 511.
- P. 172, nine lines from foot of page, after 1216 n 7 add Cp. also [Plato,] Axiochus 366 D, οὐ κατὰ μὲν τὴν πρώτην γένεσιν τὸ νήπιον κλαίει;
- P. 172, next line, add 10. και γαρ κατά την έξ άρχης γένεσιν κ.τ.λ. Cp. [Plato,] Menex. 237 E, and [Demosth.] Or. Fun. c. 5, πάντα γαρ τα τίκτοντα αμα και τροφήν τοις γιγνομένοις άπ' αὐτης της φύσεως φέρει.
- P. 179, sixteen lines from foot of page, after συνέστηκεν dele and in . . . 1253 b 3).
- P. 181, line 10, after 3. add έστι δ' ούτε κ.τ.λ. Cp. Hist. An. 1. 13. 493 a 33, of δ' δρχεις ούτε ταυτό σαρκί ούτε πόρρω σαρκός, and 2. 12. 504 b 11 sq.
- P. 187, end of note on τοῦ πλούτου καὶ χρημάτων, add For the account of χρηματιστική here given ep. Plato, Gorg. 452 C, where the χρηματιστής claims to be πλούτου δημιουργός.
- P. 188, line 12, before Eryxias add the saying of Solon to Croesus reported in Diod. 9. 27. 2, of Plato, Rep. 521 A and Laws 742 E, and of.
- P. 193, line 8, add 12. άλλὰ τῆς μἐν νίκην τῆς δ' ὑγίσιαν. Aristotle here probably has before him Plato, Laws 962 A.
- P. 203, lines 3-5. I prefer to the interpretation of this passage given here that given by Sus., and independently with greater fullness and clearness by J. C. Wilson in Class. Rev. 10 (1896), p. 184 sqq. and Archiv fur Gesch. der Phil. 11. 246 sqq. and 12. 50 sqq. The latter explains δσα ἀπὸ γῆς by δσα μέρη χρηματιστικῆς χρηματίζεται ἀπὸ γῆς, comparing Occon. 1. 1343 a 26, κατὰ φύσιν δὶ γεωργικὴ προτέρα καὶ δεύτεραι δσαι ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς, οἶον μεταλλευτικὴ καὶ εἶ τις ἀλλη τοιαύτη, and translates the whole passage 'all the forms of acquisition (or all the industries) which make their profit from minerals and from things growing from the earth which, though not edible (or fruits), are still useful.' One difficulty in connexion with this rendering should be noticed. In the short phrase ὅσα ἀπὸ γῆς καὶ τῶν ἀπὸ γῆς γινομένων the words ἀπὸ γῆς οccur twice, and one would expect them to be used in the same sense in both places, but in the first place we have to translate them 'from minerals' (i.e. from rock, metal, soil, sand, etc.) and in the second 'from the earth' (i.e. from soil, but not from rock, metal, or sand). We need not make too much of this difficulty,

but it seems to me to exist. It appears also to be implied, which we hardly expect, that agriculture οὐ χρηματίζεται ἀπὸ γῆς, though it obviously derives food from that source (Oecon. 1. 1343 a 30 sqq.: cp. Plut. Numa, c. 16, where γεωργία is described as ὁ ἀπὸ γῆς βίος), but this is implied in Oecon. 1. 1343 a 26 sqq. also, so that this passage is open to the same objection.

P. 203, line 5, after 1256 b 26 add and cp. 6 (4). 16. 1300 b 20, ξτερον (sc. δικαστήριον) δσα els την πολιτείαν φέρει, where we have to supply περί ταῦτα or something equivalent before δσα.

P. 203, last line but one. I have here taken $\lambda\omega\beta\hat{\omega}\nu\tau\alpha u$ in $\tau\hat{\alpha}$ $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ $\lambda\omega\beta\hat{\omega}\nu\tau\alpha u$ as passive and $\tau\hat{\alpha}$ $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ as the nom. to it, but it is more likely that $\lambda\omega\beta\hat{\omega}\nu\tau\alpha u$ is middle, and that the words should be constitued, 'men injure their bodies'.

P. 206, lines 10-12, dele dad unv-1339 a 29.

P. 209, twenty-two lines from foot of page, after τον οlκονόμον add Cp. (with I. C. Wilson) Kuhner, Ausfuhrl. gr. Gramm., ed. 2, § 593, Anm. 1.

P. 209, twenty-one lines from foot of page, after 1253 b 4 sq. add for though, as Sus. has pointed out, it has not been said there or in any preceding passage that the rule of the husband over the wife is a political rule and the rule of the father over the child a kingly rule, it has nevertheless been implied in c. 3. 1253 b 4 sqq. that these two kinds of rule are two and not one. Arisotic perhaps adds $d\lambda\lambda\lambda$... $\beta a \sigma i\lambda i \kappa \hat{w}$ somewhat unguardedly in his cagerness to explain at once how the one kind of rule differs from the other. A similar inexactness of reference occurs in 1. 5. 1254 b 3, $\omega \sigma wep \lambda i \gamma o \mu e \nu$ (see also explanatory notes on 1312 b 34 and 1321 b 5), and it is not, I think, necessary to suppose (with Sus) a lacuna before the words $\kappa a \lambda \gamma d \rho \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. These words, as Vict. has seen, are closely connected with what precedes. See J. C. Wilson's remarks in Archiv fur Gesch. der Phil. 12, 52 sqq.

P. 210, lines 16-20. Perhaps it is better (with Sepulveda) to supply τὸ ἄρρεν καὶ τὸ θῆλυ with συνέστηκε than to take συνέστηκε as impersonal, as I have here done.

P. 213, line 17, after quoted add (p. also 6 (4). 1. 1289 a 3, ωτ έστιν ούκ έλαττον έργον το έπανορθώσαι πολιτείαν ή κατασκευδέειν έξ ερχήτ, and Eth. Nic. 9. 9. 1169 b 10, εί τε φίλου μάλλον έστι το εῦ ποιεῖν ή πάσχειν, and sic explanatory note on Pol. 4 (7). 8. 1328 b 11.

P. 217, line 11, after Cp. add Sext. Empir. Adv. Math. 4. 3. p. 722. 12 Bekker, του λόγου της απάντων συστάσεως, οδου εὐθέως τοῦ τε σώματος καὶ της ψυχής, and.

P. 219, fourteen lines from foot of page, after de χιτίκτονας add and I'lut. I'e Gen. Socr. c. 12, ου γάρ του δργάνου το έργον, άλλ' ου και το δργανον ψ χρήται πρὸς το έργον.

P. 223, line 13, after with him add Cp. Athen. Deipn. 262 b, ταύτας γὰρ (sc. τὰς λιχνείας) ὑπερορῶσιν (οἱ δοῦλοι) οῦ μόνον διὰ φόβον, ἀλλὰ καὶ κατὰ διδασκάλας, οῦ τὴν ἐν Δουλοδιδασκάλας Φερεκράτους, ἀλλὰ ἐθισθέντες.

P. 223, fourteen lines from foot of page, after πείθεσθαι add and Xen. De Re Equestri 8. 13. I incline now to follow Stahr, not Bonitz, in his interpretation of λόγος in this passage.

P. 238, lines 3-5. Prof. Robinson Ellis adds other Greek examples to those given by me—Xen. Occon. 6. 14, τοὺς έχοντας τὸ σεμνὸν δνομα τοῦτο τὸ καλός

τε κάγαθόs, and 6. 15, προσέκειτο τὸ καλὸς τῷ ἀγαθῷ—and supplies me with closer Latin parallels—Ovid, Met. 15. 96,

At vetus illa aetas cui fecimus Aurea nomen,

and Plin. Epist. 3. 2, cum dico princeps ('where J. E. B. Mayor has a learned note'). See Prof. Ellis' commentary on Catull. lxxxvi. 3 (ed. 2).

- P. 239, ten lines from foot of page, for is probably read may be.
- P. 239, seven lines from foot of page, after p. 79) add But Hecataeus and others had written γῆς περίοδοι (Bywater).
- P. 242, eighteen lines from foot of page, after εls dele 3. 4 . . . ταὐτά, and.
- P. 243, eight lines from foot of page, add 26. els τοὺς φύλακας and 27. els eκείνους. 'We often find els used of movement to persons, for instance in De Caelo 1. 3. 270 b 20 and Pol. 2. 4. 1262 b 26, 27' (Eucken, Praepositionen, p. 33).
- P. 248, lines 16-20, for but hθεσι . . . χρωμένων read probably rightly: see my remarks on the passage in Class. Rev. 7. 307.
- P. 254, line 16. I do not feel sure that Sus. intended to render mai by 'gerade', and I doubt whether it can bear this meaning here. Perhaps mai means simply 'also', and the sense is 'since we see that those also quarrel who own property in common, as well as owners of several property, and indeed that the former quarrel more than the latter', etc.
- P. 254, line 25, after p. 54. 2 add See as to these cases of undivided property Dio Chrys. Or. 38, 2. 151 R. Cp. Lucan, De Bell, Civ. 1. 84,

Tu causa malorum

Facta tribus dominis communis, Roma.

- P. 255, line 18, after 36. add πληθος δν, not οὖσαν, though τὴν πόλιν is to be supplied: cp. Plato, Cratyl. 418 E and Stallbaum's note, and see Kuhner, Ausfuhrl. gr. Gramm., ed. Gerth, § 369. 3.
- P. 256, nine lines from foot of page, add τοῖε δ' οὐ χρῶνται γενώσκοντες. Cp. Demosth. c. Aristocr. c. 145, πολλά γιγνώσκοντες δρθῶς ὑμεῖς οὐ διὰ τέλους αὐτοῖς χρῆσθε.
- P. 267, twenty lines from foot of page, end of note on 1265 a 12, add This was proverbial: cp. Demosth. Procem. 42, p. 1450, ἴσων μὲν οὖν, ὥσπερ οὐδ' ἰδία βάδιόν ἐστιν ἄπαντ' δρθῶν πράττειν, οῦτων οὐδὲ κοινῆ.
- P. 267, line 26. I have here taken το νῶν εἰρημένον πλῆθος as an 'anticipatory accusative', but it may be, as Prof. R. Ellis points out, the direct subject of λανθάνειν.
- P. 269, twenty-three lines from foot of page, after using property add Cp. also Cic. De Offic. 1. 27. 96, ut in eo moderatio et temperantia appareat cum specie quadam liberali.
- P. 269, fourteen lines from foot of page, after μετριότηs add See Class. Rev. 7. 309.
 - P. 270, line 20, after § 41. 4 add (ed. 2. § 216).
- P. 271, line 4, after 1335 b 22 sq. add Tiera must be supplied with mhelora from remonstar (see Bon. Ind. 239 a 39 sqq).
- P. 275, last line but four, and p. 277, line 9, 'checked by an approach to the principle of the lot'. See below on p. 335, last line.

- P. 283, twenty lines from foot of page, after given add (see explanatory note on 7 (5). 5. 1304 b 31).
- P. 293, line 5, after name add See Sandys' note on 'Aθ. Πολ. c. 28, l. 20, την διωβελίαν.
- P. 293. line 13, after κ.τ.λ. add and Demosth. Procem. 5. p. 1421, η μεν ουν δρχη τοῦ δοκιμάζειν δρθῶς ἄπαντ' ἐστὶ μηδέν οἶεσθαι πρότερον γιγνώσκειν πρίν μαθείν, and Procem. 18. p. 1430.
- P. 298, line 10, after 1326 a 32) add Hippodamus' wish to be learned about Nature as a whole reminds us of the similar teaching of Hippocrates referred to in Plato, Phaedrus 270 C. See also Stewart on Eth. Nic. 1. 13. 7. 1102 a 19.
 - P. 304, line 7, after nouvoueir add See, however, vol. iii. p. xvii.
- P. 307, last line, after είη add Or perhaps admirers of Lacedaemonian customs. cp. [Plato,] Hippias Maior 284 B, οὐ γὰρ πάτριον, ὧ Σώκρατες, Λακεδαιμονίοις κινεῖν τοὺς νόμους. See R. Hirzel, "Αγραφος νόμος, p. 72. 2.
 - P. 308, line 20, after e.g. dele in 3.9 . . . σχεδών γάρ, and.
- P. 309, line 26. Μαρτύρων τῶν αὐτοῦ συγγενῶν is probably intended to be emphasized by hyperbaton, the words ὁ διώκων τὸν φόνον being interposed after μαρτύρων: see vol. iii. Appendix B.
- P. 314, line 5 sqq. It is likely that the Polities were written, not before, as I have implied here, but after, the Politics.
- P. 316, nineteen lines from foot of page, after την γην add 40. For the change of construction in τας γειτνιώσας πόλεις... μηθεμίαν cp. 8 (6). 3. 1318 a 15 sqq., where see note.
- P. 318, line 8. Prof. R. Ellis much prefers Victorius' way of taking the passage, 'We ought to think that a city approximates to a division into equal halves as divided into men and women'.
- P. 319, hne 2, after Σαυρομάται add Nic. Damasc. Fragm. 122 (Muller, Fragm. Hist. Gr. 3. 460), ταις δε γυναιεί (Σαυρομάται) πάντα πείθονται ώς δεσποίναις, Strabo, p. 165, as to the Cantabri, and Plut. Cato Censor, c. 8, as to the Romans.
 - P. 323, line 5 sq. See above on p. 314, line 5 sqq.
- P. 334, line 10, for According to Plutarch read We read of Agesilaus in Plut. Ages. c. 4, δσάκις δὲ τύχοι καθήμενος ἐν τῷ βασιλικῷ θώκῳ καὶ χρηματίζων, ἐπιοῦσι τοῖς ἐφόροις ὑπεξανίστατο.
- P. 334, line 25, after 1266 b 23 add Contrast the view of Plutarch, Lycurg. c. 29, ή γάρ τῶν ἐφόρων κατάστασις οὐκ ἄνεσις ἦν, ἀλλ' ἐπίτασις τῆς πολιτείας, καὶ δοκοῦσα πρὸς τοῦ δήμου γεγονέναι σφοδροτέραν ἐποίησε τὴν ἀριστοκρατίαν.
- P. 335, last line. It has been pointed out by Mr. J. Solomon (Class. Rev. 3. 295: see Susemihl, Jahresbericht für Altertumswissenschaft, 1891, Bericht über Aristoteles, etc., für 1887–1890, p. 124) that this expression of Plato refers not to the ephorate, as Stallbaum and others have thought, but to the kingship
- P. 338, line 3, before For add Mimnermus had said of old age (Fragm. 5 Bergk),

 βλάπτει δ' δφθαλμοὺς καὶ νύον ἀμφιχυθέν.
- Cp. Herondas 1. 67 sq. and Hdt. 3. 134.
- P. 339, note on 1271 a 14. I am inclined still to read τούτφ, but to interpret it in a slightly different way from that in which I have interpreted it in

this note. Is not τούτφ = τῷ φιλοτίμους κατασκευάζειν τοὺς πολίτας? Cp. Demosth. Procem. 4. p. 1421, οὕτ' εἴωθα μακρολογεῖν οὕτ' ἀν... νῦν ἐχρησάμην τούτφ, and Plut. De Virtute Morali, c. 12, ταῦτα δ' ἀμέλει καὶ οἱ νομοθέται συνιδόντες ἐμβάλλουσιν εἰς τὰς πολιτείας καὶ φιλοτιμίαν καὶ ζῆλον πρὸς ἀλλήλους.

- P. 350, fourteen lines from foot of page, add 38. τὰς δ' φκισεν. 'Ceos is called by Bacchylides (2. 8) Εθξαντίς νᾶσος, a title hitherto unknown, but evidently implying a claim to have been colonized by the son of Minos' (Kenyon, Poems of Bacchylides, p. xxvii).
- P. 355, twelve lines from foot of page, after ένδεια add Hippocr. De Morbis 4 (vol. ii. p. 335 Kühn), εἰ ἄνθρωπος δλίγα ἐσθίει καὶ ὀλίγα πίνει, οὐδεμίαν τούτο νοῦσον ἐπάγει.
 - P. 358, line 4, for of read ascribed to.
- P. 359, line 19, after στάσιν) add Cp. also Plut. Cato Minor, c. 45, where Cato says of Pompey, αὐτὸς δὲ τῆ πόλει παρακάθηται στάσεις ἀγωνοθετῶν ἐν ταῖς παραγγελίαις καὶ θορύβους μηχανώμενος, ἐξ ὧν οὐ λέληθε δι' ἀναρχίας μοναρχίαν ἐαυτῷ μνηστευόμενος, and Justin 16. 4. 6.
 - P. 361, four lines from foot of page, dele c. 12. 1274 a 2.
- P. 362, five lines from foot of page, dele the parenthesis, 'cp. . . . changes', and see critical note on 1299 b 27.
- P. 366, nineteen lines from foot of page, for all magistracies read all magistracies empowered to try cases.
 - P. 371, note on 1273 b 19. I incline now to adopt the reading τψ πλουτίζειν.
 - P. 373, fifteen lines from foot of page, for as he found them read in existence.
- P. 374, nineteen lines from foot of page, note on 1274 a 2. Τον δήμον καταστήσαι probably means not 'set up the demos', as I have interpreted it in this note, but 'set up the democracy' (cp. 8 (6). 4. 1319 b 22, οὶ τον δήμον καθιστάντες).
- P. 375, line 10. As to the meaning of the expression τὰ Μηδικά, see vol. iii. P. 554.
- P. 376, line 18, for The fact . . . 1537 a 20 sqq.) read See 'A0. Hol. c. 7. l. 9 sqq.
- P. 379, line 4, after latter add and which represented the Chalcidian Charondas as a disciple of Zaleucus, the lawgiver of the Italian Locri, a not too friendly rival of the Chalcidic colonies of Italy and Sicily.
- P. 384, line 3, after 155 E add 'In inscriptions of the Attic period there is no trace of an exception to this rule' (Prof. Bywater, Archiv für Gesch. der Phil. 2. 504). See on this subject Sus. 4, vol. i, p. 682.
- P. 384, thirteen lines from foot of page, add 23. δγένετο δὲ κ.τ.λ. We notice that one and the same lawgiver gave laws to all the Thraceward Chalcidians—an early indication of the tendency to unity which they afterwards displayed in grouping themselves round Olynthus—and that this lawgiver was a citizen of Rhegium, itself a colony of Chalcis.

VOL. III.

P. xix, end of note, add and in 1312 a 11, 18 all the MSS. have $\tau o i s$ $\mu o r a \rho \chi o i s$. In 1295 a 13 also M^o P¹ have $\mu o r a \rho \chi o i$ with Π^o .

P. 11, line 1, colon in place of full stop.

- P. 11, line 17, colon in place of full stop.
- P. 21, line 9, for toût read toût'.
- P. 37, line 13, dele comma.
- P. 89, line I, for ac si read ac si utique.
- P. 91, line 4, after brepéxorras add See on the subject of this note vol. iii. Appendix C.
 - P. 93, last line, for have read sometimes show. See vol. iii. Appendix C.
 - P. 100, last line, for έχθοοιs read έχθροιs.
- P. 101, fourteen lines from foot of page, after Musurus add See critical note on 1311 a 36-39.
- P. 104, line 17, after δύο? add Yet compare 6 (4). 7. 1293 a 37, where we have λέγουσι δὲ τέτταρας, not τὰς τέτταρας.
- P. 105, eleven lines from foot of page, after in place of it admiwith Madvig, Adversaria Critica, 1. 468 sq.).
- P. 117, line 5, after ἐπιχωριάζεται add In 5 (8). 6. 1341 a 34, however, Vet. Int. renders ἐπεχωρίασεν by devenit, if the text is correct.
 - P. 126, line 20, for landoup it as read landoup ates (see vol. iv. p. 431).
 - P. 126, line 21, after -as add and -ess.
 - P. 144, seven lines from foot of page, read striourres.
- P. 156, three lines from foot of page. This is one of several passages in which it is doubtful which word in the sentence is the subject and which the predicate. Among these passages are the following—3. 6. 1278 b 11, 3. 7. 1279 a 26 sq., 3. 13. 1283 b 42 sqq. I have followed most of the translators and commentators in the view I have taken on this question in my notes on these passages, but there is much to be said for the opposite view, and I do not feel sure that I am right. In 4 (7). 9. 1329 a 18, drayrasor γdρ εὐπορίαν ὑπάρ-χειν τοῖς πολίταις, πολίται δὲ οὖτοι, the word πολίται seems to be the predicate (see also 6 (4). 12. 1297 a 5 sq.); yet in 3. 1. 1275 a 22 sq., where τὸν ἀπλῶν πολίτην is taken up in πολίτης δὲ κ.τ.λ., πολίτης is clearly the subject of the sentence (see also 6 (4). 3. 1290 a 7 sq.).
- P. 186, line 4, Sus. supplies πολιτείαις, not πόλεσι, with ταις δημοκρατικαις, perhaps rightly (cp. 7 (5). 8. 1308 b 33 sq.).
 - P. 189, fifteen lines from foot of page, dele comma.
 - P. 191, line 4, after Hdt. 1. 97. 2 add and Plato, Rep. 347 A-D.
- P. 191, three lines from foot of page, after conduct add (This remark is borrowed from Hobbes, Leviathan, c. 19, 'Now in monarchy the private interest is the same with the public. The riches, power, and honour of a monarch arise only from the riches, strength, and reputation of his subjects', etc.).
- P. 196, seven lines from foot of page, after preferred add The next dwopla is whether the version of justice put forward by the partisans of oligarchy and democracy is satisfactory. This dwopla is discussed in c. 9.
- P. 199, line 12, after προστιθέναι add For άφαιροῦσι cp. Περί άναπνοῆς 2.471 a 6, πρώτον μέν γάρ τὸ ήμισυ τοῦ πράγματος άφαιροῦσι.
 - P. 204, line 18, for Cf. read Cp.
 - P. 206, seventeen lines from foot of page, dele Pol. 7 (5). 9. 1309 a 36.
 - P. 210, line 6, after force add comma.

- P. 212, twelve lines from foot of page, before 40. add 89. περὶ μὲν οὖν τῶν ἄλλων ἔστω τις ἔτερος λόγος. Cp. Περὶ μακροβιότητος 2. 465 a 19, περὶ μὲν οὖν τῶν ἄλλων ἔτερος λόγος.
- P. 220, line 10, after the true one add A distinction is, in fact, drawn in 6 (4). 14. 1298 b 8 sqq. between alperoi and κληρωτοί ἐκ προκρίτων.
 - P. 238, line 15, after πέτεσθαι add Cp. Aristoph. Eq. 384 sq.
- P. 258, eight lines from foot of page, add In Nymphis, Fragm. 15 (Müller, Fr. Hist. Gr. 3. 15) we read Παυσανίας . . . τὰ τῆς Σπάρτης ἐξελθὼν νόμιμα.
- P. 260, eleven lines from foot of page, after Kingship? add It would seem from Diod. 15. 60. 5 that the office of the ταγόs might be so classed.
 - P. 264, eight lines from foot of page, see above on p. 260.
 - P. 267, seventeen lines from foot of page, for Επιμένης read Επιμένης.
- P. 272, line 19, after 31 sqq. add In this passage Aristotle is speaking of Kingship in both πόλεις and έθνη (cp. 7 (5). 10. 1310 b 35), whereas in the passage before us he seems to refer only to Kingships in πόλεις (cp. 1285 b 13, τὰ κατὰ πόλιν, and 16, ἐν ταῖς ἄλλαις πόλεσιν, and also c. 15. 1286 b 7-10).
 - P. 272, six lines from foot of page, for tov read tov.
 - P. 288 (and p. 418), page-heading, after NOTES add full stop.
- P. 301, eleven lines from foot of page, after rule) add and Polyb. 6. 2. 14 Hultsch.
 - P. 308, line 2, for υπαρχόντων read ὑπαρχόντων.
 - P. 312, line 1, for nept read nepl.
- P. 317, twelve lines from foot of page, after Protag. 323 D sq. add and Seneca, Epist. 123, nemo est casu bonus.
 - P. 318, line 11, before latter add the.
- P. 342, line 6. Perhaps it is more likely that Megalopolis was founded in B.C. 370 than in B.C. 369. See Grote, Hist. of Greece, 10. 319, note 5 (Part 2, c. 78), and Frazer, Pausanias 4. 307.
 - P. 345, line 8, end of note, add Cp. also Plin. Epist. 1. 20. 5.
 - P. 362, eighteen lines from foot of page, for θομοειδείς read θυμοειδείς.
- P. 363, twenty-two lines from foot of page, after τούτων add and Περί αlσθήσεως 2. 439 a 5, έστω τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον διωρισμένα.
- P. 370, five lines from foot of page, after military duties add and provides in the Laws (see vol. i. p. 446) that the Nomophylakes are not to remain in office after they have attained seventy years of age.
 - P. 385, line 5, for διεξελθών read διεξελθών.
- P. 386, seven lines from foot of page, after other laws also add For των dπ' εκείνου τινές cp. Plut. Solon, c. 12, τους dπο του Μεγακλέους.
- P. 387, three lines from foot of page, 'all other'. It is possible that τὰ ἄλλα means here 'other things than τὰ περὶ τὰς πολιτείας (30)', and not, as I have taken these words to mean, 'other things than syssitia and the division into classes'.
- P. 414, line 17, after Greece add But Aristotle's main object is to place the gymnasium of the elders in the immediate neighbourhood, and under the eye, of the chief magistrates. It must, therefore, be situated, like the agora, under the hill on which the chief magistrates dwell.

- P. 418, eighteen lines from foot of page, after contracts add at any rate those which were made in the agora.
- P. 428, line 3, after báraror add For the thought cp. Eth. Nic. 1. 11. 1100 b 35 sqq.
 - P. 428, line 18, after 116 b 8 sqq. add and Eth. Nic. 5. 10. 1134 b 3 sq.
 - P. 433, thirteen lines from foot of page, for disovorrer read disovorrer.
 - P. 434, line 4, for Bedriote read Bidtiote.
- P. 475, line 11, after 1. 254) add In Περί νεότητος και γήρως 1. 467 b 11, άμα δὶ και περί ἀναπνοῆς ἀναγκαῖον ἴσως τ ὶς αιτίας εἰπεῖν ἐνίοις γὰρ τῶν ζώων (cp. Περί ἀναπνοῆς 1. 470 b 9 sqq.) διὰ τοῦτο συμβαίνει τὸ ζῆν και τὸ μὴ ζῆν, and 21. 480 b 12, 19 sq., Aristotle seems to make some concessions to this view.
- P. 483, ten lines from foot of page, after life add Cp. also Hepl burou 3. 457 a 3 sqq.
- P. 487, nineteen lines from foot of page. My rendering of γίνεται γὰρ κ.τ.λ. is that of the translators generally, but it would be possible to translate the words, 'for in a way exercise results to the bodies [of the children]'. Cp. 7 (5). 6. 1305 b 3, γίγνεται κατάλυσιε, and 7 (5). 11. 1314 a 30, γίγνεται σωτηρία ταῖε τυραννίσι.
- P. 491, nine lines from foot of page, before Compare add and Frazer, Pausanias 2, 492.
- P. 497, twenty lines from foot of page. We need not perhaps interpret $\delta\iota\eta\rho\bar{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ so strictly as to infer from it, as I have done here, that Aristotle intended the education of his future citizens to be carried on beyond twenty-one, though it is on other grounds not improbable that he did so.
 - P. 500, line 12, for ling read elug.
- P. 500, line 20, after 1366 a 36 sqq. add and see explanatory note on 1258 a 10.
 - P. 505, line 1, for emarbaror read luarbaror.
- P. 507, line 2, after 1319 b 19 sqq. add Cp. also Thuc. 8. 89. 3 (τψ τοιούτψ . . . ἐν ψπερ).
 - P. 507, twelve lines from foot of page, after μάθησιν add comma.
- P. 509, fourteen lines from foot of page, after himself add (ep. Athen. Deipn. 18 a sq.).
 - P. 500, last line but one, for ar read ar.
 - P. 524, line 2, after c. 34. l. 4 add and c. 48. l. 16, rais d[yop]ais.
 - P. 524, line 7, for μη read μη.
- P. 525, nine lines from foot of page, after fifteen add As to light and heavy gymnastic exercises see Frazer, Pausanias 4. 103.
- P. 535, line 4, after 503 a 23 sqq. add Περί ύπνου 1. 454 a 26, όταν ύπερβάλλη τον χρόνον ϕ δύναται χρόν ϕ τι ποιείν.
 - P. 545, seventeen lines from foot of page, for Muller read Müller.
 - P. 548, three lines from foot of page, dele the first comma.
 - P. 557, line 11, for To read Tf.
- P. 559, four lines from foot of page, add As to rhythm and melody see Abert, Die Lehre vom Ethos in der griechischen Musik, pp. 53-56.
 - P. 575, nineteen lines from foot of page, read 5%.

PRINTED IN
GREAT BRITAIN
AT THE
UNIVERSITY PRESS
OXFORD
BY
CHARLES BATEY
PRINTER
TO THE

UNIVERSITY

SOME OXFORD BOOKS ON ARISTOTLE

THE POLITICS OF ARISTOTLE

Translated with an Introduction, Notes, and Appendixes by SIR ERNEST BARKER. 18s. net; Shortened edition, 12s. 6d. net

THE OXFORD TRANSLATION OF ARISTOTLE

Undertaken by Balliol College and the University Press, under the editorship of J. A. SMITH and SIR DAVID ROSS, and latterly carried on under the sole editorship of SIR DAVID ROSS. The translations are by J. I. BEARE, INGRAM BYWATER, J. F. DOBSON, L. D. DOWDALL, E. M. EDGHILL, A. S. L. FARQUHARSON, F. S. FORSTER, R. K. GAYE, R. P. HARDIE, A. J. JENKINSON, H. H. JOACHIM, BENJAMIN JOWETT, SIR F. G. KENYON, T. LOVEDAY, G. R. G. MURE, W. OGLE, W. A. PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE, A. PLATT, W. RHYS ROBERTS, G. R. T. ROSS, SIR DAVID ROSS, J. A. SMITH, ST. G. STOCK, J. L. STOCKS, J. SOLOMON, D'ARCY THOMPSON, E. W. WEBSTER. Eleven volumes, corresponding in content with Bekker's 8vo Oxford edition, as below:

- Vol. I. LOGIC. 15s. net.
- Vol. II. PHILOSOPHY OF NATURE. 18s. net.
- Vol. III. THE SOUL, 21s. net.
- VOL. IV. HISTORY OF ANIMALS. 155. net.
- VOL. V. PARTS OF ANIMALS. 15s. net.
- Vol. VI. MINOR BIOLOGICAL WORKS. 10s. 6d. net.
- VOL. VII. PROBLEMS. 15s. net.
- VOL. VIII. METAPHYSICS. 15s. net.
- Vol. IX. ETHICS. 15s. net.
- Vol. X. POLITICS AND ECONOMICS. 21s. net.
- Vol. XI. RHETORIC AND POETICS. 155. net.

ARISTOTLE'S PRIOR AND POSTERIOR ANALYTICS

A Revised Text, with Introduction and Commentary by SIR DAVID ROSS.

ARISTOTLE'S METAPHYSICS

Edited by SIR DAVID ROSS

Two volumes, 48s, net

ARISTOTLE'S PHYSICS

With Introduction and Commentary by SIR DAVID ROSS.

36s. net

42s. net

ARISTOTLE ON COMING-TO-BE AND PASSING-AWAY (De Generatione et Corruptione). Revised text, with Introduction and Commentary by H. H. JOACHIM. 325. net

ARISTOTLE'S ART OF POETRY

A Greek View of Poetry and Drama. With an Introduction and Explanations by w. HAMILTON FYFE. 6s. net

ARISTOTLE

Fundamentals of the history of his development. By W. JAEGER. Translated with the author's corrections and additions by R. ROBINSON. Second edition, 1947.

(All prices are subject to alteration wathque notice)

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRES

[12/50]